Form C-141 Revised August 8, 2011

Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505 Submit 1 Copy to appropriate District Office in accordance with 19.15.29 NMAC.

1220 S. St. Fran	ncis Dr., Santa	a Fe, NM 87505		Sa	inta Fe	, NM 875	05						
			Rel	ease Notific		,		ctior	า				
					auton					1 Domont		Einel Demont	
Name of Co	mnonu: C								Final Report				
Name of Co Address: 36			Contact: Joseph McLaughlin Telephone No. 806-567-2790										
Facility Na			Facility Type: Flow Line										
T actify Wal	inc. Datur												
Surface Owner: FED LSE Mineral Owner:							: Federal API No. 30-025-42896						
				LOCA Feet from the		NOF RE	LEASE						
Unit Letter A	Section 27	/South Line Feet from the 245 FEL East/West Line County LEA											
	•		32E	283 FNL Latitude <u>32.020</u>	0117	Longitude	•						
						OF REL							
Type of Rele	ase: PROF	DUCE WATE	R & OII		UNL	Volume of Release: 61 Volume Recovered: 55							
Source of Re						Date and Hour of Discovery							
			1/4/2018 0800			SAME							
Was Immediate Notice Given?							If YES, To Whom?						
		equired	d Olivia Yu, & Shelly Tucker										
By Whom? J		Date and Hour: 1/8/2018 1030 phone call											
Was a Water	course Read		If YES, Volume Impacting the Watercourse.										
			-										
If a Watercou	urse was Im	pacted, Descr	ibe Fully.	*			EIVED						
N/A									20.00	lon 00	204	10	
IN/A						БуО	livia Yu at	4	29 am,	Jan Uo	, 201	•	
		em and Reme											
				7 Federal COM 21									
& BLM, and			covered.	Immediate action	was to is	olate the flow	v line stopping th	e releas	e. Spill site	will be rem	ediated	per COPC	
		and Cleanup A	Action Tal	ken *									
				e is true and comp	lete to th	e best of my	knowledge and u	indersta	nd that purs	uant to NM	OCD r	ules and	
regulations a	ll operators	are required to	o report a	nd/or file certain r	elease no	otifications a	nd perform correct	ctive act	tions for rele	eases which	may e	ndanger	
				ce of a C-141 repo									
				v investigate and r ptance of a C-141									
		ws and/or regu			report ut		e the operator of	respons	sionity for ex	sinpliance v	vitil all	y other	
	/		OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION										
Signature: J	oseph N												
Printed Name: Joseph McLaughlin						Approved by Environmental Specialist:							
Title: HSE						Approval Date: 1/8/2018 Expiration Date:							
	_												
E-mail Addre	ess: Joe.P.	om 🛛 🤇	Conditions of Approval:										
			Attached										
			see attached directive										
Date: 1/8/202	18		Pho	ne:806-567-2790									
* Attach Addi		ets If Necess								•			
			-		[1RP-491	6	4000	044703				
					l		∣nOY	1800	841704				

pOY1800841951

Operator/Responsible Party,

The OCD has received the form C-141 you provided on _1/8/2018_ regarding an unauthorized release. The information contained on that form has been entered into our incident database and remediation case number _1RP-4916_ has been assigned. Please refer to this case number in all future correspondence.

It is the Division's obligation under both the Oil & Gas Act and Water Quality Act to provide for the protection of public health and the environment. Our regulations (19.15.29.11 NMAC) state the following,

The responsible person shall complete <u>division-approved corrective action</u> for releases that endanger public health or the environment. The responsible person shall address releases in accordance with a remediation plan submitted to and approved by the division or with an abatement plan submitted in accordance with 19.15.30 NMAC. [emphasis added]

Release characterization is the first phase of corrective action unless the release is ongoing or is of limited volume and all impacts can be immediately addressed. Proper and cost-effective remediation typically cannot occur without adequate characterization of the impacts of any release. Furthermore, the Division has the ability to impose reasonable conditions upon the efforts it oversees. As such, the Division is requiring a workplan for the characterization of impacts associated with this release be submitted to the OCD District _1_ office in __Hobbs____ on or before _2/8/2018_. If and when the release characterization workplan is approved, there will be an associated deadline for submittal of the resultant investigation report. Modest extensions of time to these deadlines may be granted, but only with acceptable justification.

The goals of a characterization effort are: 1) determination of the lateral and vertical extents along with the magnitude of soil contamination. 2) determine if groundwater or surface waters have been impacted. 3) If groundwater or surface waters have been impacted, what are the extents and magnitude of that impact. 4) The characterization of any other adverse impacts that may have occurred (examples: impacts on vegetation, impacts on wildlife, air quality, loss of use of property, etc.). To meet these goals as quickly as possible, the following items must, at a minimum, be addressed in the release characterization workplan and subsequent reporting:

• Horizontal delineation of soil impacts in each of the four cardinal compass directions. Adsorbed soil contamination must be characterized for the following constituents using the associated laboratory methods: benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes by either Method 8260 or 8021, total petroleum hydrocarbons by Method 8015 extended range (GRO+DRO+MRO; C₆ thru C₃₆), and for chloride by Method 300. This is not an exclusive list of potential contaminants. Analyzed parameters should be modified based on the nature of the released substance(s). Soil sampling must be both within the impacted area and beyond.

• Vertical delineation of soil impacts. Adsorbed soil contamination must be characterized for the following constituents using the associated laboratory methods: benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes by either Method 8260 or 8021, total petroleum hydrocarbons by Method 8015 extended range (GRO+DRO+MRO; C₆ thru C₃₆), and for chloride by Method 300. As above, this is not an exclusive list of potential contaminants and can be modified. Vertical characterization samples should be taken at depth intervals no greater than five feet apart. Lithologic description of encountered soils must also be provided. At least ten vertical feet of soils with contaminant concentrations at or below these values must be demonstrated as existing above the water table.

• Nominal detection limits for field and laboratory analyses must be provided.

• Composite sampling is not generally allowed.

• Field screening and assessment techniques are acceptable (headspace, titration, EC [include algorithm for validation purposes], EM, etc.), but the sampling and assay procedures must be clearly defined. Copies of field notes are highly desirable. A statistically significant set of split samples must be submitted for confirmatory laboratory analysis, including the laterally farthest and vertically deepest sets of soil samples. Make sure there are at least two soil samples submitted

for laboratory analysis from each borehole or test pit (highest observed contamination and deepest depth investigated). Copies of the actual laboratory results must be provided including chain of custody documentation.

•Probable depth to shallowest protectable groundwater and lateral distance to nearest surface water. If there is an estimate of groundwater depth, the information used to arrive at that estimate must be provided. If there is a reasonable assumption that the depth to protectable water is 50 feet or less, the responsible party should anticipate the need for at least one groundwater monitoring well to be installed in the area of likely maximum contamination.

• If groundwater contamination is encountered, an additional investigation workplan may be required to determine the extents of that contamination. Groundwater and/or surface water samples, if any, must be analyzed by a competent laboratory for volatile organic hydrocarbons (typically Method 8260 full list), total dissolved solids, pH, major anions and cations including chloride and sulfate, dissolved iron, and dissolved manganese. The investigation workplan must provide the groundwater sampling method(s) and sample handling protocols. To the fullest extent possible, aqueous analyses must be undertaken using nominal method detection limits. As with the soil analyses, copies of the actual laboratory results must be provided including chain of custody documentation.

• Accurately scaled and well-drafted site maps must be provided providing the location of borings, test pits, monitoring wells, potentially impacted areas, and significant surface features including roads and site infrastructure that might limit either the release characterization or remedial efforts. Field sketches may be included in subsequent reporting, but should not be considered stand-alone documentation of the site's layout. Digital photographic documentation of the location and fieldwork is recommended, especially if unusual circumstances are encountered.

Nothing herein should be interpreted to preclude emergency response actions or to imply immediate remediation by removal cannot proceed as warranted. Nonetheless, characterization of impacts and confirmation of the effectiveness of remedial efforts must still be provided to the OCD before any release incident will be closed.

Jim Griswold OCD Environmental Bureau Chief 1220 South St. Francis Drive Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505 505-476-3465 jim.griswold@state.nm.us