

January 11, 1963

Charles A. Redpath, Jr.
904 Midland Savings Building
Denver 2, Colorado

Re: Harrington-State Federal Well No.
7, Se/4 Section: 32, T-24N, R-1W,
Rio Arriba County, New Mexico


Dear Mr. Redpath:

In reply to your letter of January 7, 1963, I am enclosing a form for a Communitization which has been approved by both the United States Geological Survey and the Commissioner of Public Lands.

We approve a Communitization by attaching our certificate.

I do not believe you are familiar with the entire correspondence on this Communitization since you state you are faced with a dilemma if both the State and Federal agencies insist upon their own forms of Agreement. I believe you will find that in my letter of September 24, 1962, I stated "when the proper language has been inserted covering the state lands and calling for the approval by the Commissioner of Public Lands we will consider approving it."

I would request you read paragraph two of our letter of September 24, 1962, in regard to our approval of the No. 2 Wasson Communitization - we did approve this form as an accommodation to Sher-
Alan because of an early lease expiration but at the time of this approval we went into detail as to what would be required in the event a future Communitization was presented.



January 11, 1903

Charles A. Redpath, Jr.
904 Midland Savings Building
Denver 2, Colorado

Re: Huntington-State Federal Well No. 1,
T. 26N, R. 14W, S. 32, T. 24N, R. 14W,
Rio Arriba County, New Mexico

Dear Mr. Redpath:

In reply to your letter of January 7, 1903, I am enclosing a form for a Communitization which has been approved by both the United States Geological Survey and the Commissioner of Public Lands.

We approve a Communitization by attaching our certificate.

I do not believe you are familiar with the entire correspondence on this Communitization since you state you are faced with a dilemma if both the State and Federal agencies insist upon their own forms of Agreement. I believe you will find that in my letter of September 24, 1902, I stated "when the proper language has been inserted covering the state lands and calling for the approval by the Commissioner of Public Lands we will consider approving it."

I would request you read paragraph two of our letter of September 24, 1902, in regard to our approval of the Rio 2 Watson Communitization - we did approve this form as an accommodation to Shor-Allen because of an early lease expiration but at the time of this approval we went into detail as to what would be required in the event a future Communitization was presented.

RECEIVED
JAN 14 1903
U. S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
WASHINGTON, D. C.