(Romerly 9–331) DEPARTMEN BUREAU OF	TED STATES T OF THE INTERI	·	Form approved. Budget Bureau No. 10 Expires August 31, 1 5. LEASE DESIGNATION AND S N/A N/A S. D. IMBIAN, ALLOTTER OF T	985
SUNDRY NOTICES (Do not use this form for proposals to Use "APPLICATION"	AND REPORTS C drill or to deepen or plug by FOR PERMIT—" for such pr	ON WELLS ack to a different reservoir. oppossis.)	N/A	
OIL GAS OTHER			7. UNIT AGREEMENT NAME	
2. NAME OF OPERATOR			8. PARM OR LEASE NAME	
CHAMPLIN PETROLEUM COMPA	NY		FEDERAL 44-2	
420 HENRY FORD AVENUE, W	ILMINGTON, CALIFO	RNIA	#1	
420 HENRY FORD AVENUE, W 4. Location op well (Report location clearly See also space 17 below.) SESE (660' FSL 660' FEL	1	JAN 03 10	SURVEY OR AREA	ANCOS-GALLUP
14. PERMIT NO. 15.	BLEVATIONS (Show whether DF,	GALAMING LAND MA	12. COUPTY OR PARISH 18.	STATE
Approved 4/17/84	6926' GL. 6937' k	B RESOURCE MEN	J Sandoval N	<u>lew Mex</u> ico
16. Check Approp	riate Box To Indicate N	BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMEN B TO Notice, Report, 64	Other Data	
NOTICE OF INTENTION	👡: Obtain approva	atteast .	CENT REPORT OF:	
	DE ALTER CASING	WATER SECT-OFF	REPAIRING WELL	
PRACTURE TREAT MULTII	PLE COMPLETE	PRACTURE TREATMENT SHOOTING OR ACIDIEING	ABANDONMENT ⁴	
REPAIR WELL CHANG	E PLANS	(Other)	s of multiple completion on We	
(Other) To Vent/Flare Gas 17. DESCRIBE PROPOSED OR COMPLETED OPERATION proposed work. If well is directionally ment to this work.) Champlin Petroleum Compa lease pursuant to NTL-4A Based on the latest proposed on average of	any requests approach, Section IV.B	oval to vent/flare g /enting and Flaring ctober 27, 1985, the	as from the subject Oil Well Gas". subject well is	t
lease for fuel, releasir Enclosed as Attachments data to demonstrate that as gas are uneconomical premature abandonment of	"A", "B", and "C' expenditures ned and that required	' are engineering ev cessary to market or	beneficially use	such
Expires 1-6-87		reserves.	P1986 D	international Property Constitution (Constitution Constitution Constit
18. I hereby certify that the foregoing is true	and correct TITLE	Petroleum Engineer	12/30/	85
(This space for Federal or State office use	:)			
APPROVED BY	TITLE		1985	
CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL, IF ANY:	لِـــ		Schooling	

*See Instructions on Reverse Side

FARMED A SECTION OF THE STANDARD OF THE STA

BACKGROUND

Production in the Rio Puerco Field, Sandoval County, New Mexico, occurs in the Gallup-Mancos member of the Mancos Shale. This formation is characterized as a fractured, silty, interbedded formation with predominant fracturing occuring along the flanks of the localized structures.

Volumetric reserve estimates are considered to be invalid due to the lack of matrix porosity and the degree of fracturing through the productive interval. Reserves, however, have been based upon well performance of each well during the last six month period and projecting this information to the economic limit.

Recent well tests and other pertinent well data are shown below:

	#2 Federal 22-1	#3 Federal 24-3	#1 Federal 24-11
Production Period Test Date Oil Rate Gas Rate GOR Drilling & Completion Cost Estimated Gas Reserves Champlin W.I. Champlin R.I.	24 hours 10/29/85 2.0 BOPD 13 MCFD 6,500 \$520,000 Minimal 35.75%	24 hours 10/31/85 2.0 BOPD 16 MCFD 8,000 \$560,000 Minimal 50.0% 41.25%	24 hours 10/30/85 2.0 BOPD 5.0 MCFD 2,500 \$490,000 Minimal 50.0% 41.25%
	#2 Federal 24-2	#1 Federal 44-2	
Production Period Test Date Oil Rate Gas Rate GOR Drilling & Completion Cost Estimated Gas Reserves Champlin W.I. Champlin R.I.	24 hours 10/28/85 32 BOPD 37 MCFD 1,160 \$555,000 10 MMCF 50.0% 41.25%	24 hours 10/27/85 32 BOPD 26 MCFD 800 \$600,000 8 MMCF 50.0% 41.25%	

CHAMPLIN PETROLEUM COMPANY RIO PUERCO FIELD SANDOVAL COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

EVALUATION FOR FEASIBILITY OF MARKETING GAS

It is determined that there is no economically feasible alternative to venting the gas at the Rio Puerco Field. Three of the existing wells are near the economic limit and to prevent premature abandonment, permission is requested to vent the gas. A brief discussion of the alternatives and economics of each is as follows:

1. Sales via Gas pipeline:

Sales via gas pipeline is unfeasible due to the 12-15 miles of line that would have to be installed. The wells produce 5-37 MCFD and have insufficient reserves at current prices, to cover the cost of installation of the line.

2. Installation of a small gas plant to strip liquids:

This type of plant would $cost \pm \$300,000$. Reserves are insufficient to cover initial costs and increased operating expenses would affect premature abandonment. In addition, there would still be measurable gas to vent.

In conclusion, there is no reasonable alternative to venting our produced gas at this time. Champlin will, however, continue to investigate alternatives as they may be presented to us.

R. E. Wood

Petroleum Engineer

		Page	e <u> </u>	of	1	
		File 3806-G-1414				
Company_	CHAMPLIN PETROLEUM COMPANY	Formation /	Marcos	- 6All	up	
Well	#1 FEDERAL 44-2	County	SANDO	WAL		
Field P	10 PUEDCO FIRM	State	NEW M	EXICO		

HYDROCARBON ANALYSIS OF: SEPARATOR GAS

Component	Mol Percent	GPM
Carbon Dioxide	.07	
Nitrogen	8.70	
Methane	57.46	2 700
Ethane	10.49	2.789
Propane	13.75	3.762
iso-Butane	1.75	.569
n-Butane	3.71	1.163
iso-Pentane	.78	. 284
n-Pentane	.65	.234
Hexanes Plus	2.64	$\frac{1.131}{2.032}$
	100.00	9.932

Calculated gas gravity (air = 1.000) = .954

Calculated gross heating value = 1479 BTU per cubic foot of dry gas at 14.65 psia and 60°F.

Collected at 36 psig and 76 °F.

Date Sampled: 8-16-84 Cylinder Number: 868

