

J

30-043-20369

8-21-78

F. Loc. 790/N; 1850/E Elev. 6862 GL Spd. _____ Comp. _____ TD _____ PB _____Casing S. _____ @ _____ W _____ Sx. _____ Int. _____ @ _____ W _____ Sx. _____ Pr. _____ @ _____ W _____ Sx. _____ T. _____ @ _____
Csg. Perf. _____ Prod. Stim. _____T
R
A
N
S

I.P. _____ BO/D _____ MCF/D After _____ Hrs. _____ SICP _____ PSI After _____ Days GOR _____ Grav. _____ Ist Del. _____ s _____

TOPS		NITD	Well Log	TEST DATA							Ref.No.
Kirtland		C-103	Plat	Schd.	PC	Q	PW	PD	D		
Fruitland		C-104	Electric Log								
Pictured Cliffs			C-122								
Cliff House		Ditr	Dfa								
Menefee		Datr	Dac								
Point Lookout		211.60									
Mancos											
Gallup											
Sanostee											
Greenhorn											
Dakota											
Morrison											
Entrada											

P
o
o
l

Ballard PC Co. SA 5 5 122N R 4W UB Oper. Southland Royalty Co Lse. Jicarilla 434 No. 1

Jicarilla 434 #1

B-5-22N-4W

Southland Royalty Company

Federal Building
701 Camino del Rio
Durango, Colorado 81301

April 18, 1979

Southland Royalty Company
P. O. Box 570
Farmington, NM 87401

Gentlemen:

This is to confirm my telephone call of April 10, 1979, advising you that the Conservation Division Chief has denied the approval of drilling of your proposed No. 1 Jicarilla Contract 434, located in the NW $\frac{1}{4}$ sec. 5, T. 22 N., R. 4 W., and the No. 1 Jicarilla 435 located in the NW $\frac{1}{4}$ sec. 10, T. 22 N., R. 4 W., Sandoval County, New Mexico, on Jicarilla Contract 434 and 435, respectively.

Memorandum of April 11, 1979, from the Acting Chief, Conservation Division of the Geological Survey to the Oil and Gas Supervisor, Albuquerque, New Mexico, instructs the District Engineer to return the applications to drill unapproved, unless there is reason to believe that one or both wells are necessary to protect the leases from drainage.

The memorandum further instructs that if it is felt that the drilling of the proposed wells should be permitted in order to protect the two leases against a present or potential drainage situation, the District Engineer should submit a written report through channels detailing the circumstances involved. The Division office would transmit that showing to the Department with their recommendations and request a decision as to whether the drilling of said well(s) may be approved.

Consequently, the APD's for the above wells are returned herewith unapproved.

Sincerely yours,

(Orig. Sgd.) J. W. LONG

Jerry W. Long
District Engineer

Enclosures

cc: NMOCC/
BIA, Dulce

