Form C-141 Revised April 3, 2017

**Oil Conservation Division** 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Submit 1 Copy to appropriate District Office in accordance with 19.15.29 NMAC.

1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505 Santa	Fe, NM 87505
Release Notification	on and Corrective Action
	<b>OPERATOR</b> X Initial Report Final Report
Name of Company XTO Energy	Contact Scott Kaufman
Address 500 W. Illinois Suite 100 Midland TX 79701 Facility Name Christmas C #9	Telephone No. 432-234-3054
	Facility Type Between Location & Battery
Surface Owner Private Mineral Owner	r Private API No. 3002525499
LOCATION OF RELEASE	
Unit LetterSectionTownshipRangeFeet from theNorE1822S37EFeet from theNor	th/South Line Feet from the East/West Line County Lea
Latitude 32.392778	Longitude -103.207778 NAD83
	E OF RELEASE
Type of Release Produced Oil and Water	Volume of Release 0.19 bbls oil, 12.29 bbls waterVolume Recovered 0.14 bbls oil, 8.87 bbls water
Source of Release Flowline	Date and Hour of Occurrence Date and Hour of Discovery 2/9/2018
Was Immediate Notice Given?	2/9/2018 Time Unknown Time 1:30pm MT
X Yes No Not Required	If YES, To Whom? Land owner verbal & E-mail Olivia Yu, NMOCD
By Whom? Scott Kaufman	Date and Hour 2/9/2018 @ 7:00 pm MT
Was a Watercourse Reached?	If YES, Volume Impacting the Watercourse.
If a Watercourse was Impacted, Describe Fully.*	RECEIVED
N/A	
	By Olivia Yu at 7:35 am, Feb 27, 2018
Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.*	
Lease Operator found production low on Christmas C #9, and walked of flowline.	ut flowline to discover rupture and a split due to possible weak spot in older
Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.*	
1,324 ft <sup>2</sup> was affected and picked up by Vac truck. Once RP# is given final clean up measures will be taken by XTO Energy to complete remediation.	
regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remedi	the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to NMOCD rules and notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger the NMOCD marked as "Final Report" does not relieve the operator of liability ate contamination that pose a threat to ground water, surface water, human health does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other
<+///	OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
Signature Cut Actor	<del>7</del> M
Printed Name: Scott Kaufman	Approved by Environmental Specialist:
Title: Oil Center Production Foreman	Approval Date: 2/27/2018 Expiration Date:
E-mail Address: scott_kaufman@xtoenergy.com	Conditions of Approval: Attached
Date: 2/21/2018 Phone:432-234-3054 Attach Additional Sheets If Necessary	see attached directive
A MARKA A REALISTICUS II INCLESSII Y	1RP-4978 nOY1805827904 pOY1805828402

Operator/Responsible Party,

The OCD has received the form C-141 you provided on \_2/21/2018\_ regarding an unauthorized release. The information contained on that form has been entered into our incident database and remediation case number \_1RP-4978\_ has been assigned. Please refer to this case number in all future correspondence.

It is the Division's obligation under both the Oil & Gas Act and Water Quality Act to provide for the protection of public health and the environment. Our regulations (19.15.29.11 NMAC) state the following,

The responsible person shall complete <u>division-approved corrective action</u> for releases that endanger public health or the environment. The responsible person shall address releases in accordance with a remediation plan submitted to and approved by the division or with an abatement plan submitted in accordance with 19.15.30 NMAC. [emphasis added]

Release characterization is the first phase of corrective action unless the release is ongoing or is of limited volume and all impacts can be immediately addressed. Proper and cost-effective remediation typically cannot occur without adequate characterization of the impacts of any release. Furthermore, the Division has the ability to impose reasonable conditions upon the efforts it oversees. As such, the Division is requiring a workplan for the characterization of impacts associated with this release be submitted to the OCD District \_1\_ office in \_\_Hobbs\_\_\_\_ on or before \_3/27/2018\_. If and when the release characterization workplan is approved, there will be an associated deadline for submittal of the resultant investigation report. Modest extensions of time to these deadlines may be granted, but only with acceptable justification.

The goals of a characterization effort are: 1) determination of the lateral and vertical extents along with the magnitude of soil contamination. 2) determine if groundwater or surface waters have been impacted. 3) If groundwater or surface waters have been impacted, what are the extents and magnitude of that impact. 4) The characterization of any other adverse impacts that may have occurred (examples: impacts on vegetation, impacts on wildlife, air quality, loss of use of property, etc.). To meet these goals as quickly as possible, the following items must, at a minimum, be addressed in the release characterization workplan and subsequent reporting:

• Horizontal delineation of soil impacts in each of the four cardinal compass directions. Adsorbed soil contamination must be characterized for the following constituents using the associated laboratory methods: benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes by either Method 8260 or 8021, total petroleum hydrocarbons by Method 8015 extended range (GRO+DRO+MRO; C<sub>6</sub> thru C<sub>36</sub>), and for chloride by Method 300. This is not an exclusive list of potential contaminants. Analyzed parameters should be modified based on the nature of the released substance(s). Soil sampling must be both within the impacted area and beyond.

• Vertical delineation of soil impacts. Adsorbed soil contamination must be characterized for the following constituents using the associated laboratory methods: benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes by either Method 8260 or 8021, total petroleum hydrocarbons by Method 8015 extended range (GRO+DRO+MRO;  $C_6$  thru  $C_{36}$ ), and for chloride by Method 300. As above, this is not an exclusive list of potential contaminants and can be modified. Vertical characterization samples should be taken at depth intervals no greater than five feet apart. Lithologic description of encountered soils must also be provided. At least ten vertical feet of soils with contaminant concentrations at or below these values must be demonstrated as existing above the water table.

• Nominal detection limits for field and laboratory analyses must be provided.

• Composite sampling is not generally allowed.

• Field screening and assessment techniques are acceptable (headspace, titration, EC [include algorithm for validation purposes], EM, etc.), but the sampling and assay procedures must be clearly defined. Copies of field notes are highly desirable. A statistically significant set of split samples must be submitted for confirmatory laboratory analysis, including the laterally farthest and vertically deepest sets of soil samples. Make sure there are at least two soil samples submitted

for laboratory analysis from each borehole or test pit (highest observed contamination and deepest depth investigated). Copies of the actual laboratory results must be provided including chain of custody documentation.

•Probable depth to shallowest protectable groundwater and lateral distance to nearest surface water. If there is an estimate of groundwater depth, the information used to arrive at that estimate must be provided. If there is a reasonable assumption that the depth to protectable water is 50 feet or less, the responsible party should anticipate the need for at least one groundwater monitoring well to be installed in the area of likely maximum contamination.

• If groundwater contamination is encountered, an additional investigation workplan may be required to determine the extents of that contamination. Groundwater and/or surface water samples, if any, must be analyzed by a competent laboratory for volatile organic hydrocarbons (typically Method 8260 full list), total dissolved solids, pH, major anions and cations including chloride and sulfate, dissolved iron, and dissolved manganese. The investigation workplan must provide the groundwater sampling method(s) and sample handling protocols. To the fullest extent possible, aqueous analyses must be undertaken using nominal method detection limits. As with the soil analyses, copies of the actual laboratory results must be provided including chain of custody documentation.

• Accurately scaled and well-drafted site maps must be provided providing the location of borings, test pits, monitoring wells, potentially impacted areas, and significant surface features including roads and site infrastructure that might limit either the release characterization or remedial efforts. Field sketches may be included in subsequent reporting, but should not be considered stand-alone documentation of the site's layout. Digital photographic documentation of the location and fieldwork is recommended, especially if unusual circumstances are encountered.

Nothing herein should be interpreted to preclude emergency response actions or to imply immediate remediation by removal cannot proceed as warranted. Nonetheless, characterization of impacts and confirmation of the effectiveness of remedial efforts must still be provided to the OCD before any release incident will be closed.

Jim Griswold OCD Environmental Bureau Chief 1220 South St. Francis Drive Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505 505-476-3465 jim.griswold@state.nm.us