

NEW MEXICO STATE LAND OFFICE
 OFFICE OF THE STATE GEOLOGIST
 SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

MISCELLANEOUS REPORTS ON WELLS

Submit this report in duplicate to the State Geologist or proper Oil and Gas Inspector within ten days after the work specified is completed. It should be signed and sworn to before a notary public for reports on beginning drilling operations, results of shooting well, results of test of water shut-off, result of abandonment of well, and other important operations, even though the work was witnessed by the State Geologist or Oil and Gas Inspector. Reports on minor operations need not be signed and sworn to before a notary public, but such operations should be witnessed by an Oil and Gas Inspector if possible.

Indicate nature of report by checking below:

REPORT ON BEGINNING DRILLING OPERATIONS		REPORT ON DEEPENING WELL	
REPORT ON RESULT OF SHOOTING WELL		REPORT ON PULLING OR OTHERWISE ALTERING CASING	
REPORT ON RESULT OF TEST OF WATER SHUT-OFF		REPORT ON REPAIRING WELL	
REPORT ON RESULT OF ABANDONMENT OF WELL		REPORT ON ACID TREATMENT	X

Hobbs, New Mexico PLACE March 15, 1934 DATE

Mr. E. H. Wells State Geologist,
 Santa Fe, N. Mex.

Following is a report on the work done and the results obtained under the heading noted above at the Stanolind Oil & Gas Company Well No. 26 in the SE 1/4 of Sec. 9, T. 19 S, R. 20 E, N. M. P. M., Hobbs Oil Field, Lea County.

The dates of this work were as follows: Acid treatment on March 1, 1934

Notice of intention to do the work was (~~submitted~~) submitted on Form SG 105 on February 2, 1934, and approval of the proposed plan was (~~submitted~~) obtained. (Cross out incorrect words.)

DETAILED ACCOUNT OF WORK DONE AND RESULTS OBTAINED

Well was treated with 1,000 gallons 60% commercial Hydrochloric acid solution on March 1st. The well was shut in and left shut in for forty eight hours, until March 3rd, when it was swabbed in. The well was then allowed to flow at its production allowable until the official production test which was made on March 14th. The potential before acid treatment was 500 barrels of oil with an unestimated amount of gas. After acid treatment the potential is 1157 barrels of oil plus 6% water with 1,000,000 cubic feet of gas, an increase of 100%. Open flow test through casing on production test was 1151 barrels, less 6% water. The casing test of this well was not placed on the tubing-casing curve.

DUPLICATE

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 15th day of March, 1934.

[Signature]
 NOTARY PUBLIC.

My commission expires October 17th, 1934

I hereby swear or affirm that the information given above is true and correct.

Name [Signature]
 Position Production Foreman
 Representing Stanolind Oil & Gas Company
 COMPANY OR OPERATOR.
 Address Hobbs, New Mexico

Remarks:

MAR 30 1934

APPROVED AS O. K.

[Signature]
 NAME TITLE

Faint, illegible text at the top left of the page.

Second block of faint, illegible text in the upper middle section.

Third block of faint, illegible text in the middle section.

Fourth block of faint, illegible text in the middle section.

Fifth block of faint, illegible text in the lower middle section.

Sixth block of faint, illegible text in the lower middle section.

Seventh block of faint, illegible text in the lower section.

Eighth block of faint, illegible text in the lower section.

Ninth block of faint, illegible text at the bottom of the page.

Faint, illegible text at the top right of the page.

Second block of faint, illegible text in the upper middle section.

Third block of faint, illegible text in the middle section.

Fourth block of faint, illegible text in the middle section.

Fifth block of faint, illegible text in the lower middle section.

Sixth block of faint, illegible text in the lower middle section.

Seventh block of faint, illegible text in the lower section.

Eighth block of faint, illegible text in the lower section.

Ninth block of faint, illegible text at the bottom of the page.