

State of New Mexico
Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
P.O. Box 2088
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2088

RECEIVED
SEP 23 1999

OIL CON. DIV
BOX 3

PIT REMEDIATION AND CLOSURE REPORT

Operator: Burlington Resources (Williams Field Services) Telephone: (801) 584-6361
Address: P.O. Box 58900, Salt Lake City, Utah 84158-0900
WellName: SJ 27-5 UNIT #25 (71834)
Location: Unit or Qtr/Qtr Sec M Sec 3 T 27N R 5W County Rio Arriba
PitType Dehydrator
LandType: BLM

Pit Location: Pit dimensions: length 27 ft., width 24 ft., depth 18 ft.
(Attach diagram)

Reference: Wellhead

Footage from reference: 115 ft.

Direction from reference: 66 Degrees West of North

Depth To Ground Water:	Less than 50 feet	(20 points)	
(Vertical distance from	50 feet to 99 feet	(10 points)	
contaminants to seasonal	Greater than 100 feet	(0 points)	<u>0</u>
high water elevation of			
ground water)			

Wellhead Protection Area:	Yes	(20 points)	
(Less than 200 feet from a private	No	(0 points)	<u>0</u>
domestic water source, or; less than			
1000 feet from all other water sources)			

Distance To Surface Water:	Less than 200 feet	(20 points)	
(Horizontal distance to perennial	200 feet to 1,000 feet	(10 points)	
lakes, ponds, rivers, streams, creeks,	Greater than 1,000 feet	(0 points)	<u>0</u>
irrigation canals and ditches)			

Ranking Score (TOTAL POINTS): 0

Date Remediation Started: 11/22/96

Date Completed: 12/20/96

Remediation Method: Excavation ☒

Approx. Cubic Yard 450

(check all appropriate sections)

Landfarmed ☒Insitu Bioremediation ☐

Other

Stockpiled soil after mechanical aeration. Returned 4/3/99 w/ probe. Met refusal at 22' bgs.

Remediation Location:

Onsite ☒ Offsite

(ie. landfarmed onsite, name and location of offsite facility)

General Description Of Remedial Action:

Excavate gross contamination, mix w/fertilizer, and aerate w/soil shredder. Install passive soil vent system to facilitate degradation of residual contamination. Soil landfarmed onsite. When sample results met cleanup criteria soil from LF returned to pit

Ground Water Encountered: No

Final Pit:

Sample location SJ 27-5 #25 V-EX-01

Closure Sampling:

(if multiple samples, attach sample results and diagram of sample locations and depths)

A composite sample, made up of 4 points from each excavation face, was collected.

Sample depth Up to 18 feet.

Sample date 12/4/96

Sample time 13:00

Sample Result

Benzene (ppm) <0.37

Total BTEX (ppm) 39.0

Field Headspace (ppm)

TPH (ppm) 568

Ground Water Sample: No

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION ABOVE IS TRUE AND COMPLETE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF

DATE 9-20-99

SIGNATURE  FOR WFSPRINTED NAME
AND TITLE

MARK HARVEY

PROJECT COORDINATOR



Environmental Services
P.O. Box 58900
Salt Lake City, UT 84158-0900

Pit Closure and Retirement Addendum- Risk Assessment

The sample analyzed for confirmation at the San Juan 27-5 #25 exhibited slightly elevated levels of total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) and / or BTEX. Toxicity information indicates that such low levels pose little risk to human health and the environment. This conclusion is based in part on the information below:

Toxicity Information

Toxicity values for TPH have not been established due to the variability of the chemical makeup of TPH. Normally, the toxicity is based on the toxicity of particular constituents of concern that may be present and which are evaluated based on health-based standards. The most common constituents examined include benzene, ethylbenzene, toluene, and xylene.

In the absence of constituents of concern or when the concentrations of the constituents of concern are low, the acceptable level of TPH is established by considering the following:

- No liquid product should remain in the soil
- The TPH should not harm vegetation
- The TPH concentrations should not create an odor nuisance
- Hydrocarbon vapors which may emanate from the impacted soil should not generate harmful or explosive vapors
- Site monitoring should indicate that TPH levels are stable or declining

Environmental and Site Conditions

Based on an evaluation of topography, this site is believed to have ground water greater than 100' below ground surface. Due to the immobility of these types of contaminants through soil and a lack of continuous transporting mechanisms, it is very likely that the residual contamination in the pit will degrade in the short term under existing conditions, or certainly during the life of the producing well. Observations and data collected from other sites suggests that contaminant concentrations would diminish vertically and likely be less than 10 ppm within the next 4 - 10 feet of soil depth. Notwithstanding, bedrock was discovered within 4' of the pit bottom. This condition retards vertical migration of contaminants and serves to significantly limit potential groundwater impact.

While residual TPH and/or BTEX may exist at this site, closure of this site is warranted for the following reasons:

1. The majority of soils which exhibited high levels of TPH and BTEX have been removed.
2. Residual TPH concentrations are below levels considered problematic based on the criteria above.
3. Discharge has been eliminated and a steel tank installed to prevent any future release to soils.
4. Depth to groundwater is estimated at greater than 100'.
5. Vertical migration of contamination is limited due to bedrock and/or the low vertical hydraulic conductivity of underlying soils.
6. TPH / BTEX concentrations will not increase and will likely degrade over time from natural processes occurring in-situ.
7. Further excavation at the site would only result in removing a relatively small amount of affected soil before bedrock is reached.

Since there are no nearby receptors or domestic water sources, this site poses little risk to human health and the environment. Closure is justified based on the relatively low total petroleum hydrocarbon (TPH) concentration and the fact that all closure criteria cannot be practically attained. Additional information may be found in the Technical Background Document titled: *Risk Based Closure of Unlined Surface Impoundment Sites, San Juan Basin, New Mexico.*

71834

PIT RETIREMENT FORM

Date: 11-22-95


Weather: _____

Well Name ST 27-5#25 Operator BURINGTON RESOURCES Sec 3 T 27N R 5W UL 890'S 1000'WLand Type: BLM STATE FEE INDIANCounty RIO ARriba

One Call Made (505-765-1234)? Y N

Line Marking Evident? Y N

Pit Location:

Reference Wellhead X Other _____Distance from: 115'Direction: 66° Degrees _____ E N X_____ of
X W S _____Starting Pit Dimensions 20' x 20' x 2'Final Pit Dimensions 27' x 24' x 18'Organic Vapor Readings: Start _____ Soil Description: BROWN SANDY SILT


@ 2' _____
 @ 4' _____
 @ 6' _____
 @ 8' _____
 @ 12' _____
 @ 18' _____

 @ 2' _____
 @ 4' _____
 @ 6' _____
 @ 8' _____
 @ 12' _____
 @ 18' _____

Well Proximity To: Residence, Domestic Water Well, Stock Well NONEArroyo, Wash, Lake, Stream ~ 200 NE TO MINOR WASHEstimated or Known Distance to Ground Water >100 feet

Source of Backfill (if other than processed material) _____

Samples collected: Type Progress: Verification: ID ST 27-5#25 V-EX-01 soil / water
 Progress: Verification: ID ST 27-5#25 V-LF-01 soil / water
 Progress: Verification: ID _____ soil / water

Sample sent to Lab Via: CourierHand Carried Other _____ Preservative: ICE Other _____

Comments: REMOVED TANK FROM PIT & REMOVED LINER FROM PIT. SETUP, EXCAVATED PIT, PROCESSED SOILS THROUGH SHREDDER, ADDED FERTILIZER, STOCK PILED PROCESSED SOIL. AFTER REMEDIATION CONFIRMED, BACK FILLED PIT WITH LANDF STOCKPILE MATERIAL, INSTALL SOIL VENT + MONITOR

Soil Shipped to: _____

Prepared by: Al S. Hain



Organic Analysis - Pit Closure

Williams Field Services

Project ID: OCD Pits
Sample ID: SJ 27-5 #25 V-EX-01
Lab ID: 5839
Sample Matrix: Soil
Preservative: Cool
Condition: Intact

Report Date: 12/09/96
Date Sampled: 12/04/96
Date Received: 12/04/96
Date Extracted: 12/05/96
Date Analyzed: 12/5-7/96

Target Analyte	Concentration (mg/kg)	Detection Limit (mg/kg)
----------------	--------------------------	----------------------------

Total Aromatic Hydrocarbons**39.0**

Benzene	ND	0.37
Toluene	1.72	0.37
Ethylbenzene	0.91	0.37
m,p-Xylenes	28.4	2.99
o-Xylene	7.88	0.37


Total Recoverable Petroleum Hydrocarbons**568****268**

Quality Control:	Surrogate	Percent Recovery	Acceptance Limits
	Trifluorotoluene	108	81 - 117%
	Bromofluorobenzene	117	74 - 121%

Reference: Method 5030, Purge and Trap; Method 8020, Aromatic Volatile Organics;
Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Wastes, SW-846, United States
Environmental Protection Agency, Final Update I, July, 1992.

Method 3550 - Sonication Extraction; Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste,
SW-846, United States Environmental Protection Agency, September, 1986;
Method 418.1 - Petroleum Hydrocarbons, Total Recoverable; Chemical Analysis of
Water and Waste, United States Environmental Protection Agency, 1978.

Comments:


Review



Organic Analysis - Pit Closure

Williams Field Services

Project ID: OCD Pits
Sample ID: SJ 27-5 #25 V-LF-02
Lab ID: 5982
Sample Matrix: Soil
Preservative: Cool
Condition: Intact

Report Date: 12/18/96
Date Sampled: 12/16/96
Date Received: 12/16/96
Date Extracted: 12/17/96
Date Analyzed: 12/17/96

Target Analyte	Concentration (mg/kg)	Detection Limit (mg/kg)
----------------	--------------------------	----------------------------

Total Aromatic Hydrocarbons

24.0

Benzene	ND	0.69
Toluene	2.75	0.69
Ethylbenzene	0.99	0.69
m,p-Xylenes	15.5	1.38
o-Xylene	4.80	0.69

Total Recoverable Petroleum Hydrocarbons

199

25.1

Quality Control:	<u>Surrogate</u>	<u>Percent Recovery</u>	<u>Acceptance Limits</u>
	Trifluorotoluene	105	81 - 117%
	Bromofluorobenzene	114	74 - 121%

Reference: Method 5030, Purge and Trap; Method 8020, Aromatic Volatile Organics;
Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Wastes, SW-846, United States
Environmental Protection Agency, Final Update I, July, 1992.

Method 3550 - Sonication Extraction; Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste,
SW-846, United States Environmental Protection Agency, September, 1986;
Method 418.1 - Petroleum Hydrocarbons, Total Recoverable; Chemical Analysis of
Water and Waste, United States Environmental Protection Agency, 1978.

Comments:


Review