

(SUBMIT IN TRIPLICATE)

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

Land Office Santa Pa						
Lease	No.	Laka	CED.	32	-	
Unit .		4. L	. These	4		

NOTICE OF INTENTION TO DRILL	SUBSEQUENT REPORT OF WATER SHUT-OFF
NOTICE OF INTENTION TO CHANGE PLANS	SUBSEQUENT REPORT OF SHOOTING OR ACIDIZING.
NOTICE OF INTENTION TO TEST WATER SHUT-OFF	SUBSEQUENT REPORT OF ALTERING CASING
NOTICE OF INTENTION TO RE-DRILL OR REPAIR WELL	SUBSEQUENT REPORT OF RE-DRILLING OR REPAIR
NOTICE OF INTENTION TO SHOOT OR ACIDIZE	SUBSEQUENT REPORT OF ABANDONMENT
NOTICE OF INTENTION TO PULL OR ALTER CASING.	SUPPLEMENTARY WELL HISTORY
NOTICE OF INTENTION TO ABANDON WELL.	104
(INDICATE ABOVE BY CHECK M	IARK NATURE OF REPORT, NOTICE, OR OTHER DATA)
•	The state of the s
	Oktober 31. , 19.5
i. L. Duff	
ell No is locatedft. fr	$com = \begin{cases} E \\ S \end{cases}$ line and 1300 ft. from $\begin{cases} E \\ S \end{cases}$ line of sec. 10
	(S) line and sec. 2
5%/A Section 19 265	13M N.H.P.K.
(¼ Sec. and Sec. No.) (Twp.)	(Range) (Meridian)
(Field) (Cour	nty or Subdivision) (State or Territory)
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
ne elevation of the derrick floor above sea	
	level is ft.
DET	level is ft.
DET	level is ft.
DET	level isft. FAILS OF WORK we sizes, weights, and lengths of proposed casings; indicate mudding jobs, cemerall other important proposed work)
DET	level is ft.
ate names of and expected depths to objective sands; showing points, and	level isft. FAILS OF WORK we sizes, weights, and lengths of proposed casings; indicate mudding jobs, cemerall other important proposed work)
DET ate names of and expected depths to objective sands; show ing points, and	level isft. FAILS OF WORK we sizes, weights, and lengths of proposed casings; indicate mudding jobs, cemerall other important proposed work)
DET ate names of and expected depths to objective sands; show ing points, and	level isft. FAILS OF WORK we sizes, weights, and lengths of proposed casings; indicate mudding jobs, cemerall other important proposed work)
DET ate names of and expected depths to objective sands; show ing points, and	level isft. FAILS OF WORK we sizes, weights, and lengths of proposed casings; indicate mudding jobs, cemerall other important proposed work)
DET ate names of and expected depths to objective sands; show ing points, and	level isft. FAILS OF WORK we sizes, weights, and lengths of proposed casings; indicate mudding jobs, cemerall other important proposed work)
DET ate names of and expected depths to objective sands; show ing points, and	level isft. FAILS OF WORK we sizes, weights, and lengths of proposed casings; indicate mudding jobs, cemerall other important proposed work)
DET ate names of and expected depths to objective sands; show ing points, and	level isft. FAILS OF WORK we sizes, weights, and lengths of proposed casings; indicate mudding jobs, cemerall other important proposed work)
DET ate names of and expected depths to objective sands; show ing points, and	level isft. FAILS OF WORK we sizes, weights, and lengths of proposed casings; indicate mudding jobs, cemerall other important proposed work)
DET ate names of and expected depths to objective sands; show ing points, and	level isft. FAILS OF WORK we sizes, weights, and lengths of proposed casings; indicate mudding jobs, cemerall other important proposed work)
DET ate names of and expected depths to objective sands; show ing points, and	level isft. FAILS OF WORK we sizes, weights, and lengths of proposed casings; indicate mudding jobs, cemerall other important proposed work)
DET te names of and expected depths to objective sands; show ing points, and so the same sands. Col. 5-3/2* canadag (143* 5354*)	level isft. FAILS OF WORK we sizes, weights, and lengths of proposed casings; indicate mudding jobs, cemerall other important proposed work)

Company	
Address Rex 426, Farmington, New Mexico	
	By P.E. Cooper
***************************************	Title District Forence

4



the control of the co