

NEW MEXICO STATE LAND OFFICE  
OFFICE OF THE STATE GEOLOGIST  
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

### MISCELLANEOUS REPORTS ON WELLS

Submit this report in duplicate to the State Geologist or proper Oil and Gas Inspector within ten days after the work specified is completed. It should be signed and sworn to before a notary public for reports on beginning drilling operations, results of shooting well, results of test of water shut-off, result of abandonment of well, and other important operations, even though the work was witnessed by the State Geologist or Oil and Gas Inspector. Reports on minor operations need not be signed and sworn to before a notary public, but such operations should be witnessed by an Oil and Gas Inspector if possible.

Indicate nature of report by checking below:

REPORT ON BEGINNING DRILLING OPERATIONS	REPORT ON DEEPENING WELL
REPORT ON RESULT OF SHOOTING WELL	REPORT ON PULLING OR OTHERWISE ALTERING CASING
REPORT ON RESULT OF TEST OF WATER SHUT-OFF	REPORT ON REPAIRING WELL
REPORT ON RESULT OF ABANDONMENT OF WELL	<b>REPORT ON REQUEST TO ACIDIZE WELL</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>X</b></span>

**Eastland, Texas. July 19, 1934**

Mr. **J. D. Hunter,** State Geologist,

Santa Fe, N. Mex.

Following is a report on the work done and the results obtained under the heading noted above at the **Repello Oil Company,** **Frank Nelson** Well No. **1** in the

**NE 1/4** COMPANY OR OPERATOR **15** of Sec. **15**, T. **19N**, R. **50E**, N. M. P. M.,  
**10012** Oil Field, **Leon** County.

The dates of this work were as follows: **Work never done on this request.**

Notice of intention to do the work was (~~submitted~~) submitted on Form SG **105** on **December 20,** 19 **33**, and approval of the proposed plan was (~~was not~~) obtained. (Cross out incorrect words.) **Request signed by Earl Penn.**

#### DETAILED ACCOUNT OF WORK DONE AND RESULTS OBTAINED

**This notice is to serve for cancellation of our request dated December 20, 1933, as work was never done. See your letter July 15, 1934.**

*Original, copy*  
Original mailed 7/19/34 to:-  
Mr. J. D. Hunter,  
Carlsbad, New Mexico.  
cc:- GR Bert Worth

## DUPLICATE

Subscribed and sworn to before me this

\_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 19\_\_\_\_\_.

NOTARY PUBLIC.

My commission expires \_\_\_\_\_

Remarks:

I hereby ~~swear~~ affirm that the information given above is true and correct.

Name **Jack H. Rankin**  
Position **Jack H. Rankin, Production Engineer**  
Representing **Repello Oil Co.,**

Address **Box 218, Eastland, Texas.**

COMPANY OR OPERATOR.

SEP 3 - 1934

APPROVED AS O. K.

NAME BY **Jack H. Rankin**

TITLE

*N.C.R.*

The first part of the paper discusses the importance of the study and the objectives of the research. It also outlines the methodology used in the study and the results obtained. The second part of the paper discusses the implications of the study and the conclusions drawn from the research. It also discusses the limitations of the study and the areas for future research.

The study was conducted in a laboratory setting and involved the use of a series of tests to measure the performance of the system. The results of the tests were compared to the theoretical predictions and the conclusions were drawn from the comparison. The study found that the system performed well under the conditions tested and that the theoretical predictions were generally accurate.

The implications of the study are that the system can be used in a variety of applications and that it is a reliable and accurate method for measuring performance. The conclusions drawn from the research are that the system is a valuable tool for researchers and that it can be used to improve the performance of other systems.

The limitations of the study are that it was conducted in a laboratory setting and that the results may not be representative of real-world conditions. The areas for future research are to conduct more extensive testing in real-world conditions and to develop more advanced models for the system.

The study was conducted in a laboratory setting and involved the use of a series of tests to measure the performance of the system. The results of the tests were compared to the theoretical predictions and the conclusions were drawn from the comparison. The study found that the system performed well under the conditions tested and that the theoretical predictions were generally accurate.

The implications of the study are that the system can be used in a variety of applications and that it is a reliable and accurate method for measuring performance. The conclusions drawn from the research are that the system is a valuable tool for researchers and that it can be used to improve the performance of other systems.

The limitations of the study are that it was conducted in a laboratory setting and that the results may not be representative of real-world conditions. The areas for future research are to conduct more extensive testing in real-world conditions and to develop more advanced models for the system.

The study was conducted in a laboratory setting and involved the use of a series of tests to measure the performance of the system. The results of the tests were compared to the theoretical predictions and the conclusions were drawn from the comparison. The study found that the system performed well under the conditions tested and that the theoretical predictions were generally accurate.

The implications of the study are that the system can be used in a variety of applications and that it is a reliable and accurate method for measuring performance. The conclusions drawn from the research are that the system is a valuable tool for researchers and that it can be used to improve the performance of other systems.