

NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

Form C-110
Revised 7/1/55

(File the original and 4 copies with the appropriate district office)

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE AND AUTHORIZATION
TO TRANSPORT OIL AND NATURAL GAS

Company or Operator El Paso Natural Gas Products Company Lease Rincon Unit

Well No. 20 Unit Letter F S 6 T 26N R 6W Pool South Blanco Tocito

County Rio Arriba Kind of Lease (State, Fed. or Patented) Federal

If well produces oil or condensate, give location of tanks: Unit S 6 T 26N R 6W

Authorized Transporter of Oil or Condensate El Paso Natural Gas Products Company

Address Post Office Box 1565, Farmington, New Mexico

(Give address to which approved copy of this form is to be sent)

Authorized Transporter of Gas None

Address _____

(Give address to which approved copy of this form is to be sent)

If Gas is not being sold, give reasons and also explain its present disposition:

No pipeline connections - Gas is being vented to atmosphere.

Reasons for Filing: (Please check proper box) New Well _____

Change in Transporter of (Check One): Oil () Dry Gas () C'head () Condensate ()

Change in Ownership _____ () Other _____ (X)

Remarks: _____ (Give explanation below)

This well originally drilled & completed as the Texas National Petroleum Company Rincon Unit #20. Effective July 1, 1958, El Paso Natural Gas Products Company becomes operator & the well will henceforth be known as the El Paso Natural Gas Products Company Rincon Unit #20.

The undersigned certifies that the Rules and Regulations of the Oil Conservation Commission have been complied with.

Executed this the 1st day of July 1958

By Van E. Gyles

Approved JUL 3 1958 1958

Title Petroleum Engineer

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

Original Signed By

By A. B. HENDRICK

Company El Paso Natural Gas Products Co.

Address Post Office Box 1565

Title PETROLEUM ENGINEER DIST. NO. 3

Farmington, New Mexico

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

7. REPORTING OFFICE

1950-1951 5

[illegible]

Figure 1. Schematic representation of the experimental design. The subjects were divided into two groups: a control group and an experimental group. The control group received a standard training program, while the experimental group received a modified training program. The results of the training program were compared between the two groups.

708

1967

1968

1969

1970

1971

1972

1973

1974

1975

1976

1977

1978

1979

1980

1981

1982

1983

1984

1985

1986

1987

1988

1989

1990

1991

1992

1993

1994

1995

1996

1997

1998

1999

2000

2001

2002

2003

2004

2005

2006

2007

2008

2009

2010

2011

2012

2013

2014

2015

2016

2017

2018

2019

2020

2021

2022

2023

2024

2025

2026

2027

2028

2029

2030

2031

2032

2033

2034

2035

2036

2037

2038

2039

2040

2041

2042

2043

2044

2045

2046

2047

2048

2049

2050

2051

2052

2053

2054

2055

2056

2057

2058

2059

2060

2061

2062

2063

2064

2065

2066

2067

2068

2069

2070

2071

2072

2073

2074

2075

2076

2077

2078

2079

2080

2081

2082

2083

2084

2085

2086

2087

2088

2089

2090

2091

2092

2093

2094

2095

2096

2097

2098

2099

2100

2101

2102

2103

2104

2105

2106

2107

2108

2109

2110

2111

2112

2113

2114

2115

2116

2117

2118

2119

2120

2121

2122

2123

2124

2125

2126

2127

2128

2129

2130

2131

2132

2133

2134

2135

2136

2137

2138

2139

2140

2141

2142

2143

2144

2145

2146

2147

2148

2149

2150

2151

2152

2153

2154

2155

2156

2157

2158

2159

2160

2161

2162

2163

2164

2165

2166

2167

2168

2169

2170

2171

2172

2173

2174

2175

2176

2177

2178

2179

2180

2181

2182

2183

2184

2185

2186

2187

2188

2189

2190

2191

2192

2193

2194

2195

2196

2197

2198

2199

2200

2201

2202

2203

2204

2205

2206

2207

2208

2209

2210

2211

2212

2213

2214

2215

2216

2217

2218

2219

2220

2221

2222

2223

2224

2225

2226

2227

2228

2229

2230

2231

2232

2233

2234

2235

2236

2237

2238

2239

2240

2241

2242

2243

2244

2245

2246

2247

2248

2249

2250

2251

2252

2253

2254

2255

2256

2257

2258

2259

2260

2261

2262

2263

2264

2265

2266

2267

2268

2269

2270

2271

2272

2273

2274

2275

2276

2277

2278

2279

2280

2281

2282

2283

2284

2285

2286

2287

2288

2289

2290

2291

2292

2293

2294

2295

2296

2297

2298

2299

2300

2301

2302

2303

2304

2305

2306

2307

2308

2309

2310

2311

2312

2313

2314

2315

2316

2317

2318

2319

2320

2321

2322

2323

2324

2325

2326

2327

2328

2329

2330

2331

2332

2333

2334

2335

2336

2337

2338

2339

2340

2341

2342

2343

2344

2345

2346

2347

2348

2349

2350

2351

2352

2353

2354

2355

2356

2357

2358

2359

2360

2361

2362

2363

2364

2365

2366

2367

2368

2369

2370

2371

2372

2373

2374

2375

2376

2377

2378

2379

2380

2381

2382

2383

2384

2385

2386

2387

2388

2389

2390

2391

2392

2393

2394

2395

2396

2397

2398

2399

2400

2401

2402

2403

2404

2405

2406

2407

2408

2409

2410

2411

2412

2413

2414

2415

2416

2417

2418

2419

2420

Figure 1. Schematic representation of the experimental design. The subjects were divided into two groups: the control group (CG) and the experimental group (EG). The CG was divided into two subgroups: the control group (CG) and the control group (CG). The EG was divided into two subgroups: the experimental group (EG) and the experimental group (EG). The CG was divided into two subgroups: the control group (CG) and the control group (CG). The EG was divided into two subgroups: the experimental group (EG) and the experimental group (EG).

1. *Phragmites australis* (Cav.) Trin. ex Steud. (Common reed)

1

Transportation

