## P.O. Box 1469 Farmington, New Mexico

January 12, 1967

37 Juli 1 33 3 3

Re: D.J. Simmons, et al - P.C. No.3 NH - Sec. 23 - T 29N - R 9W Blanco - P.C. Pool San Juan Co., New Mexico

State of New Mexico
Cil Conservation Commission
F. O. Box 2088
Santa Fe, New Mexico

Attention: Mr. A. L. Porter, Jr. Secret ry-Director

Dear Mr. Porter:

I would like to request permission to produce in exception to Rule 107 (d), without siphon tubing, our D.J. Simmons, et al P.C. No.3 Fictured Cliffs gas well. No waste will be incurred by so producing as the well makes only dry gas with no liquids at all. This is also true for nearby wells producing from this same zone (Fictured Cliffs Sand).

The D.J. Simmons, et al P.C. No.3 well has 3½n Reg. tubing (production string) set through the Pictured Cliffs sand to a depth of 2546' below ground level, in a 7 7/8" size hele. The well was cemented with 300 sacks of 50-50 Pos cement. Estimated fill-up should be ground 6.242 linear feet per sack which would bring the cement up approximately 1873 feet from bottom. This well was drilled to a total depth of 2558' and the top of the Pictured Cliffs sand escured at 2377'. The Pictured Cliffs sand was perforated from 2387 to 2437. After frac the well tested 4,173 MCF/day AOF with a shut in pressure of 892 p.s.i.g.

The reason for requesting to produce this well without sighen string is primarily to prevent the possible fire hazard present while running tubing under pressure or with the well blowing. On all of our other Pictured Cliffs wells drilled, we ran  $4\frac{1}{2}$  0.D. casing and ran  $1\frac{1}{2}$  tubing previous to the frac; however on this particular well the small I.D. of the casing was such, that with  $1\frac{1}{2}$  tubing in the hole, our rate would be greatly reduced during frac treatment and because of the small annular clearance between tubing and casing no nylon balls could be dropped to break down the various porcesity sections during the frac.

Yours truly.

ashton B. Jeren

Supt. for D.J. Simmons