## STATE OF NEW MEXICO

## ENERGY, MINERALS, AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE NO. 20330

Application of Mewbourne Oil company for compulsory pooling, Lea County, NM

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

THURSDAY, OCTOBER 3, 2018

SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, Phillip Goetz, Examiner, Dylan Rose-Coss, Examiner, and David Ames, Legal Examiner, on Thursday, October 3, 2019, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals, and Natural Resources Department, Wendell Chino Building, 1220 South St. Francis Drive, Porter Hall, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico

Reported by: Mary Therese Macfarlane

New Mexico CCR 122

PAUL BACA COURT REPORTERS

500 Fourth Street NW, Suite 105 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102

(505) 843-9241

		Page 2	
1	APPEARANCES		
2		James Bruce, Esq. Post Office Box 1056	
3	Santa Fe, NM 87504 (505) 982-2043		
4	jamesbruc@aol		
5			
6	I N D E X		
7	CASE NUMBER 20330 CALLED		
8	APPLICANT WITNESSES:	PAGE	
9	TYLER JOLLY (By affidavit)	3	
10	CHARLES CROSBY (By affidavit)	4	
12	TAKEN UNDER ADVISEMENT	5	
13			
14	EXHIBIT INDEX		
15	APPLICANT MEWBOURNE OIL COMPANY CASE NO. 20330		
16	EXHIBIT	ADMITTED	
17	1	5	
18	2	5	
19	3	5	
20	4	5	
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

- 1 (Time noted 4:04 p.m.)
- 2 EXAMINER GOETZ: And for the final, 20330,
- 3 Application of Mewbourne Oil Company for compulsory
- 4 pooling, Eddy County, New Mexico.
- 5 Call for appearances.
- 6 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce of Santa Fe
- 7 representing the Applicant. I am submitting this case by
- 8 affidavit
- 9 In this Case 20330 Reopened, an Order has
- 10 not been issued in this case. In the original --
- 11 Mewbourne seeks to force pool the Bone Spring Formationm
- in the north half/southeast quarter of Section 29 and the
- 13 north half/south half of Section 28 in 1829.
- 14 They originally sought to force pool the
- 15 unit for the Pavo Frio 29-28 B2JI Well No. 1H. Somewhere
- 16 along the way they decided to move from the Second Bone
- 17 Spring to the Third Bone Spring, so I said they better
- 18 reopen or reapply so that the Order covers the correct
- 19 well. And that's we are here for today.
- 20 Again the landman's affidavit contains the
- 21 usual statement. I would note that as part of
- 22 Attachment A, the land plat, I have the C-102 for the
- 23 original B2JI well. I contacted -- or I attempted to
- 24 contact the landman to get a modified or corrected C-102
- 25 but he decided he would rather go to his wedding than

1 comply with my request for an additional exhibit.

- Yeah, call him mad. Okay?
- 3 But I will submit that to the Division when
- 4 I get it.
- In this case they are seeking to force pool
- 6 two people: Patricia Ann Miller Conley and Donna Bliven,
- 7 who, combined, owned about .075 percent of the well unit.
- 8 And the landman's affidavit contains the
- 9 usual Well Proposals, AFEs. It's about a 7.8 -- all the
- 10 wells are about \$7.8 million.
- 11 And Exhibit 2 is my Affidavit of Notice. A
- 12 bunch of people -- additional people were force pooled,
- 13 but they all joined in the well eventually. So the two
- 14 people being pooled did receive actual notice, but there's
- 15 also a land -- Affidavit of Publication against them.
- 16 And then the Affidavit of Charles Crosby,
- 17 the geologist just provides additional -- Second Bone
- 18 Spring geology was provided at the first hearing, and this
- 19 provides the usual structure map, gross isopach, and cross
- 20 section of the Third Bone Spring in the area.
- 21 And once again the production table shows
- 22 some pretty good Third Bone Spring wells out here, which
- 23 is why I'm guessing they changed the target zone.
- 24 And with that I'd move the admission of
- 25 Exhibits 1 through 4 and ask that the case be taken under

- 1 advisement.
- 2 EXAMINER GOETZ: Let's have a little discussion
- 3 here. You're saying that 20330 doesn't have an Order
- 4 issued for it?
- 5 MR. BRUCE: Correct.
- 6 THE COURT: I got a Dismissal Order showing up.
- 7 MR. BRUCE: What happened, Mr. Examiner --
- 8 EXAMINER GOETZ: Yes.
- 9 MR. BRUCE: -- was the original 20330 does not
- 10 have an Order in it. I reopened it, but I came up to
- 11 hearing August 8th and I had some Notice issues, and I
- 12 asked that it be continued, and they said: No, you have
- 13 to dismiss it and refile.
- 14 EXAMINER GOETZ: Okay.
- 15 MR. BRUCE: So that Dismissal Order is for the
- 16 first reopened case, and so I had to reopen it again.
- 17 EXAMINER GOETZ: All right. Then on the record,
- 18 Exhibits 1 through 4 in Case No. 20330 Reopened are so
- 19 entered into the record, and Case 20330 is taken under
- 20 advisement.
- You got nothing else?
- MR. BRUCE: God, I hope not.
- 23 EXAMINER GOETZ: That's okay. That's it for the
- 24 day.
- 25 (Time noted 4:07 p.m.)

Page 6 STATE OF NEW MEXICO ) 2 ) SS 3 COUNTY OF TAOS 5 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE I, MARY THERESE MACFARLANE, New Mexico Reporter 6 7 CCR No. 122, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that on Thursday, October 8 3, 2019, the proceedings in the above-captioned matter 9 were taken before me; that I did report in stenographic shorthand the proceedings set forth herein, and the 10 foregoing pages are a true and correct transcription to 11 12 the best of my ability and control. 13 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither employed by 14 nor related to nor contracted with (unless excepted by the 15 rules) any of the parties or attorneys in this case, and 16 that I have no interest whatsoever in the final disposition of this case in any court. 17 18 19 20 MARY THERESE MACFARLANE, CCR NM Certified Court Reporter No. 122 2.1 License Expires: 12/31/2019 22 23 2.4 25