State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources

> Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe. NM 87505


Submit 1 Copy to appropriate District Office in accordance with 19.15.29 NMAC.

1220 S. St. Fran	cis Dr., Sant	a Fe, NM 8750	5	Sa	nta F	e, NM 875	05					
Release Notification and Corrective Action												
						<b>OPERA</b>	ſOR	Г	☐ Initia	l Report	$\boxtimes$	Final Report
	Name of Company: CHEVRON U.S.A. Inc.						Contact: Edem Sededji					
Address: 56 Texas Camp Road, Lovington, NM 88260							No.: Office: (575				34-44	37
Facility Nan	ne: West V	Vacuum Uni	t #68			Facility Typ	e: Produced Wa	ater Tran	sfer Line			
Surface Own	ner: State	Leasee – Fre	ed Pearce	Mineral O	wner:	State of Nev	v Mexico		API No.	: Lease N	o. 157	6
				LOCA	TIO	N OF REI	LEASE					
Unit Letter	Section	Township	Range	Feet from the	North	South Line	Feet from the	East/We	est Line	County		
0	34	175	34E	100	South	l	2450	East		Lea		
		La	t <b>itude</b> <u>N</u> .	32 deg 47.230 r	nin	Longitude	W 103 deg 32.6	59 min				
				-		OF RELI	-					
Type of Relea	ase: Produc	ed water			UNE		Release: 9.44 wate	er	Volume R	ecovered: 9	bbls	
						(bbls)						
Source of Rel	ease: Corro	osion on nippl	e (pinhole	leak)		Date and Hc 03/13/12 07	our of Occurrence		Date and H 03/13/12 (	Hour of Disc 7:00 AM	covery	
Was Immedia	te Notice C			No 🗌 Not Requ	ired	If YES, To	Whom?	1				
Dy Whom? I	onia Dal ar				licu	Geoffrey Leking - voicemail						
By Whom? Josie DeLeon Was a Watercourse Reached?						Date and Hour: 3/13/12 If YES, Volume Impacting the Watercourse.						
□ Yes ⊠ No												
If a Watercou			ibe Fully.'	k		I						
No impact to Describe Cau			dial Actio	n Taken.*								
Corrosion on Describe Area	nipple caus	sed pinhole le	ak on injection Tak	ctor inlet. Shut in y	well for	r repair.						
On discovery feet bgs and s	of the spill ent off for	, contacted ar disposal.	nd recover	ed the standing flu	ids. Vi	sually impacte	d soils in the area	a were exc	cavated to	a depth of a	ipproxi	imately two
				e was collected fro	m the l	base of the exc	avation. These sa	mpling re	esults indic	cated a pres	ence of	f chloride
concentration	s in shallov	w soils at leve	ls of regul	atory concern.								
In response to	the sampli	ing results, an	additiona	l site assessment v	vas con	ducted to con	firm the extent of	soil impa	icts.			
Results of the	additional	assessment a	ctivities ar	e provided in the	attache	d report.						
I hereby certi	fy that the i	information g	iven above	is true and compl	ete to I	the best of my						
				nd/or file certain re ce of a C-141 repo								
				investigate and re								
				otance of a C-141	report o	loes not reliev	e the operator of	responsibi	ility for co	mpliance w	ith any	/ other
federal, state,	or local lav	ws and/or regi	liations.				OIL CON	SFRVA	TION		N	
Signature: C	Lu	he u	<u>Al</u>	el			<u>011</u> 0011			<u></u>	11	
Printed Name	: Luke We	elch				Approved by	Environmental S	pecialist:				
Title: Project	Manager					Approval Dat	e:	E	Expiration Date:			
E-mail Addre	ss: LWelch	@chevron.co	m			Conditions of	Approval:				_	
01	1					Attached						

 Date:
 8/12/14
 Phone: (713) 372-0292

 \* Attach Additional Sheets If Necessary





# 1RP-5206

ARCADIS U.S., Inc. 2929 Briarpark Drive Suite 300 Houston Texas 77042 Tel 713 953 4800 Fax 713 977 4620 www.arcadis-us.com

ENVIRONMENT

Date: July 29, 2014

Contact: Jonathan Olsen

Phone: 713.953.4874

Email: Jonathan.Olsen@ arcadis-us.com

Our ref: B0048605.0000

Mr. Luke Welch Project Manager Chevron Environmental Management Company 1400 Smith Street, Room 07069B Houston, Texas 77002

Subject:

### Site Assessment Report West Vacuum Unit #68 Lea County, New Mexico

Dear Mr. Welch:

On behalf of Chevron Environmental Management Company (CEMC), ARCADIS U.S., Inc. (ARCADIS) prepared this Site Assessment Report (report) to document cleanup actions and soil sampling activities performed in response to a release of approximately 9.44 barrels (bbls) of produced water that occurred at the West Vacuum Unit (WVU) #68 located in Lea County, New Mexico (site; Figure 1).

To evaluate the potential for this release to impact groundwater, a Site Conceptual Model was developed (Attachment 1). Potential impacts to groundwater are not considered possible due to the following:

- The volume of material released was relatively small (9.44 total bbls);
- Response activities included removal of liquids and impacted surface soil;
- Local climatic conditions are not conducive to leaching due to low rainfall and high evapotranspiration;
- The presence of a caliche layer impedes the vertical migration of liquids; and
- Groundwater is encountered at significant depth (108 feet below ground surface).
- Based on geochemical modeling using USEPA Multimedia Exposure Assessment Model (MULTIMED) Version 2.0 (USEPA 1996), a significantly larger release would be necessary to cause an exceedance of regulatory criteria in groundwater.



This report describes spill response activities for a release that occurred on March 13, 2012 and follow-up soil assessment activities that occurred on May 16, 2013.

#### **Background Information**

This section summarizes the site location and description, as well as the regional setting including geology, hydrogeology, nearby drinking water wells, surface water, and climate.

#### Site Location and Description

The site is located within the Chevron-operated Vacuum Unit approximately 15 miles southwest of Lovington, New Mexico. New Mexico Highway 238 is located approximately 2 miles east of the site.

The site is located in the western edge of the Permian Basin, a 75,000-square-mile area in west Texas and New Mexico that is populated by numerous oil and gas production wells. In New Mexico, the Permian Basin is bounded by the Texas state lines to the south and east, by Roosevelt County to the north, and Chavez County to the west. Lovington (the closest town) is approximately 15 miles northeast of the site and the closest agricultural area is10 miles northeast of the site.

The site is located southeast of the WVU #68 wellhead. The majority of the release described below occurred on the well pad and road next to the pad. A photolog of the site is included as Attachment 2.

#### Nearby Water Wells and Surface Water

In May 2013, ARCADIS field verified that no surface-water bodies are located within 1,000 feet of the site. Based on satellite imagery, no surface-water bodies were identified within 0.75 mile of the site (GoogleEarth 2014).

In June 2014, ARCADIS reviewed information obtained from the New Mexico Office of the State Engineer (NMOSE) online database (NMOSE 2011), which indicates that no water-supply wells are located within 1,000 feet of the site. The NMOSE online database identified 273 water-supply wells (71 percent petroleum-industry-related, 13 percent industrial, 7 percent domestic, 6 percent stock supply, and 3 percent other uses wells) within a 5-mile radius of the site (NMOSE 2011). A petroleum-industry-related water supply well, located approximately 1,500 feet north-northeast (i.e., perpendicular to the regional hydraulic gradient) of the site was identified as the closest designated-use well to the site.



#### Climate

Monthly average temperatures near the site vary from a minimum of 27.9 degrees Fahrenheit (°F) in January to a maximum of 93.9°F in July (Western Regional Climate Center (WRCC) Hobs, New Mexico (294026) weather station). Total average precipitation in the area of the site recorded from the available WRCC period of record between 1912 and 2013 was approximately 15.75 inches per year (WRCC 2014a).

Due to the arid climate, the site experiences low precipitation and high evapotranspiration rates. The total average evapotranspiration from the available WRCC period of record between 1914 and 2005 was approximately 87.68 inches per year (WRCC 2014b).

#### **Regional Geology and Hydrogeology**

The site elevation is approximately 4,038 feet above mean sea level. The site is located in the Querecho Plains immediately west of the Mescalero Ridge, which demarcates the western boundary of the (Miocene to Pliocene) High Plains Ogallala Formation (Reeves 1972). A rapid drop in elevation of 200 to 250 feet occurs west of the northwest-trending Mescalero Ridge. The Ogallala Formation east of the ridge is predominantly composed of unconsolidated alluvial fan deposits of sand and gravel near the base, overlain by interbedded sand and clay in the upper portion (Seni 1980). Repeated depositional events on the High Plains surface beginning approximately 7 million years ago, followed by aerial exposure, generated a thick sequence of caliche horizons that are competent enough to act as a cliff former for the expression of Mescalero Ridge. These hard caliche deposits form the upper portion of the stratigraphic sequence. In the site area, the Ogallala Formation is underlain by red beds of the Upper Triassic-age Dockum Group, The nearest area where the Ogallala is underlain by the Cretaceous-age Trinity Group is approximately 45 miles to the west (Fallin 1988).

The Querecho Plain is 80 percent covered by a moderately stable dune field (Reeves 1972) that is deposited on top of Triassic Dockum red beds. The red bed surface, which is 400,000 to 500,000 years old, is relatively flat with minor erosional incisions and a 3- to 13-foot-thick near-surface caliche layer (Bachman 1980). Deposition of sand and the formation of the dune field began 60,000 years ago, with additional development beginning 9,000 years ago (Hall 2002). The surface and interior of these dunes do not contain caliche; however, a 1-foot layer of caliche is common at the bottom of the dunes at the contact with the red bed surface. Groundwater in the area is in the Dockum Group at a depth of approximately 100 feet (Summers 1972).



Compared to the Ogallala Formation to the west of the site, the Dockum Group groundwater is not a major resource in the area, with poor potential water production rates and elevated natural dissolved solids.

Water-supply wells located on the southern High Plains east of Mescalero Ridge in central Lea County and near the site, as discussed in the Nearby Water Wells and Surface Water section of this report, are completed in the High Plains Aquifer (HPA). The HPA consists primarily of the Ogallala Formation, and in localized areas, alluvial sediment of Quaternary age. Near the site, the HPA is present directly above the Triassic-age Dockum Group, which occurs at a depth of approximately 140 feet below ground surface (bgs) (Ash 1963, Fahlquist 2003, Nativ 1988, Nicholson and Clebsch 1961, Tillery 2008). The regional groundwater flow direction is to the east-southeast (Tillery 2008).

Groundwater near the site is encountered at a depth of approximately 108 feet bgs (NMOSE 2014; Attachment 3).

#### **Initial Release Response Activities**

A release of approximately 9.44 bbls of produced water occurred at the site on March 13, 2012 due to corrosion causing a pinhole leak on an injector inlet. Chevron personnel from the Mid-Continent Business Unit (MCBU) stopped the release and recovered approximately 9 bbls of fluids using a vacuum truck. Chevron MCBU personnel excavated visually impacted soil in the area to a depth of approximately 2 feet bgs and collected a four point composite confirmation soil sample from the base of the excavation on April 23, 2012. Information regarding the disposal of the excavated soil was not available to ARCADIS. After collecting the soil sample, the excavated area was reportedly backfilled with imported soil.

Pursuant to New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (NMOCD) requirements (NMOCD 1993), a Notification of Release and Correction (Form C-141) detailing the location, volume of release, and initial and planned cleanup efforts taken was submitted for the site by Josie DeLeon with Chevron MCBU. The original and updated C-141 forms are included as Attachment 4.

#### **Confirmation Soil Sampling**

A four-point composite confirmation soil sample was collected from the base of the excavation on April 23, 2012. In accordance with the laboratory analytical report (Attachment 5), soil sample containers were transported, on ice, under chain of



custody procedures to Cardinal Laboratories Environmental Analytical Services for the following analyses:

- Benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylene (BTEX) by United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method 8021B
- Total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline range organics (TPH-GRO) and total petroleum hydrocarbons as diesel range organics (TPH-DRO) by USEPA Method 8015M
- Chloride by USEPA Method SM4500CI-B

Confirmation soil sample results are presented in Table 1. The complete laboratory analytical results with chain of custody documentation are included in Attachment 5.

#### **Data Evaluation Approach**

To provide context for the concentrations of analytes detected and to evaluate if additional sampling was necessary, Chevron MCBU personnel compared data from the April 2012 composite confirmation soil sample to regulatory criteria. The regulatory criteria selected are based on potential receptors near the site and consist of the following:

 NMOCD risk-based soil remediation action levels (SRALs) for benzene, total BTEX, and total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) for leaks, spills, and releases (NMOCD 1993). SRALs were calculated using the NMOCD criteria presented in the tables below.

Criteria	Site-Specific Result	Ranking Score					
Depth to groundwater	>100 feet	0					
Wellhead protection area	No	0					
Distance to surface-water body	>1,000 feet	0					
Tota	Total Ranking Score						

SRALs	Benzene	Total BTEX	TPH
	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)
	10	50	5,000

Note:

mg/kg = milligrams per kilogram



 New Mexico Administrative Code (NMAC) closure criteria for soil beneath belowgrade tanks, drying pads associated with closed-loop systems, and pits where contents are removed (NMAC 2009).

Criteria	Site-Specific Result	Chloride (mg/kg)
Depth below bottom of pit to groundwater	>100 feet	1,000

#### **Confirmation Soil Sample Results**

The analytical results for BTEX, TPH-GRO, TPH-DRO, and chloride for the composite confirmation soil sample collected in April 2012 are provided in Table 1 and summarized below:

- Benzene and BTEX were not detected above the laboratory reporting limits (LRLs) or above the SRALs of 10 and 50 mg/kg, respectively.
- TPH-GRO was not detected above LRLs. TPH-DRO and TPH (TPH-DRO and TPH-GRO) were detected at a concentration of 33.5 mg/kg. TPH was not detected above the SRAL of 1,000 mg/kg in the composite confirmation sample that was collected.
- Chloride was detected at a concentration of 34,000 mg/kg and above the NMAC closure criterion of 500 mg/kg.

The complete laboratory analytical results with chain of custody documentation are included in Attachment 5.

Chloride was detected in the composite confirmation soil sample above the regulatory criteria, which prompted additional site assessment activities.

#### **Site Assessment Activities**

In May 2013, ARCADIS conducted site assessment activities to characterize the lateral and vertical extents of soil impacts at the site. Soil boring locations were selected based on the results of confirmation soil sampling completed at the site in April 2012, locations of pipelines and other equipment at the site, and the extent of the release as documented by Chevron MCBU personnel during the initial response activities. The site assessment activities and results are discussed below.



#### **Pre-Field Activities**

Prior to initiating field activities, ARCADIS updated the site-specific Health and Safety Plan in accordance with state and federal requirements. Prior to initiating drilling activities, underground utilities and other potential subsurface obstructions near the proposed boring locations were located and marked. A New Mexico One Call ticket was issued for the site, and a private third-party utility locator cleared all proposed boring locations for potential on- and off-site utilities that were not otherwise identified. Finally, ARCADIS staff conducted a visual inspection of the site to identify potential utility lines. Boring locations were flagged during the utility locate and coordinates were recorded using a Trimble<sup>®</sup> global positioning unit with differential capability.

#### Soil Sampling

To evaluate the potential extent of impacts to soil at the site, ARCADIS advanced four soil borings (WVU 68-01, WVU 68-02, WVU 68-03, and WVU 68-04) on May 16, 2013. Soil sampling locations are shown on Figure 2.

Prior to conducting drilling activities, each boring location was cleared for subsurface utilities with an air knife. The air knife could not be advanced more than 2 to 3 inches bgs due to the presence of a thick caliche layer. Each soil boring was then advanced to a total depth of approximately 30 feet bgs using air rotary drilling equipment.

Soil was continuously logged for stratigraphic characteristics. The soil samples were field screened for the presence of volatile organic compounds using a photo ionization detector (PID) in combination with visual and olfactory screening methods for evidence of petroleum hydrocarbons. The PID used during this investigation was calibrated daily with fresh air and isobutylene gas. Field personnel recorded PID readings, soil types, and other pertinent geologic data on the boring logs (Attachment 6). No staining or elevated PID readings were observed.

Lithologic data indicate that the subsurface material primarily consists of caliche (soil carbonate) profiles including "caprock" and sandy caliche layers from approximately 0 to 16 feet bgs. Subsurface materials from approximately 16 to 30 feet bgs consist of firmly cemented, fine-grained sandstone (Attachment 6).

#### Soil Assessment Sampling

Seven soil samples were collected from each of the four boring locations (for a total of 28 soil samples) beginning at a depth of 2 feet bgs (the approximate depth of the



soil excavation in the initial release response activities detailed above) and continuing at 5-foot intervals from 5 to 30 feet bgs.

The assessment soil samples were retained in clean, laboratory-supplied glass jars, labeled, placed in an ice-chilled cooler, and submitted under appropriate chain of custody protocols to TestAmerica Laboratories.

#### Soil Assessment Sample Analysis

Soil samples collected from each boring were analyzed for the following constituents:

Chloride by USEPA Method 9056

#### **Boring Abandonment**

Following sampling, the boreholes were filled with soil cuttings from the total depth to ground surface. The ground surface was restored to match the surrounding conditions.

#### **Soil Assessment Comparison Criteria**

To develop an appropriate site-specific soil screening level (SSL) for chloride for use at the site, ARCADIS performed simulations of unsaturated zone flow, transport, and saturated zone mixing of chloride using the MULTIMED model Version 2.0 (USEPA 1996). The NMAC chloride standard for domestic water supply of 250 milligrams per liter (NMAC 2001) was used to estimate a maximum allowable concentration of chloride in soil that would not leach to groundwater above the standard. The NMAC chloride standard is consistent with the National Secondary Drinking Water Standard for chloride, addressing taste and odor concerns (USEPA 2010).

Conservative site-specific input parameters were used in the MULTIMED (USEPA 1996) simulations compared to actual site and release conditions. Specifically:

- Modeled source lengths and areas modeled are generally significantly larger than the actual chloride-impacted soil areas.
- Chloride-impacted soil was modeled as having a uniform chloride concentration for the entire volume (i.e., area x depth) of specified soil.



 A reduction in chloride concentrations in subsurface soil due to soil chemical transformation or adsorption mechanisms was not included in the model calculations.

Based on the depth to groundwater and the aerial and vertical extents of each of the MULTIMED (USEPA 1996) simulations, with these conservative site-specific input parameters, modeled peak chloride concentrations will reach groundwater in approximately 540 to 860 years.

The Chloride MULTIMED Simulated Soil Screening Levels for the Protection of Groundwater memo is included as Attachment 7. The site-specific SSL was calculated using the input parameters presented in the table below.

Site-Specific Input Parameters					
Source length (m)	20				
Source area (m <sup>2</sup> )	400				
Source depth (m)	0 to 1				
Depth to groundwater (m)	30.5				
Chloride SSL (mg/kg)	100,000 <sup>1</sup>				

<sup>1</sup> A chloride SSL of 266,100 mg/kg was calculated using MUTLTIMED (USEPA 1996); however, a maximum allowable soil concentration of 100,000 mg/kg is recommended in accordance with the New Mexico Environment Department (NMED) risk assessment guidance (NMED 2012). m = meter $m^2 = square meter$ 

#### **Soil Assessment Sample Results**

The analytical results for chloride for the 28 soil assessment samples are provided in Table 1 and summarized below. Laboratory analytical results with chain of custody documentation are provided in Attachment 5.

Chloride was detected in 18 of the 28 soil samples at concentrations ranging from 32 mg/kg (WVU68- 01 at 5 and 15 feet bgs, WVU68- 02 at 20 feet bgs and WVU68-04 at 10 and 20 feet bgs) to 384 mg/kg (WVU68-04 at 2 feet bgs). Chloride concentrations were not detected above the site SSL of 100,000 mg/kg.



#### **Summary and Conclusions**

A release of produced water and oil occurred at the site on March 13, 2012 due to corrosion causing a pinhole leak on an injector inlet. Visually impacted soil was excavated to a depth of approximately 2 feet bgs and a four-point composite confirmation soil sample was collected from the base of the excavation in April 2012. The composite confirmation soil sample had a chloride concentration above regulatory criteria, which prompted an additional investigation. In May 2013, additional soil samples were collected to assess soil impacts within the observed aerial extent of the release. None of the soil samples collected during the 2013 assessment had chloride concentrations above the site-specific SSL using the MULTIMED model (USEPA 1996).

Although not all chloride concentrations were reported below the NMAC closure criterion of 250 mg/kg (Table 1; NMAC 2009), all chloride concentrations in samples collected during the 2013 assessment were below the site-specific SSL (Attachment 7). Chloride impacts in shallow soil potentially associated with the release were delineated.

Potential migration of remaining petroleum hydrocarbons or chloride to groundwater is not expected due to the small size of the release, low precipitation (WRCC 2014a), and high evapotranspiration rates (WRCC 2014b), and the fine-grained nature of caliche layers present beneath the site. MULTIMED model results demonstrate that the remaining soil concentrations associated with the release do not pose significant risk to groundwater resources or other receptors.

Soil data presented in this report support a conclusion that impacted soil associated with the reported release at the site poses no significant threat to groundwater resources or other receptors. ARCADIS recommends that CEMC submit a request to the NMOCD that no further investigations or additional cleanup actions need to be performed at the site and that the NMOCD grant No Further Action status to the site.

If you have any questions or comments regarding the information presented in this report, please contact Jonathan Olsen at 713.953.4874 or Jonathan.Olsen@arcadis-us.com, or Kathleen Abbott at 925.296.7827 or Kathleen.Abbott@arcadis-us.com.



Sincerely,

ARCADIS U.S., Inc.

Olyen

Jonathan Olsen Certified Project Manager

KAMaldo

Kathleen M. Abbott, PG Program Manager

#### Enclosures:

Table 1	Soil Sampling Analytical Results	

Figure 1	Site Location Map – WVU 68
Figure 2	Release and Soil Boring Locations – WVU 68

#### Attachments:

/ 1100/110/110/	
Attachment 1	Site Conceptual Model
Attachment 2	Photolog
Attachment 3	New Mexico Office of the State Engineer – Depth to Water
Attachment 4	Release Notification and Corrective Action (C-141 Form)
Attachment 5	Laboratory Analytical Reports
Attachment 6	Boring Logs (May 2013)
Attachment 7	Chloride Multimedia Exposure Assessment Model Simulated Soil
	Screening Levels for the Protection of Groundwater Memo

References:

- Ash, S.R. 1963. Ground-water conditions in northern Lea County, New Mexico. New Mexico Bureau of Mines and Mineral Resources, Atlas HA-62.
- Bachman, George O. 1980. Regional Geology and Cenozoic History of Pecos Region, Southeastern New Mexico, US Dept. of Interior Geological Survey, Open File Report 80-1099, 120 pp.,
- Fahlquist, L. 2003. Ground-water quality of the southern High Plains Aquifer, Texas and New Mexico, 2001. U. S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 03-345, 69 p.
- Fallin, J.A. Tony 1988. Hydrogeology of Lower Cretaceous Strata Under the Southern High Plains of New Mexico, New Mexico Geology, Vol. 10, No. 1, pp. 6-9, February 1988.



- Google Earth. 2014. Lovington, New Mexico, 32\_46\_57.76N, 103\_29\_26.55W, elev 3913 feet, Google Earth Imagery. February 13.
- Hall, Stephen A. 2002. Field Guide to the Geoarcaeology of the Mescalero Sands, Southeastern New Mexico, Report Submitted to the State of New Mexico Historic Preservation Division and New Mexico Bureau of Land Management, Project No. 35-00-15334.11,, October 2002.
- Nativ, R. 1988. Hydrogeology and hydrochemistry of the Ogallala aquifer, Southern High Plains, Texas Panhandle and eastern New Mexico: The University of Texas at Austin, Bureau of Economic Geology Report of Investigations no. 177, 64 p.
- New Mexico Administrative Code. 2001. Title 20, Chapter 6 of the New Mexico Administrative Code for Environmental Protection, Water Quality, Ground and Surface Water Protection, 20.6.2.3103 NMAC. January.
- New Mexico Administrative Code. 2009. Title 19, Chapter 15 of the New Mexico Administrative Code concerning pits, closed-loop systems, below grade tanks and sumps, and other alternative methods, 19.15.17 NMAC. July.
- New Mexico Environment Department. 2012. Risk Assessment Guidance for Investigations and Remediation, Volume I. February 2012 (updated June 2012).
- New Mexico Office of the State Engineer. 2011. Water Information, Maps and Data, Geospatial Data, OSE Well Data, <u>http://www.ose.state.nm.us/water\_info\_data.html</u>, July.
- New Mexico Office of the State Engineer. 2014. New Mexico Water Rights Reporting System, <u>http://nmwrrs.ose.state.nm.us/nmwrrs/waterColumn.html</u>, May.
- New Mexico Oil Conservation Division. 1993. Guidelines for Remediation of Leaks, Spills and Releases. August 13.
- Nicholson, A., Jr., and A. Clebsch, Jr. 1961. Geology and Ground-Water Conditions in Southern Lea County, New Mexico. ERMS 241583. Ground-Water Report 6. Socorro, NM: New Mexico Bureau of Mines and Mineral Resources.
- Reeves, C.C. Jr. 1972. Tertiary-Quaternary stratigraphy and geomorphology of West Texas and southeastern New Mexico: New Mexico Geological Society, Guidebook 23, p. 108-117.



- Seni, S.J. 1980. Sand-body geometry and depositional systems, Ogallala Formation, Texas. University of Texas, Bureau of Economic Geology, Report of Investigations No.105, 40 p.
- Summers, W.K. 1972. Geology and Regional Hydrology of the Pecos River Basin, New Mexico, New Mexico Bureau of Geology and Mineral Resources, Open File Report No. 37, 393 pp. June 1972.
- Tillery, A. 2008. Current (2004-07) conditions and changes in ground-water levels from predevelopment to 2007, Southern High Plains Aquifer, Southeast New Mexico-Lea County Underground Water Basin. U.S. Geological Survey, Scientific Investigations Map 3044.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency. 1996. Multimedia Exposure Assessment Model for exposure assessment, MULTIMED 2.0 Beta. October.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency. 2010. List of Contaminants and their Maximum Contaminant Levels, List of National Secondary Drinking Water Regulations. Online at: <u>http://water.epa.gov/drink/contaminants/#List</u>, July 1.
- Western Regional Climate Center. 2014a. Hobbs, New Mexico (294026) weather station. <u>http://www.wrcc.dri.edu/cgi-bin/cliMAIN.pl?nm4026</u>. Viewed on May 5.
- Western Regional Climate Center. 2014b. Artesia, New Mexico, monthly average pan evaporation. <u>http://www.wrcc.dri.edu/htmlfiles/westevap.final.html#NEW MEXICO</u>. Viewed on May 6.



Table

#### Table 1 Soil Sampling Analytical Results

#### Site Assessment Report West Vacuum Unit 68 Lea County, New Mexico

Boring Location ID	Sample Date	Sample Depth (feet bgs)	Benzene (mg/kg)	Toluene (mg/kg)	Ethylbenzene (mg/kg)	Total Xylenes (mg/kg)	Total BTEX (mg/kg)	TPH-GRO (mg/kg)	TPH-DRO (mg/kg)	Chloride (mg/kg)	% Moisture
		SRALs <sup>(a)</sup>	10				50	5,0	000		
	NMAC	Closure Criteria <sup>(b)</sup>								1,000	
М	ULTIMED S	ite-Specific SSL <sup>(c)</sup>								100,000	
VWU #68 (4-point composite)	4/23/2012	*	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.150		<10.0	33.5	34,000	
	5/16/2013	2								144	
	5/16/2013	5								32	
	5/16/2013	10								48	
WVU 68 - 01	5/16/2013	15								32	
	5/16/2013	20								<16	
	5/16/2013	25								<16	
	5/16/2013	30								<16	
	5/16/2013	2								144	
	5/16/2013	5								272	
	5/16/2013	10								80	
WVU 68 - 02	5/16/2013	15								64	
	5/16/2013	20								32	
	5/16/2013	25								<16	
	5/16/2013	30								<16	
	5/16/2013	2								160	
	5/16/2013	5								112	
	5/16/2013	10								160	
WVU 68 - 03	5/16/2013	15								80	
	5/16/2013	20								<16	
	5/16/2013	25								<16	
	5/16/2013	30								<16	
	5/16/2013	2								384	
	5/16/2013	5								48	
	5/16/2013	10								32	
WVU 68 - 04	5/16/2013	15								48	
	5/16/2013	20								32	
	5/16/2013	25								<16	
	5/16/2013	30								<16	

Notes:

%	Percent
mg/kg	Miligram(s) per kilogram
<	Analyte was not detected above the specified method reporting limit
*	Information regarding the depth of these samples is not available.
	Not Analyzed/Not Listed
bgs	Below ground surface
BTEX	Benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes
MULTIMED	Multimedia Exposure Assessment Model
NMAC	New Mexico Administrative Code
TPH-GRO	Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Gasoline Range Organics
TPH-DRO	Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as Diesel Range Organics
SRAL	Soil remediation action level
SSL	Soil screening level


(a) SRALs, for leaks, spills, and releases, New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, August 1993


(b) Title 19, Chapter 15 of the NMAC concerning pits, closed-loop systems, below grade tanks and sumps, and other alternative methods, 19.15.17 NMAC, July 2009

(c) MULTIMED exposure assessment, 2.0 Beta, United States Environmental Protection Agency, October 1996



Figures






CITY: MANCHESTER DIV/GROUP: ENVCAD DB: B.SMALL PM: TM G:ENVCAD/Emeryville/RETURN-TO/Manchester-CT/B0048601/Final/B00486010000-B02.dwg LAYOUT: 5 SAVED: 11/15/2013 4:26 PM ACADVER: 18.1S (LMS TECH) PAGESETUP: ---- PLOTSTYLETABLE: ---- PLOTTED: 11/15/2013 4:44 PM BY: REYES, ALEC




# Attachment 1

Site Conceptual Model




The site is located in the western edge of the Permian Basin with Lovington (the closest town) located approximately 15 miles southwest of the site. Due to the arid climate, the site experiences low precipitation and high evapotranspiration rates. According to information obtained from the NMOSE online database, groundwater near the site is encountered at a depth of approximately 108 feet bgs.



A release of approximately 9.44 bbls of produced water at the site on March 13, 2012 due to corrosion causing a pinhole leak on an injector inlet. Chevron personnel from the MidContinent Business Unit (MCBU) stopped the release and recovered approximately 9 bbls of fluids using a vacuum truck. Chevron MCBU personnel excavated visually impacted soil in the area to a depth of approximately 2 feet bgs and collected a four point composite confirmation soil sample from the base of the excavation on April 23, 2012. After collecting the soil sample, the excavated area was reportedly backfilled with imported soil. Analyte concentrations in the confirmation soil sample were above regulatory criteria, which prompted additional site assessment activities.



In May 2013, ARCADIS conducted site assessment activities to characterize the lateral and vertical extents of soil impacts at the site. Soil boring locations were selected based on the results of confirmation soil sampling completed at the site in April 2012, locations of pipelines and other equipment at the site, and the extent of the release as documented by Chevron MCBU personnel during the initial response activities. Analyte concentrations in samples collected during the 2013 assessment were reported below site-specific criteria. Site assessment activities demonstrate that remaining soil concentrations associated with the release do not pose significant risk to groundwater resources or other receptors.



VACUUM/LOVINGTON FUNCTIONAL MANAGEMENT TEAM UNITS LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO SITE ASSESSMENT REPORT

> Site Conceptual Model WVU 68



FIGURE



Attachment 2

Photolog

# ARCADIS

West Vacuum Unit #68 Site Assessment Report Photolog Lea County, New Mexico



**Photograph 1** – West Vacuum Unit #68; Facing West



**Photograph 2** – West Vacuum Unit #68 release area; Facing North



# Attachment 3

New Mexico Office of the State Engineer – Depth to Water



# New Mexico Office of the State Engineer Water Column/Average Depth to Water

(R=POD has (A CLW##### in the been replaced, POD suffix indicates the POD has been replaced O=orphaned, & no longer serves a C=the file is (quarters are 1=NW 2=NE 3=SW 4=SE) water right file.) closed) (quarters are smallest to largest) (NAD83 UTM in meters) (In feet) POD Sub-QQQ **Depth Depth Water POD Number** Code basin County 64 16 4 Sec Tws Rng Х Υ Distance Well Water Column L 02724 S2 L LE 4 4 4 27 17S 34E 636615 3630005\* 484 234 108 126 LE 27 17S 34E 636516 3630106\* 130 L 07226 L 4 4 544 L 07227 L LE 4 4 27 17S 34E 636516 3630106\* 544 125 L 02724 S3 L LE 2 3 4 34 17S 34E 636236 3628586\* 1001 210 95 115 L LE 1 4 3 26 17S 34E 3630219\* L 06698 637221 1051 160 100 60 L 02724 S5 L LE 35 17S 34E 4 4 1 637432 3629213\* 1105 235 140 95 L LE 35 17S 34E L 02724 S5 R 4 4 1 637432 3629213\* 1105 235 140 95 L LE 3 4 34 17S 34E 636137 3628487\* 244 93 151 L 05883 1117 L 02724 L LE 2 2 3 27 17S 34E 635804 3630593\* 1172 245 108 137 L 08100 L LE 3 4 4 34 17S 34E 3628393\* 55 636439 1184 135 80 Average Depth to Water: 108 feet 80 feet Minimum Depth: Maximum Depth: 140 feet

Record Count: 10

#### **Basin/County Search:**

County: Lea

#### UTMNAD83 Radius Search (in meters):

Easting (X): 636388.37

Northing (Y): 3629576.21

Radius: 1250

#### \*UTM location was derived from PLSS - see Help

The data is furnished by the NMOSE/ISC and is accepted by the recipient with the expressed understanding that the OSE/ISC make no warranties, expressed or implied, concerning the accuracy, completeness, reliability, usability, or suitability for any particular purpose of the data.



# Attachment 4

Release Notification and Corrective Action (C-141 Form)

State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources

Form C-141 Revised October 10, 2003

Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505 Submit 2 Copies to appropriate District Office in accordance with Rule 116 on back side of form

Lease No.1576

# **Release Notification and Corrective Action**

	OPERATOR	Initial Report	Final Report
Name of Company Chevron USA	Contact Josi	ie DeLeon	
Address HCR 60 Box 423 Lovington, NM 88260	Telephone No.	432-425-1528	
Facility Name West Vacuum	Facility Type	Produced Water Transfer Line	

Surface Owner State Leasee - Fred Pearce Mineral Owner - State

#### LOCATION OF RELEASE (Nearest Well WVU 56)

Unit LetterSectionTownshipRangeFeet from theNorth/South LineFeet from theEast/West LineCounty03417S34 E100South2450EastLea										

Latitude\_N. 32 deg 47.230 min\_ Longitude W\_103 deg 32.69 min

#### NATURE OF RELEASE

Type of Release produced water	Volume of Release 9.44 water (bbl)	Volume Recovered 9 bbl								
Source of Release corrosion on nipple (pinhole leak)	Date and Hour of Occurrence: 3/13/12 07:00 AM	Date and Hour of Discovery: 03/13/12 07:00 AM								
Was Immediate Notice Given? Yes 🛛 No 🗌 Not Required	If YES, To Whom? Geoffrey Leking - voicemail									
By Whom? Josie DeLeon	Date and Hour 3/13/12									
Was a Watercourse Reached? If YES, Volume Impacting the Watercourse.										
If a Watercourse was Impacted, Describe Fully.* No Impact to watercourse.										
Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.*										
Corrosion on nipple caused pinhole leak on injector inlet. Shut in well f	or repair.									
Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.*										
Picked up the water that was free standing on the ground. Affected area	will be delineated and plan submitted	to NMOCD for remediation.								
I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by t should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remedia or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations.	notifications and perform corrective a he NMOCD marked as "Final Report" ate contamination that pose a threat to	ctions for releases which may endanger does not relieve the operator of liability ground water, surface water, human health								
Signed and emailed to Larry Johnson 7/3/09 Signature:	OIL CONSER	VATION DIVISION								
Printed Name: Joste DeLeon	Approved by District Supervisor:									
Title: Safety Specialist	Approval Date:	Expiration Date:								
E-mail Address: jdxd@chevron.com	Conditions of Approval:	10-101 102 200 -								
Date: 3/26/12 Phone: 432-425-1528		Attached								

\* Attach Additional Sheets If Necessary

State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources

> Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe. NM 87505

Submit 1 Copy to appropriate District Office in accordance with 19.15.29 NMAC.

1220 S. St. Fran	cis Dr., Sant	a Fe, NM 8750	5	Sa	nta F	e, NM 875	05								
			Rele	ease Notific	atio	n and Co	orrective A	ction							
						<b>OPERA</b>	ſOR	Г	☐ Initia	l Report	$\boxtimes$	Final Report			
Name of Co						Contact: Edem Sededji									
Address: 56				NM 88260		Telephone No.: Office: (575) 396-4414 Mobile: (432) 234-4437									
Facility Nan	ne: West V	Vacuum Uni	t #68			Facility Type: Produced Water Transfer Line									
Surface Own	ner: State	Leasee – Fre	ed Pearce	Mineral O	wner:	r: State of New Mexico API No.: Lease No. 1576									
				LOCA	TIO	ON OF RELEASE									
Unit Letter	Section	Township	Range	Feet from the	North	South Line	Feet from the	East/We	est Line	County					
0	34	175	34E	100	South	l	2450	East		Lea					
		La	t <b>itude</b> <u>N</u> .	32 deg 47.230 r	nin	Longitude	W 103 deg 32.6	59 min							
Latitude <u>N. 32 deg 47.230 min</u> Longitude <u>W 103 deg 32.69 min</u> NATURE OF RELEASE															
Type of Relea	ase: Produc	ed water			UNE		Release: 9.44 wate	er	Volume R	ecovered: 9	bbls				
						(bbls)									
Source of Rel	ease: Corro	osion on nippl	e (pinhole	leak)		Date and Hc 03/13/12 07	our of Occurrence		Date and H 03/13/12 (	Hour of Disc 7:00 AM	covery				
Was Immedia	te Notice C			No 🗌 Not Requ	ired	If YES, To		1							
By Whom? J	onia Dal ar				licu		-								
Was a Watero						Date and Hour: 3/13/12 If YES, Volume Impacting the Watercourse.									
			Yes 🖂 1	No											
If a Watercou			ibe Fully.'	k		I									
No impact to Describe Cau			dial Actio	n Taken.*											
Corrosion on Describe Area	nipple caus	sed pinhole le	ak on injection Tak	ctor inlet. Shut in y	well for	r repair.									
On discovery feet bgs and s	of the spill ent off for	, contacted ar disposal.	nd recover	ed the standing flu	ids. Vi	sually impacte	d soils in the area	a were exc	cavated to	a depth of a	ipproxi	imately two			
				e was collected fro	m the l	base of the exc	avation. These sa	mpling re	esults indic	cated a pres	ence of	f chloride			
concentration	s in shallov	w soils at leve	ls of regul	atory concern.											
In response to	the sampli	ing results, an	additiona	l site assessment v	vas con	ducted to con	firm the extent of	soil impa	icts.						
Results of the	additional	assessment a	ctivities ar	e provided in the	attache	d report.									
I hereby certi	fy that the i	information g	iven above	is true and compl	ete to I	the best of my									
				nd/or file certain re ce of a C-141 repo											
				investigate and re											
				otance of a C-141	report o	loes not reliev	e the operator of	responsibi	ility for co	mpliance w	ith any	/ other			
federal, state,	or local lav	ws and/or regi	liations.				OIL CON	SFRVA	TION		N				
Signature: C	Lu	he u	<u>Al</u>	el			<u>011</u> 0011			<u></u>	11				
Printed Name	: Luke We	elch				Approved by	Environmental S	pecialist:							
Title: Project	Manager				Approval Date: Expiration Date:										
E-mail Addre	ss: LWelch	@chevron.co	m			Conditions of Approval:									
01	1					Attached									

 Date:
 8/12/14
 Phone: (713) 372-0292

 \* Attach Additional Sheets If Necessary



# Attachment 5

Laboratory Analytical Reports



April 27, 2012

DAVID PAGANO Chevron - Lovington HCR 60 Box 423 Lovington, NM 88260

**RE: SOIL SAMPLES** 

Enclosed are the results of analyses for samples received by the laboratory on 04/23/12 16:50.

Cardinal Laboratories is accredited through Texas NELAP under certificate number T104704398-11-3. Accreditation applies to drinking water, non-potable water and solid and chemical materials. All accredited analytes are denoted by an asterisk (\*). For a complete list of accredited analytes and matrices visit the TCEQ website at <a href="https://www.tceq.texas.gov/field/ga/lab\_accred\_certif.html">www.tceq.texas.gov/field/ga/lab\_accred\_certif.html</a>.

Cardinal Laboratories is accreditated through the State of Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment for:

Method EPA 552.2	Haloacetic Acids (HAA-5)
Method EPA 524.2	Total Trihalomethanes (TTHM)
Method EPA 524.4	Regulated VOCs (V1, V2, V3)

Accreditation applies to public drinking water matrices.

This report meets NELAP requirements and is made up of a cover page, analytical results, and a copy of the original chain-of-custody. If you have any questions concerning this report, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Celez D. Keine

Celey D. Keene Lab Director/Quality Manager



#### Analytical Results For:

		Chevron - L DAVID PAG HCR 60 Box Lovington N	GANO x 423		
		Fax To:	None		
Received:	04/23/2012			Sampling Date:	04/23/2012
Reported:	04/27/2012			Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	SOIL SAMPLES			Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NONE GIVEN			Sample Received By:	Jodi Henson
Project Location:	NOT GIVEN				

#### Sample ID: VWU #68 (H200926-01)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	kg	Analyze	d By: AP					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/26/2012	ND	2.13	107	2.00	1.14	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	04/26/2012	ND	2.22	111	2.00	0.710	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/26/2012	ND	2.23	112	2.00	0.0436	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	04/26/2012	ND	6.88	115	6.00	0.304	
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PIL	104 9	64.4-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/	kg	Analyze	d By: HM					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	34000	16.0	04/24/2012	ND	432	108	400	3.77	
TPH 8015M	mg/	kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10	<10.0	10.0	04/24/2012	ND	178	89.2	200	0.284	
DRO >C10-C28	33.5	10.0	04/24/2012	ND	187	93.6	200	0.749	
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	92.8	% 55.5-15	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	94.0	% 57.6-15	8						

#### **Cardinal Laboratories**

#### \*=Accredited Analyte

PLEASE NOTE: Liability and Damages. Cardinal's liability and client's exclusive remedy for any claim arising, whether based in contract or tort, shall be limited to the amount paid by client for analyses. All claims, including those for negligence and any other cases whatsoe where shall be deemed waived unless made in writing and received by Cardinal within thirty (30) days after completion of the applicable service. In no event shall Cardinal be liable for incidental or consequential damages, including, without limitation, business interruptions, loss of use, or loss of profits incurred by client, its subsidiaries, affiliates or successors arising out of or related to the performance of the services hereunder by Cardinal, regardless of whether such claim is based upon any of the above stated reasons or otherwise. Results relate only to the samples identified above. This report shall not be reproduced except in full with written approval of Cardinal Laboratories.

Celey D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



#### Analytical Results For:

		DAVID PA HCR 60 Bo			
		Fax To:	None		
Received:	04/23/2012			Sampling Date:	04/23/2012
Reported:	04/27/2012			Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	SOIL SAMPLES			Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NONE GIVEN			Sample Received By:	Jodi Henson
Project Location:	NOT GIVEN				

#### Sample ID: VGSAU SAT #3 (H200926-02)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	kg	Analyze	d By: AP					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/26/2012	ND	2.13	107	2.00	1.14	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	04/26/2012	ND	2.22	111	2.00	0.710	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/26/2012	ND	2.23	112	2.00	0.0436	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	04/26/2012	ND	6.88	115	6.00	0.304	
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PIL	104 9	% 64.4-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/	kg	Analyze	d By: HM					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	8660	16.0	04/24/2012	ND	432	108	400	3.77	
TPH 8015M	mg/	'kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10	<10.0	10.0	04/24/2012	ND	178	89.2	200	0.284	
DRO >C10-C28	<10.0	10.0	04/24/2012	ND	187	93.6	200	0.749	
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	91.0 % 55.5-154		4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	92.0	% 57.6-15	8						

#### **Cardinal Laboratories**

#### \*=Accredited Analyte

PLEASE NOTE: Liability and Damages. Cardinal's liability and client's exclusive remedy for any claim arising, whether based in contract or tort, shall be limited to the amount paid by client for analyses. All claims, including those for negligence and any other cause whatscever shall be deemed waived unless made in writing and received by Cardinal within thirty (30) days after completion of the applicable service. In no event shall Cardinal be liable for incidental or consequential damages, including, without limitation, business interruptions, loss of use, or loss of profits incurred by client, its subsidiaries, affiliates or successors arising out of or related to the performance of the services hereunder by Cardinal, regardless of whether such claims based upon any of the above stated reasons or otherwise. Results relate only to the sample identified above. This report shall not be reproduced except in full with written approval of Cardinal toratories.

Celey D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



#### Analytical Results For:

		Chevron - L DAVID PAG HCR 60 Box Lovington N	ANO ( 423		
		Fax To:	None		
Received:	04/23/2012			Sampling Date:	04/23/2012
Reported:	04/27/2012			Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	SOIL SAMPLES			Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NONE GIVEN			Sample Received By:	Jodi Henson
Project Location:	NOT GIVEN				

#### Sample ID: VGWU #40 (H200926-03)

BTEX 8021B	mg/	kg	Analyze	d By: AP					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/26/2012	ND	2.13	107	2.00	1.14	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	04/26/2012	ND	2.22	111	2.00	0.710	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	04/26/2012	ND	2.23	112	2.00	0.0436	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	04/26/2012	ND	6.88	115	6.00	0.304	
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PIL	103 9	64.4-13	4						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/	kg	Analyze	d By: HM					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	27200	16.0	04/24/2012	ND	432	108	400	3.77	
TPH 8015M	mg/	kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10	<10.0	10.0	04/24/2012	ND	178	89.2	200	0.284	
DRO >C10-C28	11.1	10.0	04/24/2012	ND	187	93.6	200	0.749	
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	92.1	% 55.5-15	4						
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctadecane	92.2	% 57.6-15	8						

#### **Cardinal Laboratories**

#### \*=Accredited Analyte

PLEASE NOTE: Liability and Damages. Cardinal's liability and client's exclusive remedy for any claim arising, whether based in contract or tort, shall be limited to the amount paid by client for analyses. All claims, including those for negligence and any other cause whatscever shall be deemed waived unless made in writing and received by Cardinal within thirty (30) days after completion of the applicable service. In no event shall Cardinal be liable for incidental or consequential damages, including, without limitation, business interruptions, loss of use, or loss of profits incurred by client, its subsidiaries, affiliates or successors arising out of or related to the performance of the services hereunder by Cardinal, regardless of whether such claims based upon any of the above stated reasons or otherwise. Results relate only to the sample identified above. This report shall not be reproduced except in full with written approval of Cardinal toratories.

Celey D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



#### **Notes and Definitions**

- ND
   Analyte NOT DETECTED at or above the reporting limit

   RPD
   Relative Percent Difference
- \*\* Samples not received at proper temperature of 6°C or below.
- \*\*\* Insufficient time to reach temperature.
- Chloride by SM4500Cl-B does not require samples be received at or below 6°C Samples reported on an as received basis (wet) unless otherwise noted on report

#### Cardinal Laboratories

#### \*=Accredited Analyte

PLEASE NOTE: Liability and Damages. Cardinal's liability and client's exclusive remedy for any claim arising, whether based in contract or tort, shall be limited to the amount paid by client for analyses. All claims, including those for negligence and any other cause whatsoever shall be deemed waived unless made in writing and received by Cardinal within thirty (30) days after completion of the applicable service. In no event shall Cardinal be liable for incidental or consequential damages, including, without limitation, business interruptions, loss of use, or loss of profits incurred by client, its subsidiaries, affiliates or successors arising out of or related to the performance of the services hereunder by Cardinal, regardless of whether such claim is based upon any of the above stated reasons or otherwise. Results relate only to the sample identified above. This report shall not be reproduced except in full with written approval of Cardinal Loratorites.

Celey D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager

Page 5 of 6



# CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY AND ANALYSIS REQUEST

#### 101 East Marland, Hobbs, NM 88240

	(575) 393-2326 FAX (575) 393-247	6																							
Company Name	: Chevron			HI-COULTER	a subscript to			BILL TO AT										ANA	LYSI	S RE	QUE	ST	. The PARTY IS IN SUCCESSION	Marin Marine State	
Project Manage	" David Pagano		60.9					Ρ.Ο	) <i>.</i> #:		72/11/06/07		an a	Ι		and a state of the second					and the second		CONTROL OF STREET	ANALY CONTRACTOR	NY DIG LE
Address: 56	Texas Camp Rd.							Company: Chevron																	
City: Lev	lington State: NM	Zip	i: 5	38	26	0		Attn: Nick Moschetti																	-
	5-787-9816 Fax #:							Address: 56 Texas Camp Rd.																	
Project #:	Project Owner	:	and the second					City: Lowington																	
Project Name:	Project Name:						Sta	ite: ۸	V M	Z	ip: 883	60												and the second se	
Project Location:						Ph	one #	t: 5 i	75-	396-49	14 x201														
Sampler Name:						States and	Fax	THE R. O. LOW CO., LANSING MICH.							1										
FOR LAB USE ONLY		۰.			MA	TRE	<b>x</b> T 1		PRES	SERV	4	SAMPLI	NG			Je.									
Lab I.D. H2DD926	Sample I.D.	(G)RAB OR (C)OMP.	# CONTAINERS	GROUNDWATER	SOIL	OIL	SLUDGE	OTHER :	ACID/BASE:	OTHER :		DATE	TIME	THO	りてモス	Chlorn									
1	VW4 #68	C	3			T						4/23/12	2:3:		1	1_		<u> </u>							
2	VWU #68 Vosau Satta Vowu #40	<u>C</u>	1			1			7 and 10 and per re a			4/23/12	3.10	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			<u> </u>		ļ					
	VGWUHVO	L	1			+				•		4/23/17	3:55	· · · · ·					-						
			-								-														
								10.00	12	ĺ															
			1																		-				
							****												-		-	-			[
															7.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1										

PLEASE NOTE: Liability and Damages. Cardinal's liability and client's exclusive remedy for any claim arising whether based in contract or fort, shall be limited to the amount paid by the client for the

analyses. All claims including those for negligence and any other cause whatsoever shall be deemed waived unless made in writing and received by Cardinal within 30 days after completion of the applicable service. In no event shall Cardinal be liable for incidental or consequental damages, including without limitation, business interruptions, loss of use, or loss of profits incurred by client, its subsidiaries,

affiliates or successors arising out of or related to the performance of services hereunder by Cardinal, regardless of whether such claim is based upon a vib as start or sated reasons or otherwise.

Relinquished By: Relinquished By:	Date: 4/33/12 Time: 4 : 5 c Date: Time:	Receive ADD Receive	le Aen	Phone Result: Fax Result: REMARKS:	□ Yes □ Yes	□ No □ No	Add'l Phone #: Add'l Fax #:	
Delivered By: (Circle One) Sampler - UPS - Bus - Other:		5°c	Sample Condition Cool Intact Yes Yes No No	CHECKED BY: (Initials)		20 <del>yana kuta kuta kuta kuta ku</del> ta kuta kuta kuta kuta kuta kuta kuta ku	ediaces materiae statut	

#26

† Cardinal cannot accept verbal changes. Please fax written changes to 505-393-2476



May 21, 2013

JONATHAN OLSEN ARCADIS U.S., INC. - HOUSTON 630 PLAZA DRIVE, SUITE 600 HIGHLANDS RANCH, CO 80129

**RE: CHEVRON BUCKEYE** 

Enclosed are the results of analyses for samples received by the laboratory on 05/16/13 17:00.

Cardinal Laboratories is accredited through Texas NELAP under certificate number T104704398-11-3. Accreditation applies to drinking water, non-potable water and solid and chemical materials. All accredited analytes are denoted by an asterisk (\*). For a complete list of accredited analytes and matrices visit the TCEQ website at <a href="https://www.tceq.texas.gov/field/ga/lab\_accred\_certif.html">www.tceq.texas.gov/field/ga/lab\_accred\_certif.html</a>.

Cardinal Laboratories is accreditated through the State of Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment for:

Method EPA 552.2	Haloacetic Acids (HAA-5)
Method EPA 524.2	Total Trihalomethanes (TTHM)
Method EPA 524.4	Regulated VOCs (V1, V2, V3)

Accreditation applies to public drinking water matrices.

This report meets NELAP requirements and is made up of a cover page, analytical results, and a copy of the original chain-of-custody. If you have any questions concerning this report, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Celey D. Keine

Celey D. Keene Lab Director/Quality Manager



ARCADIS U.S., INC. - HOUSTON JONATHAN OLSEN 630 PLAZA DRIVE, SUITE 600 HIGHLANDS RANCH CO, 80129 Fax To: (713) 977-4620

Received:	05/16/2013	Sampling Date:	05/16/2013
Reported:	05/21/2013	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	CHEVRON BUCKEYE	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	B004860.0000	Sample Received By:	Jodi Henson
Project Location:	BUCKEYE OILFIELD		

#### Sample ID: WVU 68 - 02 (10') (H301182-10)

Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg	/kg	Analyze	d By: DW					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	80.0	16.0	05/20/2013	ND	432	108	400	0.00	

#### Sample ID: WVU 68 - 02 (15') (H301182-11)

Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg	/kg	Analyze	d By: DW					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	64.0	16.0	05/20/2013	ND	432	108	400	0.00	

#### Sample ID: WVU 68 - 02 (20') (H301182-12)

Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg	/kg	Analyze	d By: DW					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	32.0	16.0	05/20/2013	ND	432	108	400	0.00	

#### Sample ID: WVU 68 - 02 (25') (H301182-13)

Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg	/kg	Analyze	d By: DW					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	<16.0	16.0	05/20/2013	ND	432	108	400	0.00	

#### **Cardinal Laboratories**

\*=Accredited Analyte

Celey D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



ARCADIS U.S., INC. - HOUSTON JONATHAN OLSEN 630 PLAZA DRIVE, SUITE 600 HIGHLANDS RANCH CO, 80129 Fax To: (713) 977-4620

Received:	05/16/2013	Sampling Date:	05/16/2013
Reported:	05/21/2013	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	CHEVRON BUCKEYE	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	B004860.0000	Sample Received By:	Jodi Henson
Project Location:	BUCKEYE OILFIELD		

#### Sample ID: WVU 68 - 02 (30') (H301182-14)

Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg	/kg	Analyze	d By: DW					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	<16.0	16.0	05/20/2013	ND	432	108	400	0.00	

#### Sample ID: WVU 68 - 03 (30') (H301182-20)

Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/	/kg	Analyze	d By: DW					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	<16.0	16.0	05/20/2013	ND	432	108	400	0.00	

#### Sample ID: WVU 68 - 04 (2') (H301182-21)

Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg,	/kg	Analyze	d By: DW					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	384	16.0	05/20/2013	ND	432	108	400	0.00	

#### Sample ID: WVU 68 - 04 (5') (H301182-22)

Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg	/kg	Analyze	d By: DW					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	48.0	16.0	05/20/2013	ND	432	108	400	0.00	

#### Sample ID: WVU 68 - 04 (10') (H301182-23)

Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg	/kg	Analyze	d By: DW					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	32.0	16.0	05/20/2013	ND	432	108	400	0.00	

#### **Cardinal Laboratories**

\*=Accredited Analyte

Celey D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



ARCADIS U.S., INC. - HOUSTON JONATHAN OLSEN 630 PLAZA DRIVE, SUITE 600 HIGHLANDS RANCH CO, 80129 Fax To: (713) 977-4620

Received:	05/16/2013	Sampling Date:	05/16/2013
Reported:	05/21/2013	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	CHEVRON BUCKEYE	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	B004860.0000	Sample Received By:	Jodi Henson
Project Location:	BUCKEYE OILFIELD		

#### Sample ID: WVU 68 - 04 (15') (H301182-24)

Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg	/kg	Analyze	d By: DW					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	48.0	16.0	05/20/2013	ND	432	108	400	0.00	

#### Sample ID: WVU 68 - 04 (20') (H301182-25)

Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg	/kg	Analyze	d By: DW					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	32.0	16.0	05/20/2013	ND	432	108	400	0.00	

#### Sample ID: WVU 68 - 04 (25') (H301182-26)

Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg,	/kg	Analyze	d By: DW					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	<16.0	16.0	05/20/2013	ND	432	108	400	0.00	

#### Sample ID: WVU 68 - 04 (30') (H301182-27)

Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg,	/kg	Analyze	d By: DW					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	<16.0	16.0	05/20/2013	ND	432	108	400	0.00	

#### Sample ID: WVU 68 - 02 (2') (H301182-28)

Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg	/kg	Analyze	d By: DW					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	144	16.0	05/20/2013	ND	432	108	400	0.00	

#### Cardinal Laboratories

\*=Accredited Analyte

Celey D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



ARCADIS U.S., INC. - HOUSTON JONATHAN OLSEN 630 PLAZA DRIVE, SUITE 600 HIGHLANDS RANCH CO, 80129 Fax To: (713) 977-4620

Received:	05/16/2013	Sampling Date:	05/16/2013
Reported:	05/21/2013	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	CHEVRON BUCKEYE	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	B004860.0000	Sample Received By:	Jodi Henson
Project Location:	BUCKEYE OILFIELD		

#### Sample ID: WVU 68 - 02 (5') (H301182-29)

Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg	/kg	Analyze	d By: DW					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	272	16.0	05/20/2013	ND	432	108	400	0.00	

#### Sample ID: WVU 68 - 03 (2') (H301182-34)

Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg,	/kg	Analyzed By: DW						
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	160	16.0	05/20/2013	ND	416	104	400	0.00	

#### Sample ID: WVU 68 - 03 (5') (H301182-35)

Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg	/kg	Analyze	d By: DW					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	112	16.0	05/20/2013	ND	416	104	400	0.00	

#### Sample ID: WVU 68 - 03 (10') (H301182-36)

Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg	/kg	Analyze	d By: DW					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	160	16.0	05/20/2013	ND	416	104	400	0.00	

#### Sample ID: WVU 68 - 03 (15') (H301182-37)

Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg,	/kg	Analyze	d By: DW					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	80.0	16.0	05/20/2013	ND	416	104	400	0.00	

#### **Cardinal Laboratories**

\*=Accredited Analyte

Celey D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



ARCADIS U.S., INC. - HOUSTON JONATHAN OLSEN 630 PLAZA DRIVE, SUITE 600 HIGHLANDS RANCH CO, 80129 Fax To: (713) 977-4620

Received:	05/16/2013	Sampling Date:	05/16/2013
Reported:	05/21/2013	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	CHEVRON BUCKEYE	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	B004860.0000	Sample Received By:	Jodi Henson
Project Location:	BUCKEYE OILFIELD		

#### Sample ID: WVU 68 - 03 (20') (H301182-38)

Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	/kg	Analyze	d By: DW						
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	<16.0	16.0	05/20/2013	ND	416	104	400	0.00	

#### Sample ID: WVU 68 - 03 (25') (H301182-39)

Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg	′kg	Analyze	d By: DW					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	<16.0	16.0	05/20/2013	ND	416	104	400	0.00	

#### Sample ID: WVU 68 - 01 (2') (H301182-40)

Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	/kg	Analyze	d By: DW						
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	144	16.0	05/20/2013	ND	416	104	400	0.00	

#### Sample ID: WVU 68 - 01 (5') (H301182-41)

Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	/kg	Analyze	d By: DW						
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	32.0	16.0	05/20/2013	ND	416	104	400	0.00	

#### Sample ID: WVU 68 - 01 (10') (H301182-42)

Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	/kg	Analyze	d By: DW						
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	48.0	16.0	05/20/2013	ND	416	104	400	0.00	

#### Cardinal Laboratories

\*=Accredited Analyte

Celey D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



ARCADIS U.S., INC. - HOUSTON JONATHAN OLSEN 630 PLAZA DRIVE, SUITE 600 HIGHLANDS RANCH CO, 80129 Fax To: (713) 977-4620

Received:	05/16/2013	Sampling Date:	05/16/2013
Reported:	05/21/2013	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	CHEVRON BUCKEYE	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	B004860.0000	Sample Received By:	Jodi Henson
Project Location:	BUCKEYE OILFIELD		

#### Sample ID: WVU 68 - 01 (15') (H301182-43)

Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg	/kg	Analyze	d By: DW					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	32.0	16.0	05/20/2013	ND	416	104	400	0.00	

#### Sample ID: WVU 68 - 01 (20') (H301182-44)

Chloride, SM4500CI-B	mg	/kg	Analyze	d By: DW					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	<16.0	16.0	05/20/2013	ND	416	104	400	0.00	

#### Sample ID: WVU 68 - 01 (25') (H301182-45)

Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	5,5			d By: DW					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	<16.0	16.0	05/20/2013	ND	416	104	400	0.00	

#### Sample ID: WVU 68 - 01 (30') (H301182-46)

Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg,	/kg	Analyze	d By: DW					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	<16.0	16.0	05/20/2013	ND	416	104	400	0.00	

#### **Cardinal Laboratories**

\*=Accredited Analyte

Celey D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



#### **Notes and Definitions**

QM-07	The spike recovery was outside acceptance limits for the MS and/or MSD. The batch was accepted based on acceptable LCS recovery.
ND	Analyte NOT DETECTED at or above the reporting limit
RPD	Relative Percent Difference
**	Samples not received at proper temperature of 6°C or below.
***	Insufficient time to reach temperature.
-	Chloride by SM4500Cl-B does not require samples be received at or below 6°C
	Samples reported on an as received basis (wet) unless otherwise noted on report

#### **Cardinal Laboratories**

#### \*=Accredited Analyte

Celey D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager

† Cardina	Delivered By Sampler - UPS	Relinquished By:	Relinquished By	PLEASE NOTE: Liability and Damagy analyses. All claims including those to service. In no event shall Cardinal be affiliates or successors arising out of c	٩	s.	10	n.	4	h.	2		Lab I.D. H 30 1192	FOR LAB USE ONLY	Sampler Name:	Project Location:	ime:	Brok	e#: 7/3	City: Houston	Address: 2927	Project Manager:	<b>Company Name:</b>			20
Cardinal cannot accept verbal changes. Please fax written changes to (575) 393-2326	Delivered By: (Circle One) Sampler - UPS - Bus - Other:		y: <u>5-16-13</u>	PLEASE NOTE: Liability and Damages. Cardinal's liability and client's exclusive remedy for any claim arising whether tased in contract or tort, shall be limited to the amount paid by the client for the analyses. All claims including those for negligence and any other cause whatsoever shall be determed varied unless made in writing and reselved by Cardinal writin 30 days after completion of the age service. In no event shall cardinal be liable for incidental or consequential damages, including without limitation, business interruptions, loss of use, or loss of profits incurred by client, its subsidiaries, aritilates or successors arising out of or taked to the performance of services thereunder by Cardinal, treaddless of whether servic damin is based upon any of the above shall reasons or otherwise.	WVU68-07(30)	MNN9-07(25)	WUU68-07/201		mnnes-01/21)	520	05/3	WVU68-05(25)	Sample I.D.		Kyun Kum	" Andrews all field	Uniter Pridays	4860	753, 4874 Fax #:	1	Bisniperte Dr. Su	· Tonation Olygo	Alcoppis-us	101 East Marland, Hobbs, NM 88240 (575) 393-2326 FAX (575) 393-2476	aborato	ARDINAL
Please fax written changes to (	Sample Condition Cool Intack	Received By:	And the test	medy for any claim arising whether based in contract or tort, shall be limited to the amount paid by the citent for the rs shall be deemed walved unless made in writing and reselved by Cardinal within 30 days after competition of the ap s, including without limitation, business interruptions, loss of use, or loss of profits incurred by citent, its subsidiaries, under by Cardinal, relardidess of whether such claim is based upon any of the above stated reasons or otherwise	<u>م</u>	~	8 9 9	6	a 19	X 19	)	ר א	# CONTAINERS GROUNDWATER WASTEWATER SOIL OIL SLUDGE	MATRIX				Chouron	717,977,4620 A	Zip: 77402	14 200 C	0		1 88240 3-2476		L Z
575) 393-2226 ISH	on CHERKEDBY:			r tort, shall be limited to the amount paid by the cilent for the reserved by Cardinal within 30 days after completion of the aq so of use, or loss of profits incurred by cilent, its subsidiaries, based upon any of the above saided reasons or otherwise.	5421 21-91-5 A	5-16-13	4241 E1-94-5 2	516-13		5-16-13	516-13	852121-9-50		PRESERV. SAMPLING		Phone #:	State: Zip:	City:	Address:	Attn:	Company:	P.O. #:	= [f(s)] = [f(s)]		CHA	
		Hold WV463-05, WU468-01	Add'l Phone #: Add'l Fax #:	icable			~ ^		-	. ~ .	-	58 1 × 1 85	TIME Chloridzs Hold <del>×</del>										ANALYSIS REQUEST		CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY AND ANALYSIS REQUEST	

$^{+}$ Cardinal cannot accept verbal changes. Please fax written changes to (575) 393-4626	Delivered By: (Circle One) Sampler - UPS - Bus - Other: $4^{\circ}$	Time:	Rommuished By: Date: Received By:	Received	All claims including those for negling All claims including those for negling in no event shall Cardinal be liable or successors arising out of or related	$\frac{ \mathbf{q}  \vee \mathcal{U}_{6} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{5}(\mathcal{D})}{ \mathbf{b} ^{1}} = \frac{ \mathbf{b} ^{1}}{ \mathbf{b} ^{1}}$	mnn92-021	(10) 20-	(12/50-84nn	mulles-22(2)	68-02/201	W///68-02 (20)	WULL 68-02 (20)	w VUL 68-02 (15)	10 WUL68-02 (10') 6 11	(G)R # CO GRO	Lab I.D. Sample I.D. AB OR (C)OM INTAINERS UNDWATER	FOR LAB USE ONLY	Sampler Name: Kyrin Kanny	Project Location: Byderey ? all first	Project Name: Conviten Prictury	Project #: 1/204860, cozo Project Owner: Ch-vro	Phone #: 713,953,4874 Fax #: 717,977,4620	City: Houston State: The Zip: 7740	7	Project Manager: Jenathun Dig zag	Company Name: Alcorpt/5-215	101 East Marland, Hobbs, NM 88240 (575) 393-2326 FAX (575) 393-2476		Laboratories	CARDINAL	
1 changes to (575) 393-8826	Sample Condition CHECKED BY: Cool Intact Ves Tartes Children No No No		HUMBY Hold	Phone Result: Fax Result: REMARKS:	gence and any one view conservers that be deemed wave of unsess made in writing and received by Cardinal within 30 days after completion of the applicable ligence and any other cause writiscovers shall be deemed wave of unless made in writing and received by Cardinal within 30 days after completion of the applicable for incidential or consequential damages, including without limitation, business interruptions, loss of use, or loss of profits incurred by client, its subsidiaries, to the performance of services hereunder by Cardinal, regardless of whether such claim is based upon any of the above stated reasons or otherwise.			x 5-6-13 1352 1	× 5-16-13 1347 1	× 5-14-13 1344	21-11-24	b 6-16-13	6-7-50		1 8511 81-71-50	SOIL OIL SLUI OTH ACID ICE / OTH DATE TIME	DGE ER : //BASE: COOL ER : Non z	MATRIX PRESERV. SAMPLING	Fax #:	Phone #:	State: Zip:	City:	Address:	102 Attn:	Company:	P.O. #:			CHAIN-OF-			
			1468-05 Senflas	□ Yes □ No Add'I Phone #:		×	*										old										ANALYSIS REQUEST		CUSTODY AND ANALYSIS REQUEST			

		1																													JA.
† Cardinal	Delivered By: Sampler - UPS -	Kelinquished By:		Relinquished By:	analyses. All claims includi service. In no event shall C		55	26	40	200	20	26	2	20	H30162	Lab I.D.	-	FOR LAB USE ONLY	Sampler Name:	Project Location:	Project Name:	Project #: 1900	Phone #: 7/3	City: Housto	Address: 2929	Project Manager:	Company Name:				
Cardinal cannot accept verbal changes. Please fax written changes to (575) 393-	: (Circle One) - Bus - Other:	Y	2	y:	Level to C.E. usewup in Demigree Content and any other General Section 2016 of any demonstration of the applicable analyses. All claims including those of angligence and any other General Section 2016 of the applicable analyses. All claims including those of angligence and any other General Section 2016 of the applicable analyses. All claims including those of the applicable analyses. All claims including those of angligence and any other General Section 2016 of the applicable analyses. All claims including those of the applicable analyses. All claims the advect sets of the applicable and any other General Section 2016 of the applicable and the app	WUUG8 ~02(9	20-8		∕ r	140-8911	mnn 63-04	MAN 63-04		wuu68-0		Sample I.D.			Kyun Van	Byder	Uniter Prietry	Project #: 120 4860,0000	953.4874		Bisnefeert		" Alcoppis-us	101 East Marlaı 575) 393-2326		abora	レフロ
changes. Please		Date: Time:	170	Date: 5-12-13	ause whatsoever shall be c quental damages, including of services bereunder by C	(5)	(ご)	Ř.	(25)	(151)	$(10^{\prime})$	(51)	1(2)	3 (30)	•	D			4	Prolo	f	Project Owner:	Fax #: 7/ 7,9	State: 1/20	Pr. Suit-	6201		nd, Hobbs, NM 8824 FAX (575) 393-2476		torie	Z
fax written chan	Sample Cool Vres	PEEVe	Upde .	Received By:	eemed waived unless made in without limitation, business int ardinal recardless of whether	6 /     b	a 1 3		~	×	x 1 9	a 11 9	x 1	x 119	# COI GROU	AB OR (( NTAINEI UNDWA TEWATE	RS TER					: Chronon	717,977,4620	Zip: 77402	200	-		<b>6</b> 40		CD M	Γ
ges to (575) 393-	Sample Condition CHE Cool Intact Chres Chres		Hense	2	1 writing and received by Car rerruptions, loss of use, or los such claim is based upon an	t in contract or fort shall he i									1			MATRIX PRESERV.	Fax #:	Phone #:	State:	City:	Address:	Attn:	Company:	P.O. #:	Virgens Zinner, Sammer Markan, Sammer Markan, Sammer Markan, Sammer Markan, Sammer Markan, Sammer Markan, Sa Sammer Markan, Sammer Ma Sammer Markan, Sammer Markan, Sammer Sammer Markan, Sammer Markan, S Sammer Markan, Sammer Markan, Sammer Markan, Sammer M Sammer Markan, Sammer M Sammer				
Le la			SAC -		rdinal within 30 days after c ss of profits incurred by clie	\$ 5-6-13			2-11-13	v E-16-13				E1-945 02		R Poi	9 Z	ERV. SAMPLING			Zip:						3   <i>4 </i> =](6]=				
		• .		Phone Result:	y use overs for the applicable ompletion of the applicable st, its subsidiaries,	1154 1	1152 1	1 54/1	1135 1	1115 (	1102 (	1 8501	1055 1	1045 1	TIME (hl	oria	25	6	zer	2,1							And and a set of the set of th		CHAIN-OF-		
																												· · · ·	CUSTODY		
			Muu I rax #:	Add'l Phone #:												<u></u>								<u>.</u>			ANALYSIS R	•	AND ANA		
																								·· · · · ·	-		REQUEST		F-CUSTODY AND ANALYSIS REQUEST		
																									-	 			UEST		

	• •	$\int$																										
† Cardinal	Delivered By: Sampler - UPS	Beiinquished By	Relingaished By	analyses. All claims includi service. In no event shall C affiliates or successors aris	PI FASE NOTE- 1 Jahilly a	24	30	S.		75	3	30	H301182	Lab I.D.	FOR DAD USE UNLY	Sampler Name:	Project Location:	Project Name: Clarden	Project #: 1900	Phone #: 7/3	City: Housto	Address: 2929	Project Manager:	Company Name:				
Cardinal cannot accept verbal changes. Please fax written changes to (575) $\mathcal{H}50$	: (Circle One) - Bus - Other:			Including these for registering or many services or correct your grain service in variance in unitact in this termitted to the amouth pad by the client for the including these for registering and other cases whatsovers hall be deemed waived unless made in writing and reseved by Cardinal within 30 days after completion of the as shall Cardinal be liable for incidental or consequental damages, including without limitation, business interruptions, loss of use, or loss of profits incurred by client, its subsidiaries, the advised by the second se		1.111	wvle	munt	would be	5	RANAM	w 06		San		Kyan	n: Byderyz		Mac 4860,0000	953.4874	3	9 Binger		: Alcoppis-us	101 East Mai (575) 393-23:	Х 	abo	
verbal change		Date: Time:	Date: 5-10 Time;	nd any other cause whatsoever ntal or consequental damages, performance of services hereur	÷1. > C	68-03/201)		5	(10) 20-29		-06 (20'	-06 (15)		Sample I.D.		Kann 7	20119210	Pritary		/ Fax #:	Stat	the Dr.	n 014 2	5n-1	101 East Marland, Hobbs, NM 88240 (575) 393-2326 FAX (575) 393-2476		rator	Z Z D
s. Please		1 1	Date: 5-16-13 Time; 700	oever shall be d ages, including hereunder by C:	is remedy for									•			0	-	Project Owner:		State: 1/20	54.4-	5		NM 88; 393-247			K
) fax writ	4	Receiv	Receiv	deemed waived un y without limitation, ardinal, regardless	61		6	6 1	> C 	<u>, v</u>	. 6	61	- in the second	AB OR (C)ON NTAINERS	ΛP.					717,977,4620	Zip: 7	33			240 '6			
ten chan	Sample Cool II	Ived By:	ived By:	y wilduler base t unless made i on, business in less of whether	5	× ~	~~	87	<u>२</u> ल	50	5	8	-	UNDWATER TEWATER	- NIA				Chroron	20	2402			-				•
	Sample Condition Cool / Intact Yes Yes		A.	in writing and received by Ca In writing and received by Ca Iterruptions, loss of use, or la such claim is based uppn a								1.91												11.81.4				
751 <sub>393-2326</sub>			VM	even user in volumes or not, stall be immed to the amount paid by the client for the less made in writing and received by Cardinal within 30 days after completion of the ap business interruption, loss of user or loss of porfits incurred by client, its subsidiaries, sof whether such claim is based upon any of the above stated reasons or otherwise.										ER : /BASE: COOL	PRESERV.	Fax #:	Phone #:	State:	City:	Address:	Attn:	Company:	P.O. #:					
326	Hals)		PZ	nited to the amo inal within 30 d of profits incur of the above sl	1-95	x x x 4	1/1		51-11-5 0		8-9-5 Q	Er 7-5 X		Riplonz		1		Zip:				••		8////-				
		<b>]</b>	Pho Fax REF	ount paid by the ays after comp red by client, it ated reasons c	w \	21 519	-		2 5	-			DATE T	<u></u>	SAMPLING									7(9)		년 1		
		Hold	Phone Result: Fax Result: REMARKS:	ne client for the pletion of the ap its subsidiaries, <u>or</u> otherwise.	1035	5201	0201	l'old	<u> </u>	853	0956	0954	TIME	·										and a subject of the	en e	AIN-C		
	-	WVI	t: ⊡-Yes	plicable					 - -	. ~ . ~	×	× /	Chi H	oridze Old	?	301	<u>e, 1</u>	-					_			DF-CL		
		wvu63-06	NO NO											-					`			· · · · · ·				JSTO		
								_															_	A		DYA		
	·	Serf/25	Add'l Phone #: Add'l Fax #:		•										<u> </u>							-		ANALYSIS				
		S.	#						_								- <u>.</u>									VALY		
																	•							REQUEST		SIS R		
		• •				-																			• •	CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY AND ANALYSIS REQUEST		
																										ST		
		v																								•		

$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Delivered By: Sampler - UPS		Steringuished Br	Keiinquistied By	analyses. An wanths involution service. In no event shall C affiliates or successors arisin	NOTE: Liat	01	14	96	-J-S-	E	ξł	2h	ų	6	H301182	Lab I.D.	FOR LAB USE ONLY	Sampler Name:	<b>Project Location:</b>		Project #: 1900		City: Housto	Address: 2929	Project Manager:	Company Name:				
CHAIN-G       CHAIN-G       SAMPLING       DATE       TIME       G-16-13       Fax Result:       Fax Result:       Fax Result:       Fax Result:       Ho / n	: (Circle One) - Bus - Other:	· · · ·			y more for negative and any other con rdinal be liable for incidental or consequi g out of or related to the performance of	$WUUU_6D~Db~10$ Damages. Cardinal's liability and client's e	190-09 00	190-29	10-8	p10	$\sim$	N 10	01 (	10-8	63-01		Sample I.D.		2 2	Byderyz all	dange	4860,0000	953, 4874		Bingert Dr.	Donathan Oly	1_	101 East Marland, Hobbs, I (575) 393-2326 FAX (575) 3		aborato	コスして
CHAIN-       PLING       7   <	40 Sample Con Cool Intag				ges, including without limitation, business interruptic generation, business interruptic reunder by Cardinai, regardless of whether such die	for any claim arising whether based	-		-	-		-	~	1	5	# CON GROU WASTE SOIL OIL	TAINERS NDWATEF EWATER					Chours.	717,977,4620	Zip: フフゲク	ł.,			VM 88240 93-2476	<b>,</b>	)ries	
Hain- Ha	CHECKED						7-10-17					X 5-16-13	_	2-11-3	21-9-5 a	OTHEF ACID/B ICE / C OTHEF	R : ASE: OOL			#		City:	Address:	Attn:	Company:	P.O. #:			<u> </u>		
ODY AND ANALYSIS ANALYSIS REQUES Add Phone #: No Add Phone #: No Add Pax #:				t: ⊔ Yes	applicable si,	ne 🖊		· -	-		1324 1			×			vidz Nd	ļ		e, 1	-						an an Angela, Ang		CHAIN-OF-CUST		
			3-06 5-12-5														· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · ·								ANALYSIS REQUEST		TODY AND ANALYSIS		

Page 13 of 13



#### Attachment 6

Boring Logs (May 2013)

	e Sta Iling (						Company/	
Dri Sar	lling I npling	Metho a Met	od: A	Air Ro	otary ovel			Client: Chevron EMC Location: West Vacuum Unit
Во	rehol	e Dej	pth:	30' b	gs			
			-,.					
DEPTH	ELEVATION	Sample Run Number	Sample/Int/Type	Recovery (feet)	PID Headspace (ppm)	Analytical Sample	Geologic Column	Stratigraphic Description
	0							
-	-	1	AR	5	21.1	×		CAPROCK CALICHE, White (7.5YR8/1) to Light Brown (7.5YR6/4), indurated, laminated, contains pisolites, caliche intermxed with silica lamination.
- 5 -	- -5 - -		AR	0	16.5	×		CLAYEY CALICHE, Pink (7.5YR8/3), soft to slightly firm, arenaceous, dry.
- 10		2	AR	5	13.2	×		SANDY CALICHE, Very Pale Brown (10YR8/2), very firm, dry, powdery, traces sand, silt to very fine to firm grains, subrounded, poorly sorted. Formation also contains traces concretionary nodules, siliceous, nodular, rounded, 0.3 to 0.5 cm in size.
- - - 15	- - - -15 -	3	AR	5	11.7	æ		CALCAREOUS SAND, Very Pale Brown (10YR8/2), very fine to firm ground, subangular, poorly sorted, loose, mostly sand, some intergrannular caliche, soft, powdery, dry.
-	-	4		5				SANDSTONE, Very Pale Brown (10YR8/2), very firm to fine grained, subangular, poorly sorted, dry, calcareous. Formation sand becomes subrounded at 25 feet bgs.
- 20	-20 - -				9.2	æ		
-	-	5	AR	5				
- 25 - -	-25 <b>-</b> -				13.2	×		
- - - 	- - 	6		5		×		



**Remarks:** ags = above ground surface; AK = air knife; amsI = above mean sea level; AR = air rotary; bgs = below ground surface; ppm = parts per million; cm = centimeter;

	e Sta Iling (						Company/	Well/Boring ID: WVU68-02 Chevron
	lling I							Client: Chevron EMC Location: West Vacuum Unit
San	npling	g Met	hod:	Sho	ovel			
Bo Des	rehol script	e De tions	oth: By:	30' b R Na	gs inny			
		ber			(md			
	7	Num	Type	eet)	ace (p	ample	Iumn	
т	ATION	le Run	le/Int/	Recovery (feet)	eadsp	ical S	gic Cc	Stratigraphic Description
DEPTH	ELEVATION	Sample Run Number	Sample/Int/Type	Recov	PID Headspace (ppm)	Analytical Sample	Geologic Column	
					_			
			AK				OX	Indurated Caliche at surface.
-	-		AR	_	11.7	×	O	CAPROCK CALICHE, White (7.5YR8/1) to Light Brown (7.5YR6/4), indurated, laminated, containing pisolites, caliche intermixed with silica lamination.
	-	1		5			$\otimes$	
5	-5 -		AR		15.2	×	YA	CLAYEY CALICHE, Pink (7.5YR8/3), soft to slightly firm, arenaceous, dry.
	-							SANDY CALICHE, Very Pale Brown (10YR8/2), very firm, dry, powdery, traces very fine to fine grain sand, subrounded, poorly sorted, formation also contained traces concretionary nodules, siliceous, nodular, rounded, 0.3 to 0.5 cm in size.
-	-	2		5				
-	-		AR					
- 10	-10 -				5.9	×		
-	-						$\pm$	
ŀ	-	3	AR	5				
- 15	-15 -				8.6	×	H : H H : H H : H	CALCAREOUS SAND, Very Pale Brown (10YR8/2), very fine to fine grained, subangular, poorly sorted, loose, mostly sand, some intergrainular caliche, soft, dry, powdery.
-	-						•••••	SANDSTONE, Very Pale Brown (10YR8/2), very fine to fine grained, subrounded to subangular, poorly sorted, firmly cemented, friable, dry, calcareous, intergrainular caliche cementatin is powdery, sand became subrounded at 30' bgs.
-	_	4		5				
- 20	-20 -					×		
-					10.1			
ŀ	-	5		5				
Ę	-		AR					
- 25	-25 -				12.2	×		
ļ	-							
-	_	6		5				
- 20						×		
30		-						



**Remarks:** ags = above ground surface; AK = air knife; amsl = above mean sea level; AR = air rotary; bgs = below ground surface; ppm = parts per million; cm = centimeter;

	te Star Iling (						Company/	R Dallas Client: Chevron EMC
Dri Sar	lling M npling	Metho g Met	od: <sup>A</sup>	Air Ro	otary ovel			Location: West Vacuum Unit
Bo De	oreholo script	e Dej tions	oth: By:	30' b R Na	gs anny			
DEPTH	ELEVATION	Sample Run Number	Sample/Int/Type	Recovery (feet)	PID Headspace (ppm)	Analytical Sample	Geologic Column	Stratigraphic Description
DEI	ELE	San	Sar	Red	PID	Ana	Geo	
_ <del>0</del>								CAPROCK CALICHE, White (7.5YR8/1) to Light Brown (7.5YR6/4), indurated, laminated, containing pisolites, caliche intermixed with
	-	1	AR	5	3.5	×	88	silica cementation.
5	-5 -		AR		5.4	×		CLAYEY CALICHE, Pink (7.5YR8/3), soft to slightly firm, arenaceous, dry, powdery.
- - -	-	2		5				SANDY CALICHE, Very Pale Brown (10YR8/2), firm, dry, powdery, trace sand, very firm to firm grain, subangular, poorly sorted, formation also contains trace concretionary nodules, siliceous, nodular rounded, 0.3 to 0.5 cm in size.
- 10 -	-10 -	0	AR	_	5.4	æ		
	-	3		5			т. Т.	
- 15	-15 -		AR		7.1	₩		CALCAREOUS SAND, Very Pale Brown (10YR8/2), very firm to firm grained, subangular, poorly sorted, loose, mostly sand, some intergrainular caliche, soft, dry, powdery.
-	-	4		5				SANDSTONE, Very Pale Brown (10YR8/2), very fine to fine grained, subangular to subrounded, poorly sorted, firm, friable, dry, strongly calcareous. Very fine at 22' bgs. Formation also became indurated at 25' bgs.
- 20	-20 <del>-</del>				12.2	×		
-	-	5	AR	5				
- 25	-25 <b>-</b>				9.5	×		
-	-	6		5				
						×		



**Remarks:** ags = above ground surface; AK = air knife; amsl = above mean sea level; AR = air rotary; bgs = below ground surface; ppm = parts per million; cm = centimeter;

	e Sta Iling (						Company/	R Dallas Well/Boring ID: WVU68-04 Client: Chevron EMC
Dri Sar	lling <b>l</b> npling	Metho g Met	od: A	Air Ro	tary ovel			Location: West Vacuum Unit
Bo De	rehol script	e Dej tions	oth: By:	30' b R Na	gs inny			
DEPTH	ELEVATION	Sample Run Number	Sample/Int/Type	Recovery (feet)	PID Headspace (ppm)	Analytical Sample	Geologic Column	Stratigraphic Description
	0							
_	_		AK					No recovery, indurated caliche at surface.
F	_		AR		0.8	×	$\mathbf{\mathcal{B}}$	CAPROCK CALICHE, White (7.5YR8/1) to Light Brown (7.5YR6/4), indurated, laminated, contains pisolites, calcified with silica cementation.
- 5	- -5 -	1	AR	5	0.9	æ		CLAYEY CALICHE, Pink (7.5YR8/3), soft to slightly firm, arenaceous, dry.
-	-	2		5				
- 10	-10 -		AR		1.2	×		SANDY CALICHE, Very Pale Brown (10YR8/2), very firm, powdery, traces very fine to fine graining, subangular, poorly sorted, formation also contained traces concretionary nodules, siliceous, nodular, rounded, dry.
- - - 15	- -15 -	3		5		×		CALCAREOUS SAND, Vrey Pale Brown (10YR8/2), very fine to fine grained, subangular, poorly sorted, loose, mix of sand and intergrainular caliche, soft, dry, powdery.
- 15	-15 -		AR		0.7	R		
-	-	4		5				SANDSTONE, Very Pale Brown (10YR8/2), very fine to fine grained, subangular, poorly sorted, firmly cemented, friable, dry, calcareous. intergrainular caliche lamination in powdery formation. Sand became subrounded at 30' bgs.
- 20	-20 -				0.8	×		
25	- 25	5	AR	5		N		
-	-25 <b>-</b> -	6		5	6.8	æ		
-						×		



**Remarks:** ags = above ground surface; AK = air knife; amsl = above mean sea level; AR = air rotary; bgs = below ground surface; ppm = parts per million;

### Attachment 7

Chloride Multimedia Exposure Assessment Model Simulated Soil Screening Levels for the Protection of Groundwater Memo



MEMO

To: Kegan Boyer, Chevron Environmental Management Company <sup>Copies:</sup> Chris Shepherd, ARCADIS Kathleen Abbott, ARCADIS David Evans, ARCADIS

From: Jonathan Olsen

Date: May 8, 2014

ARCADIS Project No.: B0048615.0000

Subject: Chloride Multimedia Exposure Assessment Model Simulated Soil Screening Levels for the Protection of Groundwater HES Transfer Sites, Lea County, New Mexico

On behalf of Chevron Environmental Management Company, ARCADIS U.S., Inc. (ARCADIS) evaluated chloride remediation action levels for use at the Health Environmental Safety (HES) Transfer Sites near Hobbs, New Mexico. The New Mexico Oil Conservation District (NMOCD) has established soil screening levels (SSLs) for fluid management pits (also known as the "NMOCD PIT RULE" [NMAC 19.15.17]); however, no formal SSLs have been established by the NMOCD or the New Mexico Environmental Department (NMED) for surface releases of production water. The Risk Assessment Guidance for Investigation and Remediation (NMED 2012) states that SSLs should be based on risk to human health and the potential migration to groundwater with respect to the NMED-specific tap water SSL. Chloride is not considered hazardous and the NMED and the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) have not established tap water screening levels for chloride. However, the NMED has established a chloride standard for groundwater (NMAC 20.6.2.1101) of 250 milligrams per liter (mg/L). Therefore, the SSL for chloride should be based on the soil leaching to groundwater pathway.

To evaluate a chloride SSL for use at the HES Transfer Sites, ARCADIS performed simulations of unsaturated zone flow, transport, and saturated zone mixing of chloride using the Multimedia Exposure Assessment Model Version 2.0 (MULTIMED; USEPA 1996) to evaluate the potential migration of chloride in shallow soil through the unsaturated zone to the underlying groundwater. The initial simulations were intended to estimate a maximum allowable chloride soil concentration (site SSL) to evaluate HES Transfer

ARCADIS U.S., Inc. 2929 Briarpark Drive Suite 300 Houston Texas 77042 Tel 713 953 4800 Fax 713 977 4620



Sites in Lea County and eastern Eddy County, New Mexico, and to develop a baseline approach for using the model for potential future evaluations of solute migration at other HES Transfer Sites in New Mexico.

#### **MULTIMED Overview**

MULTIMED was originally designed to simulate the movement of solutes leaching from a landfill to various exposure pathways. Due to its general acceptance by the NMOCD and the USEPA and its ability to simulate unsaturated and saturated zone flow and transport, MULTIMED was selected for this evaluation. The model, as designed, simulates one-dimensional vertical transport in the unsaturated zone to the saturated zone based on user-provided input parameters considering vadose zone, saturated zone, and chemical-specific characteristic parameters.

The simulations were performed using both the unsaturated and saturated zone modules available in MULTIMED. The unsaturated zone module performs solutions of the downward flow of infiltrating water to the water table by Darcy's Law:

$$Q = -K_v \cdot K_{rw} \left(\frac{\delta \psi}{\delta z}\right)$$

Where:

 $\psi$  is the pressure head (meters [m]) z is the depth (m) Kv is the saturated hydraulic conductivity (meters per year [m/year]) Krw is the relative hydraulic conductivity

The boundary condition at the water table is:

 $\psi \cdot L = 0$ 

Where:

L is the thickness of the unsaturated zone (m)

In the unsaturated zone, it is necessary to specify the relationship between relative hydraulic conductivity, pressure head, and water saturation. This relationship is given by van Genuchten (1976):

$$S_e = \theta r + \frac{\theta s - \theta r}{\left[1 + (\alpha \psi^{\beta})^{\gamma}\right]}$$

#### Where:

- $\theta r$  and  $\theta s$  are the residual water saturation and total water saturation (dimensionless), respectively
- $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ ,  $\alpha$  are empirical soil-specific parameters (dimensionless)

 $\psi$  is the air pressure entry head (m)

 $S_e$  is the effective saturation (fraction)

Source area concentrations are input as leachate concentrations, therefore, the soil/water partition equation was used to convert between total soil concentration in milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg) and the leachate concentration in mg/L:

$$C_t = \frac{C_l \cdot R \cdot \theta_w}{\rho_b}$$

Where:

 $C_t$  is the concentration of the chemical of interest in soil (mg/kg)

 $C_l$  is the concentration of the chemical of interest in leachate (mg/L)

*R* is the retardation coefficient (dimensionless, assumed 1 for chloride)

 $\rho_b$  is the bulk density of the soil (mg/L or grams per cubic centimeter)

The mass of the chemical of interest that reaches the groundwater is expressed by the simplified steadystate equation (Salhotra et al. 1995) that couples the vadose zone to the groundwater:

$$M_L = A_w \cdot Q_f \cdot C_l$$

Where:

 $M_L$  is the chemical of interest mass that leaches from site soil (grams per year [g/year])

 $A_w$  is the width of the source area (m<sup>2</sup>)

 $Q_f$  is the percolation rate from the facility/site (m/year)

The mixed groundwater concentration is controlled by the quasi-three-dimensional advection dispersion equations that are evaluated based on the following chemical concentration relationship within the mixing zone (Salhotra et al. 1995):

$$C(x, y, z, t) = \frac{H}{B}C_f(x, y, t) + \Delta C_p(x, y, z, t)$$

#### Where:

*C* is the dissolved concentration (mg/L, g/m<sup>3</sup>) *x,y,z* are the spatial coordinates (m) *t* is elapsed time (year) *H* is the source zone penetration (m), with a maximum equal to *B B* is the thickness of the saturated zone (m)

MULTIMED's output concentration is a centerline concentration based on a calculated dilution attenuation factor. Thus, the output concentration is the maximum concentration of the chemical of interest in groundwater at a reasonable distance downgradient from the source area.

#### Model Design, Inputs, and Assumptions

The required input parameters for the MULTIMED simulations are summarized in Table 1. Input parameters include model structure, unsaturated and saturated zones, and chemical characteristics. Minimal site-specific data regarding the HES sites are available; therefore, numerous input parameters are based on published reports, default NMED values (2012), default values provided in the modeling code, and ARCADIS's experience, as indicated in Table 1. The model values are considered representative of the Lea County, New Mexico area. Due to the intended use of the SSL at multiple sites, more conservative values were generally selected for the given ranges of input parameters.

The general assumptions used in the MULTIMED model design include:

- · The unsaturated and saturated zones are a single, homogeneous material.
- The applied recharge and infiltration are constant throughout the simulation.
- Initial chloride concentrations in soil below the source area and in groundwater are equal to 0.
- · The model assumes no chemical transformation or adsorption of chloride to soil materials.

The simulations were performed using the transient model capabilities of MULTIMED. Steady-state simulations were not chosen because MUTLIMED requires the assumption that the source is continuous and constant throughout the simulation, which is not appropriate for these evaluations. Also, the transient model was selected to provide output that simulates the aquifer concentrations versus time and models a finite source.

#### **Model Simulations and Results**

Using the input parameters provided, soil concentrations for chloride were iteratively varied to arrive at an appropriate maximum allowable soil concentration that would be protective of groundwater for each of the scenarios. To calculate the maximum concentration that would be observed given the input concentrations and parameters, the simulation period selected was 1,980 years with 20-year time steps.

To ascertain the maximum allowable chloride concentration for more typical chloride concentration distribution and depth to groundwater scenarios, eight MULTIMED simulations were completed. The scenarios are summarized in Table 2. The input values for the simulations were the same, except for the thickness and width of the chloride-affected soil within the soil column. The first four simulations evaluated homogeneous chloride-affected soil 20 meters wide (400 square meters  $[m^2]$ ) and varied the chloride-affected soil 20 meters and the depth to groundwater between 20 and 30.5 meters. The remaining four simulations evaluated homogeneous chloride-affected soil thickness between 1 meter and 3 meters and the depth to groundwater soil 45 meters wide (2,000 m<sup>2</sup>) and varied the chloride affected soil thickness between 1 meters and 30.5 meters.

The predicted groundwater concentrations versus time are illustrated on Figures 1 through 8. The peak arrival times varied between 540 and 860 years. The simulations indicate the site SSLs for the protection of groundwater ranged from 8,525 to 266,100 mg/kg (Table 2) depending on the scenario and are protective of the New Mexico chloride groundwater standard of 250 mg/L.

The MULTIMED model, like any model, requires the use of simplifying assumptions regarding subsurface conditions and flow processes that result in inherent limitations and uncertainty compared to an actual flow system. In this case, uncertainty may be related to:

- The model assumes homogeneous unsaturated and saturated zones; the actual conditions at the sites likely contain numerous heterogeneities.
- The applied recharge and infiltration rates are constant. The aquifer hydraulic gradient is also assumed to be constant. These rates likely vary with time, and these variations may influence the solute migration and mixing, resulting in short-term changes in aquifer concentrations
- The model is a theoretical simulation of transport processes and is not verified or calibrated against site-specific data.

#### **Conclusions and Recommendations**

The model simulations reasonably represent conditions encountered at most of the Lea County and eastern Eddy County HES Transfer Sites. HES Transfer Sites with chloride-affected soil can be screened

against SSLs in Table 2, assuming they meet the specified conditions (source length, source depth, depth to groundwater, and soil concentration). For calculated SSLs greater than 100,000 mg/kg, a maximum allowable soil concentration of 100,000 mg/kg is recommended in accordance with the NMED risk assessment guidance (NMED 2012). For sites that meet all of these conditions, no further action is recommended. For the sites that do not meet these conditions, site-specific evaluations should be conducted.

#### Enclosures:

#### Tables

Table 1

MULTIMED V2.0 Model Inputs

Table 2	Soil Screening Level Matrix
Figures	
Figure 1	MULTIMED Simulated Chloride Concentration vs. Time (Source = 20m, Chloride 0-1m, & Depth to Groundwater = 20m)
Figure 2	MULTIMED Simulated Chloride Concentration vs. Time (Source = 20m, Chloride 0-1m, & Depth to Groundwater = 30.5m)
Figure 3	MULTIMED Simulated Chloride Concentration vs. Time (Source = 20m, Chloride 0-3m, & Depth to Groundwater = 20m)
Figure 4	MULTIMED Simulated Chloride Concentration vs. Time (Source = 20m, Chloride 0-3m, & Depth to Groundwater = 30.5m)
Figure 5	MULTIMED Simulated Chloride Concentration vs. Time (Source = 45m, Chloride 0-1m, & Depth to Groundwater = 20m)
Figure 6	MULTIMED Simulated Chloride Concentration vs. Time (Source = 45m, Chloride 0-1m, & Depth to Groundwater = 30.5m)
Figure 7	MULTIMED Simulated Chloride Concentration vs. Time (Source = 45m, Chloride 0-3m, & Depth to Groundwater = 20m)
Figure 8	MULTIMED Simulated Chloride Concentration vs. Time (Source = 45m, Chloride 0-3m, & Depth to Groundwater = 30.5m)

#### References

- New Mexico Environment Department. 2012. Risk Assessment Guidance for Investigations and Remediation, Volume I. February 2012 (updated June 2012).
- Salhotra, A.M., P. Mineart, S. Sharp-Hansen, T. Allison, R. Johns, and W.B. Mills. 1995. Multimedia Exposure Assessment Model (MULTIMED 2.0) for Evaluating the Land Disposal of Wastes--Model Theory. United States Environmental Protection Agency, Athens, GA. Unpublished Report.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency. 1996. A Subtitle D Landfill Application Manual for the Multimedia Exposure Assessment Model (MULTIMED 2.0). Final Report.
- Van Genuchten, M, Th., and P.J. Wierenga. 1976. Mass Transfer Studies in Sorbing Porous Media I. Analytical Solutions. Soil Science Society of America Proceedings. v 40, 473-480.



Tables

## Table 1MULTIMED V2.0 Model InputsChevron HES Transfer SitesLea County, New Mexico

Parameters	Value(s)	Units	Notes
Unsaturated Zone Flow Parameters:			
Depth of Unsaturated Zone	20.0	m	Local water levels (20m & 30.5m)
Hydraulic Conductivity	0.06	cm/hr	Texas (2011)
Unsaturated Zone Porosity	0.44	fraction	NMED (2012) Default
Residual Water Content	0.260	fraction	NMED (2012) Default
Unsaturated Zone Transport Parameters:			
Thickness of Layer	20 & 30.5	m	Regional water levels
Percent of Organic Matter	1.5%		NMED (2012) Default (not used)
Bulk Density	1.5	g/cm <sup>3</sup>	NMED (2012) Default
Biological Decay Coefficient	0	1/yr	(not used)
Aquifer Parameters:	•		
Aquifer Porosity	0.43	fraction	NMED (2012) Default
Bulk Density	1.5	g/cm <sup>3</sup>	NMED (2012) Default
Aquifer Thickness	12.0	m	NMED (2012) Default
Hydraulic Conductivity	542	m/yr	Texas (2011), Velocity ~ 1/2 NMED Default
Hydraulic Gradient	0.010	m/m	NMED (2012) Default
Organic Carbon Content	0.020	fraction	NMED (2012) Default (not used)
Temperature of Aquifer	15.0	°C	NMED (2012) Default (not used)
pH	6.2		(not used)
x-distance Radial Distance from Site to Receptor	12	m	equal to aquifer thickness
Source Parameters:	-		
Infiltration Rate	0.013	m/yr	~0.5 in/yr, Texas (2011)
Area of Waste	400 & 2000	m²	NMED (2012) Default (~45m x45m)
Recharge Rate	0.013	m/yr	Texas (2011)
Duration of Pulse	540 to 840	yr	Varied, set equal to peak arrival time
Discharge Concentrations	0	mg/L	
Initial Soil Concentrations:	-		•
Depth (m)			
Chloride leachate concentration 0	varied	mg/L	Calculated for each scenario <sup>1</sup>
Chloride leachate concentration 1 & 3	0	mg/L	
Chloride leachate concentration 20 & 30.5	0	mg/L	
Additional Parameters:			·
Method	Gaussian		
New Mexico Environment Department. 2012. Ris	k Chloride		
Chemical Parameters:			
Normalized Distribution Coefficient	0.00	mL/g	Model Derived
Van Genuchten Parameters:			
Alpha Van Genuchten coefficient	0.38	unitless	NCSS Soil Characterization Data <sup>2</sup>
Beta Van Genuchten coefficient	1.2	unitless	NCSS Soil Characterization Data <sup>2</sup>

Notes: °C - degrees celcius

1 - calculated using the soil-water partitioning equation

2 - van Genutchen transport parameters are typical values for caliche-like material

cm<sup>3</sup> - cubic centimeters

cm - centimeters

g - grams

hr - hour

L - liters

m - meters

m<sup>2</sup> - meter squared

- mg milligrams
- mL milliliters
- yr year

References:

NMED - New Mexico Environmental Department Risk Assessment Guidance for Site Investigations and Remediation. February 2012. NCSS - National Cooperative Soil Survey, National Cooperative Soil Characterization Database

Texas - Texas Water Development Board 2011. Update of the Groundwater Availability Model for the Edwards-Trinity (Plateau) and Pecos Valley Aquifers of Texas. January 21, 2011

# Table 2Soil Screening Level MatrixChevron HES Transfer SitesLea County, New Mexico

Scenario	Source Length (m)	Source Area (m)	Source Depth (m)	Depth to Groundwater (m)	SSL <sub>gw</sub> (mg/Kg)	Notes
		, <i>,</i>				NULES
	20	400	0-1	20.0	108,000	1
2	20	400	0-1	30.5	266,100	1
3	20	400	0-3	20.0	23,750	
4	20	400	0-3	30.5	45,000	
5	45	2,000	0-1	20.0	38,800	
6	45	2,000	0-1	30.5	95,500	
7	45	2,000	0-3	20.0	8,525	
8	45	2,000	0-3	30.5	16,100	

NMED SSL Ceiling = 100,000 mg/Kg

Notes:

m - meters

mg/Kg - milligrams per Kilogram

NMED - New Mexico Environmental Department

SSL<sub>gw</sub> - Site soil screening levels for the migration to groundwater pathway

SSL Ceiling - Soil Screening Level Ceiling (NMED 2012)

1 - the NMED SSL ceiling should be used

#### References:

New Mexico Environment Department. 2012. Risk Assessment Guidance for Investigations and Remediation, Volume I. February 2012 (updated June 2012).



Figures

