<u>District I</u> 1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240 District II 811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210 District III 1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410 District IV 1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

## State of New Mexico **Energy Minerals and Natural Resources**

Revised April 3, 2017

Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

Submit 1 Copy to appropriate District Office in accordance with 19.15.29 NMAC.

Form C-141

Release Notification and Corrective Action						
	OPERATOR     Initial Report   Final Report					
Name of Company Chestnut Petroleum Inc	Contact Patrick W. Merritt					
Address PO Box 3929, Longview, Texas 75606  Facility Name D&E Federal No. 01	Telephone No. 713-299-7558 Facility Type Oil Well Tank Battery					
Surface Owner Fee Mineral Owner	Federal API No. 30-025-02448					
LOCATION OF RELEASE						
Unit Letter   Section   Township   Range   Feet from the   North	th/South Line	South Line Feet from the East		Line County		
O 22 20S 34E 660 Sout	n 1980 West Lea					
Latitude_32.55295 Longitude103.54702 NAD83						
NATURE OF RELEASE						
Type of Release Load Line Leak		Volume of Release 140 Bbls Volume Recovered 0				
Source of Release Load Line Leak	Winter 201	7 - 2018	Unknown time of Discovery			
Was Immediate Notice Given? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not Require	d If YES, To	Whom?				
By Whom?	Date and H	Date and Hour				
Was a Watercourse Reached?		lume Impacting the	the Watercourse.			
☐ Yes ⊠ No						
If a Watercourse was Impacted, Describe Fully.*						
RECEIVED						
By Olivia Yu at 9:57 am, May 03, 2018						
Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.*						
The leak was caused by a cracked valve on the load line to one of the tanks. Oil and water both were released into the firewall around the tanks. There was no remedial action taken. This company has declared bankruptcy and does not have funds to remediate. The gauger on the wells does not have a						
record of when the spill occurred but we believe it to have been during the winter of 2017-2018.						
Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.*						
The area affected is contained inside the firewall for the tank battery. Most of the tank area is affected.						
The men allowed is committee in the same and the same control of the same and is allowed.						
I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to NMOCD rules and						
regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger						
public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the NMOCD marked as "Final Report" does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to ground water, surface water, human health						
or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other						
federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations.						
Signature: Patril W. Ment		OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION				
Signature: Patrick W. Must						
Printed Name: Patrick W. Merritt	Approved by	Approved by Environmental Specialist:				
	Ammerial D	5/2/2018	D	otion Dot-		
Title: Assistant to Trustee	Approval Dat		Expira	ation Date:	1	
E-mail Address: Pmerritt@traton.org	Conditions of			Attached	ı 🗹	
Date: 5-2-2018 Phone: 713-299-7558	see attac	hed directiv	e			
* Attach Additional Sheets If Necessary				l .		

1RP-5040

nOY1812336028

pOY1812336382

## Operator/Responsible Party,

The OCD has received the form C-141 you provided on \_5/2/2018\_ regarding an unauthorized release. The information contained on that form has been entered into our incident database and remediation case number \_1RP-5040\_\_ has been assigned. Please refer to this case number in all future correspondence.

It is the Division's obligation under both the Oil & Gas Act and Water Quality Act to provide for the protection of public health and the environment. Our regulations (19.15.29.11 NMAC) state the following,

The responsible person shall complete <u>division-approved corrective action</u> for releases that endanger public health or the environment. The responsible person shall address releases in accordance with a remediation plan submitted to and approved by the division or with an abatement plan submitted in accordance with 19.15.30 NMAC. [emphasis added]

Release characterization is the first phase of corrective action unless the release is ongoing or is of limited volume and all impacts can be immediately addressed. Proper and cost-effective remediation typically cannot occur without adequate characterization of the impacts of any release. Furthermore, the Division has the ability to impose reasonable conditions upon the efforts it oversees. As such, the Division is requiring a workplan for the characterization of impacts associated with this release be submitted to the OCD District \_1\_ office in \_\_Hobbs\_\_\_\_ on or before \_6/3/2018\_. If and when the release characterization workplan is approved, there will be an associated deadline for submittal of the resultant investigation report. Modest extensions of time to these deadlines may be granted, but only with acceptable justification.

The goals of a characterization effort are: 1) determination of the lateral and vertical extents along with the magnitude of soil contamination. 2) determine if groundwater or surface waters have been impacted. 3) If groundwater or surface waters have been impacted, what are the extents and magnitude of that impact. 4) The characterization of any other adverse impacts that may have occurred (examples: impacts on vegetation, impacts on wildlife, air quality, loss of use of property, etc.). To meet these goals as quickly as possible, the following items must, at a minimum, be addressed in the release characterization workplan and subsequent reporting:

- Horizontal delineation of soil impacts in each of the four cardinal compass directions. Adsorbed soil contamination must be characterized for the following constituents using the associated laboratory methods: benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes by either Method 8260 or 8021, total petroleum hydrocarbons by Method 8015 extended range (GRO+DRO+MRO; C<sub>6</sub> thru C<sub>36</sub>), and for chloride by Method 300. This is not an exclusive list of potential contaminants. Analyzed parameters should be modified based on the nature of the released substance(s). Soil sampling must be both within the impacted area and beyond.
- Vertical delineation of soil impacts. Adsorbed soil contamination must be characterized for the following constituents using the associated laboratory methods: benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes by either Method 8260 or 8021, total petroleum hydrocarbons by Method 8015 extended range (GRO+DRO+MRO; C<sub>6</sub> thru C<sub>36</sub>), and for chloride by Method 300. As above, this is not an exclusive list of potential contaminants and can be modified. Vertical characterization samples should be taken at depth intervals no greater than five feet apart. Lithologic description of encountered soils must also be provided. At least ten vertical feet of soils with contaminant concentrations at or below these values must be demonstrated as existing above the water table.
- Nominal detection limits for field and laboratory analyses must be provided.
- Composite sampling is not generally allowed.
- Field screening and assessment techniques are acceptable (headspace, titration, EC [include algorithm for validation purposes], EM, etc.), but the sampling and assay procedures must be clearly defined. Copies of field notes are highly desirable. A statistically significant set of split samples must be submitted for confirmatory laboratory analysis, including the laterally farthest and vertically deepest sets of soil samples. Make sure there are at least two soil samples submitted

for laboratory analysis from each borehole or test pit (highest observed contamination and deepest depth investigated). Copies of the actual laboratory results must be provided including chain of custody documentation.

- •Probable depth to shallowest protectable groundwater and lateral distance to nearest surface water. If there is an estimate of groundwater depth, the information used to arrive at that estimate must be provided. If there is a reasonable assumption that the depth to protectable water is 50 feet or less, the responsible party should anticipate the need for at least one groundwater monitoring well to be installed in the area of likely maximum contamination.
- If groundwater contamination is encountered, an additional investigation workplan may be required to determine the extents of that contamination. Groundwater and/or surface water samples, if any, must be analyzed by a competent laboratory for volatile organic hydrocarbons (typically Method 8260 full list), total dissolved solids, pH, major anions and cations including chloride and sulfate, dissolved iron, and dissolved manganese. The investigation workplan must provide the groundwater sampling method(s) and sample handling protocols. To the fullest extent possible, aqueous analyses must be undertaken using nominal method detection limits. As with the soil analyses, copies of the actual laboratory results must be provided including chain of custody documentation.
- Accurately scaled and well-drafted site maps must be provided providing the location of borings, test pits, monitoring wells, potentially impacted areas, and significant surface features including roads and site infrastructure that might limit either the release characterization or remedial efforts. Field sketches may be included in subsequent reporting, but should not be considered stand-alone documentation of the site's layout. Digital photographic documentation of the location and fieldwork is recommended, especially if unusual circumstances are encountered.

Nothing herein should be interpreted to preclude emergency response actions or to imply immediate remediation by removal cannot proceed as warranted. Nonetheless, characterization of impacts and confirmation of the effectiveness of remedial efforts must still be provided to the OCD before any release incident will be closed.

## Jim Griswold

OCD Environmental Bureau Chief 1220 South St. Francis Drive Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505 505-476-3465 jim.griswold@state.nm.us