State of New Mexico

Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

P.O. Box 2088

Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2088



OIL CON. DIV. DISTL 3

PIT REMEDIATION AND CLOSURE REPORT

Phillips Petroleum (Williams Field Services) Operator:

Telephone: (801) 584-6361

Address:

P.O. Box 58900, Salt Lake City, Utah 84158-0900

WellName:

SJ 29-5 UNIT #37A

(85619)

Location:

Unit or Qtr/Qtr Sec E Sec 31 T 29N R 5W County Rio Arriba

PitType

Dehydrator

LandType: Fee

Pit Location: Pit dimensions: length 25ft., width 18ft., depth 12ft.

(Attach diagram)

Reference: Wellhead

Footage from reference:

51 ft.

Direction from reference:

85 Degrees East of South

Depth To Ground Water:

(Vertical distance from contaminants to seasonal high water elevation of

50 feet to 99 feet

Less than 50 feet

(20 points) (10 points)

Greater than 100 feet (0 points) 10

ground water)

Wellhead Protection Area:

(Less than 200 feet from a private domestic water source, or; less than 1000 feet from all other water sources)

(20 points) Yes

No (0 points) 0

Distance To Surface Water:

(Horizontal distance to perennial lakes, ponds, rivers, streams, creeks, irrigation canals and ditches)

Less than 200 feet (20 points)

200 feet to 1,000 feet(10 points)

Greater than 1,000 feet(0 points)

Ranking Score (TOTAL POINTS):

10

0

Date Remediation Started: 11/1/96

Date Completed: 12/20/96

Remediation Method: Excavation ✓

Approx. Cubic Yard 200

(check all appropriate

sections)

Landfarmed 🗹

Insitu Bioremediation [

Other

Landfarmed soil after mechanical aeration. Returned to site 4/3/99

w/ probe. Met refusal at 15' bgs

Remediation Location:

Onsite 🗹 Offsite

(ie. landfarmed onsite, name and location of offsite facility)

General Description Of Remedial Action:

Excavate gross contamination, mix w/fertilizer, and aerate w/soil shredder. Install passive soil vent system to facilitate degredation of residual contamination. Soil landfarmed onsite. When sample results met cleanup criteria soil from LF returned to pit

Ground Water Encountered:

Final Pit:

Sample location SJ 29-5 #37A V-EX-02

Closure Sampling: (if multiple samples, attach sample results and diagram of sample locations and depths)

A composite sample, made up of 4 points from each excavation face, was collected..

Sample depth Up to 12 ft.

Sample date 12/19/96 Sample time 15:30

Sample Result

No

Benzene (ppm) <0.67

Total BTEX (ppm) 25.4

Field Headspace (ppm)

TPH (ppm) 154

Ground Water Sample:

No

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION ABOVE IS TRUE AND COMPLETE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF

9-20-99 DATE

SIGNATURE W/12 FOR WFS PRINTED NAME AND TITLE

MARK HARVET

PROJECT COORDINATIOR



Environmental Services P.O. Box 58900 Salt Lake City, UT 84158-0900

Pit Closure and Retirement Addendum- Risk Assessment

The sample analyzed for confirmation at the San Juan 29-5 #37A exhibited slightly elevated levels of total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) and / or BTEX. Toxicity information indicates that such low levels pose little risk to human health and the environment. This conclusion is based in part on the information below:

Toxicity Information

Toxicity values for TPH have not been established due to the variability of the chemical makeup of TPH. Normally, the toxicity is based on the toxicity of particular constituents of concern that may be present and which are evaluated based on health-based standards. The most common constituents examined include benzene, ethylbenzene, toluene, and xylene.

In the absence of constituents of concern or when the concentrations of the constituents of concern are low, the acceptable level of TPH is established by considering the following:

- No liquid product should remain in the soil
- The TPH should not harm vegetation
- The TPH concentrations should not create an odor nuisance
- Hydrocarbon vapors which may emanate from the impacted soil should not generate harmful or explosive vapors
- Site monitoring should indicate that TPH levels are stable or declining

Environmental and Site Conditions

Based on an evaluation of topography, this site is believed to have ground water greater than 100' below ground surface. Due to the immobility of these types of contaminants through soil and a lack of continuous transporting mechanisms, it is very likely that the residual contamination in the pit will degrade in the short term under existing conditions, or certainly during the life of the producing well. Observations and data collected from other sites suggests that contaminant concentrations would diminish vertically and likely be less than 10 ppm within the next 4 - 10 feet of soil depth. Notwithstanding, bedrock was discovered within 4' of the pit bottom. This condition retards vertical migration of contaminants and serves to significantly limit potential groundwater impact.

While residual TPH and/or BTEX may exist at this site, closure of this site is warranted for the following reasons:

- 1. The majority of soils which exhibited high levels of TPH and BTEX have been removed.
- 2. Residual TPH concentrations are below levels considered problematic based on the criteria above.
- 3. Discharge has been eliminated and a steel tank installed to prevent any future release to soils.
- 4. Depth to groundwater is estimated at greater than 100'.
- 5. Vertical migration of contamination is limited due to bedrock and/or the low vertical hydraulic conductivity of underlying soils.
- 6. TPH / BTEX concentrations will not increase and will likely degrade over time from natural processes occurring in-situ.
- 7. Further excavation at the site would only result in removing a relatively small amount of affected soil before bedrock is reached.

Since there are no nearby receptors or domestic water sources, this site poses little risk to human health and the environment. Closure is justified based on the relatively low total petroleum hydrocarbon (TPH) concentration and the fact that all closure criteria cannot be practically attained. Additional information may be found in the Technical Background Document titled: Risk Based Closure of Unlined Surface Impoundment Sites, San Juan Basin, New Mexico.

11.	PIT RETIREMENT	FORM	, ,
Date:		Weath	er SUNNY /45 0/ CLERZ
Well Name_SJ Z4-5 H SAHV Ope	erator <i>FHILUPS PER</i>	POLETY Sec 3/	129N RSW UL 980 FINE
Land Type: BLM STATE (FEE)	INDIAN	County RIO	
One Call Made (505-765-1234)?	(Y) N		
Line Marking Evident?	Ø N [1	···
Life Marking Evident:		∀	
		1	
Pit Location:		WFS	
Reference Wellhead X	_Other	(LANDHARM)	
Distance from: 51 feet	E N		- <i>I</i> XL
Direction: \$50 Degrees	_E N	Φ $\overline{\sim}$	
	of	Pi	Γ
	W S <u>X</u>		7
44 (/
Starting Pit Dimensionsx_	70 X 2		•
Final Pit Dimensions <u>75'</u> x	18 x 12		
		SITE	SKETCH
Organic Vapor Readings: Start	Soil Descrip		SILTY CLAY
@ 2′		77	(1 /)
@ 4′			0 17
@ 6′		/(// //
@ 8′			// //
@ <u>[0'</u>		11	
@ <u>t7'</u>			- (1 1/
Well Proximity To: Residence, Do	mestic Water Well S	Stock Well NonE	•
	Lake, Stream <u>~ / S</u>		
		ound Water <u>>50'</u>	<100'
			
Source of Backfill (if other than prod	cessed material	·····	
		· L	
Samples collected: Type Prog	gress: Verification:	ID 5179-5+3	74 V-EX-01 soil / water
	gress: Verification:	ID G129-5 57A	$\sqrt{-LF02}$ soil / water
Prog	gress: Verification:	ID	soil / water
	Use of Coming Other	D	-ti
Sample sent to Lab Via: Courier	Hand Carried Othe	er Preservo	ative: (ICE)Other
	Comments: Se	I UP , EXCAVA!	E, & SHEED
# 3	MATTERIAL A	ADDED FERTUZE	DURING.
	SHPEDDING. F	PROCESS. HO	IN PIT, No Oilor
	WEEDS. PROC	FOSED MATERIAL	LAND FARMED
35	NORTH OF WAS	L HEAD. INSTAL	L SOIL VAPOR VENT -
(Time I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I		·	
10112	Soil Shipped to:	11/1/1/1/	
WI THE THE PERSON OF THE PERSO	Prepared by:	M. S. Khin	
(pit sketch-show sample pte.)		7	



Organic Analysis - Pit Closure

Williams Field Services

Project ID:

OCD Pits

Report Date:

01/01/97

Sample ID:

SJ 29-5 #37A V-EX-02

Date Sampled:

12/19/96

Lab ID:

6041

Date Received:

12/19/96

Sample Matrix:

Soil

Date Received:

Date Extracted:

12/20,24/1996

Preservative:

Cool

Date Analyzed: 12/20,24/1996

_					
Co	\sim	11	\sim	n	•
	ıu	11	u	11	

Intact

Target Analyte	Concentration (mg/kg)	Detection Limit (mg/kg)
Total Aromatic Hydrocarbons	25.4	
Benzene	. ND	0.67
Toluene	1.19	0.67
Ethylbenzene	0.70	0.67
m,p-Xylenes	17.8	1.34
o-Xylene	5.77	0.67
Total Recoverable Petroleum Hydr	ocarbons 154	30.4

Quality Control:	<u>Surrogate</u>	Percent Recovery	Acceptance Limits
	Trifluorotoluene	106	81 - 117%
	Bromofluorobenzene	QR	74 - 121%

Reference:

Method 5030, Purge and Trap; Method 8020, Aromatic Volatile Organics;

Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Wastes, SW-846, United States

Environmental Protection Agency, Final Update I, July, 1992.

Method 3550 - Sonication Extraction; Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, SW-846, United States Environmental Protection Agency, September, 1986; Method 418.1 - Petroleum Hydrocarbons, Total Recoverable; Chemical Analysis of

Water and Waste, United States Environmental Protection Agency, 1978.

Comments:

Review



Organic Analysis - Pit Closure

Williams Field Services

Project ID:

OCD Pits

Report Date:

12/18/96

Sample ID:

SJ 29-5 #37A V-LF-02

Date Sampled:

12/16/96

Lab ID:

5975 Soil Date Received:

12/16/96 12/17/96

Sample Matrix: Preservative:

Cool

Date Extracted:
Date Analyzed:

12/17/96

Condition:

Intact

Target Analyte		Concentration (mg/kg)	Detection Limit (mg/kg)
Total Aromatic Hydrocarbons		17.9	
Benzene		ND	0.81
Toluene		0.94	0.81
Ethylbenzene		ND	0.81
m,p-Xylenes		13.2	1.62
o-Xylene		3.73	0.81
Total Recoverable Petroleum Hydrocar	bons	55.5	26.1

Quality	Control	l:
---------	---------	----

Surrogate	Percent Recovery	Acceptance Limits
Trifluorotoluene	102	81 - 117%
Bromofluorobenzene	105	74 - 121%

Reference:

Method 5030, Purge and Trap; Method 8020, Aromatic Volatile Organics;

Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Wastes, SW-846, United States

Environmental Protection Agency, Final Update I, July, 1992.

Method 3550 - Sonication Extraction; Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, SW-846, United States Environmental Protection Agency, September, 1986; Method 418.1 - Petroleum Hydrocarbons, Total Recoverable; Chemical Analysis of

Water and Waste, United States Environmental Protection Agency, 1978.

Comments:

Review