1	PUBLIC HEARING
2	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
3	OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
4	
5	Pecos Hall, 1st Floor, Wendell Chino Building
6	1220 S. Saint Francis Drive
7	Santa Fe, New Mexico
8	
9	
10	
11	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
12	July 17, 2025
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	COMMISSION MEMBERS:
18	ALBERT CHANG, Chair
19	GREGORY BLOOM, Member
20	DR. WILLIAM AMPOMAH, Member
21	
	COUNSEL TO THE COMMISSION:
22	
	MR. ZACHARY SHANDLER, ESQ.
23	
24	
25	
	Page 1
	rage I

1 2	APPEARANCES
3	FOR NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION:
	NM ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPT.
4	1220 South St. Francis Drive Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505
5	BY: Jesse K. Tremaine
	jessek.tremaine@emnrd.nm.gov
6	
7	FOR XTO PERMIAN OPERATING, LLC:
8	HOLLAND & HART
	110 North Guadalupe St., Ste. 1
9	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2208
	BY: Michael Feldewert
10	mfeldewert@hollandhart.com
11	mreraewer demorranarar evecu
	FOR COTERRA ENERGY OPERATING CO.:
12	TOR COTHREA BUILDI OF HEATTING CO.
	MODRALL SPERLING
13	500 4th Street, NW, Suite 1000
13	
1 A	Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102
14	BY: Deana M. Bennett (virtual)
15	
1.	FOR SILVERBACK OPERATING:
16	WOLLTDAY TWENGY LAW GROWN
	HOLLIDAY ENERGY LAW GROUP
17	107 Katherine Court
	San Antonio, Texas 78209
18	BY: Benjamin Holliday
	ben@theenergylawgroup.com
19	
20	FOR AMERICAN ENERGY RESOURCES:
21	JONATHAN SAMANIEGO (pro se)
	P.O. Box 114
22	Hagerman, New Mexico 88232
	BY: Jonathan Samaniego
23	energy.jrs@gmail.com
24	
25	
	Page 2

1	
	I N D E X
2	
2	PAGE
3	MDANGCDIDE OF DECCHEDINGS
4	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS4
5	THE WITNESS
6	JUSTIN WRINKLE
	Examination by Mr. Tremaine20
7	Examination by Commissioner Ampomah22
8	
	ADMITTED EXHIBITS
9	XTO Permian Operating Exhibits A, B and C18
10	
	TRANSCRIPT CERTIFICATE59
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16 17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Page 3

1	(On the record at 9:00 a.m.)
2	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
3	CHAIR CHANG: Since it's 9 o'clock, if we're
4	all ready, I'll call the meeting to order.
5	Sheila, would you like to call the roll,
6	please.
7	MS. APODACA: Yes, I will. Okay. We're
8	taking roll of the Commission. I will start with
9	Commissioner Dr. Ampomah.
10	COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: Present.
11	MS. APODACA: Chair Chang.
12	CHAIR CHANG: Present.
13	MS. APODACA: Commissioner Bloom.
14	COMMISSIONER BLOOM: Present.
15	MS. APODACA: Okay. We're all present,
16	accounted for.
17	CHAIR CHANG: If all the Commissioners have
18	had a chance to review the agenda, would somebody
19	like to make any suggestions, discuss or move to
20	approve?
21	COMMISSIONER BLOOM: Yes, I would move to
22	approve the agenda.
23	COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: I second.
24	CHAIR CHANG: Thank you. Any objection?
25	Without objection, so ordered.

1	(3-0 vote. Motion approved.)
2	CHAIR CHANG: Next, if we could go to the
3	meeting minutes from the last hearing. Is there any
4	amendment, discussion or proposed changes?
5	COMMISSIONER BLOOM: Mr. Chair, I've just
6	got two typos. On Page 1, under Item 3, the original
7	read or change the word "provided to provided."
8	That should be "provide to provided."
9	And then on Page 3, under "Action," the
10	paragraph says "Ms. Lubbock stated that the Comission
11	had already suggested that the case been continued,"
12	and should be "be continued."
13	That's it.
14	COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: I do have one on
15	Page 1. It says, "The meeting was called to order by
16	acting Chair Razatos." I just want to confirm that.
17	CHAIR CHANG: Oh, yes.
18	Sheila, do you see that at the very top,
19	in bold? I don't know if you have a copy in front of
20	you.
21	MS. APODACA: Yes, I do. That is definitely
22	a typo that I will change. I'll correct that.
23	CHAIR CHANG: If there are no further
24	discussions or typos, I'd invite a motion to make
25	those typographical changes and adopt as amended.

1	COMMISSIONER BLOOM: I move to make those
2	changes and adopt as amended, Mr. Chair.
3	COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: I second.
4	CHAIR CHANG: Without objections, so
5	ordered.
6	(3-0 vote. Motion approved.)
7	CHAIR CHANG: Moving on to our pending cases
8	then, I'll start in the order as listed in the
9	agenda. So I'd like to invite the parties for Case
10	Number 25460 to give us an update as to the status as
11	to this I believe it's a de novo appeal; is that
12	correct?
13	MS. BENNETT: Good morning, everyone. This
14	is Deana Bennett appearing virtually on behalf of
15	Coterra Energy Operating. And I represent the
16	applicant in this de novo appeal.
17	CHAIR CHANG: Great.
18	MS. BENNETT: And I'm not sure if
19	Mr. Padilla is here on behalf of North Fork.
20	CHAIR CHANG: Do we have the respondent with
21	us, online or otherwise, in spirit?
22	Well, in that case, Ms. Bennett, why
23	don't you proceed in the meantime and give us an
24	update as to what you are seeking from the Commission
25	here.

1	MS. BENNETT: Thank you very much. And good
2	morning again, Mr. Chair, Commissioner Ampomah,
3	Commissioner Bloom. Again, my name is Deana Bennett,
4	of Modrall Sperling, on behalf of Coterra Energy
5	Operating.
6	And Coterra Energy Operating, just a
7	little bit of background for you, Coterra Energy
8	Operating is seeking de novo review of Order R-23808,
9	which was issued to Franklin Mountain Energy 3,
10	May 7th, 2025. But in the interim Coterra Energy
11	Operating has acquired all of Franklin Mountain
12	Energy 3 assets, including the acreage that's subject
13	to this order, which is why Coterra is the applicant
14	for this de novo hearing.
15	And the Order R-23808 involves a well
16	group called the "Treble Well Group," and this
17	particular order involves a request by Franklin
18	Mountain Energy 3 for an order pooling all
19	uncommitted mineral interests approval to the extent
20	necessary of an overlapping spacing unit.
21	And for the Commissioners' benefit, the
22	rules that the Commission has adopted governing oil
23	and gas operations do specifically allow for
24	overlapping spacing units; that is to say, a
25	horizontal well spacing unit can overlap with a

1 vertical well spacing unit, under the rules. 2 And that is what is at issue here, is the wells that are involved in this order overlap an 3 existing -- are proposed to overlap an existing 4 5 vertical spacing unit dedicated to a North Fork 6 vertical well. 7 And so in the proceedings below, North 8 Fork appeared and objected to that portion of 9 Franklin Mountain Energy 3's application that sought approval of an overlapping spacing unit. And there 10 11 was a hearing held and the division granted Franklin Mountain Energy 3's application, designated Franklin 12 13 Mountain Energy 3 as operator, and pooled the uncommitted mineral interests. So everything so far 14 15 is going very well in FME 3's application. 16 But what the Division did and what 17 Coterra is seeking de novo review of, is the Division imposed a 330-foot setback from the vertical well. 18 19 And the Division's and Commission's rules do not 20 support the 330-foot setback requirement from a vertical well. In fact, the Division's rules state 21 that there are no internal setbacks. That's 22 23 primarily for horizontal wells, but there's no reason 24 that that wouldn't apply equally to vertical wells. 2.5 And so that's what Coterra is seeking

1	de novo review of, is that limited finding by the
2	Division or limited ordering paragraphs by the
3	Division imposing a 330-foot setback between the
4	completed lateral of the horizontal well and the
5	existing vertical well.
6	And in my view, this is primarily a
7	legal issue, and so my thought process had been to
8	suggest that I prepare an initial brief to submit to
9	the Commission regarding the Division the rules,
10	the Oil and Gas Act rules, and why they do not
11	support this 330-foot setback.
12	And, of course, then, allowing
13	Mr. Padilla or the Division to file a response, and
14	then a short time for Coterra to file a reply.
15	And then the Commission could hold a
16	hearing or rule on the papers, and depending upon the
17	ruling, then Coterra could be in a better position of
18	determining whether an actual de novo hearing on the
19	merits, I would call it, on the application would be
20	something they would continue to seek or whether
21	their plans for example, if the Commission were to
22	rule in Coterra's favor, then we would not need to
23	move forward with a de novo hearing before the
24	Commission.
25	So that is the process that I was

1	thinking of moving forward.
2	CHAIR CHANG: That sounds reasonable to me,
3	but can we hear from the respondents? Are there any
4	respondents with us? Going once, going twice.
5	MS. BENNETT: Mr. Chair, I will let you know
6	that I did serve Mr. Padilla with my application for
7	de novo review and he did correspond with me about
8	the application for de novo review. So he does know
9	that it's pending. I'm not entirely sure why he's
10	not present today.
11	CHAIR CHANG: Commissioners, any thoughts?
12	COMMISSIONER BLOOM: Mr. Chair, we don't
13	have Mr. Padilla with us, but I think Ms. Bennett
14	outlines a reasonable and succinct plan. We can have
15	her submit her brief and we go from there.
16	CHAIR CHANG: Okay. Do you want to go on to
17	the other cases and come back to this at the end of
18	Commission meeting, in case Mr. Padilla joins us?
19	No?
20	COMMISSIONER BLOOM: Not particularly, no.
21	CHAIR CHANG: Okay. Fair enough.
22	MS. BENNETT: Mr. Chair, if I may.
23	CHAIR CHANG: Go ahead.
24	MS. BENNETT: I did think about the briefing
25	schedule as a proposal to offer to the Commission

1 this morning. 2 CHAIR CHANG: Please. 3 MS. BENNETT: And it's primarily based on the fact that at the current moment, I am out of the 4 5 office and out of the State for a family emergency 6 that's smoothing out, but I've been out of the office now for three weeks. So I anticipate that when I get 8 back to the office, hopefully next week, that my 9 schedule is going to be a bit discombobulated getting back up to speed. 10 11 So what my thought was, and I'm hoping 12 that this would work for the Commission, is that my initial brief could be due -- and I know this sounds 13 like a ways out, but, again, my schedule has just 14 15 been so unpredictable lately. But my brief would be 16 due September 2nd. And then Mr. Padilla's brief 17 and/or OCD's, if the OCD determined or decided to 18 brief this issue, could be due, let's say, September 19 That would give them approximately a month for 30th. 20 their response. And then a reply could be due 21 October 20th. And then that would mean this would be 22 23 ripe for the November Commission docket, which I 24 thought made sense because of the rulemaking that's 25 going on in October. That would give the Commission

1	and the Division some time to prepare for a docket
2	that's after the rulemaking in October.
3	CHAIR CHANG: Would you just given me those
4	dates again so I can write them down real quick.
5	MS. BENNETT: Yes. September 2nd for the
6	opening brief. September 30th for any response
7	briefs. And then October 20th for my reply. And by
8	"my," I mean Coterra's of course.
9	CHAIR CHANG: Any thoughts, concerns about
10	that schedule, Commissioners?
11	COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: Not for me.
12	COMMISSIONER BLOOM: Nor for me, Mr. Chair.
13	CHAIR CHANG: Commission counsel, no issue?
14	MR. SHANDLER: No.
15	CHAIR CHANG: Well, if somebody wants to
16	move that, we can adopt that as a scheduling order.
17	COMMISSIONER BLOOM: Yes, Mr. Chair. I
18	would move those dates.
19	COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: I second.
20	CHAIR CHANG: Without objection, we'll put
21	these into a scheduling order for you. Thank you.
22	MS. BENNETT: Thank you very much. I
23	appreciate it. Have a good rest of the day.
24	CHAIR CHANG: Thank you, you, as well.
25	(3-0 vote. Motion approved.)

1	CHAIR CHANG: Okay. Moving on to the next
2	case, I call Case Number 25297. Are the parties
3	ready to proceed on that?
4	MR. FELDEWERT: Yes, sir.
5	CHAIR CHANG: Great. In that case I'll turn
6	it over to you, Mr. Feldewert.
7	MR. FELDEWERT: Thank you, Mr. Chair,
8	Members of the Commission.
9	XTO has filed this application seeking
10	exception to the four-string well bore requirements
11	in Commissioner Order R-111-Q, and it's for three of
12	their wells. R-111-Q, as you probably know, governs
13	the drilling of wells within and known potash leasing
14	area, and where an operator likes to run a second
15	intermediate stream, resulting in a four-string
16	design.
17	The order specifies certain well
18	construction methods. And if I may share, if it's
19	okay.
20	CHAIR CHANG: Yes, sir. Please go ahead.
21	MR. FELDEWERT: I put up on the screen
22	Page 9 of Order R-111-Q, and this is the section
23	that's at issue here today. And you'll see that
24	there's where you're required or elect to have
25	a four well bore string design, the purpose is to,

1	and I have it highlighted here, divert the flow of
2	well bore fluids away from the salt interval in the
3	event of sudden production casing failure.
4	And then, as you page through the
5	remaining part of this rule, you'll see that there
6	are four construction methods, which are depicted as
7	Figure C, Figure D, Figure E, and then Figure F in
8	the order.
9	Now, XTO, in 2024, was engaged in a
10	32-well development plan in the known potash leasing
11	area, where they utilized a four-string well bore
12	design. And they elected to use the well bore
13	construction method, which is depicted as Figure F in
14	R-111-Q, which I have up here on the screen.
15	And it requires an engineer relief point
16	that is designed so that the flow of salt in the
17	formation would be below the salt section. That's
18	the whole purpose of Figure F.
19	And for 29 of their wells, they were
20	able to meet this requirement. But they encountered
21	issues with three of the 32 wells, which resulted in
22	an engineered weak point which may not divert the
23	fluids into a suitable relief zone below the
24	formation.
25	And they depicted those reasons in what
	Page 14

1	we've marked as Exhibit A-3, which I now have up on
2	the screen. And just to orient you, Figure F, which
3	is what's required by the rules, on the left-hand
4	side, and describes the engineered weak point.
5	You'll see that for one of the wells,
6	the James Ranch Unit 116H, the engineered weak point
7	was essentially placed inside the Intermediate 1
8	shoe. And then for the other two wells, there was
9	production cement squeeze that covered up the
10	engineered weak point.
11	So that is the problem that they face
12	with these wells, is that they don't comply with the
13	requirement of Figure F because it may not allow the
14	fluids to divert below the salt section.
15	So they proposed some mitigation
16	measures, which are laid out in our Exhibit A-5. And
17	first, there are the current rule requires
18	pressure tests before you commence hydraulic
19	fracturing. Okay? That's what the rule requires.
20	XTO is going to add to that requirement as part of
21	the mitigation measures pressure test during
22	hydraulic fracturing operations in which there had
23	been an increase in solid body plug frequency, and
24	conduct a pressure test every two stages, which, as I
25	understand it, will result in about every 400 feet of

1	well bore lateral, which will then allow immediate
2	action to reduce the volume impact of a potential
3	flow in the unlikely event that there is a production
4	casing failure.
5	The second thing XTO is going to do is
6	install additional service pressure relief valves on
7	these wells. This second pressure relief valve will
8	be located in the second between the second
9	intermediate and first intermediate casing string
10	during operations. And that's depicted on this
11	Exhibit 5 in the right-hand side, with the blue XTO.
12	That's the second pressure relief valve.
13	And the relief valve depicted in black
14	is the pressure relief valve that's required by the
15	rule. So there will be two new paths for the flow to
16	go to the surface. And that will then allow any
17	buildup to flow to the surface in a controlled manner
18	in the unlikely event that there's a production
19	casing failure.
20	Now, XTO submitted its proposal, its
21	plan, to BLM in November of 2024, and the BLM sent
22	them on to the potash companies, Mosaic Potash and
23	Intrepid Potash. And also included was not only the
24	issue with the well bores, but also this mitigation
25	plan that you see up here on Exhibit A-5.

1	And as you'll see from our exhibits, BLM
2	informed XTO that they had discussed this plan and
3	that they had agreed with it, unless you all have
4	concerns. The affected potash companies have
5	expressed no concerns with this proposed mitigation
6	measure.
7	And I know that the OCD submitted a
8	prehearing statement in which they noted that they've
9	looked at this and the proposed mitigation measure
10	will provide equal protection under these particular
11	circumstances.
12	So we're here to ask that you approve an
13	exception to the four-well string design requirements
14	for these three wells and approve these mitigation
15	measures.
16	What we have filed with you in our
17	hearing package is a self-affirmed statement of Will
18	Dacus, and he's here with us today. He's an
19	engineer. He's their wells manager for XTO in the
20	Delaware Permian region. And he is the one that
21	oversaw or put together these exhibits that we put
22	here in our package, Exhibits A-1 through A-5.
23	Exhibit B is a self-affirmed statement
24	of notice that was sent to all the affected parties,
25	including additional notice to the potash companies,

1	both Intrepid and Mosaic.
2	And then, out of an abundance of
3	caution, we also have our Exhibit C, an affidavit of
4	publication in the local newspaper advising the
5	public of this hearing here today. So I would like
6	to move the admission of Exhibits A, B and C.
7	And then Mr. Dacus is available in the
8	event that you or the Division has any questions.
9	CHAIR CHANG: Any objections to admitting?
10	MR. TREMAINE: No objection.
11	CHAIR CHANG: Without objection, so
12	admitted.
13	(Admitted: XTO Permian Operating, LLC
14	Exhibits A, B and C.)
15	CHAIR CHANG: Commissioners, do you want to
16	hear from the witnesses or have any questions before
17	we go to OCD, or should we hear from OCD first and
18	then do questions? Preferences?
19	COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: I will suggest we
20	hear from OCD.
21	CHAIR CHANG: Thank you.
22	MR. TREMAINE: Good morning, Commissioners,
23	Mr. Chair. Thank you. My name a Jesse Tremaine. I
24	represent the Oil Conservation Division.
25	When the Division became aware of this
	Page 18

1	issue with the three wells in this unit, it was after
2	the discussions had occurred with the BLM. And due
3	to the timing and the fact that the wells had already
4	been drilled and constructed in the manner in which
5	they were constructed prior to any exceptions being
6	granted by either the Division or the Commission, OCD
7	reviewed the authority in R-111-Q and looked at the
8	context as a reference and determined that this was
9	an exception that should only appropriately be heard
10	before the Commission. And the application ensued.
11	As Mr. Feldewert indicated accurately,
12	OCD's technical team has reviewed XTO's materials,
13	has communicated with them during this process and
14	specifically has reviewed the corrective actions and
15	the mitigation steps outlined by XTO.
16	OCD is satisfied that XTO's response and
17	corrective actions are adequate in these
18	circumstances to prevent waste and protect the KPLA.
19	Mr. Wrinkle, Justin Wrinkle, OCD's
20	engineering bureau chief, is available if the
21	Commission has any questions about the Division's
22	review or participation, you know, discussions
23	regarding this process and leading up to hearing.
24	CHAIR CHANG: Commissioners, questions for
25	anybody, attorneys or witnesses?

1	COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: Is it possible for
2	OCD to just summarize their process that they went
3	through in reviewing mitigation strategies and how
4	they came to the conclusion that it is satisfactory?
5	Thank you.
6	MR. TREMAINE: Mr. Wrinkle is available
7	online. If the Commission would like to direct
8	questions to him, I'd ask that he be called and sworn
9	as a witness.
10	CHAIR CHANG: Do you swear to tell the
11	truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?
12	THE WITNESS: I do.
13	CHAIR CHANG: Do you need him admitted as an
14	expert?
15	MR. TREMAINE: No. Mr. Wrinkle is a fact
16	witnesses as the engineering bureau chief. He
17	supervises the work that was done through the
18	technical review and the work that was done here. We
19	have not proffered him as an expert.
20	CHAIR CHANG: Fair enough. Please proceed.
21	JUSTIN WRINKLE,
22	having first been duly sworn, testified as follows:
23	EXAMINATION
24	BY MR. TREMAINE:
25	Q. We're a little out of usually I ask
	Page 20

1	questions first, then the Commission does.
2	So, Mr. Wrinkle, could you please just
3	briefly state who you what's your position and what
4	are the job responsibilities related to your position?
5	A. Sure. Yeah, my name Justin Wrinkle. I'm
6	the engineering bureau chief here at the OCD.
7	Currently, I have six groups that report to me, one of
8	them being the admin engineering team that is
9	responsible for reviewing these type of variances and
10	original sundries that come in; underground injection
11	control; all of the inspections; the compliance
12	officers that are in the state; a new group that are
13	Class 6 wells, while we get primacy; the special
14	projects group that deals with all the hearings. I
15	believe that's it.
16	Q. And, Mr. Wrinkle, are you familiar with the
17	submission referred to by Mr. Feldewert, XTO Exhibits
18	A through 5, in the case for which we're here today?
19	A. Yes, I am.
20	Q. And are you familiar with OCD's discussions
21	and correspondence with XTO during this process that
22	led up to this hearing?
23	A. Yes, I am.
24	Q. Okay. And I'm paraphrasing. Please correct
25	me, Commissioner Ampomah, but I believe Commissioner

1	Ampomah's question was, can OCD please summarize OCD's
2	review and process that led to OCD's conclusion that
3	the compliance and mitigation steps proposed by XTO
4	are adequate?
5	A. Sure. So in this particular case and others
6	like it, we gather a team, a technical team, of
7	course, that's composed of geologists, petroleum
8	engineers that are on our staff. And we review them
9	and then provide feedback to operators, like we did in
LO	this particular case.
L1	The technical team was assigned to look
L2	at the mitigation plans that XTO had proposed. And
L3	their belief was that the pressure relief valves at
L4	surface did an adequate job equal to what is required
L5	in an engineering weak point below the salt that is
L6	outlined in R-111-Q.
L7	CHAIR CHANG: Does that satisfy your
L8	question? Do you have a follow-up?
L9	COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: Yes, I do have a
20	follow-up.
21	EXAMINATION
22	BY COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH:
23	Q. So as part of the review, were there any
24	risk potential risk issues that came up?
25	A. None that I'm aware of. Again, I managed
	Page 22

1	the technical team that did the full review. But it
2	seemed that it was a very open-and-shut review, that
3	they all agreed that these mitigation plans would
4	suffice.
5	COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: Thank you.
6	CHAIR CHANG: Commissioner.
7	COMMISSIONER BLOOM: If you'll allow me a
8	question for OCD and perhaps Mr. Wrinkle. Let me
9	pull up the document here.
10	MR. FELDEWERT: Do you want me to pull it
11	up?
12	COMMISSIONER BLOOM: Yes, the OCD's
13	prehearing statement.
14	On the first page, I can just read it,
15	last two sentences, you say, "The OCD has reviewed
16	XTO's proposals to address the deficiencies and finds
17	the proposals adequate to provide protection equal to
18	the terms of R-111-Q as applied to the specific
19	factual circumstances of the project and
20	application."
21	The final sentence is, and this sounds a
22	little bit cryptic, "CD does not see XTO's proposals
23	as necessarily acceptable and equally protective in
24	all our future circumstances."
25	MR. TREMAINE: That's lawyer for an

exception in this case does not mean this is the new
normal. So XTO did not ask OCD for an exception or a
variance before these wells were constructed.
So the Division responded and said, "You
have to ask the Commission and demonstrate to the
Commission that this is equally protective. Yes, we
will review that material."
But this is simply a disclaimer that
you know, these wells, for context, were drilled
shortly after R-111-Q was executed. It was in short
order afterwards, and XTO was a part, a very active
participant in those hearings. I think the record of
those hearings was that the standard in R-111-Q for
the well construction was the standard, it was
appropriate, it was what the parties all considered
protective. And so exceptions needed to be
considered exceptions.
This is an extenuating circumstance
where the wells are already in place. And OCD felt
it was absolutely necessary to guarantee that there
were additional safeguards placed upon those wells.
But we want to be very clear that going
forward, the fact that there was an exception in this
case does not mean that I don't believe that this
should be interpreted as a standing exception to

1	R-111-Q.
2	COMMISSIONER BLOOM: Thank you,
3	Mr. Tremaine. That clarifies it. I was wondering if
4	that was alluding to some potential other sort of
5	drilling which could be future circumstance, which
6	would mean that this equipment wouldn't work
7	properly. But clearly, that's not the case, based on
8	what you're saying.
9	MR. TREMAINE: There's no technical basis.
10	There's a procedural and a legal basis for that
11	statement.
12	COMMISSIONER BLOOM: All right. Thank you.
13	And I just have one question for
14	Mr. Feldewert.
15	So, Mr. Feldewert, there were 29 wells
16	that were correctly drilled. There were issues with
17	these three. Were these simply issues with the
18	construction of the wells?
19	MR. FELDEWERT: So if you'll see from
20	COMMISSIONER BLOOM: I mean, had they been
21	intended to be done in accordance with R-111-Q?
22	MR. FELDEWERT: Yes, yes. I understand. So
23	first off, this was not expected. That's why there's
24	the variance sought. This was not planned. This
25	just happened for these three wells.

1	And when it became evident that there
2	was concern that the engineered weak point would not
3	work as anticipated, because of the scenarios that we
4	see in Exhibit A-3, that's when they approached the
5	Division, BLM, et cetera, to get the exception,
6	having put in place the mitigation measures that they
7	intend to do.
8	And I'll also tell you this is not
9	something the company took lightly. They have also
10	undertaken measures, as you see from our exhibit, to
11	ensure as best they can that this does not happen
12	again.
13	COMMISSIONER BLOOM: Thank you very much.
14	No further questions, Mr. Chair.
15	MR. SHANDLER: Zach Shandler, Commission
16	counsel.
17	What's the actual, like, verbiage for an
18	exception? Like, adequate, equal to? What should I
19	put in the order? What do they have to approve of?
20	MR. TREMAINE: I'm going from memory here
21	counsel, but I think and I think we can pull some
22	examples. But I think typically in the rules,
23	variances are referred to as "equally protective."
24	CHAIR CHANG: I just have one question. My
25	understanding is that the rules are in place to be
	Page 26

1	able to protect the potash resource. Correct?
2	MR. FELDEWERT: Correct.
3	CHAIR CHANG: Mosaic and Intrepid, I see in
4	the record that you notified or at least provided
5	notice to Mosaic and Intrepid.
6	You have represented earlier that
7	neither of those companies had concerns. Did you
8	actively hear back from them, or was it silence and
9	we assume they're okay? Just asking, since they're
10	not represented in this proceeding.
11	MR. FELDEWERT: Good clarification. They
12	received the mitigation measures and notice of the
13	issue from the BLM, number one.
14	And then secondly, we provided notice of
15	this hearing and they have not expressed, filed any
16	concerns.
17	So good clarification is the silence. I
18	did not receive anything affirmative from either I
19	did not receive anything from either company one way
20	or the other.
21	CHAIR CHANG: Got it. Thank you. That's
22	all the questions I have.
23	Does anybody else any further comments,
24	concerns?
25	In that case, does somebody want to move

1	deliberations or make a motion to approve or deny?
2	COMMISSIONER BLOOM: Mr. Chair, I would do
3	so. Would it just be a motion to approve the
4	petition?
5	MR. SHANDLER: Application.
6	COMMISSIONER BLOOM: I move to approve the
7	application in Case 25297.
8	COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: Mr. Chair, I do
9	second.
10	CHAIR CHANG: Any objections?
11	No objections, so ordered.
12	MR. FELDEWERT: Thank you for your time.
13	(3-0 vote. Motion approved.)
14	CHAIR CHANG: Now I call Case Number 25237,
15	de novo appeal by America Energy Resources, LLC. Is
16	the applicant with us?
17	MR. SAMANIEGO: Jonathan Samaniego,
18	representing American Energy Resources.
19	CHAIR CHANG: Great. I'll turn it over to
20	you to.
21	MR. HOLLIDAY: Good morning, Commissioners.
22	This the Benjamin Holliday on behalf of Silverback
23	Operating, the respondent in this matter.
24	CHAIR CHANG: OCD is here represented in
25	front of us.

1	Give us just one second here. Before we
2	move into the case in chief, I understand Silverback
3	has filed a motion for continuance of this hearing.
4	It feels like that's a gateway issue to me, so I'd
5	like to go to that first as to whether or not to
6	continue the hearing before we dive into the merits
7	here. So I'm going to call on Silverback Operating
8	to speak to that motion.
9	MR. HOLLIDAY: Yes. Thank you,
10	Commissioners. This is Ben Holliday on behalf of
11	Silverback Operating. We filed our motion last week
12	to extend this to the August meeting for the OCC for
13	two reasons. One, I'm out of town, so that's why I'm
14	appearing remotely, so thank you for the opportunity
15	to do that.
16	Secondly, we received no responsive
17	briefing from American Energy in this case. I don't
18	think anything has been filed with the Commission.
19	So it's not clear at this point how productive a
20	hearing would be.
21	That's our summarized position.
22	CHAIR CHANG: Okay. I'll let American
23	Energy Resources respond.
24	MR. SAMANIEGO: American objects to
25	Silverback's continuance. Mr. Ben Holliday has been

1	out of town since the last hearing, when we had
2	Mr. Fox appear to continue because he was out of
3	town. He's been out of town for over a month.
4	This is causing burden upon American,
5	which, because of the great burden that's being
6	caused, the continuance cannot be granted and we need
7	to move forward with this hearing today.
8	CHAIR CHANG: Thank you.
9	OCD, do you have a position on the
LO	motion for continuance?
L1	MR. TREMAINE: We take no position or
L2	opposition to the motion for a continuance. I would
L3	just note that this is I think there's discussions
L4	about having an evidentiary hearing, but I just note
L5	for the record that I think, my opinion, that this is
L6	an argument.
L7	OCD's entered an appearance to determine
L8	if there needs to be appropriate limitations and,
L9	like, legal disclaimers and language related to the
20	Commission's ultimate decision. We're not weighing
21	into the title dispute.
22	And so I think there's some procedural
23	potential lack of clarity regarding you know,
24	Mr. Holliday seems to be relying on the briefing
25	below, and I don't see briefs in the record regarding

1 those arguments from Mr. Samaniego. 2 So I'm out of my lane here because we're not taking a position on this. But I would suggest 3 for the Commission's consideration that it may be 4 appropriate to just consider briefing from the 6 parties in chief rather than an evidentiary hearing. 7 CHAIR CHANG: Commission counsel. MR. SHANDLER: Mr. Chair, the motion on the 8 table is to continue, and if you feel like the 9 10 parties are here, that would be the preliminary step, 11 is to make a motion on that. 12 CHAIR CHANG: Yes, we heard from Silverback 13 Operating that -- at least Silverback Operating raised concerns about the sufficiency of the 14 15 briefing, whether it's sufficient for us to proceed 16 to the case in chief today. 17 Do you have a position or do you have any advice for the Commission on whether there's 18 19 sufficient briefing, timely briefing, et cetera, for 20 us to be able to thoroughly and properly hear the 21 dispute? 22 MR. SHANDLER: So, Mr. Chair, depends on how 23 you define the word "briefing." One way to think of 24 it is lawyers filing some types of motions. Another 25 way to think about is whether the material as

1	required by the rule has been provided so the
2	Commission has enough to prepare and review.
3	So the second definition of briefing, I
4	would think, in terms of specific exhibits that have
5	been submitted, specific witness lists, specific
6	witness statements, in this particular fact pattern,
7	the party asking for the appeal has not submitted a
8	witness list, has not submitted witness statements.
9	In terms of exhibits, his petition just says all the
LO	exhibits that were heard below.
L1	That is traditionally not how a de novo
L2	hearing is done. That's how a record-review hearing
L3	is done. So one could make the argument that this
L4	case is not prepared for an evidentiary hearing.
L5	That being said, this same fact pattern
L6	occurred at the Division hearing where the Division
L7	hearing officer said, "Where are your witnesses?"
L8	and continued it for another month waiting for the
L9	witnesses. And American did not provide any
20	witnesses. At that point, the Division decided well,
21	American must just have one witness and that's one
22	person that's here.
23	So I think you could, you know, grant
24	the continuance and hope that you have something
25	different. But I think there's already been two

1	strikes. So my prediction would be that American
2	does not have other witnesses, does not have specific
3	documents outside of what's already been presented
4	below. And I think you might just want to hear from
5	American and find out whether this is a judiciable
6	matter in front of this Commission.
7	This Commission traditionally, and the
8	case law is, that property disputes are handled by
9	the District Courts. And if you hear from the
LO	presentation on the oral briefing that this is a
L1	property dispute, then there may not be a need for an
L2	evidentiary hearing in terms of how you traditionally
L3	see it.
L4	So let me stop. That's way more than
L5	I'm comfortable talking usually. But there's several
L6	paths forward. One of them is to say, well, we'll
L7	continue it and hope that there will be some type of
L8	legal briefing on the legal issues. Option two,
L9	we'll continue and hope that there will be legal
20	briefing and/or witness statements. Or the third
21	option would be let's just hear from American for
22	15 minutes and then we'll digest whether this is a
23	judiciable matter for this Commission.
24	CHAIR CHANG: I appreciate that.
25	MR. SAMANIEGO: I'd like to start off

1	CHAIR CHANG: Just a second, sir.
2	Commissioners, do you have any
3	additional questions for counsel? Is that helpful
4	advice, or can I turn to Mr. Samaniego for a moment
5	here?
6	Mr. Samaniego, go ahead. I'll give you
7	a few minutes to respond, but please be brief.
8	MR. SAMANIEGO: I'd like to start off by
9	asking, is it in the rules and the statute that a
10	witness is mandatory in order to proceed with the
11	de novo hearing? I don't recall seeing that in the
12	statutes or the rules.
13	So because that's not mandatory, I'm
14	going to say that the gentleman's statements are
15	irrelevant and, therefore, shall be excluded from
16	hearing, stricken from the record.
17	CHAIR CHANG: Mr. Samaniego, he's trying to
18	help you. Because without right now, with a
19	de novo review, it means you start from scratch,
20	which means we need to have evidence of how you're
21	going to support your position. And witnesses and/or
22	filings are ways for you to support your claims and
23	allegations.
24	And I think the concern, if I can
25	paraphrase at least the way I understand counsel's

1	advice, is that we're not seeing a record here that
2	is fully developed for you to make a fully documented
3	case, or at least there's a concern.
4	Now, certainly we're not here to give
5	you legal advice as to how to proceed, but that was
6	the concern, is whether or not we have a sufficient
7	evidentiary record in front of us to make a full and
8	knowledgeable and well-informed decision in this
9	case.
LO	But let me turn to my Commission and see
L1	whether they agree with that or whether they have
L2	other concerns. So give us just a second here.
L3	Commissioners, thoughts on you would
L4	like to proceed at the moment?
L5	COMMISSIONER BLOOM: Mr. Chair, seeing that
L6	we do not have materials in front of us to have a
L7	de novo hearing today in this case, I would think
L8	only a continuance would make sense. Unless we
L9	you simply said that we've tried to have this de novo
20	hearing, it hasn't happened, materials haven't been
21	properly submitted. In which case, we could
22	potentially close this out.
23	But I do hear Mr. Holliday himself also,
24	the other party, asking for a continuance.
25	CHAIR CHANG: I'll give you a second to

1	respond, Dr. Ampomah.
2	But is there any interest in doing a
3	gateway hearing, as counsel suggested, on whether or
4	not the underlying, the actual dispute is even within
5	our jurisdiction before we continue it, we kick it?
6	Or do you want the parties to brief that
7	specifically? Any thoughts?
8	COMMISSIONER BLOOM: Mr. Chair, yes. I
9	think the first thing you said there would be a good
10	path, at least to a hearing if this is the correct
11	venue to hear the issues at hand.
12	CHAIR CHANG: Thoughts, Dr. Ampomah?
13	COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: Yes. So I reviewed
14	some of materials, and I'm not sure we do have enough
15	evidence here to really get into the case. You know,
16	as I read, Silverback is more of a title issue, so
17	I'm not sure there is enough evidence here for us to
18	be able to really digest this case.
19	So I do support the suggestion that
20	Commission counsel provided to the Commission. Thank
21	you.
22	CHAIR CHANG: Well, then at this point, I'll
23	entertain a motion specifying how or at least a
24	proposed motion on how the Commission wishes to
25	proceed on hearing this matter.

1	I guess we're currently still on the
2	continuance, so let me back up. My apologies. We're
3	still on a motion for continuance, so how does the
4	Commission wish to dispose or handle the motion for
5	continuance at the moment?
6	COMMISSIONER BLOOM: Mr. Chair, is it
7	possible to set the continuance aside, table that for
8	the time being, have a discussion about the merits of
9	the de novo application?
10	CHAIR CHANG: Unless counsel objects, I
11	don't see the problem with that.
12	MR. SHANDLER: No objection.
13	COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: Commissioner Bloom,
14	you mean we should table the de novo hearing now?
15	COMMISSIONER BLOOM: Dr. Ampomah,
16	Commissioner Ampomah, no. That we would temporarily
17	table the motion for a continuance and at this point
18	have a discussion about the merits of the de novo
19	application, see if it's the proper application.
20	MR. HOLLIDAY: This is Ben Holliday.
21	MR. SAMANIEGO: American objects and we're
22	going to go ahead and move forward with the
23	continuance.
24	CHAIR CHANG: Go ahead, Mr. Holliday.
25	MR. HOLLIDAY: We have no objection to

1	tabling the motion and entertaining the
2	jurisdictional questions around this case.
3	CHAIR CHANG: Mr. Samaniego, so just for
4	clarity, I believe the motion in front of us is to
5	pause just for a few minutes the discussion on
6	whether or not to continue the case and to hear from
7	both parties now for a few minutes, at least briefly,
8	as to what exactly is the question that you're asking
9	this forum to decide.
10	And we need to figure out a gateway
11	question of whether or not it's even within our
12	jurisdiction and whether or not this is even the
13	right forum for the issues that you are trying to
14	raise.
15	So I'm just letting you know that that's
16	the motion that currently in front of us.
17	With that, is there a second for that
18	motion?
19	COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: Yes, I second.
20	CHAIR CHANG: Any objections from
21	Commissioners? Without objection, so ordered.
22	(3-0 vote. Motion approved.)
23	CHAIR CHANG: So in that case, I'll turn to
24	you, Mr. Samaniego. I'll give you no more than
25	10 minutes. Please be as brief as possible, because
	Page 38

1	we're trying to narrow down exactly what issue you
2	are trying to have this Commission address for you.
3	MR. SAMANIEGO: Okay. I'd like to start out
4	under Statute 19.15.16.15, down at, "Existing and
5	subsequent wells," "Existing wells." If American was
6	a holding company, a mineral owner and is disputing,
7	therefore, the OCD could hide behind that they have
8	jurisdiction. That's a legal issue.
9	But American is the operator. American,
LO	under 19.15.16.15.B(9)(a) and (b), we're operating on
L1	existing wells and existing leases, existing spacing
L2	units.
L3	And for and to be allowed to be on
L4	the unit to be infringed upon through compulsory
L5	pooling, violating the statute of existing well
L6	spacings, violates the final order, which grants an
L7	automatic termination for failing to notify affected
L8	parties.
L9	Which American is an operator. We have
20	an operator number. We operate a well in that
21	spacing unit, and American's rights are being
22	infringed upon during the compulsory pooling and, at
23	best, being used sleight of hand. At best. And
24	because American is an operator, the Division has
25	jurisdiction because American's existing well spacing

1	is being infringed upon.
2	And under Statute 19.15.4.3, the
3	Division is charged with the obligation and the duty
4	to correlative rights and to prevent waste. This
5	matter is affecting correlative rights and is
6	creating great waste. So because the Division has
7	jurisdiction, the matter must be heard. Thank you.
8	CHAIR CHANG: Okay. Can I turn to the other
9	party first before we do questions?
LO	Mr. Holliday.
L1	MR. HOLLIDAY: Yes, thank you. So I'll try
L2	to be as succinct as I can.
L3	There's two main points, from
L4	Silverback's position. The first, before we even get
L5	into the merits of American's claims to title is that
L6	this is a dispute about title.
L7	This is not a dispute about correlative
L8	rights. It's not a dispute about waste. Those are
L9	the things that OCD has jurisdiction to decide. This
20	is a title case and the proper venue for this case,
21	in Silverback's position, are the District Courts,
22	not the administrative law courts, with either the
23	Commission or the Division.
24	So one, we don't believe that OCD or the
25	OCC has jurisdiction to adjudicate the title, and

1	that's essentially what American Energy is asking for
2	you to do.
3	MR. SAMANIEGO: No, I'm not. No, I'm not.
4	CHAIR CHANG: Let's let Mr. Holliday finish
5	and then I'll give you a chance to respond,
6	Mr. Samaniego.
7	MR. HOLLIDAY: So we have a jurisdictional
8	issue. And if we were to even wade into the merits
9	of this I'll just refresh for the Commission's
10	benefit, originally back in Case 24517, Silverback
11	applied for and was granted a compulsory pooling
12	order. That order number is R-23045, which pooled a
13	320-acre tract. This is South Half, South Half,
14	Section 2, and the North Half, North Half,
15	Section 11, in Township 19 South, Range 25 East Eddy
16	County. And this was limited to the Yeso Formation,
17	so it's a very shallow unit.
18	The only acreage that required pooling
19	was a small 2.25 net mineral acre piece out of the
20	Northeast Quarter, Northeast Quarter. There's no
21	state, there's no federal minerals involved.
22	The reason for that is, there is a 1978
23	operating agreement, a memorandum of which has been
24	filed in Volume 170, Page 693 in Eddy County, that
25	establishes Silverback's predecessor as the operator

1	of what they called the Rio Penasco Unit. And that
2	covers the same 320-acre rectangle that is included
3	within the Roche pooling order. Roche is the name of
4	the unit. So JOA is in effect and gives Silverback
5	the right to operate.
6	Now, ordinarily, with a JOA like that,
7	we wouldn't even need to come in and seek a pooling
8	order, but we conducted extensive title research on
9	this tract and discovered that 2.25 net mineral
10	acres, that wasn't subject to the JOA, which brought
11	about the application and order. And it should be
12	noted that this pooling was completely uncontested.
13	So in doing our title research, which
14	is, again, outside the jurisdiction of what we're
15	talking about, but just for your benefit, there was a
16	2010 quiet title suit and American Energy's
17	predecessors were completely divested of any
18	potential interest in the leases at issue. That
19	interest they bought they purported to buy later
20	in 2018, so ten years later, it had been extinguished
21	by a quiet title suit.
22	That quiet title suit, not only was it
23	conducted in the District Court, it was noticed to
24	the public. There were notice of lis pendens that
25	were filed on June 8, 2010, and again on July 6th,

1	the same year. Both were recorded in Eddy County.
2	So everyone in the world is on constructive notice of
3	this quiet title suit.
4	So that alone should take care of the
5	issue from a title perspective. However, even if the
6	quiet title suit were somehow invalid, every
7	instrument in the chain of title to American Energy's
8	purported interest in this unit specifically states
9	that the interest is subject to the 1978 JOA.
10	So one, this is a District Court matter.
11	This is not an administrative law matter. Two,
12	American Energy does not own an interest in these
13	depths and in this unit. Any interest they could
14	have possibly bought or acquired was extinguished by
15	the quiet title suit.
16	If, for some reason, all of that failed
17	and it did own an interest in the unit, their chain
18	of title specifically makes their interest subject to
19	the JOA, which would, again, obviate any need for
20	American Energy to be noticed.
21	Now, I will bring to the Commission's
22	attention that, when this all started, because
23	American Energy was not in the title, we took a look
24	around as to, you know, who are they, why are they
25	claiming interest, you know, is it valid. And we
	Page 43

1	found that they are listed as the deep operator on a
2	couple deep wells in this section.
3	I will remind the Commission that these
4	wells are subject to multiple plugging orders. The
5	last purported production on these wells was from,
6	like, 1996, in that era.
7	And so we don't feel like we're dealing
8	with a good-faith challenge to this order and we
9	would ask that American Energy's application be
10	denied. Thank you.
11	CHAIR CHANG: Before I turn back to any
12	responses, I'd just like to give OCD a chance to
13	weigh in here briefly, as well.
14	MR. TREMAINE: Mr. Chair, we agree that to
15	the extent that there's a court order resolving
16	title, that that is the legal question that should be
17	reviewed, whether it's through briefing or this
18	argument. And the Commission should make a
19	determination as to whether or not it will hear the
20	merits to any further extent.
21	I have not heard any argument or
22	presentation from Mr. Samaniego to controvert the
23	validity of that court order. So in my view, I think
24	this is entirely legal threshold argument. And we
25	are participating because, as Mr. Holliday indicated,

1	the wells which Mr. Samaniego has registered which he
2	claims an interest in and are the basis for his
3	argument that he's entitled to notice and has
4	standing to intervene in the cases, are, in fact,
5	subject to plugging orders.
6	And I think that's all I'll add at this
7	point.
8	CHAIR CHANG: Thank you.
9	Commissioner, I want to give
10	Mr. Samaniego a brief chance to respond. But did the
11	Commissioners want to do questions first, or should I
12	let him respond first?
13	COMMISSIONER BLOOM: Chair, I had a question
14	that I would like, perhaps, both parties to respond
15	and perhaps counsel, as well.
16	In essence, there's a Catch 22 here, and
17	that is that the OCC doesn't discuss title issues.
18	We can't have a hearing if we don't know who has
19	standing. So I don't know if this is something that
20	needs to be litigated in the District Courts and then
21	perhaps come back here.
22	CHAIR CHANG: That is a good question
23	probably for does any counsel want to address
24	that?
25	MR. HOLLIDAY: Commission, Ben Holliday. We

1	do believe that the requisite to establishing
2	standing in this case would be some sort of District
3	Court order from the District Courts because this is
4	a legal title question, which is subject to a lot
5	stricter evidentiary standards than are employed in
6	administrative law courts.
7	So our position is that in order to
8	establish standing, Mr. Samaniego's proper venue is
9	the District Court. And if the District Court orders
10	he has standing, then we have a matter before the
11	Commission.
12	CHAIR CHANG: Okay. I'll give you a chance
13	to respond, Mr. Samaniego.
14	MR. SAMANIEGO: Mr. Holliday is claiming
15	that Silverback owns interest in the North Quarter of
16	American's lease. And therefore, whatever interest
17	that Silverback may or may not own is bound to
18	American's lease if we open up KD Number 3.
19	Therefore, does not give him grounds to use that
20	interest to pool because it's bound to American's
21	lease, it's already leased.
22	Second the quiet title. Quiet title,
23	under federal and state law, must comport with due
24	process. To try to go back ten years to quiet title
25	interest that a party no long owned ten years up to

1	date, to where they're trying to quiet title it,
2	that's sleight of hand. That does not follow the
3	comport of due process, which is state and federal
4	law. Therefore, the quiet title issue is not a
5	matter of District Court.
6	Mr. Ben Holliday is trying to attempt to
7	make it a matter of District Court. The Division has
8	jurisdiction because the quiet title does not comport
9	with due process. And Silverback's interest they own
LO	in the Rio Penasco's lease of American's is bound to
L1	American's lease of the Rio Penasco.
L2	Also, Mr. Holliday's statement of
_3	American having plugging orders, American is on on
L4	the 2025 inactive list, American is not on it.
L5	American is not on the inactive list. American is in
L6	good standing.
L7	American has submitted work-overs on the
L8	wells and is waiting to be granted the work-over on
L9	the wells. American is working diligently and is
20	actively in operations of the wells, has been current
21	with all filings. And the Commission has standing
22	and jurisdiction over the matter. And also for the
23	record, American is over-bonded, over-bonded in all
24	the wells.
25	So American is not in violation.

1	American is a good-standing operator, here in good
2	faith, protecting its correlative rights allowed by
3	state law.
4	And to be allowed Mr. Ben Holliday to
5	play sleight of hand to make it a District Court
6	matter is sleight of hand.
7	CHAIR CHANG: If I may, I just want to
8	clarify that I heard from you that you believe the
9	quiet title is inappropriate, right? You believe
10	that there's a quiet title order out there that you
11	don't believe should be appropriate or valid; is that
12	correct?
13	MR. SAMANIEGO: It's not what I believe.
14	It's what state law jurisdicts [sic]. And state and
15	federal law jurisdicts that it must comport with due
16	process.
17	CHAIR CHANG: I understand. And you're
18	telling me that the quiet title that is applicable
19	to, or whatever, in dispute in this matter, at least
20	in your view, does not comport with legal due
21	process and legal standard; is that correct?
22	MR. SAMANIEGO: Correct.
23	CHAIR CHANG: Okay. Commission counsel, but
24	there is a quiet title District Court decision in
25	this case, isn't there?

1	MR. SHANDLER: Yes. So if the allegation is
2	the District Court did not follow due process in the
3	quiet title, the jurisdiction is to go back to the
4	District Court.
5	CHAIR CHANG: Or Court of Appeals or
6	something along those lines, but certainly not here.
7	We don't have the tell me if I'm wrong, counsel,
8	but I don't think we have the authority to tell the
9	District Court whether or not they have to to
10	opine on whether or not at least the Court has erred
11	on a quiet title action.
12	MR. SHANDLER: You are correct.
13	CHAIR CHANG: And is there a way I'm
14	trying I'm struggling here to help Mr. Samaniego
15	because I'm struggling to see if there is a way that
16	he would have standing but for this dispute over
17	title.
18	Let's hear from the Commissioners, and
19	I'll give you a chance to respond briefly
20	Mr. Samaniego.
21	MR. SHANDLER: Mr. Chair, in American's own
22	words, the quiet title action failed to have due
23	process. This Commission does not have authority to
24	collaterally attack a District Court quiet title
25	case.

1	So it's not that this Commission is
2	hostile or in favor of American. It's neutral. It
3	would probably like to hear the case.
4	CHAIR CHANG: Right.
5	MR. SHANDLER: But this is the American
6	system and the American system of this is not for
7	the administrative courts. This is for the District
8	Courts.
9	CHAIR CHANG: No, I understand that. I'm
10	just trying to clarify that if we take and put aside
11	the issue over title, is there still anything left in
12	the case outside of the quiet title dispute? I'm
13	trying to figure out whether there are other issues
14	that would not be ruled the way I'm looking at it
15	is that it seems like the quiet title captures the
16	entirety of the it's a gateway issue that captures
17	the entirety of the complaint here. But I just want
18	to clarify that nobody else sees something that I
19	don't.
20	MR. SHANDLER: Mr. Chair, I think that's an
21	accurate summary. The property interest, whether
22	it's characterized as a lease or an operation, all
23	flows through whether you have that legal property
24	rights.
25	And Silverback has asserted that that
	Page 50

1	property right has been extinguished by the District
2	Court. Therefore, all things have to go back to the
3	District Court to solve that.
4	CHAIR CHANG: Okay. I'll give you a quick
5	chance to respond, Mr. Samaniego.
6	MR. SAMANIEGO: The District Court in the
7	quiet title lacked jurisdiction. Because to quiet
8	title an interest that was not owned ten years prior
9	and no longer owned, had already been sold off
10	numerous times up until American, the District Court
11	lacked jurisdiction because the parties that were
12	being quiet titled no longer owned the interest.
13	So to go through the chain of title,
14	through the quiet title, when the other individuals
15	were not listed, is against federal and state law and
16	does not follow, comport with due process. So the
17	District Court lacked jurisdiction.
18	I think Ben Holliday has done a good job
19	with the sleight of hand in misleading the Division
20	and getting everybody to see that way. I mean,
21	nobody is trying to get to change the District
22	Court order, but the District Court order lacked
23	jurisdiction ten years prior.
24	The order does not interfere with
25	American's interest because the parties that were

1	listed in quiet title no longer owned those interests
2	ten years prior.
3	CHAIR CHANG: All right. We hear you.
4	I'm going to turn to my Commissioners at
5	this point and see if somebody has a proposed motion
6	on how best well, let me turn back to Commission
7	counsel.
8	We've set aside the motion. We've
9	temporarily tabled the motion on the continuance.
10	We're now sort of vaguely on the merits of the case,
11	at least on the gatekeeping issue.
12	How would you advise us to proceed at
13	this point? Dismiss without prejudice so that he can
14	refile after resolving the District Court issue? Or
14 15	refile after resolving the District Court issue? Or how would you advise us to proceed here?
15	how would you advise us to proceed here?
15 16	how would you advise us to proceed here? MR. SHANDLER: So, Mr. Chair, you always
15 16 17	how would you advise us to proceed here? MR. SHANDLER: So, Mr. Chair, you always have a series of options. One option would be
15 16 17 18	how would you advise us to proceed here? MR. SHANDLER: So, Mr. Chair, you always have a series of options. One option would be dismissal of the de novo appeal with prejudice that
15 16 17 18	how would you advise us to proceed here? MR. SHANDLER: So, Mr. Chair, you always have a series of options. One option would be dismissal of the de novo appeal with prejudice that it can be refiled. That's one option.
15 16 17 18 19	how would you advise us to proceed here? MR. SHANDLER: So, Mr. Chair, you always have a series of options. One option would be dismissal of the de novo appeal with prejudice that it can be refiled. That's one option. Another option is maybe you've heard
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	how would you advise us to proceed here? MR. SHANDLER: So, Mr. Chair, you always have a series of options. One option would be dismissal of the de novo appeal with prejudice that it can be refiled. That's one option. Another option is maybe you've heard enough and you're like, "I'd like to hear more," and
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	how would you advise us to proceed here? MR. SHANDLER: So, Mr. Chair, you always have a series of options. One option would be dismissal of the de novo appeal with prejudice that it can be refiled. That's one option. Another option is maybe you've heard enough and you're like, "I'd like to hear more," and then you can grant the continuance and maybe ask for
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	how would you advise us to proceed here? MR. SHANDLER: So, Mr. Chair, you always have a series of options. One option would be dismissal of the de novo appeal with prejudice that it can be refiled. That's one option. Another option is maybe you've heard enough and you're like, "I'd like to hear more," and then you can grant the continuance and maybe ask for a specific, on-point briefing, a legal argument.

1	parties provide live witnesses, real documents."
2	So those are at least three options:
3	One, dismissal; two would be continuance, asking for
4	specific legal briefing; three would be grant that
5	continue, but next time, there better be live
6	witnesses.
7	CHAIR CHANG: Well, seems like we're not
8	sure why we're granting continuance. There's sort of
9	two issues, right? One is whether or not we have a
10	sufficient record for us to have an informed
11	discussion and informed trial. That goes to the
12	question of the continuance.
13	But the gateway issue is whether or not
14	there's even any part of the dispute that is properly
15	jurisdictionally before us. So I guess I don't know
16	that the point we could continue it and just
17	explore that point, too.
18	But I'll turn to my Commissioners and
19	see how they wish to proceed.
20	COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: This is more of a
21	legal issue and hearing issue. But I do believe that
22	even if we continue the discussion, I'm not sure, if
23	that title issue is still not resolved, how are we
24	going to discuss the merits of the case? So I'm not
25	in favor of continuance at this point.

1	CHAIR CHANG: Thank you.
2	COMMISSIONER BLOOM: Mr. Chair, I believe
3	we're in a moment where we have a threshold issue
4	here, and that is, does AER, American, have standing.
5	We don't know because we're hearing live today a
6	dispute about who has title to this land.
7	Given that, I think I would move to
8	dismiss with prejudice, with the understanding that
9	American could refile once they show the OCC that
10	they have standing.
11	CHAIR CHANG: Is that with or without
12	prejudice?
13	MR. SHANDLER: He meant to say without.
14	CHAIR CHANG: Without.
15	There's a motion before us.
16	Commissioner Ampomah.
17	COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: I second.
18	CHAIR CHANG: Without objection, so ordered.
19	(3-0 vote. Motion approved.)
20	MR. SHANDLER: So just for the record, there
21	was some motions, the motion for continuance, you can
22	either
23	CHAIR CHANG: Oh, yes. So I believe the
24	motion for a continuance is now moot, since the
25	underlying case has been dismissed.

1	MR. SHANDLER: There was also a motion to
2	terminate the
3	MR. SAMANIEGO: I didn't get a chance to
4	talk.
5	CHAIR CHANG: Go head.
6	MR. SHANDLER: There also a motion on the
7	table to terminate the Division's order and a motion
8	to stay the Division's order. I advise those are
9	also moot.
10	CHAIR CHANG: Since the underlying case has
11	been dismissed for lack of jurisdiction?
12	MR. SHANDLER: Yes.
13	CHAIR CHANG: All right.
14	MR. SAMANIEGO: Can I talk?
15	CHAIR CHANG: So just to advise you,
16	Mr. Samaniego, at this time, we are unable to find
17	that we have jurisdiction to hear the case and the
18	Commission has moved to dismiss the case without
19	prejudice. Which means, if you are able to resolve
20	the underlying title issue, you may refile and
21	re-present, assuming that you meet other timeliness
22	and procedural requirements.
23	But it's not ready for us to proceed.
24	We're unable to rule on the issues because we're
25	unable to establish that there is standing for us to

1	proceed today. So I regret to let you know that we
2	can't hear the case any further today.
3	MR. SAMANIEGO: I think proceeding with the
4	dismissal of the case is premature. I think because
5	correlative rights and an operator's correlative
6	rights are being affected. The motion for stay
7	should be granted for the fact that the four elements
8	have been met from the final order.
9	So I'm going to object to the dismissal
10	and ask the Commission and the Division to proceed
11	with caution in this matter, to set it aside, to
12	continue it and grant the motion to stay until either
13	District Court or the Court of Appeals hears and
14	makes a ruling on the matter.
15	I respectfully ask for the Division and
16	Commission to proceed with caution in this matter.
17	CHAIR CHANG: Your objections are noted.
18	I think we need to proceed on to the
19	next matter on the agenda, which is any pending
20	litigation. Are there any pending litigation
21	updates?
22	MR. SHANDLER: No, Mr. Chairman.
23	CHAIR CHANG: In that case, I move on to
24	other business. Any other business that either
25	Commissioner wishes to raise?

1	COMMISSIONER BLOOM: No, Mr. Chair.
2	CHAIR CHANG: Okay. Any, Dr. Ampomah?
3	COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: No. Just want to
4	make sure that on our next meeting, we're going to
5	discuss Empire's case.
6	CHAIR CHANG: Yeah, that's great. Let's
7	talk about that, because I think it's ready for us,
8	as far as I understand, because I'm joining Empire
9	versus Goodnight Midstream here. My understanding is
10	there has not been a date set for deliberations; is
11	that correct?
12	MR. SHANDLER: Not yet.
13	CHAIR CHANG: Not yet. If possible, I would
14	invite Commissioners to make a motion to set that
15	case for deliberations so that we can get to a
16	decision on that case.
17	COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: Yeah, Sheila reached
18	out. So sounds like we're leaning towards August.
19	CHAIR CHANG: I would recommend the I
20	believe there are no agenda items currently set for
21	the August 14th meeting, so that would be a good
22	opportunity for the deliberations on Goodnight versus
23	Empire to be on the agenda, or to be on the agenda
24	for that meeting.
25	COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: So should I move? I
	Page 57

1	do move that we table the discussion of deliberation
2	on Empire's case, Empire Goodnight case, for the next
3	August meeting.
4	CHAIR CHANG: If I could clarify that you
5	want to set it for the meeting?
6	COMMISSIONER AMPOMAH: Yes, the meeting.
7	COMMISSIONER BLOOM: Mr. Chair, I second
8	setting deliberation of Empire case on August 14th.
9	CHAIR CHANG: So moved and seconded, and
10	without objection, so adopted.
11	(3-0 vote. Motion approved.)
12	CHAIR CHANG: And that brings us to the fact
13	that just the notice that the next meeting will be on
14	August 14th of '25. In that case, I will entertain a
15	motion to adjourn.
16	COMMISSIONER BLOOM: So moved.
17	MR. SAMANIEGO: Second.
18	CHAIR CHANG: Without objection, so ordered.
19	(3-0 vote. Motion approved.)
20	CHAIR CHANG: Thank you so much, everybody.
21	(Proceedings adjourned at 10:19 a.m.)
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Page 58

1	AFFIRMATION OF COMPLETION OF TRANSCRIPT
2	
3	I, Kelli Gallegos, DO HEREBY AFFIRM that on
4	July 17, 2025, a hearing of the New Mexico Oil
5	Conservation Commission was taken before me.
6	I FURTHER AFFIRM that I did report in
7	stenographic shorthand the proceedings as set forth
8	herein, and the foregoing is a true and correct
9	transcript of the proceedings to the best of my
10	ability.
11	I FURTHER AFFIRM that I am neither employed
12	by nor related to any of the parties in this matter
13	and that I have no interest in the final disposition
14	of this matter.
15	BellGallon.
16	Kelli Gallegos
	VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS
17	500 Fourth Street, NW- Suite 105
	Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Page 59

[& - actively]

&	1996 44:6	30th 11:19 12:6	9:00 4:1
& 2:8	1st 1:5	32 14:10,21	a
1	2	320 41:13 42:2	a.m. 4:1 58:21
	2 41:14	330 8:18,20 9:3	ability 59:10
1 2:8 5:6,15	2.25 41:19 42:9	9:11	able 14:20 27:1
15:7 17:22	20 3:6	4	31:20 36:18
10 38:25	2010 42:16,25	4 3:3	55:19
1000 2:13	2010 42:10,23 2018 42:20	400 15:25	absolutely
105 59:17	2016 42:20 2024 14:9	4th 2:13	24:20
107 2:17	16:21		abundance
10:19 58:21	2025 1:12 7:10	5	18:2
11 41:15	47:14 59:4	5 15:16 16:11	acceptable
110 2:8	20th 11:21 12:7	16:25 17:22	23:23
111 13:11,12,22	22 3:7 45:16	21:18	accordance
14:14 19:7	23045 41:12	500 2:13 59:17	25:21
22:16 23:18	23808 7:8,15	59 3:10	accounted 4:16
24:10,13 25:1	24517 41:10	6	accurate 50:21
25:21	25 41:15 58:14	6 21:13	accurately
114 2:21	25237 28:14	693 41:24	19:11
116h 15:6	25297 13:2	6th 42:25	acquired 7:11
1220 1:6 2:4	28:7	7	43:14
14th 57:21 58:8	25460 6:10		acre 41:13,19
58:14	28943 59:15	78209 2:17	42:2
15 33:22	29 14:19 25:15	7th 7:10	acreage 7:12
17 1:12 59:4	2nd 11:16 12:5	8	41:18
170 41:24	3	8 42:25	acres 42:10
18 3:9		87102 2:13	act 9:10
19 41:15	3 5:6,9 7:9,12	59:17	acting 5:16
19.15.16.15	7:18 8:13 15:1	87504-2208 2:9	action 5:9 16:2
39:4	26:4 46:18	87505 2:4	49:11,22
19.15.16.15.b	3's 8:9,12,15	88232 2:22	actions 19:14
39:10	3-0 5:1 6:6	9	19:17
19.15.4.3 40:2	12:25 28:13	9 4:3 13:22	active 24:11
1978 41:22	38:22 54:19	39:10	actively 27:8
43:9	58:11,19		47:20
			•

[actual - application]

41 0.10	- CC 4 - J 17 . 4	-111 20.12	52.20 54.16 17
actual 9:18	affected 17:4	allowed 39:13	53:20 54:16,17
26:17 36:4	17:24 39:17	48:2,4	57:2,3,17,25
add 15:20 45:6	56:6	allowing 9:12	58:6
additional 16:6	affecting 40:5	alluding 25:4	ampomah's
17:25 24:21	affidavit 18:3	amended 5:25	22:1
34:3	affirm 59:3,6	6:2	anticipate 11:7
address 23:16	59:11	amendment 5:4	anticipated
39:2 45:23	affirmation	america 28:15	26:3
adequate 19:17	59:1	american 2:20	antonio 2:17
22:4,14 23:17	affirmative	28:18 29:17,22	anybody 19:25
26:18	27:18	29:24 30:4	27:23
adjourn 58:15	affirmed 17:17	32:19,21 33:1	apodaca 4:7,11
adjourned	17:23	33:5,21 37:21	4:13,15 5:21
58:21	agenda 4:18,22	39:5,9,9,19,24	apologies 37:2
adjudicate	6:9 56:19	41:1 42:16	appeal 6:11,16
40:25	57:20,23,23	43:7,12,20,23	28:15 32:7
admin 21:8	agree 35:11	44:9 47:13,13	52:18
administrative	44:14	47:14,15,15,17	appeals 49:5
40:22 43:11	agreed 17:3	47:19,23,25	56:13
46:6 50:7	23:3	48:1 50:2,5,6	appear 30:2
admission 18:6	agreement	51:10 54:4,9	appearance
admitted 3:8	41:23	american's	30:17
18:12,13 20:13	ahead 10:23	39:21,25 40:15	appeared 8:8
admitting 18:9	13:20 34:6	46:16,18,20	appearing 6:14
adopt 5:25 6:2	37:22,24	47:10,11 49:21	29:14
12:16	albert 1:18	51:25	applicable
adopted 7:22	albuquerque	ampomah 1:20	48:18
58:10	2:13 59:17	3:7 4:9,10,23	applicant 6:16
advice 31:18	allegation 49:1	5:14 6:3 7:2	7:13 28:16
34:4 35:1,5	allegations	12:11,19 18:19	application 8:9
advise 52:12,15	34:23	20:1 21:25	8:12,15 9:19
55:8,15	allow 7:23	22:19,22 23:5	10:6,8 13:9
advising 18:4	15:13 16:1,16	28:8 36:1,12	19:10 23:20
aer 54:4	23:7	36:13 37:13,15	28:5,7 37:9,19
		37:16 38:19	37:19 42:11

[application - briefing]

44:9	asking 27:9	based 11:3 25:7	blm 16:21,21
applied 23:18	32:7 34:9	basis 25:9,10	17:1 19:2 26:5
41:11	35:24 38:8	45:2	27:13
apply 8:24	41:1 53:3	behalf 6:14,19	bloom 1:19
appreciate	asserted 50:25	7:4 28:22	4:13,14,21 5:5
12:23 33:24	assets 7:12	29:10	6:1 7:3 10:12
approached	assigned 22:11	belief 22:13	10:20 12:12,17
26:4	assume 27:9	believe 6:11	23:7,12 25:2
appropriate	assuming 55:21	21:15,25 24:24	25:12,20 26:13
24:15 30:18	attack 49:24	38:4 40:24	28:2,6 35:15
31:5 48:11	attempt 47:6	46:1 48:8,9,11	36:8 37:6,13
appropriately	attention 43:22	48:13 53:21	37:15 45:13
19:9	attorneys 19:25	54:2,23 57:20	54:2 57:1 58:7
approval 7:19	august 29:12	ben 2:18 29:10	58:16
8:10	57:18,21 58:3	29:25 37:20	blue 16:11
approve 4:20	58:8,14	45:25 47:6	body 15:23
4:22 17:12,14	authority 19:7	48:4 51:18	bold 5:19
26:19 28:1,3,6	49:8,23	benefit 7:21	bonded 47:23
approved 5:1	automatic	41:10 42:15	47:23
6:6 12:25	39:17	benjamin 2:18	bore 13:10,25
28:13 38:22	available 18:7	28:22	14:2,11,12
54:19 58:11,19	19:20 20:6	bennett 2:14	16:1
approximately	aware 18:25	6:13,14,18,22	bores 16:24
11:19	22:25	7:1,3 10:5,13	bought 42:19
area 13:14	b	10:22,24 11:3	43:14
14:11	b 3:9 17:23	12:5,22	bound 46:17,20
argument	18:6,14 39:10	best 26:11	47:10
30:16 32:13	back 10:17	39:23,23 52:6	box 2:21
44:18,21,24	11:8,10 27:8	59:9	brief 9:8 10:15
45:3 52:23	37:2 41:10	better 9:17	11:13,15,16,18
arguments	44:11 45:21	53:5	12:6 34:7 36:6
31:1	46:24 49:3	bit 7:7 11:9	38:25 45:10
aside 37:7	51:2 52:6	23:22	briefing 10:24
50:10 52:8	background	black 16:13	29:17 30:24
56:11	7:7		31:5,15,19,19
	1.1		

[briefing - chang]

	I	I	
31:23 32:3	24:24 25:7	chair 1:18 4:3	57:13,19 58:4
33:10,18,20	27:25 28:7,14	4:11,12,17,24	58:7,9,12,18,20
44:17 52:23	29:2,17 31:16	5:2,5,16,17,23	chairman
53:4	32:14 33:8	6:2,4,7,17,20	56:22
briefly 21:3	35:3,9,17,21	7:2 10:2,5,11	challenge 44:8
38:7 44:13	36:15,18 38:2	10:12,16,21,22	chance 4:18
49:19	38:6,23 40:20	10:23 11:2	41:5 44:12
briefs 12:7	40:20 41:10	12:3,9,12,13,15	45:10 46:12
30:25	46:2 48:25	12:17,20,24	49:19 51:5
bring 43:21	49:25 50:3,12	13:1,5,7,20	55:3
brings 58:12	52:10 53:24	18:9,11,15,21	chang 1:18 4:3
brought 42:10	54:25 55:10,17	18:23 19:24	4:11,12,17,24
building 1:5	55:18 56:2,4	20:10,13,20	5:2,17,23 6:4,7
buildup 16:17	56:23 57:5,15	22:17 23:6	6:17,20 10:2
burden 30:4,5	57:16 58:2,2,8	26:14,24 27:3	10:11,16,21,23
bureau 19:20	58:14	27:21 28:2,8	11:2 12:3,9,13
20:16 21:6	cases 6:7 10:17	28:10,14,19,24	12:15,20,24
business 56:24	45:4	29:22 30:8	13:1,5,20 18:9
56:24	casing 14:3	31:7,8,12,22	18:11,15,21
buy 42:19	16:4,9,19	33:24 34:1,17	19:24 20:10,13
c	catch 45:16	35:15,25 36:8	20:20 22:17
c 2:1 3:9 14:7	caused 30:6	36:12,22 37:6	23:6 26:24
18:3,6,14	causing 30:4	37:10,24 38:3	27:3,21 28:10
call 4:4,5 9:19	caution 18:3	38:20,23 40:8	28:14,19,24
13:2 28:14	56:11,16	41:4 44:11,14	29:22 30:8
29:7	cd 23:22	45:8,13,22	31:7,12 33:24
called 5:15 7:16	cement 15:9	46:12 48:7,17	34:1,17 35:25
20:8 42:1	certain 13:17	48:23 49:5,13	36:12,22 37:10
captures 50:15	certainly 35:4	49:21 50:4,9	37:24 38:3,20
50:16	49:6	50:20 51:4	38:23 40:8
care 43:4	certificate 3:10	52:3,16 53:7	41:4 44:11
case 5:11 6:9	cetera 26:5	54:1,2,11,14,18	45:8,22 46:12
6:22 10:18	31:19	54:23 55:5,10	48:7,17,23
13:2,2,5 21:18	chain 43:7,17	55:13,15 56:17	49:5,13 50:4,9
22:5,10 24:1	51:13	56:23 57:1,2,6	51:4 52:3 53:7

[chang - conducted]

741111410	24.22	55.10.5 6.10.16	• 4 7
54:1,11,14,18	clear 24:22	55:18 56:10,16	communicated
54:23 55:5,10	29:19	59:5	19:13
55:13,15 56:17	clearly 25:7	commission's	companies
56:23 57:2,6	close 35:22	8:19 30:20	16:22 17:4,25
57:13,19 58:4	collaterally	31:4 41:9	27:7
58:9,12,18,20	49:24	43:21	company 26:9
change 5:7,22	come 10:17	commissioner	27:19 39:6
51:21	21:10 42:7	3:7 4:9,10,13	complaint
changes 5:4,25	45:21	4:14,21,23 5:5	50:17
6:2	comfortable	5:14 6:1,3 7:2	completed 9:4
characterized	33:15	7:3 10:12,20	completely
50:22	comission 5:10	12:11,12,17,19	42:12,17
charged 40:3	commence	13:11 18:19	completion
chief 19:20	15:18	20:1 21:25,25	59:1
20:16 21:6	comments	22:19,22 23:5	compliance
29:2 31:6,16	27:23	23:6,7,12 25:2	21:11 22:3
chino 1:5	commission 1:3	25:12,20 26:13	comply 15:12
circumstance	1:17,21 2:2 4:8	28:2,6,8 35:15	comport 46:23
24:18 25:5	6:24 7:22 9:9	36:8,13 37:6	47:3,8 48:15
circumstances	9:15,21,24	37:13,13,15,16	48:20 51:16
17:11 19:18	10:18,25 11:12	38:19 45:9,13	composed 22:7
23:19,24	11:23,25 12:13	53:20 54:2,16	compulsory
claiming 43:25	13:8 19:6,10	54:17 56:25	39:14,22 41:11
46:14	19:21 20:7	57:1,3,17,25	concern 26:2
claims 34:22	21:1 24:5,6	58:6,7,16	34:24 35:3,6
40:15 45:2	26:15 29:18	commissioners	concerns 12:9
clarification	31:7,18 32:2	4:17 7:21	17:4,5 27:7,16
27:11,17	33:6,7,23	10:11 12:10	27:24 31:14
clarifies 25:3	35:10 36:20,20	18:15,22 19:24	35:12
clarify 48:8	36:24 37:4	28:21 29:10	conclusion 20:4
50:10,18 58:4	39:2 40:23	34:2 35:13	22:2
clarity 30:23	44:3,18 45:25	38:21 45:11	conduct 15:24
38:4	46:11 47:21	49:18 52:4	conducted 42:8
class 21:13	48:23 49:23	53:18 57:14	42:23
	50:1 52:6		

[confirm - depending]

confirm 5:16	controlled	00unty 11:16	9:18,23 10:7,8
conservation	16:17	county 41:16 41:24 43:1	28:15 32:11
1:3 2:2 18:24	controvert	couple 44:2	34:11,19 35:17
59:5	44:22	course 9:12	35:19 37:9,14
consider 31:5	copy 5:19	12:8 22:7	37:18 52:18
consideration	correct 5:22	court 2:17	dealing 44:7
31:4	6:12 21:24	42:23 43:10	deals 21:14
considered	27:1,2 36:10	44:15,23 46:3	deana 2:14
24:15,17	48:12,21,22	46:9,9 47:5,7	6:14 7:3
constructed	49:12 57:11	48:5,24 49:2,4	decide 38:9
19:4,5 24:3	59:8	49:5,9,10,24	40:19
construction	corrective	51:2,3,6,10,17	decided 11:17
13:18 14:6,13	19:14,17	51:22,22 52:14	32:20
24:14 25:18	correctly 25:16	56:13,13	decision 30:20
constructive	correlative	courts 33:9	35:8 48:24
43:2	40:4,5,17 48:2	40:21,22 45:20	57:16
context 19:8	56:5,5	46:3,6 50:7,8	dedicated 8:5
24:9	correspond	covered 15:9	deep 44:1,2
continuance	10:7	covers 42:2	deficiencies
29:3,25 30:6	corresponden	creating 40:6	23:16
30:10,12 32:24	21:21	cryptic 23:22	define 31:23
35:18,24 37:2	coterra 2:11	current 11:4	definitely 5:21
37:3,5,7,17,23	6:15 7:4,6,7,10	15:17 47:20	definition 32:3
52:9,22,25	7:13 8:17,25	currently 21:7	delaware 17:20
53:3,8,12,25	9:14,17	37:1 38:16	deliberation
54:21,24	coterra's 9:22	57:20	58:1,8
continue 9:20	12:8	d	deliberations
29:6 30:2 31:9	counsel 1:21	d 3:1 14:7	28:1 57:10,15
33:17,19 36:5	12:13 26:16,21	dacus 17:18	57:22
38:6 53:5,16	31:7 34:3 36:3	18:7	demonstrate
53:22 56:12	36:20 37:10	date 47:1 57:10	24:5
continued 5:11	45:15,23 48:23		denied 44:10
5:12 32:18	49:7 52:7	dates 12:4,18	deny 28:1
control 21:11	counsel's 34:25	day 12:23	depending 9:16
		de 6:11,16 7:8	
		7:14 8:17 9:1	

[depends - energy]

depends 31:22	discuss 4:19	52:14 56:13	due 11:13,16
depicted 14:6	45:17 53:24	dive 29:6	11:18,20 19:2
14:13,25 16:10	57:5	divert 14:1,22	46:23 47:3,9
16:13	discussed 17:2	15:14	48:15,20 49:2
dept 2:3	discussion 5:4	divested 42:17	49:22 51:16
depths 43:13	37:8,18 38:5	division 8:11	duly 20:22
describes 15:4	53:11,22 58:1	8:16,17 9:2,3,9	duty 40:3
design 13:16,25	discussions	9:13 12:1 18:8	
14:12 17:13	5:24 19:2,22	18:24,25 19:6	e
designated	21:20 30:13	24:4 26:5	e 2:1,1 3:1 14:7
8:12	dismiss 52:13	32:16,16,20	earlier 27:6
designed 14:16	54:8 55:18	39:24 40:3,6	east 41:15
determination	dismissal 52:18	40:23 47:7	eddy 41:15,24
44:19	53:3 56:4,9	51:19 56:10,15	43:1
determine	dismissed	division's 8:19	effect 42:4
30:17	54:25 55:11	8:21 19:21	either 19:6
determined	dispose 37:4	55:7,8	27:18,19 40:22
11:17 19:8	disposition	docket 11:23	54:22 56:12,24
determining	59:13	12:1	elect 13:24
9:18	dispute 30:21	document 23:9	elected 14:12
developed 35:2	31:21 33:11	documented	elements 56:7
development	36:4 40:16,17	35:2	emergency
14:10	40:18 48:19	documents	11:5
different 32:25	49:16 50:12	33:3 53:1	emnrd.nm.gov
digest 33:22	53:14 54:6	doing 36:2	2:5
36:18	disputes 33:8	42:13	empire 57:8,23
diligently 47:19	disputing 39:6	dr 1:20 4:9	58:2,8
direct 20:7	district 33:9	36:1,12 37:15	empire's 57:5
disclaimer 24:8	40:21 42:23	57:2	58:2
disclaimers	43:10 45:20	drilled 19:4	employed 46:5
30:19	46:2,3,9,9 47:5	24:9 25:16	59:11
discombobul	47:7 48:5,24	drilling 13:13	encountered
11:9	49:2,4,9,24	25:5	14:20
discovered	50:7 51:1,3,6	drive 1:6 2:4	energy 2:3,11
42:9	51:10,17,21,22		2:16,20 6:15
	, , ,		7:4,6,7,9,10,12

[energy - feldewert]

	I	I	
7:18 8:9,12,13	equipment	19:9 24:1,2,23	fact 8:21 11:4
28:15,18 29:17	25:6	24:25 26:5,18	19:3 20:15
29:23 41:1	era 44:6	exceptions 19:5	24:23 32:6,15
43:12,20,23	erred 49:10	24:16,17	45:4 56:7
energy's 42:16	esq 1:22	excluded 34:15	58:12
43:7 44:9	essence 45:16	executed 24:10	factual 23:19
energy.jrs 2:23	essentially 15:7	exhibit 15:1,16	failed 43:16
engaged 14:9	41:1	16:11,25 17:23	49:22
engineer 14:15	establish 46:8	18:3 26:4,10	failing 39:17
17:19	55:25	exhibits 3:8,9	failure 14:3
engineered	establishes	17:1,21,22	16:4,19
14:22 15:4,6	41:25	18:6,14 21:17	fair 10:21
15:10 26:2	establishing	32:4,9,10	20:20
engineering	46:1	existing 8:4,4	faith 44:8 48:2
19:20 20:16	et 26:5 31:19	9:5 39:4,5,11	familiar 21:16
21:6,8 22:15	event 14:3 16:3	39:11,11,15,25	21:20
engineers 22:8	16:18 18:8	expected 25:23	family 11:5
ensued 19:10	everybody	expert 20:14,19	far 8:14 57:8
ensure 26:11	51:20 58:20	explore 53:17	favor 9:22 50:2
entered 30:17	evidence 34:20	expressed 17:5	53:25
entertain 36:23	36:15,17	27:15	fe 1:7 2:4,9
58:14	evident 26:1	extend 29:12	federal 41:21
entertaining	evidentiary	extensive 42:8	46:23 47:3
38:1	30:14 31:6	extent 7:19	48:15 51:15
entirely 10:9	32:14 33:12	44:15,20	feedback 22:9
44:24	35:7 46:5	extenuating	feel 31:9 44:7
entirety 50:16	exactly 38:8	24:18	feels 29:4
50:17	39:1	extinguished	feet 15:25
entitled 45:3	examination	42:20 43:14	feldewert 2:9
equal 17:10	3:6,7 20:23	51:1	13:4,6,7,21
22:14 23:17	22:21	f	19:11 21:17
26:18	example 9:21	f 14:7,13,18	23:10 25:14,15
equally 8:24	examples 26:22	15:2,13	25:19,22 27:2
23:23 24:6	exception	face 15:11	27:11 28:12
26:23	13:10 17:13	14CC 13.11	

[felt - gregory]

P-14 04 10	£1.1¢	44.20.56.2	55.5
felt 24:19	51:16	44:20 56:2	55:5
figure 14:7,7,7	follows 20:22	59:6,11	goes 53:11
14:7,13,18	foot 8:18,20 9:3	future 23:24	going 8:15 10:4
15:2,13 38:10	9:11	25:5	10:4 11:9,25
50:13	foregoing 59:8	g	15:20 16:5
file 9:13,14	fork 6:19 8:5,8	gallegos 59:3	24:22 26:20
filed 13:9 17:16	formation	59:16	29:7 34:14,21
27:15 29:3,11	14:17,24 41:16	gas 7:23 9:10	37:22 52:4,24
29:18 41:24	forth 59:7	gatekeeping	53:24 56:9
42:25	forum 38:9,13	52:11	57:4
filing 31:24	forward 9:23	gateway 29:4	good 6:13 7:1
filings 34:22	10:1 24:23	36:3 38:10	12:23 18:22
47:21	30:7 33:16	50:16 53:13	27:11,17 28:21
final 23:21	37:22	gather 22:6	36:9 44:8
39:16 56:8	found 44:1	gentleman's	45:22 47:16
59:13	four 13:10,15	34:14	48:1,1 51:18
find 33:5 55:16	13:25 14:6,11	geologists 22:7	57:21
finding 9:1	17:13 56:7	getting 11:9	goodnight 57:9
finds 23:16	fourth 59:17	51:20	57:22 58:2
finish 41:4	fox 30:2	give 6:10,23	governing 7:22
first 15:17 16:9	fracturing	11:19,25 29:1	governs 13:12
18:17 20:22	15:19,22	34:6 35:4,12	grant 32:23
21:1 23:14	francis 1:6 2:4	35:25 38:24	52:22,24 53:4
25:23 29:5	franklin 7:9,11	41:5 44:12	56:12
36:9 40:9,14	7:17 8:9,11,12	45:9 46:12,19	granted 8:11
45:11,12	frequency	49:19 51:4	19:6 30:6
floor 1:5	15:23	given 12:3 54:7	41:11 47:18
flow 14:1,16	front 5:19	gives 42:4	56:7
16:3,15,17	28:25 33:6	gmail.com 2:23	granting 53:8
flows 50:23	35:7,16 38:4	gnan.com 2.23 go 5:2 10:15,16	grants 39:16
fluids 14:2,23	38:16	10:23 13:20	great 6:17 13:5
15:14	full 23:1 35:7	16:16 18:17	28:19 30:5
fme 8:15	fully 35:2,2	29:5 34:6	40:6 57:6
follow 22:18,20	further 5:23	37:22,24 46:24	gregory 1:19
47:2 49:2	26:14 27:23	49:3 51:2,13	
		47.3 31.4,13	

[grounds - interpreted]

J 46.10	I I 10.0	20.0.10.25	·
grounds 46:19	heard 19:9	29:9,10,25	individuals
group 2:16	31:12 32:10	30:24 35:23	51:14
7:16,16 21:12	40:7 44:21	37:20,20,24,25	informed 17:2
21:14	48:8 52:20	40:10,11 41:4	35:8 53:10,11
groups 21:7	hearing 1:1 5:3	41:7 44:25	infringed 39:14
guadalupe 2:8	7:14 8:11 9:16	45:25,25 46:14	39:22 40:1
guarantee	9:18,23 17:17	47:6 48:4	initial 9:8
24:20	18:5 19:23	51:18	11:13
guess 37:1	21:22 27:15	holliday's	injection 21:10
53:15	29:3,6,20 30:1	47:12	inside 15:7
h	30:7,14 31:6	hope 32:24	inspections
hagerman 2:22	32:12,12,14,16	33:17,19	21:11
half 41:13,13	32:17 33:12	hopefully 11:8	install 16:6
41:14,14	34:11,16 35:17	hoping 11:11	instrument
hall 1:5	35:20 36:3,10	horizontal 7:25	43:7
hand 15:3	36:25 37:14	8:23 9:4	intend 26:7
16:11 36:11	45:18 53:21	hostile 50:2	intended 25:21
39:23 47:2	54:5 59:4	hydraulic	interest 36:2
48:5,6 51:19	hearings 21:14	15:18,22	42:18,19 43:8
handle 37:4	24:12,13	i	43:9,12,13,17
handled 33:8	hears 56:13	immediate 16:1	43:18,25 45:2
	held 8:11	impact 16:2	46:15,16,20,25
happen 26:11	help 34:18	imposed 8:18	47:9 50:21
happened 25:25 35:20	49:14	imposed 8.18	51:8,12,25
	helpful 34:3	inactive 47:14	59:13
hart 2:8 head 55:5	hide 39:7		interests 7:19
	highlighted	47:15	8:14 52:1
hear 10:3 18:16	14:1	inappropriate	interfere 51:24
18:17,20 27:8	hold 9:15	48:9	interim 7:10
31:20 33:4,9	holding 39:6	included 16:23	intermediate
33:21 35:23	holland 2:8	42:2	13:15 15:7
36:11 38:6	hollandhart.c	including 7:12	16:9,9
44:19 49:18	2:10	17:25	internal 8:22
50:3 52:3,21	holliday 2:16	increase 15:23	interpreted
52:25 55:17	2:18 28:21,22	indicated 19:11	24:25
56:2		44:25	

[interval - listed]

	T	I	T
interval 14:2	j	k	51:15
intervene 45:4	james 15:6	k 2:5	lawyer 23:25
intrepid 16:23	jesse 2:5 18:23	katherine 2:17	lawyers 31:24
18:1 27:3,5	jessek.tremaine	kd 46:18	leading 19:23
invalid 43:6	2:5	kelli 59:3,16	leaning 57:18
invite 5:24 6:9	joa 42:4,6,10	kick 36:5	lease 46:16,18
57:14	43:9,19	know 5:19 10:5	46:21 47:10,11
involved 8:3	job 21:4 22:14	10:8 11:13	50:22
41:21	51:18	13:12 17:7	leased 46:21
involves 7:15	joining 57:8	19:22 24:9	leases 39:11
7:17	joins 10:18	30:23 32:23	42:18
irrelevant	jonathan 2:21	36:15 38:15	leasing 13:13
34:15	2:22 28:17	43:24,25 45:18	14:10
issue 8:2 9:7		45:19 53:15	led 21:22 22:2
11:18 12:13	judiciable 33:5 33:23	54:5 56:1	left 15:3 50:11
13:23 16:24			legal 9:7 25:10
19:1 27:13	july 1:12 42:25	knowledgeable	30:19 33:18,18
29:4 36:16	59:4	35:8	33:19 35:5
39:1,8 41:8	june 42:25	known 13:13	39:8 44:16,24
42:18 43:5	jurisdiction	14:10	46:4 48:20,21
47:4 50:11,16	36:5 38:12	kpla 19:18	50:23 52:23
52:11,14 53:13	39:8,25 40:7	l	53:4,21 59:16
53:21,21,23	40:19,25 42:14	lack 30:23	letting 38:15
54:3 55:20	47:8,22 49:3	55:11	lightly 26:9
issued 7:9	51:7,11,17,23	lacked 51:7,11	likes 13:14
issues 14:21	55:11,17	51:17,22	limitations
22:24 25:16,17	jurisdictional	laid 15:16	30:18
33:18 36:11	38:2 41:7	land 54:6	limited 9:1,2
38:13 45:17	jurisdictionally	lane 31:2	41:16
	53:15	language 30:19	
50:13 53:9	jurisdicts 48:14	lately 11:15	lines 49:6 lis 42:24
55:24	48:15	lateral 9:4 16:1	
item 5:6	justin 3:6 19:19	law 2:16 33:8	list 32:8 47:14
items 57:20	20:21 21:5	40:22 43:11	47:15
		46:6,23 47:4	listed 6:8 44:1
		48:3,14,15	51:15 52:1
		40.3,14,13	

[lists - motions]

lists 32:5	manager 17:19	58:13	mitigation
litigated 45:20	mandatory	member 1:19	15:15,21 16:24
litigation 56:20	34:10,13	1:20	17:5,9,14
56:20	manner 16:17	members 1:17	19:15 20:3
little 7:7 20:25	19:4	13:8	22:3,12 23:3
23:22	marked 15:1	memorandum	26:6 27:12
live 53:1,5 54:5	material 24:7	41:23	modrall 2:12
llc 2:7 18:13	31:25	memory 26:20	7:4
28:15	materials 19:12	merits 9:19	moment 11:4
local 18:4	35:16,20 36:14	29:6 37:8,18	34:4 35:14
located 16:8	matter 28:23	40:15 41:8	37:5 54:3
long 46:25	33:6,23 36:25	44:20 52:10	month 11:19
longer 51:9,12	40:5,7 43:10	53:24	30:3 32:18
52:1	43:11 46:10	met 56:8	moot 54:24
look 22:11	47:5,7,22 48:6	method 14:13	55:9
43:23	48:19 56:11,14	methods 13:18	morning 6:13
looked 17:9	56:16,19 59:12	14:6	7:2 11:1 18:22
19:7	59:14	mexico 1:2,7	28:21
looking 50:14	mean 11:22	2:2,4,9,13,22	mosaic 16:22
lot 46:4	12:8 24:1,24	59:4,17	18:1 27:3,5
lubbock 5:10	25:6,20 37:14	mfeldewert	motion 5:1,24
m	51:20	2:10	6:6 12:25 28:1
m 2:14	means 34:19,20	michael 2:9	28:3,13 29:3,8
made 11:24	55:19	midstream	29:11 30:10,12
main 40:13	meant 54:13	57:9	31:8,11 36:23
make 4:19 5:24	measure 17:6,9	mineral 7:19	36:24 37:3,4
6:1 28:1 31:11	measures 15:16	8:14 39:6	37:17 38:1,4
32:13 35:2,7	15:21 17:15	41:19 42:9	38:16,18,22
35:18 44:18	26:6,10 27:12	minerals 2:3	52:5,8,9 54:15
47:7 48:5 57:4	meet 14:20	41:21	54:19,21,24
57:14	55:21	minutes 5:3	55:1,6,7 56:6
makes 43:18	meeting 4:4 5:3	33:22 34:7	56:12 57:14
56:14	5:15 10:18	38:5,7,25	58:11,15,19
managed 22:25	29:12 57:4,21	misleading	motions 31:24
	57:24 58:3,5,6	51:19	54:21

[mountain - operating]

mountain 7:9	neither 27:7	35:19 37:9,14	39:7 40:19,24
7:11,18 8:9,12	59:11	37:18 52:18	44:12
8:13	net 41:19 42:9	number 6:10	ocd's 11:17
move 4:19,21	neutral 50:2	13:2 27:13	19:12,19 21:20
6:1 9:23 12:16	new 1:2,7 2:2,4	28:14 39:20	22:1,2 23:12
12:18 18:6	2:9,13,22	41:12 46:18	30:17
27:25 28:6	16:15 21:12	numerous	october 11:21
29:2 30:7	24:1 59:4,17	51:10	11:25 12:2,7
37:22 54:7	newspaper	nw 2:13 59:17	offer 10:25
56:23 57:25	18:4	0	office 11:5,6,8
58:1	nm 2:3	o'clock 4:3	officer 32:17
moved 55:18	normal 24:2		officers 21:12
58:9,16	north 2:8 6:19	object 56:9	oh 5:17 54:23
moving 6:7	8:5,7 41:14,14	objected 8:8 objection 4:24	oil 1:3 2:2 7:22
10:1 13:1	46:15		9:10 18:24
multiple 44:4	northeast	4:25 12:20	59:4
n	41:20,20	18:10,11 37:12	okay 4:7,15
	note 30:13,14	37:25 38:21	10:16,21 13:1
n 2:1 3:1	noted 17:8	54:18 58:10,18	13:19 15:19
name 7:3 18:23	42:12 56:17	objections 6:4	21:24 27:9
21:5 42:3	notice 17:24,25	18:9 28:10,11	29:22 39:3
narrow 39:1	27:5,12,14	38:20 56:17	40:8 46:12
natural 2:3	42:24 43:2	objects 29:24	48:23 51:4
necessarily	45:3 58:13	37:10,21	57:2
23:23		obligation 40:3	
necessary 7:20	noticed 42:23	obviate 43:19	once 10:4 54:9
24:20	43:20	occ 29:12 40:25	online 6:21
need 9:22 20:13	notified 27:4	45:17 54:9	20:7
30:6 33:11	notify 39:17	occurred 19:2	open 23:2
34:20 38:10	november	32:16	46:18
42:7 43:19	11:23 16:21	ocd 11:17 17:7	opening 12:6
56:18	novo 6:11,16	18:17,17,20	operate 39:20
needed 24:16	7:8,14 8:17 9:1	19:6,16 20:2	42:5
needs 30:18	9:18,23 10:7,8	21:6 22:1 23:8	operating 2:7
	28:15 32:11		2:11,15 3:9
45.20	20.13 32.11	23.15 24.2 19	
45:20	34:11,19 35:17	23:15 24:2,19 28:24 30:9	6:15 7:5,6,8,11

[operating - piece]

18:13 28:23	44:15,23 46:3	owner 39:6	particularly
29:7,11 31:13	46:7 48:10	owns 46:15	10:20
31:13 39:10	51:22,22,24	р	parties 6:9 13:2
41:23	55:7,8 56:8		17:24 24:15
operation	ordered 4:25	p 2:1,1 p.o. 2:21	31:6,10 36:6
50:22	6:5 28:11	p.o. 2.21 package 17:17	38:7 39:18
operations 7:23	38:21 54:18	17:22	45:14 51:11,25
15:22 16:10	58:18	padilla 6:19	53:1 59:12
47:20	ordering 9:2	9:13 10:6,13	party 32:7
operator 8:13	orders 44:4	10:18	35:24 40:9
13:14 39:9,19	45:5 46:9	padilla's 11:16	46:25
39:20,24 41:25	47:13	page 3:2 5:6,9	path 36:10
44:1 48:1	ordinarily 42:6	5:15 13:22	paths 16:15
operator's 56:5	orient 15:2	14:4 23:14	33:16
operators 22:9	original 5:6	41:24	pattern 32:6,15
opine 49:10	21:10	papers 9:16	pause 38:5
opinion 30:15	originally	paragraph	pecos 1:5
opportunity	41:10	5:10	penasco 42:1
29:14 57:22	outlined 19:15	paragraphs 9:2	47:11
opposition	22:16	paraphrase	penasco's
30:12	outlines 10:14	34:25	47:10
option 33:18,21	outside 33:3	paraphrasing	pendens 42:24
52:17,19,20	42:14 50:12	21:24	pending 6:7
options 52:17	overlap 7:25	part 14:5 15:20	10:9 56:19,20
53:2	8:3,4	22:23 24:11	permian 2:7
oral 33:10	overlapping	53:14	3:9 17:20
order 4:4 5:15	7:20,24 8:10	participant	18:13
6:8 7:8,13,15	overs 47:17	24:12	person 32:22
7:17,18 8:3	oversaw 17:21	participating	perspective
12:16,21 13:11	own 43:12,17	44:25	43:5
13:17,22 14:8	46:17 47:9	participation	petition 28:4 32:9
24:11 26:19	49:21	19:22	
34:10 39:16	owned 46:25	particular 7:17	petroleum 22:7 piece 41:19
41:12,12 42:3 42:8,11 44:8	51:8,9,12 52:1	17:10 22:5,10	piece 41.19
42.0,11 44.0		32:6	

[place - proposed]

place 24:19	portion 8:8	prepare 9:8	proceeding
26:6,25	position 9:17	12:1 32:2	27:10 56:3
placed 15:7	21:3,4 29:21	prepared 32:14	proceedings
24:21	30:9,11 31:3	present 4:10,12	1:11 3:3 4:2
plan 10:14	31:17 34:21	4:14,15 10:10	8:7 58:21 59:7
14:10 16:21,25	40:14,21 46:7	55:21	59:9
17:2	possible 20:1	presentation	process 9:7,25
planned 25:24	37:7 38:25	33:10 44:22	19:13,23 20:2
plans 9:21	57:13	presented 33:3	21:21 22:2
22:12 23:3	possibly 43:14	pressure 15:18	46:24 47:3,9
play 48:5	potash 13:13	15:21,24 16:6	48:16,21 49:2
please 4:6 11:2	14:10 16:22,22	16:7,12,14	49:23 51:16
13:20 20:20	16:23 17:4,25	22:13	production
21:2,24 22:1	27:1	prevent 19:18	14:3 15:9 16:3
34:7 38:25	potential 16:2	40:4	16:18 44:5
plug 15:23	22:24 25:4	primacy 21:13	productive
plugging 44:4	30:23 42:18	primarily 8:23	29:19
45:5 47:13	potentially	9:6 11:3	proffered
point 14:15,22	35:22	prior 19:5 51:8	20:19
15:4,6,10	predecessor	51:23 52:2	project 23:19
22:15 26:2	41:25	pro 2:21	projects 21:14
29:19 32:20	predecessors	probably 13:12	proper 37:19
36:22 37:17	42:17	45:23 50:3	40:20 46:8
45:7 52:5,13	prediction 33:1	problem 15:11	properly 25:7
52:23 53:16,17	preferences	37:11	31:20 35:21
53:25	18:18	procedural	53:14
points 40:13	prehearing	25:10 30:22	property 33:8
pool 46:20	17:8 23:13	55:22	33:11 50:21,23
pooled 8:13	prejudice	proceed 6:23	51:1
41:12	52:13,18 54:8	13:3 20:20	proposal 10:25
pooling 7:18	54:12 55:19	31:15 34:10	16:20
39:15,22 41:11	preliminary	35:5,14 36:25	proposals
41:18 42:3,7	31:10	52:12,15 53:19	23:16,17,22
42:12	premature 56:4	55:23 56:1,10	proposed 5:4
		56:16,18	8:4 15:15 17:5

[proposed - requirement]

17.0.22.2.12	4: 00.1	41.17	60 50 14
17:9 22:3,12	question 22:1	range 41:15	refile 52:14
36:24 52:5	22:18 23:8	rather 31:6	54:9 55:20
protect 19:18	25:13 26:24	razatos 5:16	refiled 52:19
27:1	38:8,11 44:16	reached 57:17	refresh 41:9
protecting 48:2	45:13,22 46:4	read 5:7 23:14	regarding 9:9
protection	53:12	36:16	19:23 30:23,25
17:10 23:17	questions 18:8	ready 4:4 13:3	region 17:20
protective	18:16,18 19:21	55:23 57:7	registered 45:1
23:23 24:6,16	19:24 20:8	real 12:4 53:1	regret 56:1
26:23	21:1 26:14	really 36:15,18	related 21:4
provide 5:8	27:22 34:3	reason 8:23	30:19 59:12
17:10 22:9	38:2 40:9	41:22 43:16	relief 14:15,23
23:17 32:19	45:11	reasonable	16:6,7,12,13,14
53:1	quick 12:4 51:4	10:2,14	22:13
provided 5:7,7	quiet 42:16,21	reasons 14:25	relying 30:24
5:8 27:4,14	42:22 43:3,6	29:13	remaining 14:5
32:1 36:20	43:15 46:22,22	recall 34:11	remind 44:3
public 1:1 18:5	46:24 47:1,4,8	receive 27:18	remotely 29:14
42:24	48:9,10,18,24	27:19	reply 9:14
publication	49:3,11,22,24	received 27:12	11:20 12:7
18:4	50:12,15 51:7	29:16	report 21:7
pull 23:9,10	51:7,12,14	recommend	59:6
26:21	52:1	57:19	represent 6:15
purported	r	record 4:1	18:24
42:19 43:8	r 2:1 7:8,15	24:12 27:4	represented
44:5	13:11,12,22	30:15,25 32:12	27:6,10 28:24
purpose 13:25	14:14 19:7	34:16 35:1,7	representing
14:18	22:16 23:18	47:23 53:10	28:18
put 12:20 13:21		54:20	request 7:17
17:21,21 26:6	24:10,13 25:1 25:21 41:12	recorded 43:1	required 13:24
26:19 50:10	raise 38:14	rectangle 42:2	15:3 16:14
q		reduce 16:2	22:14 32:1
	56:25	reference 19:8	41:18
quarter 41:20	raised 31:14	referred 21:17	requirement
41:20 46:15	ranch 15:6	26:23	8:20 14:20

[requirement - section]

15:13,20	responsibilities	roche 42:3,3	58:17
requirements	21:4	roll 4:5,8	samaniego's
13:10 17:13	responsible	rule 9:16,22	46:8
55:22	21:9	14:5 15:17,19	san 2:17
requires 14:15		16:15 32:1	sant 2.17 santa 1:7 2:4,9
15:17,19	responsive 29:16	55:24	,
requisite 46:1	rest 12:23	ruled 50:14	satisfactory 20:4
research 42:8	result 15:25	rulemaking	satisfied 19:16
42:13	resulted 14:21	11:24 12:2	
		rules 7:22 8:1	satisfy 22:17
resolve 55:19	resulting 13:15		saying 25:8
resolved 53:23	review 4:18 7:8	8:19,21 9:9,10	says 5:10,15
resolving 44:15	8:17 9:1 10:7,8	15:3 26:22,25	32:9
52:14	19:22 20:18	34:9,12	scenarios 26:3
resource 27:1	22:2,8,23 23:1	ruling 9:17	schedule 10:25
resources 2:3	23:2 24:7 32:2	56:14	11:9,14 12:10
2:20 28:15,18	32:12 34:19	run 13:14	scheduling
29:23	reviewed 19:7	S	12:16,21
respectfully	19:12,14 23:15	s 1:6 2:1	scratch 34:19
56:15	36:13 44:17	safeguards	screen 13:21
respond 29:23	reviewing 20:3	24:21	14:14 15:2
34:7 36:1 41:5	21:9	saint 1:6	se 2:21
45:10,12,14	right 16:11	salt 14:2,16,17	second 4:23 6:3
46:13 49:19	25:12 34:18	15:14 22:15	12:19 13:14
51:5	38:13 42:5	samaniego 2:21	16:5,7,8,8,12
responded 24:4	48:9 50:4 51:1	2:22 28:17,17	28:9 29:1 32:3
respondent	52:3 53:9	29:24 31:1	34:1 35:12,25
6:20 28:23	55:13	33:25 34:4,6,8	38:17,19 46:22
respondents	rights 39:21	34:17 37:21	54:17 58:7,17
10:3,4	40:4,5,18 48:2	38:3,24 39:3	seconded 58:9
response 9:13	50:24 56:5,6	41:3,6 44:22	secondly 27:14
11:20 12:6	rio 42:1 47:10	45:1,10 46:13	29:16
19:16	47:11	46:14 48:13,22	section 13:22
responses	ripe 11:23	49:14,20 51:5	14:17 15:14
44:12	risk 22:24,24	51:6 55:3,14	41:14,15 44:2
		55:16 56:3	
		33.10 30.3	

[see - state]

T 10 10 00			100
see 5:18 13:23	59:7	silverback's	specific 23:18
14:5 15:5	setback 8:18,20	29:25 40:14,21	32:4,5,5 33:2
16:25 17:1	9:3,11	41:25 47:9	52:23 53:4
23:22 25:19	setbacks 8:22	simply 24:8	specifically
26:4,10 27:3	setting 58:8	25:17 35:19	7:23 19:14
30:25 33:13	several 33:15	sir 13:4,20 34:1	36:7 43:8,18
35:10 37:11,19	shallow 41:17	six 21:7	specifies 13:17
49:15 51:20	shandler 1:22	sleight 39:23	specifying
52:5 53:19	12:14 26:15,15	47:2 48:5,6	36:23
seeing 34:11	28:5 31:8,22	51:19	speed 11:10
35:1,15	37:12 49:1,12	small 41:19	sperling 2:12
seek 9:20 42:7	49:21 50:5,20	smoothing 11:6	7:4
seeking 6:24	52:16 54:13,20	sold 51:9	spirit 6:21
7:8 8:17,25	55:1,6,12	solid 15:23	squeeze 15:9
13:9	56:22 57:12	solutions 59:16	st 2:4,8
seemed 23:2	share 13:18	solve 51:3	staff 22:8
seems 30:24	sheila 4:5 5:18	somebody 4:18	stages 15:24
50:15 53:7	57:17	12:15 27:25	standard 24:13
sees 50:18	shoe 15:8	52:5	24:14 48:21
self 17:17,23	short 9:14	sort 25:4 46:2	standards 46:5
sense 11:24	24:10	52:10 53:8	standing 24:25
35:18	shorthand 59:7	sought 8:9	45:4,19 46:2,8
sent 16:21	shortly 24:10	25:24	46:10 47:16,21
17:24	show 54:9	sounds 10:2	48:1 49:16
sentence 23:21	shut 23:2	11:13 23:21	54:4,10 55:25
sentences 23:15	sic 48:14	57:18	start 4:8 6:8
september	side 15:4 16:11	south 2:4 41:13	33:25 34:8,19
11:16,18 12:5	signature 59:15	41:13,15	39:3
12:6	silence 27:8,17	spacing 7:20,24	started 43:22
series 52:17	silverback 2:15	7:25 8:1,5,10	state 1:2 8:21
serve 10:6	28:22 29:2,7	39:11,21,25	11:5 21:3,12
service 16:6	29:11 31:12,13	spacings 39:16	41:21 46:23
set 37:7 52:8	36:16 41:10	speak 29:8	47:3 48:3,14
56:11 57:10,14	42:4 46:15,17	special 21:13	48:14 51:15
57:20 58:5	50:25		

[stated - theenergylawgroup.com]

stated 5:10	44:4 45:5 46:4	summarize	23:1
statement 17:8	submission	20:2 22:1	technical 19:12
17:17,23 23:13	21:17	summarized	20:18 22:6,11
25:11 47:12	submit 9:8	29:21	23:1 25:9
statements	10:15	summary 50:21	tell 20:10 26:8
32:6,8 33:20	submitted	sundries 21:10	49:7,8
34:14	16:20 17:7	supervises	telling 48:18
states 43:8	32:5,7,8 35:21	20:17	temporarily
status 6:10	47:17	support 8:20	37:16 52:9
statute 34:9	subsequent	9:11 34:21,22	ten 42:20 46:24
39:4,15 40:2	39:5	36:19	46:25 51:8,23
statutes 34:12	succinct 10:14	sure 6:18 10:9	52:2
stay 55:8 56:6	40:12	21:5 22:5	terminate 55:2
56:12	sudden 14:3	36:14,17 53:8	55:7
ste 2:8	suffice 23:4	53:22 57:4	termination
stenographic	sufficiency	surface 16:16	39:17
59:7	31:14	16:17 22:14	terms 23:18
step 31:10	sufficient 31:15	swear 20:10	32:4,9 33:12
steps 19:15	31:19 35:6	sworn 20:8,22	test 15:21,24
22:3	53:10	system 50:6,6	testified 20:22
stop 33:14	suggest 9:8	t	tests 15:18
strategies 20:3	18:19 31:3	table 31:9 37:7	texas 2:17
stream 13:15	suggested 5:11	37:14,17 55:7	thank 4:24 7:1
street 2:13	36:3	58:1	12:21,22,24
59:17	suggestion	tabled 52:9	13:7 18:21,23
stricken 34:16	36:19	tabling 38:1	20:5 23:5 25:2
stricter 46:5	suggestions	take 30:11 43:4	25:12 26:13
strikes 33:1	4:19	50:10	27:21 28:12
string 13:10,15	suit 42:16,21	taken 59:5	29:9,14 30:8
13:25 14:11	42:22 43:3,6	talk 55:4,14	36:20 40:7,11
16:9 17:13	43:15	57:7	44:10 45:8
struggling	suitable 14:23	talking 33:15	54:1 58:20
49:14,15	suite 2:13	42:15	theenergylaw
subject 7:12	59:17	team 19:12	2:18
42:10 43:9,18		21:8 22:6,6,11	

[thing - unit]

165260	4. 1.	4 41 12	4 21 0 22 17
thing 16:5 36:9	timeliness	tract 41:13	type 21:9 33:17
things 40:19	55:21	42:9	types 31:24
51:2	timely 31:19	traditionally	typically 26:22
think 10:13,24	times 51:10	32:11 33:7,12	typo 5:22
24:12 26:21,21	timing 19:3	transcript 1:11	typographical
26:22 29:18	title 30:21	3:3,10 4:2 59:1	5:25
30:13,15,22	36:16 40:15,16	59:9	typos 5:6,24
31:23,25 32:4	40:20,25 42:8	treble 7:16	u
32:23,25 33:4	42:13,16,21,22	tremaine 2:5	ultimate 30:20
34:24 35:17	43:3,5,6,7,15	3:6 18:10,22	unable 55:16
36:9 44:23	43:18,23 44:16	18:23 20:6,15	55:24,25
45:6 49:8	45:17 46:4,22	20:24 23:25	uncommitted
50:20 51:18	46:22,24 47:1	25:3,9 26:20	7:19 8:14
54:7 56:3,4,18	47:4,8 48:9,10	30:11 44:14	uncontested
57:7	48:18,24 49:3	trial 53:11	42:12
thinking 10:1	49:11,17,22,24	tried 35:19	under 5:6,9 8:1
third 33:20	50:11,12,15	true 59:8	17:10 39:4,10
thoroughly	51:7,8,13,14	truth 20:11,11	40:2 46:23
31:20	52:1 53:23	20:11	underground
thought 9:7	54:6 55:20	try 40:11 46:24	21:10
11:11,24	titled 51:12	trying 34:17	underlying
thoughts 10:11	today 10:10	38:13 39:1,2	36:4 54:25
12:9 35:13	13:23 17:18	47:1,6 49:14	55:10,20
36:7,12	18:5 21:18	50:10,13 51:21	understand
three 11:7	30:7 31:16	turn 13:5 28:19	15:25 25:22
13:11 14:21	35:17 54:5	34:4 35:10	29:2 34:25
17:14 19:1	56:1,2	38:23 40:8	48:17 50:9
25:17,25 53:2	together 17:21	44:11 52:4,6	57:8
53:4	took 26:9 43:23	53:18	understanding
threshold	top 5:18	twice 10:4	
44:24 54:3	towards 57:18	two 5:6 15:8,24	26:25 54:8
time 9:14 12:1	town 29:13	16:15 23:15	57:9
28:12 37:8	30:1,3,3	29:13 32:25	undertaken
53:5 55:16	township 41:15	33:18 40:13	26:10
		43:11 53:3,9	unit 7:20,25 8:1
			8:5,10 15:6

[unit - years]

	Г	Т	T
19:1 39:14,21	view 9:6 44:23	we've 15:1	53:1,6
41:17 42:1,4	48:20	35:19 52:8,8	wondering
43:8,13,17	violates 39:16	weak 14:22	25:3
units 7:24	violating 39:15	15:4,6,10	word 5:7 31:23
39:12	violation 47:25	22:15 26:2	words 49:22
unpredictable	virtual 2:14	week 11:8	work 11:12
11:15	virtually 6:14	29:11	20:17,18 25:6
update 6:10,24	volume 16:2	weeks 11:7	26:3 47:17,18
updates 56:21	41:24	weigh 44:13	working 47:19
use 14:12 46:19	vote 5:1 6:6	weighing 30:20	world 43:2
used 39:23	12:25 28:13	wells 8:3,23,24	wrinkle 3:6
usually 20:25	38:22 54:19	13:12,13 14:19	19:19,19 20:6
33:15	58:11,19	14:21 15:5,8	20:15,21 21:2
utilized 14:11	W	15:12 16:7	21:5,16 23:8
v	wade 41:8	17:14,19 19:1	write 12:4
vaguely 52:10	waiting 32:18	19:3 21:13	wrong 49:7
valid 43:25	47:18	24:3,9,19,21	X
48:11	want 5:16	25:15,18,25	x 3:1
validity 44:23	10:16 18:15	39:5,5,11 44:2	xto 2:7 3:9 13:9
valve 16:7,12	23:10 24:22	44:4,5 45:1	14:9 15:20
16:13,14	27:25 33:4	47:18,19,20,24	16:5,11,20
valves 16:6	36:6 45:9,11	wendell 1:5	17:2,19 18:13
22:13	45:23 48:7	went 20:2	19:15 21:17,21
variance 24:3	50:17 57:3	william 1:20	22:3,12 24:2
25:24	58:5	wish 37:4 53:19	24:11
variances 21:9	wants 12:15	wishes 36:24	xto's 19:12,16
26:23	waste 19:18	56:25	23:16,22
venue 36:11	40:4,6,18	witness 3:5	y
40:20 46:8	way 27:19	20:9,12 32:5,6	-
verbiage 26:17	31:23,25 33:14	32:8,8,21	yeah 21:5 57:6 57:17
veritext 59:16	34:25 49:13,15	33:20 34:10	
versus 57:9,22	50:14 51:20	witnesses 18:16	year 43:1
vertical 8:1,5,6	ways 11:14	19:25 20:16	years 42:20
8:18,21,24 9:5	34:22	32:17,19,20	46:24,25 51:8
, , , = 12		33:2 34:21	51:23 52:2

[yeso - zone]

yeso 41:16
Z
zach 26:15
zachary 1:22
zone 14:23