

UNITED STATES  
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

SUBMIT IN TRIPLICATE\*  
(Other instructions on re-  
verse side)

Form approved.  
Budget Bureau No. 1004-0135  
Expires August 31, 1985

SUNDRY NOTICES AND REPORTS ON WELLS

(Do not use this form for proposals to drill or to deepen or plug back to a different reservoir.  
Use "APPLICATION FOR PERMIT—" for such proposals.)

1. OIL WELL ☒ GAS WELL ☐ OTHER ☐ 89 FEB -2 AM 11:11

2. NAME OF OPERATOR  
CHUSKA ENERGY COMPANY FARMINGTON RESOURCE AREA  
FARMINGTON, NEW MEXICO

3. ADDRESS OF OPERATOR  
315 N. BEHREND, FARMINGTON, N.M. 87401

4. LOCATION OF WELL (Report location clearly and in accordance with any State requirements.\*  
See also space 17 below.)  
At surface  
900' FNL & 1650' FWL

14. PERMIT NO. 15. ELEVATIONS (Show whether DP, RT, GR, etc.)  
5378

5. LEASE DESIGNATION AND SERIAL NO.  
NOG-8702-1116

6. IF INDIAN, ALLOTTEE OR TRIBE NAME  
NAVAJO

7. UNIT AGREEMENT NAME

8. FARM OR LEASE NAME  
RATTLESNAKE 154-29N19W

9. WELL NO.  
154

10. FIELD AND POOL, OR WILDCAT  
RATTLESNAKE DAKOTA

11. SEC., T., R., M., OR S.E. AND  
SURVEY OR AREA

12-T 29N-R 19W  
12. COUNTY OR PARISH 13. STATE  
SAN JUAN N.M.

16. Check Appropriate Box To Indicate Nature of Notice, Report, or Other Data

NOTICE OF INTENTION TO:

TEST WATER SHUT-OFF  
FRACTURE TREAT  
SHOOT OR ACIDIZE  
REPAIR WELL

☐  
☐  
☐  
☐

PULL OR ALTER CASING  
MULTIPLE COMPLETE  
ABANDON\*  
CHANGE PLANE

☐  
☐  
☐  
☐  
☒

SUBSEQUENT REPORT OF:

WATER SHUT-OFF  
FRACTURE TREATMENT  
SHOOTING OR ACIDIZING

☐  
☐  
☐

REPAIRING WELL  
ALTERING CASING  
ABANDONMENT\*

☐  
☐  
☐

(Other) RE-ENTER

(Other)

(NOTE: Report results of multiple completion on Well  
Completion or Recompletion Report and Log form.)

17. DESCRIBE PROPOSED OR COMPLETED OPERATIONS (Clearly state all pertinent details, and give pertinent dates, including estimated date of starting any proposed work. If well is directionally drilled, give subsurface locations and measured and true vertical depths for all markers and zones pertinent to this work.)

This well was originally known as Rattlesnake 154, operated by Eastern Petroleum on Lease NO. 1-89-IND-56

The well will be re-entered to flow test Dakota sand by swabbing into a steel test tank.

Depending on results of test, Dakota perforations 840' - 814' may be squeezed and acidized. Exact details of this possible operation will depend on test results.

A general operational procedure is attached.

RECEIVED

MAR 02 1989

OIL CON. DIV.  
DIST. 3

18. I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct

SIGNED

*John Colandrea*

TITLE

*Asst. M. Gr.*

DATE

*2-1-89*

(This space for Federal or State office use)

APPROVED BY

CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL, IF ANY:

TITLE

DATE

*APPROVED*

\*See Instructions on Reverse Side

Title 18 U.S.C. Section 1001, makes it a crime for any person knowingly and willfully to make to any department or agency of the United States any false, fictitious or fraudulent statements or representations as to any matter within its jurisdiction.

Workover and Testing Prognosis  
Rattlesnake Dakota Field  
San Juan Co., New Mexico

Nine (9) wells in the Rattlesnake Dakota Field are to be re-entered and tested by Chuska Energy Company. These wells are all at least 26 years old and a lot of the well information is either incomplete or missing. We have inspected the area and found at least six (6) of the wells are capable of flowing oil at this time. Because of the nature of the wells and the lack of information we propose to submit a general re-entry procedure and AFE. Specific procedures will be impossible to write until we determine exact well bore configurations and producing capabilities. Following is an outline of the re-entry procedure:

1. Conduct archaeological clearance of well sites and access roads of those wells slated for re-entry.
2. Clear land damages to obtain access to wells.
3. Submit sundry notices and obtain water permits.
4. Move in test tanks and test each of the following wells that have been determined to be capable of flowing:

Rattlesnake 3-1-29N19W No. 1 (Old Well No. Unknown)	1765' FSL & 307' FWL 1- Twn.29N-Rng. 19W
Rattlesnake 3-2-29N19W No. 1 (Old Well No. Unknown)	2309' FNL & 2192' FWL 2- Twn.29N-Rng. 19W
Rattlesnake 3-2-29N19W No. 3 (Old Well No. 207)	2450' FSL & 725' FEL 2- Twn.29N-Rng. 19W
Rattlesnake 3-2-29N19W No. 4 (Old Well No. 205)	2450' FSL & 330' FEL 2- Twn.29N-Rng.19W
Rattlesnake 3-12-29N19W No. 2 (Old Well No. 148)	940' FSL & 1653' FWL 12- Twn.29N-Rng.19W
Rattlesnake 3-12-29N19W No. 5 (Old Well No. 149)	2008' FSL & 2348' FEL 12- Twn.29N-19W

Each well will be flowed at a low rate to prevent water from coning. A separate test tank will be used at each site to obtain accurate test data. Further work procedures on these wells will depend on test results.

5. The remaining three wells have fluid at or near the surface, but will not flow. The general procedure for these wells will be to run a slick line survey to determine well depth. A casing scraper and bit will be run to bottom to clean up the

casing and clear any formation debris in the hole. If the well contains 5 1/2" or greater casing, consideration will be given to running a GST (Carbon-Oxygen) log to determine the oil-water contact and passed up oil zones. Based on the log results, the well may be swabbed to test production. If it is determined that an oil-water contact exists in such manner that may cause water to be produced, a liner may be cemented in the hole. The liner would consist of either 2 3/8" or 2 7/8" tubing (depending on casing size). The Dakota would then be perforated in the oil zone and cleaned up with acid. A production test would then follow. The wells that will be tested in the above manner follow:

Rattlesnake 3-12-29N19W No.1 (Old Well No. 154)	900' FNL & 1650' FWL 12- Twn.29N-Rng.19W
Rattlesnake 3-2-29N19W No. 2 (Old Well No. 210)	2100' FSL & 500' FEL 2- Twn.29N-19W.
Rattlesnake 3-12-29N19W No.4 (Old Well No. 152)	2275' FNL & 2440' FWL 12- Twn.29N-Rng.19W

6. If the wells that are to be tested by flowing cease to flow, a procedure similar to that in step 5 may be initiated in them.

---

APPROVAL

Recommended:

Production Manager John Aleph Date: 4/8/89

Operator Approval:

V.P. Production M.C. White Date: 4/15/89

President \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Chairman of Board \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Non-Operator Approval:

Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Company: \_\_\_\_\_