			2
1		APPEARANCES	
2	For	Empire New Mexico, LLC:	
3		SPENCER FANE, LLP	
		P.O. Box 2307	
4		Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504	
		505-986-2678	
5		BY: SHARON T. SHAHEEN, ESQ.	
	and		
6		HINKLE SHANOR, LLP	
		218 Montezuma Avenue	
7		Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501	
		dhardy@hinklelawfirm.com	
8	_	BY: DANA SIMMONS HARDY, ESQ.	
	and		
9		PADILLA LAW FIRM	
1.0		P.O. BOX 2523	
10		Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504	
11		<pre>padillalawnm@outlook.com BY: ERNEST L. PADILLA, ESO.</pre>	
	and	,	
12	and	SANTOYO WEHMEYER, PC	
12		IBC Highway 281	
13		North Centre Building	
		12400 San Pedro Ave., Ste. 300	
14		San Antonio, Texas 78216	
		cwehmeyer@swenergylaw.com	
15		BY: COREY F. WEHMEYER, ESQ.	
16	For	Goodnight Midstream:	
17		HOLLAND & HART, LLP	
		Suite 1	
18		110 North Guadalupe	
		Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504	
19		nrjurgensen@hollandhart.com	
		agrankin@hollandhart.com	
20		BY: NATHAN JURGENSEN, ESQ.	
21	For	New Mexico Oil Conservation Division:	
22		OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL	
2.2		New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural	
23		Resources Department 1220 South Francis Drive	
24		Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505	
2 1		Chris.Moander@emnrd.nm.gov	
25		BY: CHRISTOPHER MOANDER, ESQ.	
د ک		DI- CHRIDIOIHER MOANDER, EDV.	
			D 0
			Page 2

_			3
1	For Rice Operating Company		
2	PEIFER HANSON MULLINS & BAKER, PA		
_	Suite 725		
3	20 First Plaza, Northwest		
	Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102.		
4	mbeck@peiferlaw.com		
_	BY: MATTHEW M. BECK, ESQ.		
5			
_	For Pilot:		
6			
_	BEATTY & WOZNIAK		
7	500 Don Gaspar Avenue		
	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505		
8	msuazo@bwenergylaw.com		
	BY: MIGUEL A. SUAZO, ESQ.		
9			
10	INDEX	PAGE	
11	JOSEPH McSHANE		
12	Examination By Mr. Rankin	5	
	Examination By Mr. Moander	105	
13	Examination By Commissioner Ampomah	107	
	Examination By Ms. Shaheen	136	
14			
15	JACK WHEELER		
16	Examination By Ms. Shaheen	139	
	Examination By Ms. Shaheen	139	
17	Examination By Mr. Rankin	152	
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
		Page 3	

			4
1		EXHIBITS	
2	EXHIBIT	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
3	Exhibit A		142
4	Exhibit 13		179
5	Exhibit 16		207
6	Exhibit 14		208
7	Exhibit 15		231
8	Exhibit 17		237
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
			Page 4

	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 5
1	(Technical difficulty with reporter.)
2	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Mr. Rankin,
3	will you rewind.
4	MR. RANKIN: Sure. It's a good thing
5	we didn't do much.
6	CROSS-EXAMINATION OF JOSEPH McSHANE
7	BY MR. RANKIN:
8	Q. Mr. McShane, I don't think we got just a
9	high summary of what your background is. You
10	started your undergraduate at Steven F. Austin
11	University in geology, correct?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. And then you did some postgrad work
14	towards a master's degree, but didn't complete your
15	master's in geology, correct?
16	A. That's correct.
17	Q. Just give us a recap of just start with
18	your first job out of undergraduate.
19	A. I went to school at Chesapeake or
20	graduate school, I went to work for Chesapeake
21	Energy
22	Q. Okay.
23	A as an operations geologist starting
24	out. Worked multiple basins and then start also
25	while I was there, started to do appraisal work with

Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 6 1 different groups on potential active acquisitions. 2. Then after a few years went to work for 3 Petrohawk Energy, again, as a -- now as a 4 development geologist, helping put together 5 development plans for Petrohawk's South Texas assets, including the Eagle Ford. And worked for 6 7 Petrohawk until BHP acquired Petrohawk, at which time I ended up moving with the team, the ownership 8 team from Petrohawk. 9 10 And after about a year, we established Halcon Resources, and I worked for Halcon, then, for 11 12 another few years, again working multiple basins 13 with Williston, the Tuscaloosa Marine Shale looking at tight gas sands and -- in East Texas and the 14 15 Permian as well. 16 And then after that, I was -- I got 17 attached to a team that started -- there's a --18 started private equity-backed company, Ajax 19 Resources. We operated in the Permian Basin in 20 Martin and Andrews County. And after three years we 21 sold the company to Diamondback, at which point I worked as a consultant for a couple years until 22 2.3 coming to work for Empire. 24 Q. Going back to your time with Chesapeake, that was your first oil and gas industry job; is 25

	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 7
1	that correct?
2	A. While I was in graduate school, I worked
3	for a small company, EnerQuest, out of Plano. And I
4	was working the Applebee fields in East Texas, which
5	was Travis Peak, petit tight gas sands.
6	Q. Okay.
7	A. But it was just a part-time job while they
8	were they were funding my thesis work.
9	Q. While you're at Chesapeake, did you have
10	any experience with enhanced oil recovery?
11	A. No, I did not.
12	Q. Any experience with carbonate systems?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. Where?
15	A. In East Texas, we working with the
16	James Lime, as well as the Cotton Valley Lime. And
17	then but primarily it was focused on tight gas
18	sands and then shales and conventional. But we were
19	also had to do a rotation through an
20	unconventional team that would do asset evaluations
21	around the around the globe. So we can look at
22	various plains throughout to see if there were any
23	assets we wanted to acquire.
24	Q. Okay. You said you hadn't didn't have
25	any experience in Chesapeake with enhanced oil

	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 8
1	recovery. Did you have any experience with residual
2	zone operations or assessments or evaluations of
3	those types of projects?
4	A. Not operations. Obviously, I mean, at
5	Chesapeake, not no exposure to residual oil
6	zones. At Halcon, when we were set when we were
7	working Houliston, obviously there's some residual
8	oil zones in that area. But, again, they were
9	mainly on regional mapping and evaluation. It was
10	not in operations or development.
11	Q. All right. I'll get up to that point in a
12	moment. Just taking it in order here.
13	A. Um-hmm.
14	Q. Okay. So primarily, tight sands
15	unconventional for Chesapeake, correct?
16	A. That's correct.
17	Q. And at that time did you do any work in
18	the Permian Basin for Chesapeake?
19	A. Just some minor cross assets that they
20	need somebody to help put a well plan together or
21	something like that, but not directly, no.
22	Q. Nothing in the Central Basin Platform?
23	A. No.
24	Q. Okay. So Petrohawk is primarily the Eagle
25	Ford Shale; is that right?

		Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin	9
1	Α.	Correct.	
2	Q.	Again, no enhanced oil recovery?	
3	Α.	Not at this time, no.	
4	Q.	No carbonate?	
5	Α.	No.	
6	Q.	Then you moved over to Comstock, correct?	
7	Α.	Correct.	
8	Q.	And was that all shale or unconventional	
9	sands?		
10	Α.	Yes.	
11	Q.	No EOR, no enhanced oil recovery?	
12	Α.	Correct.	
13	Q.	And no carbonate?	
14	Α.	Correct.	
15	Q.	And then Halcon so did I pronounce that	
16	correctly	? Halcon?	
17	Α.	Halcon.	
18	Q.	Halcon? Okay. This was more of a mix, it	
19	sounds li	ke. Some people for shale, some marine	
20	shale. S	o there's some carbonates that you worked	
21	on with H	alcon?	
22	Α.	Correct. You know, we had we had	
23	when I st	arted with Halcon, when we established it,	
24	I started	working primarily the Williston Basin, but	
25	we were a	lso doing asset evaluations, kind of out of	
		Page 9	
		rage y	

operated in.

25

Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 11 1 Ο. How about --2. Α. We -- when we would see oil in place, but, you know, no production or movable oil, we would be 3 4 aware that you had a primary -- primarily, probably, 5 an ROZ in place there. But you didn't undertake any formal 6 7 evaluation or assessment to confirm whether it was a residual oil zone or what type of residual oil zone 8 it was? 9 10 No. We were not interested in that at Α. 11 that time. 12 Are there any ROZ place in -- up there at 13 this point? I believe that they're -- they are working 14 Α. 15 to develop some by the Cobra operating -- operates a residual oil zone. But, no, other than that, that's 16 17 the only . . . And then Ajax, this was most 18 O. Okay. 19 primarily Permian development asset; is that right? 20 Correct. We purchased the asset from W&T Offshore that was in -- on the Martin 21 County/Andrews area. 22 It's all Texas side Permian? 23 Ο. 24 Α. Correct. 25 All conventional shale or sands? Ο.

	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 1	12
1	A. Correct.	
2	Q. Any enhanced oil recovery experience	
3	working for Ajax?	
4	A. No.	
5	Q. Okay. No carbonate experience?	
6	A. No.	
7	Q. Okay. And nothing on the residual oil	
8	zone front?	
9	A. Other than when we were evaluating assets	
10	and we started seeing you know, if we would	
11	have if we started seeing assets moving up	
12	towards Ferguson and Seminole field just north of	
13	our asset play that they were that they were	
14	chasing residual oil zones up in that area, but no.	
15	Q. So you're telling me that as you were	
16	developing your acreage, you were aware that there	
17	was some other operators looking at	
18	A. Correct. Because we were always	
19	evaluating potential asset acquisitions in the	
20	Permian, Delaware, and the Central Basin Platform.	
21	Q. So you were tracking what the	
22	activities in the Seminole and San Andres unit?	
23	A. Correct. Because we were also evaluating	
24	San Andres in our area as well.	
25	Q. But you didn't, yourself, perform any work	
	Page 12	

	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 13
1	to evaluate a potential development in the
2	San Andres in your acreage there?
3	A. No.
4	Q. Okay. And then and then you went solo,
5	right, as a geology consultant?
6	A. Yes, for the last few years.
7	Q. Okay.
8	A. Prior to coming to Empire, after we sold
9	Ajax.
10	Q. And in that role, you did some work on
11	A&D, meaning acquisition and divestitures?
12	A. Correct, just to helping those smaller
13	operators with did a couple projects where they
14	were looking at potential acquisitions. They were
15	all primarily in the Permian and on the Texas
16	side for the most part or in East Texas, Ark-La-Tex
17	Basin.
18	Q. So you were primarily assisting with due
19	diligence reviews on the acquisition side?
20	A. Correct. I did a few operational projects
21	for them, helping to drill a couple wells in the
22	Haynesville, as well as Travis Peak. But other than
23	that, no.
24	Q. Then you joined Empire in 20 what
25	when did you join Empire?

	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 14
1	A. I joined Empire about a year ago this
2	month.
3	Q. In April?
4	A. Yes, 2024.
5	Q. As it's not included on your CV, but you
6	are you're an employee of Permian at this point?
7	A. I'm I cover multiple assets for Ajax
8	I mean for Empire.
9	Q. Okay. But you are an employee of Empire
10	at this point?
11	A. Correct, yes.
12	Q. Okay. What's your job title with Empire?
13	A. Senior geologist.
14	Q. And you started back in April of 2024?
15	A. That's correct.
16	Q. Okay. And what was your job what are
17	your job responsibilities? What were you told your
18	job would be when you joined the company?
19	A. To offer senior geological perspective to
20	multiple plays, helping with development of assets,
21	as well as being a part of potential acquisition,
22	appraisals, or evaluations.
23	Q. What's your role and responsibility with
24	respect to the EMSU?
25	A. I'm the development geologist over the
	Page 14

	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 15
1	EMSU.
2	Q. What do those responsibilities include?
3	A. Includes just a regional perspective of
4	the EMSU, operations, well planning for potential
5	verticals, horizontals, and multiple zones that
6	are that we have rights to; as well as evaluating
7	with our engineering team workover and completion
8	targets with existing wells; as well as looking for
9	potential upside on the EMSU as well as adjacent to
L O	it.
L1	Q. How much of your time is devoted to work
L2	on the EMSU?
L3	A. Right now I would say probably 70 percent.
L4	Q. Okay. And is that the case since you
L5	joined the company?
L6	A. No. I for the first few months, I
L7	worked primarily our North Dakota assets and as
L8	well as we have some East Texas assets.
L9	Q. Okay.
20	A. But then in July, end of July I got pulled
21	in primarily for the EMSU, especially for the
22	hearing.
23	Q. Okay. Now, in your summary here, you
24	your focus was primarily on unconventional
25	horizontal plays, agree?

A. We'd first -- you know, we'd do -- review the sales packet and then, you know, depended on the asset and, you know, the size and the amount of information as well as the amount of resources and economic availability of the operator who was

23

24

25

	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 17
1	looking to purchase, how deep they wanted to go.
2	Q. What did you what was your general
3	recommendation for companies when they were
4	undertaking due diligence prior to considering an
5	acquisition? Did you have a recommendation for your
6	client?
7	A. It would be to just to utilize the
8	information available to make the best decision
9	based on the information that they had available.
LO	Q. Are you aware of whether Empire conducted
L1	due diligence prior to the acquisition of EMSU?
L2	A. No. I was not here at that time.
L3	Q. You're not aware of whether they have a
L4	file that reviews their work prior to acquisition of
L5	the EMSU?
L6	A. No.
L7	Q. Okay. You haven't reviewed any records
L8	that Empire prepared leading up to the acquisition
L9	of this field?
20	A. No. The only thing that I've seen that
21	I reviewed is the sales package that the sales
22	packet that Exxon put together.
23	Q. Okay. I'm going to pull over to your
24	summary slides.
25	In your summary of your that you set
	Page 17

	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 18
1	out yesterday, you reviewed some of your exhibits.
2	And first set of exhibits that you reviewed, I
3	think, were the structure maps that are on the top
4	of the San Andres, correct?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. And I think at the time when you were
7	reviewing this, you identified that there's a top
8	structure sort of in this north central part of the
9	EMSU that I'm hovering over with my cursor, correct?
10	A. Yes. That's the structural closure.
11	Q. Okay. And you called that sort of the
12	crestal area?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. Okay. And the crestal area is where you
15	said where the highest point you would expect the
16	best wells or the best production in the San Andres;
17	is that correct?
18	A. In conventional basic petroleum geology,
19	yes, that's where you'd expect it.
20	Q. Okay. And that's I think you also said
21	that that's where, if there were injections, that
22	you would expect the best chance of damage to be
23	done, correct?
24	A. No, I did not. I said
25	Q. What did you say?

got on your exhibit, but it also shows the --

Empire's EMSU SWD Number 1 well, which is here in

24

25

	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 20
1	the southeast part of Section 4.
2	Now, you don't have the section numbers on
3	your map, but it's would you agree with me, it's
4	about where my cursor is hovering here, just north
5	of 6 673 well; is that right?
6	A. Yes. Yes.
7	Q. Okay. And that's would you agree
8	that's about the top of the of the crestal high?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. Okay. Did I say yeah, forgive me, let
11	me just correct the record. This is what I
12	pulled up is stupid thing is Goodnight's
13	Exhibit B-8. Apologies if I for clarity of the
14	record.
15	So this EMSU Number 1 is the disposal well
16	that Empire has operated since acquiring the EMSU,
17	correct?
18	A. Correct, but it's not a largely commercial
19	disposal well. It's a low volume well.
20	Q. Right. And here in Mr. McGuire's exhibit,
21	he's got the cumulative volumes as of the date of
22	this exhibit, which was approximately
23	4.4 million barrels off of OCD records. Is that
24	about right?
25	A. I don't have the production numbers in
	Page 20

	Joseph Meshane Tipin 6, 2025
	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 21
1	front of me to confirm that.
2	Q. Okay.
3	A. But it sounds close.
4	Q. Yeah. You have no reason to dispute those
5	numbers based off of OCD's records?
6	A. No. But I believe that well was drilled
7	in 1966, if I remember correctly. So it's a long
8	time.
9	Q. Well, I think actually the EMSU SWD
10	Number 1, it was converted
11	A. Oh, yeah, it was converted.
12	Q. Drilled in 1987, correct?
13	A. Yeah.
14	Q. So it's been injecting since 1987; do you
15	agree?
16	A. It I would have to see the record
17	Q. Okay.
18	A to confirm.
19	Q. But the records will speak for themselves,
20	whatever they are?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. So that's one well. That well now
23	Chevron I mean, Chevron drilled that well and
24	created it as an SWD, right?
25	A. Yes, by pumping by injecting very low
	Page 21

Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 22 1 volumes. 2. Ο. But they placed it right at the top of 3 this crestal high, agree? 4 Α. Correct. And Empire acquired this unit back in the 5 spring of 2021, correct? 6 7 I think it's a little bit before that, but, yes -- I'm not sure -- sounds right. 8 It's been operating that well since it 9 Ο. acquired the unit, correct? 10 11 Α. Yes. 12 And when Empire acquired the unit, the 13 intent was to develop the San Andres as a residual 14 oil zone, correct? 15 Α. Yes. But nevertheless, after acquiring the 16 unit, it continued to inject disposal volumes into 17 that well at the crestal high of the San Andres, 18 19 correct? 20 Α. Yes. And my understanding in my deposition with 21 Mr. West, that Empire has minimized or if not, 22 23 stopped injecting volumes into that well; is that 24 correct? 25 I would refer to his testimony. Α.

	Joseph Meshane Typin 6, 2025
	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 23
1	Q. So you're not familiar
2	A. I'm not familiar with what that the
3	current injection rates are.
4	Q. You're not familiar with how Empire is
5	currently managing its disposal needs?
6	A. No. That would be on the production team.
7	Q. Okay. I mean, if the highest point of the
8	structure is where the best production is likely to
9	be found, why do you think Chevron put their unit
10	disposal well right at the top of that crestal high?
11	A. I'm not going to speculate to what their
12	thought process was.
13	Q. Okay. But subsequent to Chevron, XTO
14	operated that well, correct?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. And they're the ones that marketed the
17	unit to Empire, correct?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. And they're the ones that marketed
20	potential for San Andres ROZ, correct?
21	A. Correct.
22	Q. And they continue to operate that
23	saltwater disposal well at the top of the crestal
24	high, correct?
25	A. Yes.

Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin

2.

Q. Okay. Now, in addition to the EMSU SWD Number 1 at the top of the crestal high, there's a few other wells that I don't think are indicated on your map, and I'll go back to Goodnight Exhibit B-8.

And the other wells I want to point out that are in and around that crestal high are the EMSU's water supply wells, which are called fuchsia, I'm not sure what color to call that, pink. There's the water supply well at 459 in the northern part of the Section 5, the 457 in the southern part of Section 5, the 460 in Section 8, the 462, the 461, and then the 278, which was not one of the original water supply wells, but a well that has produced over a million barrels of water from the San Andres.

Would you agree with me that these wells are all located in and around the crestal high that you've identified in your structure map?

- A. No. I believe that a lot of those are starting to come down off the flank. There are a few, but they're definitely starting to come down off the western flank of the structure.
- Q. Nevertheless, you agree with me that they're towards the crestal high, towards the structural high within the EMSU?
 - A. No. I mean, they're on the structural --

	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 25
1	western flank of the structure. I wouldn't say that
2	they're all they're starting to go down-dip if
3	you move to the west and southwest.
4	Q. So let's look at the 278, the 457, the
5	458, those would be all towards the center of the
6	structural high, agree?
7	A. They are closer to the structural center,
8	yes.
9	Q. I mean, they're not at the top, but
10	they're around the top of the structural high,
11	agree?
12	A. Or towards the higher elevations of the
13	structure, yes.
14	Q. Okay. Now, these wells produced more than
15	340 million barrels of water from the San Andres in
16	and around that structural high in the San Andres
17	without a single barrel of reported oil, agree?
18	A. Those the water wells, yes.
19	Q. Okay.
20	A. But there has been oil tested out of the
21	San Andres wells in that area.
22	Q. Yeah, I think I think some of that is
23	all addressed I mean, that's all addressed in the
24	testimony, right?
25	A. Correct.

25

Α.

Yes.

	r r r r
	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 27
1	Q. Okay. And the oil saturations are in
2	the one, two, three, four, five what is that?
3	I guess we left that one fourth tract from the
4	left maybe; is that right?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. The black the black indications
7	represent oil saturations?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. And I can't tell, is it each of these tic
10	marks, is that a 20 percent?
11	A. I'd have to see the scale down at the
12	bottom.
13	Q. Hard to read. Can you see that?
14	A. Yeah, it's hard to read.
15	Q. I don't remember what the scale was
16	myself, but
17	A. Yeah, I'd have to see the scale on their
18	lot presentation.
19	Q. Okay. Nevertheless, it's a fairly
20	sorry it's a fairly high oil saturation towards
21	the top of that San Andres, correct?
22	A. It above the San Andres, yes.
23	Q. Oops. Sensitive.
24	And then towards the top of the San Andres
25	here in the 673 in Exhibit G-3(e), you've got a
	Page 27

	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 28
1	fairly significant oil saturation interpreted at the
2	top the San Andres in that well, correct?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Okay. Do you know what the oil
5	saturations are based off of NuTech's interpretation
6	at that interval?
7	A. I cannot recall right at that interval.
8	Q. And you don't recall what the scale is on
9	your own log interpretation?
10	A. What excuse me, can you repeat that
11	question?
12	Q. Yeah. You don't recall what the scale is
13	on your own log interpretation?
14	A. That's that is NuTech's log
15	interpretation, their log template. So I can't
16	I'd have to verify their scale down at the bottom
17	again.
18	Q. But you did the oil you did the oil in
19	place calculations, right?
20	A. Correct.
21	Q. But you don't remember what the scale is
22	on the log?
23	A. I've looked at a lot of logs, and a lot of
24	them use a different scale.
25	Q. Okay.

	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 29
1	A. So I don't want to speak to something
2	without seeing it.
3	Q. Okay. Now, then the 660, also not not
4	a substantial towards the top of the San Andres,
5	right, in terms of the oil saturations?
6	A. In the 660, no, but it is present.
7	Q. I'm going to move back over to your
8	revised your revised self-affirmed affidavit
9	or statement.
10	Oh, no, I'm sorry, back to your
11	PowerPoint. This is where I want to be. Apologize.
12	Okay. So in your Exhibit G-3 in your
13	summary that you presented to the Commission
14	yesterday, this is slide, I guess, 6, you included
15	the range that OPS Geologic prepared as part of its
16	rebuttal testimony, correct?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. And just so I'm clear, the top numbers up
19	here, are these the is the high range on a on
20	a section basis that OPS Geologic calculated?
21	A. So the top numbers, that's referring to
22	the highlighted bullet point for NuTech's oil in
23	place calculation for the Ryno SWD.
24	Q. Okay.
25	A. So that was OPS Geologic's low side and

	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 32
1	to get to an answer, they're all on trend. There's
2	little variances, but they're all showing oil in
3	place and indicating presence of hydrocarbons. So,
4	therefore, it will educate my recommendation to my
5	management on how to proceed.
6	Q. Now on the NuTech analysis, you heard
7	Mr. Dillewyn testify yesterday. We were asking him
8	about how his methodology was related to the
9	geology, and my interpretation of his answer was
10	that he didn't answer that question because he
11	wasn't didn't have any geology background, so he
12	wasn't able to answer the question.
13	So doesn't doesn't the connection to
14	the geology matter? And how can you as you sit
15	here today, how are you able to adopt the NuTech
16	analysis where there's no clearly tie to or
17	explanation or rationale to the geologic parameters?
18	A. Well, I was speaking to my evaluation. I
19	believe that NuTech and OPS Geologic integrated
20	geology to an extent. They just integrated
21	different techniques.
22	Well log analysis, which is done by
23	NuTech, is a realtime you know, a realtime
24	wireline reading of the geology. They're just
25	plugging in those curves into their model. I think

	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 33
1	what you know, now, they didn't do a lithology
2	breakdown, and that was their choice on their model.
3	Q. You're talking about NuTech?
4	A. Correct.
5	Q. Um-hmm. And they didn't do any mineralogy
6	either, did they?
7	A. No, they did not.
8	Q. Okay. So you don't have an opinion, as
9	you sit here, about which of the varied
10	methodologies presented to the Commission on log
11	analysis on behalf of Empire is more correct and
12	more accurate?
13	A. At this point in time, no, I do not.
14	Q. Do you have a background in I mean,
15	would you say do you have a background in
16	evaluating petrophysical interpretations?
17	A. I have a background in integrating
18	petrophysical interpretations and working with
19	petrophysicists in-house and third party, depending
20	on which operators I work for.
21	Q. Okay. Now, as you sit here, I mean I
22	mean, both approaches provide very wide, very
23	disparate end points. As Empire's presented on the
24	low side and high side, there's a huge variation in
25	the numbers, which that gives rise to concern that

	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 34
1	they may be unreliable, given the spread of
2	uncertainty between the high and low side for both
3	approaches?
4	MS. SHAHEEN: I'm going to object
5	because I believe that Mr. Rankin is basically
6	testifying.
7	MR. RANKIN: They are what they are.
8	I mean
9	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: It's a
10	long I haven't heard the end of the question yet.
11	Let's hear the end of the question.
12	Q (By Mr. Rankin) My question is based you
13	know, there's some concern, I have concern, we have
14	concern about the range of uncertainty, given the
15	high and low side for both NuTech's results and OPS
16	Geologic's results.
17	MS. SHAHEEN: And I'm going to
18	continue to object to his testifying.
19	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Mr. Rankin,
20	it does need to be a question.
21	MR. RANKIN: I'm getting there.
22	Q (By Mr. Rankin) So the question is: The
23	concern is, Mr. McShane, that both approaches by OPS
24	Geologic and NuTech could be completely invalid and
25	based on independent flawed analysis.

	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 35
1	My question is: Do you have the expertise
2	to evaluate yourself whether or not either of those
3	approaches is invalid?
4	MS. SHAHEEN: And I'll just for
5	the record, continuing objection that Mr. Rankin
6	continues to testify.
7	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: All right.
8	Well, Mr. Rankin, you are kind of overstepping it.
9	I mean, that question could have been asked without
10	all the preamble. So just bear it in mind, please.
11	A. So, I'm sorry, can you restate the
12	question, please.
13	Q. Sure. Do you have the expertise to
14	evaluate whether or not either one of the approaches
15	employed by OPS Geologic or NuTech are valid?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. Okay. And you believe that both
18	approaches are valid?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. Okay. Before I leave the summary, I
21	wanted to ask you about the structure map again.
22	For this San Andres, the crestal area, is it a
23	four-way closure?
24	A. No. It's more of a three-way, but it's
25	more regional, because there's a double anticline.

	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 36
1	So you're only you're only seeing one part of
2	this in this region.
3	Q. In the other well that I meant to mention,
4	if I go back to Goodnight Exhibit B-8, is Rice's
5	EME 33, which is a disposal well just outside the
6	exterior boundary of the EMSU unit, correct?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. And when I look at your PowerPoint again,
9	that that's oops that well is just here in
10	the nook, the corner of the EMSU, correct?
11	A. Correct.
12	Q. And that's that would be in the crestal
13	high of that structure, as well, correct?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. And that well has injected more than
16	approximately 60 million barrels since it commenced
17	injecting around 1960, correct?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Okay. And is Empire also seeking to
20	revoke or cancel the injection authority for that
21	well?
22	A. I'm not going to I'm not an expert in
23	that. I'm not sure what we're seeking with Rice.
24	Q. But because it's at the crestal high, that
25	also poses a risk to operations or recovery on the

	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 37
1	San Andres, agree?
2	A. I would be concerned with that well
3	injecting, yes.
4	Q. Okay. Is are you I think you do
5	you know if Empire's currently sending water to that
6	well?
7	A. I do not.
8	Q. Okay. All right. Moving back to your
9	revised testimony. Now, you provided your original
10	testimony in this case back in October 2024, agree?
11	A. Correct.
12	Q. And you came in to Empire around April of
13	2024; is that right?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. And you had a predecessor at Empire who
16	was a geologist before you, correct?
17	A. Correct.
18	Q. And that was Mr. Nicholas Cestari; is that
19	right?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Do you know who he is?
22	A. I know of the name and that he was an
23	employee, but I don't know him personally.
24	Q. And you replaced him after he left the
25	company?

Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin

- A. I'm not sure how far before he left, but I know I came in after, yes.
 - Q. And you are aware that he had previously submitted testimony in these cases when they were in front of the OCD in October of 2023, agree?
 - A. Yes.

2.

- Q. And Mr. Cestari's testimony calculated estimated oil in place values based on Mr. Dillewyn's original petrophysical analysis and his testimony that was submitted to the Division around October of 2023, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Did Empire ask you to adopt Mr. Cestari's testimony as your own when you joined the company?
- A. They gave me the testimony. They asked me to review it. They asked to -- choose adopting it. I spent a couple of weeks reviewing it at the time that I had. And I agreed with the overall testimony, and I had no problem adopting it.
- Q. Okay. So during that time when you were reviewing Mr. Cestari's testimony, what did you do to review it?
- A. I went over -- I had about two or three weeks where I went over the project that had been put in place. I reviewed the -- I did a cursory

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- weren't large adjustments. They were by a few feet.
- At the time that you submitted your 0. testimony in August of 2024, had you made any changes to any of the tops that Mr. Cestari had picked?

Q. Okay. Now, the decision to redo or update Empire's log analysis model with NuTech and the oil in place analysis was made after reviewing Goodnight's direct testimony filed in August of

24

25

	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 41
1	2024, correct?
2	A. It was made the determination was made,
3	yes, after that. But it was not the sole reason for
4	the determination.
5	Q. What was the other reason?
6	A. Just due diligence to bring in additional
7	outside third-party views on the area itself.
8	Q. What additional outside third-party views
9	were you bringing in?
10	A. OPS Geologic.
11	Q. Okay. I was asking you about I was
12	asking you about NuTech.
13	A. Oh.
14	Q. So at the time, August 2024, after
15	reviewing Goodnight's direct testimony, the decision
16	was made to redo or update Empire's log analysis
17	through NuTech, correct?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Okay. But at that time you also decided
20	to bring in, it sounds like, a third party to redo
21	that analysis, correct?
22	A. Not to redo, but to do their own analysis.
23	Q. Do their own?
24	A. Independent.
25	Q. Okay. So why did you decide to do that in
	Page 41

	1.5.1 0, 2020
	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 42
1	August? Why I mean, this case has been pending
2	before the Division for more than a year.
3	Mr. Cestari's testimony and Mr. Dillewyn's testimony
4	was originally filed in August or rather,
5	October 2023. Why in August of 2024 did Empire
6	decide to bring in an independent third party to do
7	that work?
8	A. Well, I can speaking for myself as part
9	of that decision, it was you know, I came in in
10	basically July, August of 2024. And as I was
11	reviewing the data and we started, you know,
12	operationally getting result, I decided that my
13	opinion was it was better to bring it an additional
14	third party to get additional data. Because as I've
15	said before, the more data, the better. So the more
16	independent, you know, outcomes are better.
17	Q. You said you started working on this case
18	around in the July timeframe? Is that what you
19	said?
20	A. Correct.
21	Q. And you started to see operational
22	results?
23	A. I meant I just meant as I started
24	diving into the project more.
25	Q. Okay. But were you referring to specific

	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 43
1	operational results that you were
2	A. No, that was a misspeak. I didn't mean
3	operation. I just meant as I was starting to
4	evaluate the project more on my own.
5	Q. Okay. What caused you to I mean, was
6	it your decision to seek out an independent
7	third-party consultant to do an additional
8	petrophysical review?
9	A. No, it was not my own decision. It was in
10	consultation with other members of the team.
11	Q. Okay. Did what additional data did OPS
12	Geologic bring in at that point, do you know?
13	A. OPS Geologic didn't bring in any
14	additional data. We gave them basically all the
15	data we had in-house to build their own model.
16	Q. Okay. That would include all the core
17	data and well logs that they that OPS Geologic
18	used?
19	A. Correct, as well as public data that they
20	got on their own.
21	Q. And do you know when that data was
22	provided to Goodnight, that you gave to OPS
23	Geologic?
24	A. I do not.
25	Q. And you and that data was given to OPS
	Dage 43

	Joseph Meshane - April 6, 2025	
	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 4	4
1	Geologic, what, in August or September of 2024?	
2	A. Correct. But it was data that I	
3	believe it was in that we had already provided.	
4	It wasn't new data that we had acquired.	
5	Q. That's your understanding?	
6	A. Yes.	
7	Q. Now, going back to NuTech. So in	
8	August 2024, the decision was made to also ask	
9	NuTech to do this additional analysis following the	
10	review of Goodnight's direct testimony, correct?	
11	A. Yes.	
12	Q. Okay. And as to NuTech, was there any	
13	other motivation to ask NuTech to do a new analysis	
14	other than Goodnight's direct testimony?	
15	A. Other than we just wanted their model	
16	another pass at their model making sure that they	
17	were using the existing core data that was	
18	available.	
19	Q. Okay. Because Empire hadn't previously	
20	given that to them, correct?	
21	A. Correct.	
22	Q. But you also didn't give them the	
23	R.R. Bell Number 4, right?	
24	A. Correct.	
25	Q. But you gave that to OPS Geologic,	
	Page 44	
	Page 44	

	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 45
1	correct?
2	A. I don't think we gave I think OPS
3	Geologic got that data publicly or from another
4	client.
5	Q. Okay. But did you ask you asked them
6	to do their analysis on that?
7	A. I asked to incorporate all core data that
8	they could get their hands on.
9	Q. But you didn't ask NuTech to do that, did
10	you?
11	A. We asked them, to my knowledge I was
12	not there when NuTech was tasked with the original
13	scope or the revised when they were asked to do
14	the revision to do a second pass at their model.
15	So I just know that we gave them the 679 data and
16	the study to incorporate into their model.
17	Q. Okay. But they but to your knowledge,
18	Empire didn't ask them to incorporate the R.R. Bell
19	core data in their analysis, correct?
20	A. Not at that time, no. I don't know if it
21	was done before I came on board.
22	Q. To your knowledge, had NuTech analyzed
23	R.R. Bell Number 4 based on the core data?
24	A. I believe that to my understanding,
25	it's in their model, but it was not given to them by
	Daga 45
	Page 45

	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 46
1	us. And that's it's part of their big program
2	that they use that they incorporated everything
3	into.
4	Q. How as to the Four-County study, how
5	did that how did Empire come to the decision to
6	use that as the basis for NuTech's reanalysis of
7	the of this log analysis?
8	A. That decision was made by some of our
9	engineers gave it to them. I don't know how they
LO	came to that determination.
L1	Q. Were you involved in that determination?
L2	A. Not in that SEG meeting, no.
L3	Q. In any meetings?
L4	A. Yes. I was involved with meetings, but
L5	that data was given to them in a separate
L6	Q. I mean, as the geologist, I mean,
L7	overseeing the EMSU for Empire, how did you what
L8	role did you have to provide input on whether the
L9	Four-County study was appropriate geologically for
20	use in the EMSU?
21	A. I mean, when it was brought to my
22	attention, I agreed that, you know, all data that we
23	could be able to create an analog or potential
24	analogs was good. It was sent after or I was
25	told after it was sent. But I agreed with the

	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 47
1	decision.
2	Q. Okay. Did you, yourself, conduct a review
3	or analysis of that Four-County study to determine
4	whether it was appropriate or analogous for use by
5	NuTech?
6	A. A very high level
7	Q. Okay.
8	A quick pass.
9	Q. Okay. What's your understanding of why
10	that Four-County study was selected?
11	A. Again, I believe it was just a potential
12	analogous data that could help in help them dial
13	in their model a little, you know, to the best
14	ability.
15	Q. Specifically for what purpose is your
16	understanding that the Four-County study was used or
17	selected?
18	A. I would have to defer to our engineer for
19	that one.
20	Q. Okay. Now, just at a high level, it's
21	your understanding that the Four-County study was
22	used to get select potential 'm' and 'n' values
23	for Empire's revised analysis, correct?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. Okay. And these numbers, those values
	Page 47

Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 48
were just were just pulled from that Four-County
study, correct?
A. They were compared in the model to create
NuTech's scenarios, so they were used as
analogies or analogous examples of different ways
that they could look at varying their 'm' and 'ns'.
Q. From a geologic perspective, was NuTech
given any other guidance on what zones or types of
rocks to apply those 'm' and 'n' values to?
A. Other than just that we were evaluating
the Grayburg and the San Andres, but primarily the
San Andres.
Q. Okay. But I'm just saying they applied
those 'm' and 'n' values only to the San Andres,
right?
A. Correct.
Q. So other than just having those numbers,
no other guidance or analysis or input was provided
to NuTech about how or where or what circumstances
to apply those 'm' and 'n' values in the EMSU logs,
correct?
A. Correct.
Q. So to your knowledge, you don't know how
or whether those 'm' and 'n' values were applied
based on any geologic factors or parameters that

	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 49
1	relate to the EMSU logs?
2	A. Correct. I don't you know, you'd have
3	to refer to Galen's testimony.
4	Q. Okay. And so you don't know you,
5	yourself didn't do an assessment to determine
6	whether there are any whether those 'm' and 'n'
7	values have any geologic relation or correlate to
8	the geologic the existing geology in the EMSU
9	wells, correct?
10	A. Correct.
11	Q. Okay. And then just to be clear we
12	understand from Mr. Dillewyn, but just to be clear,
13	it's your understanding that Empire instructed
14	NuTech to revise its analysis using that paper,
15	correct?
16	A. We instructed them to run another model.
17	Not revise, but to run another model to give us a
18	range of case.
19	Q. An entirely new model?
20	A. Correct, to make another pass at their
21	model, to do another run.
22	Q. Okay.
23	A. But integrating that data.
24	Q. Okay. And were you part of that I
25	mean, are you aware what instructions Empire had
	Page 49

	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 50
1	given NuTech to do that work?
2	A. We would ask them to run and make
3	another pass at running their model integrating the
4	data that we had given them.
5	Q. And that would be the 679 core data and
6	the Four-County paper?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Did Empire also instruct them, NuTech, to
9	do its analysis based off the core water
10	saturations?
11	A. No.
12	Q. Who how did NuTech come to decide to
13	use the core water saturations?
14	A. I do not know. I was not involved in that
15	decision. I believe that was their internal.
16	Q. Okay. So you weren't involved in that
17	decision, so you don't know whether they were
18	instructed or not to use to calibrate to the core
19	water saturations?
20	A. Correct, I do not know.
21	Q. Okay. So, yeah, okay, very good.
22	So now, when did Empire ask NuTech to do
23	this revised analysis?
24	A. I I'm having a hard time. I believe it
25	was in October, November of 2024, but I can't
	Page 50
	1496 30

	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 51
1	remember.
2	Q. Okay. So the direct testimony was all
3	filed by Goodnight in August 2024. Would it have
4	been that following month probably?
5	A. Yeah, that sounds about right.
6	Q. September. Okay. Was this was that
7	the first time, to your knowledge, that Empire
8	considered trying to calibrate NuTech's log to core
9	log intervals for the San Andres?
10	A. I do not know if that was the first time.
11	I think there could have been discussion prior to me
12	coming on the project, but it was the first time
13	when I was involved in it on my end, not Empire's.
14	Q. Who do you know if that was your
15	decision or were you involved in that decision,
16	recommendation to try to calibrate any of NuTech's
17	analysis to core cored intervals?
18	A. I was part of the conversation, yes.
19	Q. Okay. Were you surprised that that hadn't
20	been done to that point?
21	A. Not surprised. You know, different
22	vendors run different techniques, and it's you
23	know, needs to be instructed sometimes on data that
24	they need to utilize.
25	Q. And just to be clear, Empire had the EMSU

	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 52
1	679 core and core logs at the time of your original
2	testimony in August 2024, correct?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. And Empire had the core and core logs for
5	EMSU 679 at the time of Mr. Cestari's testimony in
6	October 2023, correct?
7	A. I don't know. I was not here in 2023.
8	Q. Okay.
9	A. With Empire.
10	Q. And that core and core logs were available
11	for NuTech at the time okay, so you were telling
12	me you don't know back in 2023. Okay.
13	A. Correct.
14	Q. Okay. I'm going to pull up at the time
15	of your or shortly after you submitted your
16	revised testimony, Empire filed a notice an
17	amended notice of revised testimony at the end of
18	January where they explained what was changed and
19	why.
20	And on the first page here, I've
21	highlighted some language that explained that: In
22	light of the direct testimony filed by Goodnight
23	Midstream, Empire requested NuTech to rerun its
24	analysis with different 'm' and 'n' values and to
25	review the 679 core.

	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 53
1	You agree with that statement, correct?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. But where it says "review the core
4	report," the actual what they actually did was to
5	calibrate its log analysis to the water saturations
6	in the core, correct?
7	A. That's what they did, yes.
8	Q. Right. Okay. And then they provided
9	in this in this notice, they states that
LO	NuTech analyzed did this work and then provided
L1	Empire with the results in October 2024, correct?
L2	A. Yes.
L3	Q. And then about a month later, in November,
L4	Empire asked NuTech to apply that analysis to the
L5	other well logs in the EMSU, correct?
L6	A. Yes.
L7	Q. And then, oh, two weeks or so later is
L8	when you filed your revised testimony, correct?
L9	A. Yes.
20	Q. And that was based on Mr. Dillewyn's
21	analysis, correct?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. Okay. All right. Now, this next section
24	here is an overview of the changes that were made to
25	your testimony with and redlined. Do you see
	Page 53
	raye 53

	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 54
1	that?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. I can't quite get it all on one page, but
4	I wanted to understand these changes.
5	First, before I get into them, I want to
6	just be clear that the changes that were made
7	I've highlighted a sentence here that, "Empire filed
8	the revised testimony of Joe McShane as it relates
9	to the revised testimony of Mr. Dillewyn."
10	Do you agree with that sentence?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Okay. And then as to your specific
13	testimony, it says that, "The revisions of
14	Mr. McShane's testimony rose from the revisions to
15	Mr. Dillewyn's testimony," and they are made to
16	paragraph 10 of your testimony as reflected in the
17	redline below. In addition, you made some changes
18	to the to some of your exhibits, correct?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. Okay. So I've highlighted here the
21	well as I understand it, like there's different
22	sentences that address each of the wells, right,
23	that were analyzed?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. So just for ease of tracking, I've
	Page 54

	1 1
	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 55
1	highlighted them so we can our eyes can track
2	easily the different sections. But I'm going to
3	start with the 658. Okay?
4	I'd like you to explain to me what was
5	changed based on Mr. Dillewyn's analysis as for the
6	658 well.
7	A. Basically, the I mean, we added the net
8	oil interval based on the .1 millidarcy permeability
9	cutoff which contains oil. We then revised the oil
10	in place number down from 60.9 to 30.29 million
11	barrels of oil.
12	Q. So was explain to me the .1 millidarcy
13	permeability which contains oil. How did that
14	how did that play into your explain how that
15	revision or change came in based on Mr. Dillewyn's
16	testimony.
17	A. Well, it was just an the internal
18	decision to use that as the cutoff.
19	Q. Okay. So .1 millidarcy, so tell me what
20	that what that cutoff means. What's the effect
21	of using that cutoff?
22	A. Just it establishes in the calculation
23	where we would start considering hydrocarbons or oil
24	in place.
25	Q. So everything above with a porosity

	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 56
1	or rather permeability above .1 millidarcies would
2	have oil?
3	A. Correct.
4	Q. Okay.
5	A. Well, possibly have oil based on that
6	permeability.
7	Q. Based on that permeability?
8	A. But there are multiple things that come
9	out.
10	Q. But in other words, that's your cutoff
11	that's your perm cutoff?
12	A. Correct, for NuTech.
13	Q. For the NuTech analysis?
14	A. Correct.
15	Q. Okay. Do you know what cutoff OPS
16	Geologic used?
17	A. OPS Geologic used a they didn't do a
18	perm cutoff. They did an oil saturation cutoff.
19	Q. Okay.
20	A. Which explains a little bit of the
21	variance in their numbers.
22	Q1 millidarcy isn't that very low for a
23	perm cutoff?
24	A. Relative to? I mean, it depends on the
25	reservoir. Each reservoir is different, the rock

	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 57
1	the you know, that was the perm cutoff that we
2	that was discussed and went with.
3	Q. What was the justification for using that
4	.1 millidarcy?
5	A. I'd have to I can't remember recall
6	what was landed on for that with NuTech.
7	Q. Were you part of that discussion with them
8	to make that determination?
9	A. No, I was not.
L O	Q. Who was?
L1	A. Our reservoir engineers and NuTech.
L2	Q. In your work in the in the field and in
L3	your in your experience, have you ever seen or
L4	used a .1 millidarcy perm cutoff for oil?
L5	A. In fields, I've used less than that, but
L6	that's not the that's a petrophysical
L7	determination, and I'm not a petrophysicist. So I'd
L8	lean towards the expertise of our reservoir
L9	engineers and petrophysicists.
20	Q. All right. So then so that
21	.1 millidarcy cutoff is what influenced the net
22	interval change; is that correct?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Okay. And then how why did the log run
25	change?

25

Α.

Correct.

Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 59 1 How about the EMSU 673, what -- tell me 0. 2. what was changed here. The 673, again, it was probably -- it was 3 Α. 4 just revising down the oil in place numbers. 5 But you changed the log intervals as well? Ο. 6 Α. I believe so, yes. 7 O. Was that due to a change in the top? Α. I can't recall. 8 Why else would you change the log 9 Q. 10 interval? 11 Could have just been a miscalculation in Α. 12 the original -- in the original pass that we thought 13 there was larger amount of log, stratigraphy log. 14 But it's possible it is a top correction. Yes, I 15 can't recall on that one. 16 Okay. Again, you modified the oil in Ο. 17 place based on NuTech's revised analysis? 18 Α. Correct. 19 Ο. Downwards, correct? 20 Α. Correct. 21 Q. Okay. How about the 713? 22 Again, 713, revision down of the net oil Α. 23 pay and the estimated oil in place. 24 Q. Again, you changed the footages that are being covered by the log run in the San Andres, 25

	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 60
1	correct, from 200 down to 125 feet?
2	A. Correct. And also that also wasn't an
3	internal correction. That could have been a
4	correction that NuTech had, you know, the top wrong
5	on it. We don't know. I can't recall on that well.
6	Q. Why else would you change the log
7	interval? Wouldn't it I mean, a change in the
8	top, right?
9	A. I can't speculate why. I mean, that was a
10	good reason to, probably most likely. Yeah, I just
11	don't remember that.
12	Q. So you don't recall why?
13	A. Yeah, I believe it was I believe it was
14	an adjustment to NuTech's top on that well.
15	Q. But that was based on Empire's input on
16	the tops change?
17	A. I the tops they had were prior to me
18	coming on board, so I don't know if they got those
19	top directly from Nick or if they had internally
20	their own tops. I did not ask them where they got
21	their original tops from.
22	Q. This is your testimony, Mr. McShane. I'm
23	just trying to figure out what the basis was for the
24	change. Is it due to a change in the top or why is
25	the

	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 61
1	A. I believe it was due to a change in the
2	top, yes.
3	Q. Okay. How about the 660?
4	A. Again, primarily same type of corrections,
5	revising down.
6	Q. Was it a change in the top that
7	resulted was it also a change in the top that
8	caused you to change the log interval for that well?
9	A. Possible yes.
LO	Q. Okay. For every instance where in
L1	these wells where you've changed the log interval,
L2	is it likely due to a change in the top for the
L3	San Andres?
L4	A. Yes.
L5	Q. Now, in addition to the changes in tops in
L6	the reduction in the oil in place calculations that
L7	were done for each of those wells, one other factor
L8	was changed in here, and it seems like the net pay
L9	was going up in these wells; is that true?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. What was causing the net pay to increase
22	in all of these wells?
23	A. Probably the the perm cutoff was one
24	factor in that.
25	Q. Any other parameters changed that you can

	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 62
1	account for that might account for the change in the
2	net pay going up?
3	A. No.
4	Q. So probably more than likely, it's the
5	use of the .1 millidarcies?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. What about oil saturations?
8	A. What about oil saturations?
9	Q. Would oil saturations also affect the
10	calculation of net pay?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Okay. And those changed in this analysis,
13	correct?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. So the .1 millidarcy and the change in
16	the in the oil saturations calculated by
17	interpreted by NuTech would result in changes in net
18	pay, correct?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. The San Andres here is a conventional
21	reservoir, correct?
22	A. Historically, yes.
23	Q. In your experience, have you ever used a
24	.1 millidarcy cutoff in a conventional field?
25	A. I can't recall.
	Page 62
	1430 02

	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 63
1	Q. One other point I just wanted to make. I
2	didn't address this with Mr. Dillewyn, but you also
3	deleted this sentence here where there was a
4	reference to the Simandoux calculation, correct?
5	A. Correct.
6	Q. Do you recall why you deleted the
7	reference to the Simandoux?
8	A. No. That was made because I believe it
9	was just cleaning up the language.
10	Q. Okay. Do you recall that Mr. Dillewyn
11	changed no longer used the Simandoux, he relied
12	entirely on a basic Archie's equation when he was
13	doing his log analysis?
14	A. I'll defer to his testimony on that.
15	Q. Okay. Now, all of these tops changes that
16	we made as a result of adjustments or blown tops, do
17	you know whether have you determined whether
18	these tops, now that you're adopted in your
19	revised testimony, matched the tops in Mr. Bailey
20	and Mr. Birkhead's testimony and exhibits from OPS
21	Geologic?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. They do?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. Okay. So as of December 2024, you had
	Page 63

	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 64
1	you conferred or reviewed Mr. Bailey
2	Mr. Bailey and Mr. Birkhead's revised tops and used
3	those and adopted those for your own, correct?
4	A. Well, I'll rephrase. We know that they
5	match within a few feet of each other. We did give
6	them the tops that they needed to use, and they did
7	give us their tops. But they're all pretty close as
8	far as picks go, within a few feet.
9	Q. Okay. Now, did you pick these tops or
10	were these based off of Mr. Bailey's picks?
11	A. No. These were my picks.
12	Q. Okay. So okay. I guess you're telling
13	me that between your picks and OPS Geologic picks,
14	picks for this top of the San Andres are within a
15	few feet. So they're more or less the same? I
16	guess, that's what you're telling me?
17	A. Correct. Mine, OPS Geologic, and Dr. Bob
18	Lindsay's tops are all within a few feet of each
19	other.
20	Q. But your structure maps of the San Andres
21	now are the same as OPS Geologic?
22	A. Within reason. I mean, they're the same.
23	They trend the same. They look they show pretty
24	much the same thing. Their maps are gridded on a
25	different grid scale or different structural

	Joseph Mediane - April 6, 2025
	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 65
1	interval, but they show they show the same story
2	and the same geologic story.
3	Q. But you have you have you reviewed
4	and confirmed that all the tops that were used by
5	OPS Geologic, you compared them to your tops?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. You have?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Okay.
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Okay. Now, going back to your
12	self-affirmed statement, I'm going to turn down to
13	Exhibit G-3(d).
14	At the bottom here I'm going to use
15	this as a sample, but explain to me, then, how you
16	came to did you calculate this table in your
17	exhibit?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Okay. At the bottom left-hand corner
20	and this Exhibit G-3(d) of your revised testimony.
21	Explain to me, just going from left to right, how
22	you calculated each of these entries for your
23	tables.
24	A. Gross interval feet is just, you know,
25	number of feet logged on the San Andres. The net
	Daga (5
	Page 65

	Joseph Meshane April 6, 2023
	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 66
1	pay, net interval feet using the .1 millidarcy
2	cutoff. And then average porosity is averaged over
3	the San Andres.
4	These are all numbers just specifically in
5	the San Andres. Average oil saturation averaged
6	over the San Andres interval based on NuTech's
7	analysis. And then average permeability of
8	2.19 millidarcies.
9	Q. So all of these numbers are derived from
10	NuTech's log analysis, correct?
11	A. That's correct.
12	Q. What's the difference between net interval
13	and net oil interval?
14	A. Net interval is just basically that
15	there were hydrocarbons placed net oil interval
16	is within the perm cutoff.
17	Q. Okay. So I didn't quite get that. What's
18	the difference
19	A. So 184 feet would be hydrocarbons present.
20	The net oil interval would be 182 feet within the
21	perm cutoff.
22	Q. So as
23	A1 millidarcies or greater.
24	Q. As I understand, because you're using the
25	very low cutoff of .1 millidarcies, you're only

	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 67
1	excluding two feet of oil from the from the
2	San Andres, correct?
3	A. Because we're using .1 millidarcies,
4	that's as a cutoff, that's what has come out,
5	yeah.
6	Q. Okay. But each of the other entries so
7	average oil saturation at 39 percent is an average
8	over the entire San Andres interval, correct?
9	A. Correct.
10	Q. And there's no cutoff applied to that
11	saturation rate? That's just average saturation
12	across the whole interval?
13	A. Correct.
14	Q. And the oil in place calculation that you
15	did, is there any cutoff applied to that oil in
16	place calculation?
17	A. No, other than the .1 millidarcy.
18	Q. That .1
19	A. For permeability, you know, in the initial
20	review. But no, no saturation cutoff.
21	Q. Why did why did you not use a
22	saturation cutoff when you did your oil in place
23	calculation?
24	A. That was determined by the reservoir
25	engineers.

Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 68 1 That wasn't your decision? Ο. 2. Α. Correct. Okay. Now, when you do your oil in place 3 Ο. 4 calculation, you're measuring on a section, 5 basically you're calculating on a section basis of 640 acres. But that is -- that value there that you 6 7 got in that box is based on log data from a single well -- interpreted well, right? 8 9 Α. Correct. 10 And it's extrapolated out on the 11 assumption that what you have interpreted on the log 12 data can be uniformly extrapolated out across one section, correct? 13 14 Α. Correct. 15 Okay. Now, looking through -- the same Q. 16 calculations, same approach was used for each of the 17 well log interpretations represented in your testimony, correct? 18 19 Α. Yes. Okay. Did you calculate -- when you were 20 Ο. reviewing the log interpretations that NuTech 21 prepared, did you calculate -- or is there an oil 22 23 saturation of 30 percent for any continuous interval of 400 feet within the San Andres well that --24 within the San Andres in any well that was analyzed? 25

	Joseph Meshane Tipin 6, 2025	
	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 69	
1	A. I cannot recall if there was.	
2	Q. Okay. If I would it help if I walked	
3	through each of these slides?	
4	A. Sure.	
5	Q. Okay. So if I look over here, I see a net	
6	oil I guess I'd be looking for the net oil	
7	interval, right?	
8	A. Um-hmm.	
9	Q. I'd be looking for net oil interval and	
10	then the oil saturation, correct?	
11	A. Yes.	
12	Q. Okay. So here for the EMSU 658, the net	
13	oil interval is 182 feet, correct?	
14	A. Yes.	
15	Q. And the average oil saturation is	
16	39 percent for that interval, correct?	
17	A. Correct.	
18	Q. Okay. So that how about the 673?	
19	A. Correct, 153 and 40 percent.	
20	Q. Okay. So that one, there's not now, is	
21	this going back up here to this 658, that 182 net	
22	feet is spread out over that gross interval,	
23	correct?	
24	A. Yes.	
25	Q. Okay. So that wouldn't be continuous a	
	Page 69	
	5	

	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 70
1	continuous 182 feet, correct?
2	A. Correct.
3	Q. Okay. And in the 673, that's 153 net over
4	362 feet, correct?
5	A. Correct.
6	Q. And that's a 40 percent oil saturation, so
7	that that 153 feet is not continuous, correct?
8	A. Correct.
9	Q. Okay. And the 713, again, you got a net
10	interval of 40 feet out of a gross of 125 with an
11	average saturation of 48.4 percent, but that 40 feet
12	net is not continuous, correct?
13	A. Correct. But I'll also remind that not
14	all of these logs went through the entire San Andres
15	interval as well. So this does not account for any
16	hydrocarbons below the logging interval on wells.
17	While the 713 did, some of those other wells did not
18	go all the way through.
19	Q. Right. And then the 660, again, you got a
20	net oil interval of 313 feet out of a gross of 431
21	with an average saturation of 34.4. But that net
22	interval of 313 is not continuous, agree?
23	A. Correct.
24	Q. Okay. And the 746, you've got a gross
25	interval of 1223 feet with a net oil interval of

	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 71
1	508 feet, an average oil saturation of 25.1. But
2	that net interval of 508 feet is not continuous,
3	agree?
4	A. Correct.
5	Q. Is there any is there any section of
6	that 746 well where you have 400 feet continuous oil
7	saturations above 30 percent?
8	A. I do not recall if there is, no.
9	Q. Okay. Sitting here today, have you can
10	you I mean, you got the log right here. Can you
11	tell me whether or not there's any interval that's
12	beyond that's 400 feet that has 30 percent oil
13	saturation?
14	A. Not continuous, no. But intermittent,
15	yes.
16	Q. Intermittent above 30 percent?
17	A. Well, I'm saying there's a possibility you
18	see spikes in hydrocarbons, you know, maybe 100 feet
19	apart or 50 feet apart based on that log. I can't
20	without zooming in, but where you may see some
21	some wells, the continuous either there is no
22	400-foot continuous interval. That's what I'm
23	saying.
24	Q. Right. And but I'm also asking about
25	the average oil saturation. It doesn't exceed it
	Page 71

	<u> </u>
	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 72
1	doesn't exceed 30 percent, does it?
2	A. Correct.
3	Q. Okay. When you have calculated oil
4	you've calculated oil in place before in your
5	career, correct?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Have you ever not used an oil saturation
8	cutoff when calculating oil in place?
9	A. Yes. We've done I've done it many
10	different ways.
11	Q. So you in your prior experience, you've
12	had occasion not to use an oil cutoff for your oil
13	in place?
14	A. It's dependent on the situation, and
15	sometimes we'll run I've run multiple, you know,
16	methods of calculating. But, you know, this was
17	determined for this one out here. But like, for
18	instance but like the OPS Geologic, we used an
19	oil saturation they used an oil saturation cutoff
20	on there. So we wanted to see both compare both
21	numbers.
22	Q. Okay. And if you used an oil saturation
23	cutoff, it would be it would be depending on
24	what you used, it would be a different calculation,
25	wouldn't it?

Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin

A. The intent, yes. The intent was to show that you saw the same shows and fluorescing and gas shows during this -- in the same interval because multiple wells throughout the -- throughout the EMSU, whereas just mud logs have the same type of response in the San Andres.

But here we had the benefit of -- wireline that was analyzed by NuTech showed hydrocarbons in place at the same time as the gas response.

- Q. And the portion here that aligns with the mud log, you've got high oil saturations. What are those oil saturations?
- A. You see oil saturations ranging from -between probably, you know, 20 percent upwards of
 55 percent, maybe a little higher in certain zones.
- Q. Yeah. So each of these tic marks is, what, 10 percent? 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60 --
 - A. Yeah.

2.

- Q. -- 70 -- maybe even above 70 percent?
- A. I'd say 70, 75 percent. I believe there are one or two spikes we saw.
- Q. So you included mud log in here indicating that there are -- there's indications of shows, and you included the -- and this is NuTech's revised analysis, correct?

So the testing that was done, there was a

25

0.

	1 ,
	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 76
1	series of 25 pump tests that were done on those six
2	perfs, right?
3	A. I again, I'm aware of it, but I would
4	defer to our engineers on that, production
5	engineers.
6	Q. But you didn't provide those data points
7	as part of your representation here to the
8	Commission on how on the performance of the
9	section of the of the log?
10	A. Correct, I didn't talk about the
11	performance of the log.
12	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Mr. Rankin?
13	MR. RANKIN: Yeah. Do you want to
14	take a break?
15	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Yeah. I've
16	been waiting for you to sort of finish this section,
17	but I'm not sure if it's finished.
18	MR. RANKIN: No, I think I think
19	I'm let me just see real quick if there's a spot.
20	I think maybe just let me ask another question or
21	two, and then we can take a break.
22	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: All right.
23	MR. RANKIN: I apologize. Just kind
24	of a
25	Q (By Mr. Rankin) So but you're familiar
	Page 76
	1496 70

	Joseph Meshane Typin 6, 2025
	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 77
1	generally with the fact that this interval was
2	tested by the prior operator?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Okay. But you don't know the specifics
5	about how much what was tested, how it was done,
6	or what the test results were?
7	A. Correct, other than that they did test a
8	little bit of oil.
9	Q. But you're familiar you're aware that
10	they plugged back this zone and then came up a hole
11	in the Grayburg?
12	A. Yes, it was a test zone. The primary
13	objective was the Grayburg.
14	MR. RANKIN: Let's take a break,
15	Mr. Hearing Officer.
16	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Okay.
17	Thank you. Let's take a 15-minute break and be back
18	at ten of.
19	(Recess was taken from 10:36 a.m. until 10:51 a.m.)
20	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Ms. Apodaca
21	and Ms. Tellez, are you ready?
22	All right. And I see a thumbs up from
23	her.
24	Mr. Rankin.
25	MR. RANKIN: Thank you, Mr. Hearing
	Page 77

	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 78
1	Officer.
2	Q (By Mr. Rankin) Before I leave these logs,
3	Mr. McShane, I have on my screen let me know if
4	you can still see this.
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. The EMSU 746 log interpretation from
7	NuTech, right?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. One question I had is I was just
10	looking at this over the break, and you got an
11	average oil saturation, which we confirmed. It's
12	for the entire gross interval, correct?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. And here you've calculated it to be or
15	rather, I guess the calculation is 25.1 percent
16	average oil saturation for that entire gross
17	interval, correct?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. But I'm looking at it, just all the blue
20	is interpreted water, correct?
21	A. Yes, and I logged that as interpreted
22	water.
23	Q. And the black is the interpreted oil
24	saturation, correct?
25	A. Yes.
	Page 78

	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 79
1	Q. Just looking at this, I'm wondering how,
2	do you know it seems like how you came to
3	25 percent with the most of the interval is
4	interpreted as being water?
5	A. That was their, you know, display. But
6	the when we averaged the curves and the data
7	output, that's what we've calculated.
8	Q. Okay. So it is just what it is?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. Basically, you're just adding up all of
11	these tiny little black
12	A. Well, across the interval, yes.
13	Q. Across the interval, it all adds up to
14	25 percent?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. It just looked like it was not
17	proportionate. But the calculation is what the
18	calculation is, right?
19	A. Correct.
20	Q. Okay. Okay. Here in paragraph 13 of your
21	revised self-affirmed statement, you refer to the
22	EMSU 200H landing zone. Explain to me what this
23	paragraph is all about.
24	A. There was a question about where the
25	EMSU 200H was landed and producing from as a
	Page 79

	1
	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 80
1	horizontal well. And we were just confirming that
2	it was indeed landed in the Grayburg reservoir.
3	Q. And that question had come up in a
4	previous contested matter between Empire and
5	Goodnight, correct?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. And that was in the Piazza case that was
8	between the parties at the Division?
9	A. I believe so, yes.
10	Q. Okay. And at the time of that hearing,
11	Empire's position was that the well was producing
12	from the San Andres; is that correct?
13	A. I do not I was not here at that time,
14	so I do not know what the position was.
15	Q. Okay. But at that point
16	A. I was asked to confirm the landing zone.
17	Q. Okay. But the point here is that it's
18	actually completed in the Grayburg, correct?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. Okay. So you did you review the
21	testimony in evidence in the Piazza case in order to
22	address this issue?
23	A. No. I just based re-steered that well
24	with a curve model.
25	Q. And that Piazza case is that and one of
	Page 80
	_ = = 5 2 0 0

	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 81
1	the matters that's currently pending before the
2	Commission as a de novo matter, correct?
3	A. I believe so, yes.
4	Q. Okay. Okay. So you agree with Goodnight
5	Midstream's rebuttal testimony in evidence in that
6	case that this well was completed and producing only
7	in the Grayburg?
8	A. I agree that this well was landed in the
9	Grayburg.
10	Q. Okay. This next section of your
11	testimony, you address a some blue highlighting
12	in this Exhibit G-7(a) and (b), about that
13	reflects a dolostone package, right?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. And I think you address this in your
16	summary of your testimony. Tell me what this
17	dolostone package is. And maybe, if it's easier, if
18	you prefer, I'll go down to the exhibits.
19	A. So the light blue is highlighting a
20	dolostone interval within the San Andres that
21	basically is it's brecciated, and there's
22	fractures through it. But it's largely considered
23	below the premier sand, which is just above the top
24	of the San Andres. And, you know, there's
25	discussion over whether or not that is a consistent

	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 82
1	facies or lateral facies across the field.
2	And so in these exhibits and the testimony
3	we talk about that, because of fractures present as
4	well as collapsed brecciation due to known
5	conformity, it does not serve as a a boundary to
6	prevent migration of fluids.
7	Q. So this dolostone package is related to,
8	you said it's just below the top of the San Andres
9	but that's the pick that Empire's picked for the top
LO	of the San Andres, correct?
L1	A. It's the top that Empire picked, as well
L2	as the top that's constantly recorded with the
L3	State, as well as in documents that we've provided
L4	through the State where they've identified the top
L5	of the San Andres as being 150-foot above, you know,
L6	or above sorry. Totally drawing a blank here.
L7	But, just below the premier sandstone, a few feet
L8	below the premier sandstone.
L9	Q. But you don't show here where Goodnight's
20	identified permeability barrier is, do you?
21	A. No, I do not.
22	Q. And you don't show it on this
23	Exhibit G-7(a) and you also don't show that on your
24	Exhibit G-7(b), do you?
25	A. No, I do not.

Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin

Q. Okay. And are you saying that Goodnight has selected that dolostone as its permeability barrier?

2.

A. Not necessarily. It is referred to a lot as the permeability barrier, but it's -- the Goodnight tops range a lot differently than the accepted tops in the State and unitization. And so some of them refer to that permeability barrier, some don't.

But what I'm just trying to show here is that the lateral top facies of the San Andres is not consistent in thickness or -- and the fact that it doesn't -- it's not as massive. It has brecciation and fractures that would serve as secondary permeability pathways.

- Q. But the point, I guess, I'm trying to make sure I understand is that you're not showing anywhere on this -- these exhibits or your testimony, you don't address Goodnight's permeability barrier that it's picked, have you?
- A. No, because these were submitted before Goodnight submitted theirs.
- Q. But as Goodnight -- Goodnight hasn't changed its permeability barrier from any of its cases, including those that were presented to the

	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 84
1	Division, including the Piazza case, right?
2	MS. SHAHEEN: I'll object to
3	Mr. Rankin testifying again.
4	Q. Mr. McShane, you're aware that Goodnight
5	has had previous contests between Empire before at
6	the Division?
7	A. I am aware, yes.
8	Q. And in those cases, are you aware that
9	Goodnight had picked permeability barriers that
10	isolated its injection?
11	A. I'm aware as in just being discussed, but
12	I have not reviewed the materials
13	Q. So
14	A in those cases.
15	Q. So prior to preparing your testimony, you
16	didn't bother to review Goodnight's permeability
17	barrier picks that had been presented to the
18	Division and contested with Empire in advance of
19	this case?
20	A. I did my independent analysis and
21	appraisal of it and did my own mapping.
22	Q. But part of that wouldn't have been to
23	review what actually Goodnight actually had
24	picked as a perm barrier?
25	MS. SHAHEEN: Objection,

	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 85
1	argumentative, lack of foundation.
2	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Overruled.
3	Q. Mr. McShane, you were aware that Goodnight
4	had a case before the Division with Empire and that
5	they had presented a perm barrier in that case?
6	A. I'm aware they presented perm barriers,
7	yes.
8	Q. Okay. But in preparation for this case
9	and this testimony that you submitted in August of
10	2024, you did not review Goodnight's perm barriers?
11	A. As in, other than that they were calling
12	the top the San Andres a perm barrier. But
13	Goodnight's top of the San Andres was not
14	consistent.
15	Q. So but basically, you're telling me you
16	didn't look at the Piazza case or Goodnight's
17	testimony in that case, correct?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. So in preparation for this testimony, you
20	had you didn't know where Goodnight's perm
21	barrier was picked, correct?
22	A. Not in the Piazza case, no.
23	Q. Did you know where it was picked in these
24	cases when you prepared your testimony?
25	A. I knew where the generality, yes.

	Joseph McShane - April 8, 2025
	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 86
1	Q. Why didn't you address it in your
2	testimony?
3	A. Because it's my mapping and my exhibit. I
4	did not want that put in this exhibit.
5	Q. Okay. Let's see. Is this what I'm on?
6	In this same Exhibit G-7(a), you got a
7	bullet over here that where you say, "Basal
8	blocks moved post deposition causing fractures to
9	form creating pathways for fluid communication."
10	Did I read that correctly?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. What evidence of fractures do you have
13	relating to these structural blocks that you allege
14	exist near this crestal high?
15	A. Evidence of fracturing in the 679 core, as
16	well as an outcrop studies throughout New Mexico in
17	the Permian Basin.
18	Q. Okay. So the outcrop studies are how many
19	miles away?
20	A. I could not, off the top of my head, tell
21	you.
22	Q. Dozens of miles?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Hundreds of miles?
25	A. Possibly, about 100 miles.
	Page 86

	1 /
	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 87
1	Q. Okay. Now, as the 679 well, is that on
2	the crestal high?
3	A. It's slightly off.
4	Q. I'm not going to bother to try to find it
5	right now. But you're telling me that the that
6	the 679 is within the crestal high?
7	A. It's in the higher portions of the of
8	the structure. It's not on the apex of the
9	structure.
10	Q. So you were arguing with me about whether
11	or not these water supply wells were in the crestal
12	high, and you're telling me that 679 is within the
13	crestal high?
14	A. It's off it's within the higher parts
15	of the structure, but it's not on the crestal high
16	or apex, is what I just said.
17	Q. Okay. I may want to take a moment to pull
18	that well up, and I will maybe my colleague can
19	help me find the 679 so we have a map of it.
20	So you're relying, then, on the when
21	you refer to the 679, you're referring to the
22	fracture study that was conducted by Mr
23	Dr. Lindsay, correct?
24	A. Of the physical core, yes.
25	Q. Of the physical core. Okay. And

Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 88 1 Dr. Lindsay -- so you, yourself -- did you, 2. yourself, review that fracture study? 3 Α. Yes. 4 Ο. Okay. You don't include any of that 5 analysis in your testimony, do you? Correct, I do not. 6 7 O. Okay. So in terms of the testimony, are you relying, then, on Dr. Lindsay's analysis of 8 9 that? 10 I'm relying on the fact that I'd Α. No. 11 visually seen the photos of the physical core that 12 there are fractures present. 13 Okay. And in those depths have you identified -- have you correlated those depths with 14 15 the depths that relate to -- you just told me that 16 you don't know where Goodnight's perm barrier is, 17 right? 18 Α. I mean, I know the generality that they're 19 calling the top of the San Andres perm barrier, but 20 I don't have the exact footage. But, again, I'm talking about analyzing independently the reservoir 21 as -- on my own. 22 23 Okay. But in terms of the fracture study Ο. 24 that Dr. Lindsay prepared, have you identified -have you confirmed that there are fractures to what 25

	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 90
1	right?
2	A. Correct. That's just the interval, the
3	top of the San Andres where it's referred to as the
4	perm barrier.
5	Q. So other than the 679, what other evidence
6	do you have to support your statement that the
7	fractures are more prevalent near the crest of the
8	structure?
9	A. Again, the 679 core fracture studies done
10	regionally by Dr. Lindsay, so the outcrops, as well
11	as analogs. You know, in other fields where when
12	you have inclines and uplift and the rock's bent,
13	you expect to see fracturing in this type of rock.
14	And that goes along with what we've identified in
15	the core as well.
16	Q. Okay.
17	A. My
18	Q. Let's see if I have
19	You don't know what section the core
20	the core 679 ROZ is in, do you, Mr. McShane?
21	A. Not off the top of my head on this map,
22	no.
23	Q. Okay. I'll come back to it.
24	Would you disagree with me, Mr. McShane,
25	if I told you that the EMSU 679 was in Section 8 to
	Page 90

	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 91
1	the west of the water supply well 460?
2	A. Not necessarily, but I'd need to see it on
3	the map.
4	Q. Yeah. Okay. Assuming that it is west of
5	the 460 well in Section 8 God, sorry that
6	would put it approximately where my cursor is here;
7	is that correct?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. That would be off the crestal high,
10	wouldn't it?
11	A. It would be in the higher section, but
12	coming off the crestal high, you're correct, which
13	is all the more reason to believe that there's
14	fracturing even further up on the crestal high when
15	we see a possible down-dipped section of the wells.
16	Q. So within the EMSU, the only direct
17	evidence that you're citing about potential for
18	fracturing is the EMSU 679 well, correct?
19	A. Correct, physical, visual data of
20	fractures in the 679 well
21	Q. Okay.
22	A is the only physical data that we have.
23	Q. Okay. Empire's expert witness, Steve
24	Melzer, has an exhibit where he refers to some
25	vertical potential vertical fractures along these
	Page 91

	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 92
1	crestal high in this Exhibit C-15 as being
2	conceptual.
3	Is your statement about these basal
4	fractures referring to the same fractures that
5	Mr. Melzer refers to as being conceptual?
6	A. No. I'm referring to physical fractures
7	to the core.
8	Q. And that would just be the 679, right?
9	A. That's what those outcrop multiple
10	sections in the San Andres showing fracturing.
11	Q. Okay. Again, those outcrop are dozens, if
12	not hundreds of miles to the west? Southwest?
13	West?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. Okay. Now, this I think this is your
16	last exhibit, Exhibit G-8. You've included a page
17	from as I understand, from the as you state
18	here, the 2021 sales package for the EMSU, correct?
19	A. Correct.
20	Q. And what's the point of this exhibit?
21	A. The point of this exhibit for my purposes
22	is to show that even in XTO and Exxon, we saw
23	their they've identified upside of the potential
24	residual oil zone, their calculated oil in place
25	numbers were on trend with two separate independent

	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 93
1	analyses done by, you know, OPS Geologic and NuTech.
2	So just, again, showing that we're on trend with
3	what was evaluated in their sales package.
4	Q. Okay. Now, on that point, XTO had put
5	base of the ROZ at minus 700 feet subsea depth,
6	correct?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. And where but that's not where the base
9	of the ROZ is in either NuTech's analysis or in OPS
10	Geologic analysis, is it?
11	A. Correct.
12	Q. And they put the base of the ROZ, what,
13	about the bottom of the San Andres interval?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. Okay. So how is that on trend?
16	A. Because the bulk of the wells analyzed
17	that go into the oil in place calculations, the logs
18	were a lot shallower than the all the way to the
19	base. So, again, Exxon would have probably been
20	using the same logging intervals that we had to do
21	our analysis, and they just that's where they
22	called their base. We believe it's much deeper,
23	based on the analysis done by Dr. Lindsay, as well
24	as NuTech and OPS Geologic.
25	Q. Now, Dr. Lindsay did Dr. Lindsay do an

	3030pii Weshane - April 6, 2023
	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 94
1	analysis of what analysis are you referring to
2	that Dr. Lindsay did?
3	A. Just in general looking through the
4	stratigraphic column.
5	Q. I don't recall him
6	A. But he didn't identify an ROZ or anything.
7	Q. He didn't, did he?
8	A. No.
9	Q. So I think I was a little confused by that
10	statement.
11	And you agree that XTO had all the same
12	logs and information that Empire had, correct?
13	A. I do not know, since I did not evaluate
14	this, the data given to us by XTO during the
15	acquisition process.
16	Q. I mean, are there any other logs or wells
17	that have been drilled or core that's been taken
18	since acquired from since this property has been
19	acquired from XTO?
20	A. I do not believe so, but I'm unsure. But,
21	again, I can't speak to what they had in-house.
22	Q. But Empire hasn't drilled any new wells,
23	right?
24	A. No.
25	Q. And Empire hasn't taken any new core,
	Page 94

	Joseph McShane - April 8, 2025
	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 95
1	right?
2	A. Correct.
3	Q. And Empire hasn't run any new wireline
4	logs, correct?
5	A. Correct.
6	Q. Did is to your knowledge, did Empire
7	rely on the statements XTO made in its sale package
8	when it acquired the EMSU?
9	A. To my not to my knowledge. I don't
10	know what they used.
11	Q. Is Empire now relying on the statements
12	from XTO from the sales package?
13	A. They are not relying on it, no.
14	Q. Okay. One thing I I'm going to take
15	you back real quick to the question about fracturing
16	here, these basal blocks and shifts. Do you recall
17	the location of the R.R. Bell Number 4 well?
18	A. Excuse me? I'm sorry?
19	Q. Do you recall the location of the
20	R.R. Bell Number 4 well?
21	A. Yes, vaguely. It's to the north. Yeah,
22	right there.
23	Q. Right in this section, correct?
24	A. Right.
25	Q. That would be higher closer to the
	Page 95

	303cpii Weshane - April 6, 2023	
	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin	96
1	crestal high than the 670, agree?	
2	A. Yes.	
3	Q. And are you familiar with whether or not	
4	there's any fractures evident in the R.R. Bell	
5	Number 4 core?	
6	A. No.	
7	Q. You're not familiar?	
8	A. No, I'm not.	
9	Q. Okay. So if you were interested in	
10	evaluating whether or not there are fractures	
11	associated with crestal high, why wouldn't you have	
12	evaluated whether or not there's fractures evident	
13	in the R.R. Bell Number 4 well?	
14	A. I need to see the R.R. Bell core report.	
15	Q. But how many cores are there in the	
16	San Andres within the EMSU?	
17	A. Two.	
18	Q. Okay. And one is 679, correct?	
19	A. Yes.	
20	Q. And the other is R.R. Bell Number 4,	
21	correct?	
22	A. Correct.	
23	Q. And so and that would be being that	
24	the R.R. Bell Number 4 is closer to the crestal	
25	high, why wouldn't you have reviewed that report?	
	Page 96	
	Page 96	

	1 /
	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 97
1	A. Well, we reviewed them, but did not have
2	any photos for that core. So I cannot put my
3	physical eyes on the core.
4	Q. Mr. Lindsay Dr. Lindsay didn't include
5	photos of the R.R. Bell Number 4 core in his
6	testimony?
7	A. Not at the time I had not seen them at
8	the time of his
9	Q. Do you see
10	A. This testimony, filing this.
11	Q. You've seen them since, correct?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. And do those photographs evince any
14	evidence of fractures?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. They do?
17	A. Yes. There's not as much as the 679 well.
18	Q. Okay. So your opinion is that the
19	R.R. Bell Number 4 does include fractures?
20	A. I'd say some evidence of resealing, but,
21	yes.
22	Q. Okay. Did Dr. Lindsay address the
23	R.R. Bell 4 as being fractured in his analysis?
24	A. No. This is my analysis.
25	Q. Okay. And you don't include it in your
	Page 97

	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 98
1	written testimony, do you?
2	A. Didn't have it at that time.
3	Q. Okay. When did you acquire it?
4	A. Last few months.
5	Q. So you didn't have it when you did your
6	revised testimony?
7	A. No.
8	Q. Dr. Lindsay filed his testimony in August
9	of 2024, correct?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. So why wouldn't you have had it when you
12	filed your revised testimony in December of 2024?
13	A. I just hadn't had it, didn't look at the
14	photos.
15	Q. Okay. Looking at your revised testimony,
16	I'm going to go back to G-3, I think it's little (b)
17	in your revised testimony. At the bottom here, I've
18	highlighted a statement that you make that,
19	"Disposal of [the] Delaware basin water into the
20	San Andres is damaging the hydrocarbon reserves
21	present at the EMSU."
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. What analysis did you do to establish that
24	there's damage to hydrocarbon reserves in the
25	San Andres at the EMSU? Or let me rephrase that.

	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 99
1	What analysis did you do to establish that there's
2	damage to hydrocarbon reserves in the EMSU?
3	A. As far as as far as me, I did basic
4	geological analysis and modeling, that if you're
5	pumping high volumes of commercial water into the
6	formation that's moving up-dip and there's fractures
7	present, that you would eventually damage the
8	reservoir, if it hasn't been already.
9	Q. Where is where is that modeling or
10	analysis or data in your testimony?
11	A. Just experience and knowledge.
12	Q. You and I reviewed some of your background
13	and your history
14	A. Um-hmm.
15	Q in different fields. Where have you
16	experienced that previously?
17	A. In the Permian Basin. There were
18	operators that were proposing or had drilled
19	saltwater disposal wells, and there was great
20	concern over regardless of which formation, that
21	you would be if there were a fracture present,
22	that you would see watering out in that formation.
23	Q. Okay. Based on your experience, did that
24	occur?
25	A. I did not we did not see that because
	Page 99

Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 100 1 we did not have any on our acreage in the Permian. 2. Ο. Okay. So did you, yourself, do any 3 analysis in your prior experience on potential impacts from offsetting saltwater disposal wells on 4 hydrocarbon reserves? 5 6 No, because I would never approve of a 7 saltwater disposal well on my acreage previously, regardless of the formation. 8 9 I guess you told me just now that your Q. interpretation and your -- and your conclusions and 10 11 your testimony were based on your experience. 12 I'm asking: What experience have you had making 13 such evaluations? 14 Basic petroleum geology, that if you have Α. 15 two formations in communication and you're moving 16 up-dip through structure and you have secondary 17 permeability and porosity through fracturing, that you will move fluids between those. 18 19 And your testimony here was about 20 secondary porosity in dolostone -- brecciated dolostone just below Empire's San Andres pick, 21 22 correct? 23 Correct, with fractures present. Α. 24 Q. And you didn't identify anywhere on here where Goodnight's permeability barrier is located, 25

	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 101
1	did you?
2	A. No.
3	Q. Okay. I'll talk a little bit about
4	Empire's purported plans for potential CO2 flood.
5	Have you or anyone else that you're aware from
6	Empire had any preliminarily meetings,
7	communications with the Oil Conservation Division
8	staff to discuss a proposed EMSU CO2 recovery in the
9	San Andres?
L O	A. I have not. And I'm not aware if anybody
L1	else has or hadn't.
L2	Q. Are you aware what are the confining
L3	zones for the San Andres ROZ if CO2 is permitted to
L4	be injected into the San Andres?
L5	A. I've not been involved in the CO2 flood
L6	modeling.
L7	Q. So based on your testimony today, you're
L8	telling me that there are fractures in the
L9	San Andres that allow for communication into the
20	into the Grayburg, correct?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. So what are the combining intervals that
23	you would identify, as the geologist for Empire,
24	that would contain CO2 injection into the targeted
25	interval?

	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 102
1	A. I cannot speak to that right now. I have
2	not done that analysis.
3	Q. Okay. Has anybody at Empire done that
4	analysis?
5	A. I've not been a part of that discussion.
6	I do not know.
7	Q. Okay. So you as you sit here today,
8	are you aware of anybody doing any analysis about
9	potential for injection of CO2 and making sure that
10	it's contained within the target intervals?
11	A. I do not know.
12	Q. You're the is there anybody else in
13	charge of geology at the EMSU other than you?
14	A. They have not been brought in on the
15	geologic side, just on the just on the modeling
16	side for the reservoir. But, no, I do not know of
17	anybody who has done that.
18	Q. Okay.
19	A. I've not been a part of those
20	conversations.
21	Q. Is there anybody else at Empire who would
22	be who would do the geologic evaluation of the
23	containing zones for CO2 injection?
24	A. Not a no.
25	Q. It would just be you, right?
	Page 102

Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 103 1 And I believe that -- I'm not sure 2. how far along they are on that, like that they've 3 gotten to that phase. 4 Ο. Okay. Is Empire considering CO2 injection in both the Grayburg and San Andres intervals? 5 I'd have to defer to our engineering group 6 7 on that. Have you evaluated whether the Grayburg 8 Ο. serves as a barrier to upper migration of CO2 9 injection? 10 11 No, I have not. Α. 12 Ο. Do you know if anybody at Empire has done 13 that? 14 I do not know. Α. 15 In terms of the Grayburg or overall, has Q. 16 anybody done an analysis of whether CO2 would be 17 contained within the injection zones between the Grayburg and/or San Andres? 18 19 I do not know if they have done that yet. 20 I'm interested in sort of generally, Ο. Mr. McShane, a question about reserves. Part of the 21 22 dispute or debate in this case is whether or not 23 there are any hydrocarbon reserves in the 24 San Andres. How do you, as a -- as a petroleum geologist, find reserves? 25

	1.5.1 0, 2020
	Cross-Examination Mr. Rankin 104
1	A. Presence of hydrocarbons that are
2	recoverable within a formation.
3	Q. As part of your analysis in this hearing,
4	have you undertaken any determinations of whether
5	any hydrocarbon was identified in the San Andres are
6	recoverable?
7	A. Other than just being a part of helping to
8	identify that there's an ROZ in place, no. Not in
9	the reserve calculation side.
10	Q. So you haven't calculated a recovery
11	factor?
12	A. No, I have not.
13	Q. Have you been involved with any
14	preparation of any reserve reports?
15	A. No, I have not.
16	Q. Okay. So you've never done a you've
17	never done signed or signed off on any reserve
18	reports in your experience or history?
19	A. No.
20	Q. Okay.
21	MR. RANKIN: Mr. Hearing Officer, I
22	have no I believe I have no further questions of
23	this witness.
24	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Okay.
25	Thank you, Mr. Rankin.
	Page 104

	rr
	Cross-Examination by Mr. Moander 105
1	So, Mr. Moander, you'd be next.
2	MR. MOANDER: Thank you, Mr. Hearing
3	Officer.
4	CROSS-EXAMINATION
5	BY MR. MOANDER:
6	Q. Good morning, Mr. McShane.
7	A. Good morning.
8	Q. I'll scoot this way so I can see around
9	counsel.
10	So I just have a few questions for you.
11	Again, my name is Chris Moander. I'm counsel for
12	the Oil Conservation Division. Just want to confirm
13	a few things with you.
14	Based on my review of the records and
15	correct me if I'm wrong here it looks like that
16	your testimony was submitted as direct testimony and
17	then revised; is that right?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. And you weren't deposed in this matter,
20	correct?
21	A. Correct.
22	Q. And you did not submit any rebuttal
23	testimony; is that right?
24	A. Correct.
25	Q. Okay. It's my understanding, based on
	Page 105

	Cross-Examination by Mr. Moander 106
1	reviewing your file direct testimony and then
2	revised testimony, that you didn't review any OCD
3	filings for the cases before the Commission; is that
4	right?
5	A. That's correct.
6	Q. And then because you didn't review any of
7	those, it would be a reasonable assumption you
8	didn't analyze those pleadings?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. And then, therefore, you have no opinions
11	on OCD's case in this matter?
12	A. Correct.
13	MR. MOANDER: All right. Thank you.
14	I will pass the witness.
15	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: All right.
16	Mr. Beck for Rice?
17	MR. BECK: No questions.
18	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: And thank
19	you.
20	Okay. Pilot?
21	MR. SUAZO: No questions for this
22	witness.
23	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: All right.
24	Then we're back to the Commission.
25	Chairman Razatos, I'll start with you. Do
	Page 106

	Examination by Commissioner Ampomah 107
1	you have questions for Mr. McShane?
2	CHAIRMAN RAZATOS: No questions from
3	me. Thank you.
4	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: All right.
5	Mr. Lamkin, questions for Mr. McShane?
6	COMMISSIONER LAMKIN: I don't have
7	any questions either.
8	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Dr.
9	Ampomah, then, to you.
10	COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: Thank you,
11	sir.
12	EXAMINATION
13	BY COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH:
14	Q. Okay. Thank you, sir, for your testimony
15	today. We do appreciate that.
16	COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: And if we can
17	keep the PowerPoint on, that would be really
18	wonderful. I'd appreciate that.
19	Q. Mr. McShane, you know, I'll start by
20	saying that so if we can go to the slide where we
21	do have the, I think, Exhibit G-3(a), so you
22	answered one of my questions, right there, when
23	asked about the comparison of the that has been
24	done by OPS Geologic and then also NuTech.
25	So there was one slide where you showed
	Page 107

	Examination by Commissioner Ampomah 108
1	the values. Would it be this slide, but probably on
2	your
3	A. Yes, it's in the Power that was in the
4	testimony. This is in the PowerPoint, right there.
5	Q. Okay. Thank you.
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. So can you tell the Commission how much of
8	these let me say that I do appreciate you putting
9	it there because it solved one of my puzzles. But
10	my question on this one would be: Can you tell the
11	Commission how much of this estimate is for the
12	upper San Andres and for the lower San Andres?
13	A. I cannot quantify because it's the
14	calculation was over the entire San Andres interval
15	that each individual log covered. And, again, only
16	one or two of the logs cover the complete San Andres
17	interval.
18	So it was more based on the the
19	calculations were more based on total feet of logged
20	interval than it was complete interval. So I
21	would by that, I would expect that if we had full
22	logs, we would, then, be able to break it down like
23	you were asking.
24	Q. So would it be fair to say that most of
25	the estimation will probably be in the upper

Examination by Commissioner Ampomah 109 1 position of the San Andres? 2. I do not believe that. I believe that the 3 analysis shows hydrocarbons present through both 4 intervals, but what people are referring to as the 5 upper and lower. It may not be continuous, but it's pretty robust throughout in a lot of the wells. 6 7 I'm not sure that would be the best generalization for it. 8 So from geological point of view -- and I 9 Ο. do know that there is an engineer who will be 10 11 coming. 12 Α. Yes. 13 So there's some questions that I will ask Ο. 14 in general, but I'm just -- I just want you to speak 15 to it from a geological point of view. So from all 16 the analysis that Empire has conducted through via 17 consultants and also the geologist, can you tell the Commission how much of this oil that has been 18 19 estimated is recoverable? 20 Not to date, no, I cannot speak to that how much is recoverable. 21 22 And from all the geology team that you've 0. 23 worked with on this case, meaning the consultants 24 from, let's say, petrophysics, geology, you cannot

comment on how much of these oil that has been

25

	Examination by Commissioner Ampomah 110
1	estimated will be recoverable?
2	A. Correct. The there's it is believed
3	that a large amount would be able to, but we do not
4	have enough data yet or far enough in the process to
5	be able to do that on a geologic standpoint.
6	Engineering may have a better opinion on that.
7	Q. So from geology point of view, do you
8	believe that how much can be recoverable is also a
9	central part of this case?
10	A. From a geologic standpoint, my first goal
11	was to provide enough information and analysis that
12	there were amount large amounts of hydrocarbons
13	present, even if they were not movable hydrocarbons,
14	in order to identify an ROZ in place.
15	Q. So in your PowerPoint, you know, I saw you
16	talked about consistent barrier, not consistent
17	barrier.
18	A. Um-hmm.
19	Q. So, you know, and I see more, let's say,
20	the expert combining all of the geology work that
21	has been done and presented to the Commission. Is
22	that fair?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Okay. So from all the analysis, any
25	comment or tell the Commission whether Empire has
	Page 110

Examination by Commissioner Ampomah 111 1 identified any barriers within the San Andres -- or, 2. let's say, between the Grayburg and the San Andres. No, we do not believe there is any barrier 3 Α. that would -- between the Grayburg and the 4 5 San Andres due to, again, the inconsistent nature of the top of the San Andres, as layered on with the 6 7 potential fracturing and fractures identified, as well as the collapsed breccia environment of that 8 zone, that there is a pathway between the two. 9 10 So -- and you have the EMSU 679 well, that Ο. 11 was drilled in 1990? 12 Α. Um-hmm. 13 And then also you asked NuTech to analyze Ο. 14 multiple online 79 wells? 15 Α. Correct. 16 Was there any analysis done to look at the time series of those wells -- you know, let's say, 17 1990 and then the next year that the other wells 18 19 were drilled -- to more or less establish some trend 20 of the saturations change over time? No, we did not ask them that. Because of 21 Α. the nature that the data that we have currently, 22 23 regardless of when it was drilled -- like they were all drilled fairly early within the Grayburg flood, 24 waterflood zone, so your log analysis is pretty, for 25

	Examination by Commissioner Ampomah 112
1	lack of better terms, clean or virgin at that point.
2	And we haven't had any new wells after many years of
3	injection or injection by saltwater disposal wells
4	to be able to offset and drill another well and do
5	additional petrophysical work and log analysis,
6	which would be great. But we haven't had the time
7	to do that or the money right now, when we're not
8	sure where the project is going.
9	Q. So reckoning in some of your testimony,
10	especially the revision to your testimony, you are

especially the revision to your testimony, you are referencing 2005, open hole log run in 2005. Now, what injection has been going on -- so I've been given some of the examples probably in the '60s, so that is where my question was coming from.

2.3

Did you compare or has there been any analysis where you compare the saturation or -- in the oil in place calculations from the earlier years to any of these 2005 references that you're making in your revision -- revised testimony?

A. Not within the Geology Department,

Commissioner. Again, if I wanted to, I would argue
that internally within our group, that even those
wells drilled were basically point A type data
points, that I would want another well very close,
if not directly offsetting, to see how that rock

	Examination by Commissioner Ampomah 113
1	changes over time. It would you know, we're not
2	as densely infill as I felt you would be comfortable
3	just going by 2005 wells.
4	But, again, that's from a geologic team
5	perspective. I'm not sure on the engineering team.
6	Q. Okay. So from the geology team from
7	Empire, do you guys have any experience in ROZ
8	operations?
9	A. I do not, no.
10	Q. And your team, because you are more or
11	less the geologist?
12	A. Correct. We do not.
13	Q. Okay. Now, are you familiar with other
14	ROZ in place in the Permian?
15	A. Yes, to the extent of, you know, aware of
16	them and know their locations and zones that they're
17	in.
18	Q. Do you know the tip recovery factor for
19	these fields?
20	A. I do not know the recovery factor, sir.
21	Q. Now, can you tell the Commission and
22	this has been something that we really want to have
23	some answers to you know, from geological point
24	of view, how the high volume of the water injection
25	impacts your development of the ROZ from a

Examination by Commissioner Ampomah 114 geological standpoint?

- A. Basically, if you're -- we feel that if you're injecting the commercial volumes, you know, tens of thousands of barrels per well a day being injected into the system, that it -- eventually you're going to basically prohibit the ability of CO2 to potentially move the hydrocarbons that are in place -- that are unmovable right now that would only be removed with CO2. It would affect the ability for that to occur, not to mention that you would potentially affect the Grayburg because of the lack of barrier, our current waterflood in Grayburg production as well.
- Q. So essentially, continuous injection of the water can inhibit the development of the ROZ?
 - A. Correct.

2.

- Q. And is Empire considering in their volumetric calculation and then also their development plan, how much can be recovered, you know, considering the excessive amount of water that's been injected?
- A. I cannot speak to what the engineering group has considered, but I would assume they have -- I know that they'll be testifying to that later this week.

Examination by Commissioner Ampomah 115 How many saltwater injection wells 1 Ο. Okav. 2. at Empire do you have within the EMSU, that you are 3 aware of? We have no commercial saltwater wells. 4 Α. 5 Ours are all for makeup water. So there's only been, I think, five or six, but they're -- they're 6 7 very low volume, couple hundred barrels a day in their history. They're not the high volume wells 8 that we see being proposed and have been drilled. 9 10 So is Empire planning to continue Ο. 11 utilizing those wells? I would have to defer to our production 12 13 team on that. 14 Okay. So Empire is proposing -- or asking Ο. 15 the Commission to revoke some saltwater injection 16 wells as part of the application. Can you comment 17 from a geology point of view on each of these proposed wells, or existing wells, their impact on 18 19 the Grayburg based on the evidence presented to the 20 Commission from the geology point of view? From the geology point of view, the impact 21 Α. would be the same across all of them, which is 22 23 you're, again, either injecting or proposing to inject high volumes of outside water that is -- into 24 a formation that has no barrier, and it's moving 25

Examination by Commissioner Ampomah 116
into our waterflood. And it's replacing water
that's already been pumped out, and eventually going
to exceed that. And that's going to basically
affect how our waterflood is set up. The
recoverability of it, I have not done that as a
geologist. The recovery factor but from a geologic
standpoint, that's how it would affect.

And then, obviously, you would also start to move fluids up-dip, which, again, you know, would affect the recoverability, depending on each well and each injection well put in place for the Grayburg. It's a complex question. But from a geologic standpoint, those would be the things I would be on watch for or concerned about.

Q. Thank you for that.

2.

2.3

Now, let me ask: With regards to -- let's say, when you say that there's no barrier between the Grayburg and then the San Andres, you know, and as we all know, the water injection started way back in 1966 or 1920s, or whenever it is, has there been any evidence, you know, presented to -- let me put it this way -- integrated evidence presented to the Commission establishing that the water injection in the Grayburg or in the San Andres is adversely impacting the Grayburg?

	Examination by Commissioner Ampomah 117
1	A. I'm not going to speak to production
2	numbers and information, so I'll leave that to
3	upcoming testimony.
4	Q. So from geological point of view,
5	integrated geological point of view?
6	A. At this point in time, we do not have an
7	integrated because we do not have the type of data
8	that we would need to see if it's affected the
9	formation or not, additional wells, again,
LO	offsetting with logs. And if it's change in the
L1	core, if we've changed saturations or anything that
L2	we're previously seeing within the formation.
L3	Q. So are you going to adopt Mr. Buchwalter's
L4	geological model that he used for his modeling?
L5	A. I know that I'm not going to speak to
L6	Dr. Buchwalter's model, per se, other than knowing
L7	it was a material balance model and that the you
L8	know, just aware of what perm vertical perm
L9	values he was using. But I know that it seemed
20	to be in line with what our team was seeing, as far
21	as the behavior of the "Graywater" Grayburg
22	wells.
23	Q. So what role did your team play in that
24	geological modeling development?
25	A. I'm not when the model was being
	Page 117

	Examination by Commissioner Ampomah 118
1	developed, it was prior to me coming on board. So
2	the model started to be run developed and run
3	prior to August 2024. I mean, it took a long time
4	for that model to run. It was quite a robust model.
5	Q. So you cannot speak to how the geology
6	you know, so more or less okay. Let me let me
7	start this way.
8	Did you more or less so were you
9	overseeing the work that NuTech and OPS Geologic
10	did?
11	A. I was I was overseeing the OPS Geologic
12	and the NuTech work, correct. Engineering was
13	overseeing the modeling from Dr. Buchwalter.
14	Q. So how talk to the tell the
15	Commission the integration of all that great work
16	that was done by these two great institutions and
17	presented it to the Commission, how that was
18	integrated into that geological model that
19	Dr. Buchwalter utilized.
20	A. I mean, the data that was given by OPS
21	Geologic and NuTech primarily NuTech because OPS
22	Geologic's data had not been we did not have that
23	yet when Dr. Buchwalter's model was being produced.
24	It was primarily NuTech that would have been given

to the engineers that were overseeing

25

	Examination by Commissioner Ampomah 119
1	Dr. Buchwalter's work with the model.
2	But I would leave it to them. I don't
3	want to speak directly to that. I can't.
4	Q. Okay.
5	A. It was before I was there.
6	Q. Okay. But let me put on the record that
7	the hydrocarbon in place calculation that
8	Dr. Buchwalter provided to the Commission is nowhere
9	close to that of NuTech.
10	A. Okay. I'm not sure which hydrocarbon
11	place calculation he utilized. I would refer that
12	back to the engineers.
13	Q. So you said that his Dr. Buchwalter's
14	analysis was more or less in line with what your
15	team was leaning towards. Is that did you
16	A. That's what I've been told, yes. As far
17	as the water, what the like the communication
18	between the zones is where what I'm referring to,
19	between the Grayburg and the San Andres.
20	Q. So, you know, when you experience, you
21	know, working in this type of fields I mean, when
22	Dr. Buchwalter presented the results to the Empire
23	team from a geological point of view, you know, were
24	you okay with, let's say, utilizing the high perm
25	values to establish to the Commission that there is

Examination by Commissioner Ampomah 120 1 a communication between the San Andres and the 2. Grayburg? I was. And that's the -- that's a great 3 Α. point to touch on, which is -- I know yesterday it 4 was discussed. You had asked Galen with NuTech that 5 question. The high perm values that Dr. Buchwalter 6 7 used, the 500 millidarcies and up, those were not -those were not in the San Andres. That was -- he 8 was using those for Zone 1 and 2 of the Grayburg, 9 10 which there's core in the Grayburg that show perm in 11 the thousands of millidarcies in the upper two 12 zones. And so he was confused. 13 The vertical perm that Dr. Buchwalter used 14 between the San Andres and the Grayburg was, I 15 believe, .1 millidarcies. So that was a mistake on 16 their testimony. 17 Can there be any evidence to prove that? I mean -- and, you know, I went back and forth with 18 19 him on that. I mean, this is new to me, actually, 20 because I remember Mr. Rankin showed the layers that were utilized, and that is where I picked that from. 21 It was right in the layers. 22 2.3 The first model was run assuming there is no vertical -- let's say, I'm assuming that there's 24 no barrier between them, right? 25

Examination by Commissioner Ampomah 121 1 Um-hmm. Α. 2. Ο. And then the subsequent scenarios, you 3 know, I saw that. I mean, we all saw that, right? 4 So in that I saw that the layer between the Grayburg and then the San Andres, the interface, that is 5 where he adjusted the kv. I don't remember he 6 7 saying or even from the results that I saw here showing their .1 millidarcy. I didn't see that. 8 I would -- I would have to defer to the 9 Α. engineers coming up on that question. Like I said, 10 11 that was the minimal amount that I was involved in 12 that. 13 But like I said, I know -- I know the 14 higher millidarcy -- the higher permeability 15 calculations we've seen were in the core in the 16 upper Grayburg in the thousands -- you know, 500 to 17 thousands. So I suspect that's where Dr. Buchwalter got that data. 18 19 So I -- are you going to be on record to 20 say that Dr. Buchwalter probably made a mistake in his presentation? 21 22 No, sir. Α. No. 23 You said that. Ο. 24 Α. I meant Galen when you -- not Galen --Mr. -- sorry, the previous witness prior to that. 25 Page 121

	Examination by Commissioner Ampomah 122
1	believe he was confused of the zone that he was
2	talking to, not Dr. Buchwalter.
3	Q. So you are not speaking to my discussion
4	with Dr. Buchwalter
5	A. I misunderstood.
6	Q having utilized those high perm for
7	the let's say for the San Andres top layer?
8	A. Correct. I apologize, I misunderstood
9	your question.
10	What I was just referring to is was
11	yesterday's testimony that I believe was answering
12	that. They kept referring to the highest perm in
13	the San Andres, and I'm just saying that was up
14	to my knowledge, the highest perm in his model was
15	in the Grayburg, the upper two zones of the
16	Grayburg. But, again, I know it will be addressed.
17	Q. So we do have a transcript, so it will
18	show that you know, and that was a concern to me.
19	And I wanted to know from a geological point of
20	view, what did you make of that? You know
21	A. Correct.
22	Q and remember he talked about: I needed
23	to do that to take care of the fractures.
24	So it's a little bit you know, it's a
25	little surprising to me when you're saying he used

	Examination by Commissioner Ampomah 123
1	0.1, how then how do you use 0.1 to really
2	address a fracture?
3	A. Yeah, I do not know how Dr. Buchwalter
4	came up with those numbers, and I don't want to
5	speak to them.
6	Q. And I'm sure you understand why I'm asking
7	you these questions, because
8	A. Correct.
9	Q I see you more as the lead geologist
10	A. Yes.
11	Q you know, that would solve all the
12	geological aspects of the work. So, you know,
13	you're more or less on the hook for geological
14	A. Absolutely.
15	Q that are presented to the Commission.
16	Okay. And not to cut more on a side way, but let me
17	get back on track here.
18	Can you tell the Commission at least
19	just take the well 679 logs and model log data and
20	confirm the presence of ROZ in the upper and then in
21	the lower San Andres?
22	A. We can confirm the presence of
23	hydrocarbons in those zones. And because we do not
24	believe that they are movable, we can identify that
25	those are an that there is a potential ROZ in

	Examination by Commissioner Ampomah 124
1	place.
2	Q. And now it's confirmed with the model logs
3	as well?
4	A. Correct.
5	Q. Okay. So Mr. Rankin touched on one of my
6	important questions. So from a geological point of
7	view, you know and, honestly, I did not
8	appreciate when you said that it was the engineering
9	team that picked 0.1 millidarcy. I mean, how did
10	they do that without the geology input
11	A. Correct.
12	Q in that?
13	A. Well, they were doing that in consultation
14	with Dr. Lindsay, with OPS Geologic, with NuTech. I
15	mean, it just wasn't a singular voice in that
16	decision. There were probably were multiple
17	people involved.
18	Q. So definitely, it was geological input?
19	A. There was geological, but my geological
20	input at that time.
21	Q. Okay. Okay. Thanks for clarifying that.
22	Yeah, I was a little bit like okay.
23	A. Correct.
24	Q. Okay. So Mr. Rankin was asking you, as
25	the lead geologist, can you tell the Commission how
	Page 124

	Examination by Commissioner Ampomah 125
1	that CO2 that is going to be injected into the
2	San Andres is going to be contained and not impede
3	the correlative rights in the Grayburg?
4	A. I, at this point in time, cannot speak to
5	that, no, sir.
6	Q. So do you believe that or as an
7	engineering team can respond to that?
8	A. Yes. Yes.
9	Q. Okay. Okay. Now, with regards to
LO	the revision to your testimony, you know, where
L1	there was changes in the net pay estimation that
L2	impacted the oil in place calculation, I was a
L3	little bit surprised, though, that there wasn't
L 4	there wasn't a geological analysis done, but there
L5	was substantial changes. Can you comment on that?
L6	A. Well, I believe it was a matter of timing
L7	to get them complete, as well as just the nature of
L8	the analysis done by NuTech versus OPS Geologic and
L9	their petrophysicist and just the amount of time we
20	have to be able to integrate, you know, the core
21	data and the mineralogical breakdowns. And
22	obviously, that's additional steps that would be
23	taken in the future, absolutely.
24	But, no, we felt that the that their
25	model integrating the sampling set that they had was

Q. There has been a lot of questions about 'm' and 'n'. I mean, so from a geological point of view, is it in the industry, you know, acceptable to just more or less be changing 'm' and 'n' without any geological context?

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Traditionally, like in a lot of different plays, yes. But I believe that they had -- that the knowledge of the analog fields and seeing San Andres wells and production and cores in other areas, and, you know that there's -- you may want to vary your 'm' and 'n' in certain models based on your depositional environment, but it does come back to the geology when you start to really tie those in.

But once we got there, their outputs that we looked at, the oil in place numbers we saw that

	Examination by Commissioner Ampomah 127
1	they were in line or similar with kind of the same
2	ranges that we were seeing with OPS Geologic as
3	well. I mean, there were differences, absolutely,
4	because of cutoffs used, but that where you had one
5	model that used a little more of the of the
6	mineralogical data versus the other but you saw
7	similar, we felt comfortable at that time using
8	utilizing that.
9	But that's why I personally that's why
10	I revised my testimony to use the lower case from
11	the range that the two models that NuTech used.
12	Q. Okay. So I had to dig about outcrops,
13	fracture from outcrops. Has there been any evidence
14	presented to the Commission to that effect?
15	A. Other than just Dr. Lindsay's fracture
16	study, he refers to it in his testimony.
17	Q. Dr. Lindsay talked about outcrops?
18	A. Yes. He talked about his outcrop studies
19	that he did prior to doing the fracture study that
20	he conducted for Chevron, but I'm not sure what he
21	submitted for evidence, but
22	Q. Okay. So NuTech analysis I mean, so
23	yesterday we heard about, let's say, a petrophysical
24	log analysis saying that he doesn't even always have
25	big faith in the core data. I mean, can you speak

	Examination by Commissioner Ampomah 128
1	to that?
2	A. I disagree with him.
3	Q. I was hope
4	A. Rock is rock. And you're not going to get
5	a better reading than that, so
6	Q. Yeah. So then why are you not really
7	utilizing that to prove the understanding of
8	saturation, oil saturation in let's say in the
9	EMSU?
10	A. That was his their company's choice in
11	the matter. And while we were just the initial
12	scope was to see if there was hydrocarbons present.
13	It was a great first look model, and that's why I
14	changed my revised my testimony to, again, very
15	low side case, because it was utilizing and
16	calibrating back to the core data. I believe that
17	was important.
18	Q. Okay. And my last question to you will
19	be: When you look through some of the figures that
20	you were showing, let's say G-3(d) G-3(d)
21	let's just use the well names. EMSU 658. And I do
22	appreciate you showing that and then also on the
23	map. Then 673, EMSU 713.
24	Now, EMSU 660 EMSU 660 and then EMSU
25	76 746, I mean, those two wells in particular,
	Page 128

	Examination by Commissioner Ampomah 129
1	particularly 7 EMSU 746 shows a lot of water,
2	high volume of water saturation in there. So do you
3	believe that these are a representation it's a
4	representative of the entire EMSU?
5	A. I believe that they're estimates within
6	the 640 box that they're calculating. And you will
7	see the trends vary, you know, water saturations.
8	You're definitely going to see, you know, zones
9	within it of high water saturations based on those
10	wells. But you're also going to see the oil
11	saturations needed in place.
12	Q. Yeah. So if you can give me the EMSU 746,
13	that will be Exhibit G-3.
14	No. 746.
15	A. Oh, I believe it's in the revised
16	testimony, not the not the original presentation.
17	Q. If you are familiar with that one, you see
18	that one has a lot of water in there. Would that be
19	an outlier well?
20	A. I'd need to see, I'm sorry, the map. Just
21	want to make sure I'm speaking to the right thing.
22	Q. So that would be page 23 of Table 1,
23	NuTech analysis of San Andres. It's in the
24	Exhibit G-3(h).
25	COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: And I promise
	Page 129

	Examination by Commissioner Ampomah 130
1	that is my last question.
2	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: That's
3	okay.
4	Q. Yeah. So if you look at this one, at
5	least the top portion, you can see you can see
6	some of the black. But, you know, if you look at
7	that entire section, it is mostly a water bearing
8	zone.
9	So would that be more or less an outlier
10	compared to some of the other ones that you've shown
11	earlier?
12	A. I believe that it could be an outlier
13	compared to some of the other ones we've shown.
14	Obviously, we'd like a bigger sample, but when
15	you when you look at the average porosity and
16	water if you scroll down just a little bit,
17	please
18	Q. Down.
19	A so I can see the yeah.
20	So what you see is your average porosity
21	test to be, if I remember correctly, a little higher
22	than some of the other wells, but still pretty much
23	on trend. Your oil saturation's 25 percent. So I
24	believe there's one or two other wells that you see
25	for the logged interval for those type of oil
	Page 130

	Examination by Commissioner Ampomah 131
1	saturations.
2	So, yes, I believe the water saturation
3	number would be on you know, probably an outlier
4	for that area.
5	Q. And these ones, are they hand calculated
6	or software generated oil in place, as you see the
7	bottom there?
8	A. Well, those calculations are made off of
9	NuTech's model, by their curve outputs.
10	Q. So it's based on the software output, not
11	on necessarily hand
12	A. We internally calculated them based on
13	their values from the curve outputs.
14	COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: Thank you,
15	sir. I do appreciate your time talking to us.
16	JOSEPH McSHANE: Yes.
17	COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: Thank you.
18	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Okay.
19	Thank you, Dr. Ampomah.
20	Ms. Shaheen, I assume you'll have
21	redirect?
22	MS. SHAHEEN: I will have some
23	redirect, but not a whole lot.
24	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Oh, okay.
25	Well, I don't want to cut you short, because it just
	Page 131

	Examination by Commissioner Ampomah 132
1	flipped over to noon. So we'll pick back up at 1:30
2	with your redirect.
3	MR. SHANDLER: Let me do some quick
4	mechanics. At 1:15 the Commission is going to
5	discuss the oral closing request. And so they're
6	going to be meeting in quorum. And so it will be a
7	closed session.
8	So if I can get a commissioner to
9	approve a motion to go into closed session from
10	1:15 to 1:30 under the Open Meetings Act,
11	10-15-1(H)(2). Again, so move.
12	COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: I do so move.
13	MR. SHANDLER: Can I have a second?
14	COMMISSIONER LAMKIN: I second.
15	MR. SHANDLER: Okay. And does all
16	approve of that?
17	Okay. So that's where we'll be from 1:15
18	to 1:30.
19	(Recess was taken from 12:01 p.m. until 1:31 p.m.)
20	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Ms.
21	Apodaca, I probably don't need to ask, but are you
22	ready in the back?
23	MS. APOCACA: Yes, we're ready.
24	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: All right.
25	Ms. Tellez, are your fingers rested?
	Daga 120
	Page 132

	Examination by Commissioner Ampomah 133
1	All right. Let's go back on the record
2	then.
3	MR. SHANDLER: Right. So,
4	Mr. Hearing Officer, just quick mechanics, just need
5	a motion to return to open session.
6	COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: I so move.
7	MR. SHANDLER: Can I have a second?
8	COMMISSIONER LAMKIN: I second.
9	MR. SHANDLER: So we have approval
10	there. Just stated for the record, the only matters
11	discussed in the closed session were those listed in
12	the motion regarding post hearing scheduling.
13	Thank you, Mr. Hearing Officer.
14	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Thank you,
15	Mr. Shandler.
16	So before you get rolling on your
17	redirect, Ms. Shaheen, we discussed that parties'
18	interest apparently mutual interest in presenting
19	oral closing arguments. And I guess the idea is if
20	you-all allow enough time at the end of this for
21	that to be practical, there would be no objection to
22	it. We wouldn't want to be in a situation where
23	we're at the end, for example, of not this week
24	but two weeks from now at the end of the case and
25	it's Friday at 4:30 and you-all want to come back
	Page 133

Examination by Commissioner Ampomah 134 again in May just to do closing arguments.

2.

But if you leave time to permit that at the end of this case so that it's not inconvenient, the thinking was we would allow each side one hour for oral. Each side, I guess that would include OCD, Rice, and Pilot, you know, an hour for closing arguments.

And, of course, with that said, we'd prefer that we not hear the same thing over and over again from interested parties, like Rice and Pilot.

And I will suspect you guys have been around long enough that I don't even need to say that.

But -- so that was the thinking, that we would allow oral closing arguments, and if -- of an hour each. And if each of the principal parties wants to reserve, say, 15 minutes of that time, or however much, ten minutes of that time to rebut the other side's presentation, you can do that as well.

The thinking was we're more interested -I mean, you-all know the jury instructions, right?
What lawyers say is not evidence. It can be
helpful. But what I think the Commission would find
far more helpful and will need in this case is
written findings of fact and conclusions of law with
specific references to the record supporting the

	Redirect Examination by Ms. Shaheen 135
1	contention.
2	And also, I think someone mentioned
3	submitting a post hearing legal briefing on the
4	legal issues, what the Commission can and cannot do.
5	So if you want to both submit those, you know, those
6	would likely be helpful to the deliberations.
7	Any questions? I'll start with Empire.
8	MS. SHAHEEN: No questions from
9	Empire. Thank you.
10	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Okay. And
11	we've got, you know, plenty more time to discuss
12	this. I just wanted to give you guys an answer now
13	since you raised the question yesterday.
14	Mr. Rankin, thoughts? Questions?
15	MR. RANKIN: No questions at this
16	time. I think we can confer about the instructions
17	from or requests from the Commission and about
18	timing and so forth.
19	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Perfect.
20	All right. Mr. McShane, I'll remind you
21	you're under oath.
22	And, Ms. Shaheen, take it away.
23	
24	
25	

	* *
	Redirect Examination by Ms. Shaheen 136
1	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
2	BY MS. SHAHEEN:
3	Q. Mr. McShane, you talked earlier today
4	about reliance on the ExxonMobil sales brochure. Do
5	you recall that testimony?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. And to clarify, were you saying that
8	Empire was not relying on ExxonMobil or that you did
9	not rely on it?
10	A. I did not rely on it.
11	Q. And can you speak to whether Empire's
12	relying on it?
13	A. No, I can't because I was not employed at
14	the time of the evaluation.
15	Q. Earlier you were asked about Empire's SWD
16	well. Do you recall that exchange?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. And how many SWDs does Empire have in the
19	EMSU?
20	A. They have one. I mistakenly said six
21	because I was confused thinking about injection
22	wells.
23	Q. And it's five to six water supply wells
24	that Empire has; is that right?
25	A. Correct. As far as I mean, I'm sorry.
	Page 136

	Redirect Examination by Ms. Shaheen 137
1	Q. And to be clear, the Empire SWD, is that
2	the Chevron well that was converted to an SWD in
3	1995?
4	A. Correct, and is used for emergency use
5	only.
6	Q. And current usage is for emergencies; is
7	that right?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. And historically, how much water has been
10	injected in Empire's SWD?
11	A. A couple hundred barrels.
12	Q. That's an average?
13	A. That's an average.
14	Q. And how does that compare to the
15	commercial injection by third parties occurring
16	today?
17	A. Within a mile radius of the EMSU, you're
18	looking at hundreds of thousands of barrels being
19	disposed of commercially versus the couple hundred
20	when we use it.
21	Q. And that's did you say a couple hundred
22	thousand barrels of water per day?
23	A. Correct.
24	Q. You were asked by Mr. Rankin earlier what
25	physical evidence you reviewed in support of your
	Page 137

	Redirect Examination by Ms. Shaheen 138
1	conclusion about vertical fractures. Do you
2	remember that exchange?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Was there any evidence in addition to the
5	core that you considered?
6	A. In addition to the core, it was just well
7	logs, regional studies, as well as Dr. Lindsay's,
8	Ph.D., study of outcrops, correlating fractures in
9	the outcrops to the fractures present in the 679
10	well.
11	Q. And you applied generally accepted
12	standards from geological science when you
13	considered those factors; is that right?
14	A. That's correct.
15	Q. And it's common accepted practice in your
16	field and in the industry to rely on those
17	considerations?
18	A. That's correct.
19	MS. SHAHEEN: That's all the redirect
20	I have. Thank you.
21	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Thank you.
22	Technology always overwhelms me, no matter how
23	insignificant.
24	Thank you, Ms. Shaheen. May this witness
25	be excused?

	Direct Examination by Ms. Shaheen 139
1	MS. SHAHEEN: Yes. Thank you.
2	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: All right.
3	My notes show that Empire has two more witnesses, a
4	Jack Wheeler and a William West. Is that accurate?
5	MS. SHAHEEN: That's correct. And
6	Mr. Wheeler is here in person.
7	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: I see him
8	approaching.
9	Good afternoon, sir. Is it Mr. or Dr.?
10	JACK WHEELER: Mr.
11	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Pardon?
12	JACK WHEELER: Mr.
13	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: All right.
14	Mr. Wheeler, if you'll raise your right hand.
15	JACK WHEELER
16	having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:
17	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: All right.
18	Ms. Shaheen, I guess.
19	MS. SHAHEEN: Yes, it is. It's
20	taking me a minute just to get back on the platform
21	here.
22	DIRECT EXAMINATION
23	BY MS. SHAHEEN:
24	Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Wheeler.
25	A. Good afternoon.
	Page 139

	Direct Examination by Ms. Shaheen 140
1	Q. Would you please state your name for the
2	record.
3	A. Yes. Jack Wheeler.
4	Q. Who are you employed with and in what
5	capacity?
6	A. Senior vice president of the Land and
7	Legal Departments at Empire Petroleum Corporation.
8	Q. And are you testifying today as an expert
9	in petroleum land matters?
10	A. Yes, ma'am.
11	Q. Have you testified before the Commission
12	or the Division before?
13	A. Yes, ma'am.
14	Q. And you've nonetheless, you've attached
15	your credentials to your written testimony in this
16	matter; is that right?
17	A. Yes, ma'am.
18	MS. SHAHEEN: I move now that
19	Mr. Wheeler be qualified as an expert witness in
20	petroleum land matters.
21	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Any
22	objection from Goodnight?
23	MR. RANKIN: No objection.
24	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: OCD?
25	MR. MOANDER: No objection.
	Page 140
	rage 140

	Direct Examination by Ms. Shaheen 141
1	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Rice?
2	MR. BECK: No objection.
3	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Pilot?
4	MR. SUAZO: No objection.
5	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Mr. Wheeler
6	will be recognized as an expert in petroleum land
7	matters.
8	JACK WHEELER: Thank you.
9	Q (By Ms. Shaheen) You've offered direct
10	testimony in this matter as Empire's Exhibit A; is
11	that right?
12	A. Yes, ma'am.
13	Q. Do you have any corrections to that
14	testimony today?
15	A. No, ma'am.
16	Q. Do you affirm that the statements made
17	therein are correct and adopt Exhibit A as your
18	sworn testimony here today?
19	A. Yes, ma'am.
20	MS. SHAHEEN: I would move for
21	admission of Empire's Exhibit A and all of the
22	exhibits attached thereto.
23	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Goodnight's
24	position?
25	MR. RANKIN: No objection.
	Page 141

	Direct Examination by Ms. Shaheen 142
1	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: OCD?
2	MR. MOANDER: No objection.
3	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Rice?
4	MR. BECK: No objection.
5	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Pilot?
6	MR. SUAZO: No objection.
7	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: It will be
8	admitted.
9	(Exhibit A admitted into evidence.)
10	Q (By Ms. Shaheen) Mr. Wheeler, can you tell
11	us some important facts about the EMSU?
12	A. Yes, ma'am. The field was discovered in
13	1929, and within ten years, it had already produced
14	over a million barrels of oil.
15	And in 1984, in December of '84, the EMSU
16	waterflood was approved. And with that approval, it
17	was represented that it was estimated that there was
18	64 million barrels of oil in that or to be
19	recovered.
20	Q. How large is the unit?
21	A. Yes, ma'am, it's 14,199 acres, the
22	majority of which are State and Federal lands. The
23	State has 58 percent of the lands, and the BLM had
24	19 percent. So together, within the EMSU is
25	78 percent that is owned by the State and the BLM.
	Page 142

	Direct Examination by Ms. Shaheen 143
1	So that's why it's so important that we protect the
2	correlative rights of those parties.
3	Q. Turning to the next slide. Can you tell
4	the commissioners the significant of this order?
5	A. Yes, ma'am. This is the order Number
6	R-7765. And this is the cover page of the order
7	showing that it was approved on December the 27th of
8	1984.
9	Q. And does this what is the significance
LO	of page 9 of the order here? Does it define the
L1	unitized interval?
L2	A. Yes, ma'am. It defines vertical limits of
L3	the unit, which are from the top of the Grayburg to
L4	the lower limit at the base of the San Andres
L5	formation.
L6	Q. And did BLM and the New Mexico State Land
L7	Office approve the unit and its unitized interval?
L8	A. Yes, ma'am.
L9	Q. What is the significance of this order?
20	A. Well, at the same time that order number
21	7765 was issued and approved, they also issued an
22	order, the Commission, for R-7767.
23	Q. And does this Section 2 from page 2 of the
24	order define the vertical limits of the Eunice
25	Monument Oil Pool?

Direct Examination by Ms. Shaheen 144 1 Yes, ma'am. What they did is, they Α. 2. amended the vertical limits of the pool that had 3 previously been admitted. And the new limits are 4 from the upper level of the top of the Grayburg to the lower limit of the San Andres formation. 5 6 So it's the same as the unitized interval 7 in the unit? Α. Yes, ma'am. And this is for both Eunice 8 Monument Oil Pool and also for the Eunice Monument 9 10 Gas Pool. 11 Can you tell us a little bit about the 0. 12 acquisition of EMSU by Empire? 13 Yes, ma'am. In 2021, Empire acquired the EMSU, the EMSU-B, and the AG unit and some outlying 14 15 properties from X- -- XTO, which is a subsidiary of ExxonMobil, for \$89,800,000. 16 And how is that 89 million calculated? 17 Ο. 18 Α. Yes, ma'am. There was a \$17,800,000 cash 19 payment. Also there was the assumption of the P&A liability, which is estimated at the current cost of 20 being 56 million. And then also there was the 21 22 assumption of the liability for remediation of 288 surface locations on New Mexico State land. As 23 24 Chevron or XTO had not carried out, that's an

estimated \$16 million.

25

	Direct Examination by Ms. Shaheen 145
1	Q. And those last two numbers, the 16 million
2	and the 56 million, that's at current cost; is that
3	right?
4	A. Yes, ma'am.
5	Q. And did ExxonMobil make any
6	representations when they were marketing the EMSU?
7	A. Yes, ma'am. In their sales brochure, they
8	showed that there was a residual oil zone in the
9	San Andres as 350 feet thick, there was
10	912 million barrels original oil in place, and an
11	average oil saturation of 25 percent.
12	Q. Why did Empire acquire the EMSU?
13	A. Well, if you look previously when the EMSU
14	unit was formed, it was estimated that there was
15	64 million barrels of oil that were recoverable.
16	With the residual oil zone, you see that ExxonMobil
17	represents that it's 912 million barrels. So it's
18	15, 20-fold more than they had for the waterflood
19	unit.
20	So for the ROZ interval, which was going
21	to be a tertiary recovery using CO2, there was a
22	significant value there that equated to the value of
23	us paying \$90 million for the property.
24	Q. Is this a document in which ExxonMobil
25	makes those representations?

Direct Examination by Ms. Shaheen 146 1 Α. Yes, ma'am. 2. Ο. What does this first slide depict? Well, the first slide shows ExxonMobil 3 Α. 4 representing the incentive for buying the 5 properties. And here they represent that there's a ROZ interval of approximately 350 feet thick with an 6 7 average oil saturation of 25 percent. What is the significance of this next 8 Ο. slide? 9 Yes, ma'am. Here they show the structure 10 Α. 11 map and the type log. And if you look there, they 12 show the oil-water contact from the top of the 13 residual oil zone to the base of 400 feet to 14 700 feet, which would mean that the interval was 15 300 feet thick. 16 But also, there is a residual oil zone. 17 If you look above, the oil-water contact up to where, on the right you see where the top of the 18 19 San Andres is, which would equate to 350 feet. But 20 then also here they show that the original oil in place was 912 million barrels of oil. 21 22 What does this slide show us? Ο. 2.3 Yes, ma'am. This is a type log showing the EMSU-B, the EMSU, and the AGU. And for 24 reference, we've talked about the EMSU being the 25

	Direct Examination by Ms. Shaheen 147
1	Eunice Monument South Unit, and the AGU down here is
2	the Arrowhead Grayburg Unit.
3	But here they show once again that the top
4	of the ROZ is 400 feet, 700 feet. So it's a
5	300-foot interval. But once again, you have 50 feet
6	of a transition zone up to the top of the
7	San Andres, which would make it 350 feet. And
8	there, once again, they represent that there's
9	912 million barrels of oil in place.
10	Q. Is this the cover page to the purchase and
11	sale agreement between XTO and Empire?
12	A. Yes, ma'am.
13	Q. Does this agreement have any provisions
14	relating to CO2 recovery?
15	A. Yes, ma'am. In Section 12.8 of the
16	purchase and sale agreement, it provides a CO2
17	purchase obligation. And basically what it is, is
18	it provides that if Empire or any of its affiliates
19	elect to do a CO2 flood, then ExxonMobil has the
20	first right of refusal to purchase the CO2. And
21	it's the first right. It's not an obligation.
22	They've got the right to pass on it.
23	But it provides that if we do buy CO2,
24	then we'll notify them within 60 days prior to the
25	initiation of the CO2 flood. And then if ExxonMobil

Direct Examination by Ms. Shaheen 148 1 elects to sell us the CO2 at the current market 2. rate, then we're obligated to purchase from them. 3 If we find another CO2 source that's cheaper, then 4 we have to give ExxonMobil, once again, 30 days' 5 notice in which they have the right to go in and 6 match that. 7 Ο. And if ExxonMobil doesn't match that price, then Empire's free to purchase carbon dioxide 8 from another party with the lower price; is that 9 10 right?

- A. Yes, ma'am. But then it provides that if at any time we change the seller of the CO2 to another party, that anytime within those 50 years, ExxonMobil has the right to come back, match that price and sell us the CO2.
- Q. How does Goodnight's conduct affect Empire's acquisition of the EMSU?

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Yes, ma'am. There's some significant problems with Goodnight's applications. Basically, first of all, for the existing wells, the four existing wells, they did not form the -- inform the Division that the proposed injection formation was the unitized formation. Nor did they advise the Division that the injection would be in the Eunice Monument Oil Pool or the Eunice Monument Gas Pool.

	Direct Examination by Ms. Shaheen 149
1	What they did is, instead of using orders
2	7765 and 7767, they used an order called 96121,
3	which was an order for a San Andres water injection.
4	Q. And the reference to 91621, that's a pool
5	code; is that right?
6	A. Yes, ma'am.
7	Q. And that's a pool code that was specially
8	created for reporting of saltwater disposal?
9	A. Yes, ma'am.
LO	Q. And that is within the San Andres
L1	excuse me within the unitized interval of the
L2	EMSU, correct?
L3	A. Yes, ma'am. Covers a broader area, but
L4	the EMSU is included.
L5	Q. How much water has Goodnight injected into
L6	the EMSU's unitized interval?
L7	A. As of March 1, 2025, which is the last
L8	record they had, they'd injected over
L9	65 million barrels of wastewater into the San Andres
20	formation.
21	Q. How is Goodnight's conduct and injection
22	affecting the prospect of production through the use
23	of CO2 that was anticipated by both ExxonMobil and
24	Empire?
25	A. Yes, ma'am. First of all, the injection
	Page 149

	Direct Examination by Ms. Shaheen 150
1	is impairing the correlative rights of all of the
2	interest owners. And this is significant since
3	this as I stated previously, 78 percent of the
4	lands are owned by the State of New Mexico and the
5	Bureau of Land Management.
6	So not only are we protecting our right to
7	go in and do tertiary recovery, but it's also
8	destroying the correlative rights of the State and
9	the BLM, which is significant.
10	They're also causing waste by pressuring
11	up the San Andres and injecting this foreign
12	wastewater into the unitized formation.
13	Q. Turning to your last slide here, can you
14	describe does this slide illustrate the location
15	of Goodnight's existing wells?
16	A. Yes, ma'am. If you look in the blue, that
17	identifies the four existing permitted wells that
18	Empire's seeking to have the permits revoked. And
19	then there's five wells here where they're proposing
20	for new injection at a location that we're opposing.
21	Q. And is it correct to say that the four
22	existing wells are all located in one 640-acre
23	section within the EMSU?
24	A. Yes, ma'am, Section 17.
25	Q. Does that conclude the summary of your

	Direct Examination by Ms. Shaheen 151
1	direct testimony today?
2	A. Yes, ma'am.
3	MS. SHAHEEN: Thank you, Mr. Wheeler.
4	I pass the witness.
5	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Okay.
6	Thank you, Ms. Shaheen.
7	Mr. Rankin?
8	MR. RANKIN: Thank you. I would I
9	do have questions for Mr. Wheeler. I wonder I'd
10	like I wouldn't mind having that presentation so
11	I could drive him through it, Mr. Hearing Officer.
12	I wonder if I might just take a short break so
13	Ms. Shaheen can share with me that presentation so I
14	can use it as part of my cross against Mr. Wheeler.
15	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Sure. How
16	much time do you need?
17	MR. RANKIN: Just five minutes.
18	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Sure.
19	MR. RANKIN: Five minutes.
20	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: All right.
21	MR. RANKIN: Thank you.
22	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Be off the
23	record for five minutes.
24	(Recess was taken from 1:59 p.m. until 2:05 p.m.)
25	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Are you
	Page 151
	1436 131

	1 /
	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 152
1	ready to proceed?
2	MR. RANKIN: I am.
3	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: All right.
4	Are we back on the record, Ms. Tellez?
5	All right. I hear see affirmative head
6	nod there.
7	Go ahead, Mr. Rankin.
8	MR. RANKIN: Thank you.
9	CROSS-EXAMINATION
10	BY MR. RANKIN:
11	Q. Mr. Wheeler, how are you this afternoon?
12	A. Just fine, sir.
13	Q. Good. Before I get into the summary
14	presentation slides that you reviewed with us this
15	afternoon, I want to explore a little bit more your
16	background and experience in the oil and gas
17	industry. As part of your attachment or as part
18	of your Exhibit A direct testimony that you filed,
19	you also included your resume, as well, correct?
20	A. Yes, sir.
21	Q. And you also summarized in your testimony
22	your experience as a in the oil and gas industry,
23	correct?
24	A. Yes, sir.
25	Q. And I guess it's I went past it here.
	Page 152

	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 153
1	It's pdf page 12 of your exhibit packet
2	is your resume, correct?
3	I'm not sharing it on your screen yet. I
4	apologize. Let me make life easier for you and
5	everybody. Sorry.
6	Let me know when you can see my screen,
7	Mr. Wheeler.
8	A. Yes, sir.
9	Q. Okay. Is this a copy of the resume that
10	you attached to your sworn statement?
11	A. Yes, sir.
12	Q. In reviewing your resume and your sworn
13	testimony, you're not an expert in petroleum
14	geology, agree?
15	A. Absolutely.
16	Q. Absolutely what?
17	A. No.
18	Q. Okay. And you're not an expert in
19	petroleum engineering, correct?
20	A. Correct.
21	Q. And you're not an expert in reservoir
22	engineering, correct?
23	A. Correct.
24	Q. And you're not providing any opinions on
25	those subject matters, correct?
	Page 153
	rage 155

	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 154
1	A. Correct.
2	Q. And you're not seeking to be qualified as
3	an expert in petroleum geology, correct?
4	A. No, sir.
5	Q. Nor are you seeking to be qualified as an
6	expert in petroleum engineering, correct?
7	A. No, sir.
8	Q. Correct?
9	A. Correct.
10	Q. Okay. So going back through your history
11	here, I guess I'll start with you previously were
12	with I think going back in time, you were
13	previously with El Paso Natural Gas Company,
14	correct?
15	A. Yes, sir.
16	Q. And at the time, you were responsible for
17	handling all due diligence analysis of land and
18	title and ensuring the timely delivery of
19	information required?
20	A. Yes, sir.
21	Q. What did what did those
22	responsibilities include on the oil and gas context?
23	A. During that time, we made about 120
24	acquisitions of other companies and properties. And
25	I was responsible for overseeing the due diligence
	D 1F /
	Page 154

	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 155
1	of all of the assets that were being purchased. And
2	then also negotiating the purchase and sale
3	agreements with the other company. And then doing
4	the final closing of those acquisitions. And then
5	the integration of those properties into the land
6	system at El Paso.
7	Q. Okay. As part of so you did a lot
8	you were a you were also as part of that
9	responsibility, did you oversee the due diligence
10	that was done?
11	A. Yes, sir.
12	Q. And was it common when you were acquiring
13	properties to evaluate what other operations or
14	operators were being operated on those
15	properties?
16	A. Yes, sir.
17	Q. And those would include not just oil and
18	gas exploration operations, but also saltwater
19	disposal operations as well?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Because you would want to know before
22	buying a property what other operations might be
23	occurring on that property, correct?
24	A. I'm sorry, I didn't hear you.
25	Q. As part of your due diligence in acquiring

	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 156
1	a property, you would want to know what other
2	operations are occurring on that property, correct?
3	A. Yes, sir.
4	Q. Because it's often the case when you're
5	buying a property or lease, a lease interest may
6	have been segregated, right? There may be other
7	working interest owners that have operations on
8	those on those properties
9	A. Yes, sir.
10	Q that you're not acquiring, correct?
11	A. Yes, sir.
12	Q. So as part of your due diligence, you want
13	to know what other operations are occurring,
14	correct?
15	A. Yes, sir.
16	Q. And surface owners sometimes also have
17	operations below the surface that may impact oil and
18	gas operations, correct?
19	A. No, sir.
20	Q. No?
21	A. No.
22	Q. That's not the case, where a surface owner
23	may have some operations that are occurring down
24	in deeper zones?
25	A. No, sir.

	Jack Wheeler - April 6, 2025
	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 157
1	Q. You've never experienced that before?
2	A. Never.
3	Q. Oh, interesting. So well now, when
4	you worked for Cotton Valley Resources, that was
5	your next employment; is that correct?
6	A. Yes, sir.
7	Q. And at the time when you worked for Cotton
8	Valley, you were responsible for timely and accurate
9	preparation of filing of SEC documents, including
10	10-Qs and 10-Ks, correct?
11	A. Yes, sir.
12	Q. The 10-Q, is that a quarterly report; is
13	that right?
14	A. Quarterly and then annual.
15	Q. And the 10-K is annual?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. Okay. And what were your responsibilities
18	in terms of preparing those filings?
19	A. I was the chairman of the corporation, so
20	I was responsible for signing off on the 10-K and
21	10-Qs. So in that capacity, I had to oversee the
22	reservoir engineering that was being done for the
23	notification to the Securities and Exchange
24	Commission of what the reserves were for the
25	properties.

	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 158
1	Q. Okay. So you did that for a number of
2	years. You were there for at least eight years,
3	correct?
4	A. Yes, sir.
5	Q. So you were responsible for overseeing the
6	submission and filings of each of the quarterly
7	reports and every annual report while there?
8	A. Yes, sir.
9	Q. Okay. What where were Cotton Valley
LO	Resources' assets located?
L1	A. They were in about seven states, including
L2	Canada. So they are primarily in Texas,
L3	Mississippi, Oklahoma, Louisiana, and New Mexico.
L 4	Q. Where in New Mexico?
L5	A. We leased some acreage that we were
L6	developing. We had leased it from Robert O.
L7	Anderson, who is the former chairman of Atlantic
L8	Ridgefield. And he had a large ranch outside of
L9	Santa Rosa, New Mexico. And that's where we
20	operated and drilled our wells.
21	Q. Following your time with Cotton Valley,
22	then you were joined Tuscany Oil and Gas in
23	Oklahoma City?
24	A. Yes, sir. We sold Cotton Valley to
25	Chesapeake, which and Cotton Valley was a public
	and dooden variety was a pastro
	Page 158

	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 159
1	company. And then I took the money that I had and
2	formed Tuscany, which was a private company.
3	Q. Where were those operations?
4	A. They were primarily in Oklahoma, but also
5	in Texas and New Mexico.
6	Q. Where in New Mexico?
7	A. We went in and took farmouts from
8	Chesapeake on the acreage that we had from
9	Mr. Anderson. We also had operations in Eddy
10	County, which was the Yates Oil & Gas Company. And
11	we purchased some acreage from them outside of
12	Artesia, New Mexico, and drilled wells there.
13	Q. And then you became it looks like after
14	another interlude at another company, you became an
15	independent oil and gas consultant and attorney; is
16	that correct?
17	A. Yes, sir. I tried to retire after
18	Tuscany, but I didn't that didn't last very long,
19	so
20	Q. So what did you do in that role? What
21	were your what did you do during that period of
22	time?
23	A. Basically, I did evaluations to render
24	title opinions, handle purchase and sale agreements
25	as a consultant for companies that were selling.

	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 160
1	And then I also acted as an expert witness in
2	Commission hearings.
3	Q. And you also helped prepare and file 10-Qs
4	and 10-Ks, correct?
5	A. Yes, sir.
6	Q. In addition what role did you have in
7	assisting with filing making those filings?
8	A. I was just a consulting attorney, so I
9	would draft the 10-Ks and 10-Qs and present it to
10	the company that I was consulting for. And then
11	have them review and sign off on them. And I'd
12	furnish them to the SEC.
13	Q. So you mentioned in your previous role, I
14	think, at Cotton Valley that the 10-Ks and 10-Qs
15	would be reporting the reserves for the company; is
16	that right?
17	A. Yes, sir.
18	Q. But that's not the only thing that you
19	report in those, right?
20	A. No, sir.
21	Q. What other what other information gets
22	included in the 10-Ks and 10-Qs?
23	A. For example, you have to disclose any
24	lawsuits or legal matters that were affecting the
25	company, which would affect the company from having

	1
	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 161
1	lawsuits that they might lose that would affect the
2	value of the company and stuff, for example, some
3	matters like that.
4	Q. You also report the financial status of
5	the company?
6	A. Yes, sir.
7	Q. Any profit, losses, debts, that sort of
8	thing?
9	A. Yes, sir.
10	Q. So you did that for are you continuing
11	that role, continuing to do that work for other
12	other clients? Or no longer, now that you're
13	engaged with Empire?
14	A. Yes, sir, up until I went to work for
15	Empire.
16	Q. So when did you join Empire?
17	A. In September of 2023. I worked five
18	months for PIE Operating, which is a company
19	associated with Empire. And I did that from May of
20	'23 to September of '23, where I rendered title
21	opinions on all of the assets and the minerals that
22	they had acquired in Louisiana.
23	Q. Just Louisiana?
24	A. Yes, sir.
25	Q. I understand Louisiana's a little
	Do co. 161
	Page 161

	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 162
1	different when it comes to oil and gas law
2	A. Yes, sir.
3	Q than anywhere else.
4	A. Quite a bit.
5	Q. So tell me, you mentioned that PIE is
6	associated with or related to Empire. Tell me, how
7	is PIE Operating related to Empire?
8	A. Well, Phil Mulacek, who is the chairman of
9	Empire, has several companies that he owns that his
10	son operates. And PIE Operating is one of those
11	companies.
12	Q. How is it related to Empire?
13	A. I would just say because of the ownership
14	of Mr. Mulacek of both companies.
15	Q. Is there any ownership relationship or
16	does PIE operate and own any stake in Empire?
17	A. No, sir.
18	Q. So it's just by virtue of the of the
19	controlling entities or the folks that have an
20	interest in these companies that there's a
21	relationship?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. Okay. So you were with PIE Operating, and
24	then they asked you how did you come to be
25	employed by Empire?

Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 163 1 Empire had the need for an attorney to 2. lead the rebuttal and response to the applications 3 that Goodnight Midstream Permian had filed with the Commission. So he transferred me over to Empire to 4 handle all of the legal matters and stuff related to 5 the Goodnight applications for authority to inject. 6 7 Ο. And what do your duties as VP of land and 8 legal include at Empire? Well, I've been primarily focused on 9 Α. 10 Goodnight, but also there's some litigation in 11 North Dakota, Texas, and I handle that litigation. I also oversee the Land Department. There's another 12 13 attorney on my staff that reports to me, so I handle 14 all of those management matters. 15 Q. Got it. And do your duties include 16 overseeing outside counsel, as well, then? 17 Α. Yes, sir, outside counsel and expert witnesses. 18 19 Do you prepare all legal documents 20 required for operations for Empire? 21 I don't -- we have an outside firm that Α. 22 does the 10-Ks and 10-Qs, but I'm responsible for 23 supporting the Engineering and Geology Department for the land matters for our operations. 24

Page 163

As head of the Legal Department, do you

25

Ο.

	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 164
1	oversee the 10-Ks and 10-Qs that Empire submits to
2	SEC?
3	A. No, sir. We have outside counsel that
4	prepares those. But then when they're prepared and
5	furnished to Empire for execution by Mr. Morrisett,
6	who is the president of Empire, he furnishes certain
7	portions of that for me to review and comment on.
8	Q. Which portions does he give you to review
9	and comment on?
10	A. Primarily, for example, the litigation and
11	the furnishing of information about operations and
12	the issues that we have with Goodnight that we
13	report to the SEC.
14	Q. Okay. So information on operations,
15	including any issues that come up in Texas or
16	North Dakota as well?
17	A. Yes, sir.
18	Q. Okay. But you don't review the 10-Qs or
19	10-Ks as a whole?
20	A. No, sir.
21	Q. Okay. Are you also as part of your job
22	responsibilities, do you oversee relations and
23	communications with OCD?
24	A. Yes, sir.
25	Q. Including the company's obligations with
	Page 164

Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 165 1 its inactive wells? 2. Α. We negotiated an order with Jesse Tremaine 3 with the OCD where we went in and had to have 96 wells that the OCD had notified us that needed to be 4 5 repaired, put back into production, or plugged and abandoned. So I oversaw that with communication of 6 7 the field operations in the Engineering Department for all of those wells. 8 You said that that order required you to 9 Ο. put wells back into production or to plug and 10 11 abandon them. There's a third option, wasn't there? Which was to temporarily abandon. 12 13 Right. Okay. And you're -- did you Ο. 14 help -- did you oversee the coordination and 15 response to that agreed compliance order as part of 16 your responsibilities? 17 Yes, sir. I had to furnish a monthly report to the OCD as to the wells that we had taken 18 19 care of under the order. And when we were giving --20 given the right for up to a year to get those wells back in operation, plugged, or temporarily 21 22 abandoned, we were required to put up \$1 million. 2.3 So as we prepared those wells, then I would communicate with Mr. Tremaine to have that 24 portion of the \$1 million refunded to Empire, with 25

	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 166
1	the authority of the signature of the director of
2	the Commission.
3	Q. In addition to coordinating the response
4	and compliance of that, the compliance order, are
5	you also also in your responsibility, does that
6	include filing monthly reports on the operations at
7	the EMSU that are required under the Piazza order?
8	A. No, sir. I didn't I didn't file those.
9	There's a reservoir engineer that works for Empire,
10	and he handled those.
11	Q. Who's that?
12	A. Mr. Darrell Davis.
13	Q. Okay. Anything else that falls within
14	your responsibility that we didn't cover as in
15	your role as VP of land and legal?
16	A. No, nothing that is significant that I can
17	think of.
18	Q. Turning to your overview slides. You
19	stated that in 1984 when the EMSU was approved, it
20	was estimated that there would be 64.2 million
21	barrels to be recovered. Do you recall that in
22	your
23	A. Yes, sir.
24	Q. Where did you get that number from, do you
25	know?

	-
	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 167
1	A. From the Commission testimony that Gulf
2	gave to the Commission back in December of 1984.
3	Q. You would agree with me that when Gulf
4	made its presentation to the Commission, that they
5	gave both a high end and a low end estimate for what
6	the waterflood was expected to recover? Do you
7	agree?
8	A. I recall that there was, but I don't
9	specifically remember a low number.
10	Q. So this was the high number, correct?
11	A. Yes, sir, that's my understanding.
12	Q. Right. And you pointed out in your third
13	bullet here that the EMSU consists of over
14	14,000 acres, the majority of being State and Fed,
15	correct?
16	A. Yes, sir.
17	Q. Nearly 60 percent is State, correct?
18	A. Yes, sir.
19	Q. And you reviewed the you reviewed the
20	testimony that Gulf presented to the Commission back
21	in 1984?
22	A. Yes, sir.
23	Q. Do you recall the testimony that Gulf gave
24	about what the San Andres would be utilized for as
25	part of unit operations?

	<u> </u>
	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 168
1	A. Yes, sir.
2	Q. And what did they say?
3	A. That that was to furnish water for the
4	waterflood operation of the EMSU.
5	Q. Right. In your written testimony, when
6	you discussed the purchase price for the EMSU, you
7	stated that it was for \$17.8 million, correct?
8	A. Yes, sir. That was the cash payment that
9	we made.
LO	Q. And in the SEC report that was filed
L1	notifying that giving public notice of the
L2	purchase, that's the value that was reported as the
L3	closing value on the purchase and sale agreement,
L4	correct?
L5	A. Yes, sir. That was the cash purchase
L6	price that we paid there in March of '21.
L7	Q. So the \$89.8 million value, that was not
L8	part of a public reporting, was it?
L9	A. No, sir, not that I was aware of.
20	Q. Okay. Nor was the allocated liability of
21	\$56 million for P&A liability, correct?
22	A. No, sir, that wasn't included nor was the
23	State Land Office remediation issues that these
24	Land Office notified us of.
25	Q. Was so that Land Office notification

Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 169 1 was part of the closing agreement with XTO? 2. Α. It provided that we were responsible for all the wells on the property, all the remediation 3 that was required. But it wasn't until after the 4 closing that we discovered and got notification from 5 the State Land Office for the 288 remediation sites. 6 7 And that included the 96 wells that were under the order with the Commission for us to operate the 8 remediation or plugging of those 96 wells. 9 Do you recall, as you sit here today, 10 Ο. 11 Mr. Wheeler, how many of those wells were actually 12 plugged? 13 Α. I know we got a lot that were in the 14 classification of temporarily abandoned, but we got 15 enough wells done so that we reduced the -- the cash 16 payment to the State Land Office from \$1 million 17 down to \$200,000. And the Commission has not approved the release of the \$200,000 because of the 18 19 other wells that came on that needed to be 20 remediated or plugged since we managed those 96 wells. 21 22 So the \$56 million that was allocated to 0. 23 plugging and the abandoning liability, that was for

all three units, correct?

Α.

Yes, sir.

24

25

Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 170 1 Okay. Did that \$56 million -- let me Ο. 2. think about this. I may come back to that value in 3 a moment at some point. 4 Of the \$16 million liability with the State Land Office, have any of those sites or issues 5 been remediated to this point? 6 7 Well, that's a sore spot. We are required to get the State Land Office consent and do a 8 cultural survey and then plan for remediation and 9 10 furnish that to the State Land Office to get 11 approval prior to us going in and getting the remediation. And the lady that's responsible for 12 13 managing -- handling that for us has not approved 14 one single application for remediation since we got the notice from the State Land Office. So we have 15 16 not been able to do a single remediation, although 17 we've sent in over 30 applications for approval. Do some of those remediation sites also 18 Ο. 19 require OCD approval for remediation? 20 All of them require approval. Α. Separate from the State Land Office, do 21 0. some of them also require OCD approval for 22 remediation? 23 24 Α. Not that I'm aware of. 25 Now in the next bullet, you state that Ο. Page 170

Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 171 1 Exxon rep -- or reps in the sales brochure. Are you 2. telling me that Exxon, XTO, or ExxonMobil made a 3 representation to Empire that there was a ROZ? 4 Α. Yes, sir, that's totally correct. 5 Okay. And are you telling me that Empire Ο. 6 purchased the EMSU based on that representation? 7 Yes, sir. You've asked several of our expert witnesses and employees about the due 8 diligence, and stuff. In a response to that, I can 9 10 tell you that when the brochure came out and the 11 offering, when Empire was looking to acquire it, we 12 did not have the staff of geologists, and stuff, to 13 evaluate it. And we didn't have a staff of 14 engineers to do the due diligence. 15 So we worked with a company called Mesa 16 Elk in Denver, and we furnished all of the data that 17 ExxonMobil had furnished us. And we furnished it all to this gentleman by the name of Mr. Robert 18 19 Gardner, and he did the due diligence. And if you 20 look and see, the responses to Goodnight's subpoena for anything related to due diligence, we furnished 21 22 those emails and information to Goodnight. 2.3 And then when Mr. Mulacek came in and had

And then when Mr. Mulacek came in and had an opportunity to finance the acquisition and take an interest in Empire, we stopped that due

24

25

Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 172 1 diligence. 2. But the thing is, though, that's so 3 significant is that ExxonMobil is a company that's 4 the largest oil and gas company in the world. 5 They're the seventh largest corporation in the United States. They're the 13th largest company in 6 7 the entire world. And they are subject, when they sell any asset -- that if you go back and research 8 the security laws that govern the sale of a 9 10 security, you'll see that under the Texas Securities 11 Act from 1933 and the Federal Securities Act of 12 1934, it defines what a security interest is. And 13 included in that definition is oil, gas, and 14 minerals. 15 So when ExxonMobil sold that to Empire, they sold a security. Now, under those definitions 16 17 of the security, there is a provision that they cannot make any false or misleading statements. 18 19 So with that is a very strong evidence to 20 Empire that the representations by ExxonMobil are something that we could definitely rely on and that 21 they were probably going to be conservative because 22 of any concern about violations of the Securities 23 and Exchange Commission. So if they did miss --24

Page 172

make a mistake, misstatement, they would be liable

25

	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 173
1	for hundreds of millions of dollars of penalties
2	that the Texas Securities Act and the Federal
3	Securities Act would regulate.
4	So if you recognize that ExxonMobil had
5	the most information about the EMSU after operating
6	the unit, so except, say, for Chevron, they would be
7	the ones with the most information, the largest
8	staff, the most consultants to do all the research
9	and valuation so that they could make that
10	representation. Goodnight I mean Empire totally
11	relied upon those representations.
12	Q. Mr. Wheeler, were you you joined Empire
13	in spring of '23; is that right?
14	A. September of 2023.
15	Q. September of 2023. And the property was
16	acquired by Empire in the spring of 2021, correct?
17	A. Yes, sir, March the 12th of 1921 (sic).
18	Q. Were you involved at the time with the
19	acquisition at all?
20	A. No, sir.
21	Q. Okay. So this is all based on your
22	research and discussions following the acquisition?
23	A. Yes, sir. It was discussion with our
24	chairman, our president, and the chief operating
25	officer at the time that it was acquired.

	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 174
1	Q. Okay. So when did when did Empire
2	disengage with Mr. Robert Gardner or Mesa Elk? When
3	did it stop the due diligence efforts?
4	A. In December of 2020.
5	Q. Okay. And wasn't the property first put
6	on the on the market in December of 2020?
7	A. I don't believe so. I think it was
8	earlier than that.
9	Q. Do you know when the data room was made
LO	available for review?
L1	A. The only thing that I can find in our
L2	files, and stuff, made it appear that the first
L3	communication that Empire had with ExxonMobil was
L4	around September.
L5	Q. I'll come back to that.
L6	So in December 2020, Empire discontinued
L7	its independent due diligence with Mr. Robert
L8	Gardner, correct?
L9	A. Yes, sir.
20	Q. And did it undertake any additional due
21	diligence at that point?
22	A. It's my understanding that there was
23	when when we tried to answer the subpoena from
24	Goodnight, we only found a few emails with Mr. Tommy
25	Pritchard, who's the CEO at the time of the

	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 175
1	acquisition. But we couldn't find any due diligence
2	files.
3	And I tried to contact Mr. Gardner. I
4	wasn't able to speak to him. But I spoke to an
5	administrative assistant in the office of Elk Mesa
6	(sic), and she said that they didn't have any files
7	for the due diligence from five years previous to my
8	request.
9	Q. So I'm sharing on my screen what let me
10	know when you can see it.
11	Do you recognize this document,
12	Mr. Wheeler?
13	A. Yes, sir.
14	Q. This is this was Exhibit E, Empire's
15	Exhibit E in the Piazza case. Okay?
16	A. Okay.
17	Q. In which both Empire and Goodnight were
18	contesting the authority to approve the Piazza well,
19	which is currently now one of the cases on de novo
20	review before the Commission. Do you agree?
21	A. No, sir.
22	Q. You don't agree that the Piazza case is
23	now on de novo review before the Commission?
24	A. In November of 2020, I wasn't aware that
25	there was any dispute because those properties at

	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 176
1	that time were being operated by XTO. But the
2	problem is, is that when they put the sales package
3	together, they transferred all of the properties and
4	management of those properties over to ExxonMobil.
5	So XTO at the time didn't have anything to do with
6	any of the saltwater disposal application that
7	Goodnight might have presented.
8	Q. Mr. Wheeler, I apologize, I think I was
9	asking a different question than you asked (sic).
10	This exhibit I have up here right now was
11	marked as Exhibit E by Empire in a dispute between
12	Empire and Goodnight Midstream over the approval for
13	the Piazza case the Piazza disposal well. Are
14	you familiar with that case?
15	A. Yes, sir.
16	Q. Okay. And this was an exhibit that Empire
17	presented as part of its case in chief in that
18	hearing. Okay? Have you seen this document before?
19	A. No, sir.
20	Q. You have not? Okay. This is the
21	executive summary of XTO energy for the sale of its
22	Eunice assets. It's dated November 2020.
23	The second page here is some of the same
24	information that you were presenting in your summary
25	report or your summary of your testimony. If I

	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 177
1	scroll to the last page here let's see where is
2	that? sorry, second-to-last page here in this
3	exhibit, page number 3 of the document, it says that
4	the virtual data room opens on November 5th. And,
5	again, this would be 2020. Okay? The very
6	beginning here, it says it's November 2020.
7	So the data room was on November 5, 2020.
8	And you're telling me that Empire discontinued its
9	due diligence the next month in December of 2020?
LO	A. With Mr. Gardner. But they were still
L1	doing evaluations within Empire. And at the time
L2	when Mr. Mulacek came in, we used some engineers,
L3	geologists over with the PIE Operating who helped
L4	evaluate the property at that time.
L5	Q. Okay. So I was asking you that question
L6	before, and you told me that you were trying to find
L7	if they did any more due diligence. And you said
L8	that you couldn't determine whether they had. But
L9	you're telling me now that they were doing internal
20	due diligence, correct?
21	A. I'm sorry, you talk too fast. I don't
22	understand you.
23	Q. You're telling me that while they
24	disengaged with Mr. Gardner for outside due
25	diligence help, Empire and PIE took that due

Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 178 1 diligence work in-house? 2. Α. Yes, sir. Okay. So while they -- so while this 3 Ο. 4 property was initially marketed in November of 2020 and they disengaged with Mr. Robert Gardner to do 5 due diligence in December of 2020, they took that 6 7 due diligence work in-house, correct? Α. Yes, sir. I think it was effective -- the 8 termination was effective on December the 19th of 9 10 2020 with Mr. Gardner. 11 But Empire and PIE Operating did not Ο. 12 maintain any internal files of the due diligence 13 work that they did subsequent to that? 14 None of the -- none of the people within Α. 15 Empire that had done any of the work were no longer 16 with Empire when I came in September of '23. And it 17 wasn't until Goodnight gave us a subpoena for all of the due diligence did I go and research and try to 18 19 find those due diligence documents and was unable 20 to. 21 MR. RANKIN: Mr. Hearing Officer, I 22 move the admission of this document titled 23 "Executive Summary-Eunice Assets." It's an XTO 24 document. I would move it as Goodnight's Cross 25 Exhibit Number -- I think Number 13.

	_
	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 179
1	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Any
2	objection?
3	MS. SHAHEEN: No objection from
4	Empire.
5	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Any
6	objection from OCD?
7	MR. MOANDER: No objection,
8	Mr. Hearing Officer.
9	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Rice?
10	MR. BECK: No objection.
11	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Pilot?
12	MR. SUAZO: No objections.
13	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: It will be
14	admitted.
15	(Exhibit 13 admitted into evidence.)
16	Q (By Mr. Rankin) So if Mr. Wheeler, I'm
17	not going to get into the legal argument with you
18	about the affect of the Texas security law or
19	Federal securities law, but there is this disclaimer
20	at the end of this document that indicates that: By
21	reviewing the information provided, that the
22	reviewer agrees and that no express or implied
23	representation of warranty as to expressly
24	disclaimed any and all liability for the quality,
25	accuracy and completeness of the information, data,
	Page 179

	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 180
1	or other materials set forth in the presentation in
2	the data or established by XTO in connection with
3	its opportunity or otherwise provided by you to
4	you by XTO or its representatives.
5	So are you telling me this disclaimer has
6	no force or effect against any reliance that Empire
7	may put actually provided by XTO?
8	A. No, sir.
9	Q. You agree you're telling me it doesn't
10	have any force or effect against Empire?
11	A. Yes, sir.
12	Q. Okay. That's your view?
13	A. Yes, sir. Because ExxonMobil was the one
14	that put the sales brochure together, then made the
15	representations. And this is a client disclaimer
16	from a subsidiary of theirs, but there's no
17	disclaimer here for ExxonMobil.
18	Q. Okay. So in the in the reliance on the
19	documents and information provided by XTO, you told
20	me that XTO, being the largest oil and gas company
21	in the world and one of the largest companies in the
22	world, and having the most information available to
23	it, they indicated the base of the ROZ at minus
24	700 feet subsea, agree?
25	A. No, sir.

	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 181
1	Q. That's not what it says on the screen
2	right here?
3	A. No, sir. But this document is from
4	ExxonMobil, not XTO. So XTO wasn't making any
5	representations.
6	Q. Okay. ExxonMobil was making the
7	representations. Is that what you're saying?
8	A. Yes, sir, that's correct.
9	Q. Did you rely on that representation?
10	A. Yes, sir.
11	Q. Okay. So when you purchased when
12	Empire purchased this asset, they understood that
13	the base of the ROZ to be minus 700 feet subsea?
14	A. Yes, sir.
15	Q. I'll ask you some questions about the
16	purchase and sale agreement in a little bit.
17	Now, in this slide here, you tell the
18	Commission that the applications that Goodnight
19	filed did not inform the Division of proposed
20	injection into a unitized interval, right?
21	A. That's correct. The four wells that they
22	got permits on, which would have never been approved
23	had Goodnight notified the Commission that they were
24	injecting into a unitized interval or the Eunice
25	Monument Oil Pool, they in my opinion, they

Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 182 1 fraudulently furnished these documents to the 2. Division and concealed the fact that this was being 3 disposed into a unitized interval. And then after the Piazza case was denied 4 because they were doing -- making the injection 5 application into the unitized interval, Goodnight 6 7 Midstream then furnished four new applications to inject, and in none of those did they disclose, 8 again, that they were going to inject into a 9 10 unitized interval or the Eunice Monument Oil Pool. 11 Are you telling me that the Piazza order Ο. 12 was issued before Goodnight Midstream filed the 13 other four applications? 14 My recall is that they furnished the new Α. 15 applications after the Piazza, because they also 16 applied for the case to be appealed to the 17 Commission on the Piazza along with those four new injection applications. 18 19 Would it change your opinion at all if the 20 Piazza issue was -- the order was issued after Goodnight had filed those four applications? 21 22 I think it would be important to know that Α. in terms of how they had applied. But I think that 23 the concern and issue is still there that in their 24 new applications, they did not disclose the interval

25

Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin that they were injecting in, in the formation that the Commission or Division would want to know in relation to injecting into a unitized interval.

2.

- Q. And is that your opinion with respect to all saltwater disposal operators who have obtained approval from the Division for their saltwater injection into the unitized interval of the EMSU within the EMSU boundaries?
- A. I did not see the application for Permian to drill a well in 2020, nor the wells that were drilled in '78. And it wasn't applicable in '66 when the wells -- the disposal well was drilled. So I did not see those applications. I just saw the applications of Goodnight Midstream.
- Q. I'm going to share my screen here. I'm going to show -- I did a search while I was waiting for Ms. Shaheen to send me your slide presentation, and I pulled the EMSU Number 1 saltwater disposal well from the Division's website. And when I go to the Division's information page for that well, it indicates not that it's being injected into the unitized interval or that Eunice Monument pool or the pool in the unit, but the same exact pool code that was assigned to it by the Division for disposal wells. Do you see that?

Page 183

1 2.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Yes, sir. And that's what I'm saying. Α. They filed it under that 96121 order for saltwater
- 3 disposal San Andres. They did not disclose that it
- was going into the San Andres unitized interval. 4

saltwater disposal Number 1 well?

- Did Chevron or Empire or the previous operator of this well make that disclosure to the Division when it sought the approval for this EMSU
 - I did not see the Chevron application, so Α. I can't speak to that.
 - Okay. So you don't -- you don't know Ο. whether Goodnight spoke to anybody -- or their consultant spoke to anybody at the Division to determine what oil code to use? So you don't know whether they were instructed to use that pool code, do you?
 - Α. No, sir. I think it's very clear that what Goodnight did, is they went in and used that code, which was in violation of the requirement to notify the Commission of the formation or interval that they were disposing in.
 - Where did -- two things. Number one, Ο. where is the requirement to disclose what formation they were disposing in?
 - In the application, it requires the Α.

	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 185
1	notification. And that's where Goodnight used this
2	order number.
3	Q. And, Mr. Wheeler, are you aware that the
4	application, the C-108 application that was filed
5	and the subsequent hearing applications that were
6	filed in those cases specifically identified the
7	San Andres as the formation that they were
8	injecting proposing to inject into?
9	A. No, sir.
10	Q. Okay. And are you aware that as part of
11	the requirement for filing a C-108 application for
12	injection is that they have to identify the specific
13	acreage, the location of the well, and the
14	bottomhole location, as well as the intervals for
15	disposal?
16	A. Yes, sir.
17	Q. And you're telling me that they didn't do
18	that?
19	A. I could not find anything in the
20	applications that Mr. Alleman prepared and
21	Mr. McGuire or Mr. Steven Drake had approved that
22	disclosed that.
23	Q. Okay. The record I mean, those
24	documents would speak for themselves, agree?
25	A. I'm sorry?

Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 186 1 Those documents would speak for Ο. 2. themselves? Yes, sir. 3 Α. 4 Ο. Okay. Now, you told me that you were not 5 testifying as an expert in petroleum geology, and you're not testifying as an expert in engineering or 6 reservoir engineering. So -- and you're not 7 offering any opinions on those topics, correct? 8 9 Α. Correct. So how is it that you've come to the 10 11 determination that this injection is impairing 12 correlative rights? 13 Well, my position as a senior vice president -- me and Mr. West are the only senior 14 15 vice presidents below the president and chairman. 16 So I was actively involved in all of the meetings 17 and discussions and evaluations that were made by Empire's geological and engineering staff and the 18 19 expert witnesses that did the research and analysis 20 for testimony. So that's where I acquired that 21 knowledge. 22 So, Mr. Wheeler, I guess I'm asking you,

but that's an opinion that requires subject matter expertise, and you're telling me that you're just repeating what experts in those areas -- the

23

24

25

	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 187
1	opinions of those other experts in those areas. Is
2	that is that true?
3	MS. SHAHEEN: Objection. Mr. Rankin
4	is argumentative and testifying in the record again.
5	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Overruled.
6	Q (By Mr. Rankin) Mr. Wheeler, I'm asking
7	you: Are you adopting opinions of experts in other
8	subject matters for that statement?
9	A. Well, my reading and understanding of the
10	rules of the OCD related to the administrative
11	hearing allows hearsay testimony to be admitted. So
12	I'm under the hearsay testimony ability and
13	representing to the Commission that both of these
14	issues are affecting our operations.
15	And then also internally, we have been
16	ordered not to invest any additional money into the
17	operations for drilling of any additional wells
18	until the Goodnight matter is resolved because of
19	the issue of the thousands and hundreds of thousands
20	of barrels of wastewater that Goodnight is injecting
21	daily.
22	And, in fact, if you look at it, they've
23	got applications to do five more wells that could
24	inject 20 200 I mean 40,000 barrels a day. So
25	that's an additional 200,000 barrels that they're

Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 188
requesting that the Commission approve, which would
virtually destroy Empire's asset.
Q. And the opinions
HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Mr. Rankin?
MR. RANKIN: Yeah.
HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: It's
when you're at a stopping point, it's why don't
we take an afternoon break.
MR. RANKIN: That's why don't
we well, maybe I'll just get through this line of
questions and then we'll take a break, if that's
okay.
HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Yeah.
MR. RANKIN: Since we're in the
midst.
HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Let us
know.
Q (By Mr. Rankin) The determination about
whether Empire rather, Goodnight's injection is
causing or impairing the ability to recover
hydrocarbons or impairing future reserves or
reserves in the EMSU, those are technical issues
that are being opined on by Empire's experts in
those subject matter areas, agree?
A. Yes, sir.
Page 188

Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 189 1 And that's not your area of expertise, Ο. 2. agree? As a senior manager of Empire, it's 3 Α. 4 necessary for me to know that information and, 5 therefore, allow me to represent that information. And that's what you do. You have a staff that 6 7 prepares all of your work, and everything, reports that back to me and Mr. West. And, therefore, we 8 have knowledge and expertise on those matters. 9 10 You, yourself, have not done any Ο. 11 evaluation or assessment or study and you've not presented any data establishing that there is 12 13 impacts -- or impairment of Empire's correlative 14 rights, have you? 15 Α. No, sir. 16 And you've not done any study, evaluation, 17 or assessment to determine whether or not waste is occurring as a result of Goodnight's injections, 18 19 have you? 20 Α. No, sir. 21 0. And you don't present any testimony on that in your testimony, do you? 22 23 Once again, as a manager, I know from our Α. 24 staff that that's what they're representing, and, therefore, I trust and believe them and can 25

	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 190
1	represent that that's the analysis and study that's
2	been done in their representations.
3	MR. RANKIN: Mr. Hearing Officer,
4	rather than to move to strike Mr. Wheeler's
5	testimony on those topics, I'll let the Commission
6	weigh give the weight appropriate for those
7	statements given in Mr. Wheeler's testimony.
8	I can take a break now.
9	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Okay.
10	Before we go off the record, I believe it does go to
11	weight, not admissibility. So the testimony will
12	not be stricken.
13	MR. RANKIN: Thank you.
14	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: All right.
15	Let's see. Let's call it five after. Let's be back
16	at 20 after.
17	(Recess was taken from 3:07 p.m. until 3:21 p.m.)
18	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Okay.
19	Ready to go? Are we back on the record, Ms. Tellez?
20	I see an affirmative head nod.
21	All right. Mr. Rankin, go ahead.
22	MR. RANKIN: Thank you, Mr. Hearing
23	Officer.
24	Q (By Mr. Rankin) Mr. Wheeler, I want to
25	transition to a different topic now. In your in
	Page 190

	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 191
1	your direct testimony, you state that you got
2	personal knowledge and you reviewed the applications
3	to revoke that were filed by Empire; is that
4	correct?
5	A. Yes. By Empire or by Goodnight?
6	Q. By Empire. Empire filed applications to
7	revoke the injection authority of Goodnight. Did
8	you review those applications?
9	A. Yes, sir.
10	Q. Okay. And were they filed on your
11	approval?
12	A. Yes, sir.
13	Q. I'm going to pull up one of those
14	applications to revoke, as part of this case, and
15	it's the case number 24018. And it's the
16	application of Empire to revoke the injection
17	authority for the Andre Dawson SWD Number 1 well.
18	Do you see that?
19	Oh, I'm not sharing my screen yet. I'm
20	sorry. One moment.
21	Let me know when you can see my screen.
22	A. Yes, sir, I can see it.
23	Q. Okay. So I've got up here on the screen a
24	document that's a record with the Division
25	already or Commission in this case. It's
	Page 191

	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 192
1	Empire's application to revoke the injection
2	authority of Goodnight Midstream for the Andre
3	Dawson SWD Number 1 well. And it's been assigned
4	case number 24018 because part of the case is
5	currently pending before the Commission. Do you see
6	that on the screen, Mr. Wheeler?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Okay. Did you review the basis for the
9	claims in this application to revoke?
10	A. Yes, sir.
11	Q. And for each of the applications to revoke
12	that were filed by Empire?
13	A. Yes, sir.
14	Q. And the first claim here in paragraph 2 is
15	that the well here in question, Andre Dawson, is
16	injecting into the unitized interval of the EMSU
17	unit, correct?
18	A. Correct.
19	Q. Again, you described the unitized interval
20	from the Grayburg down to the base of the
21	San Andres, correct?
22	A. Correct.
23	Q. And that there is a pool that has been
24	assigned to correspond to that unitized interval,
25	correct?

	3ack Wheeler - 74pm 6, 2025
	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 193
1	A. Yes, sir, two pools.
2	Q. Two pools?
3	A. Yes, sir.
4	Q. Okay. And you're saying the other pool is
5	the is what?
6	A. The Eunice Monument Oil Pool and the
7	Eunice Monument Gas Pool.
8	Q. Mr. Wheeler, is it not your understanding
9	that by issuance of the order that you reviewed by
10	the Commission, that those two pools were revised to
11	be a single oil pool in the unit?
12	A. No, sir.
13	Q. That's not your understanding?
14	A. No, sir.
15	Q. Is it your understanding that there's
16	still two pools existing within the unitized
17	interval?
18	A. Yes, sir.
19	Q. Okay. You identify the injection interval
20	for the San Andres for the Andre Dawson well here
21	in paragraph 4, correct?
22	A. Yes, sir.
23	Q. And then, in paragraph 5, the application
24	states that, "At the time of the application,
25	Goodnight misrepresented that the San Andres is a
	Page 193

Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 194 1 non-productive zone, " correct? 2. Α. Yes, sir. Now, in Mr. West's testimony that was 3 Ο. 4 filed as part of these cases, Mr. West testifies in 5 paragraph 6 of his Exhibit I that's been filed with the Commission, quote, "That no wells have produced 6 7 from the San Andres at the EMSU." Did I read that correctly? 8 Yes, sir. I don't know how he specifies 9 Α. wells, because I know that there has been production 10 11 out of the San Andres in some wells that have been 12 drilled down in the San Andres. There was one well 13 that had seven barrels, one well had four, which, you know, represents that there has been some 14 15 production from those wells inside the EMSU unit. Production or well tests? Or what is the 16 Ο. 17 basis for your statement? At the time the wells were drilled, that 18 19 was the production that was received. 20 Okay. And -- I mean, I don't see any of 21 that information in your -- in your testimony. Are you relying on the testimony of your other Empire 22 23 witnesses for those statements? 24 Α. No, sir. I've seen those reports. Mr. Davis has worked and pulled them up, and he 25

	Construction by Man Postein 105
	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 195
1	furnished them. And I read and saw where those
2	barrels had actually been produced.
3	And, in fact, if you go and look at
4	Mr. Knights' testimony, he states in there that
5	those productions had occurred.
6	Q. Are you aware of whether all the
7	information that Empire had available to it was
8	public at the time Goodnight filed its applications?
9	A. That's my understanding.
10	Q. You understand that it was all public?
11	A. Yes, sir.
12	Q. You don't you can't say with
13	confidence?
14	Do you have personal knowledge of that?
15	A. Person knowledge of what?
16	Q. Of whether those documents were public
17	that you're relying on for the barrels of oil
18	generated from certain wells.
19	A. I don't think so, because Mr. Knights
20	reports that in his testimony. So if it wasn't
21	public, I don't know how he would have acquired it,
22	for example.
23	Q. Through production
24	A. Yes, sir.
25	Q from Empire?
	Page 195

	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 196
1	A. Um-hmm. Yes, sir, that's my
2	understanding.
3	Q. Okay. So Mr. West testifies that no wells
4	have produced from the San Andres at the EMSU, but
5	you're asserting that at the time Goodnight filed
6	its application applications for these wells,
7	that it misrepresented that there was that the
8	San Andres was a nonproductive zone, correct?
9	A. Correct.
10	Q. Okay. One of the basis bases for
11	Empire's effort to revoke Goodnight's authority to
12	inject is because the injection is occurring within
13	a unitized portion of the unitized interval; is
14	that correct?
15	A. Correct.
16	Q. Okay. And you allege here that all the
17	San Andres is part of the unitized interval,
18	correct?
19	A. Yes, sir, because it's the base of the
20	San Andres.
21	Q. Okay. And you're alleging Empire
22	alleges in this application that in paragraph 9,
23	that disposal into the San Andres affects
24	adversely affects the correlative rights of Empire
25	and other interest owners in the unit, correct?

	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 197
1	A. Correct.
2	Q. Has Empire conferred with any of the
3	interest owners of those three operating units?
4	A. Yes, sir.
5	Q. Which ones?
6	A. We've had discussions with the BLM, State
7	Land Office, DASCO Cattle, Millard Deck Estate,
8	and those are the ones I can recall off the top
9	of my head.
10	Q. Is DASCO Cattle a working interest owner
11	in the units?
12	A. No, sir.
13	Q. Is Millard Deck a working interest owner
14	in any of the units?
15	A. No, sir. They are the ones that Goodnight
16	acquired the surface use leases from. And we also
17	are aware that DASCO Cattle is suing Goodnight over
18	their operations for violations that that surface
19	use agreement.
20	Q. Mr. Wheeler, I asked you if Empire had
21	had has had any discussions with its working
22	interest owners in any of the three units.
23	A. We had discussions with
24	Apache, El Aquatain. And I can't recall the others
25	that we talked to.

	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 198
1	Q. Or and you've had discussions with them
2	regarding your efforts to revoke injection
3	authority?
4	A. Yes, sir.
5	Q. Okay. Not just of Goodnight, but of the
6	other operators as well?
7	A. Yes, sir.
8	Q. Why did you why did Empire reach out to
9	DASCO Cattle Company?
10	A. They contacted us, and then we had
11	conversations.
12	Q. Okay. In paragraph 10 of this
13	application, you state that, "Empire has requested
14	that Goodnight volunteer cease disposal of produced
15	water in this particular well, the Andre Dawson
16	well. But as of the date of the filing of this
17	application, the well remains an active saltwater
18	disposal well."
19	Who did Empire contact at Goodnight to
20	make that request?
21	A. I didn't handle that, so I'm not sure.
22	But I know that at the Piazza hearing, for example,
23	Eugene Sweeney had requested that.
24	Q. So the request you're referring to is
25	based on Mr. Sweeney's testimony at the Piazza
	Page 198

	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 199
1	hearing?
2	A. Not his testimony, but in telephone
3	conversations I've had with him since he left
4	Empire.
5	Q. So he told you that he told Goodnight
6	or asked Goodnight to voluntarily cease injection
7	operations?
8	A. Yes, sir. And, you know, objecting to
9	their applications should also put them on notice
10	that we're wanting them to cease the injection.
11	Q. So to your knowledge, have there been any
12	discussions between Goodnight and Empire about the
13	injection operations? I mean, is it solely based on
14	your telephone calls with Mr. Sweeney?
15	A. Also, I know that Goodnight has reached
16	out to Empire to try to get Empire to settle the
17	case and allow us to terminate all of these
18	proceedings that are costing each company several
19	millions of dollars just for this one hearing. So
20	that's significant money just to spend and take the
21	hearing commissioner's time of a month to have this
22	issue.
23	Q. And did Empire respond?
24	A. Yes, sir. We responded that we wanted
25	them to cease all of their injections within the
	Page 199

	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 200
1	unit, move two miles outside the unit, and move all
2	of their operations there, and we wouldn't protest
3	any of those applications.
4	Q. Who did you who did Empire contact at
5	Goodnight to make those statements?
6	A. I'm trying to think which of our counsels
7	they contacted. But one of our attorneys that we
8	have working on the case for us.
9	Q. Who did they contact?
10	A. My guess is that they contacted
11	Mr. Padilla, but
12	Q. Who contacted Mr. Padilla?
13	A. I cannot I'm not aware of the names of
14	the people.
15	Q. Let me rephrase my question, Mr. Wheeler.
16	My understanding is you just told me that Empire
17	made a list of demands about moving wells back
18	stopping injection, moving wells back to outside two
19	miles. I'm asking you: Who from Empire contacted
20	who at Goodnight to make that request?
21	A. Empire contacted nobody. Goodnight
22	contacted Empire, and that's in response to that
23	was when these discussions were held.
24	Q. Mr. Wheeler, I'm asking you: With whom
25	did Empire have those discussions? I'm not aware,

	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 201
1	but I'm asking: Who did Empire speak to to make
2	those requests?
3	A. I don't know.
4	Q. Are you aware that those discussions took
5	place?
6	A. Yes, sir. I've been told that they did,
7	yes, sir.
8	Q. Okay. Well, but you don't have personal
9	knowledge of those discussions having taken place,
10	and they weren't between Empire and Goodnight,
11	correct?
12	A. No, sir. They were between Empire and
13	Goodnight. Empire responded to Goodnight's request
14	with our response.
15	Q. How do you know that those discussions
16	took place, Mr. Wheeler?
17	A. Because Mr. Mike Morrisett, who had been
18	contacted at Empire, who's the president of Empire,
19	had requested the determination of what we wanted to
20	do. And I was involved in those discussions as to
21	how to respond to Goodnight, along with several
22	officers within the company.
23	Q. So in short, I'm just trying to figure
24	out because I understand you're representing here
25	that there was a response, and I'm trying to find

	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 202
1	out who the response was given to, who did Empire
2	respond to. And as you sit here, you don't know,
3	correct?
4	A. Yes, sir, I don't know if it was with
5	Goodnight themselves or Goodnight's attorneys.
6	Q. But you understand that there was a
7	response made by Empire to Goodnight, correct?
8	A. Yes, sir.
9	Q. Okay. But as to this statement in
10	paragraph 10 going back to that just to be clear;
11	I want to make sure I understand you can't tell
12	me a specific request that was made by Empire to
13	Goodnight to voluntarily cease their injection
14	operations?
15	A. No, sir, I don't have the name of Empire's
16	representative that did that. But I've been told
17	that they did.
18	Q. And you don't know who they spoke to at
19	Goodnight to make that request, correct?
20	A. No, sir, I I'm thinking that it was
21	yourself, Mr. Rankin, but I'm not sure.
22	Q. Okay. Interesting. Okay.
23	All right. Back to your testimony then.
24	In your summary, you this goes back to the
25	valuation of the property. Let's see, where is it

	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 203
1	in here? Slide 7. You told us that the property
2	was acquired for \$17.8 million cash, right?
3	A. Yes, sir.
4	Q. But in the SEC filing with the with the
5	SEC, the reported valuation on the Form 10-K was
6	for not for \$89 million but for \$17.8 million,
7	correct?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Why didn't they report \$89 million as
10	the as the sale price?
11	A. I wasn't with Empire at the time they
12	filed that, so I don't know why they stated that.
13	Q. Okay. And the actual adjusted price that
14	Empire paid after closing on May 14, 2021, was
15	actually \$16,089,000, correct?
16	A. Yes, sir. What happens when you do an
17	acquisition, you enter into the sales agreement, and
18	all it's got an effective date, and all the
19	revenues that had accrued prior to that effective
20	date are offset against your purchase price. So
21	your purchase price is reduced by the money that
22	ExxonMobil had already received and didn't turn over
23	to Empire.
24	Q. In your testimony when you talk about
25	your written testimony, when you address the
	Page 203

	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 204
1	valuation of the purchase in paragraph 10 of
2	Exhibit A, you stated that Empire acquired the EMSU
3	from XTO Holdings, LLC, for a purchase price of
4	\$17,800,000, correct?
5	A. Yes, sir. I think it's a matter of
6	semantics. But what I'm saying here is the purchase
7	price was the 17-8. And then the assumed
8	responsibilities for the plugging and remediation,
9	and everything, was not a purchase price, but it's
LO	an assumption of those liabilities.
L1	Q. So then in the in the notification I
L2	mean in your statement here, you're saying that that
L3	value was just for the EMSU. In paragraph 10, are
L 4	you saying to \$17.8 million was just for the EMSU?
L5	A. Yes, sir. I state there that's acquired
L6	the Monument South Unit and that also included would
L 7	be in the AGU.
L8	Q. So EMSU is 14,000-plus some change gross
L9	acres, but, in fact, as part of that transaction for
20	the nominal price of 17,800,000 included a total of
21	47,200 gross acres with those three other units and
22	some other offsetting properties, correct?
23	A. Yes.

Q. But that's not what you said in your testimony, right?

24

25

Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 205 I'm sorry, what? 1 Α. 2. Ο. That's not what you said in your 3 testimony, right? 4 Α. Well, I didn't expand it. I just spoke about that the EMSU, Eunice Monument South Unit, is 5 the 14,000 acres. We're not having a hearing over 6 7 the EMSU-B or the AGU or the outside wells which incorporate the 40,000 net acres. 8 9 And as part of that transaction, according Q. to this filing, Empire acquired a little over 700 10 11 wells from XTO, correct? 12 Yes, sir. And it's the plugging and 13 abandoning cost of those 700 wells that equate to 14 the \$56 million liability that we have for plugging 15 and abandoning at current cost. 16 In discovery I received a document from Ο. 17 Empire that's titled, "Memo to File." It's prepared 18 by Mr. Darrell Davis. It was prepared on 19 January 15, 2024, and it's got an OCD Bates label. 20 And in this document, Mr. Davis, who's your engineer at the company, identified, as part of 21 his analysis for costs, that plugging and abandoning 22 23 wells in the EMSU are about \$100,000 each. Do you 24 see that? Yes, sir. 25 Α.

	1 /
	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 206
1	Q. So with \$100,000 each, at 700 wells,
2	wouldn't that be more like \$70 million plugging
3	liability?
4	A. I can't do the math in my head, but it's a
5	significant cost.
6	Q. Yeah.
7	MR. RANKIN: Before I forget,
8	Mr. Hearing Officer, I'm going to I'm going to
9	move the admission of this document, which is titled
10	"Memo to File." It's dated January 15, 2024, as
11	produced to us in discovery by Empire. And I move
12	it into the record as Goodnight Cross Exhibit
13	Number 15.
14	JACK WHEELER: 16.
15	MR. RANKIN: Oh.
16	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Ms.
17	Shaheen, any objection?
18	MS. SHAHEEN: No objection from
19	Empire.
20	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: OCD?
21	MR. MOANDER: No objection,
22	Mr. Hearing Officer.
23	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: And
24	Mr. Beck?
25	MR. BECK: No objection.
	D 20C
	Page 206

	-
	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 207
1	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Mr. Suazo?
2	MR. SUAZO: No. Sorry, no objection,
3	Mr. Examiner.
4	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Okay.
5	Thank you. It will be admitted.
6	MR. RANKIN: Mr. Hearing, I changed
7	it to Exhibit 16.
8	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: It will be
9	admitted as Exhibit Number 16.
10	(Exhibit 16 admitted into evidence.)
11	MR. RANKIN: And as to the other
12	document I would like to admit, it would be the SEC
13	report 10 8-K that reflects the purchase sale
14	agreement and details that I referred to as
15	Exhibit as Goodnight cross Exhibit 14.
16	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Empire?
17	MS. SHAHEEN: No objection, but I
18	would like to know the Bates numbers for the record
19	on both of those documents.
20	MR. RANKIN: Sure. The Exhibit 16
21	beginning Bates is OCD 23614-1703482.
22	The 10-K (sic) is not a Bates-labeled
23	document. It was pulled off the SEC website.
24	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: OCD, any
25	objection?

	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 208
1	MR. MOANDER: Not at all, Mr. Hearing
2	Officer.
3	MR. BECK: No objection from Rice.
4	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Okay. And
5	Pilot?
6	MR. SUAZO: No objection.
7	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: It will be
8	admitted as Exhibit 14.
9	(Exhibit 14 admitted into evidence.)
10	Q (By Mr. Rankin) Mr. Wheeler, during your
11	testimony and summary of your testimony, you
12	discussed the fact that there is a provision in the
13	purchase and sale agreement regarding a first
14	priority requirement to confer with XTO on CO2
15	purchases, correct?
16	A. Yes, sir.
17	Q. Have you has Empire had any discussions
18	with XTO on the potential purchase of CO2?
19	A. I've had discussions with a Mr. Walker,
20	who's the division geology manager for XTO at the
21	time that the applications from Goodnight were
22	filed. And I also discussed with him the potential
23	for the ROZ and had significant information
24	furnished to me to get me very comfortable with the
25	CO2 potential within the residual oil zone in the
	Page 208

Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 209 1 San Andres. 2. Ο. Has Empire provided 60-day notice to XTO 3 under the terms of that provision? Have we furnished what? 4 Α. Has Empire provided 60-day notice to XTO 5 Ο. under the terms of that provision? 6 7 No, sir. We haven't entered into negotiations with anybody to do the CO2 because if 8 Goodnight continues this injection and the 9 10 Commission does not revoke those existing permits, 11 in three years, the wells will be so pressured up 12 that it would totally destroy all the residual oil 13 zone that we have, at which point we'll have to 14 continue our lawsuit against Goodnight for 15 destroying those reserves, just like the case that 16 Goodnight settled in Texas where they went in, 17 injected, destroyed all the reserves of an existing operator, and then Goodnight settled out of court to 18 19 pay them for those damages. 20 So you're relying -- and you're relying on the testimony -- on the opinions of your experts 21 22 about the impact of Goodnight's injection, correct? 23 Well, you know, when you asked me about Α. 24 whether or not I was testifying as an expert engineer, or something, for my statement about them 25

	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 210
1	violating our correlative rights and the waste,
2	really, that's a legal question. And I have taken
3	all of the information furnished me from our experts
4	and managers and employees of Empire to make that
5	legal determination.
6	Q. Paragraph 21 of your statement, you state
7	that "XTO Holdings was in the process of selling the
8	Eunice Monument South unit, so they did not spend
9	time or money to protest the applications."
10	Is that based on personal knowledge?
11	A. Yes. When I spoke to Mr. Walker, he told
12	me that he had never received any of the
13	applications from Goodnight to receive the authority
14	to inject. And he thought he told me that at the
15	time that Goodnight was making those applications,
16	all of the management of those properties had been
17	transferred over to ExxonMobil to their divesture
18	team. And it is his position that XTO never
19	received notice of any of those applications.
20	Q. The records of notification would be
21	included in the case file for those cases, agree?
22	A. No, sir.
23	Q. Why not?
24	A. Because what it is, is when you send out

something on certified mail, you attach the number

25

Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 211 1 of the certified mail to the envelope, and then you 2. tear off the top and retain that. So you can go ahead, prepare all of the notifications, take the 3 4 certificates, tear off the top portion, attach it to 5 the application, and there's no proof that those 6 application notices were ever sent out. And we, for example, have never got the 7 green cards that are attached to the certified mail 8 that the recipient would sign off and would have 9

been returned to XTO. So we have no proof or knowledge that those certifications were even mailed out.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Certified mail has a record of receipt, Ο. does it not?
- Α. Yes, sir, and we never seen any of those receipts.
- Now, Mr. Wheeler, you testified about another lawsuit involving Goodnight. What lawsuit was that?
- I don't have -- I don't have the name in front of me. I furnished that to -- a document that I have given Ms. Hardy. If it's real important for you to know the name of that, I could ask for us to recess for a few minutes so I could consult with her and get the name.

	Jack Wheeler - April 6, 2025
	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 212
1	Q. Was it the Marscon (phonetic) case?
2	A. Do what?
3	Q. Was it the Marscon case?
4	A. I don't I don't recall the name. I
5	thought it was I'm not sure of the name.
6	Q. Do you have evidence of a settlement out
7	of court that you're referring to, that you're
8	telling me?
9	A. I've never seen the settlement, but that's
10	the information that we received from our attorney.
11	Q. Okay. Now, as to XTO, in your statement
12	here in paragraph 21, you're saying that they
13	didn't you don't say that they didn't receive
14	notice. You're saying they didn't they didn't
15	spend the time or money to protest the applications,
16	agree?
17	A. Yes, sir.
18	Q. Okay. Now, it's Empire's position that
19	all wells within a two-mile radius should
20	disposal wells within a two-mile radius should be
21	shut in; is that correct?
22	A. Yes, sir, that would be our preference.
23	Q. Okay. And are you asking the Commission
24	to effect that request?
25	A. Not in this application. We had requested
	Daga 212
	Page 212

	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 213
1	it previous, and when the Commission made a
2	determination and Mr. Rubin established the
3	parameters of this hearing, he did not include those
4	applications. So we'll have to come back for an
5	additional hearing to request the Commission to
6	revoke those applications.
7	Q. So looking at this exhibit, which is
8	Goodnight Exhibit B-47, which shows all SWDs active
9	and inactive within a five-mile radius of your
10	units of Empire's units, you're telling me that
11	Empire will come back to the Commission and request
12	the Commission revoke the authority to inject all
13	SWDs within two miles of any of these units?
14	A. Yes, sir.
15	Q. Okay. And you're going to do that in
16	separate applications in separate hearings?
17	A. Yes, sir.
18	Q. Now, you filed Empire has filed suit
19	against Goodnight and Midstream in district court in
20	New Mexico, correct?
21	A. Yes, sir, in the Fifth District Court in
22	Hobbs, New Mexico.
23	Q. And Empire has also filed a lawsuit
24	against Pilot, as well, correct?
25	A. No, sir.

	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 214
1	Q. Not correct?
2	A. No, sir. We prepared the lawsuit, but
3	then we never served it on Pilot or Permian yet.
4	Q. Okay. But it's been filed, correct?
5	A. Has a lawsuit been filed?
6	Q. Yeah, it's been filed in district court,
7	correct?
8	A. The suit against Goodnight has been filed.
9	The suit against Pilot and Permian has not.
10	Q. Let me let me clarify the distinction.
11	You're telling me that it hasn't been served on
12	those parties, but it has been filed in district
13	court?
14	A. That's my understanding, yeah.
15	Q. So it has been filed? The lawsuits
16	against Pilot and Permian Line Service and Rice have
17	been filed in district court. They just haven't
18	been served, correct?
19	A. I don't I don't believe that our
20	attorney filed against Permian or Pilot. We only
21	filed it against Goodnight.
22	Q. Okay. Now, you haven't filed lawsuits
23	against all operators within a one-mile radius of
24	your units, have you?
25	A. Not yet.

	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 215
1	Q. Are you are you intending to?
2	A. Yes, sir.
3	Q. And that would include Apache?
4	A. Yes, sir. Well, Apache sold the
5	properties to Hilcorp. So it would be a suit
6	against Hilcorp at the present time.
7	Q. So this well down here within a mile of
8	the AGU that I'm highlighting here I'm sorry,
9	the yeah, the Arrowhead Grayburg Unit that's a
10	well, that you would seek to revoke the authority
11	for injection on?
12	A. Right now our focus is on the EMSU. So we
13	haven't made a corporate decision to litigate for
14	the AGU or the EMSU-B as of yet.
15	Q. Okay. So with respect to any operators
16	within those two-mile distances, you haven't made a
17	determination on how to proceed with those?
18	A. No, sir, not yet.
19	Q. Okay. In paragraph 22 of your statement
20	and in your prior testimony on the stand today, you
21	made some comments that I want to investigate.
22	Paragraph 22 you state that "Empire
23	acquired the EMSU from XTO due to its significant
24	CO2 EOR potential in the San Andres ROZ in Grayburg
25	main pay zone intervals." We discussed that.

	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 216
1	Then you go on to say in the following
2	sentence and I'm going quote a part of the next
3	sentence here. "Empire Empire's operational
4	focus during 2023 and 2024 has been suspended while
5	we seek support from the New Mexico Oil Conservation
6	Commission to revoke existing SWD permits and to
7	deny new applications." Did I read that correctly?
8	A. Yes, sir.
9	Q. And during today's testimony, you also
10	testified that Empire's ceased its planned
11	operations as a result of or as a consequence or
12	because of Goodnight's current injection activities,
13	correct?
14	A. That's correct.
15	Q. And that started Empire made that
16	determination not to proceed with its operations
17	beginning in 2023, correct?
18	A. Yes, sir. That's when Empire discovered
19	the existence of the saltwater wells that we were
20	not aware of.
21	Q. So prior to 2023, Empire was not aware of
22	the saltwater disposal wells operated by others?
23	A. The management of Empire was not aware of
24	that, no, sir.
25	Q. At the time that Empire suspended its
	Page 216

Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 217 1 operational focus in 2023, did it have a plan for 2. what it was going to do? Yes, sir. What we were going to do is 3 Α. 4 focus on the waterflood first, go in and improve the 5 production there, change up some of the wells that were injecting the water into the Grayburg, go in 6 7 the different zones. As I recall, there are six or seven zones, and right now the flood is primarily in 8 zone 1 and 2. And they were going to move down into 9 10 like the 5 -- zone 5 and 6 or maybe 4, 5, and 6 to 11 store injection patterns in there to improve the 12 production on the Grayburg unit, saltwater 13 waterflood. 14 So the operations that you're referring to Ο. 15 here in your testimony, the -- Empire's operational 16 focus during 2023 and 2024, are you telling me that 17 the -- that focus was going to be -- it was limited to waterflood operations? 18 19 Α. Yes, sir. 20 Yes? Ο. And at that period of time, yes, sir. 21 Α. Okay. Did Empire have a written plan or a 22 0. 23 plan of operations that it was going to implement 24 that was suspended? I don't know about the definition of a 25 Α.

	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 218
1	written plan, but I do know that there were studies
2	prepared and presented to management, including
3	Mr. West, Mr. Morrisett, and our chairman about the
4	plans and the investment and a budget to do that
5	work.
6	Q. Okay. So there was there was
7	actually I mean, I guess in terms of a plan,
8	there was actually some written documents laying out
9	what the company was intending or proposing to do
LO	during that timeframe, correct?
L1	A. Yes, sir.
L2	Q. And there was a budget for that work,
L3	correct?
L4	A. Well, the budget was denied because the
L5	determination was made that Empire did not want to
L6	expend any money on the operations within the Eunice
L7	Monument South Unit until we got all of the water
L8	injection stopped so we could make that investment.
L9	Q. But I guess that wasn't my question. My
20	question was: There was a budget, correct?
21	A. No, sir. There wasn't ever a budget
22	approved.
23	Q. Not approved. There was a budget
24	proposed, correct?
25	A. Yes, sir.

	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 219
1	Q. Okay. And was that budget that
2	proposed budget prepared by Mr. West?
3	A. No, sir.
4	Q. Who was it prepared by?
5	A. I don't know.
6	Q. You don't know?
7	A. I think it was I'm thinking the
8	information was furnished by Mr. Davis and
9	Mr. Araya, who's the other reservoir engineer that
10	we hired at the time that restored it to try to work
11	on the on the Goodnight applications. And they
12	were the ones that were working on doing all of the
13	evaluations and recommendations.
14	And I'm not sure if they presented it to
15	senior management, but Mr. West would have been the
16	one receiving the information rather than preparing
17	the budget, is my understanding.
18	Q. Okay. And that proposal to management on
19	what steps would be taken, it laid out, I guess,
20	what did you see that plan?
21	A. I saw the written evaluations and
22	recommendations for working on the waterflood.
23	Q. Okay. Did Empire prepare any AFEs related
24	to that proposed those proposed operations?
25	A. I'm not I'm not I wasn't part of the
	Page 219
	J J

	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 220
1	notification, so I'm not sure if they did or didn't.
2	Q. And who who was the who made the
3	decision to suspended the operational focus?
4	A. Our senior management.
5	Q. Okay. And when was that decision made?
6	A. To what, sir?
7	Q. When was that decision made?
8	A. Probably August of 2023.
9	Q. Okay. Was it done through a meeting? How
10	was it done? Through an email?
11	A. Yes, sir, we have presentations to
12	Mr. West, Mr. Morrisett and our chairman when
13	they're in town. And in preparation for board
14	meetings, and stuff, that are held quarterly,
15	there's information that's presented to the members
16	of the board.
17	Q. And the reason that it was denied, the
18	proposed project was denied was because of
19	Goodnight's operations in the EMSU, correct?
20	A. Yes, sir.
21	Q. Mr. Wheeler, I've got in front of you here
22	the annual report, the Form 10-K for Empire
23	Petroleum for 2023. Do you see that in front of
24	you?
25	A. Yes, sir.

Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 221 And it's a long document, 75 pages. 1 Ο. Okav. 2. And it's part of the Empire's reporting to the SEC 3 And at the end of 2023, it reported a net here. income loss of \$12.4 million. Do you see that? 4 5 Α. Yes, sir. And at the time, at the end of 2023, as 6 0. 7 part of its disclosures as a public company, it reported through this filing that, quote, "We have 8 indebtedness and may incur substantially more debt. 9 10 Higher levels of indebtedness make us more 11 vulnerable to economic downturns in adverse business 12 developments." Did I read that correctly? 13 Yes, sir. Α. 14 It goes on to say, "As a result of Ο. 15 indebtedness, we use a portion of our cash flow to 16 pay interest, which reduces the amount of 17 available -- amount available to fund operations and other business activities and could limit 18 19 flexibility in planning for or reacting to changes 20 in the business and the industry in which we operate. Indebtedness under the credit facility, 21 which is their debt, is at a variable interest rate. 22 23 And so a rise in interest rates will generate 24 greater interest expense." Did I read that

Page 221

25

correctly?

	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 222
1	A. Yes, sir.
2	Q. Okay. And as part of this disclosure, the
3	company was disclosing that a portion of its cash
4	flows to pay interest, which reduces money for
5	operations and business activities, that's basically
6	what this disclosure is doing, correct?
7	A. Yes, sir.
8	Q. Okay. It's true isn't it true that
9	some of the interest referred to here goes to pay
LO	interest on bridge loans that were issued to Empire
L1	by its board chair, Mr. Phil Mulacek?
L2	A. Yes, sir.
L3	Q. Okay. And here is in the same
L4	disclosure, Empire's disclosing that in 2023, Empire
L5	received \$10 million in bridge loans from
L6	Mr. Mulacek and Energy Evolution Master Fund, which
L7	is a related party to Mr. Mulacek, correct?
L8	A. Yes, sir.
L9	Q. Which were substantially converted to
20	common shares. "In addition, Empire sold additional
21	shares to both parties generating about \$5 million
22	in proceeds from each party." Correct?
23	A. Yes, sir.
24	Q. And in addition to that, Empire was
25	disclosing that Empire may not be able to obtain
	Page 222

	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 223
1	needed capital for financing on satisfactory terms
2	or at all, which could lead to a decline in its oil
3	and gas reserves, as part of this disclosure,
4	correct?
5	A. Yes, sir.
6	Q. So beginning in at least as early as
7	2023, Empire has been dependent on Mr. Mulacek and
8	his Energy Evolution Master Fund as a source for
9	capital, correct?
10	A. Yes, sir.
11	Q. And if they're unwilling or unable to
12	provide additional capital, it would have a material
13	adverse effect on Empire's business, especially its
14	growth plans, agree?
15	A. Yes, sir.
16	Q. And that's what is disclosed in this 2023
17	annual report, correct?
18	A. Yes, sir.
19	Q. Okay. Also disclosed at the end of 2023
20	was the status of the company liquidity. In that
21	same disclosure, Empire disclosed that its working
22	capital is negative due to high level payables
23	related to capital spending in its as a result of
24	its North Dakota operations; is that correct?
25	A. Yes, sir.

Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 224 1 And that caused Empire to be out of Ο. compliance with its current ratio covenant under its 2. 3 credit facility with its debtors, correct? 4 Α. Yes, sir. That occurred at the end of 2023, correct? 5 Ο. 6 Α. Yes, sir. 7 And -- but, however, the bank gave a Ο. waiver to Empire keeping it in compliance with its 8 debt obligations, correct? 9 10 Yes, sir. Α. 11 Okay. But at the end of 2023, and as a Ο. 12 result, Empire didn't -- was unable to project that 13 it had enough cash flow to satisfy its existing 14 payables for the next 12 months, agree? 15 Α. Yes, sir. I mean, all of this information 16 is what you are required to furnish the SEC relative 17 to your company and your operations. And the question about the liquidity is all related to the 18 19 fact that Mr. Mulacek has invested a tremendous amount of money and has always been prepared to 20 furnish us additional money personally from his 21 funds that he has that he's accrued over the years 22 23 of his development and drilling of wells and companies that he's owned. 24 25 And he's been doing that every year since Ο.

	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 225
1	at least 2023, correct?
2	A. To what?
3	Q. He's been doing that every year since at
4	least 2023, correct?
5	A. Yes, sir.
6	Q. Okay. So here, as part of that required
7	disclosure, the company disclosed that it had plans
8	to raise additional funds through a rights offering
9	and Empire's board chair, Phil Mulacek, and his
10	Energy Evolution Funds. They indicated that they
11	intended to participate in the rights offering and
12	fully subscribe those shares to raise that money,
13	correct?
14	A. Yes, sir.
15	Q. Okay. So essentially, Mr as you
16	stated, Mr. Mulacek was going to continue to invest
17	and back the company, correct?
18	A. Yes, sir.
19	Q. Okay. And at the end of 2023 as part of
20	its disclosure, Empire disclosed that its working
21	capital at the end of that year was negative
22	6.3 million, correct?
23	A. Yes, sir.
24	Q. Whereas in the previous year, it was
25	plus it was at a positive \$5 million, correct?
	Page 225

Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 227 1 relevance? 2. MR. RANKIN: Mr. Hearing Officer, 3 Mr. Wheeler has testified that the reason they 4 discontinued -- or suspended the operations at the EMSU was because of Goodnight's injection into the 5 In fact, as this evidence is going to show 6 7 and continue -- will show, is that they have no money to pay for it. And they have no money to pay 8 for the existing debt as a result of the drilling 9 10 operations in North Dakota. And as the 2024 disclosures will show, they also -- and undertook 11 12 additional debt in Texas. 13 So Mr. Wheeler testified previously that 14 he was responsible for reviewing and contributing 15 portions of these disclosures relating to the 16 operations of Empire in those other states that show 17 that, in fact, the problems that they're having with finances are not due to anything that's occurring in 18 19 New Mexico or at the EMSU, but have everything to do 20 with their expenses that they've incurred in North Dakota and Texas and the fact that they're not 21 22 cashing a cash flow sufficient to support those 23 operations. 24 So as a consequence, they're in violation of their credit facility, and they're requiring 25

	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 228
1	financial support from their the chair of their
2	board.
3	And the reason that they didn't pursue any
4	of those operations in New Mexico was not because of
5	Goodnight's activities or operations, but simply
6	because they had no money to do it.
7	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Okay. The
8	objection is overruled.
9	Q (By Mr. Rankin) So, again, as I understand
10	it, the end of the at the end of 2023, Empire was
11	required to disclose that it was there was doubt
12	about whether it could maintain itself as a going
13	concern, correct?
14	A. Yes, we're required to notify the SEC of
15	that.
16	Q. As part of the SEC's requirements, you
17	needed to Empire was required to disclose what
18	its plans were in order to maintain itself as a
19	going concern, correct?
20	A. Yes, sir. And basically if you look here,
21	you'll see that Empire had a very low credit
22	facility of only \$10 million. We did not go out and
23	try to increase that credit facility and take on
24	additional debt.
25	Since then, we've gone and increased that
	Da 200
	Page 228

	1 -,
	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 229
1	with the same bank for \$20 million. And if you look
2	at our operations, you'll see that we've done
3	significant drilling and work within our areas of
4	ownership in North Dakota. And now we're doing it
5	in Texas, but we're not spending any money on the
6	EMSU.
7	And if you look at the expenditures and
8	the drilling and the work that we've the money
9	we've expended on the North Dakota operations and
LO	the Texas, you'll see that Empire had plenty of
L1	money to have worked over the EMSU properties.
L2	Q. At the end of 2023, Mr. Examiner I mean
L3	Mr. Wheeler, Empire was required to disclose how it
L 4	intended to proceed to raise the funds that it
L5	needed to continue to make its payables, correct?
L6	A. Yes, sir.
L7	Q. And the plan that it had was to raise
L8	money from Mr. Mulacek and his Energy Evolution
L9	Master Fund, correct?
20	A. Yes, sir.
21	Q. Okay. And it disclosed as a result of
22	those plans and the understanding that Mr. Mulacek
23	and his fund would fulfill those obligations to
2.4	supply the needed capital. Empire was able to

disclose that it believed it would be able to meet

25

Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 230 1 its required -- its financing requirements, correct? 2. Yes, sir. If you look, you'll see that 3 we've done two rights offerings for \$5 million 4 apiece. And both of those were oversubscribed by the shareholders, and there alone, we got 5 \$10 million in capital, which more than covers the 6 7 \$6 million represented in the '23 10-K that you're presenting to me and the Commission. 8 So at the end of '23 -- 2023, as required, 9 Q. 10 because Empire faced conditions giving rise to 11 uncertainties about its liability and ability to 12 continue to operate due to recurring financial 13 losses, it was required to make these disclosures 14 about its status as a going concern, correct? 15 Α. Yes, sir. But it was totally different 16 between the actual operation of the company and what 17 you have to report to the SEC as far as going The fact that you have -- that you include 18 concern. 19 these comments does not mean that the company is going to go bankrupt or close its doors. 20 21 Q. That's in large part because of the funding from Mr. Mulacek, correct? 22 23 Α. That's correct. 24 Q. Right. Now, the same thing occurred in 2024. And, in fact, Mr. Wheeler, each annual 25

	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 231
1	reporting period, each quarter since 2023, Empire
2	has had to make a report on the status on its
3	status as a going concern, correct?
4	A. Yes, sir.
5	Q. Okay. And it made a similar annual filing
6	for the annual report for 2024, correct?
7	A. Yes, sir.
8	MR. RANKIN: Mr. Hearing Officer,
9	before I move off this exhibit, I'm going to move
10	this 2023 10-K as Goodnight Exhibit Number 15,
11	Goodnight Cross Exhibit Number 15.
12	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Empire?
13	MS. SHAHEEN: No objection.
14	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Okay. It
15	will be admitted.
16	OCD?
17	MR. MOANDER: No objection.
18	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Rice?
19	MR. BECK: No objection.
20	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: And Pilot?
21	MR. SUAZO: No objection.
22	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: All right.
23	It will be admitted as Exhibit 15.
24	(Exhibit 15 admitted into evidence.)
25	MR. RANKIN: Thank you.
	Page 231

	_
	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 232
1	Q (By Mr. Rankin) I got on my screen here,
2	Mr. Wheeler, the Empire's 10-K for 2024. And you
3	alluded to some of the comments I was going to raise
4	with you. But in this annual filing, the cash flows
5	report a net loss for 2024 of \$16 million; is that
6	correct?
7	A. That's correct.
8	Q. Okay. And as for the annual report in
9	2023, Empire was also required to report its
10	financial status as a going concern, correct?
11	A. Yes. You know, we reported that every
12	year and every quarter, but you see we're still
13	here. We spent \$500,000 in attorneys' fees and
14	expert witnesses at the last hearing. So we're
15	spending millions of dollars just for this hearing,
16	and if we were not a going concern, we would be
17	unable to do that.
18	Q. It's largely thanks to Mr. Mulacek; is
19	that correct?
20	A. To what, sir?
21	Q. Mr. Mulacek, correct?
22	A. I'm sorry, what are you asking?
23	Q. I'm saying that's largely due to
24	Mr. Mulacek, correct?
25	A. Oh, yes, sir. Yeah.

	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 233
1	Q. So, again, in 2024, Empire was required to
2	notify its lender and request a waiver regarding its
3	credit facility in 2024, correct?
4	A. Right. We still hadn't made the decision
5	to increase our line of credit. So we still had the
6	\$10 million line, and that's where we reported
7	because of our loss.
8	Q. Okay. And at the end of 2024 sorry, I
9	thought I had this.
10	At the end of 2024, Empire was still
11	carrying a negative working capital of \$8.9 million,
12	correct?
13	A. Yes, sir.
14	Q. And it still had to report that the future
15	expected operating cash flows would not sufficiently
16	meet the company's obligations for the next 12
17	months, correct?
18	A. Correct.
19	Q. And it had to report as part of the 2024
20	annual report that, given the negative working
21	capital and insufficient expected operating cash
22	flow, that there was substantial doubt about the
23	company's ability to continue as a going concern,
24	correct?
25	A. Yes, sir.

Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 234 But nevertheless, as you alluded 1 Ο. Okav. 2. to, Mr. Mulacek and his Energy Evolution Master Fund 3 indicated they were willing to provide additional 4 funds, if required, to meet the company's 5 obligations over the following 12 months, correct? Yes, sir. I mean, if you read it, it 6 7 basically says that they're willing and they're able So we have no concern here that the company's 8 not going to be able to continue to fund its 9 10 operations. 11 And as expressed in this disclosure, as Ο. 12 of -- the portion I'm highlighting here, the company 13 disclosed that the overall decline in working capital and cash is primarily driven by its Starbuck 14 15 drilling program in North Dakota, correct? 16 Yes, sir. Α. 17 In addition, there was some additional costs increased in Texas, correct? 18 19 Α. Yes, sir. I mean, basically the 20 operations of the North Dakota properties were unsuccessful and did not -- were not profitable 21 22 until Mr. West was hired. 23 And when Mr. West was hired, he went in and changed all of that. And now those operations 24 are successful, and they've even formulated a 25

	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 235
1	methodology to increase the production of oil that
2	they filed a patent on. And it's totally turned
3	around the North Dakota operations.
4	Q. In your previous testimony, Mr. Wheeler,
5	you described for me how that while you were no
6	longer responsible for filing or receiving the
7	filing of Empire's 10-Ks or 10-Qs, that you
8	nevertheless are asked to confer with management
9	over aspects of those documents that relate to the
10	company's operations in Texas, North Dakota, and New
11	Mexico, correct?
12	A. Yes, sir.
13	Q. And that would include the statement that
14	I just read in the 2024 annual report, correct?
15	A. Yes, sir.
16	Q. Okay. And in that disclosure, the company
17	disclosed that it was having issues with costs in
18	North Dakota and Texas, correct?
19	A. Yes, sir.
20	Q. But you made no disclosures about any
21	issues in New Mexico, correct?
22	A. Yes, sir.
23	Q. Okay. So
24	A. Spending any money in New Mexico, so there
25	was nothing to report because we're not going to

	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 236
1	expend any money until we get the four existing
2	wells revoked and then get all of the new
3	applications denied.
4	Q. Mr. Wheeler, is it still your testimony
5	that Empire's decision to suspend its operational
6	focus in 2023 and 2024 was due to Goodnight's
7	operations and not because the company didn't have a
8	cash flow or financial resources to do that work?
9	A. That's clearly correct, yes, sir.
10	Q. So it's your opinion it's your belief
11	and your testimony that the company did not pursue
12	operations in New Mexico because of Goodnight's
13	operations and not because it didn't have any money?
14	MS. SHAHEEN: Asked and answered.
15	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: It has been
16	asked and answered.
17	MR. RANKIN: Okay. I just want to
18	make sure the record is clear.
19	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: I think we
20	got
21	MR. RANKIN: If that's what it is,
22	that's great.
23	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: I think we
24	got the point.
25	MR. RANKIN: All right.
	Page 236

	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 237
1	A. Now, we absolutely had the money to be
2	able to fund operations in New Mexico as a very
3	valuable asset. So the fact that we couldn't do
4	anything internally was very disappointing to all of
5	the people working at Empire in the management.
6	MR. RANKIN: Mr. Hearing Officer, I
7	move the admission of this 2024 10-K as Goodnight
8	Exhibit Number 7 or Goodnight Cross Exhibit 17.
9	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Empire?
10	MS. SHAHEEN: No objection.
11	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: OCD?
12	MR. MOANDER: No objection,
13	Mr. Hearing Officer.
14	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Rice?
15	MR. BECK: No objection.
16	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Pilot?
17	MR. SUAZO: No objection.
18	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Thank you.
19	It will be admitted.
20	(Exhibit 17 admitted into evidence.)
21	Q (By Mr. Rankin) Mr. Wheeler, in this next
22	section of your Exhibit A, your testimony, in
23	paragraph 22, you address some technical issues
24	about pressures in the EMSU. Do you recall that?
25	A. Yes, sir.
	Page 237

	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 238
1	Q. You, yourself, did not conduct a technical
2	analysis of any pressures in the San Andres within
3	the EMSU, did you?
4	A. You know, lawyers always want to do
5	everything, but I didn't do this.
6	Q. Okay. And you didn't, yourself, evaluate
7	the effect of Goodnight's injection on pressures in
8	the San Andres, did you?
9	A. No, sir.
10	Q. And you didn't analyze, yourself, the
11	effect of Goodnight's disposal on projected CO2
12	injection, did you?
13	A. No, sir.
14	Q. And you didn't conduct an assessment of
15	what the minimum miscibility pressure would be or is
16	in the San Andres, did you?
17	A. No, sir.
18	Q. And you're relying on somebody else for
19	these statements in your testimony?
20	A. Yes, sir.
21	Q. Similarly, in the same paragraph, you
22	refer to some fractures occurring. Did you,
23	yourself, conduct an analysis to determine if there
24	are fractures between the San Andres and Grayburg?
25	A. I've seen the cores that show the

	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 239
1	fractures and stuff, but I was not the one that
2	originally became aware of that. That was
3	information from meetings and discussions with
4	Dr. Lindsay.
5	Q. And you did not, yourself, evaluate and
6	make a determination or an opinion or issue an
7	opinion regarding whether those fractures extend
8	into Goodnight's disposal zone, did you?
9	A. No, sir.
10	Q. And you did not, yourself, conduct an
11	analysis to determine whether Goodnight's injections
12	prematurely watering out any of Empire's operations
13	currently?
14	A. No.
15	Q. I think in this statement, also you're
16	referring to some modeling work in paragraph 22,
17	correct?
18	A. Yes, sir.
19	Q. That's is that referencing
20	Dr. Buchwalter's analysis as part of this case?
21	A. Yes, sir.
22	Q. Okay. And for the statements there
23	regarding those values and the modeling, you're
24	relying entirely on Dr. Buchwalter's opinions?
25	A. Dr. Buchwalter, but also some reservoir
	Page 239

	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 240
1	engineers, production engineers that are on our
2	staff at Empire that validated that.
3	Q. As part of your testimony, you attached
4	the purchase and sale agreement between XTO and
5	Empire, correct?
6	A. Yes, sir.
7	Q. And in Section 4.9 of that agreement, it
8	states under the Environment Assessment Provision
9	that, quote, "Purchaser shall have the right to
LO	enter upon the assets, inspect the same, and conduct
L1	such tests, examinations, investigations, and
L2	studies as may be necessary or appropriate to
L3	determine the environmental condition of the
L4	assets." Did I read that correctly?
L5	A. Correct.
L6	Q. Did Empire do that work?
L7	A. No.
L8	MS. SHAHEEN: Mr. Examiner, I would
L9	like to object again on the basis of relevance. I
20	don't believe Mr. Wheeler testified at all about
21	this section of the purchase and sale agreement, and
22	I don't believe it's an issue that's before the
23	Commission in this proceeding.
24	MR. RANKIN: He testified that I
25	can respond if you want me to.

	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 241
1	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Well, no.
2	Well, what's the relevance?
3	MR. RANKIN: Mr. Wheeler testified
4	that Empire was not aware of the presence of the
5	saltwater disposal wells until 2023. I'm asking
6	whether they went onto the location to do any due
7	diligence work as authorized under the purchase and
8	sale agreement.
9	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Okay. All
10	right. I'll it's overruled.
11	Q (By Mr. Rankin) Mr. Wheeler, did Empire
12	conduct any of its work authorized under Section 6.8
13	of the PSA?
14	A. No, sir. That was an issue, and that's
15	just one of the reasons that the management team at
16	Empire at that time were terminated.
17	Q. Because they didn't they didn't
18	actually go out and look at the site, did they?
19	A. No, sir, they did not.
20	Q. Under the PSA, there's a provision
21	addressing representations and warranties of the
22	purchaser. That would be Empire, correct?
23	A. Yes, sir.
24	Q. And under 6.8 of that provision, it goes
25	to say under the Knowledge and Experience, that,

	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 242
1	quote, "Purchaser is sophisticated in the
2	evaluation, purchase, ownership, and operation of
3	oil and gas properties and related facilities. In
4	making its decision to enter into this agreement and
5	to consummate the transaction contemplated hereby,
6	purchaser as solely relied" and I'll skip over
7	subpart A, to subpart B, "on its own independent
8	investigation and evaluation of the assets and the
9	advice of its own legal, tax, economic, and
10	environmental engineering, geological and
11	geophysical advisers and not on my comments,
12	statements, projections, or other material made or
13	given by any representative, consultant, or adviser
14	of seller." Did I read that correctly?
15	A. That's correct.
16	Q. Okay. Do you agree that Empire agreed, as
17	part of this purchase, that it relied on its own
18	independent analyses?
19	A. Yes, sir.
20	Q. Under Section 14.2, which is titled,
21	"Other Disclaimers by Seller," and this would be
22	XTO, correct?
23	A. Yes, sir.
24	Q. Under the provisions I've highlighted, it
25	states that, "Empire acknowledges and agrees that

	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 243
1	the seller disclaims and negates any representation
2	of a warranty as to contents or character or nature
3	of any report, interpretation relating to the asset,
4	quantity or quality of recoverability of
5	hydrocarbons, or future revenues generated." Did I
6	read that portion correctly?
7	A. Yes, sir. What we're basically reading is
8	that any good lawyer always includes this legalese
9	in a purchase and sale agreement. I'm sure that any
LO	that you've ever done included this same language.
L1	Q. And as part of this transaction, Empire
L2	acknowledged and agreed to that provision, agreed?
L3	A. Yes, sir.
L 4	Q. And that's why they made it bold, correct?
L5	A. That's what?
L6	Q. That's why they made it bold, correct?
L7	A. Yes, sir.
L8	Q. Now, following for post closing this
L9	this is in Section 11.1 of the agreement under
20	Assumed Obligations. It goes on to identify as part
21	of the obligations that Empire was assuming was the
22	obligation to plug and abandon all the wells that it
23	was acquiring, correct?
24	A. Yes, sir. This is this is a provision
25	that a good lawyer would never approve because

	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 244
1	rather than having an effective date of when those
2	liabilities accrue, here you don't have an effective
3	date after. If you read it, it says "on or after."
4	So that's the reason why we got the additional
5	liabilities that I included in the disclosure for
6	the purchase price.
7	Q. Okay. And are these liabilities, these
8	plugging liabilities, these would fall under the
9	asset requirement obligation that Empire is required
10	to disclose in its SEC reporting?
11	A. Yes, sir.
12	Q. Okay.
13	MR. RANKIN: Mr. Hearing Officer, I
14	believe I just if I could just take a two-minute
15	break, three-minute break, I want to make sure I
16	have no further questions of Mr. Wheeler before I
17	make him available for cross-examination.
18	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Okay.
19	Let's come back at 4:45.
20	MR. RANKIN: Thank you.
21	(Recess was taken from 4:41 p.m. until 4:47 p.m.)
22	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Ms. Tellez,
23	are you ready to go?
24	THE REPORTER: Yes.
25	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Okay.
	Page 244

1	
	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 245
1	Great. All right. Mr. Rankin.
2	MR. RANKIN: Mr. Hearing Officer, I
3	have no further questions for this witness and make
4	him available for other examination.
5	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Okay. OCD,
6	do you have questions for this witness?
7	MR. MOANDER: I do not. I will pass
8	the witness.
9	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: All right.
10	Mr. Beck of Rice Operating?
11	MR. BECK: Yeah, I've got a couple of
12	questions.
13	CHAIRMAN RAZATOS: Mr. Hearing
14	Officer, we have 13 more minutes.
15	Mr. Rice, I'm sorry to interrupt you. How
16	long do you think that you are going to need?
17	MR. BECK: It could be 13 minutes.
18	CHAIRMAN RAZATOS: Mr. Beck, I called
19	you Mr. Rice again.
20	MR. BECK: That's all right.
21	CHAIRMAN RAZATOS: I apologize.
22	MR. BECK: I think I've told you
23	before, Chair, that people have called me much
24	worse.
25	CHAIRMAN RAZATOS: Okay. My
	Page 245
	rage 245

	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 246
1	apologies again. My sincerest apologies.
2	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: It's a
3	four-letter word, right?
4	MR. BECK: Exactly.
5	It could be longer depending on what kind
6	of responses I get. I'm happy to break and come
7	back in the morning and do it. I'm happy to see how
8	far we go. I'll leave it up to you.
9	CHAIRMAN RAZATOS: I think to be fair
10	to you to, Mr. Beck, and to Mr. Suazo, as well,
11	later, I think it would behoove us to maybe call it
12	now, Mr. Hearing Officer, and we can reconvene on
13	tomorrow morning.
14	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: Sure. That
15	makes sense, not to mention Mr. Wheeler has been on
16	the stand for hours.
17	CHAIRMAN RAZATOS: He has, and we
18	want to be cognizant of Mr. Wheeler as well.
19	Thank you, Mr. Wheeler. We appreciate it.
20	JACK WHEELER: Yeah, thank you for
21	giving me these 13 minutes I don't have to testify.
22	CHAIRMAN RAZATOS: It's not a lot,
23	sir, I apologize. But, hey, it's part of the
24	process.
25	HEARING OFFICER HARWOOD: All right.
	Page 246

	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rankin 247
1	Then we'll break for the day, and we'll come back
2	and reconvene tomorrow morning promptly at 9:00 with
3	Rice's cross-examination of Mr. Wheeler. Thank you,
4	everybody. We'll be off the record.
5	(The proceedings recessed at 4:52 p.m.)
6	AFFIRMATION OF COMPLETION OF TRANSCRIPT
7	
8	I, Kendra D. Tellez, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that on
9	the 7th day of April, 2025, a hearing of the New
10	Mexico Oil Conservation Commission was taken before
11	me via video conference.
12	I FURTHER AFFIRM that I did report in
13	stenographic shorthand the proceedings as set forth
14	herein, and the foregoing is a true and correct
15	transcript of the proceedings to the best of my
16	ability.
17	I FURTHER affirm that I am neither employed by
18	nor related to any of the parties or attorneys in
19	this case, and that I have no interest in the final
20	disposition of this case in any court.
21	
22	Va 1 -10-
23	andu Teleg
24	KENDRA D. TELLEZ
	Veritext Legal Solutions
25	

&	228:22 230:6,7	12 12:1 75:22	137 137:1
	231:10 232:2	153:1 224:14	138 138:1
& 2:17 3:2,6	233:6 235:7,7	226:20 233:16	139 3:16,16
159:10	237:7	234:5	139:1
0	10-15-1 132:11	12.4 221:4	13th 172:6
0.1 123:1,1	100 71:18	12.8 147:15	14 4:6 14:1
124:9	75:21 86:25	120 120:1	203:14 207:15
1	100:1	154:23	208:8,9
1 1:1 2:17	100,000 205:23	121 121:1	14,000 167:14
19:25 20:15	206:1	122 122:1	204:18 205:6
21:10 24:2	101 101:1	1220 1:5 2:23	14,199 142:21
55:8,12,19	102 102:1	1223 70:25	14.2 242:20
56:1,22 57:4	103 103:1	123 123:1	140 140:1
57:14,21 62:5	104 104:1	124 124:1	141 141:1
62:15,24 66:1	105 3:12 105:1	12400 2:13	142 4:3 142:1
66:23,25 67:3	106 106:1	125 60:1 70:10	143 143:1
67:17,18 120:9	107 3:13 107:1	125:1	144 144:1
120:15 121:8	108 108:1	126 126:1	145 145:1
129:22 149:17	185:4,11	127 127:1	146 146:1
165:22,25	109 109:1	128 128:1	147 147:1
169:16 183:18	10:36 77:19	129 129:1	148 148:1
184:8 191:17	10:51 77:19	12:01 132:19	149 149:1
192:3 217:9	11 11:1	12th 173:17	15 4:7 15:1
10 10:1 54:16	11.1 243:19	13 4:4 13:1	77:17 92:1
74:17,17	110 2:18 110:1	79:20 178:25	134:16 145:18
157:10,10,12	111 111:1	179:15 245:14	205:19 206:10
157:15,20,21	112 112:1	245:17 246:21	206:13 231:10
160:3,4,9,9,14	113 113:1	130 130:1	231:11,23,24
160:14,22,22	114 114:1	131 131:1	150 82:15
163:22,22	115 115:1	132 132:1	150:1
164:1,1,18,19	116 116:1	133 133:1	151 151:1
198:12 202:10	117 117:1	134 134:1	152 3:17 152:1
203:5 204:1,13	118 118:1	135 135:1	153 69:19 70:3
207:13,22	119 119:1	136 3:13 136:1	70:7 153:1
220:22 222:15			

[154 - 2024]

484 1841	150 170 1	107 107 1	2001 70 22 25
154 154:1	172 172:1	196 196:1	200h 79:22,25
155 155:1	173 173:1	1960 36:17	201 201:1
156 156:1	174 174:1	1966 21:7	202 202:1
157 157:1	175 175:1	116:20	2020 174:4,6,16
158 158:1	176 176:1	197 197:1	175:24 176:22
159 159:1	177 177:1	198 198:1	177:5,6,7,9
16 4:5 16:1	178 178:1	1984 142:15	178:4,6,10
144:25 145:1	179 4:4 179:1	143:8 166:19	183:10
170:4 206:14	18 18:1	167:2,21	2021 22:6
207:7,9,10,20	180 180:1	1987 21:12,14	92:18 144:13
232:5	181 181:1	199 199:1	173:16 203:14
16,089,000	182 66:20	1990 111:11,18	2023 38:5,11
203:15	69:13,21 70:1	1995 137:3	42:5 52:6,7,12
160 160:1	182:1	19th 178:9	161:17 173:14
161 161:1	183 183:1	1:31 132:19	173:15 216:4
162 162:1	184 66:19	1:59 151:24	216:17,21
163 163:1	184:1	1st 1:4	217:1,16 220:8
164 164:1	185 185:1	2	220:23 221:3,6
165 165:1	186 186:1	2 2:1 120:9	222:14 223:7
166 166:1	187 187:1	132:11 143:23	223:16,19
167 167:1	188 188:1	143:23 192:14	224:5,11 225:1
168 168:1	189 189:1	217:9	225:4,19 226:4
169 169:1	19 19:1 142:24	2.19 66:8	228:10 229:12
17 4:8 17:1	190 190:1	20 3:3 13:24	230:9 231:1,10
150:24 237:8	191 191:1	20:1 27:10	232:9 236:6
237:20	192 192:1	74:14,17 75:23	241:5
17,800,000	1920s 116:20	145:18 187:24	2024 14:4,14
144:18 204:4	1921 173:17	190:16 229:1	37:10,13 39:23
204:20	1929 142:13	200 60:1	40:4,9,10 41:1
17-8 204:7	193 193:1		41:14 42:5,10
17.8 168:7	1933 172:11	187:24 200:1	44:1,8 50:25
203:2,6 204:14	1934 172:12	200,000 169:17	51:3 52:2
170 170:1	194 194:1	169:18 187:25 2005 75:20	53:11 63:25
171 171:1	195 195:1	2005 75:20	85:10 98:9,12
		112:11,11,18	118:3 205:19
		113:3	

[2024 - 400]

206:10 216:4	223 223:1	247 247:1	32 32:1
217:16 226:5	224 224:1	25 25:1 76:1	33 33:1 36:5
227:10 230:25	225 225:1	79:3,14 130:23	34 34:1
231:6 232:2,5	226 226:1	145:11 146:7	34.4. 70:21
233:1,3,8,10,19	227 227:1	25.1 78:15	340 25:15
235:14 236:6	228 228:1	25.1. 71:1	35 35:1
237:7	229 229:1	2523 2:9	350 145:9
2025 1:8	23 23:1 129:22	26 26:1	146:6,19 147:7
149:17 247:9	161:20,20	27 27:1	36 36:1
203 203:1	173:13 178:16	278 24:12 25:4	362 70:4
204 204:1	230:7,9	27th 143:7	37 37:1
205 205:1	230 230:1	28 28:1	371 58:8
206 206:1	2307 2:3	281 2:12	38 38:1
207 4:5 207:1	231 4:7 231:1	288 144:22	39 39:1 67:7
208 4:6 208:1	232 232:1	169:6	69:16
209 209:1	233 233:1	29 29:1	3:07 190:17
21 21:1 168:16	234 234:1	2:05 151:24	3:21 190:17
210:6 212:12	235 235:1	3	4
210 210:1	236 236:1	3 3:1 26:22	4 4:1 20:1
211 211:1	23614-17034	27:25 29:12	44:23 45:23
212 212:1	207:21	65:13,20 98:16	73:14 95:17,20
213 213:1	237 4:8 237:1	107:21 128:20	96:5,13,20,24
214 214:1	238 238:1	128:20 129:13	97:5,19,23
215 215:1	239 239:1	129:24 177:3	193:21 217:10
216 216:1	24 24:1	30 30:1 68:23	4,126 75:10
217 217:1	240 240:1	71:7,12,16	4,239 75:11
218 2:6 218:1	24018 191:15	72:1 74:17	4.4 20:23
219 219:1	192:4	148:4 170:17	4.9 240:7
22 22:1 215:19	241 241:1	30.29 55:10	40 40:1 69:19
215:22 237:23	242 242:1	300 2:13	70:6,10,11
239:16	243 243:1	146:15 147:5	74:17
220 220:1	244 244:1	30523 247:23	40,000 187:24
221 221:1	245 245:1	31 31:1	205:8
	1	U	200.0
222 222:1	246 246:1	313 70.20 22	400 58.7 68.24
222 222:1	246 246:1	313 70:20,22	400 58:7 68:24 71:6,12,22

[400 - 78]

146:13 147:4 41 41:1 42 42:1 43 43:1 431 70:20 44 44:1 45 45:1 457 24:10 25:4 458 25:5 459 24:9 46 46:1 460 24:11 91:1 91:5 461 24:11 47 47:1 213:8 47,200 204:21 48 48:1 48.4 70:11 49 49:1 4:30 133:25 4:41 244:21 4:45 244:19 4:47 244:21 4:52 247:5	500 3:7 120:7 121:16 500,000 232:13 505-986-2678 2:4 508 71:1,2 51 51:1 52 52:1 53 53:1 54 54:1 55 55:1 74:15 56 56:1 144:21 145:2 168:21 169:22 170:1 205:14 57 57:1 58 58:1 142:23 59 59:1 5th 177:4 6 6 6 6:1 20:5 29:14 194:5 217:10,10 230:7 6.3 225:22 6.8 241:12,24	64 64:1 142:18 145:15 64.2 166:20 640 30:3 68:6 129:6 150:22 65 65:1 149:19 658 26:12,19,22 55:3,6 69:12 69:21 128:21 66 66:1 183:11 660 26:13 29:3 29:6 61:3 70:19 73:16 75:22 128:24 128:24 67 67:1 670 96:1 673 20:5 26:12 27:25 59:1,3 69:18 70:3 128:23 679 40:21 45:15 50:5 52:1,5,25 86:15 87:1,6 87:12,19,21 90:5,9,20,25	7 7 7:1 81:12 82:23,24 86:6 129:1 203:1 237:8 70 15:13 70:1 74:19,19,20 206:2 700 93:5 146:14 147:4 180:24 181:13 205:10,13 206:1 71 71:1 713 26:13 59:21,22 70:9 70:17 128:23 72 72:1 725 3:2 73 73:1 74 74:1 746 70:24 71:6 78:6 128:25 129:1,12,14 75 74:20 75:1 221:1
4:41 244:21 4:45 244:19 4:47 244:21 4:52 247:5	29:14 194:5 217:10,10 230:7 6.3 225:22	679 40:21 45:15 50:5 52:1,5,25 86:15 87:1,6	74 74:1 746 70:24 71:6 78:6 128:25 129:1,12,14 75 74:20 75:1

[78216 - acreage]

78216 2:14	912 145:10,17	able 32:12,15	acknowledges
79 79:1 111:14	146:21 147:9	46:23 108:22	242:25
7th 247:9	91621 149:4	110:3,5 112:4	acquire 7:23
8	92 92:1	125:20 170:16	98:3 145:12
	93 93:1	175:4 222:25	171:11
8 1:8 8:1 19:21	94 94:1	226:7 229:24	acquired 6:7
20:13 24:4,11	95 95:1	229:25 234:7,9	22:5,10,12
36:4 90:25	96 96:1 165:3	237:2	44:4 94:18,19
91:5 92:16	169:7,9,20	above 27:22	95:8 144:13
207:13	96121 149:2	55:25 56:1	161:22 173:16
8.9 233:11	184:2	71:7,16 74:19	173:25 186:20
80 80:1	97 97:1	81:23 82:15,16	195:21 197:16
81 81:1	98 98:1	146:17	203:2 204:2,15
82 82:1	99 99:1	absolutely	205:10 215:23
83 83:1	9:00 247:2	31:12 123:14	acquiring
84 84:1 142:15	9:06 1:8	125:23 127:3	20:16 22:16
85 85:1	a	153:15,16	155:12,25
86 86:1		237:1	156:10 243:23
87 87:1		acceptable	acquisition
87102 3:3 87501 2:7	a.m. 1:8 77:19 77:19	126:13	13:11,19 14:21
87501 2.7 87504 2:4,10,18	abandon	accepted 83:7	16:9 17:5,11
87505 2:24 3:7	165:11,12	138:11,15	17:14,18 94:15
88 88:1	243:22	access 16:13	144:12 148:17
00 00.1			
89 80.1 1/1/.17		account 62:1,1	171:24 173:19
89 89:1 144:17	abandoned	account 62:1,1 70:15	
203:6,9	abandoned 165:6,22		171:24 173:19
203:6,9 89,800,000	abandoned 165:6,22 169:14	70:15	171:24 173:19 173:22 175:1
203:6,9 89,800,000 144:16	abandoned 165:6,22 169:14 abandoning	70:15 accrue 244:2	171:24 173:19 173:22 175:1 203:17
203:6,9 89,800,000 144:16 89.8 168:17	abandoned 165:6,22 169:14 abandoning 169:23 205:13	70:15 accrue 244:2 accrued 203:19	171:24 173:19 173:22 175:1 203:17 acquisitions
203:6,9 89,800,000 144:16 89.8 168:17	abandoned 165:6,22 169:14 abandoning 169:23 205:13 205:15,22	70:15 accrue 244:2 accrued 203:19 224:22 accuracy 179:25	171:24 173:19 173:22 175:1 203:17 acquisitions 6:1 10:9 12:19
203:6,9 89,800,000 144:16 89.8 168:17 9 9 9:1 26:21	abandoned 165:6,22 169:14 abandoning 169:23 205:13 205:15,22 ability 47:14	70:15 accrue 244:2 accrued 203:19 224:22 accuracy 179:25 accurate 31:19	171:24 173:19 173:22 175:1 203:17 acquisitions 6:1 10:9 12:19 13:14 154:24 155:4 acre 150:22
203:6,9 89,800,000 144:16 89.8 168:17 9 9 9:1 26:21 143:10 196:22	abandoned 165:6,22 169:14 abandoning 169:23 205:13 205:15,22 ability 47:14 114:6,10	70:15 accrue 244:2 accrued 203:19 224:22 accuracy 179:25 accurate 31:19 31:20 33:12	171:24 173:19 173:22 175:1 203:17 acquisitions 6:1 10:9 12:19 13:14 154:24 155:4 acre 150:22 acreage 10:8,8
203:6,9 89,800,000 144:16 89.8 168:17 9 9 9:1 26:21 143:10 196:22 90 90:1 145:23	abandoned 165:6,22 169:14 abandoning 169:23 205:13 205:15,22 ability 47:14 114:6,10 187:12 188:20	70:15 accrue 244:2 accrued 203:19 224:22 accuracy 179:25 accurate 31:19 31:20 33:12 139:4 157:8	171:24 173:19 173:22 175:1 203:17 acquisitions 6:1 10:9 12:19 13:14 154:24 155:4 acre 150:22 acreage 10:8,8 12:16 13:2
203:6,9 89,800,000 144:16 89.8 168:17 9 9 9:1 26:21 143:10 196:22	abandoned 165:6,22 169:14 abandoning 169:23 205:13 205:15,22 ability 47:14 114:6,10 187:12 188:20 230:11 233:23	70:15 accrue 244:2 accrued 203:19 224:22 accuracy 179:25 accurate 31:19 31:20 33:12 139:4 157:8 acknowledged	171:24 173:19 173:22 175:1 203:17 acquisitions 6:1 10:9 12:19 13:14 154:24 155:4 acre 150:22 acreage 10:8,8 12:16 13:2 100:1,7 158:15
203:6,9 89,800,000 144:16 89.8 168:17 9 9 9:1 26:21 143:10 196:22 90 90:1 145:23	abandoned 165:6,22 169:14 abandoning 169:23 205:13 205:15,22 ability 47:14 114:6,10 187:12 188:20	70:15 accrue 244:2 accrued 203:19 224:22 accuracy 179:25 accurate 31:19 31:20 33:12 139:4 157:8	171:24 173:19 173:22 175:1 203:17 acquisitions 6:1 10:9 12:19 13:14 154:24 155:4 acre 150:22 acreage 10:8,8 12:16 13:2

[acreage - agree]

185:13	58:22 112:5	admit 207:12	affects 196:23
acres 68:6	117:9 125:22	admitted 142:8	196:24
142:21 167:14	174:20 187:16	142:9 144:3	affidavit 29:8
204:19,21	187:17,25	179:14,15	affiliates
205:6,8	213:5 222:20	187:11 207:5,9	147:18
act 132:10	223:12 224:21	207:10 208:8,9	affirm 141:16
172:11,11	225:8 226:16	231:15,23,24	247:12,17
173:2,3	227:12 228:24	237:19,20	affirmation
acted 160:1	234:3,17 244:4	adopt 30:18	247:6
active 6:1	address 54:22	32:15 38:13	affirmative
198:17 213:8	63:2 80:22	117:13 141:17	152:5 190:20
actively 186:16	81:11,15 83:19	adopted 40:3,5	affirmed 29:8
activities 12:22	86:1 97:22	63:18 64:3	65:12 79:21
216:12 221:18	123:2 203:25	adopting 38:16	afternoon
222:5 228:5	237:23	38:19 187:7	139:9,24,25
actual 53:4	addressed	advance 84:18	152:11,15
203:13 230:16	25:23,23	adverse 221:11	188:8
actually 21:9	122:16	223:13	ag 144:14
53:4 80:18	addressing	adversely	ago 14:1
84:23,23	241:21	116:24 196:24	agrankin 2:19
120:19 169:11	adds 79:13	advice 242:9	agree 15:25
180:7 195:2	adjacent 15:9	advise 148:23	16:2 20:3,7
203:15 218:7,8	adjusted 39:20	adviser 242:13	21:15 22:3
			41.13 44.3
241:18	121:6 203:13	advisers 242:11	24:15,22 25:6
241:18 added 55:7	121:6 203:13 adjustment		
		advisers 242:11	24:15,22 25:6
added 55:7	adjustment	advisers 242:11 afes 219:23	24:15,22 25:6 25:11,17 37:1
added 55:7 adding 79:10	adjustment 60:14	advisers 242:11 afes 219:23 affect 39:15	24:15,22 25:6 25:11,17 37:1 37:10 38:5
added 55:7 adding 79:10 addition 24:1	adjustment 60:14 adjustments	advisers 242:11 afes 219:23 affect 39:15 62:9 114:9,11	24:15,22 25:6 25:11,17 37:1 37:10 38:5 53:1 54:10
added 55:7 adding 79:10 addition 24:1 54:17 61:15	adjustment 60:14 adjustments 39:21 63:16	advisers 242:11 afes 219:23 affect 39:15 62:9 114:9,11 116:4,7,10	24:15,22 25:6 25:11,17 37:1 37:10 38:5 53:1 54:10 70:22 71:3
added 55:7 adding 79:10 addition 24:1 54:17 61:15 138:4,6 160:6	adjustment 60:14 adjustments 39:21 63:16 administrative	advisers 242:11 afes 219:23 affect 39:15 62:9 114:9,11 116:4,7,10 148:16 160:25	24:15,22 25:6 25:11,17 37:1 37:10 38:5 53:1 54:10 70:22 71:3 81:4,8 94:11
added 55:7 adding 79:10 addition 24:1 54:17 61:15 138:4,6 160:6 166:3 222:20	adjustment 60:14 adjustments 39:21 63:16 administrative 175:5 187:10	advisers 242:11 afes 219:23 affect 39:15 62:9 114:9,11 116:4,7,10 148:16 160:25 161:1 179:18	24:15,22 25:6 25:11,17 37:1 37:10 38:5 53:1 54:10 70:22 71:3 81:4,8 94:11 96:1 153:14
added 55:7 adding 79:10 addition 24:1 54:17 61:15 138:4,6 160:6 166:3 222:20 222:24 234:17	adjustment 60:14 adjustments 39:21 63:16 administrative 175:5 187:10 admissibility	advisers 242:11 afes 219:23 affect 39:15 62:9 114:9,11 116:4,7,10 148:16 160:25 161:1 179:18 affected 117:8	24:15,22 25:6 25:11,17 37:1 37:10 38:5 53:1 54:10 70:22 71:3 81:4,8 94:11 96:1 153:14 167:3,7 175:20
added 55:7 adding 79:10 addition 24:1 54:17 61:15 138:4,6 160:6 166:3 222:20 222:24 234:17 additional 26:2	adjustment 60:14 adjustments 39:21 63:16 administrative 175:5 187:10 admissibility 190:11	advisers 242:11 afes 219:23 affect 39:15 62:9 114:9,11 116:4,7,10 148:16 160:25 161:1 179:18 affected 117:8 affecting	24:15,22 25:6 25:11,17 37:1 37:10 38:5 53:1 54:10 70:22 71:3 81:4,8 94:11 96:1 153:14 167:3,7 175:20 175:22 180:9
added 55:7 adding 79:10 addition 24:1 54:17 61:15 138:4,6 160:6 166:3 222:20 222:24 234:17 additional 26:2 41:6,8 42:13	adjustment 60:14 adjustments 39:21 63:16 administrative 175:5 187:10 admissibility 190:11 admission	advisers 242:11 afes 219:23 affect 39:15 62:9 114:9,11 116:4,7,10 148:16 160:25 161:1 179:18 affected 117:8 affecting 149:22 160:24	24:15,22 25:6 25:11,17 37:1 37:10 38:5 53:1 54:10 70:22 71:3 81:4,8 94:11 96:1 153:14 167:3,7 175:20 175:22 180:9 180:24 185:24

[agree - andres]

	I	I	I
223:14 224:14	allow 101:19	analog 46:23	111:16,25
226:21 242:16	133:20 134:4	126:18	112:5,16
agreed 38:18	134:14 189:5	analogies 48:5	119:14 125:14
40:7 46:22,25	199:17	analogous 47:4	125:18 127:22
165:15 242:16	allows 187:11	47:12 48:5	127:24 129:23
243:12,12	alluded 232:3	analogs 46:24	154:17 186:19
agreement	234:1	90:11	190:1 205:22
147:11,13,16	amended 52:17	analyses 30:13	238:2,23
168:13 169:1	144:2	93:1 242:18	239:11,20
181:16 197:19	amount 16:23	analysis 30:18	analyze 106:8
203:17 207:14	16:24 59:13	31:9,9,15 32:6	111:13 238:10
208:13 240:4,7	110:3,12	32:16,22 33:11	analyzed 45:22
240:21 241:8	114:20 121:11	34:25 38:9	53:10 54:23
242:4 243:9,19	125:19 221:16	40:13,23,24	68:25 74:8
agreements	221:17 224:20	41:16,21,22	93:16
155:3 159:24	amounts	44:9,13 45:6	analyzing
agrees 179:22	110:12	45:19 46:7	88:21
242:25	ampomah 1:12	47:3,23 48:18	anderson
agu 146:24	3:13 107:1,9	49:14 50:9,23	158:17 159:9
147:1 204:17	107:10,13,16	51:17 52:24	andre 191:17
205:7 215:8,14	108:1 109:1	53:5,14,21	192:2,15
ahead 152:7	110:1 111:1	55:5 56:13	193:20 198:15
190:21 211:3	112:1 113:1	59:17 62:12	andres 12:22
ajax 6:18 11:18	114:1 115:1	63:13 66:7,10	12:24 13:2
12:3 13:9 14:7	116:1 117:1	73:20,24 74:25	18:4,16 19:5
albuquerque	118:1 119:1	75:2,3,7 84:20	22:13,18 23:20
3:3	120:1 121:1	88:5,8 89:19	24:14 25:15,16
aligns 74:10	122:1 123:1	93:9,10,21,23	25:21 26:16,24
allege 86:13	124:1 125:1	94:1,1 97:23	27:21,22,24
196:16	126:1 127:1	97:24 98:23	28:2 29:4
alleges 196:22	128:1 129:1,25	99:1,4,10	35:22 37:1
alleging 196:21	130:1 131:1,14	100:3 102:2,4	39:16 48:11,12
alleman 185:20	131:17,19	102:8 103:16	48:14 51:9
allocated	132:1,12 133:1	104:3 109:3,16	58:4,23 59:25
168:20 169:22	133:6 134:1	110:11,24	61:13 62:20

[andres - appropriate]

64:14,20 65:25	andrews 6:20	apodaca 77:20	187:23 191:2,6
66:3,5,6 67:2,8	11:22	132:21	191:8,14
68:24,25 70:14	annual 157:14	apologies 20:13	192:11 195:8
73:4 74:6	157:15 158:7	246:1,1	196:6 199:9
80:12 81:20,24	220:22 223:17	apologize 29:11	200:3 208:21
82:8,10,15	230:25 231:5,6	76:23 122:8	210:9,13,15,19
83:11 85:12,13	232:4,8 233:20	153:4 176:8	212:15 213:4,6
88:19 89:3,6,7	235:14	245:21 246:23	213:16 216:7
90:3 92:10	answer 32:1,9	apparently	219:11 236:3
93:13 96:16	32:10,12	133:18	applied 48:13
98:20,25	135:12 174:23	appealed	48:24 67:10,15
100:21 101:9	answered	182:16	138:11 182:16
101:13,14,19	107:22 236:14	appear 174:12	182:23
103:5,18,24	236:16	appearances	apply 48:9,20
104:5 108:12	answering	2:1	53:14
108:12,14,16	122:11	applebee 7:4	appraisal 5:25
109:1 111:1,2	answers 113:23	applicable	31:23 84:21
111:5,6 116:18	anticipated	183:11	appraisals
116:24 119:19	149:23	application	14:22
120:1,8,14	anticline 35:25	115:16 170:14	appreciate
121:5 122:7,13	antonio 2:14	176:6 182:6	107:15,18
123:21 125:2	anybody	183:9 184:9,25	108:8 124:8
126:18 129:23	101:10 102:3,8	185:4,4,11	128:22 131:15
143:14 144:5	102:12,17,21	191:16 192:1,9	246:19
145:9 146:19	103:12,16	193:23,24	approach
147:7 149:3,10	184:12,13	196:6,22	68:16
149:19 150:11	209:8	198:13,17	approaches
167:24 184:3,4	anytime 148:13	211:5,6 212:25	31:17 33:22
185:7 192:21	apache 197:24	applications	34:3,23 35:3
193:20,25	215:3,4	148:19 163:2,6	35:14,18
194:7,11,12	apart 71:19,19	170:17 181:18	approaching
196:4,8,17,20	apex 87:8,16	182:7,13,15,18	139:8
196:23 209:1	apiece 230:4	182:21,25	appropriate
215:24 238:2,8	apocaca 132:23	183:13,14	46:19 47:4
238:16,24		185:5,20	190:6 240:12

[approval - august]

approval 133:9	areas 126:19	212:23 232:22	associated
142:16 170:11	186:25 187:1	241:5	96:11 161:19
170:17,19,20	188:24 229:3	aspects 123:12	162:6
170:17,19,20		235:9	
183:6 184:7			assume 114:23 131:20
191:11	arguing 87:10	asserting 196:5	assumed 204:7
	argument 179:17	assessing 10:14 assessment	243:20
approve 100:6		11:7 49:5	
132:9,16 143:17 175:18	argumentative 85:1 187:4	189:11,17	assuming 91:4 120:23,24
188:1 243:25		238:14 240:8	243:21
	arguments		
approved 142:16 143:7	133:19 134:1,7 134:14	assessments 8:2	assumption 19:5 68:11
143:21 166:19	ark 13:16 16:5	asset 7:20 9:25	19:5 68:11
169:18 170:13	ark 13:10 10:3	10:19 11:19,20	144:22 204:10
181:22 185:21	147:2 215:9	12:13,19 16:23	atlantic 158:17
	artesia 159:12	172:8 181:12	attach 210:25
218:22,23	artesia 139.12 asked 35:9	188:2 226:2	211:4
approximately 20:22 36:16		237:3 243:3	
	38:15,16 45:5		attached 6:17
58:7 91:6	45:7,11,13	244:9	140:14 141:22
146:6	53:14 80:16	assets 6:6 7:23	153:10 211:8
april 1:8 14:3 14:14 37:12	107:23 111:13	8:19 10:4 12:9	240:3
	120:5 136:15	12:11 14:7,20	attachment
247:9	137:24 162:24 171:7 176:9	15:17,18 16:10	152:17
aquatain		30:20 155:1 158:10 161:21	attention 46:22
197:24	197:20 199:6		attorney
araya 219:9	209:23 235:8	176:22 178:23	159:15 160:8
archie's 63:12	236:14,16	240:10,14	163:1,13
area 8:8 11:22	asking 32:7	242:8	212:10 214:20
12:14,24 16:5	41:11,12 71:24	assigned	attorneys 200:7
18:12,14 25:21	100:12 108:23	183:24 192:3	202:5 232:13
35:22 39:6,7	115:14 123:6	192:24	247:18
40:19 41:7	124:24 176:9	assistant 175:5	august 39:23
131:4 149:13	177:15 186:22	assisting 13:18	40:9,25 41:14
189:1	187:6 200:19	160:7	42:1,4,5,10
	200:24 201:1		44:1,8 51:3

[august - based]

	T.	T.	1
52:2 85:9 98:8	17:10,13 38:3	133:25 139:20	145:10,15,17
118:3 220:8	49:25 76:3	148:14 152:4	146:21 147:9
austin 5:10	77:9 84:4,7,8	154:10,12	149:19 166:21
authority 36:20	84:11 85:3,6	165:5,10,21	187:20,24,25
163:6 166:1	101:5,10,12	167:2,20 170:2	194:13 195:2
175:18 191:7	102:8 113:15	172:8 174:15	195:17
191:17 192:2	115:3 117:18	189:8 190:15	barrier 82:20
196:11 198:3	168:19 170:24	190:19 200:17	83:3,5,8,20,24
210:13 213:12	175:24 185:3	200:18 202:10	84:17,24 85:5
215:10	185:10 195:6	202:23,24	85:12,21 88:16
authorized	197:17 200:13	213:4,11	88:19 89:1,4
241:7,12	200:25 201:4	225:17 244:19	89:14,25 90:4
availability	216:20,21,23	246:7 247:1	100:25 103:9
16:25	239:2 241:4	backed 6:18	110:16,17
available 17:8	b	background	111:3 114:12
17:9 30:21	b 19:21 20:13	5:9 32:11	115:25 116:17
44:18 52:10	24:4 36:4	33:14,15,17	120:25
174:10 180:22	81:12 82:24	99:12 152:16	barriers 84:9
195:7 221:17	98:16 144:14	bailey 63:19	85:6,10 111:1
221:17 226:20	146:24 205:7	64:1,2	basal 86:7 92:3
244:17 245:4	213:8 215:14	bailey's 64:10	95:16
ave 2:13	242:7	baker 3:2	base 93:5,8,12
avenue 2:6 3:7	back 6:24	balance 117:17	93:19,22
average 66:2,5	14:14 22:5	bank 224:7	143:14 146:13
66:7 67:7,7,11	24:4 29:7,10	229:1	180:23 181:13
69:15 70:11,21	36:4 37:8,10	bankrupt	192:20 196:19
71:1,25 73:6,6	44:7 52:12	230:20	based 17:9 19:5
78:11,16	65:11 69:21	barrel 25:17	21:5 28:5
130:15,20	77:10,17 90:23	barrels 20:23	34:12,25 38:8
137:12,13	95:15 98:16	24:14 25:15	40:12,17 45:23
145:11 146:7	106:24 116:19	36:16 55:11	48:25 50:9
averaged 66:2	119:12 120:18	75:23 114:4	53:20 55:5,8
66:5 79:6	123:17 126:22	115:7 137:11	55:15 56:5,7
aware 10:19,21	128:16 132:1	137:18,22	58:14 59:17
11:4 12:16	132:22 133:1	142:14,18	60:15 64:10
	154.44 155.1		

[based - bottom]

			,
66:6 68:7	192:8 194:17	93:22 94:20	big 46:1 127:25
71:19 80:23	196:10 240:19	103:1 104:22	bigger 130:14
93:23 99:23	bates 205:19	109:2,2 110:8	birkhead's
100:11 101:17	207:18,21,22	111:3 120:15	63:20 64:2
105:14,25	baylen 1:12	122:1,11	bit 22:7 56:20
108:18,19	bear 35:10	123:24 125:6	77:8 101:3
115:19 126:21	bearing 130:7	125:16 126:2,4	122:24 124:22
129:9 131:10	beatty 3:6	126:7,17	125:13 130:16
131:12 171:6	beck 3:4 106:16	128:16 129:3,5	144:11 152:15
173:21 198:25	106:17 141:2	129:15 130:12	162:4 181:16
199:13 210:10	142:4 179:10	130:24 131:2	black 27:6,6
bases 196:10	206:24,25	174:7 189:25	78:23 79:11
basic 18:18	208:3 231:19	190:10 214:19	130:6
63:12 99:3	237:15 245:10	240:20,22	blank 82:16
100:14	245:11,17,18	244:14	blm 142:23,25
basically 34:5	245:20,22	believed 110:2	143:16 150:9
42:10 43:14	246:4,10	229:25	197:6
55:7 66:14	beginning	bell 44:23	blocks 86:8,13
68:5 79:10	177:6 207:21	45:18,23 95:17	95:16
81:21 85:15	216:17 223:6	95:20 96:4,13	blown 39:4,8
112:23 114:2,6	behalf 33:11	96:14,20,24	63:16
116:3 147:17	behavior	97:5,19,23	blue 78:19
148:19 159:23	117:21	benefit 74:7	81:11,19 89:18
222:5 228:20	behoove 246:11	bent 90:12	150:16
234:7,19 243:7	belief 236:10	best 17:8 18:16	board 45:21
basin 6:19 8:18	believe 11:14	18:16,22 23:8	60:18 118:1
8:22 9:24	21:6 24:18	47:13 109:7	220:13,16
10:23 12:20	32:19 34:5	247:15	222:11 225:9
13:17 86:17	35:17 44:3	better 19:8,10	228:2
98:19 99:17	45:24 47:11	42:13,15,16	bob 64:17
basins 5:24	50:15,24 58:10	110:6 112:1	bold 243:14,16
6:12	59:6 60:13,13	128:5	bother 84:16
basis 29:20	61:1 63:8	beyond 71:12	87:4
30:3 46:6	74:20 80:9	bhp 6:7	bottom 27:12
60:23 68:5	81:3 91:13		28:16 65:14,19
-			

[bottom - carried]

93:13 98:17	broader 149:13	bwenergylaw	calibrating
131:7	brochure 136:4	3:8	128:16
bottomhole	145:7 171:1,10		call 16:15 24:8
185:14	180:14	c	190:15 246:11
boundaries	brought 46:21	c 92:1 185:4,11	called 18:11
183:8	102:14	calculate 65:16	24:7 93:22
boundary 36:6	buchwalter	68:20,22	149:2 171:15
82:5	118:13,19	calculated	245:18,23
box 2:3,9 68:7	119:8,22 120:6	29:20 38:7	calling 85:11
89:23 129:6	120:13 121:17	62:16 65:22	88:19
break 76:14,21	120.13 121.17	72:3,4 73:3	callout 89:23
77:14,17 78:10	123:3 239:25	78:14 79:7	calls 199:14
108:22 151:12	buchwalter's	92:24 104:10	canada 158:12
188:8,11 190:8	117:13,16	131:5,12	cancel 36:20
244:15,15	117.13,10	144:17	capacity 140:5
246:6 247:1	119:13 239:20	calculating	157:21
breakdown	239:24	68:5 72:8,16	capital 223:1,9
33:2	budget 218:4	129:6	223:12,22,23
breakdowns	218:12,14,20	calculation	225:21 226:4
125:21	218:21,23	29:23 55:22	226:17 229:24
breccia 111:8	219:1,2,17	62:10 63:4	230:6 233:11
brecciated	build 43:15	67:14,16,23	233:21 234:14
81:21 100:20	building 1:4	68:4 72:24	carbon 16:3
brecciation	2:13	78:15 79:17,18	148:8
82:4 83:13	bulk 93:16	104:9 108:14	carbonate 7:12
89:20	bullet 29:22	114:18 119:7	9:4,13 10:4
bridge 222:10	30:4 86:7	119:11 125:12	12:5
222:15	167:13 170:25	calculations	carbonates
briefing 135:3	bureau 150:5	28:19 58:2	9:20
briefly 16:8	business	61:16 68:16	cards 211:8
bring 41:6,20	221:11,18,20	93:17 108:19	care 122:23
42:6,13 43:12	222:5 223:13	112:17 121:15	165:19
43:13	buy 147:23	126:10 131:8	career 72:5
bringing 41:9	buying 146:4	calibrate 50:18	carried 144:24
	155:22 156:5	51:8,16 53:5	

[carrying - chris.moander]

carrying	227:22 232:4	cestari's 38:7	changes 39:17
233:11	233:15,21	38:13,21 42:3	39:24 40:2,12
cartoon 89:19	234:14 236:8	52:5	40:16 53:24
case 15:14 30:1	cashing 227:22	cetera 39:6	54:4,6,17
30:6,16,16	cattle 197:7,10	chair 1:11	61:15 62:17
37:10 42:1,17	197:17 198:9	222:11 225:9	63:15 113:1
49:18 80:7,21	caused 43:5	228:1 245:23	125:11,15
80:25 81:6	61:8 224:1	chairman	221:19
84:1,19 85:4,5	causing 61:21	106:25 107:2	changing
85:8,16,17,22	86:8 150:10	157:19 158:17	126:14
103:22 106:11	188:20	162:8 173:24	character
109:23 110:9	cease 198:14	186:15 218:3	243:2
127:10 128:15	199:6,10,25	220:12 245:13	charge 102:13
133:24 134:3	202:13	245:18,21,25	chasing 12:14
134:23 156:4	ceased 216:10	246:9,17,22	cheaper 148:3
156:22 175:15	center 25:5,7	chalk 10:4	chesapeake
175:22 176:13	central 8:22	chance 18:22	5:19,20 6:24
176:14,17	12:20 18:8	19:8	7:9,25 8:5,15
182:4,16	110:9	change 55:15	8:18 158:25
191:14,15,25	centre 2:13	57:22,25 59:7	159:8
192:4,4 199:17	ceo 174:25	59:9 60:6,7,16	chevron 21:23
200:8 209:15	certain 74:15	60:24,24 61:1	21:23 23:9,13
210:21 212:1,3	126:21 164:6	61:6,7,8,12	127:20 137:2
239:20 247:19	195:18	62:1,15 111:20	144:24 173:6
247:20	certificates	117:10 148:12	184:5,9
cases 38:4	211:4	182:19 204:18	chief 30:17
83:25 84:8,14	certifications	217:5	173:24 176:17
85:24 106:3	211:11	changed 52:18	chino 1:4
175:19 185:6	certified	55:5 58:8,9,13	choice 33:2
194:4 210:21	210:25 211:1,8	58:16,17 59:2	128:10
cash 144:18	211:13	59:5,24 61:11	choose 38:16
168:8,15	certify 247:8	61:18,25 62:12	chris 105:11
169:15 203:2	cestari 37:18	63:11 83:24	chris.moander
221:15 222:3	39:18,24	117:11 128:14	2:24
224:13 226:19		207:6 234:24	

[christopher - commissioner]

christopher	closing 132:5	come 24:19,20	commission 1:3
2:25	133:19 134:1,6	46:5 50:12	1:10,14 29:13
circumstances	134:14 155:4	56:8 67:4 80:3	30:14 33:10
48:19	168:13 169:1,5	90:23 126:22	75:7,16 76:8
citing 91:17	203:14 243:18	133:25 148:14	81:2 106:3,24
city 158:23	closure 18:10	162:24 164:15	108:7,11
claim 192:14	35:23	170:2 174:15	109:18 110:21
claims 192:9	co2 101:4,8,13	186:10 213:4	110:25 113:21
clarify 136:7	101:15,24	213:11 244:19	115:15,20
214:10	102:9,23 103:4	246:6 247:1	116:23 118:15
clarifying	103:9,16 114:7	comes 162:1	118:17 119:8
124:21	114:9 125:1	comfortable	119:25 123:15
clarity 20:13	145:21 147:14	113:2 127:7	123:18 124:25
classification	147:16,19,20	208:24	127:14 132:4
169:14	147:23,25	coming 6:23	134:22 135:4
clean 112:1	148:1,3,12,15	13:8 51:12	135:17 140:11
cleaning 63:9	149:23 208:14	60:18 91:12	143:22 157:24
clear 29:18	208:18,25	109:11 112:14	160:2 163:4
49:11,12 51:25	209:8 215:24	118:1 121:10	166:2 167:1,2
54:6 137:1	238:11	commenced	167:4,20 169:8
184:17 202:10	coast 16:4	36:16	169:17 172:24
236:18	cobra 11:15	comment	175:20,23
clearly 32:16	code 149:5,7	109:25 110:25	181:18,23
236:9	183:23 184:14	115:16 125:15	182:17 183:2
client 17:6 45:4	184:15,19	164:7,9	184:20 187:13
180:15	cognizant	comments	188:1 190:5
clients 161:12	246:18	215:21 230:19	191:25 192:5
close 21:3 64:7	collapsed 82:4	232:3 242:11	193:10 194:6
112:24 119:9	111:8	commercial	209:10 212:23
230:20	colleague 87:18	20:18 99:5	213:1,5,11,12
closed 132:7,9	color 24:8	114:3 115:4	216:6 230:8
133:11	column 19:17	137:15	240:23 247:10
closer 25:7	94:4	commercially	commissioner
95:25 96:24	combining	137:19	3:13 107:1,6
	101:22 110:20		107:10,13,16

[commissioner - confirming]

108:1 109:1	180:21 224:24	completed	conclusions
110:1 111:1	company 3:1	80:18 81:6	100:10 134:24
112:1,21 113:1	6:18,21 7:3	completely	condition
114:1 115:1	14:18 15:15	34:24	240:13
116:1 117:1	37:25 38:14	completeness	conditions
118:1 119:1	154:13 155:3	179:25	230:10
120:1 121:1	159:1,2,10,14	completion	conduct 47:2
122:1 123:1	160:10,15,25	15:7 247:6	148:16 149:21
124:1 125:1	160:25 161:2,5	complex	238:1,14,23
126:1 127:1	161:18 171:15	116:12	239:10 240:10
128:1 129:1,25	172:3,4,6	compliance	241:12
130:1 131:1,14	180:20 198:9	165:15 166:4,4	conducted
131:17 132:1,8	199:18 201:22	224:2,8	17:10 87:22
132:12,14	205:21 218:9	comstock 9:6	109:16 127:20
133:1,6,8	221:7 222:3	concealed	confer 135:16
134:1	223:20 224:17	182:2	208:14 235:8
commissioner's	225:7,17	conceptual	conference
199:21	230:16,19	92:2,5	247:11
commissioners	234:12 235:16	concern 33:25	conferred 64:1
143:4	236:7,11	34:13,13,14,23	197:2
common	company's	39:4 99:20	confidence
138:15 155:12	128:10 164:25	122:18 172:23	195:13
222:20	233:16,23	182:24 226:10	confident 126:5
communicate	234:4,8 235:10	228:13,19	confining
165:24	compare 72:20	230:14,18	101:12
communication	112:15,16	231:3 232:10	confirm 11:7
86:9 100:15	137:14	232:16 233:23	21:1,18 80:16
101:19 119:17	compared 30:3	234:8	105:12 123:20
120:1 165:6	48:3 65:5	concerned 19:3	123:22
174:13	130:10,13	37:2 116:14	confirmed 65:4
communicati	comparison	conclude	78:11 88:25
101:7 164:23	107:23	150:25	124:2
companies 17:3	complete 5:14	conclusion	confirming
154:24 159:25	58:4 108:16,20	138:1	80:1
162:9,11,14,20	125:17		

[conformity - corporate]

conformity	consult 211:24	contents 243:2	conversations
82:5	consultant 6:22	contested 80:4	102:20 198:11
confused 94:9	13:5 43:7	84:18	199:3
120:12 122:1	159:15,25	contesting	converted
136:21	184:13 242:13	175:18	21:10,11 137:2
connection	consultants	contests 84:5	222:19
32:13 180:2	109:17,23	context 126:15	coordinating
consent 170:8	173:8	154:22	166:3
consequence	consultation	continue 23:22	coordination
216:11 226:6	43:10 124:13	34:18 115:10	165:14
227:24	consulting 16:8	209:14 225:16	copy 153:9
conservation	160:8,10	227:7 229:15	core 43:16
1:3 2:21 101:7	consummate	230:12 233:23	44:17 45:7,19
105:12 216:5	242:5	234:9	45:23 50:5,9
247:10	contact 146:12	continued	50:13,18 51:8
conservative	146:17 175:3	22:17 226:23	51:17 52:1,1,4
30:25 172:22	198:19 200:4,9	continues 35:6	52:4,10,10,25
considerations	contacted	209:9	53:3,6 86:15
138:17	198:10 200:7	continuing	87:24,25 88:11
considered	200:10,12,19	35:5 161:10,11	89:18 90:9,15
51:8 81:22	200:21,22	continuous	90:19,20 92:7
114:23 138:5	201:18	68:23 69:25	94:17,25 96:5
138:13	contain 101:24	70:1,7,12,22	96:14 97:2,3,5
considering	contained	71:2,6,14,21,22	117:11 120:10
17:4 55:23	102:10 103:17	109:5 114:14	121:15 125:20
103:4 114:17	125:2	contributing	127:25 128:16
114:20	containing	227:14	138:5,6
consistent	102:23	controlling	cored 51:17
81:25 83:12	contains 55:9	162:19	cores 96:15
85:14 110:16	55:13	conventional	126:19 238:25
110:16	contemplated	7:18 11:25	corey 2:15
consists 167:13	242:5	18:18 62:20,24	corner 36:10
constantly	contention	conversation	65:19
82:12	135:1	51:18	corporate
			215:13

[corporation - correctly]

_			
corporation	56:14 57:22	122:8,21 123:8	216:13,14,17
140:7 157:19	58:24,25 59:18	124:4,11,23	218:10,13,20
172:5	59:19,20 60:1	136:25 137:4	218:24 220:19
correct 5:11,15	60:2 62:13,18	137:23 138:14	222:6,17,22
5:16 7:1 8:15	62:21 63:4,5	138:18 139:5	223:4,9,17,24
8:16 9:1,6,7,12	64:3,17 66:10	141:17 149:12	224:3,5,9
9:14,22 10:13	66:11 67:2,8,9	150:21 152:19	225:1,4,13,17
11:20,24 12:1	67:13 68:2,9	152:23 153:2	225:22,25
12:18,23 13:12	68:13,14,18	153:19,20,22	226:10,13,14
13:20 14:11,15	69:10,13,16,17	153:23,25	228:13,19
18:4,9,17,23	69:19,23 70:1	154:1,3,6,8,9	229:15,19
19:15,16 20:11	70:2,4,5,7,8,12	154:14 155:23	230:1,14,22,23
20:17,18 21:12	70:13,23 71:4	156:2,10,14,18	231:3,6 232:6
22:4,6,10,14,19	72:2,5 73:1,8	157:5,10 158:3	232:7,10,19,21
22:24 23:14,17	73:12,16,20	159:16 160:4	232:24 233:3
23:20,21,24	74:25 75:1,4	167:10,15,17	233:12,17,18
25:25 26:4,5	75:11 76:10	168:7,14,21	233:24 234:5
26:10,24 27:21	77:7 78:12,17	169:24 171:4	234:15,18
28:2,20 29:16	78:20,24 79:19	173:16 174:18	235:11,14,18
31:4 33:4,11	80:5,12,18	177:20 178:7	235:21 236:9
36:6,10,11,13	81:2 82:10	181:8,21 186:8	239:17 240:5
36:17 37:11,16	85:17,21 87:23	186:9 191:4	240:15 241:22
37:17 38:11	88:6 89:7 90:2	192:17,18,21	242:15,22
40:10,14,20	91:7,12,18,19	192:22,25	243:14,16,23
41:1,17,21	92:18,19 93:6	193:21 194:1	247:14
42:20 43:19	93:11 94:12	196:8,9,14,15	correction
44:2,10,20,21	95:2,4,5,23	196:18,25	58:10 59:14
44:24 45:1,19	96:18,21,22	197:1 201:11	60:3,4
47:23 48:2,16	97:11 98:9	202:3,7,19	corrections
48:21,22 49:2	100:22,23	203:7,15 204:4	61:4 141:13
49:9,10,15,20	101:20 105:15	204:22 205:11	correctly 9:16
50:20 52:2,6	105:20,21,24	208:15 209:22	21:7 75:22
52:13 53:1,6	106:5,12 110:2	212:21 213:20	86:10 130:21
53:11,15,18,21	111:15 113:12	213:24 214:1,4	194:8 216:7
54:18 56:3,12	114:16 118:12	214:7,18	221:12,25

[correctly - cross]

240 14 242 14	1 (22	24.16.22.26.16	061071001
240:14 242:14	couple 6:22	24:16,23 26:16	86:1 87:1 88:1
243:6	13:13,21 38:17	35:22 36:12,24	89:1,17 90:1
correlate 49:7	115:7 137:11	86:14 87:2,6	91:1 92:1 93:1
correlated	137:19,21	87:11,13,15	94:1 95:1 96:1
88:14	245:11	91:9,12,14	97:1 98:1 99:1
correlating	course 134:8	92:1 96:1,11	100:1 101:1
138:8	court 209:18	96:24	102:1 103:1
correlative	212:7 213:19	cross 5:1,6 6:1	104:1 105:1,4
125:3 143:2	213:21 214:6	7:1 8:1,19 9:1	106:1 151:14
150:1,8 186:12	214:13,17	10:1 11:1 12:1	152:1,9 153:1
189:13 196:24	247:20	13:1 14:1 15:1	154:1 155:1
210:1	covenant 224:2	16:1 17:1 18:1	156:1 157:1
correspond	cover 14:7	19:1 20:1 21:1	158:1 159:1
73:24 192:24	108:16 143:6	22:1 23:1 24:1	160:1 161:1
cost 144:20	147:10 166:14	25:1 26:1 27:1	162:1 163:1
145:2 205:13	covered 40:19	28:1 29:1 30:1	164:1 165:1
205:15 206:5	59:25 108:15	31:1 32:1 33:1	166:1 167:1
costing 199:18	covers 58:7	34:1 35:1 36:1	168:1 169:1
costs 205:22	149:13 230:6	37:1 38:1 39:1	170:1 171:1
234:18 235:17	create 46:23	39:19 40:1	172:1 173:1
cotton 7:16	48:3	41:1 42:1 43:1	174:1 175:1
157:4,7 158:9	created 21:24	44:1 45:1 46:1	176:1 177:1
158:21,24,25	149:8	47:1 48:1 49:1	178:1,24 179:1
160:14	creating 86:9	50:1 51:1 52:1	180:1 181:1
counsel 1:14	credentials	53:1 54:1 55:1	182:1 183:1
2:22 105:9,11	140:15	56:1 57:1 58:1	184:1 185:1
163:16,17	credit 221:21	59:1 60:1 61:1	186:1 187:1
164:3	224:3 227:25	62:1 63:1 64:1	188:1 189:1
counsels 200:6	228:21,23	65:1 66:1 67:1	190:1 191:1
county 6:20	233:3,5	68:1 69:1 70:1	192:1 193:1
11:22 40:19	crest 90:7	71:1 72:1 73:1	194:1 195:1
46:4,19 47:3	crestal 18:12	74:1 75:1 76:1	196:1 197:1
47:10,16,21	18:14 20:8	77:1 78:1 79:1	198:1 199:1
48:1 50:6	22:3,18 23:10	80:1 81:1 82:1	200:1 201:1
159:10	23:23 24:2,6	83:1 84:1 85:1	202:1 203:1

[cross - december]

		1	1
204:1 205:1	cursor 18:9	235:3,10,18	189:12
206:1,12 207:1	20:4 91:6	damage 18:22	date 20:21
207:15 208:1	cursory 38:25	19:3,5 98:24	109:20 198:16
209:1 210:1	curve 80:24	99:2,7	203:18,20
211:1 212:1	131:9,13	damages	244:1,3
213:1 214:1	curves 32:25	209:19	dated 176:22
215:1 216:1	79:6 126:3	damaging 19:9	206:10
217:1 218:1	cut 123:16	98:20	davis 166:12
219:1 220:1	131:25	dana 2:8	194:25 205:18
221:1 222:1	cutoff 55:9,18	darrell 166:12	205:20 219:8
223:1 224:1	55:20,21 56:10	205:18	dawson 10:4
225:1 226:1	56:11,15,18,18	dasco 197:7,10	191:17 192:3
227:1 228:1	56:23 57:1,14	197:17 198:9	192:15 193:20
229:1 230:1	57:21 61:23	data 10:20	198:15
231:1,11 232:1	62:24 66:2,16	30:21 39:2,6,6	day 75:20
233:1 234:1	66:21,25 67:4	40:21 42:11,14	114:4 115:7
235:1 236:1	67:10,15,20,22	42:15 43:11,14	137:22 187:24
237:1,8 238:1	72:8,12,19,23	43:15,17,19,21	209:2,5 247:1
239:1 240:1	cutoffs 127:4	43:25 44:2,4	247:9
241:1 242:1	cv 14:5	44:17 45:3,7	days 147:24
243:1 244:1,17	cwehmeyer	45:15,19,23	148:4
245:1 246:1	2:14	46:15,22 47:12	de 81:2 175:19
247:1,3	d	49:23 50:4,5	175:23
cultural 170:9	d 26:22 65:13	51:23 68:7,12	debate 103:22
cumulative	65:20 128:20	76:6 79:6	debt 221:9,22
20:21	128:20 247:8	91:19,22 94:14	224:9 226:6
current 23:3	247:24	99:10 110:4	227:9,12
114:12 137:6	daily 187:21	111:22 112:23	228:24
144:20 145:2	dakota 10:23	117:7 118:20	debtors 224:3
148:1 205:15	10:24 15:17	118:22 121:18	debts 161:7
216:12 224:2	163:11 164:16	123:19 125:21	december
currently 10:10	223:24 226:2	126:9 127:6,25	40:10 63:25
23:5 37:5 81:1	226:18 227:10	128:16 171:16	98:12 142:15
111:22 175:19	227:21 229:4,9	174:9 177:4,7	143:7 167:2
192:5 239:13	234:15,20	179:25 180:2	174:4,6,16
		1	1

[december - different]

177:9 178:6,9	definitions	deposed 105:19	238:23 239:11
decide 41:25	172:16	deposition	240:13
42:6 50:12	degree 5:14	22:21 86:8	determined
decided 41:19	delaware 12:20	depositional	63:17 67:24
42:12	98:19	126:22	72:17
decision 17:8	deleted 63:3,6	depth 93:5	develop 11:15
40:22 41:15	deliberations	depths 88:13	22:13
42:9 43:6,9	135:6	88:14,15 89:12	developed
44:8 46:5,8	delivery 154:18	derived 66:9	118:1,2
47:1 50:15,17	demands	describe	developing
51:15,15 55:18	200:17	150:14	12:16 158:16
68:1 124:16	denied 182:4	described	development
215:13 220:3,5	218:14 220:17	192:19 235:5	6:4,5 8:10
220:7 233:4	220:18 236:3	description 4:2	11:19 13:1
236:5 242:4	densely 113:2	89:18	14:20,25 31:23
deck 197:7,13	denver 10:1	destroy 188:2	113:25 114:15
decline 223:2	171:16	209:12	114:19 117:24
234:13	deny 216:7	destroyed	224:23
deep 17:1	department	209:17	developments
deeper 93:22	2:23 112:20	destroying	221:12
156:24	163:12,23,25	150:8 209:15	devoted 15:11
defer 47:18	165:7	details 207:14	dhardy 2:7
63:14 75:23	departments	determination	dial 47:12
76:4 103:6	140:7	41:2,4 46:10	diamondback
115:12 121:9	depended	46:11 57:8,17	6:21
define 143:10	16:22	186:11 188:18	difference
143:24	dependent	201:19 210:5	66:12,18
defines 143:12	72:14 223:7	213:2 215:17	differences
172:12	depending	216:16 218:15	127:3
definitely 24:20	33:19 39:11	239:6	different 6:1
124:18 129:8	72:23 116:10	determinations	28:24 30:13,14
172:21	246:5	104:4	30:22 31:16,17
definition	depends 56:24	determine 47:3	31:21,25 32:21
172:13 217:25	depict 146:2	49:5 177:18	48:5 51:21,22
		184:14 189:17	52:24 54:21

[different - disposed]

55:2 56:25	dipped 91:15	244:10	discussion
64:25,25 72:10	direct 30:16	disclosed	51:11 57:7
72:24 99:15	40:25 41:15	185:22 223:16	81:25 102:5
126:6,16 162:1	44:10,14 51:2	223:19,21	122:3 173:23
176:9 190:25	52:22 91:16	225:7,20	discussions
217:7 230:15	105:16 106:1	229:21 234:13	173:22 186:17
differently 83:6	139:1,22 140:1	235:17	197:6,21,23
difficulty 5:1	141:1,9 142:1	disclosing	198:1 199:12
dig 127:12	143:1 144:1	222:3,14,25	200:23,25
diligence 13:19	145:1 146:1	disclosure	201:4,9,15,20
16:16,19 17:4	147:1 148:1	184:6 222:2,6	208:17,19
17:11 41:6	149:1 150:1	222:14 223:3	239:3
154:17,25	151:1,1 152:18	223:21 225:7	disengage
155:9,25	191:1	225:20 226:9	174:2
156:12 171:9	directly 8:21	226:12 234:11	disengaged
171:14,19,21	60:19 112:25	235:16 244:5	177:24 178:5
172:1 174:3,17	119:3	disclosures	disparate 33:23
174:21 175:1,7	director 166:1	221:7 227:11	display 79:5
177:9,17,20,25	disagree 39:11	227:15 230:13	disposal 19:14
178:1,6,7,12,18	90:24 128:2	235:20	20:15,19 22:17
178:19 241:7	disappointing	discontinued	23:5,10,23
dillewyn 32:7	237:4	174:16 177:8	26:2 36:5
49:12 54:9	disclaimed	227:4	98:19 99:19
63:2,10	179:24	discovered	100:4,7 112:3
dillewyn's 38:9	disclaimer	142:12 169:5	149:8 155:19
40:13 42:3	179:19 180:5	216:18	176:6,13 183:5
53:20 54:15	180:15,17	discovery	183:12,18,24
55:5,15 58:14	disclaimers	205:16 206:11	184:3,8 185:15
58:16	242:21	discuss 101:8	196:23 198:14
dioxide 148:8	disclaims 243:1	132:5 135:11	198:18 212:20
dip 19:13,14,16	disclose 160:23	discussed 57:2	216:22 238:11
19:17 25:2	182:8,25 184:3	84:11 120:5	239:8 241:5
99:6 100:16	184:23 226:15	133:11,17	disposed
116:9	228:11,17	168:6 208:12	137:19 182:3
	229:13,25	208:22 215:25	

[disposing - early]

disposing	179:20 181:3	dozens 86:22	drive 1:5 2:23
184:21,24	191:24 205:16	92:11	151:11
disposition	205:20 206:9	dr 1:12 64:17	driven 234:14
247:20	207:12,23	87:23 88:1,8	diven 234.14 due 13:18
dispute 21:4	211:21 221:1	88:24 90:10	16:15,19 17:4
103:22 175:25	documents	93:23,25,25	17:11 41:6
176:11	82:13 157:9	94:2 97:4,22	59:7 60:24
distances	163:19 178:19	98:8 107:8	61:1,12 82:4
215:16	180:19 182:1	117:16 118:13	111:5 154:17
distinction	185:24 186:1	118:19,23	154:25 155:9
214:10	195:16 207:19	119:1,8,13,22	155:25 156:12
district 213:19	218:8 235:9	120:6,13	171:8,14,19,21
213:21 214:6	doing 9:25	121:17,20	171:0,14,15,21
214:12,17	16:18 63:13	122:2,4 123:3	174:17,20
divestitures	102:8 124:13	124:14 127:15	175:1,7 177:9
13:11	127:19 155:3	127:17 131:19	177:17,20,24
divesture	177:11,19	138:7 139:9	177:25 178:6,7
210:17	182:5 219:12	239:4,20,24,25	178:12,18,19
diving 42:24	222:6 224:25	draft 160:9	215:23 223:22
division 2:21	225:3 229:4	drake 185:21	227:18 230:12
38:10 42:2	dollars 173:1	drawing 82:16	232:23 236:6
80:8 84:1,6,18	199:19 232:15	drill 13:21	241:6
85:4 101:7	dolostone	112:4 183:10	duly 139:16
105:12 140:12	81:13,17,20	drilled 21:6,12	duties 163:7,15
148:22,24	82:7 83:2	21:23 94:17,22	e
181:19 182:2	100:20,21	99:18 111:11	e 27:25 175:14
183:2,6,24	don 3:7	111:19,23,24	175:15 176:11
184:7,13	doors 230:20	112:23 115:9	eagle 6:6 8:24
191:24 208:20	double 35:25	158:20 159:12	10:2
division's	doubt 228:11	183:11,12	earlier 112:17
183:19,20	233:22	194:12,18	130:11 136:3
document	downturns	drilling 31:24	136:15 137:24
145:24 175:11	221:11	187:17 224:23	174:8
176:18 177:3	downwards	226:1 227:9	early 111:24
178:22,24	59:19	229:3,8 234:15	223:6

[ease - empire's]

2222 54.25	220.10	115.10.14	201.1 10 12 12
ease 54:25	email 220:10	115:10,14	201:1,10,12,13
easier 81:17	emails 171:22	119:22 135:7,9	201:18,18
153:4	174:24	136:8,18,24	202:1,7,12
easily 55:2	eme 36:5	137:1 139:3	203:11,14,23
east 6:14 7:4,15	emergencies	140:7 144:12	204:2 205:10
13:16 15:18	137:6	144:13 145:12	205:17 206:11
economic 16:25	emergency	147:11,18	206:19 207:16
221:11 242:9	137:4	149:24 161:13	208:17 209:2,5
eddy 159:9	emnrd.nm.gov	161:15,16,19	210:4 213:11
educate 32:4	2:24	162:6,7,9,12,16	213:18,23
effect 55:20	empire 2:2 6:23	162:25 163:1,4	215:22 216:3
127:14 180:6	13:8,24,25	163:8,20 164:1	216:15,18,21
180:10 212:24	14:1,8,9,12	164:5,6 165:25	216:23,25
223:13 238:7	17:10,18 20:16	166:9 171:3,5	217:22 218:15
238:11	22:5,12,22	171:11,25	219:23 220:22
effective 178:8	23:4,17 30:18	172:15,20	222:10,14,20
178:9 203:18	33:11 36:19	173:10,12,16	222:24,25
203:19 244:1,2	37:12,15 38:13	174:1,13,16	223:7,21 224:1
effort 196:11	40:14 42:5	175:17 176:11	224:8,12
efforts 174:3	44:19 45:18	176:12,16	225:20 226:8
198:2	46:5,17 49:13	177:8,11,25	226:16 227:16
eight 158:2	49:25 50:8,22	178:11,15,16	228:10,17,21
either 33:6 35:2	51:7,25 52:4,9	179:4 180:6,10	229:10,13,24
35:14 71:21	52:16,23 53:11	181:12 184:5	230:10 231:1
93:9 107:7	53:14 54:7	188:19 189:3	231:12 232:9
115:23	80:4 82:11	191:3,5,6,6,16	233:1,10 237:5
el 154:13 155:6	84:5,18 85:4	192:12 194:22	237:9 240:2,5
197:24	89:6,8 94:12	195:7,25	240:16 241:4
elect 147:19	94:22,25 95:3	196:21,24	241:11,16,22
elects 148:1	95:6,11 101:6	197:2,20 198:8	242:16,25
elevations	101:23 102:3	198:13,19	243:11,21
25:12	102:21 103:4	199:4,12,16,16	244:9
elk 171:16	103:12 109:16	199:23 200:4	empire's 19:21
174:2 175:5	110:25 113:7	200:16,19,21	19:25 30:16
	114:17 115:2	200:22,25	33:23 37:5

[empire's - esq]

40:23 41:16	24:1,24 26:12	227:19 229:6	10:12 12:2
47:23 51:13	26:12,12,13,22	229:11 237:24	ensuring
60:15 80:11	36:6,10 46:17	238:3	154:18
82:9 91:23	46:20 48:20	emsu's 24:7	enter 203:17
100:21 101:4	49:1,8 51:25	149:16	240:10 242:4
136:11,15	52:5 53:15	ended 6:8	entered 209:7
137:10 141:10	59:1 69:12	energy 2:22	entire 67:8
141:21 148:8	74:5 75:9 78:6	5:21 6:3 40:18	70:14 73:4,7
148:17 150:18	79:22,25 90:25	176:21 222:16	78:12,16
175:14 186:18	91:16,18 92:18	223:8 225:10	108:14 129:4
188:2,23	95:8 96:16	229:18 234:2	130:7 172:7
189:13 192:1	98:21,25 99:2	enerquest 7:3	entirely 49:19
196:11 202:15	101:8 102:13	engaged 161:13	63:12 239:24
212:18 213:10	111:10 115:2	engineer 47:18	entities 162:19
216:3,10	128:9,21,23,24	75:24 109:10	entries 65:22
217:15 221:2	128:24,24	166:9 205:21	67:6
222:14 223:13	129:1,4,12	209:25 219:9	envelope 211:1
225:9 232:2	136:19 137:17	engineering	environment
235:7 236:5	142:11,15,24	15:7 103:6	111:8 126:22
239:12	144:12,14,14	110:6 113:5	240:8
employed	145:6,12,13	114:22 118:12	environmental
35:15 136:13	146:24,24,25	124:8 125:7	240:13 242:10
140:4 162:25	148:17 149:12	153:19,22	eor 9:11 215:24
247:17	149:14 150:23	154:6 157:22	equate 146:19
employee 14:6	166:7,19	163:23 165:7	205:13
14:9 37:23	167:13 168:4,6	186:6,7,18	equated 145:22
employees	171:6 173:5	242:10	equation 63:12
171:8 210:4	183:7,8,18	engineers 46:9	equity 6:18
employment	184:7 188:22	57:11,19 67:25	ernest 2:11
157:5	192:16 194:7	75:23 76:4,5	especially
emsu 14:24	194:15 196:4	118:25 119:12	15:21 112:10
15:1,4,9,12,21	204:2,13,14,18	121:10 171:14	223:13
17:11,15 18:9	205:5,7,23	177:12 240:1,1	esq 1:14 2:5,8
19:6,25 20:15	215:12,14,23	enhanced 7:10	2:11,15,20,25
20:16 21:9	220:19 227:5	7:25 9:2,11	3:4,8

[essentially - examination]

	104	1212112727	
essentially	evaluate 13:1	134:21 137:25	54:1 55:1 56:1
114:14 225:15	35:2,14 43:4	138:4 142:9	57:1 58:1 59:1
establish 98:23	94:13 155:13	172:19 179:15	60:1 61:1 62:1
99:1 111:19	171:13 177:14	207:10 208:9	63:1 64:1 65:1
119:25	238:6 239:5	212:6 227:6	66:1 67:1 68:1
established	evaluated 93:3	231:24 237:20	69:1 70:1 71:1
6:10 9:23	96:12 103:8	evident 96:4,12	72:1 73:1 74:1
180:2 213:2	evaluating 12:9	evince 97:13	75:1 76:1 77:1
establishes	12:19,23 15:6	evolution	78:1 79:1 80:1
55:22	30:20 31:5	222:16 223:8	81:1 82:1 83:1
establishing	33:16 48:10	225:10 229:18	84:1 85:1 86:1
116:23 189:12	96:10	234:2	87:1 88:1 89:1
estate 197:7	evaluation 8:9	exact 75:9,13	90:1 91:1 92:1
estimate	10:20 11:7	88:20 183:23	93:1 94:1 95:1
108:11 167:5	32:18 58:17	exactly 10:15	96:1 97:1 98:1
estimated 38:8	102:22 136:14	246:4	99:1 100:1
59:23 109:19	189:11,16	examination	101:1 102:1
110:1 142:17	242:2,8	3:12,12,13,13	103:1 104:1
144:20,25	evaluations	3:16,16,17 5:1	105:1,4 106:1
145:14 166:20	7:20 8:2 9:25	5:6 6:1 7:1 8:1	107:1,12 108:1
226:19	14:22 100:13	9:1 10:1 11:1	109:1 110:1
estimates 129:5	159:23 177:11	12:1 13:1 14:1	111:1 112:1
estimation	186:17 219:13	15:1 16:1 17:1	113:1 114:1
108:25 125:11	219:21	18:1 19:1 20:1	115:1 116:1
et 39:6	eventually 99:7	21:1 22:1 23:1	117:1 118:1
eugene 198:23	114:5 116:2	24:1 25:1 26:1	119:1 120:1
eunice 143:24	everybody	27:1 28:1 29:1	121:1 122:1
144:8,9 147:1	153:5 247:4	30:1 31:1 32:1	123:1 124:1
148:24,25	evidence 80:21	33:1 34:1 35:1	125:1 126:1
176:22 178:23	81:5 86:12,15	36:1 37:1 38:1	127:1 128:1
181:24 182:10	90:5 91:17	39:1 40:1 41:1	129:1 130:1
183:22 193:6,7	97:14,20	42:1 43:1 44:1	131:1 132:1
205:5 210:8	115:19 116:21	45:1 46:1 47:1	133:1 134:1
218:16	116:22 120:17	48:1 49:1 50:1	135:1 136:1,1
	127:13,21	51:1 52:1 53:1	137:1 138:1
	L		

$[examination \hbox{ - expenditures}]$

139:1,22 140:1	209:1 210:1	except 173:6	207:7,9,10,15
141:1 142:1	211:1 212:1	excessive	207:15,20
143:1 144:1	213:1 214:1	114:20	208:8,9 213:7
145:1 146:1	215:1 216:1	exchange	213:8 231:9,10
147:1 148:1	217:1 218:1	136:16 138:2	231:11,23,24
149:1 150:1	219:1 220:1	157:23 172:24	237:8,8,20,22
151:1 152:1,9	221:1 222:1	excluding 67:1	exhibits 4:1
153:1 154:1	223:1 224:1	excuse 28:10	18:1,2 40:3
155:1 156:1	225:1 226:1	95:18 149:11	54:18 63:20
157:1 158:1	227:1 228:1	excused 138:25	81:18 82:2
159:1 160:1	229:1 230:1	execution	83:18 141:22
161:1 162:1	231:1 232:1	164:5	exist 86:14
163:1 164:1	233:1 234:1	executive	existence
165:1 166:1	235:1 236:1	176:21 178:23	216:19
167:1 168:1	237:1 238:1	exhibit 4:2,3,4	existing 15:8
169:1 170:1	239:1 240:1	4:5,6,7,8 19:20	44:17 49:8
171:1 172:1	241:1 242:1	19:21,24 20:13	115:18 148:20
173:1 174:1	243:1 244:1,17	20:20,22 24:4	148:21 150:15
175:1 176:1	245:1,4 246:1	26:22 27:25	150:17,22
177:1 178:1	247:1,3	29:12 36:4	193:16 209:10
179:1 180:1	examinations	65:13,17,20	209:17 216:6
181:1 182:1	240:11	73:13,19 81:12	224:13 227:9
183:1 184:1	examiner 207:3	82:23,24 86:3	236:1
185:1 186:1	226:22 229:12	86:4,6 91:24	expand 205:4
187:1 188:1	240:18	92:1,16,16,20	expect 18:15,19
189:1 190:1	example	92:21 107:21	18:22 89:4
191:1 192:1	133:23 160:23	129:13,24	90:13 108:21
193:1 194:1	161:2 164:10	141:10,17,21	expected 167:6
195:1 196:1	195:22 198:22	142:9 152:18	233:15,21
197:1 198:1	211:7	153:1 175:14	expend 218:16
199:1 200:1	examples 48:5	175:15 176:10	236:1
201:1 202:1	112:13	176:11,16	expended
203:1 204:1	exceed 71:25	177:3 178:25	229:9
205:1 206:1	72:1 116:3	179:15 194:5	expenditures
207:1 208:1		204:2 206:12	229:7

[expense - feet]

expense 221:24	explained	eyes 55:1 97:3	77:9 96:3,7
expenses 221.24	52:18,21	f	113:13 129:17
227:20	explains 56:20	_	176:14
expensive	explains 50.20 explanation	f 2:15 5:10	fane 2:3
226:3	32:17	faced 230:10	far 38:1 64:8
experience 7:10	exploration	facies 82:1,1	99:3,3 103:2
7:12,25 8:1	155:18	83:11	110:4 117:20
10:12 12:2,5	explore 152:15	facilities 242:3	119:16 134:23
16:2,7 39:7	explore 132.13 exposure 8:5	facility 221:21	136:25 230:17
57:13 62:23	express 179:22	224:3 227:25	246:8
72:11 99:11,23	express 179.22 expressed	228:22,23	farmouts 159:7
100:3,11,12	234:11	233:3	fast 177:21
100.3,11,12	expressly	fact 77:1 83:12	fault 39:13
119:20 152:16	179:23	88:10 134:24	fe 1:5 2:4,7,10
152:22 241:25	extend 239:7	182:2 187:22	2:18,24 3:7
experienced	extend 239.7 extent 31:7	195:3 204:19	fed 167:14
99:16 157:1	32:20 113:15	208:12 224:19	federal 142:22
expert 36:22	exterior 36:6	227:6,17,21	172:11 173:2
91:23 110:20	extrapolated	230:18,25	179:19
140:8,19 141:6	68:10,12	237:3	feel 114:2 126:5
153:13,18,21	exxon 17:22	factor 61:17,24	fees 232:13
154:3,6 160:1	92:22 93:19	104:11 113:18	feet 39:21 58:7
163:17 171:8	171:1,2	113:20 116:6	60:1 64:5,8,15
186:5,6,19	exxonmobil	factors 48:25	64:18 65:24,25
209:24 232:14	136:4,8 144:16	138:13	66:1,19,20
expertise 35:1	145:5,16,24	facts 142:11	67:1 68:24
35:13 57:18	146:3 147:19	fair 108:24	69:13,22 70:1
186:24 189:1,9	147:25 148:4,7	110:22 246:9	70:4,7,10,11,20
experts 186:25	148:14 149:23	fairly 27:19,20	70:25 71:1,2,6
187:1,7 188:23	171:2,17 172:3	28:1 111:24	71:12,18,19
209:21 210:3	171:2,17 172:3	faith 127:25	75:10,11 82:17
explain 55:4,12	172:13,20	fall 244:8	93:5 108:19
55:14 65:15,21	176:4 180:13	falls 166:13	145:9 146:6,13
79:22	180:17 181:4,6	false 172:18	146:14,15,19
, ,	203:22 210:17	familiar 23:1,2	147:4,4,5,7
	200.22 210.17	23:4 76:25	1 , 1,0 , /

[feet - forgive]

190.24 191.12	214.15 17 20	Gaighed 76.17	A 222.4
180:24 181:13	214:15,17,20	finished 76:17	flows 222:4
felt 113:2	214:21,22	finite 39:12	232:4 233:15
125:24 127:7	235:2	firm 2:9 163:21	fluid 86:9
ferguson 12:12	files 174:12	first 3:3 5:18	fluids 82:6
field 12:12	175:2,6 178:12	6:25 15:16	100:18 116:9
17:19 57:12	filing 97:10	16:21 18:2	fluorescing
62:24 82:1	157:9 160:7	51:7,10,12	74:2
138:16 142:12	166:6 185:11	52:20 54:5	focus 15:24
165:7	198:16 203:4	110:10 120:23	215:12 216:4
fields 7:4 57:15	205:10 221:8	128:13 139:16	217:1,4,16,17
90:11 99:15	226:13 231:5	146:2,3 147:20	220:3 236:6
113:19 119:21	232:4 235:6,7	147:21 148:20	focused 7:17
126:18	filings 106:3	149:25 174:5	163:9
fifth 213:21	157:18 158:6	174:12 192:14	fold 145:18
figure 60:23	160:7	208:13 217:4	folks 162:19
201:23	final 31:2 155:4	five 27:2 115:6	following 44:9
figures 128:19	247:19	136:23 150:19	51:4 158:21
file 17:14 106:1	finance 171:24	151:17,19,23	173:22 216:1
160:3 166:8	finances 227:18	161:17 175:7	226:20,20
205:17 206:10	financial 161:4	187:23 190:15	234:5 243:18
210:21	228:1 230:12	213:9	follows 139:16
filed 40:25 42:4	232:10 236:8	flank 24:19,21	foot 71:22
51:3 52:16,22	financing 223:1	25:1	82:15 147:5
53:18 54:7	226:7 230:1	flawed 34:25	footage 88:20
98:8,12 152:18	find 87:4,19	flexibility	footages 59:24
163:3 168:10	103:25 134:22	221:19	75:13 89:12
181:19 182:12	148:3 174:11	flipped 132:1	force 180:6,10
182:21 184:2	175:1 177:16	flood 101:4,15	ford 6:6 8:25
185:4,6 191:3	178:19 185:19	111:24 147:19	10:2
191:6,10	201:25	147:25 217:8	foregoing
192:12 194:4,5	findings 134:24	floor 1:4	247:14
195:8 196:5	fine 152:12	flow 221:15	foreign 150:11
203:12 208:22	fingers 132:25	224:13 226:19	forget 206:7
213:18,18,23	finish 76:16	227:22 233:22	forgive 20:10
214:4,5,6,8,12	, 5.10	236:8	

[form - generality]

form 86:9	194:13 236:1	220:21,23	243:5
148:21 203:5	246:3	fuchsia 24:7	
220:22	fourth 27:3	fulfill 229:23	g
formal 11:6	fracture 87:22	full 108:21	g 26:22 27:25
formation 99:6	88:2,23 89:20	fully 225:12	29:12 65:13,20
99:20,22 100:8	90:9 99:21	fund 221:17	73:14,14 81:12
104:2 115:25	123:2 127:13	222:16 223:8	82:23,24 86:6
117:9,12	127:15,19	229:19,23	92:16 98:16
143:15 144:5	fractured	234:2,9 237:2	107:21 128:20
148:22,23	97:23	funding 7:8	128:20 129:13
149:20 150:12	fractures 81:22	230:22	129:24
183:1 184:20	82:3 83:14	funds 224:22	galen 120:5
184:23 185:7	86:8,12 88:12	225:8,10	121:24,24
formations	88:25 89:2,13	226:16 229:14	galen's 49:3
100:15	90:7 91:20,25	234:4	gardner 171:19
formed 145:14	92:4,4,6 96:4	furnish 160:12	174:2,18 175:3
159:2	96:10,12 97:14	165:17 168:3	177:10,24
former 158:17	97:19 99:6	170:10 224:16	178:5,10
formulated	100:23 101:18	224:21	gas 6:14,25 7:5
234:25	111:7 122:23	furnished	7:17 74:2,9
forth 120:18	138:1,8,9	164:5 171:16	144:10 148:25
135:18 180:1	238:22,24	171:17,17,21	152:16,22
247:13	239:1,7	182:1,7,14	154:13,22
found 23:9	fracturing	195:1 208:24	155:18 156:18
174:24	86:15 90:13	209:4 210:3	158:22 159:10
foundation	91:14,18 92:10	211:21 219:8	159:15 162:1
85:1	95:15 100:17	furnishes 164:6	172:4,13
four 27:2 35:23	111:7	furnishing	180:20 193:7
40:19 46:4,19	francis 1:5 2:23	164:11	223:3 242:3
47:3,10,16,21	fraudulently	further 91:14	gaspar 3:7
48:1 50:6	182:1	104:22 244:16	general 2:22
75:20 148:20	free 148:8	245:3 247:12	17:2 94:3
150:17,21	friday 133:25	247:17	109:14
181:21 182:7	front 12:8 21:1	future 125:23	generality
182:13,17,21	38:5 211:21	188:21 233:14	85:25 88:18
, ,			

[generalization - going]

generalization	geological	186:5 208:20	183:19 190:10
109:7	14:19 99:4	geophysical	190:10,19,21
generally 77:1	109:9,15	242:11	195:3 211:2
103:20 138:11	113:23 114:1	gerasimos 1:11	216:1 217:4,6
generate	117:4,5,14,24	getting 34:21	228:22 230:20
221:23	118:18 119:23	42:12 170:11	241:18 244:23
generated	122:19 123:12	give 5:17 10:21	246:8
131:6 195:18	123:13 124:6	44:22 49:17	goal 110:10
243:5	124:18,19,19	64:5,7 129:12	god 91:5
generating	125:14 126:12	135:12 148:4	goes 90:14
222:21	126:15 138:12	164:8 190:6	202:24 221:14
gentleman	186:18 242:10	given 16:12	222:9 241:24
171:18	geologically	34:1,14 43:25	243:20
geologic 29:15	46:19	44:20 45:25	going 6:24
29:20 30:7	geologist 5:23	46:15 48:8	17:23 23:11
31:2,3 32:17	6:4 14:13,25	50:1,4 94:14	29:7 34:4,17
32:19 34:24	31:23,24 37:16	112:13 118:20	36:22 44:7
35:15 41:10	46:16 101:23	118:24 165:20	52:14 55:2
43:12,13,17,23	103:25 109:17	190:7 202:1	61:19 62:2
44:1,25 45:3	113:11 116:6	211:22 233:20	65:11,12,14,21
48:7,25 49:7,8	123:9 124:25	242:13	69:21 87:4
56:16,17 63:21	geologists	gives 33:25	95:14 98:16
64:13,17,21	171:12 177:13	giving 89:11	112:8,12 113:3
65:2,5 72:18	geology 5:11,15	165:19 168:11	114:6 116:2,3
93:1,10,24	13:5 18:18	230:10 246:21	117:1,13,15
102:15,22	32:9,11,14,20	globe 7:21	121:19 125:1,2
107:24 110:5	32:24 49:8	go 10:18 17:1	126:4 128:4
110:10 113:4	100:14 102:13	24:4 25:2 36:4	129:8,10 132:4
116:6,13 118:9	109:22,24	64:8 70:18	132:6 145:20
118:11,21	110:7,20	81:18 89:4	154:10,12
124:14 125:18	112:20 113:6	93:17 98:16	170:11 172:22
126:7 127:2	115:17,20,21	107:20 132:9	179:17 182:9
geologic's	118:5 124:10	133:1 148:5	183:15,16
29:25 30:2,11	126:23 153:14	150:7 152:7	184:4 191:13
34:16 118:22	154:3 163:23	172:8 178:18	202:10 206:8,8

[going - halcon]

213:15 216:2	191:5,7 192:2	238:11 239:8	grid 39:11,12
217:2,3,9,17,23	193:25 195:8	239:11	64:25
225:16 226:10	196:5 197:15	gotten 103:3	gridded 64:24
226:22 227:6	197:17 198:5	govern 172:9	gross 65:24
228:12,19	198:14,19	graduate 5:20	69:22 70:10,20
230:14,17,20	199:5,6,12,15	7:2	70:24 73:8
231:3,9 232:3	200:5,20,21	grayburg 39:16	78:12,16
232:10,16	201:10,13,21	48:11 77:11,13	204:18,21
233:23 234:9	202:5,7,13,19	80:2,18 81:7,9	group 103:6
235:25 245:16	206:12 207:15	89:3 101:20	112:22 114:23
good 5:4 31:24	208:21 209:9	103:5,8,15,18	groups 6:1
46:24 50:21	209:14,16,18	111:2,4,24	growth 223:14
60:10 105:6,7	210:13,15	114:11,12	guadalupe 2:18
139:9,24,25	211:18 213:8	115:19 116:12	guess 26:21
152:13 243:8	213:19 214:8	116:18,24,25	27:3 29:14
243:25	214:21 219:11	117:21 119:19	64:12,16 69:6
goodnight 2:16	231:10,11	120:2,9,10,14	78:15 83:16
24:4 36:4	237:7,8	121:4,16	100:9 133:19
43:22 51:3	goodnight's	122:15,16	134:5 139:18
52:22 80:5	20:12 40:25	125:3 143:13	152:25 154:11
81:4 83:1,6,22	41:15 44:10,14	144:4 147:2	186:22 200:10
83:23,23 84:4	82:19 83:19	192:20 215:9	218:7,19
84:9,23 85:3	84:16 85:10,13	215:24 217:6	219:19
89:1,5 140:22	85:16,20 88:16	217:12 238:24	guidance 48:8
149:15 163:3,6	89:14,25	graywater	48:18
163:10 164:12	100:25 141:23	117:21	gulf 16:4 167:1
171:22 173:10	148:16,19	great 99:19	167:3,20,23
174:24 175:17	149:21 150:15	112:6 118:15	guys 113:7
176:7,12	171:20 178:24	118:16 120:3	134:11 135:12
178:17 181:18	188:19 189:18	128:13 236:22	h
181:23 182:6	196:11 201:13	245:1	h 129:24
182:12,21	202:5 209:22	greater 66:23	132:11
183:14 184:12	216:12 220:19	221:24	halcon 6:11,11
184:18 185:1	227:5 228:5	green 26:20,23	8:6 9:15,16,17
187:18,20	236:6,12 238:7	211:8	9:18,21,23
			7.10,21,23

[halcon - high]

10:11,16	141:5,23 142:1	76:12,15,22	232:15 236:15
hall 1:4	142:3,5,7	77:15,16,20,25	236:19,23
hand 65:19	151:5,15,18,20	80:10 85:2	237:6,9,11,13
131:5,11	151:22,25	104:3,21,24	237:14,16,18
139:14	152:3 179:1,5	105:2 106:15	241:1,9 244:13
handle 159:24	179:9,11,13	106:18,23	244:18,22,25
163:5,11,13	187:5 188:4,6	107:4,8 130:2	245:2,5,9,13
198:21	188:13,16	131:18,24	246:2,12,14,25
handled 166:10	190:9,14,18	132:20,24	247:9
handling	206:16,20,23	133:4,12,13,14	hearings 160:2
154:17 170:13	207:1,4,8,16,24	135:3,10,19	213:16
hands 45:8	208:4,7 226:25	138:21 139:2,7	hearsay 187:11
hanson 3:2	228:7 231:12	139:11,13,17	187:12
happens	231:14,18,20	140:21,24	held 200:23
203:16	231:22 236:15	141:1,3,5,23	220:14
happy 246:6,7	236:19,23	142:1,3,5,7	help 8:20 47:12
hard 26:19	237:9,11,14,16	151:5,11,15,18	47:12 69:2
27:13,14 50:24	237:18 241:1,9	151:20,22,25	87:19 165:14
hardy 2:8	244:18,22,25	152:3 176:18	177:25
211:22	245:5,9 246:2	178:21 179:1,5	helped 160:3
hart 2:17	246:14,25	179:8,9,11,13	177:13
harwood 1:10	haynesville	185:5 187:5,11	helpful 134:22
5:2 34:9,19	13:22	188:4,6,13,16	134:23 135:6
35:7 76:12,15	head 86:20	190:3,9,14,18	helping 6:4
76:22 77:16,20	90:21 152:5	190:22 198:22	13:12,21 14:20
85:2 104:24	163:25 190:20	199:1,19,21	104:7
106:15,18,23	197:9 206:4	205:6 206:8,16	hey 246:23
107:4,8 130:2	hear 34:11	206:20,22,23	high 5:9 20:8
131:18,24	134:9 152:5	207:1,4,6,8,16	22:3,18 23:10
132:20,24	155:24	207:24 208:1,4	23:24 24:2,6
133:14 135:10	heard 1:10 32:6	208:7 213:3,5	24:16,23,24
135:19 138:21	34:10 127:23	226:25 227:2	25:6,10,16
139:2,7,11,13	hearing 1:1,10	228:7 231:8,12	26:16 27:20
139:17 140:21	5:2 15:22 34:9	231:14,18,20	29:19 30:1,5,6
140:24 141:1,3	34:19 35:7	231:22 232:14	30:12 33:24

[high - include]

040150610	1	22.24	100 04 105 10
34:2,15 36:13	historically	huge 33:24	123:24 185:12
36:24 47:6,20	62:22 137:9	hundred 115:7	193:19 243:20
58:13 74:11	history 99:13	137:11,19,21	illustrate
86:14 87:2,6	104:18 115:8	hundreds	150:14
87:12,13,15	154:10	86:24 92:12	impact 115:18
91:9,12,14	hmm 8:13 33:5	137:18 173:1	115:21 156:17
92:1 96:1,11	69:8 99:14	187:19	209:22
96:25 99:5	110:18 111:12	hydrocarbon	impacted
113:24 115:8	121:1 196:1	98:20,24 99:2	125:12
115:24 119:24	hobbs 213:22	100:5 103:23	impacting
120:6 122:6	holdings 204:3	104:5 119:7,10	116:25
129:2,9 167:5	210:7	hydrocarbons	impacts 100:4
167:10 223:22	hole 77:10	32:3 55:23	113:25 189:13
higher 25:12	112:11	66:15,19 70:16	impairing
74:15 87:7,14	holland 2:17	71:18 74:8	150:1 186:11
91:11 95:25	hollandhart.c	104:1 109:3	188:20,21
121:14,14	2:19,19	110:12,13	impairment
130:21 221:10	honestly 124:7	114:7 123:23	189:13
highest 18:15	hook 123:13	128:12 188:21	impede 125:2
23:7 122:12,14	hope 128:3	243:5	implement
highlighted	horizontal	i	217:23
29:22 52:21	15:25 80:1	ibc 2:12	implied 179:22
54:7,20 55:1	horizontals	idea 133:19	important
98:18 242:24	15:5	identified 18:7	124:6 128:17
highlighting	houliston 8:7	24:17 26:7	142:11 143:1
81:11,19 215:8	hour 134:4,6	82:14,20 88:14	182:22 211:22
234:12	134:15	88:24 89:1	improve 217:4
highway 2:12	hours 246:16	90:14 92:23	217:11
hilcorp 215:5,6	house 33:19	104:5 111:1,7	inactive 165:1
hinkle 2:6	43:15 94:21	185:6 205:21	213:9
hinklelawfir	178:1,7	identifies	incentive 146:4
2:7	houston 10:1	150:17	inclines 90:12
hired 219:10	hovering 18:9		include 15:2
234:22,23	20:4	identify 94:6	43:16 73:18
		100:24 101:23	75:14 88:4
		104:8 110:14	

[include - injection]

134:5 154:22	creased 228:25 234:18	infill 113:2 influenced	137:10 149:15
			I/IO+IV IV/2+/)
133.17 103.8 III			149:18 183:21 209:17
162.15 166.6 in	cur 221:9	57:21 58:2	
	curred	inform 148:21	injecting 19:13
	227:20	181:19	21:14,25 22:23
	debtedness	information	36:17 37:3
	221:9,10,15,21	16:12,13,24	114:3 115:23
	dependent	17:8,9 75:15	150:11 181:24
/	31:22 34:25	94:12 110:11	183:1,3 185:8
	41:24 42:6,16	117:2 154:19	187:20 192:16
	43:6 84:20	160:21 164:11	217:6
	92:25 159:15	164:14 171:22	injection 19:7
·	174:17 242:7	173:5,7 176:24	23:3 36:20
	242:18	179:21,25	84:10 89:15
	dependently	180:19,22	101:24 102:9
includes 15:3	88:21	183:20 189:4,5	102:23 103:4
243:8 in	dex 3:10	194:21 195:7	103:10,17
including 6:6 in	dicate 30:24	208:23 210:3	112:3,3,12
83:25 84:1	73:23	212:10 219:8	113:24 114:14
157:9 158:11 in	dicated 24:3	219:16 220:15	115:1,15
164:15,25	180:23 225:10	224:15 239:3	116:11,19,23
218:2	234:3	inhibit 114:15	136:21 137:15
income 221:4 in	dicates 89:20	initial 67:19	148:22,24
inconsistent	179:20 183:21	89:19 128:11	149:3,21,25
111:5 in	dicating 32:3	initially 178:4	150:20 181:20
inconvenient	74:22	initiation	182:5,18 183:7
134:3 in	dications	147:25	185:12 186:11
incorporate	27:6 74:23	inject 22:17	188:19 191:7
45:7,16,18 in	dividual	115:24 163:6	191:16 192:1
205:8	108:15	182:8,9 185:8	193:19 196:12
incorporated in	dustry 6:25	187:24 196:12	198:2 199:6,10
_	126:13 138:16	210:14 213:12	199:13 200:18
increase 61:21	152:17,22	injected 36:15	202:13 209:9
	221:20	101:14 114:5	209:22 215:11
235:1		114:21 125:1	216:12 217:11

[injection - involved]

218:18 227:5	integration	intorprototion	145:20 146:6
	integration 118:15 155:5	interpretation 28:5,9,13,15	146:14 147:5
238:7,12		, , ,	
injections 18:21 189:18	intended	32:9 78:6	149:11,16
	225:11 229:14	100:10 243:3	181:20,24
	intending	interpretations	182:3,6,10,25
input 46:18	215:1 218:9	33:16,18 68:17	183:3,7,22
48:18 60:15	intent 22:13	68:21	184:4,20
124:10,18,20	73:22 74:1,1	interpreted	192:16,19,24
inside 194:15	interest 133:18	26:9 28:1	193:17,19
insignificant	133:18 150:2	62:17 68:8,11	196:13,17
138:23	156:5,7 162:20	78:20,21,23	intervals 51:9
inspect 240:10	171:25 172:12	79:4	51:17 59:5
instance 61:10	196:25 197:3	interrupt	93:20 101:22
72:18	197:10,13,22	245:15	102:10 103:5
institutions	221:16,22,23	interval 28:6,7	109:4 185:14
118:16	221:24 222:4,9	55:8 57:22	215:25
instruct 50:8	222:10 247:19	58:2 59:10	invalid 34:24
	interested	60:7 61:8,11	35:3
49:13,16 50:18	11:10 96:9	65:1,24 66:1,6	invest 187:16
51:23 184:15	103:20 134:10	66:12,13,14,15	225:16
instructions	134:19	66:20 67:8,12	invested 224:19
49:25 134:20	interesting	68:23 69:7,9	investigate
135:16	157:3 202:22	69:13,16,22	215:21
insufficient	interface 121:5	70:10,15,16,20	investigation
233:21	interlude	70:22,25,25	242:8
integrate	159:14	71:2,11,22	investigations
125:20	intermittent	73:5,8,19 74:3	240:11
integrated	71:14,16	75:9 77:1	investment
32:19,20	internal 50:15	78:12,17 79:3	218:4,18
116:22 117:5,7	55:17 60:3	79:12,13 81:20	involve 16:20
118:18	177:19 178:12	90:2 93:13	involved 46:11
integrating	internally	101:25 108:14	46:14 50:14,16
33:17 49:23	60:19 112:22	108:17,20,20	51:13,15
50:3 125:25	131:12 187:15	130:25 143:11	101:15 104:13
	237:4	143:17 144:6	121:11 124:17

[involved - knowledge]

	1		1
173:18 186:16	164:21	12:10 16:21,22	117:15,18,19
201:20	joe 54:8	16:23 28:4	118:6 119:20
involving	join 13:25	32:23 33:1	119:21,23
211:18	161:16	34:13 37:5,21	120:4,18 121:3
isolated 84:10	joined 13:24	37:22,23 38:2	121:13,13,16
isolates 89:15	14:1,18 15:15	42:9,11,16	122:16,18,19
issuance 193:9	38:14 158:22	43:12,21 45:15	122:20,24
issue 39:14	173:12	45:20 46:9,22	123:3,11,12
80:22 182:20	joseph 3:11 5:6	47:13 48:23	124:7 125:10
182:24 187:19	131:16	49:2,4 50:14	125:20 126:3
199:22 226:8	july 15:20,20	50:17,20 51:10	126:13,20
239:6 240:22	42:10,18	51:14,21,23	129:7,8 130:6
241:14	jurgensen 2:20	52:7,12 56:15	131:3 134:6,20
issued 143:21	jury 134:20	57:1 58:21	135:5,11 153:6
143:21 182:12	justification	60:4,5,18	155:21 156:1
182:20 222:10	57:3	63:17 64:4	156:13 166:25
issues 39:4	k	65:24 67:19	169:13 174:9
58:22 135:4	k 157:15,20	71:18 72:15,16	175:10 182:22
164:12,15	203:5 207:13	74:14 75:12,13	183:2 184:11
168:23 170:5	207:22 220:22	77:4 78:3 79:2	184:14 188:17
187:14 188:22	230:7 231:10	79:5 80:14	189:4,23
235:17,21	232:2 237:7	81:24 82:15	191:21 194:9
237:23	keep 107:17	85:20,23 88:16	194:10,14
j	keeping 224:8	88:18 90:11,19	195:21 198:22
jack 3:15 139:4	kendra 247:8	93:1 94:13	199:8,15 201:3
139:10,12,15	247:24	95:10 102:6,11	201:15 202:2,4
140:3 141:8	kept 122:12	102:16 103:12	202:18 203:12
206:14 246:20	kind 9:25 35:8	103:14,19	207:18 209:23
james 7:16	76:23 127:1	107:19 109:10	211:23 217:25
january 52:18	246:5	110:15,19	218:1 219:5,6
205:19 206:10	knew 85:25	111:17 113:1	232:11 238:4
jesse 165:2	knights 195:4	113:15,16,18	knowing
job 5:18 6:25	195:19	113:20,23	117:16
7:7 14:12,16	know 9:22	114:3,20,24	knowledge
14:17,18	10:18,19 11:3	116:9,18,19,21	39:7 45:11,17

[knowledge - lindsay]

		I	1
45:22 48:23	168:24,25	214:22	legalese 243:8
51:7 95:6,9	169:6,16 170:5	lawyer 243:8	lender 233:2
99:11 122:14	170:8,10,15,21	243:25	length 58:3
126:18 186:21	197:7	lawyers 134:21	letter 246:3
189:9 191:2	landed 57:6	238:4	level 47:6,20
195:14,15	79:25 80:2	layer 121:4	144:4 223:22
199:11 201:9	81:8	122:7	levels 221:10
210:10 211:11	landing 79:22	layered 111:6	liabilities
241:25	80:16	layers 120:20	204:10 244:2,5
known 82:4	lands 142:22	120:22	244:7,8
ks 157:10 160:4	142:23 150:4	laying 218:8	liability 144:20
160:9,14,22	language 52:21	lead 123:9	144:22 168:20
163:22 164:1	63:9 243:10	124:25 163:2	168:21 169:23
164:19 235:7	large 39:21	223:2	170:4 179:24
kv 121:6	110:3,12	leading 17:18	205:14 206:3
l	142:20 158:18	lean 57:18	230:11
1 2:11	226:1 230:21	leaning 119:15	liable 172:25
la 13:16 16:5	largely 20:18	lease 156:5,5	life 153:4
label 205:19	81:22 232:18	leased 158:15	light 52:22
labeled 207:22	232:23	158:16	81:19 89:18
laboratory's	larger 59:13	leases 197:16	likely 23:8
40:18	largest 172:4,5	leave 35:20	60:10 61:12
lack 85:1 112:1	172:6 173:7	78:2 117:2	62:4 135:6
114:12	180:20,21	119:2 134:2	lime 7:16,16
lady 170:12	lateral 82:1	246:8	limit 143:14
laid 219:19	83:11	left 27:3,4	144:5 221:18
lamkin 1:12	law 2:9 134:24	37:24 38:1	limited 217:17
107:5,6 132:14	162:1 179:18	65:19,21 73:19	limits 143:12
133:8	179:19	199:3	143:24 144:2,3
land 140:6,9,20	laws 172:9	legal 135:3,4	lindsay 87:23
141:6 143:16	lawsuit 209:14	140:7 160:24	88:1,24 90:10
144:23 150:5	211:18,18	163:5,8,19,25	93:23,25,25
154:17 155:5	213:23 214:2,5	166:15 179:17	94:2 97:4,4,22
163:7,12,24	lawsuits 160:24	210:2,5 242:9	98:8 124:14
165:7,12,24	161:1 214:15	247:24	127:17 239:4
	l .	l .	1

[lindsay's - lower]

	I	I	I
lindsay's 64:18	location 95:17	74:5 78:2	213:7
88:8 127:15	95:19 150:14	93:17 94:12,16	looks 105:15
138:7	150:20 185:13	95:4 108:16,22	159:13
line 26:20,23	185:14 241:6	117:10 123:19	lose 161:1
117:20 119:14	locations	124:2 138:7	loss 221:4
127:1 188:10	113:16 144:23	long 21:7 34:10	232:5 233:7
214:16 233:5,6	log 19:5 28:9	118:3 134:11	losses 161:7
liquidity	28:13,14,15,22	159:18 221:1	230:13
223:20 224:18	30:18 32:22	245:16	lot 24:18 27:18
list 200:17	33:10 40:13,23	longer 63:11	28:23,23 58:2
listed 133:11	41:16 46:7	161:12 178:15	58:4 83:4,6
lithology 33:1	51:8,9 53:5	235:6 246:5	93:18 109:6
litigate 215:13	57:24 58:3	look 7:21 25:4	126:11,16
litigation	59:5,9,13,13,25	36:8 48:6	129:1,18
163:10,11	60:6 61:8,11	64:23 69:5	131:23 155:7
164:10	63:13 66:10	85:16 98:13	169:13 246:22
little 22:7 26:20	68:7,11,17,21	111:16 128:13	louisiana
26:23 32:2	71:10,19 73:18	128:19 130:4,6	158:13 161:22
47:13 56:20	73:20,24,24	130:15 145:13	161:23
74:15 77:8	74:11,22 76:9	146:11,17	louisiana's
79:11 94:9	76:11 78:6	150:16 171:20	161:25
98:16 101:3	108:15 111:25	187:22 195:3	low 20:19
122:24,25	112:5,11	228:20 229:1,7	21:25 29:25
124:22 125:13	123:19 127:24	230:2 241:18	30:5,6,9,9,11
126:8 127:5	146:11,23	looked 28:23	30:25 33:24
130:16,21	logged 65:25	79:16 126:25	34:2,15 56:22
144:11 152:15	78:21 108:19	looking 6:13	66:25 115:7
161:25 181:16	130:25	12:17 13:14	128:15 167:5,9
205:10	logging 70:16	15:8 17:1	228:21
llc 2:2 204:3	93:20	26:18 31:1	lower 75:3
llp 2:3,6,17	logs 28:23 39:1	58:22 68:15	108:12 109:5
loans 222:10,15	43:17 48:20	69:6,9 78:10	123:21 127:10
located 24:16	49:1 52:1,4,10	78:19 79:1	143:14 144:5
89:13 100:25	53:15 58:4	94:3 98:15	148:9
150:22 158:10	70:14 73:11	137:18 171:11	

[m - matter]

m	231:5 233:4	112:18 160:7	market 148:1
	235:20 242:12	181:4,6 182:5	174:6
m 3:4 40:17	243:14,16	210:15 242:4	marketed
47:22 48:6,9	mail 210:25	managed	23:16,19 178:4
48:14,20,24	211:1,8,13	169:20	marketing
49:6 52:24	mailed 211:11	management	145:6
126:7,12,14,21	main 215:25	32:5 150:5	marks 26:19,23
ma'am 140:10	maintain	163:14 176:4	27:10 74:16
140:13,17	178:12 226:9	210:16 216:23	marscon 212:1
141:12,15,19	228:12,18	218:2 219:15	212:3
142:12,21	majority	219:18 220:4	martin 6:20
143:5,12,18	142:22 167:14	235:8 237:5	11:21
144:1,8,13,18	make 17:8 39:3	241:15	massive 83:13
145:4,7 146:1	39:8,10,14,17	manager 189:3	master 222:16
146:10,23	49:20 50:2	189:23 208:20	223:8 229:19
147:12,15	57:8 63:1	managers	234:2
148:11,18	83:16 98:18	210:4	master's 5:14
149:6,9,13,25	122:20 129:21	managing 23:5	5:15
150:16,24	145:5 147:7	170:13	match 64:5
151:2	153:4 172:18	map 20:3 24:4	148:6,7,14
made 39:23	172:25 173:9	24:17 35:21	matched 39:5
40:2,6,24 41:2	184:6 198:20	87:19 90:21	40:7 63:19
41:2,16 44:8	200:5,20 201:1	91:3 128:23	material
46:8 53:24	202:11,19	129:20 146:11	117:17 223:12
54:6,15,17	210:4 218:18	mapping 8:9	242:12
63:8,16 95:7	221:10 226:8	10:19 39:15	materials 84:12
121:20 131:8	229:15 230:13	84:21 86:3	180:1
141:16 154:23	231:2 236:18	maps 18:3 39:3	math 206:4
167:4 168:9	239:6 244:15	39:19 64:20,24	matter 31:8
171:2 174:9,12	244:17 245:3	march 149:17	32:14 80:4
180:14 186:17	makes 145:25	168:16 173:17	81:2 105:19
200:17 202:7	246:15	marine 6:13	106:11 125:16
202:12 213:1	makeup 115:5	9:19 10:3	128:11 138:22
215:13,16,21	making 44:16	marked 176:11	140:16 141:10
216:15 218:15	100:12 102:9	1,0.11	186:23 187:18
220:2,5,7			

[matter - millidarcy]

188:24 204:5	88:18 94:16	member 1:12	midst 188:15
matters 81:1	118:3,20	1:12	midstream
133:10 140:9	119:21 120:18	members 1:10	2:16 52:23
140:20 141:7	120:19 121:3	43:10 220:15	163:3 176:12
153:25 160:24		memo 205:17	182:7,12
	124:9,15 126:12 127:3	206:10	183:14 192:2
161:3 163:5,14 163:24 187:8		mention 36:3	213:19
	127:22,25 128:25 134:20	114:10 246:15	
189:9			midstream's
matthew 3:4	136:25 146:14	mentioned	81:5
mbeck 3:4	173:10 185:23	10:14 135:2	migrate 19:14
mcguire 185:21	187:24 194:20	160:13 162:5	migration
mcguire's	199:13 204:12	mesa 171:15	19:14 82:6
19:22 20:20	218:7 224:15	174:2 175:5	103:9
mcshane 3:11	229:12 230:19	methodologies	miguel 3:8
5:6,8 34:23	234:6,19	30:15 31:25	mike 201:17
54:8 60:22	meaning 13:11	33:10	mile 137:17
78:3 84:4 85:3	109:23	methodology	212:19,20
90:20,24	means 55:20	31:5,20 32:8	213:9 214:23
103:21 105:6	meant 36:3	235:1	215:7,16
107:1,5,19	42:23,23 43:3	methods 31:21	miles 86:19,22
131:16 135:20	121:24	72:16	86:24,25 92:12
136:3	measuring 68:4	mexico 1:2,5	200:1,19
mcshane's	mechanics	2:2,4,7,10,18	213:13
54:14	132:4 133:4	2:21,22,24 3:3	millard 197:7
mean 8:4 10:7	meet 229:25	3:7 86:16	197:13
14:8 21:23	233:16 234:4	143:16 144:23	millidarcies
23:7 24:25	meeting 46:12	150:4 158:13	56:1 62:5 66:8
25:9,23 31:8	132:6 220:9	158:14,19	66:23,25 67:3
33:14,21,22	meetings 46:13	159:5,6,12	120:7,11,15
34:8 35:9 39:9	46:14 101:6	213:20,22	millidarcy 55:8
42:1 43:2,5	132:10 186:16	216:5 227:19	55:12,19 56:22
46:16,16,21	220:14 239:3	228:4 235:11	57:4,14,21
49:25 55:7	melzer 91:24	235:21,24	62:15,24 66:1
56:24 60:7,9	92:5	236:12 237:2	67:17 121:8,14
64:22 71:10		247:10	124:9

[million - morrisett]

million 20:23	minimal 121:11	mix 9:18	money 112:7
24:14 25:15	minimized	moander 2:25	159:1 187:16
36:16 55:10	22:22	3:12 105:1,1,2	199:20 203:21
142:14,18	minimum	105:5,11 106:1	210:9 212:15
144:17,21,25	238:15	106:13 140:25	218:16 222:4
145:1,2,10,15	minor 8:19	142:2 179:7	224:20,21
145:17,23	minus 93:5	206:21 208:1	225:12 227:8,8
146:21 147:9	180:23 181:13	231:17 237:12	228:6 229:5,8
149:19 165:22	minute 77:17	245:7	229:11,18
165:25 166:20	139:20 244:14	model 30:9	235:24 236:1
168:7,17,21	244:15	32:25 33:2	236:13 237:1
169:16,22	minutes 134:16	40:23 43:15	montezuma 2:6
170:1,4 203:2	134:17 151:17	44:15,16 45:14	month 14:2
203:6,6,9	151:19,23	45:16,25 47:13	51:4 53:13
204:14 205:14	211:24 245:14	48:3 49:16,17	177:9 199:21
206:2 221:4	245:17 246:21	49:19,21 50:3	monthly
222:15,21	miscalculation	80:24 117:14	165:17 166:6
225:22,25	59:11	117:16,17,25	months 15:16
228:22 229:1	miscibility	118:2,4,4,18,23	98:4 161:18
230:3,6,7	238:15	119:1 120:23	224:14 226:21
232:5 233:6,11	misleading	122:14 123:19	233:17 234:5
millions 173:1	172:18	124:2 125:25	monument
199:19 232:15	misrepresented	126:9 127:5	143:25 144:9,9
mind 35:10	193:25 196:7	128:13 131:9	147:1 148:25
151:10	mississippi	modeling 99:4	148:25 181:25
mine 64:17	158:13	99:9 101:16	182:10 183:22
mineralogical	misspeak 43:2	102:15 117:14	193:6,7 204:16
125:21 126:9	misstatement	117:24 118:13	205:5 210:8
127:6	172:25	239:16,23	218:17
mineralogica	mistake 120:15	models 126:21	morning 105:6
126:2,4	121:20 172:25	127:11	105:7 246:7,13
mineralogy	mistakenly	modified 59:16	247:2
33:5	136:20	moment 8:12	morrisett 164:5
minerals 2:22	misunderstood	87:17 170:3	201:17 218:3
161:21 172:14	122:5,8	191:20	220:12

[motion - nod]

motion 132:9	mullins 3:2	need 8:20 34:20	73:4 125:11
133:5,12	multiple 5:24	51:24 91:2	205:8 221:3
motivation	6:12 14:7,20	96:14 117:8	232:5
44:13	15:5 56:8	129:20 132:21	never 100:6
movable 11:3	72:15 74:4	133:4 134:12	104:16,17
110:13 123:24	75:19 92:9	134:23 151:16	157:1,2 181:22
move 25:3 29:7	111:14 124:16	163:1 245:16	210:12,18
100:18 114:7	mutual 133:18	needed 64:6	211:7,15 212:9
116:9 132:11	n	122:22 129:11	214:3 243:25
132:12 133:6	n 40:17 47:22	165:4 169:19	nevertheless
140:18 141:20	48:9,14,20,24	223:1 228:17	22:16 24:22
178:22,24	49:6 52:24	229:15,24	27:19 234:1
190:4 200:1,1	126:7,12,14,21	needs 23:5	235:8
206:9,11 217:9	name 37:22	51:23 226:16	new 1:2,5 2:2,4
231:9,9 237:7	105:11 140:1	negates 243:1	2:7,10,18,21,22
moved 9:6 86:8	171:18 202:15	negative	2:24 3:3,7 44:4
moving 6:8	211:20,23,25	223:22 225:21	44:13 49:19
12:11 37:8	211:20,23,23	226:4 233:11	86:16 94:22,25
99:6 100:15	names 128:21	233:20	95:3 112:2
115:25 200:17	200:13	negotiated	120:19 143:16
200:18	nathan 2:20	165:2	144:3,23 150:4
msuazo 3:8	national 40:18	negotiating	150:20 158:13
mud 73:18,24	natural 2:22	155:2	158:14,19
74:5,11,22	154:13	negotiations	159:5,6,12
mulacek 162:8	nature 111:5	209:8	182:7,14,17,25
162:14 171:23	111:22 125:17	neither 247:17	213:20,22
177:12 222:11	243:2	net 55:7 57:21	216:5,7 227:19
222:16,17	near 86:14 90:7	58:2 59:22	228:4 235:10
223:7 224:19	nearly 167:17	61:18,21 62:2	235:21,24
225:9,16	necessarily	62:10,17 65:25	236:2,12 237:2
229:18,22	83:4 91:2	66:1,12,13,14	247:9
230:22 232:18	131:11	66:15,20 69:5	nicholas 37:18
232:21,24	necessary	69:6,9,12,21	nick 60:19
234:2	189:4 240:12	70:3,9,12,20,21	nod 152:6
	107.7 270.12	70:25 71:2	190:20

[nominal - obligations]

• 1 00400			T. Control of the Con
	notify 147:24	29:18,21 30:25	51:16 59:17
non 10:8 194:1	184:20 228:14	31:1,10 33:25	60:14 66:6,10
nonproductive	233:2	47:25 48:17	74:24 93:9
196:8	notifying	56:21 59:4	131:9
nook 36:10	168:11	66:4,9 72:21	0
noon 132:1	november	92:25 117:2	o 158:16
north 2:13,18	50:25 53:13	123:4 126:25	oath 135:21
10:23,24 12:12	175:24 176:22	145:1 207:18	object 34:4,18
15:17 18:8	177:4,6,7	nutech 26:9	84:2 226:23
20:4 95:21	178:4	30:6 31:3 32:6	240:19
163:11 164:16	novo 81:2	32:15,19,23	objecting 199:8
223:24 226:2	175:19,23	33:3 34:24	objecting 155.6 objection 35:5
226:18 227:10	nrjurgensen	35:15 40:14,23	84:25 133:21
227:21 229:4,9	2:19	41:12,17 44:7	140:22,23,25
234:15,20	ns 48:6	44:9,12,13	141:2,4,25
235:3,10,18	number 19:25	45:9,12,22	142:2,4,6
northern 24:9	20:15 21:10	47:5 48:7,19	179:2,3,6,7,10
northwest 3:3	24:2 44:23	49:14 50:1,8	187:3 206:17
40:19	45:23 55:10	50:12,22 52:11	206:18,21,25
notes 139:3	65:25 95:17,20	52:23 53:10,14	207:2,17,25
notice 52:16,17	96:5,13,20,24	56:12,13 57:6	208:3,6 228:8
53:9 148:5	97:5,19 131:3	57:11 60:4	231:13,17,19
168:11 170:15	143:5,20 158:1	62:17 68:21	231:21 237:10
199:9 209:2,5	166:24 167:9	74:8 78:7 93:1	237:12,15,17
210:19 212:14	167:10 177:3	93:24 107:24	objections
notices 211:6	178:25,25	111:13 118:9	179:12
notification	183:18 184:8	118:12,21,21	objective 77:13
157:23 168:25	184:22 185:2	118:24 119:9	obligated 148:2
169:5 185:1	191:15,17	120:5 124:14	obligation
204:11 210:20	192:3,4 206:13	125:18 127:11	147:17,21
220:1	207:9 210:25	127:22 129:23	243:22 244:9
notifications	231:10,11	nutech's 28:5	obligations
211:3	237:8	28:14 29:22	164:25 224:9
notified 165:4	numbers 20:2	30:3,9 34:15	229:23 233:16
168:24 181:23	20:25 21:5	46:6 48:4 51:8	234:5 243:20

[obligations - oil]

243:21	offered 141:9	188:13,16	12:2,7,14 19:4
obtain 222:25	offering 171:11	190:3,9,14,18	22:14 25:17,20
obtained 183:5	186:8 225:8,11	190:23 206:8	26:8,18 27:1,7
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		27:20 28:1,4
obviously 8:4,7	offerings 230:3	206:16,20,22	,
116:8 125:22	offhand 75:13	206:23 207:1,4	28:18,18 29:5
130:14	office 2:22 10:1	207:8,16,24	29:22 30:24
occasion 72:12	143:17 168:23	208:2,4,7	32:2 38:8 39:1
occur 99:24	168:24,25	226:25 227:2	39:1 40:23
114:10	169:6,16 170:5	228:7 231:8,12	55:8,9,9,11,13
occurred 195:5	170:8,10,15,21	231:14,18,20	55:23 56:2,5
224:5 226:5	175:5 197:7	231:22 236:15	56:18 57:14
230:24	officer 1:10 5:2	236:19,23	59:4,16,22,23
occurring	34:9,19 35:7	237:6,9,11,13	61:16 62:7,8,9
137:15 155:23	76:12,15,22	237:14,16,18	62:16 66:5,13
156:2,13,23	77:15,16,20	241:1,9 244:13	66:15,20 67:1
189:18 196:12	78:1 85:2	244:18,22,25	67:7,14,15,22
227:18 238:22	104:21,24	245:2,5,9,14	68:3,22 69:6,6
ocd 20:23 38:5	105:3 106:15	246:2,12,14,25	69:9,10,13,15
106:2 134:6	106:18,23	officers 201:22	70:6,20,25
140:24 142:1	107:4,8 130:2	offset 112:4	71:1,6,12,25
164:23 165:3,4	131:18,24	203:20	72:3,4,7,8,12
165:18 170:19	132:20,24	offsetting 100:4	72:12,19,19,22
170:22 179:6	133:4,13,14	112:25 117:10	73:2,3,4,6
187:10 205:19	135:10,19	204:22	74:11,12,13
206:20 207:21	138:21 139:2,7	offshore 11:21	75:3,23 77:8
207:24 231:16	139:11,13,17	oh 21:11 29:10	78:11,16,23
237:11 245:5	140:21,24	41:13 53:17	92:24,24 93:17
ocd's 21:5	141:1,3,5,23	129:15 131:24	101:7 105:12
106:11	142:1,3,5,7	157:3 191:19	109:18,25
october 37:10	151:5,11,15,18	206:15 232:25	112:17 125:12
38:5,11 42:5	151:20,22,25	oil 1:3 2:21	126:25 128:8
50:25 52:6	152:3 173:25	6:25 7:10,25	129:10 130:23
53:11	178:21 179:1,5	8:5,8 9:2,11	130:25 131:6
offer 14:19	179:8,9,11,13	10:12,15,22	142:14,18
	187:5 188:4,6	11:2,3,8,8,16	143:25 144:9

[oil - okay]

145:8,10,11,15	35:17,20 36:19	88:4,7,13,23	175:16 176:16
145:16 146:7	37:4,8 38:20	89:10,10 90:16	176:18,20
146:12,13,16	40:8,16,22	90:23 91:4,21	177:5,15 178:3
146:17,20,21	41:11,19,25	91:23 92:11,15	180:12,18
147:9 148:25	42:25 43:5,11	93:4,15 95:14	181:6,11
152:16,22	43:16 44:12,19	96:9,18 97:18	184:11 185:10
154:22 155:17	45:5,17 47:2,7	97:22,25 98:3	185:23 186:4
156:17 158:22	47:9,20,25	98:15 99:23	188:12 190:9
159:10,15	48:13 49:4,11	100:2 101:3	190:18 191:10
162:1 172:4,13	49:22,24 50:16	102:3,7,18	191:23 192:8
180:20 181:25	50:21,21 51:2	103:4 104:16	193:4,19
182:10 184:14	51:6,19 52:8	104:20,24	194:20 196:3
193:6,11	52:11,12,14	105:25 106:20	196:10,16,21
195:17 208:25	53:8,23 54:12	107:14 108:5	198:5,12 201:8
209:12 216:5	54:20 55:3,19	110:24 113:6	202:9,22,22
223:2 235:1	56:4,15,19	113:13 115:1	203:13 207:4
242:3 247:10	57:24 58:12,20	115:14 118:6	208:4 212:11
oils 26:4	59:16,21 61:3	119:4,6,10,24	212:18,23
okay 5:22 7:6	61:10 62:12	123:16 124:5	213:15 214:4
7:24 8:14,24	63:10,15,25	124:21,21,22	214:22 215:15
9:18 11:18	64:9,12,12	124:24 125:9,9	215:19 217:22
12:5,7 13:4,7	65:9,11,19	125:9 127:12	218:6 219:1,18
14:9,12,16	66:17 67:6	127:22 128:18	219:23 220:5,9
15:14,19,23	68:1,3,15,20	130:3 131:18	221:1 222:2,8
16:18 17:17,23	69:2,5,12,18,20	131:24 132:15	222:13 223:19
18:11,14,20	69:25 70:3,9	132:17 135:10	224:11 225:6
19:7,12,18,18	70:24 71:9	151:5 153:9,18	225:15,19
20:7,10 21:2	72:3,22 73:10	154:10 155:7	226:15 228:7
21:17 23:7,13	73:13,22 75:6	157:17 158:1,9	229:21 231:5
24:1 25:14,19	75:18 77:4,16	162:23 164:14	231:14 232:8
26:1,6,18 27:1	79:8,20,20	164:18,21	233:8 234:1
27:19 28:4,25	80:10,15,17,20	165:13 166:13	235:16,23
29:3,12,24	81:4,4,10 83:1	168:20 170:1	236:17 238:6
30:5,10 31:1	85:8 86:5,18	171:5 173:21	239:22 241:9
31:14 33:8,21	87:1,17,25	174:1,5 175:15	242:16 244:7

[okay - original]

244:12,18,25	233:21 245:10	234:20,24	34:15,23 35:15
245:5,25	operation 43:3	235:3,10 236:7	41:10 43:11,13
oklahoma	165:21 168:4	236:12,13	43:17,22,25
158:13,23	226:3 230:16	237:2 239:12	44:25 45:2
159:4	242:2	operator 10:21	56:15,17 63:20
once 126:24	operational	16:25 75:8	64:13,17,21
147:3,5,8	13:20 42:21	77:2 184:6	65:5 72:18
148:4 189:23	43:1 216:3	209:18	93:1,9,24
ones 23:16,19	217:1,15 220:3	operators	107:24 118:9
130:10,13	236:5	12:17 13:13	118:11,20,21
131:5 173:7	operationally	16:9 30:20	124:14 125:18
197:5,8,15	42:12	33:20 89:8	126:7 127:2
219:12	operations 5:23	99:18 155:14	option 165:11
online 111:14	8:2,4,10 15:4	183:5 198:6	oral 132:5
oops 27:23 36:9	36:25 113:8	214:23 215:15	133:19 134:5
open 112:11	155:13,18,19	opined 188:23	134:14
132:10 133:5	155:22 156:2,7	opinion 33:8	order 8:12
opens 177:4	156:13,17,18	42:13 97:18	80:21 110:14
operate 23:22	156:23 159:3,9	110:6 181:25	143:4,5,6,10,19
162:16 169:8	163:20,24	182:19 183:4	143:20,22,24
221:21 230:12	164:11,14	186:23 236:10	149:2,3 165:2
operated 6:19	165:7 166:6	239:6,7	165:9,15,19
10:25 20:16	167:25 187:14	opinions	166:4,7 169:8
23:14 26:3	187:17 197:18	106:10 153:24	182:11,20
155:14 158:20	199:7,13 200:2	159:24 161:21	184:2 185:2
176:1 216:22	202:14 216:11	186:8 187:1,7	193:9 228:18
operates 11:15	216:16 217:14	188:3 209:21	ordered 187:16
162:10	217:18,23	239:24	orders 149:1
operating 3:1	218:16 219:24	opportunity	original 24:12
10:10 11:15	220:19 221:17	171:24 180:3	26:1 37:9 38:9
22:9 161:18	222:5 223:24	opposing	40:8 45:12
162:7,10,23	224:17 227:4	150:20	52:1 58:6
173:5,24	227:10,16,23	ops 29:15,20,25	59:12,12 60:21
177:13 178:11	228:4,5 229:2	30:2,6,11 31:2	75:3 129:16
197:3 233:15	229:9 234:10	31:2 32:19	145:10 146:20

[originally - part]

1 1 17 40 4	4 / 7 /	1	11 160 16
originally 42:4	oversaw 165:6	ownership 6:8	paid 168:16
239:2	oversee 155:9	162:13,15	203:14
outcome 31:24	157:21 163:12	229:4 242:2	paper 40:18
outcomes 42:16	164:1,22	owns 162:9	49:14 50:6
outcrop 86:16	165:14	p	paragraph
86:18 92:9,11	overseeing	p&a 144:19	54:16 79:20,23
127:18	46:17 118:9,11	168:21	192:14 193:21
outcrops 90:10	118:13,25	p.m. 132:19,19	193:23 194:5
127:12,13,17	154:25 158:5	151:24,24	196:22 198:12
138:8,9	163:16	190:17,17	202:10 204:1
outlier 129:19	overstepping	244:21,21	204:13 210:6
130:9,12 131:3	35:8	247:5	212:12 215:19
outlook.com	oversubscribed	p.o. 2:3,9	215:22 237:23
2:10	230:4	pa 3:2	238:21 239:16
outlying 144:14	overview 26:12	pack 39:13	parameters
output 79:7	26:21 53:24	package 17:21	32:17 48:25
126:1 131:10	166:18	81:13,17 82:7	61:25 213:3
outputs 126:24	overwhelms	92:18 93:3	pardon 139:11
131:9,13	138:22	95:7,12 176:2	part 7:7 13:16
outside 36:5	own 28:9,13	packet 16:22	14:21 18:8
41:7,8 115:24	38:14 41:22,23	17:22 153:1	19:21 20:1
158:18 159:11	43:4,9,15,20	padilla 2:9,11	24:9,10 29:15
163:16,17,21	60:20 64:3	200:11,12	30:15,16 36:1
164:3 177:24	84:21 88:22	padillalawnm	42:8 46:1
200:1,18 205:7	162:16 242:7,9	2:10	49:24 51:18
outstanding	242:17	page 3:10 4:2	57:7 73:15
226:17	owned 142:25	26:21 52:20	76:7 84:22
overall 38:18	150:4 224:24	54:3 92:16	102:5,19
39:15 103:15	owner 156:22	129:22 143:6	103:21 104:3,7
234:13	197:10,13	143:10,23	110:9 115:16
overlying 89:15	owners 150:2	147:10 153:1	151:14 152:17
overruled 85:2	156:7,16	176:23 177:1,2	152:17 155:7,8
187:5 228:8	196:25 197:3	177:3 183:20	155:25 156:12
241:10	197:22	pages 221:1	164:21 165:15
		pages 221.1	167:25 168:18

[part - person]

1.00 1.15 6.15	1116		F C 11 10
169:1 176:17	pass 44:16	peiferlaw.com	perm 56:11,18
185:10 191:14	45:14 47:8	3:4	56:23 57:1,14
192:4 194:4	49:20 50:3	penalties 173:1	61:23 66:16,21
196:17 204:19	59:12 106:14	pending 42:1	84:24 85:5,6
205:9,21 216:2	147:22 151:4	81:1 192:5	85:10,12,20
219:25 221:2,7	245:7	people 9:19	88:16,19 89:1
222:2 223:3	past 152:25	109:4 124:17	89:4,14,25
225:6,19	patent 235:2	178:14 200:14	90:4 117:18,18
226:13 228:16	pathway 111:9	237:5 245:23	119:24 120:6
230:21 233:19	pathways	percent 15:13	120:10,13
239:20 240:3	83:15 86:9	27:10 67:7	122:6,12,14
242:17 243:11	patterns	68:23 69:16,19	permeability
243:20 246:23	217:11	70:6,11 71:7	55:8,13 56:1,6
participate	pay 59:23	71:12,16 72:1	56:7 66:7
225:11	61:18,21 62:2	74:14,15,17,19	67:19 82:20
particular	62:10,18 66:1	74:20 75:21	83:2,5,8,15,20
128:25 198:15	125:11 209:19	78:15 79:3,14	83:24 84:9,16
particularly	215:25 221:16	130:23 142:23	100:17,25
129:1	222:4,9 227:8	142:24,25	121:14
parties 30:22	227:8	145:11 146:7	permian 6:15
31:22 80:8	payables	150:3 167:17	6:19 8:18
133:17 134:10	223:22 224:14	perf'ed 75:12	11:19,23 12:20
134:15 137:15	226:8,17	perfect 135:19	13:15 14:6
143:2 214:12	229:15	perforated	86:17 99:17
222:21 247:18	paying 145:23	75:9	100:1 113:14
parts 87:14	payment	perform 12:25	163:3 183:9
party 30:22	144:19 168:8	performance	214:3,9,16,20
31:22 33:19	169:16	76:8,11	permit 134:2
41:7,8,20 42:6	pc 2:12	performed	permits 150:18
42:14 43:7	pdf 153:1	40:13	181:22 209:10
148:9,13	peak 7:5 13:22	perfs 75:10,19	216:6
222:17,22	pecos 1:4	76:2	permitted
paso 154:13	pedro 2:13	period 75:20	101:13 150:17
155:6	peifer 3:2	159:21 217:21	person 139:6
		231:1	195:15

[personal - point]

personal 191:2	phil 162:8	pilot 3:5 106:20	planned 216:10
195:14 201:8	222:11 225:9	134:6,10 141:3	planning 15:4
210:10	phonetic 212:1	142:5 179:11	115:10 221:19
personally	photographs	208:5 213:24	plano 7:3
37:23 127:9	97:13	214:3,9,16,20	plans 6:5 101:4
224:21	photos 88:11	231:20 237:16	218:4 223:14
perspective	97:2,5 98:14	pink 24:8	225:7 228:18
14:19 15:3	physical 87:24	place 11:2,5,12	229:22
48:7 113:5	87:25 88:11	19:4 28:19	platform 8:22
petit 7:5	91:19,22 92:6	29:23 30:24	12:20 139:20
petrohawk 6:3	97:3 137:25	32:3 38:8,25	play 12:13
6:7,7,9 8:24	piazza 80:7,21	40:24 55:10,24	55:14 117:23
petrohawk's	80:25 84:1	59:4,17,23	plays 14:20
6:5	85:16,22 166:7	61:16 67:14,16	15:25 126:17
petroleum	175:15,18,22	67:22 68:3	plaza 3:3
18:18 100:14	176:13,13	72:4,8,13 74:9	pleadings
103:24 140:7,9	182:4,11,15,17	92:24 93:17	106:8
140:20 141:6	182:20 198:22	104:8 110:14	please 35:10,12
153:13,19	198:25	112:17 113:14	130:17 140:1
154:3,6 186:5	pick 58:23 64:9	114:8 116:11	plenty 135:11
220:23	82:9 89:14	119:7,11 124:1	229:10
petrophysical	100:21 132:1	125:12 126:25	plug 165:10
33:16,18 38:9	picked 10:9	129:11 131:6	243:22
43:8 57:16	39:25 82:9,11	145:10 146:21	plugged 77:10
112:5 127:23	83:20 84:9,24	147:9 201:5,9	165:5,21
petrophysicist	85:21,23 89:7	201:16	169:12,20
57:17 125:19	120:21 124:9	placed 22:2	plugging 32:25
126:8	picks 39:1,18	66:15	169:9,23 204:8
petrophysicists	64:8,10,11,13	plains 7:22	205:12,14,22
33:19 57:19	64:13,14 84:17	plan 8:20	206:2 244:8
petrophysics	pie 161:18	114:19 170:9	plus 204:18
109:24	162:5,7,10,16	217:1,22,23	225:25
ph.d. 138:8	162:23 177:13	218:1,7 219:20	point 6:21 8:11
phase 103:3	177:25 178:11	229:17	11:13 14:6,10
			18:15 23:7

[point - presented]

30:4,23 33:13 221:15 222:3 power 43:12 51:20 234:12 243:6 26:6 58:6,14 63:1 portions 87:7 36:8 80:15,17 83:16 164:7,8 227:15 108:9 92:20,21 93:4 poses 36:25 practions	r 108:3 rpoint 5 29:11 7 189:7 7 108:3 214:2 218: 219:2,4 22 219:7 219:7 219:7 219:16	24:20 64:4
43:12 51:20 234:12 243:6 26:6 58:6,14 63:1 portions 87:7 36:8 80:15,17 83:16 164:7,8 227:15 108:9 92:20,21 93:4 poses 36:25 practions	5 29:11 prepares 1 3 107:17 189:7 :4 110:15 preparing ical 84:15 157:	64:4
58:6,14 63:1 portions 87:7 36:8 80:15,17 83:16 164:7,8 227:15 108: 92:20,21 93:4 poses 36:25 practions practions 87:7	189:7 :4 110:15 preparing ical 84:15 157:	
80:15,17 83:16 164:7,8 227:15 108: 92:20,21 93:4 poses 36:25 pract i	:4 110:15 preparing 84:15 157:	18
92:20,21 93:4 poses 36:25 practi	ical 84:15 157:	18
		18
$100.0 \ 15 \ 110.7$ position 90.11 133	:21 219:16	
109.9,13 110.7 position 60.11 133.	· ·	
112:1,23 80:14 109:1 pract	ice 138:15 presence 3	2:3
113:23 115:17 141:24 186:13 prean	nble 35:10 104:1 123:	:20
115:20,21 210:18 212:18 prede	ecessor 123:22 24	1:4
117:4,5,6 positive 225:25 37:1	present 10	:22
119:23 120:4 possibility prefer	r 81:18 29:6 66:19)
122:19 124:6 71:17 134:	:9 82:3 88:12	•
125:4 126:12 possible 59:14 prefer	rable 98:21 99:7	,21
170:3,6 174:21 61:9 91:15 31:2	20 100:23 109	9:3
188:7 209:13 possibly 56:5 prefer	rence 110:13 128	3:12
236:24 58:18 86:25 212:	:22 138:9 160:	:9
pointed 167:12 post 86:8 prelim	ninarily 189:21 21:	5:6
points 33:23 133:12 135:3 101:	:6 presentation	1
76:6 112:24 243:18 prem s	aturely 26:22 27:1	8
pool 143:25 postgrad 5:13 239:	:12 121:21 129	9:16
144:2,9,10 potential 6:1 prem	ier 81:23 134:18 153	1:10
148:25,25 12:19 13:1,14 82:1	17,18 151:13 152	2:14
149:4,7 181:25 14:21 15:4,9 prepa	ration 167:4 180:	:1
182:10 183:22 23:20 46:23 85:8	3,19 104:14 183:17	
183:23,23 47:11,22 73:23 157:	:9 220:13 presentation	as
184:15 192:23 91:17,25 92:23 prepa	re 160:3 220:11	
193:4,6,7,11 100:3 101:4 163:	:19 211:3 presented	
pools 193:1,2 102:9 111:7 219:	:23 29:13 30:1	5
193:10,16 123:25 208:18 prepa	red 17:18 31:3 33:10),23
, ,	73:15 83:2	15
66:2 100:17,20 215:24 68:2	22 85:24 84:17 85:5	,6
130:15,20 potentially 88:2	24 164:4 110:21 115	5:19
portion 74:10 114:7,11 165:	:23 185:20 116:21,22	
130:5 165:25	:17,18 118:17 119	9:22

[presented - projections]

122.15 127.14	144.2 145.12	nuivata 6.10	206.11
123:15 127:14	144:3 145:13	private 6:18	206:11
167:20 176:7	150:3 154:11	16:14 159:2	producing
176:17 189:12	154:13 227:13	probably 11:4	79:25 80:11
218:2 219:14	price 148:8,9	15:13 51:4	81:6 126:1
220:15	148:15 168:6	59:3 60:10	production
presenting	168:16 203:10	61:23 62:4	11:3 18:16
133:18 176:24	203:13,20,21	74:14 93:19	20:25 23:6,8
230:8	204:3,7,9,20	108:1,25	75:24 76:4
president 140:6	244:6	112:13 121:20	89:16 114:13
164:6 173:24	primarily 7:17	124:16 131:3	115:12 117:1
186:14,15	8:14,24 9:24	132:21 172:22	126:19 149:22
201:18	10:17,23 11:4	220:8	165:5,10
presidents	11:19 13:15,18	problem 38:19	194:10,15,16
186:15	15:17,21,24	176:2	194:19 195:23
pressure	16:3,5 48:11	problems	217:5,12 235:1
238:15	61:4 118:21,24	148:19 227:17	240:1
pressured	158:12 159:4	proceed 32:5	productions
209:11	163:9 164:10	152:1 215:17	195:5
pressures	217:8 234:14	216:16 229:14	productive
237:24 238:2,7	primary 10:5,6	proceeding	194:1
pressuring	11:4 77:12	226:24 240:23	profit 161:7
150:10	principal	proceedings	profitable
pretty 64:7,23	134:15	1:7 199:18	234:21
109:6 111:25	prior 13:8 17:4	247:5,13,15	program 46:1
130:22	17:11,14 51:11	proceeds	226:18 234:15
prevalent 90:7	60:17 72:11	222:22	prohibit 114:6
prevent 82:6	77:2 84:15	process 10:20	project 38:24
previous 80:4	100:3 118:1,3	23:12 94:15	42:24 43:4
84:5 121:25	121:25 127:19	110:4 210:7	51:12 112:8
160:13 175:7	147:24 170:11	246:24	220:18 224:12
184:5 213:1	203:19 215:20	produced	226:2
225:24 235:4	216:21	24:13 25:14	projected
previously 38:3	priority 208:14	118:23 142:13	238:11
44:19 99:16	pritchard	194:6 195:2	projections
100:7 117:12	174:25	196:4 198:14	242:12

[projects - quantify]

projects 8:3	115:23 150:19	psa 241:13,20	181:11,12
13:13,20	185:8 218:9	public 1:1	purchaser
promise 129:25	prospect	16:13 43:19	240:9 241:22
promptly 247:2	149:22	158:25 168:11	242:1,6
pronounce	protect 143:1	168:18 195:8	purchases
9:15	protecting	195:10,16,21	208:15
proof 211:5,10	150:6	221:7	purported
properties	protest 200:2	publicly 45:3	101:4
144:15 146:5	210:9 212:15	published 39:6	purpose 47:15
154:24 155:5	prove 120:17	pull 17:23	purposes 92:21
155:13,15	128:7	19:20 52:14	pursue 228:3
156:8 157:25	provide 33:22	87:17 191:13	236:11
175:25 176:3,4	46:18 76:6	pulled 15:20	put 6:4 8:20
204:22 210:16	110:11 223:12	20:12 48:1	17:22 23:9
215:5 229:11	234:3	183:18 194:25	38:25 86:4
234:20 242:3	provided 26:7	207:23	91:6 93:4,12
property 94:18	30:13 37:9	pump 76:1	97:2 116:11,21
145:23 155:22	39:2 43:22	pumped 116:2	119:6 165:5,10
155:23 156:1,2	44:3 48:18	pumping 21:25	165:22 174:5
156:5 169:3	53:8,10 82:13	99:5	176:2 180:7,14
173:15 174:5	119:8 169:2	purchase 17:1	199:9
177:14 178:4	179:21 180:3,7	147:10,16,17	putting 108:8
202:25 203:1	180:19 209:2,5	147:20 148:2,8	puzzles 108:9
proportionate	provides	155:2 159:24	q
79:17	147:16,18,23	168:6,12,13,15	qs 157:10,21
proposal	148:11	181:16 203:20	160:3,9,14,22
219:18	providing	203:21 204:1,3	163:22 164:1
proposed 19:23	153:24	204:6,9 207:13	164:18 235:7
101:8 115:9,18	provision	208:13,18	qualified
148:22 181:19	172:17 208:12	240:4,21 241:7	140:19 154:2,5
218:24 219:2	209:3,6 240:8	242:2,17 243:9	quality 19:2
219:24,24	241:20,24	244:6	179:24 243:4
220:18	243:12,24	purchased	quantify
proposing	provisions	11:20 155:1	108:13
99:18 115:14	147:13 242:24	159:11 171:6	100.13

[quantity - rankin]

quantity 243:4	quick 47:8	10:1 11:1 12:1	100:1 101:1
quarter 231:1	76:19 95:15	13:1 14:1 15:1	102:1 103:1
232:12	132:3 133:4	16:1 17:1 18:1	104:1,21,25
quarterly	quite 54:3	19:1 20:1 21:1	120:20 124:5
157:12,14	66:17 118:4	22:1 23:1 24:1	124:24 135:14
158:6 220:14	162:4	25:1 26:1 27:1	135:15 137:24
question 28:11	quorum 132:6	28:1 29:1 30:1	140:23 141:25
32:10,12 34:10	quote 194:6	31:1 32:1 33:1	151:7,8,17,19
34:11,12,20,22	216:2 221:8	34:1,5,7,12,19	151:21 152:1,2
35:1,9,12	240:9 242:1	34:21,22 35:1	152:7,8,10
58:13 76:20	r	35:5,8 36:1	153:1 154:1
78:9 79:24	r 143:6,22	37:1 38:1 39:1	155:1 156:1
80:3 95:15	r.r. 44:23 45:18	40:1 41:1 42:1	157:1 158:1
103:21 108:10	45:23 95:17,20	43:1 44:1 45:1	159:1 160:1
112:14 116:12	96:4,13,14,20	46:1 47:1 48:1	161:1 162:1
120:6 121:10	96:24 97:5,19	49:1 50:1 51:1	163:1 164:1
122:9 128:18	97:23	52:1 53:1 54:1	165:1 166:1
130:1 135:13	radius 137:17	55:1 56:1 57:1	167:1 168:1
176:9 177:15	212:19,20	58:1 59:1 60:1	169:1 170:1
192:15 200:15	213:9 214:23	61:1 62:1 63:1	171:1 172:1
210:2 218:19	raise 139:14	64:1 65:1 66:1	173:1 174:1
218:20 224:18	225:8,12	67:1 68:1 69:1	175:1 176:1
questioning	229:14,17	70:1 71:1 72:1	177:1 178:1,21
226:23	232:3	73:1 74:1 75:1	179:1,16 180:1
questions	raised 135:13	76:1,12,13,18	181:1 182:1
104:22 105:10	ranch 158:18	76:23,25 77:1	183:1 184:1
106:17,21	range 29:15,19	77:14,24,25	185:1 186:1
107:1,2,5,7,22	30:2,12 34:14	78:1,2 79:1	187:1,3,6
109:13 123:7	49:18 83:6	80:1 81:1 82:1	188:1,4,5,9,14
124:6 126:11	127:11	83:1 84:1,3	188:18 189:1
135:7,8,14,15	ranges 127:2	85:1 86:1 87:1	190:1,3,13,21
151:9 181:15	ranging 74:13	88:1 89:1 90:1	190:22,24
188:11 244:16	rankin 3:12,17	91:1 92:1 93:1	191:1 192:1
245:3,6,12	5:1,2,4,7 6:1	94:1 95:1 96:1	193:1 194:1
	7:1 8:1 9:1	97:1 98:1 99:1	195:1 196:1

[rankin - record]

197:1 198:1	rather 31:10	realtime 32:23	222:15
199:1 200:1	42:4 56:1	32:23	receiving
201:1 202:1,21	78:15 188:19	reanalysis 46:6	219:16 235:6
203:1 204:1	190:4 219:16	reason 21:4	recess 77:19
	244:1		
205:1 206:1,7		41:3,5 60:10	132:19 151:24
206:15 207:1,6	ratio 224:2	64:22 91:13	190:17 211:24
207:11,20	rationale 32:17	220:17 227:3	244:21
208:1,10 209:1	razatos 106:25	228:3 244:4	recessed 247:5
210:1 211:1	107:2 245:13	reasonable	recipient 211:9
212:1 213:1	245:18,21,25	106:7	reckoning
214:1 215:1	246:9,17,22	reasons 241:15	112:9
216:1 217:1	reach 198:8	rebut 134:17	recognize
218:1 219:1	reached 199:15	rebuttal 29:16	173:4 175:11
220:1 221:1	reacting 221:19	30:17 81:5	recognized
222:1 223:1	read 27:13,14	105:22 163:2	141:6
224:1 225:1	86:10 194:7	recall 28:7,8,12	recommendat
226:1 227:1,2	195:1 216:7	57:5 59:8,15	17:3,5 32:4
228:1,9 229:1	221:12,24	60:5,12 62:25	51:16
230:1 231:1,8	234:6 235:14	63:6,10 69:1	recommendat
231:25 232:1,1	240:14 242:14	71:8 94:5	31:15 219:13
233:1 234:1	243:6 244:3	95:16,19 136:5	219:22
235:1 236:1,17	reading 32:24	136:16 166:21	reconvene
236:21,25	128:5 187:9	167:8,23	246:12 247:2
237:1,6,21	243:7	169:10 182:14	record 20:11
238:1 239:1	ready 77:21	197:8,24 212:4	20:14 21:16
240:1,24 241:1	132:22,23	217:7 237:24	35:5 119:6
241:3,11 242:1	152:1 190:19	recap 5:17	121:19 133:1
243:1 244:1,13	244:23	receipt 211:13	133:10 134:25
244:20 245:1,1	real 76:19	receipts 211:16	140:2 149:18
245:2 246:1	95:15 211:22	receive 210:13	151:23 152:4
247:1	realizing 58:18	212:13	185:23 187:4
rate 67:11	really 107:17	received	190:10,19
148:2 221:22	113:22 123:1	194:19 203:22	191:24 206:12
rates 23:3	126:23 128:6	205:16 210:12	207:18 211:13
221:23	210:2	210:19 212:10	236:18 247:4

[recorded - remediation]

recorded 82:12	reduced 169:15	refusal 147:20	relations
records 17:17	203:21	regarding	164:22
20:23 21:5,19	reduces 221:16	133:12 198:2	relationship
105:14 210:20	222:4	208:13 233:2	162:15,21
recover 167:6	reduction	239:7,23	relative 56:24
188:20	61:16	regardless	224:16
recoverability	refer 22:25	99:20 100:8	release 169:18
116:5,10 243:4	49:3 79:21	111:23	relevance
recoverable	83:8 87:21	regards 116:16	227:1 240:19
104:2,6 109:19	119:11 238:22	125:9	241:2
109:21 110:1,8	reference 63:4	region 16:4	relevant 226:24
145:15	63:7 146:25	36:2	reliance 136:4
recovered	149:4	regional 8:9	180:6,18
114:19 142:19	references	15:3 35:25	relied 63:11
166:21	112:18 134:25	138:7	173:11 242:6
recovery 7:10	referencing	regionally	242:17
8:1 9:2,11	112:11 239:19	90:10	rely 16:9 95:7
10:12 12:2	referred 83:4	regulate 173:3	136:9,10
36:25 101:8	90:3 207:14	relate 49:1	138:16 172:21
104:10 113:18	222:9	88:15 89:13	181:9
113:20 116:6	referring 29:21	235:9	relying 87:20
145:21 147:14	42:25 87:21	related 32:8	88:8,10 95:11
150:7	92:4,6 94:1	82:7 162:6,7	95:13 136:8,12
recurring	109:4 119:18	162:12 163:5	194:22 195:17
230:12	122:10,12	171:21 187:10	209:20,20
redirect 131:21	198:24 212:7	219:23 222:17	238:18 239:24
131:23 132:2	217:14 239:16	223:23 224:18	remains 198:17
133:17 135:1	refers 91:24	226:17 242:3	remapped 40:6
136:1,1 137:1	92:5 127:16	247:18	remediated
138:1,19	reflected 54:16	relates 54:8	169:20 170:6
redline 54:17	reflects 81:13	relating 86:13	remediation
redlined 53:25	207:13	147:14 227:15	144:22 168:23
redo 40:22	refunded	243:3	169:3,6,9
41:16,20,22	165:25	relation 49:7	170:9,12,14,16
		183:3	170:18,19,23

[remediation - reservoir]

204:8	243:3 247:12	represented	244:9
remember 21:7	reported 25:17	68:17 142:17	requirement
27:15 28:21	26:4 168:12	230:7	184:19,23
51:1 57:5	203:5 221:3,8	representing	185:11 208:14
60:11 75:22	232:11 233:6	146:4 187:13	244:9
120:20 121:6	reporter 5:1	189:24 201:24	requirements
122:22 130:21	244:24	represents	228:16 230:1
138:2 167:9	reporting	145:17 194:14	requires
remind 70:13	149:8 160:15	reps 171:1	184:25 186:23
135:20	168:18 221:2	request 132:5	requiring
removed 114:9	231:1 244:10	175:8 198:20	227:25
render 159:23	reports 104:14	198:24 200:20	reread 39:3
rendered	104:18 158:7	201:13 202:12	rerun 52:23
161:20	163:13 166:6	202:19 212:24	resealing 97:20
rep 171:1	189:7 194:24	213:5,11 233:2	research 172:8
repaired 165:5	195:20	requested	173:8,22
repeat 28:10	represent 27:7	52:23 198:13	178:18 186:19
repeating	146:5 147:8	198:23 201:19	reserve 104:9
186:25	189:5 190:1	212:25	104:14,17
rephrase 64:4	representation	requesting	134:16
98:25 200:15	76:7 129:3	188:1	reserves 19:9
replaced 37:24	171:3,6 173:10	requests	98:20,24 99:2
replacing 116:1	179:23 181:9	135:17 201:2	100:5 103:21
report 53:4	243:1	require 170:19	103:23,25
96:14,25	representations	170:20,22	157:24 160:15
157:12 158:7	145:6,25	required	188:21,22
160:19 161:4	172:20 173:11	154:19 163:20	209:15,17
164:13 165:18	180:15 181:5,7	165:9,22 166:7	223:3
168:10 176:25	190:2 241:21	169:4 170:7	reservoir 56:25
203:9 207:13	representative	224:16 225:6	56:25 57:11,18
220:22 223:17	129:4 202:16	226:8,15	62:21 67:24
230:17 231:2,6	242:13	228:11,14,17	80:2 88:21
232:5,8,9	representatives	229:13 230:1,9	99:8 102:16
233:14,19,20	180:4	230:13 232:9	153:21 157:22
235:14,25		233:1 234:4	166:9 186:7
		1	

[reservoir - revoked]

219:9 239:25	164:22 165:16	revenues	revise 49:14,17
reservoirs 16:3	204:8	203:19 243:5	revised 29:8,8
residual 8:1,5,7	responsibility	review 10:18	37:9 40:9
10:15,22 11:8	14:23 155:9	16:21 38:16,22	45:13 47:23
11:8,16 12:7	166:5,14	39:1 43:8	50:23 52:16,17
12:14 22:13	responsible	44:10 47:2	53:18 54:8,9
92:24 145:8,16	154:16,25	52:25 53:3	55:9 58:15
146:13,16	157:8,20 158:5	67:20 80:20	59:17 63:19
208:25 209:12	163:22 169:2	84:16,23 85:10	64:2 65:20
resolved	170:12 227:14	88:2 105:14	74:24 75:2
187:18	235:6	106:2,6 160:11	79:21 98:6,12
resources 2:23	restate 35:11	164:7,8,18	98:15,17
6:11,19 16:24	rested 132:25	174:10 175:20	105:17 106:2
157:4 158:10	restored	175:23 191:8	112:19 127:10
236:8	219:10	192:8	128:14 129:15
respect 10:16	result 19:8	reviewed 17:17	193:10
14:24 183:4	42:12 62:17	17:21 18:1,2	revising 58:21
215:15	63:16 189:18	26:8 38:25	59:4 61:5
respond 125:7	216:11 221:14	40:6 64:1 65:3	revision 45:14
126:4 199:23	223:23 224:12	84:12 96:25	55:15 59:22
201:21 202:2	227:9 229:21	97:1 99:12	112:10,19
240:25	resulted 61:7	137:25 152:14	125:10
responded	results 31:2	167:19,19	revisions 54:13
199:24 201:13	34:15,16 42:22	191:2 193:9	54:14
response 74:6,9	43:1 53:11	reviewer	revoke 36:20
163:2 165:15	77:6 119:22	179:22	115:15 191:3,7
166:3 171:9	121:7	reviewing	191:14,16
200:22 201:14	resume 152:19	10:15 18:7	192:1,9,11
201:25 202:1,7	153:2,9,12	38:17,21 40:24	196:11 198:2
responses	retain 211:2	41:15 42:11	209:10 213:6
126:3 171:20	retire 159:17	68:21 106:1	213:12 215:10
246:6	return 133:5	153:12 179:21	216:6
responsibilities	returned	227:14	revoked 150:18
14:17 15:2	211:10	reviews 13:19	236:2
154:22 157:17		17:14	

[rewind - sale]

rewind 5:3	95:23,24 102:1	rights 15:6	101:13 104:8
rice 3:1 36:23	102:25 105:17	125:3 143:2	110:14 113:7
106:16 134:6	105:23 106:4	150:1,8 186:12	113:14,25
134:10 141:1	106:13,15,23	189:14 196:24	114:15 123:20
142:3 179:9	107:4,22 108:4	210:1 225:8,11	123:25 145:20
208:3 214:16	112:7 114:8	230:3	146:6 147:4
231:18 237:14	120:22,25	ripley 1:10	171:3 180:23
245:10,15,19	121:3 129:21	rise 31:9 33:25	181:13 208:23
rice's 36:4	132:24 133:1,3	221:23 230:10	215:24
247:3	134:20 135:20	risk 19:13	rozatos 1:11
ridgefield	136:24 137:7	36:25	rubin 213:2
158:18	138:13 139:2	robert 158:16	rules 187:10
right 8:11,25	139:13,14,17	171:18 174:2	run 49:16,17
11:19 13:5	140:16 141:11	174:17 178:5	49:21 50:2
15:13 16:4,16	145:3 146:18	robust 109:6	51:22 57:24
20:5,20,24	147:20,21,22	118:4	59:25 72:15,15
21:24 22:2,8	148:5,10,14	rock 19:11	95:3 112:11
23:10 25:24	149:5 150:6	56:25 90:13	118:2,2,4
26:9,13 27:4	151:20 152:3,5	112:25 128:4,4	120:23
28:7,19 29:5	156:6 157:13	rock's 90:12	running 50:3
31:3 35:7 37:8	160:16,19	rocks 48:9	ryno 29:23
37:13,19 44:23	165:13,20	role 13:10	30:1,7,8
48:15 51:5	167:12 168:5	14:23 46:18	S
53:8,23 54:22	173:13 176:10	117:23 159:20	s 1:5
57:20 60:8	181:2,20	160:6,13	saint 1:5
65:21 68:8	190:14,21	161:11 166:15	salt 1.3 sale 95:7
69:7 70:19	202:23 203:2	rolling 133:16	147:11,16
71:10,24 73:10	204:25 205:3	room 174:9	155:2 159:24
73:13,25 76:2	215:12 217:8	177:4,7	168:13 172:9
76:22 77:22	226:6 230:24	rosa 158:19	176:21 181:16
78:7 79:18	231:22 233:4	rose 54:14	203:10 207:13
81:13 84:1	236:25 240:9	rotation 7:19	208:13 240:4
87:5 88:17	241:10 245:1,9	roz 11:5,12	240:21 241:8
90:1 92:8	245:20 246:3	23:20 90:20	243:9
94:23 95:1,22	246:25	93:5,9,12 94:6	2 4 3.7

[sales - scoot]

sales 16:22	68:24,25 70:14	sands 6:14 7:5	73:2 74:11,12
17:21,21 92:18	73:4 74:6	7:18 8:14 9:9	74:13 111:20
93:3 95:12	80:12 81:20,24	11:25	117:11 129:7,9
136:4 145:7	82:8,10,15	sandstone	129:11 131:1
171:1 176:2	83:11 85:12,13	82:17,18	saw 74:2,21
180:14 203:17	88:19 89:3,6,7	santa 1:5 2:4,7	92:22 110:15
saltwater 23:23	90:3 92:10	2:10,18,24 3:7	121:3,3,4,7
26:2 99:19	93:13 96:16	158:19	126:25 127:6
100:4,7 112:3	98:20,25	santoyo 2:12	183:13 195:1
115:1,4,15	100:21 101:9	satisfactory	219:21
149:8 155:18	101:13,14,19	223:1	saying 48:13
176:6 183:5,6	103:5,18,24	satisfy 224:13	71:17,23 83:1
183:18 184:2,8	104:5 108:12	226:16	107:20 121:7
198:17 216:19	108:12,14,16	saturation	122:13,25
216:22 217:12	109:1 111:1,2	27:20 28:1	127:24 136:7
241:5	111:5,6 116:18	56:18 66:5	181:7 184:1
sample 65:15	116:24 119:19	67:7,11,11,20	193:4 204:6,12
130:14	120:1,8,14	67:22 68:23	204:14 212:12
sampling	121:5 122:7,13	69:10,15 70:6	212:14 232:23
125:25	123:21 125:2	70:11,21 71:1	says 53:3 54:13
san 2:13,14	126:18 129:23	71:13,25 72:7	177:3,6 181:1
12:22,24 13:2	143:14 144:5	72:19,19,22	234:7 244:3
18:4,16 19:5	145:9 146:19	73:3,7 75:3	scale 27:11,15
22:13,18 23:20	147:7 149:3,10	78:11,16,24	27:17 28:8,12
24:14 25:15,16	149:19 150:11	112:16 128:8,8	28:16,21,24
25:21 26:16,24	167:24 184:3,4	129:2 131:2	64:25
27:21,22,24	185:7 192:21	145:11 146:7	scenarios 48:4
28:2 29:4	193:20,25	saturation's	121:2 126:6
35:22 37:1	194:7,11,12	130:23	scheduling
39:16 48:11,12	196:4,8,17,20	saturations	133:12
48:14 51:9	196:23 209:1	26:9,19 27:1,7	school 5:19,20
58:4,23 59:25	215:24 238:2,8	28:5 29:5	7:2
61:13 62:20	238:16,24	50:10,13,19	science 138:12
64:14,20 65:25	sand 81:23	53:5 62:7,8,9	scoot 105:8
66:3,5,6 67:2,8		62:16 71:7	

[scope - separate]

	I	I	
scope 45:13	143:23 147:15	171:20 172:10	selected 47:10
128:12	150:23,24	175:10 177:1	47:17 83:2
screen 78:3	237:22 240:7	183:9,13,25	self 29:8 65:12
153:3,6 175:9	240:21 241:12	184:9 190:15	79:21
181:1 183:15	242:20 243:19	190:20 191:18	sell 148:1,15
191:19,21,23	sections 39:19	191:21,22	172:8
192:6 232:1	55:2 92:10	192:5 194:20	seller 16:12
scroll 130:16	securities	202:25 205:24	148:12 242:14
177:1	157:23 172:10	219:20 220:23	242:21 243:1
se 117:16	172:11,23	221:4 228:21	sellers 16:10
search 183:16	173:2,3 179:19	229:2,10 230:2	selling 159:25
sec 157:9	security 172:9	232:12 246:7	210:7
160:12 164:2	172:10,12,16	seeing 12:10,11	semantics
164:13 168:10	172:17 179:18	29:2 36:1	204:6
203:4,5 207:12	see 7:22 11:2	117:12,20	seminole 12:12
207:23 221:2	21:16 26:20	126:18 127:2	12:22
224:16 226:13	27:11,13,17	seek 43:6	send 183:17
228:14 230:17	42:21 53:25	215:10 216:5	210:24
244:10	69:5 71:18,20	seeking 36:19	sending 37:5
sec's 228:16	72:20 74:13	36:23 150:18	senior 14:13,19
second 45:14	76:19 77:22	154:2,5	140:6 186:13
132:13,14	78:4 86:5	seemed 117:19	186:14 189:3
133:7,8 176:23	90:13,18 91:2	seems 61:18	219:15 220:4
177:2	91:15 96:14	79:2	sense 246:15
secondary	97:9 99:22,25	seen 17:20	sensitive 27:23
83:14 100:16	105:8 110:19	57:13 88:11	sent 46:24,25
100:20	112:25 115:9	97:7,11 121:15	170:17 211:6
section 20:1,2	117:8 121:8	176:18 194:24	sentence 54:7
24:10,11,11	123:9 128:12	211:15 212:9	54:10 63:3
29:20 53:23	129:7,8,10,17	238:25	216:2,3
68:4,5,13 71:5	129:20 130:5,5	seg 46:12	sentences 54:22
76:9,16 81:10	130:19,20,24	segregated	separate 46:15
89:17 90:19,25	131:6 139:7	156:6	92:25 170:21
91:5,11,15	145:16 146:18	select 47:22	213:16,16
95:23 130:7	152:5 153:6		

[september - simandoux]

	T	I	I
september 44:1	135:1,8,22	sharing 153:3	89:18 109:3
51:6 161:17,20	136:1,2 137:1	175:9 191:19	129:1 146:3
173:14,15	138:1,19,24	sharon 2:5	213:8
174:14 178:16	139:1,1,5,18,19	shelf 40:20	shut 212:21
series 76:1	139:23 140:1	shifts 95:16	sic 173:17
111:17	140:18 141:1,9	short 131:25	175:6 176:9
serve 82:5	141:20 142:1	151:12 201:23	207:22
83:14	142:10 143:1	shorthand	side 11:23
served 214:3,11	144:1 145:1	247:13	13:16,19 16:9
214:18	146:1 147:1	shortly 52:15	29:25 30:1,9,9
serves 103:9	148:1 149:1	show 19:18,20	30:12,12,25
service 214:16	150:1 151:1,3	30:5,9,11	33:24,24 34:2
session 132:7,9	151:6,13 179:3	64:23 65:1,1	34:15 73:19
133:5,11	183:17 187:3	74:1 82:19,22	102:15,16
set 8:6 17:25	206:17,18	82:23 83:10	104:9 123:16
18:2 116:4	207:17 226:22	89:24 92:22	128:15 134:4,5
125:25 180:1	231:13 236:14	120:10 122:18	side's 134:18
247:13	237:10 240:18	139:3 146:10	sign 160:11
sets 75:10	shale 6:13 8:25	146:12,20,22	211:9
settle 199:16	9:8,19,20 10:2	147:3 183:16	signature 166:1
settled 209:16	10:3 11:25	227:6,7,11,16	247:23
209:18	shales 7:18	238:25	signed 104:17
settlement	shallower	showed 74:8	104:17
212:6,9	93:18	107:25 120:20	significance
seven 158:11	shandler 1:14	145:8	143:9,19 146:8
194:13 217:8	132:3,13,15	showing 32:2	significant 28:1
seventh 172:5	133:3,7,9,15	83:17 92:10	143:4 145:22
several 162:9	shanor 2:6	93:2 121:8	148:18 150:2,9
171:7 199:18	share 151:13	128:20,22	166:16 172:3
201:21	183:15	143:7 146:23	199:20 206:5
shaheen 2:5	shareholders	shown 130:10	208:23 215:23
3:13,16,16	230:5	130:13	229:3
34:4,17 35:4	shares 222:20	shows 19:22,24	signing 157:20
84:2,25 131:20	222:21 225:12	31:25 73:23	simandoux
131:22 133:17		74:2,3,23	63:4,7,11

[similar - solutions]

		I	
similar 127:1,7	173:20,23	220:20,25	six 26:1 75:10
231:5	174:19 175:13	221:5,13 222:1	75:19 76:1
similarly 31:11	175:21 176:15	222:7,12,18,23	115:6 136:20
238:21	176:19 178:2,8	223:5,10,15,18	136:23 217:7
simmons 2:8	180:8,11,13,25	223:25 224:4,6	size 16:23
simply 228:5	181:3,8,10,14	224:10,15	skip 242:6
sincerest 246:1	184:1,17 185:9	225:5,14,18,23	slide 26:21
single 25:17	185:16 186:3	226:1,11	29:14 73:15
68:7 170:14,16	188:25 189:15	228:20 229:16	89:20 107:20
193:11	189:20 191:9	229:20 230:2	107:25 108:1
singular 124:15	191:12,22	230:15 231:4,7	143:3 146:2,3
sir 107:11,14	192:10,13	232:20,25	146:9,22
113:20 121:22	193:1,3,12,14	233:13,25	150:13,14
125:5 131:15	193:18,22	234:6,16,19	181:17 183:17
139:9 152:12	194:2,9,24	235:12,15,19	203:1
152:20,24	195:11,24	235:22 236:9	slides 17:24
153:8,11 154:4	196:1,19 197:4	237:25 238:9	69:3 152:14
154:7,15,20	197:12,15	238:13,17,20	166:18
155:11,16	198:4,7 199:8	239:9,18,21	slightly 87:3
156:3,9,11,15	199:24 201:6,7	240:6 241:14	small 7:3
156:19,25	201:12 202:4,8	241:19,23	smaller 13:12
157:6,11 158:4	202:15,20	242:19,23	snipped 73:20
158:8,24	203:3,16 204:5	243:7,13,17,24	software 131:6
159:17 160:5	204:15 205:12	244:11 246:23	131:10
160:17,20	205:25 208:16	sit 31:18 32:14	sold 6:21 13:8
161:6,9,14,24	209:7 210:22	33:9,21 102:7	158:24 172:15
162:2,17	211:15 212:17	169:10 202:2	172:16 215:4
163:17 164:3	212:22 213:14	site 241:18	222:20
164:17,20,24	213:17,21,25	sites 169:6	sole 41:3
165:17 166:8	214:2 215:2,4	170:5,18	solely 199:13
166:23 167:11	215:18 216:8	sitting 71:9	242:6
167:16,18,22	216:18,24	73:23	solo 13:4
168:1,8,15,19	217:3,19,21	situation 72:14	solutions
168:22 169:25	218:11,21,25	133:22	247:24
171:4,7 173:17	219:3 220:6,11		

[solve - statement]

solve 123:11	southwest 25:3	spent 38:17	started 5:10,25
solved 108:9	92:12	232:13	6:17,18 9:23
somebody 8:20	speak 21:19	spikes 71:18	9:24 12:10,11
238:18	29:1 94:21	74:21	14:14 42:11,17
son 162:10	102:1 109:14	spoke 175:4	42:21,23
sophisticated	109:20 114:22	184:12,13	116:19 118:2
242:1	117:1,15 118:5	202:18 205:4	216:15
sore 170:7	119:3 123:5	210:11	starting 5:23
sorry 27:20	125:4 127:25	spot 76:19	24:19,20 25:2
29:10 35:11	136:11 175:4	170:7	43:3
82:16 91:5	184:10 185:24	spread 34:1	state 1:2 82:13
95:18 121:25	186:1 201:1	69:22	82:14 83:7
129:20 136:25	speaking 32:18	spring 22:6	89:9 92:17
153:5 155:24	42:8 122:3	173:13,16	140:1 142:22
177:2,21	129:21	staff 101:8	142:23,25
185:25 191:20	specially 149:7	163:13 171:12	143:16 144:23
205:1 207:2	specific 30:1	171:13 173:8	150:4,8 167:14
215:8 232:22	42:25 54:12	186:18 189:6	167:17 168:23
233:8 245:15	134:25 185:12	189:24 240:2	169:6,16 170:5
sort 18:8,11	202:12	stake 162:16	170:8,10,15,21
76:16 103:20	specifically	stand 39:12	170:25 191:1
161:7	47:15 66:4	215:20 246:16	197:6 198:13
sought 184:7	167:9 185:6	standards	204:15 210:6
sounds 9:19	specifics 77:4	138:12	215:22
21:3 22:8	89:12	standpoint	stated 133:10
41:20 51:5	specifies 194:9	31:23 110:5,10	150:3 166:19
source 148:3	speculate 23:11	114:1 116:7,13	168:7 203:12
223:8	60:9	starbuck	204:2 225:16
south 2:23 6:5	spencer 2:3	234:14	statement 29:9
16:6 147:1	spend 199:20	start 5:17,24	53:1 65:12
204:16 205:5	210:8 212:15	55:3,23 106:25	79:21 90:6
210:8 218:17	spending	107:19 116:8	92:3 94:10
southeast 20:1	223:23 226:17	118:7 126:23	98:18 153:10
southern 24:10	229:5 232:15	135:7 154:11	187:8 194:17
	235:24		202:9 204:12

[statement - support]

209:25 210:6	strationanhy	stupid 20:12	subsidiary
212:11 215:19	stratigraphy 59:13	stupid 20:12 suazo 3:8	144:15 180:16
235:13 239:15	stricken 190:12	106:21 141:4	substantial
statements	strike 190:4	142:6 179:12	29:4 125:15
95:7,11 141:16	strong 172:19	207:1,2 208:6	233:22
172:18 190:7	structural	231:21 237:17	substantially
194:23 200:5	18:10 24:24,25	246:10	221:9 222:19
238:19 239:22	25:6,7,10,16	subject 153:25	successful
242:12	39:14 64:25	172:7 186:23	234:25
states 53:9	86:13	187:8 188:24	sufficient
158:11 172:6	structure 18:3	submission	227:22
193:24 195:4	18:8 19:17,19	158:6	sufficiently
227:16 240:8	23:8 24:17,21	submit 105:22	233:15
242:25	25:1,13 35:21	135:5	suing 197:17
status 161:4	36:13 39:19	submits 164:1	suit 213:18
223:20 230:14	64:20 87:8,9	submitted 38:4	214:8,9 215:5
231:2,3 232:10	87:15 90:8	38:10 39:22	suite 2:17 3:2
ste 2:13	100:16 146:10	40:8,9 52:15	summarized
steered 80:23	studies 86:16	83:21,22 85:9	152:21
stenographic	86:18 90:9	105:16 127:21	summary 5:9
247:13	127:18 138:7	submitting	15:23 17:24,25
steps 125:22	218:1 240:12	135:3	26:7 29:13
219:19	study 45:16	subpart 242:7	35:20 73:15
steve 91:23	46:4,19 47:3	242:7	75:7,15 81:16
steven 5:10	47:10,16,21	subpoena	150:25 152:13
185:21	48:2 87:22	171:20 174:23	176:21,24,25
stop 174:3	88:2,23 127:16	178:17	178:23 202:24
stopped 22:23	127:19 138:8	subscribe	208:11
171:25 218:18	189:11,16	225:12	supply 24:7,9
stopping 188:7	190:1	subsea 93:5	24:13 26:3
200:18	stuff 161:2	180:24 181:13	87:11 91:1
store 217:11	163:5 171:9,12	subsequent	136:23 229:24
story 65:1,2	174:12 220:14	23:13 121:2	support 90:6
stratigraphic	239:1	178:13 185:5	137:25 216:5
19:16 94:4			227:22 228:1

[supporting - telling]

supporting	227:4	228:23 244:14	tear 211:2,4
134:25 163:23	swabbed 75:19	taken 77:19	technical 5:1
sure 5:4 22:8	swd 19:25 21:9	94:17,25	188:22 237:23
24:8 35:13	21:24 24:1	125:23 132:19	238:1
36:23 38:1	29:23 136:15	151:24 165:18	techniques
39:3,8,10,14	137:1,2,10	190:17 201:9	32:21 51:22
40:6 44:16	191:17 192:3	210:2 219:19	technology
69:4 76:17	216:6	244:21 247:10	40:18 138:22
83:17 102:9	swds 136:18	talk 73:14	telephone
103:1 109:7	213:8,13	76:10 82:3	199:2,14
112:8 113:5	sweeney 198:23	101:3 118:14	tell 27:9 31:19
119:10 123:6	199:14	177:21 203:24	55:19 59:1
127:20 129:21	sweeney's	talked 110:16	71:11 75:6,18
151:15,18	198:25	122:22 127:17	81:16 86:20
198:21 202:11	swenergylaw	127:18 136:3	108:7,10
202:21 207:20	2:14	146:25 197:25	109:17 110:25
212:5 219:14	sworn 139:16	talking 30:19	113:21 118:14
220:1 236:18	141:18 153:10	33:3 88:21	123:18 124:25
243:9 244:15	153:12	122:2 131:15	142:10 143:3
246:14	system 114:5	target 102:10	144:11 162:5,6
surface 144:23	155:6	targeted	171:10 181:17
156:16,17,22	systems 7:12	101:24	202:11
197:16,18	16:3	targets 15:8	tellez 77:21
surprised	t	tasked 30:21	132:25 152:4
51:19,21	t 2:5	45:12	190:19 244:22
125:13	table 65:16	tax 242:9	247:8,24
surprising	129:22	team 6:8,9,17	telling 12:15
122:25	tables 65:23	7:20 15:7 23:6	52:11 64:12,16
survey 170:9	take 76:14,21	43:10 109:22	85:15 87:5,12
suspect 121:17	77:14,17 87:17	113:4,5,6,10	101:18 171:2,5
134:11	95:14 122:23	115:13 117:20	177:8,19,23
suspend 236:5	123:19 135:22	117:23 119:15	180:5,9 182:11
suspended	151:12 171:24	119:23 124:9	185:17 186:24
216:4,25	188:8,11 190:8	125:7 210:18	212:8 213:10
217:24 220:3	199:20 211:3	241:15	214:11 217:16

[template - thickness]

40	4004 : C	100.4 112.0 10	15.10 16.6
template 28:15	testifying 34:6	108:4 112:9,10	15:18 16:6
temporarily	34:18 84:3	112:19 117:3	158:12 159:5
165:12,21	114:24 140:8	120:16 122:11	163:11 164:15
169:14	186:5,6 187:4	125:10 127:10	172:10 173:2
ten 77:18	209:24	127:16 128:14	179:18 209:16
134:17 142:13	testimony	129:16 136:5	227:12,21
tens 114:4	19:22 22:25	140:15 141:10	229:5,10
terminate	25:24 29:16	141:14,18	234:18 235:10
199:17	37:9,10 38:4,7	151:1 152:18	235:18
terminated	38:10,14,15,19	152:21 153:13	thank 77:17,25
241:16	38:21 39:18,23	167:1,20,23	104:25 105:2
termination	40:3,4,5,9,10	168:5 176:25	106:13,18
178:9	40:25 41:15	186:20 187:11	107:3,10,14
terms 29:5 88:7	42:3,3 44:10	187:12 189:21	108:5 116:15
88:23 103:15	44:14 49:3	189:22 190:5,7	131:14,17,19
112:1 157:18	51:2 52:2,5,16	190:11 191:1	133:13,14
182:23 209:3,6	52:17,22 53:18	194:3,21,22	135:9 138:20
218:7 223:1	53:25 54:8,9	195:4,20	138:21,24
tertiary 145:21	54:13,14,15,16	198:25 199:2	139:1 141:8
150:7	55:16 58:6,14	202:23 203:24	151:3,6,8,21
test 75:22 77:6	58:15,17 60:22	203:25 204:25	152:8 190:13
77:7,12 130:21	63:14,19,20	205:3 208:11	190:22 207:5
tested 25:20	65:20 68:18	208:11 209:21	231:25 237:18
75:10,20 77:2	75:8,15 80:21	215:20 216:9	244:20 246:19
77:5	81:5,11,16	217:15 235:4	246:20 247:3
testified 139:16	82:2 83:19	236:4,11	thanks 124:21
140:11 211:17	84:15 85:9,17	237:22 238:19	232:18
216:10 227:3	85:19,24 86:2	240:3	theirs 83:22
227:13 240:20	88:5,7 97:6,10	testing 75:25	180:16
240:24 241:3	98:1,6,8,12,15	tests 76:1	thereto 141:22
testifies 194:4	98:17 99:10	194:16 240:11	thesis 7:8
196:3	100:11,19	tex 13:16 16:5	thick 145:9
testify 32:7	101:17 105:16	texas 2:14 6:5	146:6,15
35:6 246:21	105:16,23	6:14 7:4,15	thickness 83:12
	106:1,2 107:14	11:23 13:15,16	
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, - ,	

[thin - told]

41.1 26.20.22	41.2-1-2 124.4	4	210.10.201.6
thin 26:20,23	thinking 134:4	time 6:8,24 7:7	219:10 221:6
thing 5:4 17:20	134:13,19	8:17 9:3 11:11	241:16
20:12 64:24	136:21 202:20	15:11 17:12	timeframe
95:14 129:21	219:7	18:6 21:8	42:18 218:10
134:9 160:18	third 30:22,22	30:23 33:13	timely 154:18
161:8 172:2	31:21,22 33:19	38:17,20 39:20	157:8
174:11 226:5	41:7,8,20 42:6	39:22 40:5	times 75:19
230:24	42:14 43:7	41:14,19 45:20	timing 125:16
things 56:8	137:15 165:11	50:24 51:7,10	135:18
105:13 116:13	167:12	51:12 52:1,5	tiny 79:11
184:22	thought 19:7	52:11,14 74:9	tip 113:18
think 5:8 18:3	23:12 59:12	75:9 80:10,13	title 14:12
18:6,20 21:9	210:14 212:5	97:7,8 98:2	154:18 159:24
22:7 23:9 24:3	233:9	111:17,20	161:20
25:22,22 31:18	thoughts	112:6 113:1	titled 178:22
31:19 32:25	135:14	117:6 118:3	205:17 206:9
37:4 45:2,2	thousand	124:20 125:4	242:20
51:11 73:14	137:22	125:19 127:7	today 31:18
76:18,18,20	thousands	131:15 133:20	32:15 71:9
81:15 92:15	114:4 120:11	134:2,16,17	101:17 102:7
94:9 98:16	121:16,17	135:11,16	107:15 136:3
107:21 115:6	137:18 187:19	136:14 143:20	137:16 140:8
134:22 135:2	187:19	148:12 151:16	141:14,18
135:16 154:12	three 6:20 27:2	154:12,16,23	151:1 169:10
160:14 166:17	35:24 38:23	157:7 158:21	215:20
170:2 174:7	169:24 197:3	159:22 173:18	today's 216:9
176:8 178:8,25	197:22 204:21	173:25 174:25	together 6:4
182:22,23	209:11 244:15	176:1,5 177:11	8:20 17:22
184:17 195:19	thumbs 77:22	177:14 193:24	142:24 176:3
200:6 204:5	tic 27:9 74:16	194:18 195:8	180:14
219:7 236:19	tie 32:16	196:5 199:21	told 14:17
236:23 239:15	126:23	203:11 208:21	46:25 88:15
245:16,22	tight 6:14 7:5	210:9,15	90:25 100:9
246:9,11	7:17 8:14	212:15 215:6	119:16 177:16
		216:25 217:21	180:19 186:4

[told - um]

100.5 5 200.16	toning 196.9	transarint 1.7	turned 235:2
199:5,5 200:16 201:6 202:16	topics 186:8 190:5	transcript 1:7 122:17 247:6	
			turning 143:3
203:1 210:11	tops 39:4,9,24	247:15	150:13 166:18
210:14 245:22	58:10,13 60:16	transferred	tuscaloosa 6:13
tommy 174:24	60:17,20,21	10:1 163:4	10:3
tomorrow	61:15 63:15,16	176:3 210:17	tuscany 158:22
246:13 247:2	63:18,19 64:2	transition	159:2,18
took 118:3	64:6,7,9,18	147:6 190:25	two 27:2 30:13
126:7,8 159:1	65:4,5 83:6,7	travis 7:5 13:22	38:23 53:17
159:7 177:25	total 108:19	tremaine 165:2	67:1 74:21
178:6 201:4,16	204:20	165:24	76:21 92:25
top 18:3,7	totally 82:16	tremendous	96:17 100:15
19:19 20:8	171:4 173:10	224:19	108:16 111:9
22:2 23:10,23	209:12 230:15	trend 30:24	118:16 120:11
24:2 25:9,10	235:2	31:10 32:1	122:15 127:11
26:23 27:21,24	touch 120:4	39:5,15 64:23	128:25 130:24
28:2 29:4,18	touched 16:7	92:25 93:2,15	133:24 139:3
29:21 39:12	124:5	111:19 130:23	145:1 184:22
58:11,16,18,23	towards 5:14	trends 129:7	193:1,2,10,16
58:23 59:7,14	12:12 24:23,23	tried 159:17	200:1,18
60:4,8,14,19,24	25:5,12 27:20	174:23 175:3	212:19,20
61:2,6,7,12	27:24 29:4	true 61:19	213:13 215:16
64:14 81:23	57:18 119:15	73:10 187:2	230:3 244:14
82:8,9,11,12,14	town 220:13	222:8,8 247:14	type 11:8 61:4
83:11 85:12,13	track 55:1	trust 189:25	74:5 90:13
86:20 88:19	123:17	try 51:16 87:4	112:23 117:7
89:2,6,7 90:3	tracking 12:21	178:18 199:16	119:21 130:25
90:21 111:6	54:25	219:10 228:23	146:11,23
122:7 130:5	tract 27:3	trying 51:8	types 8:3 48:8
143:13 144:4	traditionally	60:23 83:10,16	typically 16:19
146:12,18	126:16	177:16 200:6	u
147:3,6 197:8	transaction	201:23,25	um 8:13 33:5
211:2,4	204:19 205:9	turn 65:12	69:8 99:14
topic 190:25	242:5 243:11	203:22	110:18 111:12
			121:1 196:1
			121.1 170.1

[unable - used]

	1	I	
unable 178:19	105:25 128:7	united 172:6	108:25 109:5
223:11 224:12	167:11 174:22	unitization	120:11 121:16
232:17	187:9 193:8,13	83:7	122:15 123:20
uncertainties	193:15 195:9	unitized 143:11	144:4
230:11	196:2 200:16	143:17 144:6	upside 15:9
uncertainty	214:14 219:17	148:23 149:11	92:23
34:2,14 226:7	229:22	149:16 150:12	upwards 74:14
unconventional	understood	181:20,24	usage 137:6
7:20 8:15 9:8	181:12	182:3,6,10	use 28:24 30:21
15:24	undertake 11:6	183:3,7,22	30:22,23 31:12
under 132:10	174:20	184:4 192:16	46:2,6,20 47:4
135:21 165:19	undertaken	192:19,24	50:13,18 55:18
166:7 169:7	104:4	193:16 196:13	62:5 64:6
172:10,16	undertaking	196:13,17	65:14 67:21
184:2 187:12	17:4	units 169:24	72:12 123:1
209:3,6 221:21	undertook	197:3,11,14,22	127:10 128:21
224:2 240:8	227:11	204:21 213:10	137:4,20
241:7,12,20,24	uniformly	213:10,13	149:22 151:14
241:25 242:20	68:12	214:24	184:14,15
242:24 243:19	unit 12:22 22:5	university 5:11	197:16,19
244:8	22:10,12,17	unmovable	221:15
undergraduate	23:9,17 36:6	114:8	used 43:18
5:10,18	142:20 143:13	unreliable 34:1	47:16,22 48:4
understand	143:17 144:7	unsuccessful	56:16,17 57:14
40:16 49:12	144:14 145:14	234:21	57:15 62:23
54:4,21 66:24	145:19 147:1,2	unsure 94:20	63:11 64:2
83:17 92:17	167:25 173:6	unwilling	65:4 68:16
123:6 161:25	183:23 192:17	223:11	72:7,18,19,22
177:22 195:10	193:11 194:15	upcoming	72:24 95:10
201:24 202:6	196:25 200:1,1	117:3	117:14 120:7
202:11 228:9	204:16 205:5	update 40:22	120:13 122:25
understanding	210:8 215:9	41:16	127:4,5,11
22:21 44:5	217:12 218:17	uplift 90:12	137:4 149:2
45:24 47:9,16	227:6	upper 19:10	177:12 184:18
47:21 49:13		103:9 108:12	185:1

[using - warranty]

• 20.14	40.0.14.00.04	106 10 100 10	• 224.0
using 30:14	48:9,14,20,24	126:13 180:12	waiver 224:8
40:17 44:17	49:7 52:24	views 41:7,8	233:2
49:14 55:21	108:1 117:19	violating 210:1	walked 69:2
57:3 66:1,24	119:25 120:6	violation	walker 208:19
67:3 93:20	131:13 239:23	184:19 227:24	210:11
117:19 120:9	variable 221:22	violations	want 24:5 29:1
127:7 145:21	variance 56:21	172:23 197:18	29:11 54:5
149:1	variances 32:2	virgin 112:1	73:14 76:13
utilize 16:11	variation 33:24	virtual 177:4	86:4 87:17
17:7 51:24	varied 33:9	virtually 188:2	105:12 109:14
utilized 118:19	various 7:22	virtue 162:18	112:24 113:22
119:11 120:21	10:9	visual 91:19	119:3 123:4
122:6 167:24	vary 126:20	visually 88:11	126:20 129:21
utilizing 115:11	129:7	voice 124:15	131:25 133:22
119:24 127:8	varying 40:17	volume 20:19	133:25 135:5
128:7,15	48:6 126:6	113:24 115:7,8	152:15 155:21
v	vendors 51:22	129:2	156:1,12 183:2
vaguely 95:21	verify 28:16	volumes 20:21	190:24 202:11
valid 35:15,18	veritext 247:24	22:1,17,23	215:21 218:15
validated 240:2	versus 125:18	99:5 114:3	236:17 238:4
valley 7:16	127:6 137:19	115:24	240:25 244:15
157:4,8 158:9	vertical 91:25	volumetric	246:18
158:21,24,25	91:25 117:18	114:18	wanted 7:23
150.21,24,25	120:13,24	voluntarily	17:1 35:21
valuable 237:3	138:1 143:12	199:6 202:13	39:14 44:15
valuation 173:9	143:24 144:2	volunteer	54:4 63:1
202:25 203:5	verticals 15:5	198:14	72:20 112:21
202.23 203.3	vice 140:6	vp 163:7	122:19 135:12
	186:13,15	166:15	199:24 201:19
value 68:6	video 247:11	vulnerable	wanting 199:10
145:22,22 161:2 168:12	view 109:9,15	221:11	wants 134:16
	110:7 113:24	W	warranties
168:13,17 170:2 204:13	115:17,20,21	w&t 11:21	241:21
	117:4,5 119:23		warranty
values 38:8	122:20 124:7	waiting 76:16	179:23 243:2
40:17 47:22,25		183:16	

[waste - wheeler]

waste 150:10	way 35:23,24	61:19,22 70:16	243:22
189:17 210:1	70:18 93:18	70:17 71:21	wendell 1:4
wastewater	105:8 116:19	74:4 87:11	went 5:19,20
149:19 150:12	116:22 118:7	91:15 93:16	6:2 13:4 38:23
187:20	123:16	94:16,22 99:19	38:24 57:2
watch 116:14	ways 48:5	100:4 109:6	70:14 120:18
water 19:14	72:10	111:14,17,18	152:25 159:7
24:7,9,13,14	we've 30:21	112:2,3,23	161:14 165:3
25:15,18 26:3	72:9 79:7	113:3 115:1,4	184:18 209:16
37:5 50:9,13	82:13 90:14	115:8,11,16,18	234:23 241:6
50:19 53:5	117:11 121:15	115:18 117:9	west 22:22 25:3
75:21 78:20,22	130:13 135:11	117:22 126:19	91:1,4 92:12
79:4 87:11	146:25 170:17	128:25 129:10	92:13 139:4
91:1 98:19	197:6 226:3	130:22,24	186:14 189:8
99:5 113:24	228:25 229:2,8	136:22,23	194:4 196:3
114:15,20	229:9 230:3	148:20,21	218:3 219:2,15
115:5,24 116:1	website 183:19	150:15,17,19	220:12 234:22
116:19,23	207:23	150:22 158:20	234:23
119:17 129:1,2	week 114:25	159:12 165:1,4	west's 194:3
129:7,9,18	133:23	165:8,10,18,20	western 10:23
130:7,16 131:2	weeks 38:17,24	165:23 169:3,7	24:21 25:1
136:23 137:9	53:17 133:24	169:9,11,15,19	wheeler 3:15
137:22 146:12	wehmeyer 2:12	169:21 181:21	139:4,6,10,12
146:17 149:3	2:15	183:10,12,25	139:14,15,24
149:15 168:3	weigh 190:6	187:17,23	140:3,19 141:5
198:15 217:6	weight 190:6	194:6,10,11,15	141:8 142:10
218:17	190:11	194:18 195:18	151:3,9,14
waterflood	wells 13:21	196:3,6 200:17	152:11 153:7
111:25 114:12	15:8 18:16	200:18 205:7	169:11 173:12
116:1,4 142:16	19:2,10,23,23	205:11,13,23	175:12 176:8
145:18 167:6	24:3,5,7,13,15	206:1 209:11	179:16 185:3
168:4 217:4,13	25:14,18,21	212:19,20	186:22 187:6
217:18 219:22	26:2,4,8,11	216:19,22	190:24 192:6
watering 99:22	49:9 54:22	217:5 224:23	193:8 197:20
239:12	58:3 61:11,17	236:2 241:5	200:15,24

[wheeler - yesterday]

		ı	
201:16 206:14	wondering	200:8 219:12	\mathbf{y}
208:10 211:17	79:1	219:22 223:21	yates 159:10
220:21 227:3	word 246:3	225:20 226:4	yeah 20:10
227:13 229:13	words 56:10	233:11,20	21:4,11,13
230:25 232:2	work 5:13,20	234:13 237:5	25:22 26:1
235:4 236:4	5:25 6:2,23 7:8	workover 15:7	27:14,17 28:12
237:21 240:20	8:17 12:25	works 166:9	50:21 51:5
241:3,11	13:10 15:11	world 172:4,7	60:10,13 67:5
244:16 246:15	17:14 33:20	180:21,22	73:2 74:16,18
246:18,19,20	42:7 50:1	worse 245:24	76:13,15 91:4
247:3	53:10 57:12	wozniak 3:6	95:21 123:3
wheeler's 190:4	110:20 112:5	written 98:1	124:22 128:6
190:7	118:9,12,15	134:24 140:15	129:12 130:4
wide 33:22	119:1 123:12	168:5 203:25	130:19 188:5
william 1:12	161:11,14	217:22 218:1,8	188:13 206:6
139:4	178:1,7,13,15	219:21	214:6,14 215:9
willing 234:3,7	189:7 218:5,12	wrong 60:4	232:25 245:11
williston 6:13	219:10 229:3,8	105:15	246:20
9:24 10:23	236:8 239:16	X	year 6:10 14:1
wireline 32:24	240:16 241:7	x 144:15	42:2 111:18
74:7 95:3	241:12	xto 23:13 92:22	165:20 224:25
witness 91:23	worked 5:24	93:4 94:11,14	225:3,21,24
104:23 106:14	6:6,11,22 7:2	94:19 95:7,12	232:12
106:22 121:25	9:20 10:24	144:15,24	years 6:2,12,20
138:24 140:19	15:17 109:23	147:11 169:1	6:22 13:6
151:4 160:1	157:4,7 161:17	171:2 176:1,5	112:2,17
245:3,6,8	171:15 194:25	176:21 178:23	142:13 148:13
witnesses 139:3	229:11	180:2,4,7,19,20	158:2,2 175:7
163:18 171:8	working 6:12	181:4,4 204:3	209:11 224:22
186:19 194:23	7:4,15 8:7 9:24	205:11 208:14	yep 89:24
232:14	10:2 11:14	208:18,20	yesterday 18:1
wonder 151:9	12:3 33:18	209:2,5 210:7	29:14 32:7
151:12	42:17 119:21	210:18 211:10	75:16 120:4
wonderful	156:7 197:10	212:11 215:23	127:23 135:13
107:18	197:13,21	240:4 242:22	

[yesterday's - zooming]

yesterday's
122:11
Z
zachary 1:14
zone 8:2 11:8,8
11:16 12:8
19:8 22:14
75:12 77:10,12
79:22 80:16
92:24 111:9,25
120:9 122:1
130:8 145:8,16
146:13,16
147:6 194:1
196:8 208:25
209:13 215:25
217:9,10 239:8
zones 8:6,8
10:15,22 12:14 15:5 48:8
74:15 101:13
102:23 103:17
113:16 119:18
120:12 122:15
123:23 129:8
156:24 217:7,8
zooming 71:20
8