New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission

1000 RIO BRAZOS ROAD AZTEC, NEW MEXICO

December 29, 1959

Blackwood & Nichols P.O. Box 1237 Durango, Colorado

Gentlemen:

The Packer Leakage Test which was submitted on December 18, 1959 for your #55-22 NE Blanco Unit well in the SM Section 22-31N-7W indicates that there was a 246# pressure drop on the Dakota shut-in during the week of the Mesaverde flow. In your "Remarks" column you have stated that the pressure loss on the Dakota shut-in was due to water build-up. This fact is not substantiated.

Will you please re-run the second half of this test and if necessary use a bottom hole pressure bomb so that the Dakota bottom hole pressure may be accurately determined in order to tell if communication exists.

Yours very truly

Emery C. Arnold
Supervisor, District #3

ECA : ke

oce Mr. Elvie Utz OCC, Santa Fe, N.M.

> Mr. L. W. MaGlothlin Ardmore, Oklahoma

New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission

OCO RIO BRAZOS ROAD

AZTED, NEW MEXICO

TROOMS 25 LYSS

ilroppost (Meheur 140. sen 123 Antango, Colorae

Sec. 28.50 3

The lucker Letinge Lest which was submitted on Lecember Let 1819 for your Fifther? We have built well in the SAG Section 22-32-32-34 in cottes that there was a 2464 pressure drop on the Dakota shut-in surming the wesk of the Mesaverde flow. In your "Demarke" column you have stated that the pressure lose on the Dakota shut-in was not to water hulld-up. This fact is not oubstantiated.

eff you pressere must the second helf of this test and if recession was a potton hule pressure bomb as that the Dakot botton hold pressure had be contined in order to tail of a courtely deformined in order to tail of

Yours very trally

Brery C. 1922 Styreleory District of

4 C.S.

got from Albin Uta O''', andthe do holls