1		CTATE OF NEW MEXTOO
	ENERGY MINE	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
2	ENERGY, MINE	RALS, AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
3		OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
4		
5	IN THE MATTER	OF THE HEARING
6	CALLED BY THE	OIL CONSERVATION
7	DIVISION FOR T	THE PURPOSE OF
8	CONSIDERING:	
9	Case Nos. 2522	22, 25225, 25243,
10	25244, 25245,	25246.
11		
12		HEARING - DAY 1
13	DATE:	Tuesday, August 12, 2025
14	TIME:	1:26 p.m.
15	BEFORE:	Hearing Examiner Gregory Chakalian
16	LOCATION:	Pecos Hall
17		1220 South St. Francis Drive
18		Wendell Chino Building
19		Santa Fe, NM 87505
20	REPORTED BY:	Ryan Auten
21	JOB NO.:	7396398
22		
23		
24		
25		
		Page 1

1	APPEARANCES
2	ON BEHALF OF OXY USA, INC.:
3	PAULA VANCE, ESQUIRE (by videoconference)
4	Holland & Hart LLP
5	110 North Guadalupe Street, Suite 1
6	Santa Fe, NM 87501
7	pmvance@hollandhart.com
8	(505) 954-7286
9	
10	ON BEHALF OF COG OPERATING & BURLINGTON RESOURCES:
11	JACLYN MCLEAN, ESQUIRE (by videoconference)
12	Hardy McLean LLC
13	125 Lincoln Avenue, Suite 223
14	Santa Fe, NM 87501
15	jmclean@hardymclean.com
16	(505) 690-4233
17	
18	ON BEHALF OF ROCKWOOD ENERGY, LP:
19	KAITLYN LUCK, ESQUIRE
20	P.O. Box 483
21	Taos, NM 87571
22	kaitlyn.luck@outlook.com
23	(361) 648-1973
24	
25	
	Page 2

1	APPEARANCES (Cont'd)
2	ON BEHALF OF COTERRA ENERGY OPERATING:
3	DEANA BENNETT, ESQUIRE
4	Modrall Sperling
5	500 4th Street Northwest, Suite 1000
6	Albuquerque, NM 87102
7	deana.bennett@modrall.com
8	(505) 848-1834
9	
10	JOSHUA HIRSCH, ESQUIRE
11	Modrall Sperling
12	500 4th Street Northwest, Suite 1000
13	Albuquerque, NM 87102
14	joshua.hirsch@modrall.com
15	(505) 848-1875
16	
17	ON BEHALF OF MARSHALL & WINSTON:
18	JIM BRUCE, ESQUIRE
19	James Bruce (solo practice)
20	P.O. Box 1056
21	Santa Fe, NM 87504
22	(505) 982-2043
23	
2 4	
25	
	Page 3
	raye 3

1	APPEARANCES (Cont'd)
2	ALSO PRESENT:
3	Freya Tschantz, Law Clerk
4	Andrew Fordyce, OCD Technical Examiner
5	Anthony Harris, OCD Technical Examiner (by
6	videoconference)
7	Stephen Janacek, Expert Witness (by
8	videoconference)
9	Stephanie Noonan, Expert Witness (by
10	videoconference)
11	Leslie Mullin, Expert Witness (by
12	videoconference)
13	Daniel Salamander, Expert Witness (by
14	videoconference)
15	Tyler Jolly, Expert Witness (by videoconference)
16	Charles Crosby, Expert Witness (by
17	videoconference)
18	Gavin Edington, Expert Witness (by
19	videoconference)
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Page 4

1	INDEX	
2		PAGE
3	VOIR DIRE of Exhibit C-1	
4	By Ms. Bennett	76
5	VOIR DIRE of Exhibit C-2	
6	By Ms. Bennett	90
7	VOIR DIRE of Exhibit C-3	
8	By Ms. Bennett	97
9	VOIR DIRE of Exhibit C-4	
10	By Ms. Bennett	101
11	OPENING STATEMENT By Ms. Vance	10
12	By Ms. Luck	44
13	By Ms. Bennett	63
14		
15	WITNESS: DX CX RDX RC	X
16	TYLER JOLLY	
17	By Ms. Luck 71	
18	87	
19	94	
20	99	
21	By Ms. Bennett 115 17	1
22	By Mr. Bruce 164	
23	By Examiner Fordyce 165	
24	By Ms. Luck 169	
25	By Ms. Luck 179	
	Pe	age 5

1		EXHIBITS	
2	NO.	DESCRIPTION	ID/EVD
3	Cases 25222	, 25225:	
4	Exhibit A	Revised Application of OXY USA	
5		Inc. for Enhanced Oil Recovery	7
6		Dated 08/05/25	10/14
7	Exhibit B	Stephen Janacek,	
8		Petroleum Engineer	
9		Dated 08/05/25	12/14
10	Exhibit C	Leslie Mullin, Landman	
11		Dated 08/05/25	12/14
12	Exhibit D	Stephanie Noonan, Geologist	
13		Dated 08/05/25	12/14
14	Exhibit E	Daniel Salamander,	
15		Reservoir Engineer	
16		Dated 08/05/25	12/14
17	Exhibit F	Self-Affirmed Statement	
18		of Notice for	
19		Paula M. Vance	
20		Dated 08/05/25	13/14
21	Exhibit G	Affidavit of Publication	
22		Dated 08/05/25	13/14
23			
24			
25			
			Page 6
			raye 0

1	EXHIBITS (Cont'd)
2	NO. DESCRIPTION ID/EVD
3	Cases 25243-25246:
4	Exhibit 25243-25246A Compulsory Pooling
5	Application Checklist
6	Dated 08/05/25 61/
7	Exhibit 25243-25246B Application for Compulsory
8	Pooling
9	Dated 02/26/25 61/
10	Exhibit 25243-25246C Tyler Jolly, Landman
11	Dated 08/06/25 120/
12	Exhibit 25243-25246C-1 C102 Forms 73/**
13	Exhibit 25243-25246-2 Land Tract Map 87/93
14	Exhibit 25243-25246C-3 Sample Well Proposal Letter
15	From Rockwood 94/98
16	Exhibit 25243-25246C-4 Communications with Coterra
17	Energy Operating 99/109
18	Exhibit 25243-25246D Charley Crosby, Geologist
19	Dated 08/06/25 44/
20	Exhibit 25243-25246E Gavin Eddington, Engineer
21	Dated 08/06/25 44/
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Page 7
	rage /

1	EXHIBITS (Cont'd)
2	NO. DESCRIPTION ID/EVD
3	Cases 25243-25246:
4	Exhibit 25243-25246F Self-Affirmed Statement of
5	Notice for Kaitlyn A. Luck
6	& Publication Affidavit
7	Dated 08/05/25 N/A
8	(**Exhibit rejected.)
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	D200 0
	Page 8

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	THE HEARING EXAMINER: 2025. This
3	is a special docket. It will stretch to tomorrow. We
4	have cases that we are hearing by affidavit, but we
5	are also hearing contested cases as well.
6	Ms. Vance, are you ready to proceed
7	with OXY?
8	MS. VANCE: I am.
9	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Let me
10	call the first two cases on our docket. This is 25222
11	and 25225. We do you have a special hearing
12	examiner for this, Mr. Tony Harris? Are you
13	available, Mr. Harris?
14	EXAMINER HARRIS: Yes, I am.
15	THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right.
16	Perfect.
17	Ms. Vance? Entry of appearance, please
18	MS. VANCE: Thank you.
19	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
20	MS. VANCE: Good afternoon. Paula
21	Vance with the Santa Fe office of Holland and Hart on
22	behalf of the applicant, OXY USA, Inc.
23	MS. MCLEAN: Good afternoon. Jackie
24	McLean with Hardy McLean on behalf of COG and
25	Burlington Resources.

1	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Ms. Vance, do
2	you know if Bradfute Sayer is still representing EOG?
3	MS. VANCE: That is my understanding.
4	And I don't see any more
5	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Do you know what
6	EOG's position was?
7	MS. VANCE: Right now they have just
8	they've entered an appearance and they objected
9	previously, but they have withdrawn that objection.
10	And the parties have made some agreements. And OXY
11	made some adjustments to the request for relief, which
12	I was actually was going to walk through in my intro.
13	Just kind of talking about the cases
14	and where they are. So as of right now, they are
15	just they just have an entry of appearance.
16	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Perfect.
17	Thank you. Go ahead.
18	MS. VANCE: Thank you, Mr. Hearing
19	Examiner. Like I said, I just was going to give a
20	brief background where we are with the cases. So
21	25222 is the Bone Spring enhanced oil recovery
22	application for OXY.
23	(Exhibit A was marked for
24	identification.)
25	And then 25225 is the Wolfcamp enhanced
	Page 10
	_

1	oil recovery application for OXY. These were filed in
2	February of this year. And were previously it was
3	COG, Burlington, EOG, like, accepting had filed an
4	entry of appearance, had it drafted, but have since
5	withdrawn those objections, and that's how we've
6	gotten out this far.
7	And based off of those objections and
8	the parties discussing, OXY ended up doing some
9	revisions in to their to both of the
LO	applications. And exchanges primarily I'll go
L1	through them. It was the removal of wells in Sections
L2	9 and 16; removal of CO2 from the list of permissible
L3	injectants.
L4	And then there were a few slight
L5	changes to the limitations on the max rates requested
L6	for gas and water injection. And then lastly were
L7	some changes to the operational changes in the event
L8	of a breakthrough.
L9	And so based on the those changes,
20	what we did is OXY went ahead and prepared redlines of
21	both applications, which were provided to the
22	examiners just so they could see where the changes I
23	just went over were made to the applications
24	that just for ease of understanding where those
25	applications are and when the requested relief is at

Τ	this point.
2	And then we also filed last week
3	hearing packets that included a clean version of those
4	redlines along with statements from our technical
5	experts. Those technical experts are Mr. Stephen
6	Janacek, who is our petroleum engineer. And then we
7	have Ms. Stephanie Noonan, who is our geologist.
8	Both Mr. Janacek and Ms. Noonan have
9	previously testified before the Division and their
L O	credentials have been accepted as a matter of record.
L1	However, we do have our landman, Ms. Leslie Mullin,
L2	and then our reservoir engineer, Mr. Daniel
L3	Salamander. They have not previously testified.
L <b>4</b>	And so we did include a copy of their
L5	CVS with their statements that go over their
L6	educational and their experience. And all of our
L 7	experts are on the line and available for questioning.
L8	Along with their statements, we did provide some
L9	additional exhibits.
20	(Exhibit B through Exhibit E were
21	marked for identification.)
22	I won't go through those I believe
23	Mister the tech, Mr. Harris has a copy of those and
24	has probably gone through them. And then along with
) =	
25	our hearing packets of course we have our notice. My

1	self affirmed statement of notice is included along
2	with a sample copy of the letter notice that went out
3	and was timely on February 21, 2025.
4	And then we also have our affidavit of
5	publication that was timely published on February 26,
6	2025. And I will I'm assuming Mr. Harris has
7	questions and like I said, we have our experts
8	available. And we just need to make sure that we have
9	our Ms. Mullin and then Mr. Salamander again, have
10	not previously testified.
11	(Exhibit F and Exhibit G were marked
12	for identification.)
13	So I would just ask that they be
14	tendered as experts once you've had a change to review
15	their resumes.
16	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Perfect. Thank
17	you, Ms. Vance.
18	Mr. Harris, before I continue, which of
19	the witnesses will you have questions?
20	EXAMINER HARRIS: Primarily production
21	engineering and reservoir. But if we could have it as
22	a panel with the geologist as well, that would be
23	preferable.
24	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
25	Fantastic. That's what we'll do.

1	All right. So first of all, Ms. Vance,
2	we admit your exhibits into evidence without objection
3	from any party.
4	Let's get all of your witnesses on the
5	screen.
6	MS. VANCE: Is everyone yeah.
7	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Perfect. Okay.
8	I do recognize Mr. Janacek. I'm not sure about the
9	others. So would you please, all four of you, raise
10	your right hand.
11	WHEREUPON,
12	STEPHEN JANACEK
13	called as a witness and having been first duly sworn
14	to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
15	the truth, was examined and testified as follows:
16	WHEREUPON,
17	STEPHANIE NOONAN,
18	called as a witness and having been first duly sworn
19	to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
20	the truth, was examined and testified as follows:
21	WHEREUPON,
22	LESLIE MULLIN,
23	called as a witness and having been first duly sworn
24	to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
25	the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

1	WHEREUPON,
2	DANIEL SALAMANDER,
3	called as a witness and having been first duly sworn
4	to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
5	the truth, was examined and testified as follows:
6	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Very
7	good.
8	Okay. Let's start with Ms. Noonan.
9	Ms. Noonan, would you spell your name
10	for the record?
11	MS. NOONAN: Stephanie,
12	S-T-E-P-H-A-N-I-E. Noonan is N-O-O-N-A-N.
13	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. Have
14	you been accepted as an expert in the field before
15	this Division?
16	MS. NOONAN: I have.
17	THE HEARING EXAMINER: You have? In
18	what field?
19	MS. NOONAN: Geology.
20	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Now, Mr.
21	Janacek, please spell your name.
22	MR. JANACEK: Yes. My name is Stephen
23	Janacek, S-T-E-P-H-E-N J-A-N-A-C-E-K.
24	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Have you been
25	previously qualified as an expert before this
	Page 15

1	Division?
2	MR. JANACEK: Yes.
3	THE HEARING EXAMINER: In what field?
4	MR. JANACEK: Petroleum engineering.
5	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Petroleum
6	engineer. Thank you.
7	Okay. Mr. Salamander, would you spell
8	your name.
9	MR. SALAMANDER: Yes, it's Daniel
LO	Salamander, D-A-N-I-E-L. And then Salamander just
L1	like the animal, S-A-L-A-M-A-N-D-E-R.
L2	THE HEARING EXAMINER: And what field
L3	are you seeking to be qualified as an expert before
L <b>4</b>	this Division?
L5	MR. SALAMANDER: Yes, the field of
L6	reservoir engineering.
L7	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Reservoir
L8	engineering. Okay. I'll come back to you in just a
L9	moment.
20	And Ms. Mullin.
21	MS. MULLIN: Yes. It's Leslie Mullin,
22	L-E-S-L-I-E M-U-L-I-N.
23	THE HEARING EXAMINER: And what field
24	are you seeking to be admitted as an expert for this
25	Division?

1	MS. MULLIN: In the field of land.
2	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Land. Okay.
3	Very good.
4	MS. MULLIN: Yes.
5	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Mr.
6	Salamander
7	And Ms. Mullin, I'll ask you after Mr.
8	Salamander's qualified by this Division.
9	But what I'd like you to do is run down
10	your education and your experience. Give me some
11	dates, some job duties, things like that; job titles.
12	Let's start with your education.
13	MR. SALAMANDER: Absolutely. I
14	received my degree in bachelor's of science in
15	Detroit in engineering from the University of
16	Oklahoma. And that was in 2014.
17	THE HEARING EXAMINER: What did you do
18	after 2014?
19	MR. SALAMANDER: In yeah, so after I
20	graduated from school, I started my career actually as
21	a drilling engineer. I worked in drilling before
22	Anadarko Petroleum for three years prior to moving
23	into reservoir engineering. I then worked as a
24	reservoir engineer for four years in Colorado, working
25	the D-J Basin, focused on unconventional resource

1	development.
2	And then for the past three years I
3	have been in Houston working in my current role as a
4	reservoir engineer on the subsurface technology team
5	here at OXY. And again, focused on unconventional
6	resources development in the Permian Basin. And
7	mostly in New Mexico.
8	THE HEARING EXAMINER: What is your
9	title?
10	MR. SALAMANDER: Reservoir engineer.
11	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. All
12	right. And that's for OXY?
13	MR. SALAMANDER: That's correct.
14	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So the
15	Division qualifies you as an expert in reservoir
16	engineering. Thank you.
17	And now Ms. Mullin in land. Your
18	education and your experience, please.
19	MS. MULLIN: Yes, sir. I have a
20	Bachelor of Arts, and that is in political science and
21	elementary education. That's back from 2001, and then
22	my MBA, I finished that in 2007. I have some
23	nonenergy, non gas, nonland experience. Higher
24	education was one area.
25	Before I worked in land, I had couple
	Page 18

1	of years experience with ConocoPhillips. I worked in
2	export compliance and strategic sourcing. And then
3	I've been working in land since 2012. I started as a
4	land analyst working in the Texas Panhandle. That was
5	a different employer. That was Apache Corporation at
6	the time.
7	I was promoted to landman in 2014.
8	From that period on till about 2020, I was working
9	Oklahoma assets. And then in 2020, I moved over to
10	for New Mexico assets. So that was a large amount of
11	land and older producing properties and then moved to
12	OXY last April. My current title is advisor land
13	negotiator.
14	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank you
15	very much. So you are qualified before this Division
16	in the field of land.
17	MS. MULLIN: Thank you.
18	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you both
19	for gong through your resumes with me.
20	Okay. Now, what we're going to do, if
21	Ms. Vance thinks this is okay, is we're going to
22	present the four experts as a panel for Mr. Harris to
23	ask his question. Whoever decides to answer the
24	question, please say your name because, you know, we
25	don't the court reporter needs to know who's

1	speaking, and then give the answer.
2	If more than one person wants to give
3	an answer, they can. But just one at a time, please.
4	And then I'll give Ms. Vance a chance to redirect the
5	witnesses after the panel is through with the
6	questions.
7	So Mr. Harris, are you ready?
8	EXAMINER HARRIS: Good afternoon. Yes,
9	I am.
10	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Mr.
11	Harris, if you would reference the exhibit first so
12	that the witness will know the panel will know
13	which exhibit you're going to be questioning them
14	about.
15	EXAMINER HARRIS: Sure. If we could
16	open the case file for 25222, which is 127 pages. And
17	it was the latest version was submitted on
18	August 6th, I believe it was. The clean version that
19	Mrs. Vance referred to earlier.
20	MS. VANCE: And I am sharing my screen.
21	So hopefully you can see.
22	EXAMINER HARRIS: Very good. Yeah.
23	If we could go to page 11, please.
24	MS. VANCE: And let me know if you're
25	not seeing it. Hopefully you are.

1	EXAMINER HARRIS: Yep. I can see it.
2	So item VII, Roman numeral VII, and
3	then subitem II under that, states that the system is
4	closed. So I just want to confirm that all injected
5	fluids will be sourced from within the field or more
6	specifically from the central type battery; is that
7	correct?
8	MR. JANACEK: This is Janacek here.
9	Good to see you, Examiner Harris.
10	EXAMINER HARRIS: Good to see you.
11	MR. JANACEK: Yes, that is correct.
12	All of the injectables would be sourced from the Mesa
13	Verde units.
14	EXAMINER HARRIS: Okay. So there's no
15	third party operators involved here for allocation of
16	fluids or any of those complications? Everything is
17	OXY?
18	MR. JANACEK: That is correct.
19	Everything is OXY.
20	EXAMINER HARRIS: Okay. Very good.
21	If we go to page exhibit page 17.
22	Yeah, so it's production protocol. The third bullet
23	point there. So it's miscible gas huff-n-puff has
24	been demonstrated to increase production in
25	unconventional wells and in other basins.

1	And you're expecting an uplift here of
2	an ultimate recovery between 10 to 30 percent using
3	EOR techniques. I'm just wondering, what was your
4	what is your original reservoir pressure in this field
5	or in this particular interval?
6	MR. SALAMANDER: Yes, this is Daniel
7	Salamander, reservoir engineer. So for the Wolfcamp,
8	the initial reservoir pressure is around 9,000 PSI.
9	And for the second Bone Springs the initial reservoir
10	pressure is around 6,000, 6200 PSI.
11	EXAMINER HARRIS: Okay. And do you
12	have an estimate on your current reservoir pressure
13	for those intervals?
14	MR. SALAMANDER: Yes, I do. For for
15	the second Bone give me one second. I'll I'll
16	refer back to to my notes here. The current
17	reservoir pressure in the second Bone Springs interval
18	is is around 2,000 PSI. And in the Wolfcamp it's
19	estimated to be around 3,000 PSI.
20	EXAMINER HARRIS: Okay. Thank you for
21	that.
22	If we could go to page 77, please. So
23	just a point of clarification, our very last, lowest
24	point at the bottom, once or after EOR injection
25	commences gas storage operations will not be

1	necessary.
2	So in that regard, so does 4R-22106 for
3	a closed-loop gas capture so all the all the
4	wells listed in the table just above here all
5	the basically the closed-loop gas capture portion
6	of this project will be completely terminated; is that
7	correct?
8	MR. JANACEK: This is Janacek. Yes,
9	that is correct.
10	EXAMINER HARRIS: Okay. And just
11	for so I don't I have not dealt directly with
12	the closed loop gas capture applications but I know
13	some of our examiners that have there's always
14	been, you know, a lot of issues with well, not
15	necessarily issues, but a lot of considerations with
16	respect to allocation.
17	But considering the fact that OXY is
18	the sole operator here on this case, for a miscible
19	flood or for the gas injection EOR flood, I'm assuming
20	that all allocation or that wouldn't be any
21	complications with allocation in this case.
22	MR. JANACEK: That's correct. This is
23	Janacek again. All of these wells are are unitized
24	in the unit either the Bone Spring or the Wolfcamp,
25	and the interest is identical identical between the

1	two.
2	Additionally, the takeaway point for
3	the gas that's going to be injected is upstream of our
4	gas sales meter. So that simplifies any type of
5	accounting issues that could arise.
6	EXAMINER HARRIS: Could you repeat that
7	last part again, please, about the the meter?
8	MR. JANACEK: Yes. So the takeaway
9	point for where the injecting gas is going to be
10	taking off this system, that is going to be located
11	upstream of the gas sales meter. So the gas that is
12	going to be used for injection is not going to have
13	pass through a gas sales meter twice.
14	EXAMINER HARRIS: Okay. Okay. Thank
15	you for that.
16	And if we could move to the next page,
17	please, 78. Just one item to clarify here. If you
18	look at the well labels number 8 in Sections 9 and 16,
19	I believe that's that shouldn't be there. There's
20	only seven wells in this application; is that correct?
21	MR. JANACEK: That is correct. That
22	should not be there. This is Janacek again.
23	EXAMINER HARRIS: Okay. Thank you.
24	Just wanted to clarify that.
25	So number 8 as shown on page 78, well
	Page 24

1	number 8, should not be there. It's just the seven
2	wells in Sections 8 and 17; is that correct?
3	MR. JANACEK: That is correct.
4	EXAMINER HARRIS: Okay. Thank you.
5	If we move to page 79, please. So
6	again, looking at the third column here, Section 17
7	and 8 and specifically the orange circles. Those are
8	Bone Springs unit Bone Spring wells in this
9	application. Have all these wells been
10	fracture-stimulated?
11	MR. SALAMANDER: This is Daniel
12	Salamander, reservoir engineer. That that is
13	correct.
14	EXAMINER HARRIS: Okay. And then
14	EXAMINER HARRIS: Okay. And then
14 15	EXAMINER HARRIS: Okay. And then for if we draw your attention to those the lower
14 15 16	EXAMINER HARRIS: Okay. And then for if we draw your attention to those the lower most orange circle in blocks 17 and 8, one was very
14 15 16 17	EXAMINER HARRIS: Okay. And then for if we draw your attention to those the lower most orange circle in blocks 17 and 8, one was very close to the Wolfcamp base. Does that particular
14 15 16 17	EXAMINER HARRIS: Okay. And then  for if we draw your attention to those the lower  most orange circle in blocks 17 and 8, one was very  close to the Wolfcamp base. Does that particular  well, do you think does that communicate with the
14 15 16 17 18	EXAMINER HARRIS: Okay. And then  for if we draw your attention to those the lower  most orange circle in blocks 17 and 8, one was very  close to the Wolfcamp base. Does that particular  well, do you think does that communicate with the  Wolfcamp? Is there any cross well occurring there or
14 15 16 17 18 19	EXAMINER HARRIS: Okay. And then  for if we draw your attention to those the lower  most orange circle in blocks 17 and 8, one was very  close to the Wolfcamp base. Does that particular  well, do you think does that communicate with the  Wolfcamp? Is there any cross well occurring there or  could you elaborate on your interpretation of the
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	EXAMINER HARRIS: Okay. And then for if we draw your attention to those the lower most orange circle in blocks 17 and 8, one was very close to the Wolfcamp base. Does that particular well, do you think does that communicate with the Wolfcamp? Is there any cross well occurring there or could you elaborate on your interpretation of the reservoir for that particular well?
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	EXAMINER HARRIS: Okay. And then  for if we draw your attention to those the lower  most orange circle in blocks 17 and 8, one was very  close to the Wolfcamp base. Does that particular  well, do you think does that communicate with the  Wolfcamp? Is there any cross well occurring there or  could you elaborate on your interpretation of the  reservoir for that particular well?  MR. SALAMANDER: Yes, so in that case,
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	EXAMINER HARRIS: Okay. And then  for if we draw your attention to those the lower  most orange circle in blocks 17 and 8, one was very  close to the Wolfcamp base. Does that particular  well, do you think does that communicate with the  Wolfcamp? Is there any cross well occurring there or  could you elaborate on your interpretation of the  reservoir for that particular well?  MR. SALAMANDER: Yes, so in that case,  with the proximity to the Wolfcamp, there there is

1	with the interest being the same for the two units,
2	it it should not be an issue from a accounting
3	standpoint. But yes.
4	EXAMINER HARRIS: Okay. Thank you for
5	that.
6	If we can move to page 82, please. So
7	this is dealing with the variance requests or one of
8	the variance requests. So it's noted that you'll have
9	gas with valves installed as as part of your
10	production title. Which makes sense, by the way. It
11	makes technical sense.
12	Just one question here from a well
13	integrity perspective. As you know, injection wells,
14	every five years they they require a mechanical
15	integrity test from MIT as we refer to it. With these
16	gasket valves installed, will it be possible to
17	perform an MIT on this well so that the that those
18	gasket valves in place?
19	MR. JANACEK: This is Janacek here. I
20	believe it will be, but I can follow up offline with
21	our operations to make sure that's a hundred percent
22	true.
23	EXAMINER HARRIS: Okay.
24	MR. JANACEK: My understanding, Mr.
25	Examiner, is that yes, MITs will be possible to

1	perform with the gas lift equipment in the hole after
2	we set a blanking plug in the Signet hole, but I will
3	verify all that as is accurate.
4	EXAMINER HARRIS: Yeah, okay. Very
5	good. Yeah, I guess the gasket manhole themselves
6	would need to be isolated, so you need some sort of
7	a a side pocket manhole to install a a blanking
8	plug into each of those gasket manholes in order to do
9	an MIT or something along those lines.
LO	So if we we do need confirmation
L1	that an MIT would still be able to be performed on
L2	these injection or on these wells once the EOR
L3	project commences.
L4	And if we could move to page 83,
L5	please. Okay. So this talks about the very first
L6	bullet point at the top. It talks about to upgrade
L7	wellheads to 10,000 PSI components before injection
L8	commences. Can you elaborate, please, on which
L9	components would be upgraded?
20	MR. JANACEK: This is Janacek here.
21	Yes, I believe that specifically talking to the the
22	two main head components. I believe the casing heads
23	out here are already 10K PSI. But the tubing heads I
24	believe are are 5K.
25	EXAMINER HARRIS: Okay. At the tree
	Page 27

1	itself?
2	MR. JANACEK: The tree itself I would
3	have to look into that.
4	EXAMINER HARRIS: Okay. And there are
5	some some items we we would want to verify
6	because I mean, this was and always was a, I
7	don't know how you go about that, whether it's a tree
8	saver or, you know, you install the tree components
9	later.
10	But in any event, you know, going from
11	a production level to now high temperature gas, we
12	just want to make sure that all the equipment
13	components are adequately cases, spools,; all
14	your valves and your Christmas tree and wellhead. So
15	yeah, if you could share those details with us, that
16	would be very valuable, please.
17	MR. JANACEK: Will do.
18	EXAMINER HARRIS: And just while we're
19	on the screen. So in terms of the gasket compressor,
20	we've got in the middle of the diagram there, it moves
21	down and goes through a 2-inch flow meter so is
22	that the flow meter you were referring to that's
23	upstream?
24	MR. JANACEK: No. This is Janacek.
25	No, that is our well pad flow flow meter. The

1	meter I was referring to previously was the I guess
2	you can call it the master gas sales meter where we
3	sell gas to a third party. That is that is
4	downstream of our central tank battery in those
5	facilities.
6	EXAMINER HARRIS: Okay. So will you
7	have gas injection or gas flow rate monitoring for
8	each well? Or will it be a cumulative?
9	MR. JANACEK: Yes. So it will be for
10	each well.
11	EXAMINER HARRIS: Each well. Okay.
12	MR. JANACEK: If you you can see
13	that in the diagram on the righthand side just below
14	the EOR compressor. There's another flow FCV or flow
15	control valve, which will be installed for injection
16	down the tubing.
17	EXAMINER HARRIS: Okay. Yes, I see
18	that. Okay. Thank you.
19	If we can go to page 85, please. So if
20	we at the very top portion there. My guess is the
21	one, two, three, four, five, sixth under "Safety
22	devices," which is the sixth bullet down, it says
23	the third bullet below that, "the relief valves for
24	both production and injection drains to prevent
25	overpressure."

1	You're saying that it says that it's
2	not monitored by the SCADA other than for pressure
3	trend? So is there how do you know, I guess, if
4	you've had a process for it if those relief valves
5	have opened?
6	MR. JANACEK: I believe there are other
7	components in the SCADA system that can identify
8	overpressures. But I can follow up on that comment
9	and and provide some clarity for you.
10	EXAMINER HARRIS: Okay. And then, I
11	guess I'm I'm I realize that currently this
12	field is free of H2S is that correct, at least based
13	on your exhibits? There's no H2S in this field
14	currently?
15	MR. JANACEK: That is correct.
16	EXAMINER HARRIS: Okay. Because we're
17	thinking along the lines of safety so is there a
18	so is there a H2S contingency plan in place for this
19	field currently or that something that would be
20	addressed later if H2S was observed?
21	MR. JANACEK: This is Janacek. I don't
22	know if there is a I'm sure there is some type of
23	current H2S plan for current operations for this
24	field. And we would have something once we started
25	injection. But I'm not I'm not aware of a plan
	Page 30

1 that I've seen. 2 EXAMINER HARRIS: Okay. That's 3 something we can maybe place in the order that if H2S is found in the future or you start seeing signs of 4 H2S then this plan would be required. Okay. 6 you for that. 7 If we could go to page 91, please. Ιf 8 we look at the third bullet down, the BLM provides 9 approval through the annual plan of development. Ι guess, could you elaborate on that a little bit? 10 11 mean, has -- has OXY received approval from the -- the 12 BLM and the State Land Office for this particular 13 project? Or -- or what's the status? MS. MULLIN: This is Leslie Mullin. 14 We 15 have not received an official approval yet on our plan 16 of development for the Mesa Verde units. We do one 17 for both Bone Springs and for Wolfcamp. If you see they're underneath a -- at the informal meetings, we 18 did meet with Ed Fernandez and then Baylor Lamkin from 19 20 the State Land Office after April to kind of walk 2.1 through our plans. 22 We had submitted our initial applications to them and answered the initial 23 2.4 questions that they had. This last week when we submitted in order an updated application in our 25

1	exhibits, we forwarded that to them as well to see if
2	they had any follow up questions.
3	The SLO did have a few questions just
4	on our changes. Didn't have any issues with our
5	our updated applications. But no, we've not received
6	official approval yet on our 2025 plan development.
7	So we'll continue to submit the the same
8	information with our 2026 pod as well for both the
9	Wolfcamp and the Bone Spring pods.
10	EXAMINER HARRIS: Okay. So once
11	those once you do receive approval, could you share
12	those with OCD for our records? Is that possible?
13	MS. MULLIN: Yes. Yes.
14	EXAMINER HARRIS: Okay. Very good.
15	One final question I had, and in terms
16	of the gas allocation. Getting back to that point.
17	I know I know it's different from the closed loop
18	gas capture, but has the BLM imposed any reporting
19	requirements for gas reporting and gas allocation in
20	this case? Or is that something that's been
21	addressed?
22	MS. MULLIN: Not that I'm aware of.
23	This is Leslie.
24	Stephen, are you aware of of
25	anything from the regulatory team?

1	MR. JANACEK: This is Stephen Janacek.
2	No, I'm not aware of any reporting requirements from
3	the BLM.
4	EXAMINER HARRIS: Okay. Thank you.
5	If we could go to page 101, please.
6	Okay. If we look at the image in the upper righthand
7	corner. It's kind of hard to see, but it's labeled as
8	the base of Bone Springs. You see there's a fault,
9	which is you've talked about in your in your
10	application so that fault does penetrate the
11	basin of the Bone Springs and I believe the top of
12	Wolfcamp.
13	Do you have any information on that
14	fault with respect to whether or not it's transmissive
15	or is it sealing? Do you have any information based
16	on production from the reservoir over the last number
17	of years on how that fault behaves?
18	MR. SALAMANDER: This is Daniel
19	Salamander. We do have one data point. So in early
20	2024, based on our understanding, another operator did
21	complete wells in that section to the south of of
22	Mesa Verde. And and so I went back and looked at
23	the production data during that time period when they
24	were doing that completion operations.
25	I did not see any, you know, sharp

1	increase in produced water rates during that
2	completion operations. And generally, those those
3	would be at a higher rate and pressure than what is
4	proposed here for gas injection. And so that is the
5	data point that we have, and it suggests that the
6	the fault is not communicative. And that's but
7	that's kind of it.
8	EXAMINER HARRIS: Okay. And when they
9	were fracking in those opposite wells, which zone?
LO	Which interval?
L1	MR. JANACEK: I think it's the
L2	Wolfcamp. Those were the Wolfcamp wells.
L3	EXAMINER HARRIS: Okay. Thank you.
L <b>4</b>	I think that's mostly it. Because Case
L5	25222 and 25225, they're very similar. So the
L6	questions I had for 25222 are are pretty much a
L7	mirror image for 25225. So we don't really need to go
L8	through that. I think most of my questions have
L9	all my questions have been answered.
20	Just as a point of clarification here,
21	in the original application, there were many more
22	wells in your planning for CO2 as well. Is there a
23	reason why that CO2 was removed? Can you elaborate on
24	that at all?
25	MR. JANACEK: This is Janacek here.

1	The CO2 was removed because there were offset
2	operators had breakthrough concerns with the CO2. So
3	it was it was removed for those reasons.
4	EXAMINER HARRIS: Okay. So I guess, do
5	you do you anticipate CO2 flooding in the future at
6	some point?
7	MR. JANACEK: At some point, when there
8	is a CO2 source in the vicinity, yes.
9	EXAMINER HARRIS: Okay. I guess from
LO	OCD perspective, we view this particular EOR case,
L1	which is fantastic in my personal opinion, we would
L2	view this as sort of a test or demonstration or proof
L3	of concept. And then you don't capture all this
L4	and incorporates CO2 flooding potentially in the
L5	future.
L6	So I just wanted to add that particular
L7	point that if CO2 flooding is planned in the future,
L8	that that would require some obviously a
L9	separate application and a more detailed
20	investigation. I think that's it for my questions on
21	this particular application. And I'll hand it back to
22	our Examiner.
23	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank
24	you, Mr. Harris.
25	Ms. Vance, do you feel the need to

1	redirect or are you done?
2	MS. VANCE: I don't, but I do just want
3	to make sure that I've written down notes. It sounds
4	like there might be a few things to follow up with Mr.
5	Harris on, once we get some clarification. And I just
6	want to make sure that I have those down, that Mr.
7	Janacek has those down, our experts have those down,
8	and we're on the same page. So if we can just go over
9	that, I'd appreciate it.
LO	Oh, Mr. Harris, you're on mute.
L1	EXAMINER HARRIS: Sure. I just noticed
L2	I had one more one more question for Mr. Salamander
L3	if I could.
L4	MR. SALAMANDER: Please.
L4 L5	MR. SALAMANDER: Please.  EXAMINER HARRIS: If we could go to
L5	EXAMINER HARRIS: If we could go to
L5 L6	EXAMINER HARRIS: If we could go to page 113. So again, just looking at this production
L5 L6 L7	EXAMINER HARRIS: If we could go to page 113. So again, just looking at this production profile. And in your in your self affirmed
L5 L6 L7 L8	EXAMINER HARRIS: If we could go to page 113. So again, just looking at this production profile. And in your in your self affirmed statement you mentioned that there were studies
L5 L6 L7 L8	EXAMINER HARRIS: If we could go to page 113. So again, just looking at this production profile. And in your in your self affirmed statement you mentioned that there were studies performed. Was there any reservoir simulation
L5 L6 L7 L8 L9	EXAMINER HARRIS: If we could go to page 113. So again, just looking at this production profile. And in your in your self affirmed statement you mentioned that there were studies performed. Was there any reservoir simulation performed here or is it strictly analog fields that
15 16 17 18 19 20	EXAMINER HARRIS: If we could go to page 113. So again, just looking at this production profile. And in your in your self affirmed statement you mentioned that there were studies performed. Was there any reservoir simulation performed here or is it strictly analog fields that you're relying on for your estimates
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	EXAMINER HARRIS: If we could go to page 113. So again, just looking at this production profile. And in your in your self affirmed statement you mentioned that there were studies performed. Was there any reservoir simulation performed here or is it strictly analog fields that you're relying on for your estimates  MR. SALAMANDER: There was reservoir
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	EXAMINER HARRIS: If we could go to page 113. So again, just looking at this production profile. And in your in your self affirmed statement you mentioned that there were studies performed. Was there any reservoir simulation performed here or is it strictly analog fields that you're relying on for your estimates  MR. SALAMANDER: There was reservoir simulation

1	consistent with both the analog field data and the
2	reservoir simulation
3	EXAMINER HARRIS: Okay. So it's
4	calibrated to the analog fields, but was it was it
5	history matched to this field before that calibration
6	or how how was that calibration performed?
7	MR. SALAMANDER: Yes, varying. So
8	it's it's history matched during primary production
9	that's available to us in this field but it's hard to
10	be enhanced flow recovery results. The uplift of
11	expectations it it in order to be realistic,
12	it you know, our understanding from analog fields
13	needs to be considered in our enhanced soil
14	recovery simulation.
15	EXAMINER HARRIS: Okay. Very good.
16	Okay. Thank you.
17	THE HEARING EXAMINER: So Mr. Harris,
18	do you have a list of what you want from Ms. Vance?
19	EXAMINER HARRIS: I do. I've got
20	some I wouldn't call it a list. I've got
21	scribbles. So I'd like to clarify the gas lift valves
22	and whether or not an MIT can a mechanical
23	integrity test can be performed at regular intervals?
24	And then the results so in that simulation page
25	82 of the application.

1	And then on page 83, the upgrade, just
2	to clarify exactly what components are going to be
3	upgraded on the Christmas tree wellhead and any
4	valves, any of sorts of casing spools; whatever the
5	case may be.
6	Just what is the listing of what
7	components are going to upgraded. And I guess a
8	listing of components the pressure rating for all
9	components in the system that will see pressure.
10	Then we had a discussion from page 85
11	about the H2S contingency plan and whether or not
12	there was one submitted. We're not sure. And like, I
13	think the takeaway point was that we would revisit
14	that in the future. If there is an existing H2S
15	contingency plan, that can be submitted or you can
16	just mention it in your response. If not, we would
17	cross that bridge later once H2S or if H2S is seen
18	at a later date.
19	And the next item was on page 91. And
20	in relation to when the approval from the BLM and
21	State Land Office. Once those approvals are received,
22	if they can share a copy with OCD. I do think that
23	was it.
24	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Ms. Vance,
25	anything else?

1	
1	MS. VANCE: I think also, was there a
2	question about the relief valves related to the SCADA?
3	You had a question about that and wanted
4	clarification.
5	EXAMINER HARRIS: Yes, correct. I
6	guess, is that tied back to a closed system or does it
7	go to a flare a flare stack?
8	MS. VANCE: I have all of those
9	questions written down and we will I'm not sure if
10	there's a timeline you're that you'd like to work
11	with, but and I'm not sure how long it would take
12	the team here to get answers to those. Mr. Janacek
13	might be able to give a timeframe. I'm not sure.
14	But
15	MR. JANACEK: This is Janacek here. I
16	think we could provide all of that within two weeks
17	from today.
18	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Harris, once
19	they provide the requested information, do you want to
20	further review or how do you want to proceed?
21	EXAMINER HARRIS: Yeah, it definitely
22	would require some review.
23	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
24	EXAMINER HARRIS: Should be very quick.
25	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. That's
	Page 39

1	fine.
2	So, Ms. Vance, if you can provide this
3	in two weeks, that leaves you time for the September
4	11 docket Hearing by Affidavit. So will you continue
5	these two cases to that docket? And we'll give you a
6	deadline of the 26th, close of business.
7	MS. VANCE: That works for me. And
8	just to clarify, do you want this can we just
9	file do you want this filed or do you want this
10	e-mailed? I don't I'm guessing I'm hoping we
11	don't have to do revised hearing packets.
12	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Uh-huh.
13	MS. VANCE: But maybe we if you do
14	want it filed, we could just file it as a standalone
15	supplemental document, if that works.
16	EXAMINER HARRIS: That's preferable,
17	actually. Easier. Yeah, that would be much easier.
18	So supplemental document would be fine.
19	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Perfect.
20	EXAMINER HARRIS: Is that okay with
21	you, Mr. Examiner?
22	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yes. Perfect.
23	Yes. Whatever works for you, Mr. Harris is fine with
24	me.
25	EXAMINER HARRIS: Okay.

1	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So Ms.
2	Vance, again, close of business 26th August deadline
3	for the please put a cover letter with this
4	revision. Or not revision. But this supplemental
5	exhibit packet. And continue your cases to the
6	September 11 docket. And I'm not sure that where
7	they will be on the docket. It depends on other
8	cases. So we'll see.
9	All right. Anything further, Ms.
10	Vance?
11	MS. VANCE: Not for me. Thank you.
12	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Any other party
13	to this case?
14	MS. MCLEAN: Nothing.
15	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you, Ms.
16	Mclean.
17	And Mr. Harris, anything further?
18	EXAMINER HARRIS: No, thank you.
19	THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right.
20	We're off the record in these two cases. And I think
21	Ms. Bennett wanted a five-minute recess to get herself
22	together. And then we'll hear the Rockwood cases.
23	MS. BENNETT: Thank you.
24	(Off the record.)
25	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
	Page 41

1	Freya, do you know who our technical
2	examiner will be for the contested cases?
3	MS. TSCHANTZ: I believe it's Andy.
4	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Andy.
5	Mr. Fordyce, are you with us?
6	EXAMINER FORDYCE: Yes, Mr. Examiner, I
7	am.
8	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Great.
9	Can we begin?
10	EXAMINER FORDYCE: Yes, we can begin.
11	THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right.
12	Fantastic.
13	All right. I'm going to call cases 3,
14	4, 5, and 6 on our docket. These are Rockwood Energy
15	cases 25243, 44, 45, and 46. This is a contested
16	hearing. We do have competing applications filed by
17	Coterra. Those cases are 25520, 21, 22, and 23.
18	First, I'm going to call entries of
19	appearance.
20	MS. LUCK: Good afternoon, Mr. Hearing
21	Examiner. Rockwood Kaitlyn Luck for Rockwood
22	Energy, LP.
23	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you, Ms.
24	Luck.
25	MS. BENNETT: Good afternoon, everyone.
	D 40
	Page 42

1	Deana Bennett from Modrall Sperling on behalf of
2	Coterra Energy Operating. And with me today is Josh
3	Hirsch, an associate in our firm.
4	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you, Ms.
5	Bennett.
6	MS. BENNETT: Thank you.
7	MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce
8	representing Marshall & Winston.
9	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Good to see you,
10	Mr. Bruce. Thank you.
11	Let's start with you, Mr. Bruce. What
12	is the position of your client?
13	MR. BRUCE: Marshall & Winston supports
14	Rockwood.
15	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. All
16	right. Do you want to tell us why?
17	MR. BRUCE: They just prefer their
18	involvement plan. I don't know that they've signed a
19	JOA, but they do support the development plan of
20	Rockwood.
21	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. All
22	right. Thank you.
23	To be for the record, Coterra filed
24	its four competing applications on a day that required
25	them to be heard and noticed tomorrow. So we're going

1	to begin with Rockwood Energy cases. And Ms. Luck,
2	how many witnesses will you have for us?
3	MS. LUCK: Thank you, Mr. Hearing
4	Examiner. Today, I have three witnesses with me. I
5	have Tyler Jolly, he's a land witness. I also have
6	Charley Crosby, who is the geologist. And then Gavin
7	Edington, who is the engineer.
8	(Exhibit D and Exhibit E were marked
9	for identification.)
10	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Did you say the
11	third name?
12	MS. LUCK: Gavin Edington.
13	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Edington. Okay.
14	Which ones have been already qualified
15	as experts before this Division?
16	MS. LUCK: All of them.
17	THE HEARING EXAMINER: All of them.
18	Okay. Perfect. That makes that easy.
19	Do you want to make a short opening
20	statement?
21	MS. LUCK: Yes, Mr. Hearing Examiner, I
22	would like to introduce my cases and then also just do
23	the typical rundown that most folks do before their
24	cases start that explains the spacing and
25	configuration, the wall that's dedicated to each unit.
	Page 44

1	MS. BENNETT: Mr. Hearing Examiner, if
2	we could truncate this just a little bit, I'd like to
3	give an opening statement too before Ms. Luck gets too
4	far into the weeds. So I'm happy for her to present
5	an opening statement. And then I'd like the
6	opportunity to present one as well before we get into
7	the witnesses and things like that.
8	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. And why
9	don't we do this. Before we even get into opening
10	statements, let's see about exhibits and getting our
11	exhibits in through stipulation.
12	Ms. Luck, you have filed your exhibit
13	packet?
14	MS. LUCK: Yes, Mr. Hearing Examiner, I
15	filed the exhibit packet last week. And then I filed
16	a subsequent exhibit packet, which also includes some
17	additional land and not just land, but all
18	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Wait, it
19	includes what?
20	MS. LUCK: It included all the
21	affidavits. So the affidavits for the landman,
22	geologist, and engineer. Those were not included in
23	the original filing.
24	But then I also have some rebuttal
24 25	

1	witnesses who are here to testify on behalf of those
2	rebuttal exhibits that were okay, that were put
3	together specifically for purposes of rebutting the
4	case of the applicant and the other case is Coterra.
5	This is typical in OCD proceedings and we would like
6	to present those rebuttal exhibits as well.
7	THE HEARING EXAMINER: I'm just asking
8	you to slow down a little bit because the court
9	reporter may be having trouble catching everything you
10	say.
11	MS. LUCK: Okay.
12	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Just a little
13	bit.
14	Okay. So, Ms. Bennett, have you had a
15	chance to review the exhibits that Ms. Luck has filed?
16	MS. BENNETT: I have not had a chance
17	to review the rebuttal exhibits. Those have not been
18	filed as far as I know. And so we have not had a
19	chance to review those. And I would strenuously
20	object to any rebuttal exhibits in the absence of
21	having being able to see them, of course.
22	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
23	MS. BENNETT: But also, just in terms
24	of stipulating to exhibits, I'm going to you know,
25	Coterra's in between a bit of a rock and a hard place

1	here. The exhibits were not timely filed. The
2	prehearing order required that all exhibits be filed,
3	including pre-file testimony on or before 9 a.m. on
4	August 6th.
5	And as Ms. Luck just indicated, the
6	exhibits that were filed on August 6th did not include
7	any pre-file testimony. That testimony was not added
8	until August 7th, so a full 24 hours later. It's
9	unclear to me why the materials were not timely filed.
10	But and so I tend to point that out during some of
11	my cross-examination.
12	But like I said, we're in a bit of a
13	rock and a hard place here because Coterra does not
14	want all these cases dismissed on procedural nicety
15	like striking the exhibits. We Coterra wants these
16	hearings to these cases to be decided on the
17	merits.
18	So I do have a lot of questions about
19	the exhibits and about their relevance. The exhibits
20	that are the pre-file testimony that your was
21	filed is not found by any Rockwood witness. There's
22	not a single Rockwood witness who's going to be
23	testifying today. They are Mewbourne witnesses.
24	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. I
25	understand. So let me just short circuit this.

1	MS. BENNETT: Sure.
2	THE HEARING EXAMINER: It sounds like
3	you're not willing to stipulate to certain exhibits,
4	but you will stipulate to other exhibits? Or you
5	won't stipulate to any exhibits?
6	MS. BENNETT: At this point, I'd like
7	to not stipulate to any.
8	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. All
9	right. It's your prerogative.
10	MS. BENNETT: I don't intend to strike
11	any.
12	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
13	MS. BENNETT: But I do intend to point
14	out some reliability, relevance, and credibility
15	issues.
16	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. All
17	right.
18	MS. LUCK: And if I may respond, Mr.
19	Hearing Examiner, I would like to respond to both
20	points that she makes.
21	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
22	MS. LUCK: In this case, Coterra's been
23	given incredible leeway in terms of late filing their
24	applications. And I think likewise in this
25	circumstance, this is a two-day hearing.

1	It's always been scheduled to be a
2	two-day hearing between August 12th and 13th. There
3	was never any set provisional time for when Rockwood's
4	cases would be heard. And the exhibits were filed
5	well in advance of a week of August 13th, which is
6	tomorrow.
7	And I would ask that if there is any
8	issues with so called late filing of those exhibits,
9	that the Division Examiner consider the fact that
LO	Coterra has also been given incredible leeway in these
L1	proceedings.
L2	They appeared when the cases were
L3	originally set in April, May, July, and no
L4	applications were ever filed during that timeframe.
L5	There were six months that lapsed before their
L6	applications were filed. And Rockwood has permitted
L7	them to come with us to a contested hearing today, Mr.
L8	Examiner.
L9	And so for that reason, we would ask
20	that the late-filed affidavits be admitted as well as
21	these rebuttal exhibits we've put together to rebut
22	the evidence that Coterra would like to use to attack
23	Rockwood's cases. And then with regards to the second
24	point related to the Rockwood witnesses, today with us

here we're lucky to have Mr. Bruce.

25

1	And Mr. Bruce has been in the oil and
2	gas industry for a long time. Just like he, I've had
3	many hearings over here at the OCD. There is a wide
4	range of contract professionals that are allowed to
5	testify as experts in these proceedings. Like I
6	mentioned previously, all of my witnesses have been
7	qualified as expert witnesses.
8	There is no issue with their
9	credentials related to their expert testimony. What
10	Ms. Bennett is raising is a contractual issue, which
11	is inadmissible with regards to their admissibility as
12	an expert in these proceedings.
13	I think Mr. Bruce would support the
14	fact that many different types of contract
15	professionals testify for operators in the oil and gas
16	industry. And the terms or nature of their testimony
17	is never reliant on who their employer is.
18	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. I
19	understand, Ms. Luck. The question the sole
20	question that I'm dealing with right now is, is
21	Coterra willing to stipulate to Rockwood's exhibits?
22	The answer's no. So that doesn't mean that they won't
23	come in.
24	It just means that you're going to have
25	to provide a foundation. There is an issue about the
	Page 50

1	prehearing order. The prehearing order was issued in
2	May. Is there I think
3	MS. LUCK: And Mr. Hearing yes.
4	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Well, let me
5	just finish, ma'am, Ms. Luck, before you go on with
6	whatever you want to say.
7	Do you have a copy of the hearing
8	order?
9	MS. LUCK: Yes, Mr. Hearing Examiner.
10	And Coterra's applications did not comply with the
11	hearing order. So I just want to be clear about that,
12	that we did end up going back and amending the
13	prehearing order to allow their late filing of the
14	applications. But I've got a copy of the prehearing
15	order here.
16	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So let me
17	put one thing to rest here, the idea of late filing.
18	Ms. Bennett's four applications were filed 20 days
19	before tomorrow. I know that Mr. Bruce was drawing a
20	point to today's hearing and that it was 19 days, but
21	they were noticed for tomorrow.
22	They're not late. They comply with the
23	rule. So Ms. Luck, that argument doesn't really do
24	anything for me right now. I realize that they came
25	late in the whole six-month scenario since February,
- 1	

1	but they do comply with the rule.
2	So let's talk about the prehearing
3	order for a moment. You have a copy pulled up; right?
4	MS. LUCK: Yes, Mr. Hearing Examiner.
5	THE HEARING EXAMINER: What does it say
6	about the prehearing statement and exhibits? When do
7	they need to be filed?
8	MS. LUCK: It says four days in advance
9	of this hearing by 9 a.m. that morning, Mr. Hearing
10	Examiner.
11	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
12	MS. LUCK: And so I did read this and I
13	reviewed it with Ms. Bennett.
14	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yes.
15	MS. LUCK: And I also mentioned that,
16	just like her applications were allowed to be heard
17	tomorrow, that provision of Rockwood's cases could be
18	continued until tomorrow to cure any issues with
19	respect to the 9 a.m. prior to the hearing because
20	tomorrow the hearing does once again commence at 9
21	a.m.
22	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Well, okay. Was
23	there a reason why so you filed something on one
24	day and something else on another day. What was the
25	first day you filed?

1	MS. LUCK: The first day was our
2	complete set of exhibits. We had all of our exhibits
3	in there with the exclusion of our testimony.
4	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. What day
5	was that?
6	MS. LUCK: On August 6th.
7	THE HEARING EXAMINER: And how many
8	business days is that before today?
9	MS. LUCK: Yes, sir. I understand that
10	that is four days before the hearing today. But our
11	hearing today was both delayed and continued
12	throughout the proceedings tomorrow.
13	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So the
14	6th was timely. All I'm trying to say is that the 6th
15	was timely.
16	MS. LUCK: Yes, and I believe that the
17	7th is also timely because the 7th is once again four
18	business days before
19	THE HEARING EXAMINER: From tomorrow.
20	MS. LUCK: From tomorrow. Yes.
21	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yes, I got that
22	point three times now. Once is enough for me; okay?
23	So please don't keep repeating yourself over and over
24	again. I get it the first time. I'm listening to
25	what you're saying. And I'm trying to give you

1	consideration as I would give Ms. Bennett.
2	MS. LUCK: And I would like to point
3	out, though, that Ms. Bennett's
4	THE HEARING EXAMINER: But I'm not
5	finished speaking, Ms. Luck. Why do you why are
6	you interrupting me? Okay. Thank you, Ms. Luck.
7	Okay. So we have exhibits filed on the
8	6th. And then we have more exhibits filed on the 7th,
9	which will be timely for tomorrow. Okay.
10	All right. Ms. Bennett, that satisfies
11	me as to the timeliness aspect of the exhibits. This
12	is a two-day hearing. Yes, it starts today. If this
13	were a one-day hearing, the 7th would be late. Now,
14	the rule
15	Do you have a copy of the rule, Ms.
16	Luck?
17	MS. LUCK: Yes, Mr. Hearing Examiner.
18	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Can you
19	turn your microphone on?
20	Okay. Under the rule
21	MS. LUCK: And if you'd like, I can
22	read you the rule.
23	THE HEARING EXAMINER: I have the rule
24	in front of me. I'm reading from 1915413 and that
25	"The prehearing statement has to be filed at least
	Page 54

1	four business days before a scheduled hearing."
2	Was the prehearing statement filed
3	timely, Ms. Luck?
4	MS. LUCK: Yes, Mr. Hearing Examiner.
5	And once again, if I can read the entire rule, it says
6	"At least four business days in advance of a scheduled
7	hearing before the Division or the Commission but in
8	no later than 5 p.m. on the Thursday preceding the
9	scheduled hearing date," which would make this timely.
10	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. All
11	right.
12	Okay. So we've talked about the
13	timeliness of the exhibits. So, Ms. Bennett, if there
14	is an objection to the exhibits, I'm overruling it.
15	So we're going to hear this on the merits as you want
16	to anyway.
17	All right. So Ms. Luck, a quick
18	opening statement, please.
19	MS. LUCK: Well, again, Mr. Hearing
20	Examiner, I'd like to run through the typical intro
21	opening statement that we give in cases, which
22	includes introducing the cases, introducing the lands
23	involved, the subject acreage, and the initial
24	dedicated well.
25	That's typically how I have

1	presented cases before this Division. And I would
2	also like to include that information as well as my
3	brief opening statement.
4	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. I'll give
5	you five minutes. So go ahead.
6	MS. LUCK: Thank you, Mr. Hearing
7	Examiner. Thank you to the examiner who is
8	participating in this hearing today. Rockwood Energy
9	is asking for its cases in case numbers 25243 through
10	25246 to be granted because Rockwood filed
11	applications first in this case.
12	The applications were filed on February
13	26th of 2025, which was approximately five months in
14	advance of Coterra's applications. Rockwood has
15	letters of support from all of the other interest
16	owners in the unit. There's nine total letters of
17	support.
18	Coterra's the only party that
19	Rockwood's seeking to pool in this case. So it's very
20	important that we understand that there's no question
21	that Rockwood has engaged in voluntary contractual
22	good faith negotiations with Coterra regarding the
23	subject acreage and any reason or discussion that
24	Coterra wants to bring up at this hearing regarding
25	the negotiations is inadmissible under the rules
- 1	

1	related to prehearing negotiations.
2	And so I would ask that any of those
3	negotiations be left out of this hearing under 11408.
4	In this case, it's also disputed that Coterra has
5	engaged with both Mewbourne and Rockwood related to
6	these units. That's never been a confusing issue for
7	Coterra.
8	Coterra has always known Mewbourne is
9	the top operator in the industry, an experienced
10	operator in the industry, and knows how to operate oil
11	and gas wells for a long time. So Mewbourne is ready,
12	willing, and able to drill these wells immediately as
13	proposed by Rockwood.
14	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Did you say
15	Mewbourne?
16	MS. LUCK: Yes.
17	THE HEARING EXAMINER: What's the
18	relationship between Mewbourne and Rockwood?
19	MS. LUCK: And if I may continue my
20	opening statement, any questions related to this could
21	be directed to our witnesses.
22	THE HEARING EXAMINER: But I'm asking
23	you.
24	MS. LUCK: And I'm an attorney in this
25	proceeding, and I'm not allowed to introduce my
	Page 57

1	statements with regards to what the evidence is in
2	this case. I like to introduce my witnesses regarding
3	that evidence.
4	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Ms. Luck,
5	I'm not asking for evidence. I'm just you brought
6	up a point just now. You said Mewbourne is going to
7	be operating these wells. And my only question to you
8	is what is the relationship? Is there some sort of
9	contractual relationship between Rockwood and
10	Mewbourne? Why have you brought up the Mewbourne at
11	all?
12	MS. LUCK: Because it's also going to
13	be discussed extensively by Coterra throughout these
14	proceedings about what Mewbourne's involvement is in
15	this unit. And not just this unit. All four of these
16	cases. So Mewbourne and Coterra have had negotiations
17	just like Coterra and Rockwood have had negotiations
18	related to these units due to Mewbourne's contractual
19	interests.
20	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
21	MS. LUCK: So Coterra's applications
22	are a reflection of Coterra's unpreparedness through
23	all stages of this process. Hearing testimony and
24	evidence will show that Coterra isn't ready, willing,
25	or able to drill these wells. Coterra hasn't

1 completed the title work in the unit and should not be 2 the operator chosen at this hearing. As to the first factor related to the 3 competing pooling factors, Rockwood clearly has the 4 5 ability to efficiently recover the hydrocarbons and 6 there are less risks associated with Rockwood's 7 proposal. 8 As stated, Rockwood engaged in 9 extensive negotiations prior to the hearing and Coterra is the one who has failed to engage 10 11 appropriately and timely with all interest owners as 12 shown by the letters of support from all other nine 13 interest owners in these units. 14 Rockwood has the ability to prudently 15 operate and prevent waste. And most importantly, 16 Rockwood is able to drill these wells before the lease 17 expirations, which happens July 2026. And so in these contested cases, if Coterra's not prepared to drill 18 the wells by April 1st of 2026, Rockwood's asking that 19 20 the orders default back to Rockwood if Coterra is granted the application so that these wells can be 2.1 22 drilled before the lease expirations. 23 And that's an issue that we're going to 2.4 being up throughout all of these proceedings. 25 are pending lease expirations which are happening in

1	about eight months. And so since the lease
2	expirations are sorry, it might be closer to nine.
3	But the issue here is that we need to
4	be sure that the pooling order is issued and the wells
5	are drilled before the lease expirations. I think
6	that we've already addressed the issues related to the
7	contract professionals that are going to be testifying
8	today.
9	But I would continue to like to bring
10	up the fact that the scenario that's going on today
11	between the relationship between Rockwood and
12	Mewbourne is happening in countless other cases before
13	the Division. For example, Mr. Hearing Examiner, I
14	was involved in case 25164 before the Division, where
15	COG applied but it was really Admiral that would be
16	operating the wells.
17	And I can go through the Division's
18	case list and pool countless other examples where
19	there is a contract operator who is engaged by the
20	operator applying for pooling to be sure that the
21	wells are drilled timely. And because of the
22	situation with Coterra in these cases, Rockwood had to
23	engage in a contract operator.
24	And then once again, if there's any
25	confusion related to the contract negotiations, I

1	would request the Hearing Examiner to keep those
2	contract negotiations out related to 11408 because
3	that rule says under the rules of evidence that "Any
4	prehearing settlement negotiations are not admissible
5	evidence."
6	Turning now to the cases at hand, I
7	just want to overview each case. Case number 25243.
8	Rockwood is seeking an order pooling all uncommitted
9	mineral interests in the Bone Spring formation. This
10	is designated as an oil pool and it's underlying a
11	400-acre, more or less, spacing and it comprised of
12	the west half of Sections 25 and 36.
13	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Ms. Luck. Ms.
14	Luck, the five minutes is up and we have read the
15	applications carefully. So
16	(Exhibit A and Exhibit B were marked
17	for identification.)
18	MS. LUCK: And I'm sorry, but my five
19	minutes were interrupted by some questionings. I
20	would like to finish with a summary of each of the
21	applications because this is typical in all pooling
22	cases.
23	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. But you
24	don't need to. This is a contested hearing. It's not
25	a hearing by affidavit. You're going to have lots of

1	witnesses, lots of testimony. We don't need to go
2	through the typical opening. We've all read the
3	applications.
4	MS. LUCK: But for purposes of
5	preserving our record in this case, I think it's
6	extremely important to state what Rockwood's seeking
7	in its applications and then give Coterra the chance
8	to present their cases accordingly.
9	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Mr.
10	Fordyce, are you familiar with what Rockwood is
11	seeking in these four cases?
12	EXAMINER FORDYCE: Mr. Hearing
13	Examiner, yes, I've reviewed their exhibits that were
14	filed, received by OCD August 7th.
15	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank
16	you.
17	EXAMINER FORDYCE: I'm not familiar
18	with any rebuttal exhibits that were mentioned. I
19	have reviewed the exhibit packet filed August 7th.
20	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank
21	you.
22	Ms. Luck, let's go to your rebuttal
23	exhibit, what you mentioned before. When did you file
24	those?
25	MS. LUCK: I have not placed those on
	Page 62

1	file with the OCD because I was going to ask Ms.
2	Bennett's permission to do so given our issues with
3	the earlier filings. I wasn't sure the appropriate
4	process. I thought that I could bring those up at the
5	hearing today. But if it's not appropriate, I will
6	not do so.
7	THE HEARING EXAMINER: I'm not telling
8	you what's appropriate and what's not appropriate. So
9	all I'm saying is I tried to get your exhibits
10	admitted through stipulation. They have not been. So
11	you'll need to provide a foundation to each exhibit as
12	you got through your witness testimony.
13	Ms. Bennett, five minutes to you for an
14	opening statement.
15	MS. LUCK: And Mr. Hearing Examiner, if
16	I may, I'd like to finish my opening statement because
17	my opening statement was interrupted by questioning.
18	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So no,
19	you're not allowed to speak any longer in your opening
20	statement. Thank you, Ms. Luck.
21	Ms. Bennett?
22	MS. BENNETT: Thank you, Mr. Examiner.
23	As I said from the start of these cases at every
24	status conference, the this has been and continues
25	to be a moving target. And today's hearing proves my

1	point. There in February, it was a Rockwood case.
2	In April, Coterra was supposed to negotiate with
3	Mewbourne.
4	In May, it was Rockwood again. And in
5	June, it was Rockwood. Or sorry, it was Mewbourne.
6	Now, in July, who knows who it was? Mewbourne or
7	Rockwood? We don't know. But here today, it's a
8	Rockwood application with Mewbourne witnesses. That's
9	confusing.
10	That's a moving target. Rockwood's
11	exhibits, as I mentioned, suffer from seriously and
12	factual deficiencies. And I'll get to that. But
13	before I do, I don't want to lose sight of the fact
14	that no matter what, even if those deficiencies were
15	cured, Coterra wins at the end of the day here because
16	Coterra has the majority of working interest any way
17	you slice it.
18	So and it's undisputed that Coterra
19	has the majority of working interest. So Coterra has
20	the majority of working interest in the Rockwood
21	units. Coterra has the majority of working interest
22	ownership in its units. And when you compare the
23	Sombrero, which are the Coterra cases with the Shepard
24	cases, which are the Rockwood cases, Coterra has the
25	majority of interest even as compared against

1 Rockwood's own ownership. 2 So at the end of the day, there's a lot that can be said about the different negotiations and 3 I don't agree about 11408 but I'll save that for 4 another time. At the end of the day, the fact of the 6 matter is, Coterra has a majority interest ownership and the Division and the Commission held that in the 8 absence of any other compelling factors, majority 9 interest ownership is the controlling factor. 10 And there are no other compelling 11 factors that weigh in favor of Rockwood here. 12 Rockwood has never drilled a well in New Mexico. Not. 13 Rockwood is relying only on Mewbourne as one. 14 contract operator. But there's nothing in the 15 exhibits today that identify the relationship between 16 Mewbourne and Rockwood. 17 So there's no evidence in the materials that Rockwood submitted that shows that Mewbourne has 18 19 the right to testify on Rockwood's behalf. Contrary 20 to Ms. Luck's statement, it is not usual practice for 2.1 other operators to testify on behalf of a different 22 operator. 23 I'm certainly aware of contract 2.4 geologists, contract reservoir engineers, testifying on behalf of someone who doesn't have a reservoir 25

1	engineer or doesn't have a geologist. But never have
2	I seen an operator like Mewbourne testify on behalf of
3	another operator.
4	And in fact in the cases that I'm aware
5	of and that coincidentally Ms. Luck was involved in a
6	recent case, which was Alpha Energy. Alpha actually
7	refiled its applications and said that it identified
8	the contract operator. And in fact there's the spot
9	on the compulsory pooling checklist that says
10	applicant designated operator.
11	So the Division is there's no
12	prohibition against having a contract operator, and
13	there's no prohibition about having contract
14	geologists or reservoir engineers testify. What's
15	happening here, though, is there's no authority shown
16	for Mewbourne to testify.
17	And there's nothing in Rockwood's
18	applications that demonstrates that Rockwood is going
19	to have a contract operator. That's a material
20	deficiency under the Division's material deficiency
21	policy. And so those are some of the legal
22	infirmities that I intend to get into with the
23	Mewbourne, not Rockwood witnesses.
24	But I do I don't want the Division
25	or any party here to lose sight of the fact that at
	Page 66

1	the end of the day, this is very open and shut.
2	Coterra has the majority working interest. Coterra
3	should be awarded operatorship under the Division's
4	factors.
5	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
6	MS. BENNETT: Thank you.
7	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you, Ms.
8	Bennett.
9	Okay. Let's get your three witnesses
10	on the screen, Ms. Luck.
11	MS. LUCK: Okay. I have Tyler Jolly,
12	Charley Crosby, and Gavin Edington.
13	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
14	MS. LUCK: And if I may, I'd like to
15	respond to some of the statements that Ms. Bennett
16	just made once again about the contract operatorships.
17	THE HEARING EXAMINER: No, Ms. Luck.
18	It's not evidence. We're not doing argument here.
19	Opening statements are opening statements, and that's
20	all they are. They're supposed to be a roadmap to
21	what the evidence is going to show us. That's all
22	they're supposed to be. They're not really legal
23	argument.
24	MS. LUCK: But my opening statement was
25	truncated. So I have a few more statements that I'd

1	like to make in response to Ms. Bennett.
2	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Ms. Luck, I'm
3	sorry. We don't have time for that right now. Let's
4	get your witnesses sworn in. Let's let them do the
5	hearing.
6	Okay. Please, all three, raise your
7	right hands.
8	WHEREUPON,
9	TYLER JOLLY,
10	called as a witness and having been first duly sworn
11	to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
12	the truth, was examined and testified as follows:
13	WHEREUPON,
14	CHARLES CROSBY,
15	called as a witness and having been first duly sworn
16	to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
17	the truth, was examined and testified as follows:
18	WHEREUPON,
19	GAVIN EDINGTON,
20	called as a witness and having been first duly sworn
21	to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
22	the truth, was examined and testified as follows:
23	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. I heard
24	two yeses. I didn't hear a third yes.
25	MR. JOLLY: Yes.

1	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you, Mr.
2	Jolly. Would you spell your name, Mr. Jolly?
3	MR. JOLLY: Yes. Tyler, T-Y-L-E-R
4	Jolly, J-O-L-L-Y.
5	THE HEARING EXAMINER: And Ms. Luck
6	advises me that you've been previously qualified as an
7	expert before this Division?
8	MR. JOLLY: Yes, sir.
9	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Excellent. In
10	what field?
11	MR. JOLLY: Land.
12	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you, sir.
13	Okay. Next, please. The next witness
14	spell their name, please.
15	MR. CROSBY: Charles Crosby,
16	C-H-A-R-L-E-S C-R-O-S-B-Y.
17	THE HEARING EXAMINER: And you've been
18	previously qualified before this Division as an
19	expert?
20	MR. CROSBY: Yes, sir.
21	THE HEARING EXAMINER: In what field,
22	please.
23	MR. CROSBY: Geology.
24	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Geology. Thank
25	you.
	Page 69

1	And the last witness, please.
2	MR. EDINGTON: Gavin Edington, spelled
3	G-A-V-I-N E-D-I-N-G-T-O-N.
4	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. And
5	the same question to you.
6	MR. EDINGTON: Yes.
7	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yes what?
8	MR. EDINGTON: I have been an expert
9	witness before.
10	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Before this
11	Division?
12	MR. EDINGTON: And yes. In
13	petroleum engineering.
14	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Petroleum
15	engineering; did you say?
16	MR. EDINGTON: Yes.
17	THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right.
18	Thank you.
19	Ms. Luck, who would you like to call
20	first?
21	MS. LUCK: I'd like to call Mr. Jolly
22	as my first witness.
23	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay, Mr. Jolly.
24	You're under oath.
25	Go right ahead, Ms. Luck.
	Page 70

## 1 DIRECT EXAMINATION 2. BY MS. LUCK: Thank you, Mr. Jolly. I believe you 3 0 Okay. already stated your name for the record. But could 4 5 you also state by whom you're employed and in what 6 capacity? 7 Α Yes, I'm a landman from Mewbourne Oil 8 Company. 9 0 And have you previously testified before the Division, had your credentials accepted as a landman? 10 11 Α I have. 12 And are you familiar with applications filed O 13 by Rockwood in case number 25243 through 25246? 14 Α I am. 15 Okay. And are you familiar with the status 0 16 of the lands in the subject area? 17 Yes, I am. Α 18 Q Okay. 19 MS. LUCK: And based on that 20 information, I would move to admit him as an expert in 2.1 land to testify in this case regarding the exhibits 22 that were also submitted with his exhibit packet. 23 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Ms. Luck, 2.4 all of your witnesses have been previously qualified. 25 So -- and there's no objection to the fact that they

1	are experts. So you don't have to qualify them. Now,
2	what did you say about exhibits?
3	MS. LUCK: Okay. Well, sorry, sir. I
4	would need to turn to my exhibits, Exhibit C-1.
5	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Now,
6	where will I look to see that? I'd like to see that
7	myself.
8	MS. LUCK: I apologize. Would you like
9	me to share my screen?
10	THE HEARING EXAMINER: I don't think
11	you need to. Unless Mr. Fordyce wants you to share
12	your screen. I'm going to look at your exhibits on
13	the imaging system.
14	Mr. Fordyce?
15	EXAMINER FORDYCE: No need to share
16	your screen. I have the exhibits pulled up as well.
17	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So Ms.
18	Luck, let's first direct me to an exhibit number.
19	MS. LUCK: Yes, Mr. Hearing Examiner.
20	So first, we'll be turning to Exhibit C-1.
21	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. And this
22	is case for all is the exhibit packet going to
23	be the same for all four cases?
24	MS. LUCK: Yes, Mr. Hearing Examiner.
25	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Perfect.
	Page 72

1	All right. Let me get your exhibit
2	packet opened up. Hold on one second. I want to be
3	able to follow along. I see okay. I see this
4	is the document filed on the seventh at 6:47 a.m. And
5	I see Exhibit C-1; you said?
6	MS. LUCK: Yes, Mr. Hearing Examiner.
7	(Exhibit C-1 was marked for
8	identification.)
9	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Go right
10	ahead.
11	MS. LUCK: Page 35 on the PDF.
12	THE HEARING EXAMINER: I'm there.
13	Thank you.
14	BY MS. LUCK:
15	Q Okay. So this Mr. Jolly, could you turn
16	to Exhibit C-1; do you have it?
17	A Sorry, Kaitlyn. Which one is C-1? I have a
18	hard copy here.
19	Q That's okay. C-1 are the C102s.
20	A Okay. C102s.
21	Q Yes.
22	A Okay. I've got them right here. Sorry.
23	Q Okay. And so looking at Exhibit C-1, can
24	you explain to us what those documents are?
25	A Yes. These are C102s outlining the wells
	Da 72
	Page 73

1	that Rockwood applied to drill and complete, targeting
2	the Bone Spring formation surfacing in Section 25 of
3	1835. And then the bottom pool location in, you know,
4	Section 1 of 19 south; 35 east. But this shows where
5	the wells will surface and dedicated acres, the
6	targeted formation, and a well plan.
7	Q Okay. Thanks.
8	And so turning to Exhibit C-2 if we may
9	MS. LUCK: Or do I need to move
10	admission to the exhibit?
11	THE HEARING EXAMINER: You need we
12	need to go exhibit by exhibit. So did you ask this
13	witness if he prepared this himself?
14	BY MS. LUCK:
15	Q Mr. Jolly, did you prepare
16	MS. LUCK: I can ask him that now, Mr.
17	Hearing Examiner. Sorry. I can ask him that now, Mr.
18	Hearing Examiner, if you'd like.
19	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yes. Each
20	you're going to have to provide a foundation. Pretend
21	that this is like criminal law now and you have to
22	provide a foundation to show me that this is a
23	reliable document, and you want to ask your witness,
24	you know, who prepared the document, has he reviewed
25	it, is it does he adopt it under oath. Go through
	Page 74

1	the steps for us.
2	MS. LUCK: Okay.
3	BY MS. LUCK:
4	Q So Mr. Jolly, turning back to the C102s, is
5	this a full and complete C102 form?
6	A Yes.
7	Q Has it been signed?
8	A Yes.
9	Q And at this point in time, do you have
10	anything else that needs to be changed or added to
11	this form?
12	A No, we reviewed the C102s before the they
13	were submitted.
14	Q Okay. So this document was either prepared
15	or compiled under your direction or supervision?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Okay.
18	MS. LUCK: And so with that, I would
19	move the admission of this as C-1.
20	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. I'm not
21	sure I heard the question. You went a little fast,
22	Ms. Luck. So let me just ask the witness myself this
23	question.
24	Mr. Jolly, did you prepare these C102s?
25	THE WITNESS: They were prepared under
	Page 75

1	our supervision.
2	THE HEARING EXAMINER: What does that
3	mean?
4	THE WITNESS: Well, Rockwood signed the
5	C102s, but we certainly provided guidance before they
6	were submitted.
7	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So when
8	you say "we," do you mean you?
9	THE WITNESS: Mewbourne Oil Company.
10	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Oh, okay. So
11	you okay. I'm just trying to find out what your
12	what you mean.
13	Ms. Bennett, your take?
14	MS. BENNETT: May I ask a few follow up
15	questions?
16	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Please. Yeah,
17	while we have the witness, yeah.
18	MS. BENNETT: Thank you.
19	EXAMINATION
20	BY MS. BENNETT:
21	Q Thank you for being here, Mr. Jolly. I
22	appreciate it. And nice to see you. A moment ago you
23	said that these are a full and complete C102. Do you
24	recall that?
25	A Yes, ma'am.

1	Q Don't C102's have two pages each?
2	A Most do, yes. Yes, ma'am.
3	Q And isn't the second page usually a drawing,
4	a plat map?
5	A Yes, ma'am. Usually they are.
6	Q So this is not a complete full and
7	complete C102; is it?
8	A It looks like this is the C102 that Rockwood
9	submitted.
10	Q Where do you see that Rockwood submitted
11	this C102?
12	A I don't see it anywhere on the page that
13	says that Rockwood submitted this C102. Is that
14	usually on the C102?
15	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Well, she can't
16	answer your question, Mr. Jolly.
17	BY MS. BENNETT
18	Q So a moment ago you testified that this C102
19	was prepared under your supervision?
20	A Yes, ma'am.
21	Q And by "your," I mean Mewbourne's; not
22	necessarily your direct supervision. When did
23	Mewbourne become involved in Rockwood's development
24	plan?
25	MS. LUCK: And I'm going to object to
	Page 77

1	this question because I'm not sure that it's relevant
2	to the foundational laying this document excuse
3	me is not relevant to laying the foundation for the
4	admission of this document into evidence.
5	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Well, I see it
6	differently, Ms. Luck. So I override your objection
7	and I'll explain to you why.
8	Your witness here has testified to
9	certain things about this document that now put this
10	document's reliability into jeopardy. So at this
11	point, it's looking like I'm not going to admit this
12	C102 unless we find out some different facts about the
13	C102 and your witness's involvement.
14	He didn't prepare this document. It's
15	not a complete document. So I overrule. You can
16	argue all you want, but I overrule the objection.
17	Please continue voir diring him.
18	Would you please answer the question,
19	Mr. Jolly?
20	THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am or or
21	yes, sir. Can you please repeat the question?
22	BY MS. BENNETT:
23	Q Certainly. When did Mewbourne become
24	involved in the Rockwood Shepard development plans?
25	A Early in 2026 around sorry, early in
	Page 78

1	2025 I don't recall the exact date.
2	Q So you don't recall the exact date. Is
3	there anyone who can testify about the exact date?
4	A I don't recall the exact date. No, ma'am.
5	Q And thank you for that, but is there anyone,
6	any one of the Rockwood witnesses, or Mewbourne
7	witnesses actually, who can testify as to the date?
8	A I don't think so.
9	Q This C102 was issued or was signed on
LO	February 17, 2025. Do you see that at the bottom?
L1	A Yes, ma'am.
L2	Q So sitting here right now, you can't say for
L3	sure that it Mewbourne was involved in this
L4	prospect before February 17, 2025; can you?
L5	A We had been in contact with Rockwood about
L6	these lands before February 17th of 2025.
L7	Q But were you
L8	A exact date that we were involved.
L9	Q So you're saying that even though you can't
20	remember when you became involved, that you somehow
21	know that at least by February 17, 2025, things were
22	being prepared under your supervision?
23	A I guess I don't recall the exact date that
24	Mewbourne and Rockwood that that no, I guess I
25	don't know the exact date, no, ma'am.

1	Q Thanks. On exhibit on this exhibit, it
2	has a OGRID number. Do you see that, 341867?
3	A Yes, ma'am. Yes, ma'am.
4	Q Do you know if that is an OCD OGRID number?
5	A I I assume that it is. That's not
6	Mewbourne's OGRID number, but I assume that's Rockwood
7	Energy's correct OGRID number.
8	Q Is there anyone here who can testify whether
9	that is Rockwood Energy's correct OGRID number?
10	A I'd have to defer to the to another one
11	of the witnesses from Mewbourne.
12	Q And would it surprise you to learn that that
13	is not Rockwood Energy's correct OCD OGRID number?
14	A I did not know that was not the correct
15	OGRID number.
16	Q If you look down towards the middle of the
17	C102, it says "Spacing Unit Type." And then it has
18	"Horizontal" and "Vertical." Is this a C102 for a
19	vertical spacing unit?
20	A It should be for a horizontal unit.
21	Q Mr. Jolly, if you had reviewed this, would
22	you have agreed that this is a vertical spacing unit?
23	A Well, like I said, this should be a
24	horizontal spacing unit. If it was incorrectly
25	reviewed, then we can definitely look at that.

1	Q And if you had prepared it, if Mewbourne had
2	prepared a C102, would it have your OGRID number on
3	it? The correct OGRID number?
4	A If Mewbourne prepared a C102 for a well that
5	Mewbourne was going to submit with Mewbourne's
6	operator then it would have Mewbourne's OGRID number
7	on it.
8	Q On this C102, who does it say that who
9	does it say is going to be the operator?
LO	A Rockwood Energy.
L1	Q Is Rockwood Energy going to be the operator
L2	of these wells if Rockwood is awarded if it's
L3	applications are granted?
L4	A If if Rockwood Energy is awarded
L5	operatorship and a pooling order to go save their
L6	interest on expiring leases, their plan is to transfer
L7	the pooling order to Mewbourne Oil Company and
L8	Mewbourne Oil Company is ready to go forward and save
L9	their leases.
20	Q And you think that going to a contested
21	hearing is the fastest way to save those leases? I
22	strike I'm striking my question.
23	A I think Rockwood
24	MS. BENNETT: I strike my question.
25	I withdraw my question.

1	MS. LUCK: And Mr. Hearing Examiner, if
2	I may step in here regarding these C102s. It's
3	commonplace in these OCD hearings for a draft C102
4	forms to be submitted. In the case that Ms. Bennett
5	referenced earlier, the Hollywood Star cases, there
6	were draft C102s presented in that case.
7	I didn't object on behalf of my client
8	at that point in time. Those C102s also had a
9	plethora of errors. These are not filed C102s. They
10	are drafts. And I want to clarify any misstatements
11	related to that. We're happy to submit revised
12	exhibits with the correct information related to the
13	well development plans.
14	That's typical and common in these
15	draft C102 forms for there to be errors, and they can
16	be rectified through throughout this process. I've
17	worked on many cases. I was here last week and many
18	revised exhibits were filed at that point in time
19	during the regular hearing.
20	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay, Ms. Luck.
21	Ms. Luck, the problem here is that this witness didn't
22	prepare this these documents, the C102s. His
23	review seemed to have missed some major problems with
24	these. They're supposed to be two-page documents.
25	They're one-page documents.

1	So these exhibits won't be admitted.
2	Now, you can file new C102s. I'll give you until the
3	close of business I'll give you until the hearing
4	is over tomorrow to file new C102s, and this witness
5	can prepare them. And this witness can testify and
6	adopt them under oath. But I won't accept these.
7	These will not come into evidence.
8	MS. LUCK: And I want to be clear.
9	It's very common in these proceedings for the C102s to
10	be prepared by someone else other than the testifying
11	witness. Countless cases the C102s are not signed by
12	the witness who testifies. And I would ask that that
13	not be the case in this scenario either.
14	That should not be required by the
15	Division for the C102 to be signed by the witness who
16	is testifying. The provision regarding expert
17	testimony is whether or not the exhibits are compiled
18	under their direction or supervision.
19	This is an inappropriate misstatement
20	of the rules by Ms. Bennett that these C102s should be
21	stricken where these are draft documents. And in many
22	cases, draft documents are submitted and then revised.
23	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. I have
24	I'm not arguing.
25	MS. BENNETT: Mr. Hearing Examiner
	Page 83

1	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Hold on, Ms.
2	Bennett.
3	MS. BENNETT: Thanks.
4	THE HEARING EXAMINER: I'm not arguing,
5	Ms. Luck, that it's never the case that someone else
6	prepare a document and then that the expert review
7	that document and adopt that under oath. I have no
8	problem with that. The problem is there's so many
9	problems with these C102s that they're not reliable.
LO	And I won't let in unreliable evidence
L1	into the Hearing record. That's my job. I'm the
L2	gatekeeper for evidence. So these won't come in. If
L3	you want to try again, and make a new argument, that's
L4	fine. We'll take a look at them. We'll have your
L5	witness testify to them.
L6	We'll hear objection or not. And then
L7	I'll make a ruling. But these won't be coming in. So
L8	do you want to move on now?
L9	MS. LUCK: Okay. I just want to
20	clarify the OCD's exhibit revision policy because I
21	attended the hearing last week on August 7th and in
22	countless cases of pooling for the Division, everyone
23	was allowed to present revised exhibits. And I want
24	to be sure whether or not that's going to be
25	permissible in this case.

1	THE HEARING EXAMINER: I've already
2	said that to you, Ms. Luck. That's what I'm allowing
3	you to do.
4	MS. LUCK: Okay.
5	THE HEARING EXAMINER: But these
6	documents won't be. These exhibits won't be coming
7	in.
8	Were you going to say something, Ms.
9	Bennett?
10	MS. BENNETT: Yes, thank you. And I do
11	want to clarify that it's not my position that Mr.
12	Jolly needed to prepare the C102.
13	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Sure.
14	MS. BENNETT: But rather that it be
15	prepared under his supervision.
16	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Agreed.
17	MS. BENNETT: I agree with Ms. Luck
18	that there's oftentimes a team that prepares the
19	hearing exhibits, and it's unusual based on my view
20	that a company other than the company that prepared
21	the C102 would be testifying about the C102.
22	MS. LUCK: And again, I would like to
23	respond to that because Ms. Bennett has had countless
24	cases where this exact situation was going on before
25	the Division where there would be a contract operator

1	who would be engaged after the pooling order is
2	entered.
3	That is the same scenario that is
4	happening here. It's very commonplace throughout the
5	oil and gas industry. And Ms. Bennett has been
6	involved in a many of these cases. I can give you
7	some of the examples. First of them is 25164.
8	THE HEARING EXAMINER: I'm not asking
9	you for examples, Ms. Luck. Thank you.
10	So I made a ruling on these exhibits.
11	These are not coming into the record. You have an
12	opportunity to submit new C102s. And so why don't you
13	continue your case?
14	MS. LUCK: Okay. When am I going to
15	have a chance to recall to my witnesses to ensure the
16	admission of Mr. Jolly's exhibits?
17	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Not until the
18	end of the hearing tomorrow.
19	MS. LUCK: Okay. So my witnesses will
20	be recalled at the end of Ms. Bennett's case?
21	THE HEARING EXAMINER: It's up to you
22	to recall your witnesses. That's your job. So you
23	have that opportunity.
24	//
25	//

1	DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	BY MS. LUCK:
3	Q Okay. Mr. Jolly, turning to Exhibit number
4	2, which is page 39 in the exhibit packet, can you
5	tell us what Exhibit 2 shows?
6	A Exhibit C-2?
7	Q Yes.
8	(Exhibit C-2 was marked for
9	identification.)
10	A This is Section Plat showing the spacing
11	unit of the proposed Shepard 25/1 State Com 521H well
12	spacing unit is going to cover the west half, west
13	half of Section 25. The west half, west half of
14	Section 36 and 18 south; 35 east. And then the west
15	half, northwest border of Section 1 of 19 south 35
16	east.
17	Q Okay. And this relates specifically to the
18	Shepard 521H well in 25243?
19	A Yes, ma'am.
20	Q Okay. And does this also provide a unit
21	recapitulation for the 521H well as well as
22	highlighting the interest owner to be pooled?
23	A Yes, ma'am.
24	Q Okay. And then continuing on through C-2 on
25	page 42 there's a Section plat for 523H. Can you
	Page 87

1	explain to us about those?
2	A Yeah, similar to the plat of the 521H. It
3	shows the spacing unit, the well, the surface
4	location, the location. The 521H is kept short
5	because there's already a well that another operator
6	drilled through the west half southwest of Section 1.
7	So that's why the 521H is shorter in length than the
8	523H and some of the other wells that were proposed.
9	Q Okay. Moving on down through the exhibit,
10	that also shows the recapitulation of the unit
11	ownership interest and the party to be pooled, which
12	is Coterra; is that correct?
13	A Yes, ma'am.
14	Q Okay. So then on page 45 of the exhibits,
15	can you tell us what that Section plat shows for the
16	526H well?
17	A Yes, the Section plat for the Shepard 25/1
18	State Come number 526H is a well also surfacing in
19	Section 25 going through Section 36 of 18 south 35
20	east and then also traversing Section 1 of 19 south 35
21	east.
22	Q Okay. And this portion of the exhibit then
23	goes on to show the recap for the unit and the tract
24	ownership in the unit and the only party to be pooled
25	is once again Coterra; is that correct?

1	A Yes, ma'am.
2	Q Okay. And then the final couple of pages,
3	starting on page 48 of C-2, that is a Section plat for
4	528H. Can you explain to us what that page shows?
5	A Yes, it's also a Section plat for the
6	Shepard 25/1 State Com number 528H shows the
7	surface location in the northeast northeast of
8	Section 25. That's a three-mile lateral cutting
9	through the east half, east half of Section 25 east
10	half, east half from Section 36 of 18 south 35 east.
11	And then also traversing through the east half, east
12	half east path of Section 1 19 south 35 east.
13	Q Okay. And the final pages of Exhibit C-2
14	show the recap of the unit and the only party to be
15	pooled again, which is Coterra; is that correct?
16	A Yes, ma'am.
17	Q Okay.
18	MS. LUCK: And so with that I would for
19	the admission of Exhibit C-2.
20	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Ms. Bennett?
21	MS. BENNETT: Thank you. If I may ask
22	the witness some questions?
23	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Go ahead.
24	MS. BENNETT: Thank you.
25	//
	Page 89
	i age of

## 1 EXAMINATION 2. BY MS. BENNETT: Mr. Jolly, did you prepare Exhibit C-2? 3 0 Yes, ma'am. 4 Α 5 Were those prepared from Mewbourne's title 0 6 or from Rockwood's title? 7 These were prepared from county records done Α 8 by both Rockwood and Mewbourne. 9 0 Does Rockwood have a landman they consulted 10 with to prepare these exhibits? 11 I do believe there's a landman that works 12 with or that works for Rockwood, yes. 13 Did you consult with that landman to prepare Q these exhibits? And by these exhibits, I mean Exhibit 14 15 C-2 only? 16 Α Yes, we consulted with Rockwood about the Exhibit, C-2, yes. 17 18 0 Did you personally consult with the landman? 19 Yes. Α 20 What's the landman's name? 0 2.1 Α Kelton Powell is the representative at 22 Rockwood that we have been in communication with. 23 Is there a reason why Mr. Powell or Mr. Q 2.4 Kelton -- I'm sorry, what was his name? Kelton 25 Powell?

1	A Yes, ma'am.
2	Q He's not testifying today, though; is he?
3	A No, ma'am.
4	Q On these exhibits that you prepared, it
5	doesn't show Marshall & Winston as having any working
6	interest ownership; does it?
7	A No, ma'am.
8	Q So
9	A They're not being pooled.
10	Q No, I understand they're not being pooled.
11	But you don't show them as having a working interest
12	in these unit recaps; do you?
13	A We only show Coterra as the other party
14	party being pooled.
15	Q I understand that. But I'm asking you
16	whether you show Winston and Marshall as being
17	owning a working interest in these tracts?
18	A We do.
19	Q Where?
20	A I believe Marshall & Winston throughout
21	Section 36 believe when they made their assignment
22	at the Franklin Mountain they reserved a piece. They
23	also own in tract 3, which is VC-0891, which I believe
24	is the lease that's expiring next year.
25	Q Thanks. I don't see that anywhere in your
	Page 91

1	exhibits, and I'm not trying to make a huge deal out
2	of this. I just was wondering if you knew that the
3	Division has been asking Mewbourne specifically to
4	include committed and uncommitted working interest
5	owners in their unit recap?
6	A We can provide updated ownership if need be.
7	But the other owners aren't being pooled.
8	Q I understand that, but the Division has
9	specifically required Mewbourne in prior cases to
10	submit unit recaps that include both committed and
11	uncommitted, and this does not do that; is that right?
12	A This only shows Rockwood and Coterra. We
13	only show Coterra because they were being pooled. But
14	we can we can update the exhibits if need be. The
15	other parties aren't being pooled.
16	Q I understand that. I'll leave it to the
17	Division to decide whether the tract maps need to be
18	updated. But I will say that in prior Mewbourne
19	cases, the Division has required Mewbourne to submit
20	revised exhibit packets that show uncommitted and
21	committed working interest owners.
22	MS. LUCK: And again, Mr. Hearing
23	Examiner, there's no rule related to that. This is
24	just all conjecture. This is an argument being made
25	by counsel that this is a requirement. This has never

1	been required in other pooling cases. There's no rule
2	that's being cited to you. So I'd ask that that be
3	denied.
4	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Well, I'm
5	only here to deal right now with the admission of
6	Exhibit C-2.
7	So, Ms. Bennett, you voir dired the
8	witness. Do you maintain an objection or you
9	didn't object at all to it. Are you objecting or not?
10	MS. BENNETT: No, I'm not objecting to
11	this exhibit.
12	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
13	MS. BENNETT: But I do reserve the
14	right to ask further cross-examination questions not
15	limited to voir dire.
16	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
17	C-2, Ms. Luck, is admitted.
18	(Exhibit C-2 was received into
19	evidence.)
20	C-1 is not admitted. And I have I
21	want to be very clear. You have until tomorrow
22	whenever the hearing ends. And I don't know what time
23	the hearing will end. But you have until that time to
24	submit new C102s and have a witness testify to their
25	accuracy here at the hearing. Is that clear?

1	MS. LUCK: Yes, Mr. Hearing Examiner.
2	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
3	Excellent. Do you want to go on to C-3 now?
4	MS. LUCK: Yes, if ready.
5	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Go right ahead.
6	DIRECT EXAMINATION
7	BY MS. LUCK:
8	Q So turning to Exhibit C-3, which is at page
9	51 in the exhibit packets, Mr. Jolly, can you explain
10	what that is?
11	(Exhibit C-3 was marked for
12	identification.)
13	A Yes, this is a sample of a proposal letter
14	that Rockwood sent out in February yeah, February
15	of 2025, proposing wells. Also behind that is it
16	looks like their AFE, showing the cost to drilling
17	complete the wells. And all this was sent out in
18	February of 2025.
19	Q Okay. And also towards the bottom I think
20	of those exhibits let me just check.
21	THE HEARING EXAMINER: What page were
22	you on?
23	MS. LUCK: Sorry, I just want to be
24	sure. Yeah, we went all the way through those. So 50
25	all the way through 55, that is those exhibits. So
	Page 94

1	with that, I will move for the admission of Exhibit
2	C-3.
3	THE HEARING EXAMINER: So what I would
4	like you to ask the witness for each exhibit, Ms.
5	Luck, is do they adopt this exhibit under oath. I'm
6	trying to establish some sort of accuracy, some sort
7	of reliability. And if all he says is it looks like
8	it's this, it doesn't leave me feeling very confident,
9	especially after the C102 incident. So please ask
LO	your witness if he adopts them under oath.
11	MS. LUCK: And I would just like to
12	clarify in these OCD proceedings there's a lot of
13	errors that happen. And I attended the hearing on
14	August 7th. And again, I'd note that each of the
15	cases, they were permitted to file revised exhibits.
16	And so I don't know why there's a big
17	issue being made out of some errors on the documents
18	in these cases that can be rectified and clarified
19	before the hearing ends in this proceeding, and I'd
20	just like some courtesy regarding that because it
21	seems like there's some confusion in these cases that
22	there are some issues that may happen throughout the
23	form completion process.
24	And we'd like to proceed with the
25	hearing and in the end it's professional.

1	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Oh, I would to,
2	Ms. Luck. So thank you for saying that. And
3	hopefully we can do that together. The problem with
4	these exhibits, Ms. Luck, is that they were not
5	prepared by this witness. This witness has been
6	qualified as an expert.
7	So he's qualified to review all of the
8	exhibits and then make a expert opinion based on them.
9	But he needs to adopt them under oath if you want to
10	get them in. If there are errors I'm sure Ms. Bennett
11	is going to point them out.
12	And it really just depends on how many
13	errors there are and how fatal those errors are to the
14	document's reliability that I have to make a judgment
15	of. So would you please ask your witness if he is
16	going to adopt this exhibit under oath?
17	BY MS. LUCK:
18	Q Mr. Jolly, I want to clarify. Are you
19	adopting Exhibit C-3 under oath as being compiled or
20	prepared under your direction or supervision?
21	A Yes, ma'am.
22	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Ms. Bennett, are
23	there any objections to C-3?
24	MS. BENNETT: I'd like to ask a couple
25	of questions.

## 1 EXAMINATION 2. BY MS. BENNETT: 3 Mr. Jolly, this letter was sent out on 0 February 12, 2025. Do you see that? 4 5 Yes, ma'am. And did you review the proposal letter 6 before it was sent out? 8 Α Yes, ma'am. 9 0 That's what you recall, that you reviewed 10 this particular proposal letter before it was sent on 11 February 12, 2025? 12 Yes, we were in communication with Rockwood 13 when they were proposing the wells. Turning to -- so do -- so the letter was 14 Q 15 sent out on February 12, 2025. Do you know when 16 Rockwood filed its -- well, I'll ask you that on 17 cross-examination. Turning to Exhibit -- the AFE at the end. 18 19 That's on page 55. Do you see that? 20 Yes, you -- are you referring to the AFE for 2.1 the Shepard 25/1 State Com number 521H? 22 That's the only AFE that made it into the 0 exhibit packet, it looks like. There should be AFEs 23 2.4 for the other wells; right? 25 Α Yes, ma'am. We can -- we can provide those.

1	I apologize about that.
2	Q Thank you.
3	MS. BENNETT: Those are the I don't
4	object to this document being admitted into evidence
5	with the addition of the AFEs.
6	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
7	MS. BENNETT: But I do reserve
8	questions for cross-examination.
9	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So I
10	can't admit a document with the condition that
11	additional documents are also added. So either you
12	object to this document by itself or I can admit this
13	document and it stands for what it stands for.
14	MS. BENNETT: Okay.
15	THE HEARING EXAMINER: If there's
16	missing other AFEs, then it's up to Ms. Luck to
17	provide them, you know, to help strengthen her case.
18	But I can't admit this conditionally like that.
19	MS. BENNETT: I understand. No
20	objections.
21	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Very good.
22	Ms. Luck, C-3 is admitted.
23	(Exhibit C-3 was received into
24	evidence.)
25	MS. LUCK: Thank you, Mr. Hearing
	Page 98
	rage 70

1	Examiner.
2	Turning to Exhibit C-4, which is found
3	on page 56 of the PDF.
4	(Exhibit C-4 was marked for
5	identification.)
6	DIRECT EXAMINATION
7	BY MS. LUCK:
8	Q Mr. Jolly, can you tell us what this
9	document shows?
10	A Yes, this is the communication with Coterra
11	Energy Operating, Summary of Communications starting
12	in January of 2025, all the way through July 2025,
13	with Coterra Energy Operating Company.
14	Q Okay. And included on pages 57 and 58 and
15	59 are summaries for each of the four cases; is that
16	correct?
17	A Yes, ma'am.
18	Q Okay. And was Exhibit C-4 prepared or
19	compiled at your direction and supervision?
20	A Yes, ma'am.
21	Q Okay.
22	MS. LUCK: With that I'd move for the
23	admission of Exhibit C-4.
24	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Ms. Luck, you
25	didn't ask the witness if he adopts this exhibit under
	Page 99

1	oath.
2	MS. LUCK: Okay, sir. And I'm sorry,
3	Mr. Hearing Examiner, is there a rule or some type of
4	judicial procedure that requires that being asked for
5	each witness?
6	THE HEARING EXAMINER: There is. And
7	I'm going to insist on Ms. Bennett asking the same
8	question to her and if you object to her
9	exhibits see, she has objected to your exhibits.
LO	So you have to show me that they're reliable. So if
L1	you'll ask the question, please.
L2	BY MS. LUCK:
L3	Q Mr. Jolly, do you accept this testimony
L <b>4</b>	under oath?
L 5	A Yes, ma'am.
L6	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Ms. Bennett, any
L7	objection to this to C-4?
L8	MS. BENNETT: I would like to ask the
L9	witness a couple of questions.
20	THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right. And
21	Ms. Bennett, you don't have to ask me that you would
22	like to ask a question. Just say, "I want to voir
23	dire this witness," and go ahead.
24	MS. BENNETT: Thank you.
25	//
	Page 100
	- 35 - 20

1	EXAMINATION
2	BY MS. BENNETT:
3	Q Mr. Jolly, did you participate directly
4	sorry. This is the Summary of Communications between
5	Rockwood and Coterra; is that right?
6	A Yes, ma'am.
7	Q Were you involved in any of these
8	communications directly? You, Mr. Tyler Jolly?
9	A We have been in communication with Coterra
10	about these lands and these proposals and the
11	situation between Rockwood and Coterra, yes.
12	Q Have were you I don't want to belabor
13	this, but were you involved in were you a CC on the
14	1/24/2025 e-mail?
15	MS. LUCK: And I'm sorry, I'm not sure
16	what Ms. Bennett's referring to.
17	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Is this an
18	objection, Ms. Luck?
19	MS. LUCK: Yes. I'm sorry, I'm not
20	sure what she's referring to, a 1/24/25 e-mail.
21	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Would
22	if the witness knows what she's talking about, then
23	the witness can answer. If the witness doesn't know,
24	he can say he doesn't know.
25	MS. LUCK: Okay. But how does this
	Page 101

1	have to do with Exhibit C-4?
2	THE WITNESS: I don't
3	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So is
4	this an objection, Ms. Luck?
5	MS. LUCK: Yes, Mr. Hearing Examiner.
6	THE HEARING EXAMINER: What's the
7	objection?
8	MS. LUCK: Relevance. What is this
9	line of questioning
10	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Fine.
11	That's all you have to say, Ms. Luck. Just give me an
12	objection timely and tell me what the basis is so I
13	can deal with it.
14	MS. LUCK: Okay. And I'm sorry, Mr.
15	Hearing Examiner, on previously cases I've been
16	involved in, the typical rules of evidence didn't
17	apply like in a courtroom where we're able to just
18	have backwards discussions.
19	And so I just want to be clear, I'll
20	defer to Ms. Bennett. I didn't mean to interrupt her
21	questioning. But I just want to be sure. I wasn't
22	sure what the e-mail was that she was referring to.
23	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So, Ms.
24	Bennett, the objection is to relevance, to the
25	question.

1	MS. BENNETT: Thank you, Mr. Examiner.
2	The 1/24/2025 e-mail is the first
3	e-mail listed on the summary of contacts in Exhibit
4	C-4.
5	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
6	MS. BENNETT: And what I am trying to
7	elicit from the witness is whether he or anyone from
8	Mewbourne participated in any of these discussions.
9	THE HEARING EXAMINER: And I understand
10	what the question was, Ms. Bennett. The objection is
11	to relevance.
12	MS. BENNETT: Yes.
13	THE HEARING EXAMINER: So how is it
14	relevant?
15	MS. BENNETT: It goes to reliability,
16	Mr. Hearing Examiner.
17	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
18	MS. BENNETT: And it goes to the
19	foundation of this exhibit if Mr. Jolly did not
20	participate in any of these communications. I'm not
21	necessarily going to ask that it be stricken. I'm
22	just going to ask that it be accorded the weight its
23	due given that it's a summary of contacts that he had
24	no personal involvement in, if that ends up being the
25	case at the end of my sessions with him.

1	MS. LUCK: And I would just like to
2	respond to that because in countless cases there are
3	land managers involved and other land broker
4	professionals involved in running the title work and
5	corresponding with the parties initially where when
6	the landman testifies, they're taking a compilation of
7	information and putting it together for the OCD
8	hearing.
9	This is not all based on firsthand
10	testimony, firsthand experience. That is not lay
11	witness testimony. This is expert testimony compiled
12	from a compilation of other professionals and based on
13	Mr. Jolly's experience as a landman in the profession
14	and what's admissible at these proceedings.
15	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So Ms.
16	Luck, I don't disagree with anything you've just said.
17	But that doesn't mean that this is an irrelevant
18	question. Ms. Bennett seems to be going in the
19	direction of how familiar are you, this witness.
20	How familiar is this witness with this
21	document. And that seems to be where she's going with
22	it. So I'm giving her wide latitude to do this. She
23	hasn't objected to the document yet. So there has
24	been no objection. If she objects, then I'll allow
25	that sort of argument to come in and I'll weigh what's

1	going on here. But it's relevant.
2	MS. LUCK: But see what Ms. Bennett's
3	getting at is the fact that Coterra's so-called
4	confused. They have a first-time landman on this
5	project and he's claiming confusion because there are
6	multiple parties involved in the contract
7	negotiations. And so what she's trying to get at is
8	that Coterra's confused, and at some point, Mewbourne
9	stepped in.
LO	And so that confused Coterra. But this
L1	is Coterra's landman's first time on in an MOCD
L2	case, and he doesn't really know how contract
L3	negotiations go. And so I don't think that it's clear
L4	here in that Mr. Jolly did not have to be involved in
L5	each and every one of these correspondences or
L6	communications back and forth with Coterra.
L7	Coterra has at all times known about
L8	Mewbourne's involvement. And Ms. Bennett brought this
L9	up during her opening statement.
20	THE HEARING EXAMINER: She did.
21	MS. LUCK: And so I would like to bring
22	this full circle.
23	THE HEARING EXAMINER: She did. That
24	was argument that she made. You're correct. However,
25	Ms. Bennett is trying to get to the reliability of

1	this document, and I'm allowing her to question the
2	witness to understand his basis, his involvement with
3	this document. And that's what she's asking. I'm not
4	taking it into what the scope you are.
5	MS. LUCK: Right. But I think that her
6	question is whether or not he was CC'd on the January
7	24, 2025 e-mail.
8	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yes.
9	MS. LUCK: And that is not required.
LO	There is no requirement that an e-mail be sent or
L1	received by the party testifying.
L2	THE HEARING EXAMINER: But Ms. Luck,
L3	it's a question that goes to his familiarity with the
L4	e-mail.
L5	MS. LUCK: Okay. And this is a summary
L6	of all communications. It's not just with the content
L7	of this simple e-mail that's mentioned in the summary
L8	of correspondence. So I'll defer to Ms. Bennett in
L9	terms of what she would like to argue here. But the
20	issue here, though, that Coterra's raising is that
21	they're confused by Mewbourne's involvement.
22	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank
23	you, Ms. Luck.
24	Ms. Bennett, so please the objection
25	is overruled. So would you ask your question again

1	because I'm not even sure what the question was.
2	MS. BENNETT: Yes. Thank you.
3	BY MS. BENNETT:
4	Q Mr. Jolly, looking at this list of
5	communications, were you or Mewbourne involved in a
6	direct communication between yourself and Rockwood and
7	Coterra on any of these dates? Either yourself
8	A Can you repeat the question? I'm sorry.
9	Q Sure. And I don't, I really don't want to
10	belabor this, but I think if I point to one e-mail it
11	might be easier to dispose you with than one by one.
12	I don't want to. But let's just look at the first
13	notation. January 24, 2025. It says e-mail with the
14	representative of the above listed party. Were you or
15	anyone at Mewbourne involved in that e-mail to
16	Coterra?
17	MS. LUCK: And again, I want to object
18	because that's not required for this document to be
19	admitted.
20	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Overruled.
21	THE WITNESS: This summary of
22	communications was prepared in coordination with
23	Rockwood. I don't know that I was copied on the
24	specific e-mail on January 24, 2025.
25	//

1	BY MS. BENNETT:
2	Q And can you identify a specific e-mail or
3	call or meeting on this list that you or someone from
4	Mewbourne participated in?
5	A A lot of the communication between Mewbourne
6	and Coterra and Rockwood has been done over phone
7	calls. I don't know that I was copied on a specific
8	e-mail, but the e-mails were were sent in
9	conjunction between Mewbourne and Rockwood. So I
L O	don't know which e-mails I was specifically copied on.
L1	They were done in coordination with Rockwood.
L2	Q Have you reviewed all of the e-mails that
L3	are the basis for this summary?
L <b>4</b>	A Yes, ma'am.
L5	Q Was Mr. Kelton Powell involved in the e-mail
L6	communication?
L 7	A I'm sure he was, yes, ma'am.
L8	Q Is there a reason why Mr. Powell isn't
L 9	testifying today?
20	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Ms. Bennett.
21	Ms. Bennett, you're just voir diring this witness as
22	his involvement in C-4. I'd rather you keep your
23	questions to just that, please.
24	MS. BENNETT: Thank you.
25	I don't object to the admission of this
	Page 108
	,

1	exhibit.
2	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thanks.
3	Ms. Luck, C-4 is admitted.
4	(Exhibit C-4 was received into
5	evidence.)
6	MS. LUCK: Thank you, Mr. Hearing
7	Examiner. And at the end of C-4 we also included
8	starting on page 60 of the packet some letters of
9	support that we believe correspond with the summary of
10	communication. Sorry, the first one is a little bit
11	blurry. But then these that would conclude the
12	exhibits that I had filed on August 7th.
13	We also received three additional
14	letters of support. And so that's why they were
15	received late this week, and I would or sorry,
16	early this week, and I would like to present those now
17	to the Division and ask Mr. Jolly about these three
18	additional letters of support and move to admit them
19	as Exhibit C-5 as additional letters of support for
20	Rockwood's pooling case.
21	THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right. Is
22	this I can't find I see where you labeled all
23	your other exhibits. But I don't see a C-5 anywhere.
24	Is it somewhere I'm missing?
25	MS. LUCK: No, Mr. Hearing Examiner,
	Page 109

1	this is the issue that we covered at the beginning of
2	the hearing, that I did not file the rebuttal
3	exhibits. I'm not sure if I was supposed to file them
4	before I go over them with Ms. Bennett here at the
5	hearing today.
6	But it Exhibit C-5 is only on my
7	computer at this point in time because I thought that
8	I was going to have to be reviewing the exhibit with
9	Ms. Bennett on the record and determine whether or not
10	she would agree to the admission today.
11	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yep. Hold on a
12	second. But you do have these letters of support in
13	your filing. Are they labeled as exhibits?
14	MS. LUCK: No, those were included with
15	Exhibit C-4 originally. But then we received more
16	letters of support, which I would like to add as C-5.
17	So there was these original letters of support that
18	start on page 60. So there's 60, 61, 62, 63, 64.
19	THE HEARING EXAMINER: I see.
20	MS. LUCK: And then we received three
21	more letters of support, which I
22	THE HEARING EXAMINER: okay. Hold on,
23	Ms. Luck. You're saying that these letters of support
24	are part of C-4?
25	MS. LUCK: Yes, Mr. Hearing Examiner,
	Page 110

1	because they were part of the basically, like,
2	summary of correspondence related to the negotiations
3	that we engaged with within all the parties who are
4	involved in this unit.
5	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
6	MS. LUCK: Because these are other
7	THE HEARING EXAMINER: A simple Ms.
8	Luck, a simple yes would be fine.
9	Ms. Bennett, are you objecting to the
10	letter I wasn't sure that C-4 was included the
11	letters. Did you understand that?
12	MS. BENNETT: I understood that
13	yesterday.
14	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Oh, okay. All
15	right.
16	MS. BENNETT: But today, I forgot. But
17	I don't have any objections.
18	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Perfect.
19	MS. BENNETT: I'd like to ask the
20	witness some questions on cross examination. In terms
21	of the new letters, though, that Ms. Luck is seeking
22	to add to the record, I have not seen those.
23	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Well,
24	we're just talking about what's here in the record
25	right now. So we have

1	Are you going to clarify this blurry
2	one for when you refile this? Are you going to
3	clarify it?
4	MS. LUCK: Yes.
5	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
6	MS. LUCK: I'm sorry, I can refile that
7	document. I didn't realize it was going to be
8	uploaded that way.
9	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Oh, okay. So we
10	have a letter from Charles Morgan. We have other
11	letters here to Spencer Sell. Okay. So these are all
12	admitted into evidence, Ms. Luck. Is there anything
13	further is there any further direct testimony that
14	you want from Mr. Jolly before I turn it over to Ms.
15	Bennett for cross examination?
16	MS. LUCK: I would like to present
17	those three additional letters of support as Exhibit
18	C-5 before the Division today to determine if Ms.
19	Bennett would object to them or if I could send them
20	to her by e-mail and once again file them.
21	THE HEARING EXAMINER: We can deal with
22	that part tomorrow. Right now we have these letters
23	of support. So no, not right now, Ms. Luck. They're
24	not here in front of us. So no. If you wanted them
25	admitted you should have filed them timely. So are

1	you finished with the direct examination from this
2	witness?
3	MS. LUCK: Yes. And I just want to
4	clarify. Some of these letters were received after
5	the filing of the exhibits. So we just we could
6	not file them before the filing deadline on August
7	6th.
8	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
9	MS. LUCK: One of them is dated August
10	11.
11	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. We'll
12	find out more about the other letters tomorrow when
13	you seek to admit them into evidence.
14	But I do have a question for you
15	because I'm on page number 67. Can you go to page 67
16	for me? Whose exhibit is this? The one right after
17	the last letter in the packet.
18	MS. LUCK: Oh, sorry. I think that the
19	letters actually ended on 64 and them Mr. Crosby's
20	affidavits start on 65.
21	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Oh, okay. Okay.
22	MS. LUCK: I
23	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. There we
24	go. Okay. All right. I understand. Thank you, Ms.
25	Luck.

1	Okay. Are you finished with can
2	I can Ms. Bennett cross-examine this witness?
3	MS. LUCK: Yes, Mr. Hearing Examiner.
4	THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right.
5	Thank you.
6	Ms. Bennett.
7	MS. BENNETT: Thank you. I'm trying to
8	share my screen, so if you'll give me just a second to
9	share.
10	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Well, while
11	you're doing that.
12	Mr. Bruce? Mr. Bruce? Is your
13	microphone on?
14	MR. BRUCE: Yes, sir.
15	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. I haven't
16	heard anything I haven't heard from you at all
17	during this whole exchange. Does your client own an
18	interest in all of the lands here or just some of the
19	tracts? I can't tell from these.
20	MR. BRUCE: As far as I know, just some
21	of the tracts. I don't my involvement is only over
22	the last few days.
23	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Oh, I see.
24	MR. BRUCE: And so I don't have a
25	really good idea of Marshall & Winston's land holdings

1	out here.
2	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Would you
3	be able to send an e-mail to your clients and ask
4	them?
5	MR. BRUCE: I will do so.
6	THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right.
7	Thank you, Mr. Bruce. And Mr. Bruce, you didn't
8	I'm assuming you don't object to any of the exhibits?
9	MR. BRUCE: I do not object
10	THE HEARING EXAMINER: I didn't think
11	so. Okay. Thank you.
12	All right. Ms. Bennett?
13	MS. BENNETT: Thank you.
14	CROSS-EXAMINATION
15	BY MS. BENNETT:
16	Q Thanks again, Mr. Jolly, for being here.
17	Earlier today you testified that you're employed by
18	Mewbourne; is that right?
19	A Yes, ma'am.
20	Q And so you're not employed by Rockwood; is
21	that correct?
22	A No, ma'am.
23	Q Do you have a contract with Rockwood?
24	A Yes, we have a contract with Rockwood should
25	they receive a pooling order to drill the wells and
	Page 115

1	protect their interests, Mewbourne would step in and
2	be operator.
3	Q So Mewbourne doesn't have any working
4	interest ownership in these units?
5	A If Rockwood receives an order so they can be
6	operator, we have a contract where Mewbourne would
7	have working interest. If Rockwood were to become
8	operator
9	Q At the moment, though, Mewbourne does not
LO	have a working interest ownership in these units?
L1	A No, ma'am.
L2	Q And you know that Rockwood's applications
L3	seek to have Rockwood named as operator; right?
L4	A Yes, ma'am. They would become operator
L5	under the order and they would transfer the order to
L6	Mewbourne.
L7	Q And again, there's not no Rockwood
L8	witnesses here, though, to testify that that's the
L9	deal with Mewbourne?
20	MS. LUCK: And again, I want to object
21	to this line of questioning because I think Ms.
22	Bennett has hit this point home that there are no
23	Rockwood witnesses. Mr. Jolly has advised as to the
24	contract terms that Mewbourne's interest is currently
25	and what

1	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank
2	you, Ms. Luck. Sustained.
3	MS. BENNETT: Thank you, Mr. Examiner.
4	The point I'm trying to make here is
5	whether there is anyone from Rockwood who can confirm
6	Mr. Jolly's testimony.
7	THE HEARING EXAMINER: I think the
8	answer's no, Ms. Bennett.
9	MS. BENNETT: Oh, thank you.
10	BY MS. BENNETT:
11	Q How long have you been employed by
12	Mewbourne, Mr. Jolly?
13	MS. LUCK: And again, I want to object
14	to that.
15	THE WITNESS: I started July
16	MS. LUCK: Sorry.
17	I think that Mr. Jolly has been
18	admitted as an expert. I'm not sure if that should've
19	been covered back in the beginning when he was being
20	qualified as an expert. But I think that he is
21	qualified as a landman in this proceeding.
22	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Now, I'm going
23	to
24	MS. LUCK: So I don't know if his
25	education, experience really go to this testimony.
	Page 117

1	THE HEARING EXAMINER: That
2	overruled.
3	Go ahead, answer the question, please.
4	THE WITNESS: I started at Mewbourne
5	Oil Company July 1st of 2015.
6	BY MS. BENNETT:
7	Q And in the ten years that you've been
8	employed by Mewbourne, have you ever testified on
9	behalf of another operator?
LO	MS. LUCK: And again, I'm going to
L1	object because I think this is irrelevant to these
L2	proceedings. This is very commonplace throughout the
L3	industry and Ms. Bennett is getting into a line of
L <b>4</b>	questioning that does not relate to these cases.
L5	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Ms. Bennett?
L6	MS. BENNETT: Thank you. This goes to
L7	reliability and credibility, Mr. Hearing Examiner. If
L8	in fact first of all, I disagree, this is not that
L9	common of a practice to have a one operator testify
20	on behalf of another operator.
21	As I mentioned, I don't disagree that
22	there are often contract geologists and contract
23	reservoir engineers. What I'm trying to get to the
24	bottom of here is whether it's a Mewbourne case or a
25	Rockwood case that we're really dealing with here.

1	And so I'm just am trying to understand if
2	Mewbourne if Mister
3	THE HEARING EXAMINER: And Ms. Bennett,
4	why would that matter?
5	MS. BENNETT: Because the applications
6	are Rockwood applications.
7	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
8	MS. BENNETT: And they seek to have
9	Rockwood named as operator.
10	MS. LUCK: If I may respond.
11	THE HEARING EXAMINER: No. Thank you,
12	Ms. Luck. You've already made your objection. I've
13	heard the response.
14	So, Ms. Bennett, I'm going to give you
15	a very short leash on this line of questioning; okay?
16	MS. BENNETT: Thank you.
17	BY MS. BENNETT:
18	Q In the ten years that you've been employed
19	by Mewbourne, have you ever testified on behalf of
20	another operator?
21	A No, ma'am.
22	Q Did you prepare your self-affirmed
23	statement?
24	A Yes, ma'am.
25	Q Okay. So I'm going to turn to that now.
	Page 119

1	It's Exhibit C and I'm on page 30. Do you see that?
2	I have it up on the screen?
3	(Exhibit C was marked for
4	identification.)
5	A Yeah, let me turn to it. Okay.
6	Q On the first line you see that I have it
7	highlighted. "I have personal knowledge of the matter
8	addressed herein and am competent to provide this
9	self-affirmed statement." Do you see that?
10	A Yes, ma'am.
11	Q In your normal practice as a landman for
12	Mewbourne, do you normally identify that you are
13	employed by Mewbourne in your affidavits?
14	A I'm sorry, repeat the question again.
15	Q In your practice, your normal practice as a
16	landman for Mewbourne, do you normally identify that
17	you work for Mewbourne in your declaration?
18	MS. LUCK: And again, I'm going to
19	object to this.
20	THE WITNESS: Yes.
21	MS. LUCK: Because I don't know that
22	it's necessarily relevant to this case at hand.
23	Mewbourne has not applied to be the operator in this
24	case. Mewbourne is not the applicant that prepared
25	this pooling application.

1	Mr. Jolly has been qualified as an
2	expert in this proceeding. His employment with
3	Mewbourne and his experience in other cases is not
4	exactly on point to what's going on in this case.
5	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Ms. Bennett?
6	MS. BENNETT: Thank you. The reason
7	I'm asking these questions is because the declarations
8	do not they lead to further confusion by what they
9	leave out. There is confusion in these cases. If Mr.
10	Jolly had simply mentioned that he works for
11	Mewbourne, that would've alerted everyone to the fact
12	that he works for Mewbourne and we would not be
13	spending time on this.
14	So I my point is that there's a lack
15	of information in the self-affirmed statements that
16	adds to the confusion that both the Division and
17	Coterra are faced with at the moment.
18	THE HEARING EXAMINER: So Ms. Luck, the
19	Division has to decide how much weight to give Mr.
20	Jolly's testimony as an expert. And to judge that, if
21	there are omissions of fact, then we need to know
22	about what they are. It doesn't automatically
23	discredit the affidavit. So I'm not saying that. But
24	these questions are relevant to the weight we want to
25	give this affidavit and this expert testimony.

1	So, Ms. Bennett, please keep your
2	questions short and to the point.
3	MS. BENNETT: I will. Thank you.
4	BY MS. BENNETT:
5	Q Mr. Jolly, I have up on the screen an order
6	that the Division has entered. Do you see the screen?
7	And I've highlighted some text.
8	MS. LUCK: I'm not sure what this order
9	is being presented for. It hasn't been provided to me
10	prior to this hearing. Ms. Bennett is fully sharing
11	her screen. There's been no provision of this to me
12	prior to this hearing and I would just object to any
13	further questioning.
14	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
15	Ms. Bennett?
16	MS. BENNETT: Thank you. This is an
17	order that was entered in an OCD Division case and it
18	sets out the seven factors that the Division considers
19	when adjudicating competing applications. And I was
20	merely going to use this as a demonstrative to show
21	what the seven factors are, but I'm happy to just walk
22	through those without showing them as a demonstrative.
23	THE HEARING EXAMINER: And why is that
24	relevant to this witness?
25	MS. BENNETT: Mr. Jolly's testimony is
	Page 122

1	designed to at least it should be designed to
2	demonstrate to the Division why Rockwood should be
3	granted operatorship of this. And the Division
4	evaluates seven factors when analyzing competing
5	applications.
6	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Uh-huh.
7	MS. BENNETT: And so Mr. Jolly's
8	testimony should address these seven factors.
9	THE HEARING EXAMINER: But the fact
10	that it does or doesn't, doesn't that I mean, I'm
11	not understanding why this is relevant to this
12	witness's testimony. Does it not just stand on its
13	own for the Division to consider and give its weight
14	it deems necessary?
15	MS. BENNETT: Definitely. I wasn't
16	trying to admit this as an exhibit.
17	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Right.
18	MS. BENNETT: I was just using it as
19	a an illustrative showing of the factors so that
20	if so that I don't have to restate them.
21	THE HEARING EXAMINER: And that's fine.
22	MS. BENNETT: But I
23	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Demonstrative
24	exhibits are just fine. And now Ms. Luck knows why
25	you're using this.

1	MS. BENNETT: Right.
2	THE HEARING EXAMINER: But in the
3	bigger picture, why do you want to go down why are
4	you trying to go down this route?
5	MS. BENNETT: Because as the applicant
6	or, I guess, the applicant's agent, Mewbourne has the
7	obligation of demonstrating the factors have been met
8	in Rockwood's favor.
9	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Uh-huh.
10	MS. BENNETT: And so what my plan was
11	was to walk through the factors and ask Mr. Jolly what
12	portions of his testimony address the factors to show
13	whether to show in fact that Rockwood has not met
14	its burden. Those are the factors that outline the
15	applicant's burden.
16	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
17	But and that's fine to the points in the seven
18	factors that this witness's testimony would lay on.
19	Let's not go to all seven because I don't think his
20	testimony goes to all seven. So please restrict your
21	questions just to the ones.
22	MS. LUCK: And if I may respond, the
23	factors don't they are not included in any rule
24	where there is a statement that these factors need to
25	be included in an affidavit.

1	THE HEARING EXAMINER: True.
2	MS. LUCK: Ms. Bennett is making a
3	claim that our affidavits are missing something or
4	there is material omissions. And I think rather than
5	presenting the law back to the Division that the
6	Division should know, Ms. Bennett should be pointing
7	out what she alleges is missing from our case.
8	There's
9	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. And I
10	agree with that. And that's just what I just said a
11	moment ago. So I already agree with that, Ms. Luck.
12	Thank you.
13	Ms. Bennett?
14	MS. BENNETT: Thank you. And again,
15	I'm just using this as shorthand for what is the
16	applicant's burden.
17	BY MS. BENNETT:
18	Q And so Mr. Jolly, your testimony addresses
19	ownership; right, which is factor F on this list?
20	A Yes.
21	Q And it shows that Coterra owns that majority
22	interest in the Rockwood spacing units; right?
23	A Yes, I don't think anyone's disputing that.
24	Q And is it your understanding well, I'm
25	not going to ask that.

1	With respect to prudent operations in the
2	surface factor, which are factors D and G, a
3	comparison of the ability of each party to prudently
4	operate and a comparison of the ability of applicants
5	to timely locate well sites on the surface, does
6	your testimony doesn't address Rockwood's surface
7	facilities; does it?
8	A Their surface facilities? I guess I'd have
9	to defer to our engineering witness on that.
10	Q But does your testimony address that?
11	MS. LUCK: And I just want to be clear.
12	Nowhere does these factors say that they're supposed
13	to be involved in the testimony. It says that these
14	are the factors the Commission may consider in
15	evaluating competing pooling applications.
16	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Ms. Luck, a
17	moment and I'm not sure what the objection is. But
18	Ms. Luck, a moment ago, you said that its Ms.
19	Bennett's job to show which of these factors is
20	basically weak in your case, and that's what she's
21	doing. So I'm not sure what you're saying anymore.
22	Go ahead, Mr. Jolly. Would you answer
23	the question, please.
24	THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the
25	question, please? I'm sorry.

1	BY MS. BENNETT:
2	Q Certainly. Is there anything in your
3	testimony that addresses Rockwood's surface
4	facilities?
5	A The C102 and shows, I believe the surface
6	allocation. I'm not sure about the facilities. Like
7	I said, I'd have to defer. I guess my testimony does
8	not. If we want to go into surface facilities, I
9	think our engineering witness can testify to that.
10	Q Thank you. How about third party takeaways?
11	Does your testimony doesn't address that; does it?
12	A My testimony does not contemplate takeaway,
13	but Mewbourne, I believe, is as an operator has
14	drilled most wells in this area.
15	Q Thank you.
16	A So I would think they would probably have a
17	plan for takeaway.
18	Q Thank you. But your testimony doesn't
19	address it; is that right?
20	A It does not. No.
21	Q Thank you.
22	A But like I said, Mewbourne has drilled the
23	most wells in the in the area. I think that we
24	could probably figure out a way for takeaway.
25	Q Does your testimony doesn't address any
	Page 127

1	discussions with the State Land Office; does it?
2	A I'm sorry, can you repeat the question. I
3	apologize.
4	Q Your testimony does not address any
5	discussions with the State Land Office; does it?
6	A I do not believe so.
7	Q Thank you.
8	Turning to risk, which is the factor B.
9	Does your testimony your testimony doesn't address
LO	the fact that Rockwood has never drilled a well in New
L1	Mexico; does it?
L2	A My testimony does not contemplate that, but
L3	the plan is not for Rockwood to drill the wells. If
L4	they receive the pooling order to operate the well
L5	they're going to transfer it over to Mewbourne.
L6	Q Thank you for continuing to make my point.
L7	A Mewbourne, like I said, has drilled the most
L8	wells in the in the area.
L9	Q Thank you.
20	Did you know that Rockwood is not a bonded
21	operator?
22	A I did not know that, no.
23	Q Did you know so does your testimony it
24	doesn't address the uncertainty about who may be on
25	the hook from OCD's perspective if anything goes wrong

1	with your contract with Mewbourne I'm sorry, with
2	Rockwood; does it?
3	MS. LUCK: And I again want to object
4	to this line of questioning. It appears that Ms.
5	Bennett's getting into bonding questions, which are
6	oversight related to the OCD. Any bonds that would be
7	required to be in place would only come into play once
8	those C102s are filed.
9	And so at this point in time, there's
10	no bonding violation because there's no wells that
11	have been drilled. You only have to have wells
12	excuse me you only have to have bonds if you have
13	wells. And at this point in time, Ms. Bennett is
14	making her case very clearly that Rockwood has never
15	drilled any wells, which is why they had to bring in a
16	contract operator.
17	And so just to be clear, I don't think
18	that there is any technical or otherwise violation of
19	the OCD rules because Rockwood does not have any wells
20	drilled and would not be required to have any bonds.
21	The bond requirement correlates to the well number.
22	THE HEARING EXAMINER: So the basis for
23	the objection is what?
24	MS. LUCK: Again, there's no relevance
25	to any objection regarding bonding.

1	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Relevance.
2	Thank you.
3	Relevance, Ms. Bennett?
4	MS. BENNETT: Thank you. An operator's
5	ability to have a bond and its actual bonding does go
6	to risk. If there's no bond, to me, that is a risk
7	that the OCD should consider.
8	THE HEARING EXAMINER: But Ms. Luck and
9	the witness have both stated that its Mewbourne that
10	will be drilling the wells. And I are you asking
11	if Mewbourne has a bond?
12	MS. BENNETT: No.
13	MS. LUCK: Right. Because that should
14	be the question.
15	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you, Ms.
16	Luck. Please don't help me.
17	So, Ms. Bennett, I agree that this
18	question is not relevant.
19	MS. BENNETT: Thank you.
20	BY MS. BENNETT:
21	Q I wanted to now turn to the tract maps that
22	are part of your declaration. And so I am looking at
23	page 39 of your declaration. Do you see that?
24	A Is that for the 521H?
25	Q That is for the 521H.
	Page 130

1	A Okay.
2	Q And so earlier today you testified that at
3	the end of each tract map there is a unit recap;
4	right?
5	A Yes, ma'am.
6	Q And this is the recap for the 521H. Do you
7	see that?
8	A Yes, ma'am.
9	Q And Coterra has the majority interest in
10	this tract, in this unit; is that correct?
11	A Yes, ma'am.
12	Q And then turning to the 523H recap, Coterra
13	Energy, majority interest; is that correct?
14	A Yes, ma'am. I don't think we're disputing
15	the working interest. I think we're involved to
16	protect Rockwood's interest because they have an
17	expiring lease. So I don't think we're disputing that
18	Coterra has the most working interest.
19	I think we're just trying to make sure that
20	Rockwood's interest is protected and that a well is
21	drilled timely so that Rockwood and all these other
22	owners don't have leases that expire.
23	Q Who are the other owners?
24	A Sharbro Energy, LLC has an interest. Salt
25	Fork Limited has an interest. S R Premium Holdings

1	has an interest. Guns Up Exploration has an interest.
2	Marshall & Winston has an interest. And World
3	Investment Corporation has an interest.
4	Q Are Sharbro, Salt Creek or Salt I'm
5	sorry, I didn't catch the name. Let me start with
6	Sharbro. Is Sharbro committed to the Rockwood JOA?
7	A They have not signed a JOA, but they signed
8	the letters for supporting Rockwood's development.
9	Q Is that letter of support in the record?
10	A No, ma'am. I believe Kaitlyn has it.
11	Q How about what was the Salt so first
12	of all, let me just take a big step back.
13	Are any of those names that you just
14	read and I'm looking right now at the unit recap
15	for the 523H well just because that happens to be the
16	one that my screen has landed on. Are any of those
17	folks that you just mentioned, are they owners in the
18	523H well?
19	A Yes, they are. They're included in the
20	Rockwood Energy LP's interest. We're not pooling
21	those owners. So we did not list them.
22	Q So again, you did not list the committed
23	working interest owners?
24	A They wrote a letter of support, so we did
25	not list them as a party we needed to pool.

1	Q And that I'm not asking you if you listed
2	them as a party that needs to be pooled. I'm asking
3	if you included the an accurate recap of who owns
4	in the in this case the east half, west half of the
5	Sections. Is this an accurate recap of the working
6	interest owners in that Section?
7	A It does not include those owners.
8	Q Thank you.
9	A Those owners have stated they would sign a
10	JOA in favor of Rockwood Energy.
11	Q Okay. Thank you.
12	But they have not yet signed it?
13	A They have not. They wrote a letter of
14	support.
15	Q And it's not in the record?
16	MS. LUCK: And again, I'd like to
17	mention that we have moved to Exhibit C-5.
18	THE WITNESS: I don't believe so.
19	BY MS. BENNETT:
20	Q Let's look at the tract map for the 526H
21	well, which I have here on my screen. Are you seeing
22	that?
23	A Yes, ma'am. Let me pull it up on my end.
24	Okay. I've got it.
25	Q So my understanding is that Rockwood seeks
	D
	Page 133

1	to drill a well from the north half, north half of
2	Section 25 through Section 36 and Section 1, ending in
3	the south half, south half of Section 1; is that
4	right?
5	A Yes, ma'am.
6	Q How much working interest does Rockwood have
7	in tract 1 here that's depicted on the Section plat?
8	A Tract 1 in Section 25? I don't believe
9	they they have any interest.
10	Q How about tract 2?
11	A I don't believe they have any interest.
12	Q How about tract 3?
13	A Tract 3 Section 1 they have a hundred
14	percent of that tract.
15	Q I'm sorry, Tract 3 is in Section 36.
16	A Oh, I'm sorry. Tract 3, they did not have
17	any interest in Section 30, in that or in Section
18	36, I don't believe.
19	Q So in other words, Rockwood plans to drill
20	through two full sections where they have no interest
21	at all?
22	A Correct.
23	MS. LUCK: Mr. Hearing Examiner, the
24	point's been made here. All of this information is on
25	the exhibit as to who each owner is and the tracts,

and I think that Ms. Bennett's kind of belaboring a
point here. There's one factor we're talking about,
who has the majority interest ownership.
And no one's disputing that's Coterra
here. We all we're all in agreement regarding who
has the majority interest ownership in this case as
well as the three others. And so I think that we've
all gotten Ms. Bennett's point that Coterra has the
majority interest ownership. Rockwood has the
minority. We're talking about the other four factors
here today.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: So was that an
objection?
MS. LUCK: Yes. This question has been
asked and answered. Ms. Bennett has gone through this
several times to ask whether Coterra has the majority
interest.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
MS. LUCK: And each one of these cases
already previously
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Ms. Bennett?
Asked and answered?
MS. BENNETT: Mr. Examiner, I have
asked whether Coterra has the majority interest. But
I also believe that it's important for the Division to
Page 135

1	understand the scope of Coterra's interest and the
2	fact that Rockwood is seeking to drill, develop
3	acreage that is 100 percent controlled by Coterra
4	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Can you bring
5	that out through your own witnesses?
6	MS. LUCK: Right
7	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
8	MS. BENNETT: Thank you.
9	THE HEARING EXAMINER: So I'm
10	sustaining the objection.
11	Is there more cross-examination?
12	MS. BENNETT: Yes.
13	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Go right
14	ahead.
15	MS. BENNETT: Thanks.
16	BY MS. BENNETT:
17	Q I'd like to turn now to the applications
18	that were submitted. Did you oversee the preparation
19	of these applications?
20	A Yes, ma'am.
21	Q So again, they have the incorrect OGRID for
22	Rockwood. Were you aware of that when you oversaw the
23	complete the preparation of these exhibits? I'm
24	sorry, applications?
25	A The plan was to transfer the orders over to
	Page 136

1	Mewbourne. So we probably didn't pay too much
2	attention to that OGRID number.
3	MS. LUCK: And Mr. Hearing Examiner
4	THE WITNESS: Since the plan was to
5	transfer the orders over to Mewbourne so that
6	Mewbourne can go protect the interest.
7	MS. LUCK: Mr. Hearing Examiner, I
8	don't see the relevance of the OGRID number to this
9	case and the proceeding overall. If this is a
10	clerical error, we're happy to rectify it.
11	Of course, we can't in the applications
12	at this point in time. But it is our understanding
13	that Rockwood's OGRID number was incorrect in the
14	applications and we're happy to rectify it throughout
15	the rest of the proceedings.
16	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So what's
17	the objection?
18	MS. LUCK: This issue has been covered
19	previously by Ms. Bennett.
20	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So Ms.
21	Luck, if you could being a former trial attorney,
22	Ms. Luck, if you make an objection, please make it
23	timely before the witness answers the question. And
24	second of all, just say, "Objection," and then provide
25	the foundation for the objection. And let me deal

1	with it.
2	So, Ms. Bennett, the objection
3	Well, you know what, Ms. Luck, the
4	witness already gave the answer to this one. So this
5	wasn't a timely objection. So we're going to leave
6	that alone.
7	So please continue, Ms. Bennett.
8	MS. BENNETT: Thank you.
9	BY MS. BENNETT:
10	Q Mr. Jolly, are you familiar with the
11	Division's material deficiency policy?
12	A I guess not. What what's the material
13	deficiency policy?
14	Q It's a notice that was sent out on
15	effective June 11, 2020. Do you see that on the
16	screen?
17	A Yes, ma'am.
18	Q And it states that the Engineering Bureau
19	gives notice that it intends to deny applications for
20	which the applicant proposes a material change and
21	I'm paraphrasing here that's found throughout the
22	administrative or technical review process.
23	And if I turn to OCD hearing applications it
24	has two material deficiencies. One is change of the
25	operator name and one is change in the operator OGRID
- 1	

1	number. Do you see those?
2	A Yes, ma'am.
3	MS. LUCK: And again, I want to object
4	to this line of questioning because this witness has
5	stated he's not familiar with this policy
6	THE HEARING EXAMINER: What's the basis
7	of the objection, Ms. Luck? Just say objection and
8	basis. What is the basis?
9	MS. LUCK: Foundation.
10	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Foundation.
11	Ms. Bennett.
12	MS. BENNETT: Thank you. I'm happy to
13	move on. Well, I'm sorry. I he did say that
14	he's not familiar with the material deficiency policy.
15	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Right.
16	MS. BENNETT: I also think it's
17	relatively straightforward, what I'm showing on the
18	screen, that a change in operator name and a change in
19	operator OGRID are material deficiencies.
20	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
21	MS. LUCK: And I
22	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Would this be
23	better brought up maybe in a closing argument or
24	something of that nature?
25	MS. BENNETT: Certainly.
	Page 139

THE HEARING EXAMINER: I mean, at this
point, you're cross-examining this witness. He's not
familiar with it. The objection was raised to
foundation. I sustain the objection. But maybe you
want to argue that on closing.
MS. BENNETT: I didn't realize you had
sustained the objection. I apologize.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Well, I didn't.
I didn't have I was waiting for your response. And
then you withdrew the question.
MS. BENNETT: Uh-huh.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Then you started
arguing. So I was waiting for you to finish.
MS. BENNETT: Thank you.
THE HEARING EXAMINER: But I agree with
Ms. Luck that he doesn't have knowledge of it.
MS. BENNETT: Thank you.
BY MS. BENNETT:
Q Mr. Jolly, you testified that you prepared
your declaration; right?
A Prepared the declaration?
Q Yes.
A What are you saying the declaration is? I'm
sorry.
Q I'm sorry, your self-affirmed statement.
Page 140

1	A Yes, ma'am.
2	Q Okay. So paragraph 11. You see where I
3	have that up?
4	A Yes, ma'am.
5	Q And in your declaration self-affirmed
6	statement you said the costs reflected in the AFEs are
7	consistent with what Rockwood has incurred for
8	drilling similar wells and you said and other
9	operators. But I just want to focus on the Rockwood
10	portion. You say the costs reflected in the AFEs are
11	consistent with what Rockwood has incurred. But
12	Rockwood hasn't drilled a well in New Mexico; has it?
13	A Correct.
14	Q Do you see paragraph 12 here of your
15	declaration?
16	A Yes, ma'am.
17	Q And it identifies the Chronology of
18	Contacts?
19	A Yes, ma'am.
20	Q And in this paragraph it says "Mewbourne has
21	made good faith effort to locate and has had
22	communications with all of the parties that it seeks
23	to pool in this case." Is Mewbourne seeking to pool
24	anyone in this case?
25	A No, ma'am. That must've been a typo need
	Page 141

1	to say Rockwood.
2	MS. LUCK: And I want to clarify. I
3	think that that sentence can be read a lot of
4	different ways. I think that
5	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Is this an
6	objection?
7	MS. LUCK: Yes.
8	THE HEARING EXAMINER: What's the
9	objection?
10	MS. LUCK: I think that there may be
11	some form misunderstanding there that the in terms
12	of how Ms. Bennett's reading that question. Or sorry,
13	that
14	THE HEARING EXAMINER: What's the
15	objection?
16	MS. LUCK: Form.
17	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Form. Form of
18	question. Okay.
19	MS. LUCK: Yes.
20	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Ms. Bennett?
21	MS. LUCK: She was sorry, she was
22	leading the witness.
23	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Well, in cross
24	examination what do you mean, leading the witness?
25	MS. LUCK: Mr. Hearing Examiner, she
	Page 142

1	read the sentence a certain way and wanted to make it
2	seem like Mewbourne is the "it" in the second part of
3	the sentence. It could be Mewbourne has made a good
4	faith effort to locate and communications with all the
5	parties that Rockwood seeks to pool because I'm not
6	exactly sure how Mr. Jolly meant this sentence when he
7	wrote it.
8	There could be some misunderstanding.
9	That's what I meant by form. I just want to be sure
10	that this isn't being taken out of context. And as
11	Mr. Jolly stated, maybe that's a typographical error,
12	that Mewbourne is not making a representation that its
13	pooling anyone in these proceedings. Mewbourne is
14	simply testifying for Rockwood in this case.
15	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Objection
16	is overruled.
17	Did the witness answer your question?
18	MS. BENNETT: He did.
19	THE HEARING EXAMINER: I thought so.
20	So please move on.
21	MS. BENNETT: Thank you.
22	BY MS. BENNETT:
23	Q I'm looking now at paragraph 13 of your
24	declaration. And you said and this is the second
25	sentence, where it starts "Most importantly, Coterra

	has not provided proper or timely mailed notice of its
2	applications." Do you see that? It's right here. I
3	don't know if you can see my the mouse hovering
4	over. "Coterra has not properly or timely proposed
5	the wells."
6	A Yes, I see that.
7	Q Yeah. "And not timely properly or timely
8	mailed notice of applications." What's your basis for
9	saying that Coterra has not properly or timely mailed
10	notice of the applications in these cases?
11	A I think the bulk of those were sent out on
12	July 25th, whenever I whenever I was preparing this
13	affidavit. I believe you have to have 20 days'
14	notice. So if the case was heard today, we didn't
15	figure that met the notice requirements.
16	Q And I'm not talking about the well
17	proposals. I'm only asking you about notice of the
18	hearing applications.
19	MS. LUCK: And I want to object because
20	the statement relates to both. It says "Coterra has
21	not properly proposed the wells or provided notice of
22	the applications." And so I think that again, Ms.
23	Bennett's kind of taking this statement out of
	Bennett's kind of taking this statement out of context.

1	objection?
2	MS. LUCK: Form.
3	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Why don't you
4	say that? I mean, I've asked you, like, five times,
5	Ms. Luck, that when you make an objection tell me the
6	basis right off the bat. Because you're testifying.
7	MS. LUCK: Thank you, Mr. Hearing
8	Examiner.
9	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Ms. Bennett,
10	just continue your question, please.
11	Overruled.
12	So, Mr. Jolly, would you answer the
13	question, please.
14	THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the
15	question please?
16	BY MS. BENNETT:
17	Q Yes.
18	A I'm sorry.
19	Q What is your basis for the statement in your
20	declaration that Coterra has not properly or timely
21	mailed notice of the applications in these cases?
22	A Well, just looking up the well proposals.
23	They were sent July 25th. And I think that we were
24	under the impression that the cases were going to be
25	heard today. And so we didn't believe it was adequate

1	time to notice all the owners they were pooling.
2	Q Thank you.
3	Now that we know that the hearing's
4	tomorrow, would you want to rephrase that statement in
5	your declaration?
6	A I'd have to get a date calculator out and
7	see if I know that's a a pretty close to 20 days.
8	I don't know if actually is. I think the Examiner
9	said that he was going to hear the cases anyway.
10	So
11	Q You say in the same paragraph that "Coterra
12	has unduly delayed Rockwood's attempts to reach a
13	voluntary agreement or drill the wells proposed in
14	Rockwood's applications." Do you see that?
15	A Yes, ma'am.
16	Q I want to talk about Rockwood's plans to
17	drill the wells proposed in Rockwood's applications.
18	When did Rockwood acquire this acreage?
19	A I believe it was fall of 2024.
20	Q And to your knowledge, did Rockwood
21	undertake any plans to development this acreage
22	between the fall of 2024 and January 2025?
23	A I'd have to look and see what the date of
24	the well proposal is. Sorry, the well proposals are
25	dated February 12th of 2025. So that's when they sent

1	the letters out to try to get their acreage developed.
2	Q So that's your first understanding of an
3	attempt that they undertook to get the acreage to
4	the was February 2025?
5	A I'm not sure if they reached out to Coterra
6	in the fall of 2024. They may have. I'm not sure.
7	Q But you're here testifying. So to your
8	knowledge, it was February 2025?
9	A Yes, ma'am.
10	Q Do you know what actions Rockwood took in
11	February 2025 to develop this acreage?
12	A They sent out well proposals.
13	Q Do you know if they worked with the State
14	Land Office on any surface locations?
15	A I don't have knowledge of that.
16	Q Do you know if they undertook any surveys?
17	A I don't have knowledge of that either.
18	Q Do you know if they have a rig in New
19	Mexico?
20	A I don't think they have a rig in New Mexico,
21	no.
22	Q Do you know if they submitted APDs?
23	A I don't know if they submitted APDs or not.
24	Q And how about in March? Do you know if
25	Rockwood undertook any of those actions in March?
	Page 147

1	A I do not know if they filed I don't think
2	getting permits to drill is a big situation here since
3	you're cutting through state lands. You can get those
4	pretty quick.
5	Q That very well may be. But I'm asking if
6	Rockwood undertook any efforts in March that you know
7	of to develop its acreage?
8	A I don't know if they did or not.
9	Q How about April?
LO	A In March. I don't know if they did in April
L1	either.
L2	Q Do you think that Mr. Powell
L3	A I don't think you can I don't think they
L4	can file I don't think they could file permits
L5	across Coterra's acreage because it's a trespass,
L6	Q Do you think Mr. Powell
L7	A So they would have to they
L8	Q I'm sorry. Go ahead.
L9	A Well, like you said, Coterra has a lot of
20	interest here. And they own some tracts a hundred
21	percent. So I think it's a trespass to file permits
22	across someone else's acreage.
23	Q Do you think Mr. Powell could answer the
24	questions that I just asked you?
25	A He may be able to. You'd have to ask him.
	Page 148

1	I'm not sure.
2	Q Let's see. Did you have a deal negotiated
3	with Rockwood back in April?
4	A I'm sorry, can you repeat the question?
5	Q Sure. Did you have a deal negotiated with
6	Rockwood in April?
7	A Yes, we had been in talks with Rockwood
8	before that about this acreage. Yes.
9	Q What happened with that deal? Did it fall
LO	through in May?
L1	A No. We we negotiated a deal. I'm no
L2	I don't think anything fell through in May.
L3	Q Did you tell Coterra that your deal with
L4	Rockwood had fallen through?
L5	MS. LUCK: And Mr. Hearing Examiner,
L6	I'm going to object. At this point in time. I
L7	believe that Ms. Bennett is going into the contract
L8	negotiations, which I mentioned in my opening
L9	statement I think are an issue under Rule 11408. I
20	think that any of those questions that the parties had
21	during prehearing contractual negotiations are not
22	admissible during this hearing today.
23	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So Ms.
24	Luck, as you said before, the Rules of Evidence don't
25	apply in the administrative forum. But I don't see

1	how this is relevant.
2	So can you tell me, Ms. Bennett, why
3	this is relevant?
4	MS. BENNETT: Yes, it goes to good
5	faith to Rockwood's argument that Coterra has not
6	engaged in good faith negotiations. As I mentioned
7	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. All
8	right. That's fine. Thank you, Ms. Bennett.
9	So Ms. Luck, it is relevant line of
10	questioning. But please, please keep it
11	MS. LUCK: And Mr. Hearing Examiner
12	THE HEARING EXAMINER: I mean, a few
13	questions is all we need here, Ms. Bennett.
14	MS. LUCK: If I may respond, good faith
15	negotiations as interpreted by the Division is what
16	we're talking about here, which has been interpreted
17	to mean a well proposal letter. And that's why we
18	returned to the chronology of contacts and we returned
19	to the exhibits that have already been filed before
20	the Division.
21	THE HEARING EXAMINER: You can bring
22	that out on redirect if you like, Ms. Luck.
23	MS. LUCK: Well, but I believe that
24	what Ms. Bennett is trying to go into now is still
25	impermissible. It's not necessary to bring up

1	anything beyond what's considered by the Division's
2	definition of good faith negotiations.
3	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Is that defined
4	somewhere that you know of?
5	MS. LUCK: Yes, Mr. Hearing Examiner.
6	That was defined in
7	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Then enlighten
8	me, please.
9	MS. LUCK: There is may pooling orders
10	that define and describe what good faith negotiations
11	are by operators seeking to pool units.
12	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. What
13	can you tell me what it is?
14	MS. LUCK: A well proposal letter. One
15	follow up communication after that.
16	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Ms.
17	Bennett.
18	MS. LUCK: All of this additional
19	discussion that Ms. Bennett's getting into is not
20	relevant.
21	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Ms.
22	Bennett.
23	MS. BENNETT: Thank you. If that is
24	the limited nature that Rockwood wants to take in
25	terms of good faith negotiations then I will hold

1	their witnesses to that standard.
2	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
3	MS. BENNETT: I disagree with her
4	interpretation entirely of what constitutes good faith
5	negotiations. We would not need to have a summary of
6	communications if the Division only cared about one
7	proposal letter and one follow up. That certainly is
8	not the standard. But if Ms. Luck is willing to live
9	by that standard, then happy to do so.
10	MS. LUCK: Mr. Hearing Examiner, if I
11	may respond, that's what the standard that's been
12	applied with countless exhibits that Ms. Bennett has
13	presented in cases regarding chronology of contacts
14	with uncommitted working interest owners in cases like
15	this.
16	THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right. I'm
17	not sure that we need to go on about this subject.
18	Ms. Bennett, I it is a factor. So
19	it's relevant. But I'd really I admonish you to
20	really keep this a tight subject for
21	cross-examination.
22	MS. BENNETT: Thank you.
23	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Was there a
24	question that hasn't been answered yet?
25	MS. BENNETT: Yes.

1	THE HEARING EXAMINER: What was the
2	question?
3	MS. BENNETT: The question was, did you
4	tell Coterra that the deal with Rockwood had fallen
5	through?
6	THE HEARING EXAMINER: So to get into
7	nitty gritty about who told what and when they told
8	I think is beyond the scope of this hearing. Let's
9	keep it to, you know, more generalities of good faith
10	negotiation.
11	MS. BENNETT: Thank you. I'm actually
12	going to move on to a different topic.
13	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Good.
14	Thank you.
15	MS. LUCK: And Mr. Hearing Examiner, I
16	do have the citation for the good faith negotiations
17	if you'd like that. Sorry, I just had to find it
18	really quick.
19	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. What is
20	it?
21	MS. LUCK: Apologies. I just want to
22	bring this up because Ms. Bennett did bring out her
23	order earlier. This is Order R131
24	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
25	MS. LUCK: 13165.

THE HEARING EXAMINER: And what does it
say?
MS. LUCK: "Because past Division
practice has not been entirely consistent and because
something which of order number R13155 is not intended
to apply to all cases, the Division takes the
opportunity to clarify the requirements of what will
ordinary ordinarily apply in compulsory pooling
cases as follows.
At least 30 days prior to filing a
compulsory pooling application, in the absence of
extenuating circumstances an applicant should send to
locatable parties it intends to ask the Division to
pool a well proposal letter identifying the proposed
depth and location of and target formation together
with the proposed AFE for the well.
The proposal should specify the
footages for section lines at the intended location
and in the case of directional well at the intended
point of penetration upon a location."
THE HEARING EXAMINER: Ms. Luck, can
you just confine your recitation of this Rule to the
part that goes with good faith negotiations?
MS. LUCK: This is it because it
involves the well proposal letter, the details that
Page 154

1	should be included in the well proposal letter, and
2	the AFE, including the footage locations. "The
3	Division understands these requirements to be
4	comparable to the proposal requirements
5	forum operating agreements generally is
6	industry." And then it goes on. Sorry, there's four
7	factors with regards to what needs to be included in
8	good faith negotiations. I'm happy to submit this
9	order to the Division.
10	THE HEARING EXAMINER: No thank you.
11	Ms. Bennett, do you know do you take
12	issue with any of this or do you know this already?
13	MS. BENNETT: I knew that already.
14	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. You
15	already knew this.
16	MS. BENNETT: That is order that
17	governs good faith negotiations.
18	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
19	MS. BENNETT: But it does not it has
20	been the Division's practice to inquire further into
21	the parties' negotiations, especially in contested
22	hearings, to understand the limits of or the extent
23	of seeking voluntary joinder before we came before the
24	Division.
25	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Well, you
	Page 155

1 said that you had -- you were going to move on from this anyway. 2. 3 MS. BENNETT: I -- yes. 4 THE HEARING EXAMINER: So please go ahead. 5 6 MS. BENNETT: Thank you. 7 THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you, Ms. 8 Luck. 9 BY MS. BENNETT: 10 Mr. Jolly, do you see in -- I'm still 11 focused here on paragraph 13 under Declaration. Do 12 you see that? 13 Yes, ma'am. Α 14 And at the end you talk about the applicable Q 15 lease expirations. Do you see that? 16 Α Yes, ma'am. 17 What leases -- what are the Rockwood leases? 0 18 Α There is a tract in the north half, 19 southwest quarter of Section 36 that has an expiration 20 July 31st of 2026. 2.1 Is that anywhere near materials? Q 22 The date of the lease? Α 23 Yes, the date of the lease. Q I don't -- I don't believe so. 2.4 Α 25 Then the next thing I wanted to talk about 0 Page 156

1	is have you had discussions with oh, sorry. One
2	quick follow up question.
3	When you when did you finalize the deal
4	with Rockwood?
5	A I don't remember the exact date. We'd been
6	talking about that for a long time. So I don't know
7	the exact date when the deal was finalized.
8	Q Was it around June 13, 2025?
9	A We had had ongoing discussions long before
10	then.
11	Q But
12	A I don't know the exact date when the deal
13	was finalized.
14	Q Would you say it was in June 2025? I know
15	you don't know the exact date, but I'm just trying to
16	understand when it could've been.
17	A No, I wouldn't no, I we had been in
18	discussions long before then. So no, I would not say
19	that.
20	Q So you don't know when it was finalized?
21	A Correct.
22	Q Okay. Have you had discussions with
23	Marshall & Winston about their interests in this
24	acreage?
25	A Yes.

1	Q Did you know that Marshall & Winston filed a
2	motion to continue these cases?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Did you discuss that motion to continue with
5	Marshall & Winston?
6	A Marshall & Winston reached out to us about
7	the hearing. We they talked to us about about
8	the hearing, yes.
9	Q About continuing the hearing?
10	A Yes. We talked about the circumstances
11	surrounding the hearing with Marshall & Winston.
12	Q And is continuing the hearing
13	consistent for a whole month of more consistent
14	with your stated intent of getting an order so that
15	you can drill these wells?
16	A I guess that depends on how long it takes to
17	get an order and which situation. I think we, in a
18	good faith effort, contemplated continuing the the
19	hearing so that we could continue to talk with Coterra
20	about this acreage.
21	Q Do you recall Coterra asking several times
22	to have a continuance for these cases?
23	MS. LUCK: And Mr. Hearing Examiner, I
24	want to object to this line of questioning.
25	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Based on what?
	Page 158

1	MS. LUCK: Relevance, Mr. Hearing
2	Examiner.
3	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Relevance.
4	MS. LUCK: We all discussed a
5	continuance prior to this proceeding. Ms. Bennett is
6	revisiting that now. She also mentioned at the
7	beginning of this hearing that a contested hearing
8	might not be the quickest way to get a pooling order.
9	So it would be reasonable for all the
10	parties to try to continue engaging in discussions
11	rather than go to a contested pooling hearing. It was
12	a reasonable thing to discuss at that point in time.
13	THE HEARING EXAMINER: So what's the
14	objection? So what's the objection for her asking
15	this witness that question, though? It's relevance?
16	MS. LUCK: Yes.
17	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
18	And Ms. Bennett, the objection's
19	relevance.
20	MS. BENNETT: Thank you. It's relevant
21	to Mr. Jolly's statements that Rockwood and Mewbourne
22	intend to develop this acreage as quickly as possible
23	to save the leases from expiration. And so I was just
24	trying to understand the timing of the continuance and
25	how that impacts their his testimony with respect
	Page 159

1	to wanting these cases to move forward.
2	MS. LUCK: And I think that we all
3	understand that the Division
4	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Ms. Luck, I
5	didn't invite additional comment, Ms. Luck. I'm
6	thinking; okay? So let me deal with the objection as
7	it's been raised.
8	Ms. Bennett, it seems to me that there
9	could be different reasons why a party may think that
10	negotiations based on a continuance might be a quicker
11	way to resolve an issue then, let's say, going to a
12	contested hearing and then having the Division, you
13	know, figure out who's the better operator. So
14	there's different answers here. Did this witness give
15	you an answer yet?
16	MS. BENNETT: No.
17	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. You can
18	ask the question, and we're going to live with the
19	answer that he gives, knowing that there could be
20	different reasons behind it.
21	MS. BENNETT: Okay.
22	THE HEARING EXAMINER: So I think Ms.
23	Luck makes a good point. But I will allow this
24	witness to answer that question.
25	So Mr. Jolly, please answer the

1	question.
2	THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the
3	question, please?
4	BY MS. BENNETT:
5	Q I'll do my best.
6	My question was that whether oh, actually
7	my question that was objected to was whether you were
8	aware that Coterra had asked for the parties to agree
9	to a continuance and that the other parties said,
10	"No," that, "we want to go to hearing today" to save
11	the lease expirations?
12	A Yes, I think all parties have contemplated
13	at one point or another whether the hearing should
14	happen today or whether we should kick the hearing
15	another month to see if we can try to work something
16	out.
17	Q Earlier today I believe Ms. Luck stated
18	that or maybe it was you. I can't quite recall and
19	I'm not sure from my notes. But that Coterra has
20	known all along that Mewbourne would be the operator
21	of this acreage. Do you recall that?
22	A I don't think I said that.
23	Q Do you recall Ms. Luck saying that?
24	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Ms. Bennett, if
25	he says he doesn't remember saying that, then it would

1	be outside the scope of his testimony.
2	MS. BENNETT: Okay.
3	THE HEARING EXAMINER: So let's move
4	on.
5	MS. BENNETT: May I ask a follow up?
6	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yes, of course.
7	BY MS. BENNETT:
8	Q When was the first time, that you know of,
9	within your knowledge, that it was relayed to Coterra
10	that Mewbourne would be the operator of these wells?
11	A I don't recall when they were notified for
12	the first time. You'd have to ask them.
13	Q But it would be you that was notifying them;
14	right, Mewbourne?
15	A Rockwood could've told them too. I don't
16	know. Like I said, a lot of discussion was happening
17	over the phone. So I don't know when the first time
18	they they heard that was.
19	Q And all I'm asking is when the first time
20	Mewbourne told Coterra that Mewbourne would be
21	operating these wells?
22	A We've been talking about this for several
23	months. I don't know when we had that discussion.
24	Q Is there anything you can look at that would
25	refresh your memory about when that discussion

1	happened?
2	A I don't think so.
3	Q Do you think that Mr. Powell would know when
4	he first had a discussion with Coterra about this?
5	MS. LUCK: And I'm going to object on
6	this one because there's no foundation.
7	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank
8	you.
9	Sustained.
10	MS. BENNETT: Thank you.
11	I just want to take a quick look at my
12	notes and see if I have any other questions.
13	THE HEARING EXAMINER: And then Mr.
14	Bruce, I'm going to ask you if you have any questions
15	for this witness.
16	MS. BENNETT: No further questions.
17	Thank you.
18	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
19	Mr. Bruce?
20	MR. BRUCE: Maybe one or two.
21	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Did you enter
22	your appearance? I don't remember your saying
23	something. It's been a while. Did you enter an
24	appearance?
25	MR. BRUCE: Yes.

1	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Good. Go
2	ahead, Mr. Bruce.
3	DIRECT EXAMINATION
4	BY MR. BRUCE:
5	Q Mr. Jolly, I've heard talk today. Is one of
6	the concerns of Rockwood and Marshall & Winston that
7	Coterra may not drill the wells in a timely fashion?
8	A That's the main concern, yes.
9	Q And regarding the continuance that I filed
LO	on Marshall & Winston's behalf, did and you
L1	discussed that these matters with Marshall &
L2	Winston; did you not?
L3	A Yes.
L4	Q Was one of the reasons for the proposed
L5	continuance that Marshall & Winston had received the
L6	proposal letter and the notice so late it would not be
L7	able to prepare for hearing?
L8	A Yes. I believe Marshall & Winston's concern
L9	is that they got a well proposal letter less than 20
20	days ago. And so they wanted time to evaluate the
21	well proposal, especially because they own interest in
22	an existing well, and one of the wells proposed by
23	Coterra overlaps that well for a mile and a half.
24	Q Thank you.
25	MR. BRUCE: That's all, Mr. Examiner.
	Page 164

1	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you, Mr.
2	Bruce.
3	Mr. Fordyce?
4	EXAMINER FORDYCE: Yeah, I have one
5	follow up question.
6	CROSS-EXAMINATION
7	BY EXAMINER FORDYCE:
8	Q Mr. Jolly, I'm looking actually at page 108
9	of 116. And it seems like we've established that
10	these exhibits don't contain a complete list of
11	interest owners and perhaps parties that are that
12	Rockwood is seeking to pool in this application.
13	And in this mailing list, and it's the same
14	for each of the cases, it only indicates that the
15	State Land Office and Coterra were sent notice of
16	these proceedings. I'm trying to ascertain, since we
17	don't have a complete list of interest owners and
18	who's being pooled, has everyone that was required
19	notice given notice because I only see State Land
20	Office and Coterra?
21	A Coterra is the only party that Rockwood
22	seeks to pool. So the other owners were not listed
23	and weren't notified. They were notified. They know
24	the hearing's going on. But they weren't sent a a
25	notification of pooling because there's not being

1	pooled.
2	Q One other follow up question. Since the
3	C102s weren't admitted, and we don't have surveys for
4	those wells, the question is, has Rockwood done any
5	work to identify surface locations or are those
6	proposed surface locations simply theoretical at this
7	point?
8	A I'd have to defer to the engineer on that
9	question. I'm sorry.
10	EXAMINER FORDYCE: Yeah, I don't think
11	I have any further questions for this witness.
12	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you, Mr.
13	Fordyce. But you've opened up a subject that I was
14	concerned about. And now I need to hear from Ms. Luck
15	and Ms. Bennett.
16	Ms. Luck, is it your position that the
17	Rule 1915412 let's look at the Rule for a moment
18	because I'm confused about something here. I'm
19	looking at the version that is held by the State
20	Archives, which I believe is the official version.
21	It says here "Notice requirement for
22	specific adjudications. Compulsory pooling and
23	statutory unitization A-1 (a) Applicant shall give
24	notice to each owner of an interest in the mineral
25	estate of any portion of the lands the applicant

1	proposes to be pooled that is evidenced by a written
2	conveyance either of record or known to the applicant
3	at the time the applicant filed the application and
4	whose interest has not been voluntarily committed."
5	Ms. Luck, are you saying you complied
6	with that rule?
7	MS. LUCK: Yes, Mr. Hearing Examiner.
8	And together with Exhibit C-4, we have the first few
9	letters of support from the so-called uncommitted
10	working interest owners. And then we have additional
11	letters of support that we would like to submit to the
12	Division that include all of the defined owners of an
13	interest in the mineral estate of any portion of the
14	lands the applicant proposes to be pooled."
15	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Is
16	MS. LUCK: So even though those folks
17	haven't signed an agreement, they do they have
18	voluntarily committed their interests to this unit and
19	the units proposed.
20	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Ms. Bennett?
21	MS. BENNETT: Thank you. As the
22	Division is aware, the Division ordered me to complete
23	notice in another case under similar circumstances,
24	where a client had determined not to pool certain
25	parties and had not sent them notice of the hearing.

1	And the Division read that rule rather
2	broadly to say that if a party is uncommitted, that
3	notice is required even if you're not seeking to pool
4	them.
5	And I understand Ms. Luck's position
6	that these that there's letters of support from
7	each of these, but those letters of support, if they
8	are similar to the ones that are in the record, were
9	signed on July 18th, well after the notice that was
10	required under the Division's rules.
11	And I'm not I mean, I think if it
12	depends on what the Division determines to mean the
13	word "committed" to mean. If it means committed to a
14	JOA, then notice in my opinion was not proper. If the
15	Division determines the word committed in that rule
16	means a letter of support, then notice was probably
17	proper.
18	MS. LUCK: And if I may respond, Mr.
19	Hearing Examiner, voluntary committed is not defined
20	and voluntarily committed is not ever has not ever
21	been defined to mean a JOA or another kind of written
22	agreement. Parties can voluntarily decide how to
23	either release their interest or farm out their
24	interest or enter into a JOA before pooling hearing,
25	and that can be a voluntary commitment.

1	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Well, my concern
2	is holding a hearing without having provided proper
3	notice because that's a problem for the Division. And
4	it's our responsibility to make sure that we that
5	the applicants comply with the Rule. And notice is
6	critical.
7	It's one of the things that is critical
8	to us. And I think Mr. Fordyce and I need to take a
9	break after this witness is done and decide how we
10	want to deal with the idea of voluntary commitment
11	through a letter of support versus the well, that's
12	the evidence that we have in the record as of now. So
13	I think let's see. Mr. Fordyce has asked his
14	questions. Mr. Bruce did.
15	Ms. Luck, any redirect questions for
16	this witness?
17	MS. LUCK: Yeah, I just have a couple
18	of questions on some of the issues that you brought
19	up.
20	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Go right ahead.
21	Go right ahead.
22	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
23	BY MS. LUCK:
24	Q So Mr. Jolly, do you know when Coterra
25	purchased Franklin Mountain Energy?

1	A I believe it was January of 2025.
2	Q Okay. So they are fairly new to this
3	acreage; correct?
4	A Yes, they just acquired it this year.
5	Q Okay. And is it your opinion in this case
6	that Rockwood has engaged in good faith negotiations
7	with the parties to be pooled?
8	A Yes.
9	Q And has it been your experience previously
10	at OCD hearings that sometimes Form C102s would be
11	submitted and then the final C102s would be filed
12	later on?
13	A Yes, ma'am.
14	Q Okay. And so that's commonplace practice,
15	to submit drafts and then file final C102s at a later
16	time?
17	A Yes, ma'am.
18	Q Okay. And finally, with respect to the
19	letters of support. So we do have letters of support
20	from each of the other interest owners in these units
21	who may have had a mineral interest in the estate and
22	any other portions of the lands to be pooled; is that
23	correct?
24	A Yes, ma'am.
25	Q Okay.

1	MS. LUCK: That's all the questions I
2	have for this witness. Thank you.
3	THE HEARING EXAMINER: All right.
4	Thank you.
5	Is there any recross on those
6	questions?
7	MS. BENNETT: Yes, yes.
8	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Of course.
9	MS. BENNETT: Yes.
10	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Go ahead, Ms.
11	Bennett.
12	RECROSS-EXAMINATION
13	BY MS. BENNETT:
14	Q Mr. Jolly, you testified that Coterra
15	acquired this acreage from Franklin Mountain Energy in
16	January of 2025; right?
17	A They bought both the bond and Franklin
18	Mountain. I believe that it happened in January. A
19	press released it January of 2025.
20	Q So that was like six months ago?
21	A Eight months ago.
22	Q Okay. And but earlier you testified that
23	Rockwood's had this acreage since sometime in the fall
24	of 2024; is that right?
25	A I believe that's the date of the term
	Page 171

1	assignment they took.
2	Q Mr. Bruce asked
3	MS. BENNETT: May I recross on one
4	thing?
5	BY MS. BENNETT:
6	Q Mr. Bruce asked a question about Marshall &
7	Winston's concerns that you discussed with them. Do
8	you recall that testimony?
9	A I remember him saying something, yes.
10	Q Do you recall testifying that you discussed
11	Marshall & Winston's concerns?
12	A Marshall & Winston's concerns were about the
13	timing of the well proposal letter when they they
14	only got it a couple weeks ago. And they have
15	interest in a well that overlapped the spacing unit
16	of the existing well overlaps with a well that Coterra
17	proposed to overlap that spacing unit with.
18	Q Do you have
19	A So they were concerned about that.
20	Q Does Mewbourne have any interests in that
21	overlapping spacing unit?
22	A No.
23	Q You I believe you might've testified that
24	Marshall & Winston wanted time to evaluate Coterra's
25	proposals. Do you recall that?

1	A Yes.
2	Q Marshall & Winston is committed to Rockwood,
3	though; right?
4	A They support Rockwood's development.
5	Q Did you know that Marshall & Winston has
6	signed a JOA?
7	A With who?
8	Q With Rockwood.
9	A I wasn't aware that they signed a JOA.
L O	Q So that's in your exhibits to your
L1	declaration.
L2	A I saw the letter of support. I I know
L3	that they would sign a JOA if they were asked to sign
L4	one. I didn't know they actually can sign
L 5	Q Did you review the support letters?
L6	A Yes, ma'am.
L7	Q Do you know who prepared the letters of
L8	support?
L9	A They were prepared under the guidance of
20	both Rockwood and Mewbourne.
21	Q Okay. I'm going to represent to you, and
22	I'm happy to pull up the letter let me see if I
23	have it here from Marshall & Winston. Oh, that's
24	the George Mitchell [ph] letter. Okay. Sorry, I'm
25	just

1	THE HEARING EXAMINER: What page are
2	you on?
3	MS. BENNETT: I'm looking for that page
4	number. I apologize. It's page 61 of 116.
5	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
6	BY MS. BENNETT:
7	Q And it's
8	A Yes, ma'am.
9	Q Okay. And do you see that at the very end
10	of that first there's a first full paragraph and
11	then there's a single sentence that starts "Marshall &
12	Winston signed." Do you see that?
13	A Yes, ma'am.
14	Q And that's dated July 18th; right?
15	A Yes, ma'am.
16	Q And the wells it says "The wells are
17	being proposed under an operating agreement dated July
18	15th naming Rockwood Energy as operator."
19	MS. LUCK: And I'm going to object. I
20	think that Ms. Bennett's made her point here. This
21	question's been asked and answered.
22	THE HEARING EXAMINER: What question
23	has been asked and answered?
24	MS. LUCK: Her line of questioning
25	related to what this letter of support says.

1	THE HEARING EXAMINER: And what's the
2	question, Ms. Luck, that's been asked and answered?
3	MS. LUCK: She asked the final line
4	says Marshall & Winston has signed Rockwood's
5	proposal greenlit and fully supports Rockwood's
6	development plan in this area.
7	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Uh-huh.
8	MS. LUCK: And I believe she's already
9	asked this multiple times whether or not Marshall &
10	Winston has signed a JOA with
11	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Ms. Bennett?
12	MS. BENNETT: Thank you. What I was
13	getting at with the second line of questioning is that
14	the operating agreement is actually dated July 15th
15	and names Rockwood as the operator.
16	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
17	MS. BENNETT: Which is information that
18	is inconsistent with what is being presented today.
19	THE HEARING EXAMINER: I see. So
20	you're saying okay. And the objection was that
21	you've already asked and answered this. Now, have you
22	already asked this question?
23	MS. BENNETT: No, I have not asked a
24	question about when the operating agreement was signed
25	and who it named as operator.

1	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Ah. Okay. All
2	right.
3	So Ms. Luck, the question hasn't been
4	answered yet.
5	MS. LUCK: Okay. I'll withdraw my
6	objection.
7	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
8	Thank you, Ms. Luck.
9	So would the witness please answer the
10	question?
11	THE WITNESS: Is was the question
12	if if the operating agreement has been signed or
13	why it says that Rockwood Energy is operator?
14	BY MS. BENNETT:
15	Q The question is whether you were aware of
16	the fact that Rockwood has signed a JOA with I'm
17	sorry that Marshall & Winston has signed a JOA with
18	Rockwood as of July 15, 2025?
19	A The plan is for Rockwood to transfer
20	ownership to Mewbourne. So that's easily done in an
21	operating agreement.
22	Q And I
23	A So that shouldn't be an issue.
24	Q I appreciate your answer, but that's not the
25	question I asked. I asked you if you were aware that
	Page 176

1	Rockwood and Marshall & Winston have entered into an
2	agreement dated July 15, 2025?
3	A I was aware that Marshall & Winston would
4	sign what JOA we wanted them to sign. I wasn't aware
5	they had already signed one.
6	Q And when you say whatever JOA you wanted
7	them to sign, is that Mewbourne?
8	A If Rockwood obtains an order, then the deal
9	was for Mewbourne to step in and operator and be an
10	operator of the pooling order. So Mewbourne would be
11	operator and Marshall & Winston would support us.
12	Q Is there anything in the record that says
13	Marshall & Winston supports Mewbourne as operator of
14	these wells?
15	A No, they support Rockwood's developments
16	and the wells.
17	Q Is there anything in the record that
18	demonstrates that Rockwood has given you authority to
19	testify in their behalf today?
20	MS. LUCK: And once again, I would like
21	to object to that. This has never been a requirement
22	before the Division. Ms. Bennett has had many clients
23	despite the Division
24	THE HEARING EXAMINER: So what's the
25	objection? What's the objection?

1	MS. LUCK: Relevance.
2	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Fine.
3	MS. LUCK: The contract terms
4	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you, Ms.
5	Luck. Thank you, Ms. Luck.
6	Ms. Bennett, why are you
7	MS. BENNETT: I'll withdraw my
8	question.
9	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you. I do
10	sustain the objection.
11	BY MS. BENNETT:
12	Q Has Mewbourne entered an appearance in these
13	cases?
14	A No. Not to my knowledge.
15	Q Thank you.
16	MS. BENNETT: Those are all the
17	questions I have. Thank you.
18	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Mr.
19	Bruce, any no. You're shaking your head no. Okay.
20	Mr. Fordyce, any questions based on
21	what Ms. Bennett has asked?
22	EXAMINER FORDYCE: No, I have no
23	further questions at this time, Mr. Hearing Examiner.
24	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you.
25	Ms. Luck, is there any redirect on
	Page 178

1	those questions?
2	MS. LUCK: I would just like to clarify
3	with Marshall & Winston
4	THE HEARING EXAMINER: With the
5	witness. With the witness.
6	MS. LUCK: Yes.
7	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Go ahead.
8	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
9	BY MS. LUCK:
10	Q Okay. So Mr. Jolly, Marshall & Winston is
11	one of the interest owners that could be considered
12	uncommitted in this unit, was it not for that letter
13	of support; is that correct?
14	A They have not signed a well, I guess they
15	could be considered uncommitted. But they support
16	Rockwood's development.
17	Q Right. So I think it's
18	MS. BENNETT: Mr. Hearing Examiner, I
19	need to I'd like to object to this line of
20	questions as asked and answered. I asked. The
21	evidence that Ms. Luck herself provided shows clearly
22	that Marshall & Winston has signed a JOA. It's not
23	merely a letter of support.
24	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Wait, wait, were
25	you okay. So first of all, what's the objection?
	Page 179

1	MS. BENNETT: Asked and answered.
2	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Asked and
3	answered. Okay.
4	So Ms. Luck, the objection is asked and
5	answered.
6	MS. LUCK: Okay. Well, the question
7	has not been answered. I'm trying to clarify with Mr.
8	Jolly because Mr. Fordyce did raise an issue that
9	there may be a notice issue, and I want to just iron
10	that out as much as possible before we move on that no
11	additional notice is required.
12	THE HEARING EXAMINER: You had the
13	opportunity to iron out the notice issue after Mr.
14	Fordyce asked the question. And that was before. And
15	I gave you an opportunity to redirect. And that
16	wasn't dealt with then. Now you're only allowed to
17	ask questions based on what Ms. Bennett specifically
18	asked. So please confine your scope of your
19	questions, your re-redirect, to what Ms. Bennett
20	asked.
21	MS. LUCK: Yes, Mr. Hearing Examiner.
22	Ms. Bennett asked specifically about this Marshall &
23	Winston letter.
24	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So what
25	was the question now? What are you trying to

1	determine.
2	MS. LUCK: Whether or not Marshall &
3	Winston is one of the other owners of the mineral
4	interest within the unit.
5	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. And
6	is has that been asked and answered?
7	MS. BENNETT: Yes.
8	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So Ms.
9	Luck, the objection is asked and answered. If you
10	haven't asked it, then tell me now so I can let you
11	ask it. Otherwise, if you had asked it already, then
12	I'll sustain the objection.
13	MS. LUCK: Right. Ms. Bennett's
14	statement was that she was the person that asked this
15	question and I haven't asked this question before
16	about whether or not Marshall & Winston are an owner
17	of an interest in the unit and they could've been
18	provided notice of this pooling hearing but they were
19	not. For the reasons stated herein, that they did
20	provide a letter of support. They did sign an
21	operating agreement. All that's been ironed out by
22	Ms. Bennett at this point.
23	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Well so Ms.
24	Luck, the objection is asked and answered. Are you
25	saying that you have not asked that question before?

1	MS. LUCK: Correct.
2	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Specifically
3	what question do you want to ask?
4	MS. LUCK: And I'm sorry, Mr. Hearing
5	Examiner, I'll have to repeat it. Whether or not
6	Marshall & Winston is the uncommitted interest owner
7	in this unit whose interest could have been provided
8	notice as required through 1915409 you reviewed for
9	us.
10	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So what's
11	the question? If I don't understand the question then
12	you're not asking it in a way that's I need to
13	understand the question.
14	MS. LUCK: I mean, I would be asking
15	the question to the witness, Mr. Hearing Examiner.
16	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Right.
17	MS. LUCK: Mr. Jolly. He's on the line
18	here with us today. I'd be asking him whether or not
19	Marshall & Winston is an owner of an interest in these
20	units that would have been required to be provided
21	notice as required by the rule that you stated to us,
22	1915
23	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. So you're
24	asking him for a legal conclusion? Overruled. You
25	can't ask that question. He's a fact witness. He's

Page 182

1	not an attorney here to give us his opinion.
2	MS. LUCK: And I understand that, Mr.
3	Hearing Examiner. But Ms. Bennett's gone down many
4	legal rabbit trails with my client so far. She
5	presented competing pooling factors. She was able to
6	make legal arguments with respect to those lines of
7	questioning. And so out of respect, I that same
8	respect.
9	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yeah, I'm sorry.
LO	No. That question won't be asked. You're asking for
L1	a legal conclusion to this witness outside the scope
L2	of his expertise.
L3	So do you have any other redirect
L4	questions for this witness?
L5	MS. LUCK: No.
L6	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank
L7	you.
L8	All right. Mr. Jolly, I believe we're
L9	done with you for today. Thank you for your
20	participation. And you may be recalled tomorrow. So
21	please keep yourself available for tomorrow.
22	Okay. It's 4:37. I think we should
23	end here today. We're coming back tomorrow. We've
24	only gotten through one witness. We have two more,
25	Mr. Crosby and Mr. Edington tomorrow.

1	Which one are you going to call first?
2	MS. LUCK: Mr. Hearing Examiner, I'd
3	like to go in the order of exhibits, which would be
4	Mr. Crosby.
5	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Fine. So
6	that'll be number two for tomorrow.
7	In the meantime, Mr. Fordyce and I have
8	to discuss notice. We have to discuss what we're
9	going to do about the notice issue. If there is an
10	issue and what we're going to do about it if there is
11	an issue because facts have come out during today's
12	hearing that bring notice into question.
13	Okay. So do this may be a long day
14	tomorrow. And What I'm wondering is if we should try
15	to start at 8 a.m. instead of 9 a.m. Do the parties
16	want to begin at 8 a.m.?
17	I'll start with you, Ms. Luck.
18	MS. LUCK: Yes, Mr. Hearing Examiner.
19	I'm happy to be here at any time.
20	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. Thank
21	you.
22	Ms. Bennett? I know you have to
23	commute from Albuquerque.
24	MS. BENNETT: Yes.
25	THE HEARING EXAMINER: So I know it's
	Page 184

1	early for you However we do want to hear your cases
	early for you. However, we do want to hear your cases
2	tomorrow as well. So can you be here at eight
3	o'clock?
4	MS. BENNETT: I'd like to confer
5	with I can be. We might need to take our witnesses
6	a little out of order. So I can be here at 8.
7	THE HEARING EXAMINER: That's
8	marvelous.
9	So Ms. Tschantz, I'll be here at 7. I
10	can come down and open the door if necessary.
11	I know you won't be here, Mr. Bruce. I
12	got that. Good luck with your procedure tomorrow.
13	MR. BRUCE: Thank you.
14	THE HEARING EXAMINER: So Ms. Tschantz,
15	I'll come and I'll open the door whatever time the
16	court reporter needs me to be there if they're going
17	to have a in-person court reporter.
18	If it's going to be virtual, is there
19	anyway of knowing that now?
20	MS. TSCHANTZ: I would need to contact
21	Veritext and just confirm availability one hour
22	earlier. I don't know if that's possible, so I need
23	to confirm.
24	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Well, we're
25	going to start at 8 a.m. tomorrow so we can record the
	Page 185

1	hearing and then have Veritext I really want to
2	leave time for tomorrow the most time we can
3	possibly have tomorrow. Because this took a long time
4	for one witness.
5	MS. BENNETT: Mr. Hearing Examiner?
6	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yes.
7	MS. BENNETT: I noticed also that there
8	are some affidavit cases going tomorrow. So if we
9	start at 8 and then the affidavit cases would start at
10	9
11	THE HEARING EXAMINER: We'll do them
12	this is the priority. These this contested cases
13	is the priority. Is there a problem with that, Ms.
14	Bennett?
15	MS. BENNETT: Well, I'm just thinking
16	about the other attorneys who have to be here and who
17	are planning on being here at nine o'clock tomorrow
18	for affidavit cases. And so I would just suggest that
19	maybe we take a short break from our cases.
20	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. And I
21	will tell you that all affidavit cases will be taken
22	under advisement.
23	MS. BENNETT: Nice.
24	THE HEARING EXAMINER: They've all been
25	
	reviewed, and they'll all be taken under advisement.

Page 186

1	So it should take about five minutes.
2	MS. BENNETT: That's what I was hoping
3	is that it would be a very quick, you know, whatever.
4	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Yes.
5	MS. BENNETT: And so that would mean
6	that I'd rather than having the other attorneys
7	waiting all day, and listening to us
8	THE HEARING EXAMINER: That's very kind
9	of you, Ms. Bennett.
10	MS. BENNETT: Well
11	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
12	MS. BENNETT: I do see that Ms.
13	Vance has her hand up
14	THE HEARING EXAMINER: We're
15	MS. BENNETT: she was going to be
16	talking about
17	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Ms. Vance. Ms.
18	Vance. You're still there, Ms. Vance. What can we do
19	for you?
20	MS. VANCE: I am, and actually Ms.
21	Bennett was getting to my question because I have to
22	appear for one case.
23	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
24	MS. VANCE: At nine o'clock.
25	THE HEARING EXAMINER: Okay.
	Page 187

-
ıt
€.

#### 1 CERTIFICATE 2 I, RYAN AUTEN, the officer before whom the 3 foregoing proceedings were taken, do hereby certify that any witness(es) in the foregoing proceedings, 4 prior to testifying, were duly sworn; that the 5 proceedings were recorded by me and thereafter reduced 6 7 to typewriting by a qualified transcriptionist; that 8 said digital audio recording of said proceedings are a 9 true and accurate record to the best of my knowledge, skills, and ability; that I am neither counsel for, 10 11 related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the 12 action in which this was taken; and, further, that I 13 am not a relative or employee of any counsel or 14 attorney employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of 15 16 this action. 17 September 4, 2025 18 RYAN AUTEN 19 Notary Public in and for the 20 State of New Mexico 21 22 23 24

Page 189

2.5

#### 1 CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER 2 I, CHRIS E. FLOYD, do hereby certify that 3 this transcript was prepared from the digital audio 4 recording of the foregoing proceeding, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the 5 proceedings to the best of my knowledge, skills, and 6 7 ability; that I am neither counsel for, related to, 8 nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this was taken; and, further, that I am not a 9 relative or employee of any counsel or attorney 10 11 employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or 12 otherwise interested in the outcome of this action. 13 September 4, 2025 Mif John 14 CHRIS E. FLOYD 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 2.5

Page 190

	Г	I	T
&	166:23	<b>13</b> 143:23	2
<b>&amp;</b> 2:4,10 3:17	1/24/2025	156:11 157:8	<b>2</b> 5:5 28:21
8:6 43:8,13	101:14 103:2	<b>13/14</b> 6:20,22	74:8 87:4,5,6,8
91:5,20 114:25	<b>1/24/25</b> 101:20	<b>13165</b> 153:25	87:24 89:3,13
132:2 157:23	<b>10</b> 5:11 22:2	<b>13th</b> 49:2,5	89:19 90:3,15
158:1,5,6,11	<b>10,000</b> 27:17	<b>15</b> 176:18	90:17 93:6,17
164:6,10,11,15	<b>10/14</b> 6:6	177:2	93:18 134:10
164:18 172:6	<b>100</b> 136:3	<b>15th</b> 174:18	<b>2,000</b> 22:18
172:11,12,24	<b>1000</b> 3:5,12	175:14	<b>20</b> 51:18
173:2,5,23	<b>101</b> 5:10 33:5	<b>16</b> 11:12 24:18	144:13 146:7
174:11 175:4,9	<b>1056</b> 3:20	<b>164</b> 5:22	164:19
176:17 177:1,3	<b>108</b> 165:8	<b>165</b> 5:23	<b>2001</b> 18:21
177:11,13	<b>10k</b> 27:23	<b>169</b> 5:24	<b>2007</b> 18:22
179:3,10,22	<b>11</b> 20:23 40:4	<b>17</b> 21:21 25:2,6	<b>2012</b> 19:3
180:22 181:2	41:6 113:10	25:16 79:10,14	<b>2012</b> 17:3 <b>2014</b> 17:16,18
181:16 182:6	138:15 141:2	79:21	19:7
182:19	<b>110</b> 2:5	<b>171</b> 5:21	<b>2015</b> 118:5
0	<b>113</b> 36:16	<b>179</b> 5:25	<b>2020</b> 19:8,9
	<b>11408</b> 57:3	<b>17th</b> 79:16	138:15
02/26/25 7:9	61:2 65:4	<b>18</b> 87:14 88:19	<b>2024</b> 33:20
<b>08/05/25</b> 6:6,9	149:19	89:10	146:19,22
6:11,13,16,20	<b>115</b> 5:21	<b>1835</b> 74:3	147:6 171:24
6:22 7:6 8:7	<b>116</b> 165:9	<b>18th</b> 168:9	<b>2025</b> 1:13 9:2
08/06/25 7:11	174:4	174:14	13:3,6 32:6
7:19,21	<b>12</b> 1:13 97:4,11	<b>19</b> 51:20 74:4	56:13 79:1,10
<b>0891</b> 91:23	97:15 141:14	87:15 88:20	79:14,16,21
1	<b>12/14</b> 6:9,11,13	89:12	94:15,18 97:4
<b>1</b> 1:12 2:5 5:3	6:16	<b>1915</b> 182:22	97:11,15 99:12
7:12 72:4,20	<b>120</b> 7:11	<b>1915409</b> 182:8	99:12 106:7
73:5,7,16,17,19	<b>1220</b> 1:17	<b>1915412</b> 166:17	107:13,24
73:23 74:4	<b>125</b> 2:13	<b>1915413</b> 54:24	146:22,25
75:19 87:15	<b>127</b> 20:16	<b>1:26</b> 1:14	147:4,8,11
88:6,20 89:12	<b>12th</b> 49:2	<b>1st</b> 59:19 118:5	157:8,14 170:1
93:20 134:2,3	146:25		171:16,19
134:7,8,13			176:18 177:2
, ,			1,0.10 1/1.2

## [2025 - 64]

100 17 100 12	25242 25247	<b>35</b> 73 11 74 4	110 6 16
189:17 190:13	25243-25246b	<b>35</b> 73:11 74:4	110:6,16
<b>2026</b> 32:8	7:7	87:14,15 88:19	112:18 133:17
59:17,19 78:25	25243-25246c	88:20 89:10,12	<b>50</b> 94:24
156:20	7:10,12,14,16	<b>36</b> 61:12 87:14	<b>500</b> 3:5,12
<b>21</b> 13:3 42:17	25243-25246d	88:19 89:10	<b>505</b> 2:8,16 3:8
<b>22</b> 42:17	7:18	91:21 134:2,15	3:15,22
<b>22106</b> 23:2	25243-25246e	134:18 156:19	<b>51</b> 94:9
<b>223</b> 2:13	7:20	<b>361</b> 2:23	<b>521h</b> 87:11,18
<b>23</b> 42:17	25243-25246f	<b>39</b> 87:4 130:23	87:21 88:2,4,7
<b>24</b> 47:8 106:7	8:4	4	97:21 130:24
107:13,24	<b>25244</b> 1:10	<b>4</b> 5:9 7:16	130:25 131:6
<b>25</b> 61:12 74:2	<b>25245</b> 1:10	42:14 99:2,4	<b>523h</b> 87:25
87:13 88:19	<b>25246</b> 1:10	,	88:8 131:12
89:8,9 134:2,8	56:10 71:13	99:18,23	132:15,18
<b>25/1</b> 87:11	<b>25520</b> 42:17	100:17 102:1	<b>526h</b> 88:16,18
88:17 89:6	<b>25th</b> 144:12	103:4 108:22	133:20
97:21	145:23	109:3,4,7	<b>528h</b> 89:4,6
<b>25164</b> 60:14	<b>26</b> 13:5	110:15,24	<b>55</b> 94:25 97:19
86:7	<b>26th</b> 40:6 41:2	111:10 167:8	<b>56</b> 99:3
<b>25222</b> 1:9 6:3	56:13	189:17 190:13	<b>57</b> 99:14
9:10 10:21	<b>28490</b> 190:14	<b>400</b> 61:11	<b>58</b> 99:14
20:16 34:15,16	3	<b>42</b> 87:25	<b>59</b> 99:15
<b>25225</b> 1:9 6:3	_	<b>44</b> 5:12 7:19,21	<b>5k</b> 27:24
9:11 10:25	3 5:7 7:14	42:15	6
34:15,17	42:13 91:23	<b>45</b> 42:15 88:14	
<b>25243</b> 1:9	94:3,8,11 95:2	<b>46</b> 42:15	6 42:14
42:15 56:9	96:19,23 98:22	<b>48</b> 89:3	<b>6,000</b> 22:10
61:7 71:13	98:23 134:12	483 2:20	<b>60</b> 109:8
87:18	134:13,15,16	<b>4:37</b> 183:22	110:18,18
25243-25246	<b>3,000</b> 22:19	<b>4:41</b> 188:22	<b>61</b> 7:6,9 110:18
7:3 8:3	<b>30</b> 22:2 120:1	<b>4r</b> 23:2	174:4
25243-25246-2	134:17 154:10	<b>4th</b> 3:5,12	<b>62</b> 110:18
7:13	<b>31st</b> 156:20	5	<b>6200</b> 22:10
25243-25246a	<b>341867</b> 80:2	<b>5</b> 42:14 55:8	<b>63</b> 5:13 110:18
	<b>34885</b> 189:17	109:19,23	<b>64</b> 110:18
7:4		107.17,23	113:19

## [648-1973 - address]

<b>648-1973</b> 2:23	<b>87/93</b> 7:13	148:25 164:17	acres 74:5
<b>65</b> 113:20	<b>87102</b> 3:6,13	183:5	<b>action</b> 189:12
<b>67</b> 113:15,15	<b>87501</b> 2:6,14	above 23:4	189:16 190:8
<b>690-4233</b> 2:16	<b>87504</b> 3:21	107:14	190:12
<b>6:47</b> 73:4	<b>87505</b> 1:19	absence 46:20	actions 147:10
<b>6th</b> 20:18 47:4	<b>87571</b> 2:21	65:8 154:11	147:25
47:6 53:6,14	9	absolutely	actual 130:5
53:14 54:8	9 11:12 24:18	17:13	actually 10:12
113:7	47:3 52:9,19	accept 83:6	17:20 40:17
7	52:20 184:15	100:13	66:6 79:7
<b>7</b> 185:9	186:10	accepted 12:10	113:19 146:8
<b>71</b> 5:17	9,000 22:8	15:14 71:10	153:11 161:6
<b>73</b> 7:12	<b>90</b> 5:6	accepting 11:3	165:8 173:14
<b>7396398</b> 1:21	<b>91</b> 31:7 38:19	accorded	175:14 187:20
<b>76</b> 5:4	<b>94</b> 5:19	103:22	188:2,6
<b>77</b> 22:22	<b>94/98</b> 7:15	accounting	<b>add</b> 35:16
<b>78</b> 24:17,25	<b>954-7286</b> 2:8	24:5 26:2	110:16 111:22
<b>79</b> 25:5	<b>97</b> 5:8	accuracy 93:25	added 47:7
<b>7th</b> 47:8 53:17	<b>982-2043</b> 3:22	95:6	75:10 98:11
53:17 54:8,13	99 5:20	accurate 27:3	addition 98:5
62:14,19 84:21	<b>99/109</b> 7:17	133:3,5 189:9	additional
95:14 109:12	a	190:5	12:19 45:17
8		acquire 146:18	98:11 109:13
	<b>a.m.</b> 47:3 52:9	acquired 170:4	109:18,19
8 24:18,25 25:1	52:19,21 73:4	171:15	112:17 151:18
25:2,7,16	184:15,15,16	acre 61:11	160:5 167:10
184:15,16	185:25	acreage 55:23	180:11
185:6,25 186:9	ability 59:5,14	56:23 136:3	additionally
<b>82</b> 26:6 37:25	126:3,4 130:5	146:18,21	24:2
<b>83</b> 27:14 38:1	189:10 190:7	147:1,3,11	address 123:8
<b>848-1834</b> 3:8	able 27:11	148:7,15,22	124:12 126:6
<b>848-1875</b> 3:15	39:13 46:21	149:8 157:24	126:10 127:11
<b>85</b> 29:19 38:10	57:12 58:25	158:20 159:22	127:19,25
<b>87</b> 5:18	59:16 73:3	161:21 170:3	128:4,9,24
	102:17 115:3	171:15,23	

## [addressed - analog]

addressed	admitted 16:24	affidavits 45:21	<b>ah</b> 176:1
30:20 32:21	49:20 63:10	45:21 49:20	ahead 10:17
60:6 120:8	83:1 93:17,20	113:20 120:13	11:20 56:5
addresses	98:4,22 107:19	125:3	70:25 73:10
125:18 127:3	109:3 112:12	affirmed 6:17	89:23 94:5
adds 121:16	112:25 117:18	8:4 13:1 36:17	100:23 118:3
adequate	166:3	119:22 120:9	126:22 136:14
145:25	admonish	121:15 140:25	148:18 156:5
adequately	152:19	141:5	164:2 169:20
28:13	adopt 74:25	afternoon 9:20	169:21 171:10
adjudicating	83:6 84:7 95:5	9:23 20:8	179:7
122:19	96:9,16	42:20,25	albuquerque
adjudications	<b>adopting</b> 96:19	agent 124:6	3:6,13 184:23
166:22	adopting 95:10	ago 76:22	<b>alerted</b> 121:11
adjustments	99:25	77:18 125:11	alleges 125:7
10:11	advance 49:5	126:18 164:20	allocation
administrative	52:8 55:6	171:20,21	21:15 23:16,20
138:22 149:25	56:14	172:14	23:21 32:16,19
admiral 60:15	advised 116:23	<b>agree</b> 65:4	127:6
admissibility	advisement	85:17 110:10	<b>allow</b> 51:13
50:11	186:22,25	125:10,11	104:24 160:23
admissible 61:4	188:11	130:17 140:15	allowed 50:4
104:14 149:22	advises 69:6	161:8	52:16 57:25
admission	advisor 19:12	agreed 80:22	63:19 84:23
74:10 75:19	<b>afe</b> 94:16 97:18	85:16	180:16
78:4 86:16	97:20,22	agreement	allowing 85:2
89:19 93:5	154:16 155:2	135:5 146:13	106:1
95:1 99:23	afes 97:23 98:5	167:17 168:22	<b>alpha</b> 66:6,6
108:25 110:10	98:16 141:6,10	174:17 175:14	amending
admit 14:2	affidavit 6:21	175:24 176:12	51:12
71:20 78:11	8:6 9:4 13:4	176:21 177:2	<b>amount</b> 19:10
98:10,12,18	40:4 61:25	181:21	anadarko
109:18 113:13	121:23,25	agreements	17:22
123:16	124:25 144:13	10:10 155:5	<b>analog</b> 36:20
	186:8,9,18,21		36:24 37:1,4

## [analog - arts]

37:12	anyone's	11:1 24:20	appropriate
analyst 19:4	125:23	25:9 31:25	63:3,5,8,8
analyzing	anyway 55:16	33:10 34:21	appropriately
123:4	146:9 156:2	35:19,21 37:25	59:11
andrew 4:4	185:19	59:21 64:8	approval 31:9
andy 42:3,4	apache 19:5	120:25 154:11	31:11,15 32:6
animal 16:11	apds 147:22,23	165:12 167:3	32:11 38:20
annual 31:9	apologies	applications	approvals
answer 19:23	153:21	11:10,21,23,25	38:21
20:1,3 77:16	apologize 72:8	23:12 31:23	approximately
78:18 101:23	98:1 128:3	32:5 42:16	56:13
118:3 126:22	140:7 174:4	43:24 48:24	april 19:12
138:4 143:17	appear 187:22	49:14,16 51:10	31:20 49:13
145:12 148:23	appearance	51:14,18 52:16	59:19 64:2
160:15,19,24	9:17 10:8,15	56:11,12,14	148:9,10 149:3
160:25 176:9	11:4 42:19	58:21 61:15,21	149:6
176:24	163:22,24	62:3,7 66:7,18	archives
answer's 50:22	178:12 188:7	71:12 81:13	166:20
117:8	appeared 49:12	116:12 119:5,6	area 18:24
answered	appears 129:4	122:19 123:5	71:16 127:14
31:23 34:19	applicable	126:15 136:17	127:23 128:18
135:15,22	156:14	136:19,24	175:6
152:24 174:21	applicant 9:22	137:11,14	<b>argue</b> 78:16
174:23 175:2	46:4 66:10	138:19,23	106:19 140:5
175:21 176:4	120:24 124:5	144:2,8,10,18	arguing 83:24
179:20 180:1,3	138:20 154:12	144:22 145:21	84:4 140:13
180:5,7 181:6	166:23,25	146:14,17	argument
181:9,24	167:2,3,14	applied 60:15	51:23 67:18,23
answers 39:12	applicant's	74:1 120:23	84:13 92:24
137:23 160:14	124:6,15	152:12	104:25 105:24
anthony 4:5	125:16	<b>apply</b> 102:17	139:23 150:5
anticipate 35:5	applicants	149:25 154:6,8	arguments
anymore	126:4 169:5	applying 60:20	183:6
126:21	application 6:4	appreciate 36:9	<b>arts</b> 18:20
	7:5,7 10:22	76:22 176:24	

## [ascertain - believe]

ascertain	associated 59:6	37:9 183:21	96:8 104:9,12
165:16	assume 80:5,6	avenue 2:13	158:25 160:10
asked 100:4	assuming 13:6	awarded 67:3	178:20 180:17
135:15,22,24	23:19 115:8	81:12,14	<b>basically</b> 23:5
145:4 148:24	attack 49:22	aware 30:25	111:1 126:20
161:8 169:13	attack 43.22 attempt 147:3	32:22,24 33:2	<b>basin</b> 17:25
172:2,6 173:13	attempt 147.5	65:23 66:4	18:6 33:11
174:21,23	146:12	136:22 161:8	basins 21:25
175:2,3,9,21,22	attended 84:21	167:22 173:9	basis 102:12
175:23 176:25	95:13	176:15,25	106:2 108:13
176:25 178:21	attention 25:15	170:13,23	129:22 139:6,8
179:20,20	137:2	<b>b</b>	139:8 144:8
180:1,2,4,14,18	attorney 57:24		145:6,19
180:20,22	137:21 183:1	<b>b</b> 6:1,7 7:1 8:1	<b>bat</b> 145:6
181:6,9,10,11	189:14 190:10	12:20 61:16	battery 21:6
181:14,15,24	attorneys	69:16 128:8	29:4
181:25 183:10	186:16 187:6	bachelor 18:20	<b>baylor</b> 31:19
asking 46:7	<b>audio</b> 189:8	bachelor's	beginning
56:9 57:22	190:3	17:14	110:1 117:19
58:5 59:19	august 1:13	back 16:18	159:7
86:8 91:15	20:18 41:2	18:21 22:16	<b>behalf</b> 2:2,10
92:3 100:7	47:4,6,8 49:2,5	32:16 33:22	2:18 3:2,17
106:3 121:7	53:6 62:14,19	35:21 39:6	9:22,24 43:1
130:10 133:1,2	84:21 95:14	51:12 59:20 75:4 105:16	46:1 65:19,21
144:17 148:5	109:12 113:6,9	117:19 125:5	65:25 66:2
158:21 159:14	<b>auten</b> 1:20	132:12 149:3	82:7 118:9,20
162:19 182:12	189:2,18	183:23	119:19 164:10
182:14,18,24	authority 66:15	background	177:19
183:10	177:18	10:20	behaves 33:17
aspect 54:11	automatically	backwards	<b>belabor</b> 101:12
assets 19:9,10	121:22	102:18	107:10
assignment	availability	base 25:17 33:8	belaboring
91:21 172:1	185:21	<b>based</b> 11:7,19	135:1
associate 43:3	available 9:13	30:12 33:15,20	believe 12:22
	12:17 13:8	71:19 85:19	20:18 24:19

## [believe - bonding]

25:25 26:20	89:24 90:2	137:19 138:2,7	187:15,21
27:21,22,24	93:7,10,13	138:8,9 139:11	188:21
30:6 33:11	96:10,22,24	139:12,16,25	bennett's 51:18
42:3 53:16	97:2 98:3,7,14	140:6,11,14,17	54:3 63:2
71:3 90:11	98:19 100:7,16	140:18 142:20	86:20 101:16
91:20,21,23	100:18,21,24	143:18,21,22	105:2 126:19
109:9 127:5,13	101:2 102:20	145:9,16	129:5 135:1,8
128:6 132:10	102:24 103:1,6	149:17 150:2,4	142:12 144:23
133:18 134:8	103:10,12,15	150:8,13,24	151:19 174:20
134:11,18	103:18 104:18	151:17,22,23	181:13 183:3
135:25 144:13	105:18,25	152:3,12,18,22	<b>best</b> 161:5
145:25 146:19	106:18,24	152:25 153:3	189:9 190:6
149:17 150:23	107:2,3 108:1	153:11,22	<b>better</b> 139:23
156:24 161:17	108:20,21,24	155:11,13,16	160:13
164:18 166:20	110:4,9 111:9	155:19 156:3,6	<b>beyond</b> 151:1
170:1 171:18	111:12,16,19	156:9 159:5,18	153:8
171:25 172:23	112:15,19	159:20 160:8	<b>big</b> 95:16
175:8 183:18	114:2,6,7	160:16,21	132:12 148:2
<b>bennett</b> 3:3 5:4	115:12,13,15	161:4,24 162:2	<b>bigger</b> 124:3
5:6,8,10,13,21	116:22 117:3,8	162:5,7 163:10	<b>bit</b> 31:10 45:2
41:21,23 42:25	117:9,10 118:6	163:16 166:15	46:8,13,25
43:1,5,6 45:1	118:13,15,16	167:20,21	47:12 109:10
46:14,16,23	119:3,5,8,14,16	171:7,9,11,13	blanking 27:2,7
48:1,6,10,13	119:17 121:5,6	172:3,5 174:3	<b>blm</b> 31:8,12
50:10 52:13	122:1,3,4,10,15	174:6 175:11	32:18 33:3
54:1,10 55:13	122:16,25	175:12,17,23	38:20
63:13,21,22	123:7,15,18,22	176:14 177:22	<b>blocks</b> 25:16
67:6,8,15 68:1	124:1,5,10	178:6,7,11,16	<b>blurry</b> 109:11
76:13,14,18,20	125:2,6,13,14	178:21 179:18	112:1
77:17 78:22	125:17 127:1	180:1,17,19,22	<b>bond</b> 129:21
81:24 82:4	129:13 130:3,4	181:7,22	130:5,6,11
83:20,25 84:2	130:12,17,19	184:22,24	171:17
84:3 85:9,10	130:20 133:19	185:4 186:5,7	<b>bonded</b> 128:20
85:14,17,23	135:15,21,23	186:14,15,23	<b>bonding</b> 129:5
86:5 89:20,21	136:8,12,15,16	187:2,5,9,10,12	129:10,25

## [bonding - case]

130:5	<b>bruce</b> 3:18,19	89:13,19 90:3	calibrated
<b>bonds</b> 129:6,12	5:22 43:7,7,10	90:15,17 93:6	36:24 37:4
129:20	43:11,13,17	93:17,18,20	calibration
<b>bone</b> 10:21	49:25 50:1,13	94:3,8,11 95:2	37:5,6
22:9,15,17	51:19 114:12	96:19,23 98:22	<b>call</b> 9:10 29:2
23:24 25:8,8	114:12,14,20	98:23 99:2,4	37:20 42:13,18
31:17 32:9	114:24 115:5,7	99:18,23	70:19,21 108:3
33:8,11 61:9	115:7,9 163:14	100:17 102:1	184:1 188:11
74:2	163:19,20,25	103:4 108:22	<b>called</b> 1:6 14:13
<b>border</b> 87:15	164:2,4,25	109:3,4,7,19,23	14:18,23 15:3
<b>bottom</b> 22:24	165:2 169:14	110:6,15,16,24	49:8 68:10,15
74:3 79:10	172:2,6 178:19	111:10 112:18	68:20 105:3
94:19 118:24	185:11,13	120:1,3 133:17	167:9
<b>bought</b> 171:17	<b>building</b> 1:18	167:8	<b>calls</b> 108:7
<b>box</b> 2:20 3:20	<b>bulk</b> 144:11	<b>c102</b> 7:12 75:5	capacity 71:6
<b>bradfute</b> 10:2	<b>bullet</b> 21:22	76:23 77:7,8	<b>capture</b> 23:3,5
<b>break</b> 169:9	27:16 29:22,23	77:11,13,14,18	23:12 32:18
186:19 188:18	31:8	78:12,13 79:9	35:13
breakthrough	<b>burden</b> 124:14	80:17,18 81:2	<b>care</b> 188:14
11:18 35:2	124:15 125:16	81:4,8 82:3,15	<b>cared</b> 152:6
<b>bridge</b> 38:17	<b>bureau</b> 138:18	83:15 85:12,21	<b>career</b> 17:20
<b>brief</b> 10:20	burlington	85:21 95:9	carefully 61:15
56:3	2:10 9:25 11:3	127:5	<b>case</b> 1:9 20:16
<b>bring</b> 56:24	<b>business</b> 40:6	<b>c102's</b> 77:1	23:18,21 25:22
60:9 63:4	41:2 53:8,18	<b>c102s</b> 73:19,20	32:20 34:14
105:21 129:15	55:1,6 83:3	73:25 75:4,12	35:10 38:5
136:4 150:21	c	75:24 76:5	41:13 46:4,4
150:25 153:22	c 2:1 3:1 4:1 5:3	82:2,6,8,9,22	48:22 56:9,11
153:22 184:12	5:5,7,9 6:10	83:2,4,9,11,20	56:19 57:4
broadly 168:2	9:1 15:23	84:9 86:12	58:2 60:14,18
<b>broker</b> 104:3	69:16,16 72:4	93:24 129:8	61:7,7 62:5
brought 58:5	72:20 73:5,7	166:3 170:10	64:1 66:6
58:10 105:18	73:16,17,19,23	170:11,15	71:13,21 72:22
139:23 169:18	74:8 75:19	calculator	82:4,6 83:13
	87:6,8,24 89:3	146:6	84:5,25 86:13

## [case - closed]

96.20 09.17	00.15 102.15	ahanga 12.14	alaim 125.2
86:20 98:17	99:15 102:15	change 13:14	<b>claim</b> 125:3
103:25 105:12	104:2 118:14	138:20,24,25	claiming 105:5
109:20 118:24	121:3,9 135:19	139:18,18	clarification
118:25 120:22	144:10 145:21	changed 75:10	22:23 34:20
120:24 121:4	145:24 146:9	changes 11:15	36:5 39:4
122:17 125:7	152:13,14	11:17,17,19,22	clarified 95:18
126:20 129:14	154:6,9 158:2	32:4	<b>clarify</b> 24:17,24
133:4 135:6	158:22 160:1	charles 4:16	37:21 38:2
137:9 141:23	165:14 178:13	68:14 69:15	40:8 82:10
141:24 143:14	185:1 186:8,9	112:10	84:20 85:11
144:14 154:19	186:12,18,19	charley 7:18	95:12 96:18
167:23 170:5	186:21	44:6 67:12	112:1,3 113:4
187:22 188:5,7	<b>casing</b> 27:22	<b>check</b> 94:20	142:2 154:7
188:7,8,11,18	38:4	checklist 7:5	179:2 180:7
<b>cases</b> 6:3 7:3	<b>catch</b> 132:5	66:9	clarity 30:9
8:3 9:4,5,10	catching 46:9	<b>chino</b> 1:18	<b>clean</b> 12:3
10:13,20 28:13	<b>cc</b> 101:13	chosen 59:2	20:18
40:5 41:5,8,20	<b>cc'd</b> 106:6	<b>chris</b> 190:2,15	<b>clear</b> 51:11
41:22 42:2,13	central 21:6	christmas	83:8 93:21,25
42:15,17 44:1	29:4	28:14 38:3	102:19 105:13
44:22,24 47:14	certain 48:3	chronology	126:11 129:17
47:16 49:4,12	78:9 143:1	141:17 150:18	clearly 59:4
49:23 52:17	167:24	152:13	129:14 179:21
55:21,22 56:1	certainly 65:23	<b>circle</b> 25:16	clerical 137:10
56:9 58:16	76:5 78:23	105:22	clerk 4:3
59:18 60:12,22	127:2 139:25	circles 25:7	<b>client</b> 43:12
61:6,22 62:8	152:7	circuit 47:25	82:7 114:17
62:11 63:23	certificate	circumstance	167:24 183:4
64:23,24,24	189:1 190:1	48:25	clients 115:3
66:4 72:23	certify 189:3	circumstances	177:22
82:5,17 83:11	190:2	154:12 158:10	<b>close</b> 25:17
83:22 84:22	chakalian 1:15	167:23	40:6 41:2 83:3
85:24 86:6	chance 20:4	citation 153:16	146:7
92:9,19 93:1	46:15,16,19	<b>cited</b> 93:2	closed 21:4
95:15,18,21	62:7 86:15		23:3,5,12
. ,			, ,

## [closed - condition]

32:17 39:6	committed 92:4	comparable	complications
closer 60:2	92:10,21 132:6	155:4	21:16 23:21
<b>closing</b> 139:23	132:22 167:4	compare 64:22	complied 167:5
140:5	167:18 168:13	compared	<b>comply</b> 51:10
<b>co2</b> 11:12 34:22	168:13,15,19	64:25	51:22 52:1
34:23 35:1,2,5	168:20 173:2	comparison	169:5
35:8,14,17	<b>common</b> 82:14	126:3,4	components
<b>cog</b> 2:10 9:24	83:9 118:19	compelling	27:17,19,22
11:3 60:15	commonplace	65:8,10	28:8,13 30:7
coincidentally	82:3 86:4	competent	38:2,7,8,9
66:5	118:12 170:14	120:8	compressor
colorado 17:24	communicate	competing	28:19 29:14
column 25:6	25:18	42:16 43:24	comprised
<b>com</b> 87:11 89:6	communication	59:4 122:19	61:11
97:21	25:24 90:22	123:4 126:15	compulsory 7:4
<b>come</b> 16:18	97:12 99:10	183:5	7:7 66:9 154:8
49:17 50:23	101:9 107:6	compilation	154:11 166:22
83:7 84:12	108:5,16	104:6,12	computer
88:18 104:25	109:10 151:15	compiled 75:15	110:7
129:7 184:11	communicati	83:17 96:19	concept 35:13
185:10,15	7:16 99:11	99:19 104:11	concern 164:8
<b>coming</b> 84:17	101:4,8 103:20	complete 33:21	164:18 169:1
85:6 86:11	105:16 106:16	53:2 74:1 75:5	concerned
183:23	107:5,22	76:23 77:6,7	166:14 172:19
commence	141:22 143:4	78:15 94:17	concerns 35:2
52:20	152:6	136:23 165:10	164:6 172:7,11
commences	communicative	165:17 167:22	172:12
22:25 27:13,18	34:6	completed 59:1	conclude
comment 30:8	commute	completely	109:11
160:5	184:23	23:6	concluded
commission	company 71:8	completion	188:23
55:7 65:7	76:9 81:17,18	33:24 34:2	conclusion
126:14	85:20,20 99:13	95:23	182:24 183:11
commitment	118:5	compliance	condition 98:10
168:25 169:10		19:2	

## [conditionally - correct]

conditionally	consideration	59:18 61:24	118:22,22
98:18	54:1	81:20 155:21	129:1,16
<b>confer</b> 185:4	considerations	159:7,11	149:17 178:3
conference	23:15	160:12 186:12	contractual
63:24	considered	context 143:10	50:10 56:21
confident 95:8	37:13 151:1	144:24	58:9,18 149:21
configuration	179:11,15	contingency	contrary 65:19
44:25	considering 1:8	30:18 38:11,15	contral y 03.17
confine 154:22	23:17	continuance	controlled
180:18	considers	158:22 159:5	136:3
<b>confirm</b> 21:4	122:18	159:24 160:10	controlling
117:5 185:21	consistent 37:1	161:9 164:9,15	65:9
185:23	141:7,11 154:4	continue 13:18	conveyance
confirmation	158:13,13	32:7 40:4 41:5	167:2
27:10	constitutes	57:19 60:9	coordination
confused 105:4	152:4	78:17 86:13	107:22 108:11
105:8,10	consult 90:13	138:7 145:10	copied 107:23
106:21 166:18	90:18	158:2,4,19	108:7,10
confusing 57:6	consulted 90:9	159:10	copy 12:14,23
64:9	90:16	continued	13:2 38:22
confusion	cont'd 3:1 4:1	52:18 53:11	51:7,14 52:3
60:25 95:21	7:1 8:1	continues	54:15 73:18
105:5 121:8,9	<b>contact</b> 79:15	63:24	<b>corner</b> 33:7
121:16	185:20	continuing	corporation
conjecture	contacts 103:3	87:24 128:16	19:5 132:3
92:24	103:23 141:18	158:9,12,18	correct 18:13
conjunction	150:18 152:13	contract 50:4	21:7,11,18
108:9	<b>contain</b> 165:10	50:14 60:7,19	23:7,9,22
conocophillips	contemplate	60:23,25 61:2	24:20,21 25:2
19:1	127:12 128:12	65:14,23,24	25:3,13 30:12
conservation	contemplated	66:8,12,13,19	30:15 39:5
1:3,6	158:18 161:12	67:16 85:25	80:7,9,13,14
consider 49:9	<b>content</b> 106:16	105:6,12	81:3 82:12
123:13 126:14	contested 9:5	115:23,24	88:12,25 89:15
130:7	42:2,15 49:17	116:6,24	99:16 105:24

## [correct - date]

115:21 131:10	107:7,16 108:6	<b>county</b> 90:7	112:15 114:2
131:13 134:22	121:17 125:21	couple 18:25	115:14 136:11
141:13 157:21	131:9,12,18	89:2 96:24	140:2 142:23
170:3,23	135:4,8,16,24	100:19 169:17	152:21 165:6
179:13 182:1	136:3 143:25	172:14	cumulative
correlates	144:4,9,20	course 12:25	29:8
129:21	145:20 146:11	46:21 137:11	<b>cure</b> 52:18
correspond	147:5 148:19	162:6 171:8	<b>cured</b> 64:15
109:9	149:13 150:5	<b>court</b> 19:25	current 18:3
corresponden	153:4 158:19	46:8 185:16,17	19:12 22:12,16
106:18 111:2	158:21 161:8	courtesy 95:20	30:23,23
corresponden	161:19 162:9	courtroom	currently 30:11
105:15	162:20 163:4	102:17	30:14,19
corresponding	164:7,23	<b>cover</b> 41:3	116:24
104:5	165:15,20,21	87:12	cutting 89:8
<b>cost</b> 94:16	169:24 171:14	covered 110:1	148:3
<b>costs</b> 141:6,10	172:16 188:18	117:19 137:18	cvs 12:15
coterra 3:2	coterra's 46:25	credentials	<b>cx</b> 5:15
7:16 42:17	48:22 51:10	12:10 50:9	d
43:2,23 46:4	56:14,18 58:21	71:10	<b>d</b> 5:1 6:12 9:1
47:13,15 49:10	58:22 59:18	credibility	16:10,11 17:25
49:22 50:21	105:3,8,11	48:14 118:17	44:8 70:3
56:22,24 57:4	106:20 136:1	creek 132:4	126:2
57:7,8 58:13	148:15 172:24	criminal 74:21	<b>daniel</b> 4:13
58:16,17,24,25	could've	<b>critical</b> 169:6,7	6:14 12:12
59:10,20 60:22	157:16 162:15	crosby 4:16	15:2 16:9 22:6
62:7 64:2,15	181:17	7:18 44:6	25:11 33:18
64:16,18,19,21	counsel 92:25	67:12 68:14	data 33:19,23
64:23,24 65:6	189:10,13	69:15,15,20,23	34:5 36:24
67:2,2 88:12	190:7,10	183:25 184:4	37:1
88:25 89:15	countless 60:12	<b>crosby's</b> 113:19	date 1:13 38:18
91:13 92:12,13	60:18 83:11	<b>cross</b> 25:19	55:9 79:1,2,3,4
99:10,13 101:5	84:22 85:23	38:17 47:11	79:7,18,23,25
101:9,11	104:2 152:12	93:14 97:17	146:6,23
105:10,16,17		98:8 111:20	156:22,23
			150.22,25

## [date - diagram]

157:5,7,12,15	<b>dealt</b> 23:11	139:14	description 6:2
171:25	180:16	<b>define</b> 151:10	7:2 8:2
<b>dated</b> 6:6,9,11	<b>deana</b> 3:3 43:1	<b>defined</b> 151:3,6	designated
6:13,16,20,22	deana.bennett	167:12 168:19	61:10 66:10
7:6,9,11,19,21	3:7	168:21	designed 123:1
8:7 113:9	decide 92:17	definitely 39:21	123:1
146:25 174:14	121:19 168:22	80:25 123:15	despite 177:23
174:17 175:14	169:9	definition	detailed 35:19
177:2	decided 47:16	151:2	details 28:15
<b>dates</b> 17:11	decides 19:23	degree 17:14	154:25
107:7	declaration	delayed 53:11	determine
day 1:12 43:24	120:17 130:22	146:12	110:9 112:18
48:25 49:2	130:23 140:20	demonstrate	181:1
52:24,24,25	140:21,23	123:2	determined
53:1,4 54:12	141:5,15	demonstrated	167:24
54:13 64:15	143:24 145:20	21:24	determines
65:2,5 67:1	146:5 156:11	demonstrates	168:12,15
184:13 187:7	173:11	66:18 177:18	detroit 17:15
days 51:18,20	declarations	demonstrating	develop 136:2
52:8 53:8,10	121:7	124:7	147:11 148:7
53:18 55:1,6	dedicated	demonstration	159:22
114:22 144:13	44:25 55:24	35:12	developed
146:7 154:10	74:5	demonstrative	147:1
164:20	<b>deems</b> 123:14	122:20,22	development
deadline 40:6	default 59:20	123:23	18:1,6 31:9,16
41:2 113:6	<b>defer</b> 80:10	denied 93:3	32:6 43:19
<b>deal</b> 92:1 93:5	102:20 106:18	<b>deny</b> 138:19	77:23 78:24
102:13 112:21	126:9 127:7	department 1:2	82:13 132:8
116:19 137:25	166:8	depends 41:7	146:21 173:4
149:2,5,9,11,13	deficiencies	96:12 158:16	175:6 179:16
153:4 157:3,7	64:12,14	168:12	developments
157:12 160:6	138:24 139:19	depicted 134:7	177:15
169:10 177:8	deficiency	<b>depth</b> 154:15	devices 29:22
dealing 26:7	66:20,20	describe	diagram 28:20
50:20 118:25	138:11,13	151:10	29:13

## [different - due]

		I	I
different 19:5	172:7,10	125:5,6 135:25	114:11 126:21
32:17 50:14	discussing 11:8	150:15,20	<b>door</b> 185:10,15
65:3,21 78:12	discussion	152:6 154:3,6	downstream
142:4 153:12	38:10 56:23	154:13 155:3,9	29:4
160:9,14,20	151:19 162:16	155:24 160:3	<b>dr</b> 188:8
differently 78:6	162:23,25	160:12 167:12	<b>draft</b> 82:3,6,15
digital 189:8	163:4	167:22,22	83:21,22
190:3	discussions	168:1,12,15	drafted 11:4
dire 5:3,5,7,9	102:18 103:8	169:3 177:22	drafts 82:10
93:15 100:23	128:1,5 157:1	177:23	170:15
direct 71:1	157:9,18,22	division's 60:17	<b>drains</b> 29:24
72:18 77:22	159:10	66:20 67:3	<b>draw</b> 25:15
87:1 94:6 99:6	dismissed	138:11 151:1	drawing 51:19
107:6 112:13	47:14	155:20 168:10	77:3
113:1 164:3	<b>dispose</b> 107:11	<b>docket</b> 9:3,10	<b>drill</b> 57:12
directed 57:21	disputed 57:4	40:4,5 41:6,7	58:25 59:16,18
direction 75:15	disputing	42:14	74:1 115:25
83:18 96:20	125:23 131:14	document	128:13 134:1
99:19 104:19	131:17 135:4	40:15,18 73:4	134:19 136:2
directional	division 1:3,7	74:23,24 75:14	146:13,17
154:19	12:9 15:15	78:2,4,9,14,15	148:2 158:15
directly 23:11	16:1,14,25	84:6,7 98:4,10	164:7
101:3,8	17:8 18:15	98:12,13 99:9	drilled 59:22
<b>dired</b> 93:7	19:15 44:15	104:21,23	60:5,21 65:12
<b>diring</b> 78:17	49:9 55:7 56:1	106:1,3 107:18	88:6 127:14,22
108:21	60:13,14 65:7	112:7	128:10,17
disagree	66:11,24 69:7	document's	129:11,15,20
104:16 118:18	69:18 70:11	78:10 96:14	131:21 141:12
118:21 152:3	71:10 83:15	documents	drilling 17:21
discredit	84:22 85:25	73:24 82:22,24	17:21 94:16
121:23	92:3,8,17,19	82:25 83:21,22	130:10 141:8
discuss 158:4	109:17 112:18	85:6 95:17	drive 1:17
159:12 184:8,8	121:16,19	98:11	<b>due</b> 58:18
discussed 58:13	122:6,17,18	<b>doing</b> 11:8	103:23
159:4 164:11	123:2,3,13	33:24 67:18	

## [duly - entire]

<b>duly</b> 14:13,18	easily 176:20	34:23	engaged 56:21
14:23 15:3	east 74:4 87:14	elementary	57:5 59:8
68:10,15,20	87:16 88:20,21	18:21	60:19 86:1
189:5	89:9,9,9,10,10	elicit 103:7	111:3 150:6
<b>duties</b> 17:11	89:11,11,12,12	else's 148:22	170:6
<b>dx</b> 5:15	133:4	employed 71:5	engaging
e	easy 44:18	115:17,20	159:10
e 2:1,1 3:1,1 4:1	<b>ed</b> 31:19	117:11 118:8	engineer 6:8,15
4:1 5:1 6:1,14	eddington 7:20	119:18 120:13	7:20 12:6,12
7:1 8:1 9:1,1	edington 4:18	189:11,14	16:6 17:21,24
12:20 15:12,12	44:7,12,13	190:8,11	18:4,10 22:7
15:23,23,23	67:12 68:19	employee	25:12 44:7
16:10,11,22,22	70:2,2,6,8,12	189:13 190:10	45:22 66:1
40:10 44:8	70:16 183:25	employer 19:5	166:8
69:3,16 70:3	education	50:17	engineering
101:14,20	17:10,12 18:18	employment	13:21 16:4,16
102:22 103:2,3	18:21,24	121:2	16:18 17:15,23
106:7,10,14,17	117:25	ended 11:8	18:16 70:13,15
107:10,13,15	educational	113:19	126:9 127:9
107:24 108:2,8	12:16	<b>ends</b> 93:22	138:18
108:8,10,12,15	effective	95:19 103:24	engineers
112:20 115:3	138:15	<b>energy</b> 1:2 2:18	65:24 66:14
190:2,15	efficiently 59:5	3:2 7:17 42:14	118:23
earlier 20:19	<b>effort</b> 141:21	42:22 43:2	enhanced 6:5
63:3 82:5	143:4 158:18	44:1 56:8 66:6	10:21,25 37:10
115:17 131:2	efforts 148:6	81:10,11,14	37:13
153:23 161:17	<b>eight</b> 60:1	99:11,13	enlighten 151:7
171:22 185:22	171:21 185:2	131:13,24	<b>ensure</b> 86:15
early 33:19	<b>either</b> 23:24	132:20 133:10	<b>enter</b> 163:21,23
78:25,25	75:14 83:13	169:25 171:15	168:24
109:16 185:1	98:11 107:7	174:18 176:13	entered 10:8
ease 11:24	147:17 148:11	<b>energy's</b> 80:7,9	86:2 122:6,17
easier 40:17,17	167:2 168:23	80:13	177:1 178:12
107:11	elaborate 25:20	engage 59:10	entire 55:5
	27:18 31:10	60:23	

## [entirely - examiner]

entirely 152:4	evaluating	169:22 171:12	40:22,25 41:1
154:4	126:15	179:8	41:12,15,18,19
entries 42:18	evd 6:2 7:2 8:2	examine 114:2	41:25 42:2,4,6
entry 9:17	evening 188:20	examined	42:6,8,10,11,21
10:15 11:4	event 11:17	14:15,20,25	42:23 43:4,7,9
eog 10:2 11:3	28:10	15:5 68:12,17	43:15,21 44:4
eog's 10:6	evidence 14:2	68:22	44:10,13,17,21
eor 22:3,24	49:22 58:1,3,5	examiner 1:15	45:1,8,14,18
23:19 27:12	58:24 61:3,5	4:4,5 5:23 9:2	46:7,12,22
29:14 35:10	65:17 67:18,21	9:9,12,14,15,19	47:24 48:2,8
equipment	78:4 83:7	10:1,5,16,19	48:12,16,19,21
27:1 28:12	84:10,12 93:19	13:16,20,24	49:9,18 50:18
<b>error</b> 137:10	98:4,24 102:16	14:7 15:6,13	51:4,9,16 52:4
143:11	109:5 112:12	15:17,20,24	52:5,10,11,14
<b>errors</b> 82:9,15	113:13 149:24	16:3,5,12,17,23	52:22 53:4,7
95:13,17 96:10	169:12 179:21	17:2,5,17 18:8	53:13,19,21
96:13,13	evidenced	18:11,14 19:14	54:4,17,18,23
<b>es</b> 189:4	167:1	19:18 20:8,10	55:4,10,20
especially 95:9	exact 79:1,2,3,4	20:15,22 21:1	56:4,7,7 57:14
155:21 164:21	79:18,23,25	21:9,10,14,20	57:17,22 58:4
<b>esquire</b> 2:3,11	85:24 157:5,7	22:11,20 23:10	58:20 60:13
2:19 3:3,10,18	157:12,15	24:6,14,23	61:1,13,23
establish 95:6	exactly 38:2	25:4,14 26:4	62:9,12,13,15
established	121:4 143:6	26:23,25 27:4	62:17,20 63:7
165:9	examination	27:25 28:4,18	63:15,18,22
<b>estate</b> 166:25	47:11 71:1	29:6,11,17	67:5,7,13,17
167:13 170:21	76:19 87:1	30:10,16 31:2	68:2,23 69:1,5
estimate 22:12	90:1 93:14	32:10,14 33:4	69:9,12,17,21
estimated	94:6 97:1,17	34:8,13 35:4,9	69:24 70:4,7
22:19	98:8 99:6	35:22,23 36:11	70:10,14,17,23
estimates 36:21	101:1 111:20	36:15 37:3,15	71:23 72:5,10
evaluate	112:15 113:1	37:17,19 38:24	72:15,17,19,21
164:20 172:24	115:14 136:11	39:5,18,21,23	72:24,25 73:6
evaluates 123:4	142:24 152:21	39:24,25 40:12	73:9,12 74:11
	164:3 165:6	40:16,19,20,21	74:17,18,19

## [examiner - exhibit]

75:20 76:2,7	122:14,23	166:12 167:7	exchanges
76:10,16 77:15	123:6,9,17,21	167:15,20	11:10
78:5 82:1,20	123:23 124:2,9	168:19 169:1	exclusion 53:3
83:23,25 84:1	124:16 125:1,9	169:20 171:3,8	excuse 78:2
84:4 85:1,5,13	126:16 129:22	171:10 174:1,5	129:12
85:16 86:8,17	130:1,8,15	174:22 175:1,7	<b>exhibit</b> 5:3,5,7
86:21 89:20,23	134:23 135:12	175:11,16,19	5:9 6:4,7,10,12
92:23 93:4,12	135:18,21,23	176:1,7 177:24	6:14,17,21 7:4
93:16 94:1,2,5	136:4,7,9,13	178:2,4,9,18,22	7:7,10,12,13,14
94:21 95:3	137:3,7,16,20	178:23,24	7:16,18,20 8:4
96:1,22 98:6,9	139:6,10,15,20	179:4,7,18,24	8:8 10:23
98:15,21 99:1	139:22 140:1,8	180:2,12,21,24	12:20,20 13:11
99:24 100:3,6	140:12,15	181:5,8,23	13:11 20:11,13
100:16,20	142:5,8,14,17	182:2,5,10,15	21:21 41:5
101:17,21	142:20,23,25	182:16,23	44:8,8 45:12
102:3,5,6,10,15	143:15,19	183:3,9,16	45:15,16 61:16
102:23 103:1,5	144:25 145:3,8	184:2,5,18,20	61:16 62:19,23
103:9,13,16,17	145:9 146:8	184:25 185:7	63:11 71:22
104:15 105:20	149:15,23	185:14,24	72:4,18,20,22
105:23 106:8	150:7,11,12,21	186:5,6,11,20	73:1,5,7,16,23
106:12,22	151:3,5,7,12,16	186:24 187:4,8	74:8,10,12,12
107:20 108:20	151:21 152:2	187:11,14,17	80:1,1 84:20
109:2,7,21,25	152:10,16,23	187:23,25	87:3,4,5,6,8
110:11,19,22	153:1,6,13,15	188:4,9,17	88:9,22 89:13
110:25 111:5,7	153:19,24	examiners	89:19 90:3,14
111:14,18,23	154:1,21	11:22 23:13	90:17 92:20
112:5,9,21	155:10,14,18	examining	93:6,11,18
113:8,11,21,23	155:25 156:4,7	140:2	94:8,9,11 95:1
114:3,4,10,15	158:23,25	example 60:13	95:4,5 96:16
114:23 115:2,6	159:2,3,13,17	examples 60:18	96:19 97:18,23
115:10 117:1,3	160:4,17,22	86:7,9	98:23 99:2,4
117:7,22 118:1	161:24 162:3,6	excellent 69:9	99:18,23,25
118:15,17	163:7,13,18,21	94:3	102:1 103:3,19
119:3,7,11	164:1,25 165:1	exchange	109:1,4,19
121:5,18	165:4,7 166:10	114:17	110:6,8,15

## [exhibit - familiar]

112:17 113:16	expectations	<b>expire</b> 131:22	factor 59:3
120:1,3 123:16	37:11	expiring 81:16	65:9 125:19
133:17 134:25	expecting 22:1	91:24 131:17	126:2 128:8
167:8	experience	explain 73:24	135:2 152:18
exhibits 12:19	12:16 17:10	78:7 88:1 89:4	factors 59:4
14:2 30:13	18:18,23 19:1	94:9	65:8,11 67:4
32:1 45:10,11	104:10,13	explains 44:24	122:18,21
45:25 46:2,6	117:25 121:3	exploration	123:4,8,19
46:15,17,20,24	170:9	132:1	124:7,11,12,14
47:1,2,6,15,19	experienced	export 19:2	124:18,23,24
47:19 48:3,4,5	57:9	extensive 59:9	126:2,12,14,19
49:4,8,21	<b>expert</b> 4:7,9,11	extensively	135:10 155:7
50:21 52:6	4:13,15,16,18	58:13	183:5
53:2,2 54:7,8	15:14,25 16:13	<b>extent</b> 155:22	<b>facts</b> 78:12
54:11 55:13,14	16:24 18:15	extenuating	184:11
62:13,18 63:9	50:7,9,12 69:7	154:12	factual 64:12
64:11 65:15	69:19 70:8	extremely 62:6	<b>failed</b> 59:10
71:21 72:2,4	71:20 83:16	$\mathbf{f}$	<b>fairly</b> 170:2
72:12,16 82:12	84:6 96:6,8	<b>f</b> 6:17 13:11	<b>faith</b> 56:22
82:18 83:1,17	104:11 117:18	125:19	141:21 143:4
84:23 85:6,19	117:20 121:2	<b>faced</b> 121:17	150:5,6,14
86:10,16 88:14	121:20,25	facilities 29:5	151:2,10,25
90:10,14,14	expertise	126:7,8 127:4	152:4 153:9,16
91:4 92:1,14	183:12	127:6,8	154:23 155:8
94:20,25 95:15	experts 12:5,5	<b>fact</b> 23:17 49:9	155:17 158:18
96:4,8 100:9,9	12:17 13:7,14	50:14 60:10	170:6
109:12,23	19:22 36:7	64:13 65:5	<b>fall</b> 146:19,22
110:3,13 113:5	44:15 50:5	66:4,8,25	147:6 149:9
115:8 123:24	72:1	71:25 105:3	171:23
136:23 150:19	expiration	118:18 121:11	<b>fallen</b> 149:14
152:12 165:10	156:19 159:23	121:21 123:9	153:4
173:10 184:3	expirations	124:13 128:10	<b>familiar</b> 62:10
existing 38:14	59:17,22,25	136:2 176:16	62:17 71:12,15
164:22 172:16	60:2,5 156:15	182:25	104:19,20
	161:11		138:10 139:5

## [familiar - folks]

120 14 140 2	15 1 10 16	011 45 22	52.25.52.1.24
139:14 140:3	17:1 19:16	<b>filing</b> 45:23	52:25 53:1,24
familiarity	21:5 22:4	48:23 49:8	56:11 59:3
106:13	30:12,13,19,24	51:13,17	68:10,15,20
fantastic 13:25	36:24 37:1,5,9	110:13 113:5,6	70:20,22 72:18
35:11 42:12	69:10,21	154:10	72:20 86:7
<b>far</b> 11:6 45:4	<b>fields</b> 36:20	<b>filings</b> 63:3	103:2 105:4,11
46:18 114:20	37:4,12	<b>final</b> 32:15 89:2	107:12 109:10
183:4	<b>figure</b> 127:24	89:13 170:11	118:18 120:6
<b>farm</b> 168:23	144:15 160:13	170:15 175:3	132:11 147:2
fashion 164:7	<b>file</b> 20:16 40:9	finalize 157:3	162:8,12,17,19
<b>fast</b> 75:21	40:14 47:3,7	finalized 157:7	163:4 167:8
fastest 81:21	47:20 62:23	157:13,20	174:10,10
<b>fatal</b> 96:13	63:1 83:2,4	<b>finally</b> 170:18	179:25 184:1
<b>fault</b> 33:8,10,14	95:15 110:2,3	financially	<b>firsthand</b> 104:9
33:17 34:6	112:20 113:6	189:15 190:11	104:10
<b>favor</b> 65:11	148:14,14,21	<b>find</b> 76:11	<b>five</b> 26:14
124:8 133:10	170:15	78:12 109:22	29:21 41:21
<b>fcv</b> 29:14	<b>filed</b> 11:1,3	113:12 153:17	56:5,13 61:14
<b>fe</b> 1:19 2:6,14	12:2 40:9,14	<b>fine</b> 40:1,18,23	61:18 63:13
3:21 9:21	42:16 43:23	84:14 102:10	145:4 187:1
february 11:2	45:12,15,15	111:8 123:21	188:3
13:3,5 51:25	46:15,18 47:1	123:24 124:17	<b>flare</b> 39:7,7
56:12 64:1	47:2,6,9,21	150:8 178:2	<b>flood</b> 23:19,19
79:10,14,16,21	49:4,14,16,20	184:5	flooding 35:5
94:14,14,18	51:18 52:7,23	<b>finish</b> 51:5	35:14,17
97:4,11,15	52:25 54:7,8	61:20 63:16	<b>flow</b> 28:21,22
146:25 147:4,8	54:25 55:2	140:13	28:25,25 29:7
147:11	56:10,12 62:14	finished 18:22	29:14,14 37:10
<b>feel</b> 35:25	62:19 71:12	54:5 113:1	<b>floyd</b> 190:2,15
feeling 95:8	73:4 82:9,18	114:1	<b>fluids</b> 21:5,16
<b>fell</b> 149:12	97:16 109:12	<b>firm</b> 43:3	<b>focus</b> 141:9
fernandez	112:25 129:8	<b>first</b> 9:10 14:1	focused 17:25
31:19	148:1 150:19	14:13,18,23	18:5 156:11
<b>field</b> 15:14,18	158:1 164:9	15:3 20:11	<b>folks</b> 44:23
16:3,12,15,23	167:3 170:11	27:15 42:18	132:17 167:16

# [follow - give]

<b>follow</b> 26:20	forth 105:16	full 47:8 75:5	gatekeeper
30:8 32:2 36:4	<b>forum</b> 149:25	76:23 77:6	84:12
73:3 76:14	155:5	105:22 134:20	gavin 4:18 7:20
151:15 152:7	forward 81:18	174:10	44:6,12 67:12
157:2 162:5	160:1	<b>fully</b> 122:10	68:19 70:2
165:5 166:2	forwarded 32:1	175:5	generalities
<b>follows</b> 14:15	<b>found</b> 31:4	further 39:20	153:9
14:20,25 15:5	47:21 99:2	41:9,17 93:14	generally 34:2
68:12,17,22	138:21	112:13,13	36:25 155:5
154:9	foundation	121:8 122:13	<b>geologist</b> 6:12
footage 155:2	50:25 63:11	155:20 163:16	7:18 12:7
footages 154:18	74:20,22 78:3	166:11 178:23	13:22 44:6
fordyce 4:4	103:19 137:25	188:13 189:12	45:22 66:1
5:23 42:5,6,10	139:9,10 140:4	190:9	geologists
62:10,12,17	163:6	future 31:4	65:24 66:14
72:11,14,15	foundational	35:5,15,17	118:22
165:3,4,7	78:2	38:14	geology 15:19
166:10,13	<b>four</b> 14:9 17:24	g	69:23,24
169:8,13	19:22 29:21		<b>george</b> 173:24
178:20,22	43:24 51:18	<b>g</b> 6:21 9:1	getting 32:16
180:8,14 184:7	52:8 53:10,17	13:11 70:3,3	45:10 105:3
foregoing	55:1,6 58:15	126:2	118:13 129:5
189:3,4 190:4	62:11 72:23	gas 11:16 18:23	148:2 151:19
<b>forgot</b> 111:16	99:15 135:10	21:23 22:25	158:14 175:13
<b>fork</b> 131:25	155:6	23:3,5,12,19	187:21
<b>form</b> 75:5,11	fracking 34:9	24:3,4,9,11,11	<b>give</b> 10:19
95:23 142:11	fracture 25:10	24:13 25:24	17:10 20:1,2,4
142:16,17,17	francis 1:17	26:9 27:1	22:15 39:13
143:9 145:2	franklin 91:22	28:11 29:2,3,7	40:5 45:3
170:10	169:25 171:15	29:7 32:16,18	53:25 54:1
formation 61:9	171:17	32:19,19 34:4	55:21 56:4
74:2,6 154:15	free 30:12	37:21 50:2,15	62:7 83:2,3
former 137:21	freya 4:3 42:1	57:11 86:5	86:6 102:11
forms 7:12 82:4	front 54:24	gasket 26:16,18	114:8 119:14
82:15	112:24	27:5,8 28:19	121:19,25

## [give - hand]

		T.	
123:13 160:14	<b>goes</b> 28:21	156:1 160:11	great 42:8
166:23 183:1	88:23 103:15	160:18 163:5	greenlit 175:5
<b>given</b> 48:23	103:18 106:13	163:14 165:24	gregory 1:15
49:10 63:2	118:16 124:20	173:21 174:19	<b>gritty</b> 153:7
103:23 165:19	128:25 150:4	184:1,9,10	guadalupe 2:5
177:18	154:23 155:6	185:16,18,25	guess 27:5 29:1
<b>gives</b> 138:19	<b>going</b> 10:12,19	186:8 187:15	29:20 30:3,11
160:19	19:20,21 20:13	188:2,11	31:10 35:4,9
<b>giving</b> 104:22	24:3,9,10,12,12	<b>gong</b> 19:19	38:7 39:6
<b>go</b> 10:17 11:10	28:10 38:2,7	<b>good</b> 9:20,23	79:23,24 124:6
12:15,22 20:23	42:13,18 43:25	15:7 17:3 20:8	126:8 127:7
21:21 22:22	46:24 47:22	20:22 21:9,10	138:12 158:16
28:7 29:19	50:24 51:12	21:20 27:5	179:14
31:7 33:5	55:15 58:6,12	32:14 37:15	guessing 40:10
34:17 36:8,15	59:23 60:7,10	42:20,25 43:9	guidance 76:5
39:7 51:5 56:5	61:25 63:1	56:22 98:21	173:19
60:17 62:1,22	66:18 67:21	114:25 141:21	<b>guns</b> 132:1
70:25 73:9	72:12,22 74:20	143:3 150:4,6	h
74:12,25 81:15	77:25 78:11	150:14 151:2	<b>h</b> 6:1 7:1 8:1
81:18 89:23	81:5,9,11,20	151:10,25	15:12,23 69:16
94:3,5 100:23	84:24 85:8,24	152:4 153:9,13	<b>h2s</b> 30:12,13,18
105:13 110:4	86:14 87:12	153:16 154:23	30:20,23 31:3
113:15,24	88:19 96:11,16	155:8,17	31:5 38:11,14
117:25 118:3	100:7 103:21	158:18 160:23	38:17,17
124:3,4,19	103:22 104:18	164:1 170:6	half 61:12
126:22 127:8	104:21 105:1	185:12 188:19	87:12,13,13,13
130:5 136:13	110:8 112:1,2	gotten 11:6	87:15 88:6
137:6 148:18	112:7 117:22	135:8 183:24	89:9,9,10,10,11
150:24 152:17	118:10 119:14	<b>governs</b> 155:17	89:12 133:4,4
156:4 159:11	119:25 120:18	graduated	134:1,1,3,3
161:10 164:1	121:4 122:20	17:20	156:18 164:23
169:20,21	125:25 128:15	granted 56:10	hall 1:16
171:10 179:7	138:5 145:24	59:21 81:13	hand 14:10
184:3	146:9 149:16	123:3	35:21 61:6
	149:17 153:12		120:22 187:13
			120.22 107.13

## [hands - hearing]

		1.	
<b>hands</b> 68:7	28:4,18 29:6	16:12,17,23	63:7,15,18,25
<b>happen</b> 95:13	29:11,17 30:10	17:2,5,17 18:8	67:5,7,13,17
95:22 161:14	30:16 31:2	18:11,14 19:14	68:2,5,23 69:1
happened	32:10,14 33:4	19:18 20:10	69:5,9,12,17,21
149:9 163:1	34:8,13 35:4,9	35:23 37:17	69:24 70:4,7
171:18	35:24 36:5,10	38:24 39:18,23	70:10,14,17,23
happening	36:11,15 37:3	39:25 40:4,11	71:23 72:5,10
59:25 60:12	37:15,17,19	40:12,19,22	72:17,19,21,24
66:15 86:4	39:5,18,21,24	41:1,12,15,19	72:25 73:6,9
162:16	40:16,20,23,25	41:25 42:4,8	73:12 74:11,17
happens 59:17	41:17,18	42:11,16,20,23	74:18,19 75:20
132:15	<b>hart</b> 2:4 9:21	43:4,9,15,21	76:2,7,10,16
<b>happy</b> 45:4	<b>hate</b> 188:10	44:3,10,13,17	77:15 78:5
82:11 122:21	<b>head</b> 27:22	44:21 45:1,8	81:21 82:1,19
137:10,14	178:19	45:14,18 46:7	82:20 83:3,23
139:12 152:9	heads 27:22,23	46:12,22 47:24	83:25 84:1,4
155:8 173:22	<b>hear</b> 41:22	48:2,8,12,16,19	84:11,21 85:1
184:19	55:15 68:24	48:21,25 49:2	85:5,13,16,19
<b>hard</b> 33:7 37:9	84:16 146:9	49:17 50:18	86:8,17,18,21
46:25 47:13	166:14 185:1	51:3,4,7,9,11	89:20,23 92:22
73:18	<b>heard</b> 43:25	51:16,20 52:4	93:4,12,16,22
<b>hardy</b> 2:12	49:4 52:16	52:5,9,9,11,14	93:23,25 94:1
9:24	68:23 75:21	52:19,20,22	94:2,5,21 95:3
hardymclean	114:16,16	53:4,7,10,11,13	95:13,19,25
2:15	119:13 144:14	53:19,21 54:4	96:1,22 98:6,9
<b>harris</b> 4:5 9:12	145:25 162:18	54:12,13,17,18	98:15,21,25
9:13,14 12:23	164:5 188:2	54:23 55:1,4,7	99:24 100:3,6
13:6,18,20	hearing 1:5,12	55:9,10,19	100:16,20
19:22 20:7,8	1:15 9:2,4,5,9	56:4,6,8,24	101:17,21
20:11,15,22	9:11,15,19	57:3,14,17,22	102:3,5,6,10,15
21:1,9,10,14,20	10:1,5,16,18	58:4,20,23	102:23 103:5,9
22:11,20 23:10	12:3,25 13:16	59:2,9 60:13	103:13,16,17
24:6,14,23	13:24 14:7	61:1,13,23,24	104:8,15
25:4,14 26:4	15:6,13,17,20	61:25 62:9,12	105:20,23
26:23 27:4,25	15:24 16:3,5	62:15,20 63:5	106:8,12,22

## [hearing - identification]

107:20 108:20	150:7,11,12,21	184:20,25	hole 27:1,2
109:2,6,21,25	151:3,5,7,12,16	185:7,14,24	holland 2:4
110:2,5,11,19	151:21 152:2	186:1,5,6,11,20	9:21
110:22,25	152:10,16,23	186:24 187:4,8	hollandhart.c
111:5,7,14,18	153:1,6,8,13,15	187:11,14,17	2:7
111:23 112:5,9	153:19,24	187:23,25	hollywood 82:5
112:21 113:8	154:1,21	188:4,9,17	home 116:22
113:11,21,23	155:10,14,18	hearing's 146:3	hook 128:25
114:3,4,10,15	155:25 156:4,7	165:24	hopefully 20:21
114:23 115:2,6	158:7,8,9,11,12	hearings 47:16	20:25 96:3
115:10 117:1,7	158:19,23,25	50:3 82:3	<b>hoping</b> 40:10
117:22 118:1	159:1,3,7,7,11	155:22 170:10	187:2
118:15,17	159:13,17	<b>held</b> 65:7	horizontal
119:3,7,11	160:4,12,17,22	166:19	80:18,20,24
121:5,18	161:10,13,14	<b>help</b> 98:17	<b>hour</b> 185:21
122:10,12,14	161:24 162:3,6	130:16	<b>hours</b> 47:8
122:23 123:6,9	163:7,13,18,21	<b>hereto</b> 189:14	houston 18:3
123:17,21,23	164:1,17 165:1	190:11	hovering 144:3
124:2,9,16	166:12 167:7	<b>high</b> 28:11	<b>huff</b> 21:23
125:1,9 126:16	167:15,20,25	<b>higher</b> 18:23	<b>huge</b> 92:1
129:22 130:1,8	168:19,24	34:3	<b>huh</b> 40:12
130:15 134:23	169:1,2,20	highlighted	123:6 124:9
135:12,18,21	171:3,8,10	120:7 122:7	140:11 175:7
136:4,7,9,13	174:1,5,22	highlighting	hundred 26:21
137:3,7,16,20	175:1,7,11,16	87:22	134:13 148:20
138:23 139:6	175:19 176:1,7	<b>hirsch</b> 3:10	hydrocarbons
139:10,15,20	177:24 178:2,4	43:3	59:5
139:22 140:1,8	178:9,18,23,24	<b>history</b> 37:5,8	i
140:12,15	179:4,7,18,24	<b>hit</b> 116:22	idea 51:17
142:5,8,14,17	180:2,12,21,24	<b>hold</b> 73:2 84:1	114:25 169:10
142:20,23,25	181:5,8,18,23	110:11,22	identical 23:25
143:15,19	182:2,4,10,15	151:25	23:25
144:18,25	182:16,23	holding 169:2	identification
145:3,7,9	183:3,9,16	holdings	10:24 12:21
149:15,22,23	184:2,5,12,18	114:25 131:25	13:12 44:9
			13.14 44.7

## [identification - interest]

61:17 73:8	inch 28:21	industry 50:2	installed 26:9
87:9 94:12	incident 95:9	50:16 57:9,10	26:16 29:15
99:5 120:4	include 12:14	86:5 118:13	integrity 26:13
identified 66:7	47:6 56:2 92:4	155:6	26:15 37:23
identifies	92:10 133:7	infirmities	intend 48:10,13
141:17	167:12	66:22	66:22 159:22
identify 30:7	included 12:3	<b>informal</b> 31:18	<b>intended</b> 154:5
65:15 108:2	13:1 45:20,22	information	154:18,19
120:12,16	99:14 109:7	32:8 33:13,15	intends 138:19
166:5	110:14 111:10	39:19 56:2	154:13
identifying	124:23,25	71:20 82:12	<b>intent</b> 158:14
154:14	132:19 133:3	104:7 121:15	interest 23:25
<b>ii</b> 21:3	155:1,7	134:24 175:17	26:1 56:15
illustrative	includes 45:16	188:12	59:11,13 64:16
123:19	45:19 55:22	<b>initial</b> 22:8,9	64:19,20,21,25
<b>image</b> 33:6	including 47:3	31:22,23 55:23	65:6,9 67:2
34:17	155:2	initially 104:5	81:16 87:22
imaging 72:13	inconsistent	injectables	88:11 91:6,11
immediately	175:18	21:12	91:17 92:4,21
57:12	incorporates	injectants	114:18 116:4,7
<b>impacts</b> 159:25	35:14	11:13	116:10,24
impermissible	incorrect	injected 21:4	125:22 131:9
150:25	136:21 137:13	24:3	131:13,15,16
important	incorrectly	injecting 24:9	131:18,20,24
56:20 62:6	80:24	injection 11:16	131:25 132:1,1
135:25	increase 21:24	22:24 23:19	132:2,3,20,23
importantly	34:1	24:12 25:24	133:6 134:6,9
59:15 143:25	incredible	26:13 27:12,17	134:11,17,20
imposed 32:18	48:23 49:10	29:7,15,24	135:3,6,9,17,24
impression	incurred 141:7	30:25 34:4	136:1 137:6
145:24	141:11	inquire 155:20	148:20 152:14
inadmissible	indicated 47:5	<b>insist</b> 100:7	164:21 165:11
50:11 56:25	indicates	install 27:7	165:17 166:24
inappropriate	165:14	28:8	167:4,10,13
83:19			168:23,24

# [interest - jolly]

170:20,21	<b>invite</b> 160:5	issues 23:14,15	170:1 171:16
172:15 179:11	involved 21:15	24:5 32:4	171:18,19
181:4,17 182:6	55:23 60:14	48:15 49:8	jeopardy 78:10
182:7,19	66:5 77:23	52:18 60:6	<b>jim</b> 3:18 43:7
interested	78:24 79:13,18	63:2 95:22	jmclean 2:15
189:15 190:12	79:20 86:6	169:18	<b>joa</b> 43:19 132:6
interests 58:19	101:7,13	it'll 188:13	132:7 133:10
61:9 116:1	102:16 104:3,4	item 21:2 24:17	168:14,21,24
157:23 167:18	105:6,14 107:5	38:19	173:6,9,13
172:20	107:15 108:15	items 28:5	175:10 176:16
interpretation	111:4 126:13	j	176:17 177:4,6
25:20 152:4	131:15	j 15:23 17:25	179:22
interpreted	involvement	69:4	<b>job</b> 1:21 17:11
150:15,16	43:18 58:14	<b>jackie</b> 9:23	17:11 84:11
interrupt	78:13 103:24	jaclyn 2:11	86:22 126:19
102:20	105:18 106:2	james 3:19	<b>joinder</b> 155:23
interrupted	106:21 108:22	janacek 4:7 6:7	<b>jolly</b> 4:15 5:16
61:19 63:17	114:21	12:6,8 14:8,12	7:10 44:5
interrupting	involves 154:25	15:21,22,23	67:11 68:9,25
54:6	<b>iron</b> 180:9,13	16:2,4 21:8,8	69:2,2,3,4,8,11
interval 22:5	<b>ironed</b> 181:21	21:11,18 23:8	70:21,23 71:3
22:17 34:10	irrelevant	23:8,22,23	73:15 74:15
intervals 22:13	104:17 118:11	24:8,21,22	75:4,24 76:21
37:23	isolated 27:6	25:3 26:19,19	77:16 78:19
<b>intro</b> 10:12	issue 26:2 50:8	26:24 27:20,20	80:21 85:12
55:20	50:10,25 57:6	28:2,17,24,24	87:3 90:3 94:9
introduce	59:23 60:3	29:9,12 30:6	96:18 97:3
44:22 57:25	95:17 106:20	30:15,21,21	99:8 100:13
58:2	110:1 137:18	33:1,1 34:11	101:3,8 103:19
introducing	149:19 155:12	34:25,25 35:7	105:14 107:4
55:22,22	160:11 176:23	36:7 39:12,15	109:17 112:14
investigation	180:8,9,13	39:15	115:16 116:23
35:20	184:9,10,11	<b>january</b> 99:12	117:12,17
investment	issued 51:1	106:6 107:13	121:1,10 122:5
132:3	60:4 79:9	107:24 146:22	124:11 125:18
		10.12.11.0.22	

## [jolly - lands]

		T.	
126:22 138:10	132:10	116:12 117:24	123:24
140:19 143:6	kaitlyn.luck	120:21 121:21	1
143:11 145:12	2:22	125:6 128:20	<b>l</b> 16:10,11,22
156:10 160:25	<b>keep</b> 53:23 61:1	128:22,23	16:22,22,22
164:5 165:8	108:22 122:1	138:3 144:3	69:3,4,4,16
169:24 171:14	150:10 152:20	146:3,7,8	labeled 33:7
179:10 180:8	153:9 183:21	147:10,13,16	109:22 110:13
182:17 183:18	<b>kelton</b> 90:21,24	147:18,22,23	labels 24:18
<b>jolly's</b> 86:16	90:24 108:15	147:24 148:1,6	lack 121:14
104:13 117:6	<b>kept</b> 88:4	148:8,10 151:4	lamkin 31:19
121:20 122:25	<b>kick</b> 161:14	153:9 155:11	land 7:13 17:1
123:7 159:21	<b>kind</b> 10:13	155:12 157:6	17:2 18:17,25
<b>josh</b> 43:2	31:20 33:7	157:12,14,15	19:3,4,11,12,16
joshua 3:10	34:7 135:1	157:20 158:1	31:12,20 38:21
joshua.hirsch	144:23 168:21	160:13 162:8	44:5 45:17,17
3:14	187:8	162:16,17,23	69:11 71:21
<b>judge</b> 121:20	knew 92:2	163:3 165:23	104:3,3 114:25
judgment	155:13,15	169:24 173:5	128:1,5 147:14
96:14	know 10:2,5	173:12,14,17	165:15,19
judicial 100:4	19:24,25 20:12	184:22,25	landed 132:16
<b>july</b> 49:13	20:12,24 23:12	185:11,22	landman 6:10
59:17 64:6	23:14 26:13	187:3	7:10 12:11
99:12 117:15	28:7,8,10 30:3	knowing	19:7 45:21
118:5 144:12	30:22 32:17,17	160:19 185:19	71:7,10 90:9
145:23 156:20	33:25 37:12	knowledge	90:11,13,18
168:9 174:14	42:1 43:18	120:7 140:16	104:6,13 105:4
174:17 175:14	46:18,24 51:19	146:20 147:8	117:21 120:11
176:18 177:2	64:7 74:3,24	147:15,17	120:16
<b>june</b> 64:5	79:21,25 80:4	162:9 178:14	landman's
138:15 157:8	80:14 93:22	189:9 190:6	90:20 105:11
157:14	95:16 97:15	<b>known</b> 57:8	lands 55:22
k	98:17 101:23	105:17 161:20	71:16 79:16
<b>k</b> 15:23	101:24 105:12	167:2	101:10 114:18
	107:23 108:7	<b>knows</b> 57:10	148:3 166:25
<b>kaitlyn</b> 2:19 8:5 42:21 73:17	108:10 114:20	64:6 101:22	167:14 170:22
42.21 /3.1/			107.14 170.22

# [lapsed - looked]

langed 40:15	<b>left</b> 57:3	168:7 170:19	<b>little</b> 31:10 45:2
lapsed 49:15			
large 19:10	legal 66:21	170:19 173:15	46:8,12 75:21
lastly 11:16	67:22 182:24	173:17	109:10 185:6
late 48:23 49:8	183:4,6,11	level 28:11	188:18
49:20 51:13,17	length 88:7	<b>lift</b> 27:1 37:21	live 152:8
51:22,25 54:13	<b>leslie</b> 4:11 6:10	likewise 48:24	160:18
109:15 164:16	12:11 14:22	limitations	<b>llc</b> 2:12 131:24
lateral 89:8	16:21 31:14	11:15	llp 2:4
<b>latest</b> 20:17	32:23	limited 93:15	locatable
latitude 104:22	<b>letter</b> 7:14 13:2	131:25 151:24	154:13
<b>law</b> 4:3 74:21	41:3 94:13	limits 155:22	locate 126:5
125:5	97:3,6,10,14	lincoln 2:13	141:21 143:4
<b>lay</b> 104:10	111:10 112:10	<b>line</b> 12:17	located 24:10
124:18	113:17 132:9	102:9 116:21	location 1:16
laying 78:2,3	132:24 133:13	118:13 119:15	74:3 88:4,4
<b>lead</b> 121:8	150:17 151:14	120:6 129:4	89:7 154:15,18
leading 142:22	152:7 154:14	139:4 150:9	154:20
142:24	154:25 155:1	158:24 174:24	locations
<b>learn</b> 80:12	164:16,19	175:3,13	147:14 155:2
lease 59:16,22	168:16 169:11	179:19 182:17	166:5,6
59:25 60:1,5	172:13 173:12	<b>lines</b> 27:9 30:17	<b>long</b> 39:11 50:2
91:24 131:17	173:22,24	154:18 183:6	57:11 117:11
156:15,22,23	174:25 179:12	<b>list</b> 11:12 37:18	157:6,9,18
161:11	179:23 180:23	37:20 60:18	158:16 184:13
leases 81:16,19	181:20	107:4 108:3	186:3
81:21 131:22	<b>letters</b> 56:15,16	125:19 132:21	<b>longer</b> 63:19
156:17,17	59:12 109:8,14	132:22,25	look 24:18 28:3
159:23	109:18,19	165:10,13,17	31:8 33:6 72:6
leash 119:15	110:12,16,17	listed 23:4	72:12 80:16,25
<b>leave</b> 92:16	110:21,23	103:3 107:14	84:14 107:12
95:8 121:9	111:11,21	133:1 165:22	133:20 146:23
138:5 186:2	112:11,17,22	listening 53:24	162:24 163:11
leaves 40:3	113:4,12,19	187:7	166:17
leeway 48:23	132:8 147:1	<b>listing</b> 38:6,8	looked 33:22
49:10	167:9,11 168:6	,	

## [looking - ma'am]

54.21 55.2 4	100.05 110.14	156015033
54:21 55:3,4	109:25 110:14	156:8 158:23
55:17,19 56:6	110:20,23,25	159:1,4,16
57:16,19,24	111:6,8,21	160:2,4,5,23
58:4,12,21	112:4,6,12,16	161:17,23
61:13,14,18	112:23 113:3,9	163:5 166:14
62:4,22,25	113:18,22,25	166:16 167:5,7
63:15,20 66:5	114:3 116:20	167:16 168:18
67:10,11,14,17	117:2,13,16,24	169:15,17,23
67:24 68:2	118:10 119:10	171:1 174:19
69:5 70:19,21	119:12 120:18	174:24 175:2,3
70:25 71:2,19	120:21 121:18	175:8 176:3,5
71:23 72:3,8	122:8 123:24	176:8 177:20
72:18,19,24	124:22 125:2	178:1,3,5,5,25
73:6,11,14	125:11 126:11	179:2,6,9,21
74:9,14,16	126:16,18	180:4,6,21
75:2,3,18,22	129:3,24 130:8	181:2,9,13,24
77:25 78:6	130:13,16	182:1,4,14,17
82:1,20,21	133:16 134:23	183:2,15 184:2
83:8 84:5,19	135:14,19	184:17,18
85:2,4,17,22	136:6 137:3,7	185:12
86:9,14,19	137:18,21,22	luck's 65:20
87:2 89:18	138:3 139:3,7	168:5
92:22 93:17	139:9,21	lucky 49:25
94:1,4,7,23	140:16 142:2,7	m
95:5,11 96:2,4	142:10,16,19	<b>m</b> 6:19 16:11
96:17 98:16,22	142:21,25	16:22
98:25 99:7,22	144:19 145:2,5	ma'am 51:5
99:24 100:2,12	145:7 149:15	76:25 77:2,5
101:15,18,19	149:24 150:9	77:20 78:20
101:25 102:4,5	150:11,14,22	79:4,11,25
102:8,11,14	150:23 151:5,9	80:3,3 87:19
104:1,16 105:2	151:14,18	87:23 88:13
105:21 106:5,9	152:8,10	89:1,16 90:4
106:12,15,23	153:15,21,25	91:1,3,7 96:21
107:17 109:3,6	154:3,21,24	97:5,8,25
	57:16,19,24 58:4,12,21 61:13,14,18 62:4,22,25 63:15,20 66:5 67:10,11,14,17 67:24 68:2 69:5 70:19,21 70:25 71:2,19 71:23 72:3,8 72:18,19,24 73:6,11,14 74:9,14,16 75:2,3,18,22 77:25 78:6 82:1,20,21 83:8 84:5,19 85:2,4,17,22 86:9,14,19 87:2 89:18 92:22 93:17 94:1,4,7,23 95:5,11 96:2,4 96:17 98:16,22 98:25 99:7,22 99:24 100:2,12 101:15,18,19 101:25 102:4,5 102:8,11,14 104:1,16 105:2 105:21 106:5,9 106:12,15,23	57:16,19,24111:6,8,2158:4,12,21112:4,6,12,1661:13,14,18112:23 113:3,962:4,22,25113:18,22,2563:15,20 66:5114:3 116:2067:10,11,14,17117:2,13,16,2467:24 68:2118:10 119:1069:5 70:19,21119:12 120:1870:25 71:2,19120:21 121:1871:23 72:3,8122:8 123:2472:18,19,24124:22 125:273:6,11,14126:16,1875:2,3,18,22129:3,24 130:877:25 78:6130:13,1682:1,20,21133:16 134:2383:8 84:5,19135:14,1985:2,4,17,22136:6 137:3,786:9,14,19135:14,1985:2,4,17,22136:6 137:3,786:9,14,19137:18,21,2287:2 89:18138:3 139:3,792:22 93:17140:16 142:2,794:1,4,7,23140:16 142:2,795:5,11 96:2,4142:10,16,1996:17 98:16,22142:21,2598:25 99:7,22144:19 145:2,599:24 100:2,12145:7 149:15101:15,18,19101:25 102:4,5102:8,11,14150:23 151:5,9104:1,16 105:2151:14,18105:21 106:5,9152:8,10106:12,15,23153:15,21,25

## [ma'am - meet]

00.17.20	145.01	man 7.12 77.4	motorial (6.10
99:17,20	145:21	map 7:13 77:4	<b>material</b> 66:19
100:15 101:6	<b>mailing</b> 165:13	131:3 133:20	66:20 125:4
108:14,17	mails 108:8,10	maps 92:17	138:11,12,20
115:19,22	108:12	130:21	138:24 139:14
116:11,14	<b>main</b> 27:22	<b>march</b> 147:24	139:19
119:21,24	164:8	147:25 148:6	materials 47:9
120:10 131:5,8	maintain 93:8	148:10	65:17 156:21
131:11,14	<b>major</b> 82:23	marked 10:23	matter 1:5
132:10 133:23	majority 64:16	12:21 13:11	12:10 64:14
134:5 136:20	64:19,20,21,25	44:8 61:16	65:6 119:4
138:17 139:2	65:6,8 67:2	73:7 87:8	120:7
141:1,4,16,19	125:21 131:9	94:11 99:4	<b>matters</b> 164:11
141:25 146:15	131:13 135:3,6	120:3	max 11:15
147:9 156:13	135:9,16,24	marshall 3:17	<b>mba</b> 18:22
156:16 170:13	<b>make</b> 13:8	43:8,13 91:5	mclean 2:11,12
170:17,24	26:21 28:12	91:16,20	9:23,24,24
173:16 174:8	36:3,6 44:19	114:25 132:2	41:14,16
174:13,15	55:9 68:1	157:23 158:1,5	mean 28:6
<b>made</b> 10:10,11	84:13,17 92:1	158:6,11 164:6	31:11 36:25
11:23 67:16	96:8,14 117:4	164:10,11,15	50:22 76:3,8
86:10 91:21	128:16 131:19	164:18 172:6	76:12 77:21
92:24 95:17	137:22,22	172:11,12,24	90:14 102:20
97:22 105:24	143:1 145:5	173:2,5,23	104:17 123:10
119:12 134:24	169:4 183:6	174:11 175:4,9	140:1 142:24
141:21 143:3	188:1	176:17 177:1,3	145:4 150:12
174:20	makes 26:10,11	177:11,13	150:17 168:11
<b>mail</b> 101:14,20	44:18 48:20	179:3,10,22	168:12,13,21
102:22 103:2,3	160:23	180:22 181:2	182:14 187:5
106:7,10,14,17	making 125:2	181:16 182:6	means 50:24
107:10,13,15	129:14 143:12	182:19	168:13,16
107:24 108:2,8	managers	marvelous	meant 143:6,9
108:15 112:20	104:3	185:8	mechanical
115:3	<b>manhole</b> 27:5,7	master 29:2	26:14 37:22
<b>mailed</b> 40:10	manholes 27:8	<b>matched</b> 37:5,8	<b>meet</b> 31:19
144:1,8,9		, ,	

## [meeting - move]

meeting 108:3	90:8 92:3,9,18	microphone	mitchell 173:24
meetings 31:18	92:19 103:8	54:19 114:13	mits 26:25
memory 162:25	105:8 107:5,15	middle 28:20	mocd 105:11
mention 38:16	108:4,5,9	80:16	modrall 3:4,11
133:17	115:18 116:1,3	might've	43:1
mentioned	116:6,9,16,19	172:23	modrall.com
36:18 50:6	117:12 118:4,8	mile 89:8	3:7,14
52:15 62:18,23	118:24 119:2	164:23	<b>moment</b> 16:19
64:11 106:17	119:19 120:12	mineral 61:9	52:3 76:22
118:21 121:10	120:13,16,17	166:24 167:13	77:18 116:9
132:17 149:18	120:23,24	170:21 181:3	121:17 125:11
150:6 159:6	121:3,11,12	minerals 1:2	126:17,18
merely 122:20	124:6 127:13	minority	166:17
179:23	127:22 128:15	135:10	monitored 30:2
<b>merits</b> 47:17	128:17 129:1	<b>minute</b> 41:21	monitoring
55:15	130:9,11 137:1	188:14	29:7
mesa 21:12	137:5,6 141:20	minutes 56:5	<b>month</b> 51:25
31:16 33:22	141:23 143:2,3	61:14,19 63:13	158:13 161:15
<b>met</b> 124:7,13	143:12,13	187:1 188:3	<b>months</b> 49:15
144:15	159:21 161:20	<b>mirror</b> 34:17	56:13 60:1
meter 24:4,7,11	162:10,14,20	miscible 21:23	162:23 171:20
24:13 28:21,22	162:20 172:20	23:18	171:21
28:25 29:1,2	173:20 176:20	<b>missed</b> 82:23	<b>morgan</b> 112:10
mewbourne	177:7,9,10,13	missing 98:16	morning 52:9
47:23 57:5,8	178:12	109:24 125:3,7	<b>motion</b> 158:2,4
57:11,15,18	mewbourne's	misstatement	mountain
58:6,10,10,16	58:14,18 77:21	83:19	91:22 169:25
60:12 64:3,5,6	80:6 81:5,6	misstatements	171:15,18
64:8 65:13,16	90:5 105:18	82:10	<b>mouse</b> 144:3
65:18 66:2,16	106:21 116:24	<b>mister</b> 12:23	<b>move</b> 24:16
66:23 71:7	<b>mexico</b> 1:1 18:7	119:2	25:5 26:6
76:9 77:23	19:10 65:12	misunderstan	27:14 71:20
78:23 79:6,13	128:11 141:12	142:11 143:8	74:9 75:19
79:24 80:11	147:19,20	<b>mit</b> 26:15,17	84:18 95:1
81:1,4,5,17,18	189:20	27:9,11 37:22	99:22 109:18

## [move - note]

		1	I
139:13 143:20	<b>named</b> 116:13	negotiate 64:2	189:20
153:12 156:1	119:9 175:25	negotiated	<b>nice</b> 76:22
160:1 162:3	names 132:13	149:2,5,11	186:23
180:10	175:15	negotiation	<b>nicety</b> 47:14
<b>moved</b> 19:9,11	<b>naming</b> 174:18	153:10	<b>nine</b> 56:16
133:17	natural 1:2	negotiations	59:12 60:2
moves 28:20	nature 50:16	56:22,25 57:1	186:17 187:24
<b>moving</b> 17:22	139:24 151:24	57:3 58:16,17	188:3,15
63:25 64:10	near 156:21	59:9 60:25	<b>nitty</b> 153:7
88:9	necessarily	61:2,4 65:3	<b>nm</b> 1:19 2:6,14
<b>mullin</b> 4:11	23:15 77:22	105:7,13 111:2	2:21 3:6,13,21
6:10 12:11	103:21 120:22	149:18,21	<b>non</b> 18:23
13:9 14:22	necessary 23:1	150:6,15 151:2	nonenergy
16:20,21,21	123:14 150:25	151:10,25	18:23
17:1,4,7 18:17	185:10	152:5 153:16	nonland 18:23
18:19 19:17	<b>need</b> 13:8 27:6	154:23 155:8	noonan 4:9
31:14,14 32:13	27:6,10 34:17	155:17,21	6:12 12:7,8
32:22	35:25 52:7	160:10 170:6	14:17 15:8,9
multiple 105:6	60:3 61:24	negotiator	15:11,12,16,19
175:9	62:1 63:11	19:13	<b>normal</b> 120:11
must've 141:25	72:4,11,15	<b>neither</b> 189:10	120:15
<b>mute</b> 36:10	74:9,11,12	190:7	normally
n	92:6,14,17	<b>never</b> 49:3	120:12,16
<b>n</b> 2:1 3:1 4:1	121:21 124:24	50:17 57:6	<b>north</b> 2:5 134:1
5:1 8:7 9:1	141:25 150:13	65:12 66:1	134:1 156:18
15:12,12,12,12	152:5,17	84:5 92:25	northeast 89:7
15:23,23 16:10	166:14 169:8	128:10 129:14	89:7
16:11,22 21:23	179:19 182:12	177:21	northwest 3:5
70:3,3,3	185:5,20,22	<b>new</b> 1:1 18:7	3:12 87:15
, ,	needed 85:12	19:10 65:12	<b>nos</b> 1:9
name 15:9,21 15:22 16:8	132:25	83:2,4 84:13	<b>notary</b> 189:19
19:24 44:11	<b>needs</b> 19:25	86:12 93:24	notation
	37:13 75:10	111:21 128:10	107:13
69:2,14 71:4	96:9 133:2	141:12 147:18	<b>note</b> 95:14
90:20,24 132:5 138:25 139:18	155:7 185:16	147:20 170:2	
130.43 139.18			

# [noted - oh]

<b>noted</b> 26:8	87:3 88:18	objecting 93:9	obtains 177:8
<b>notes</b> 22:16	89:6 97:21	93:10 111:9	obviously
36:3 161:19	113:15 129:21	<b>objection</b> 10:9	35:18
163:12	137:2,8,13	14:2 55:14	occurring
<b>notice</b> 6:18 8:5	139:1 154:5	71:25 78:6,16	25:19
12:25 13:1,2	174:4 184:6	84:16 93:8	<b>ocd</b> 4:4,5 32:12
138:14,19	numbers 56:9	100:17 101:18	35:10 38:22
144:1,8,10,14	numeral 21:2	102:4,7,12,24	46:5 50:3
144:15,17,21	0	103:10 104:24	62:14 63:1
145:21 146:1	o 9:1 15:12,12	106:24 119:12	80:4,13 82:3
164:16 165:15	69:4,16 70:3	126:17 129:23	95:12 104:7
165:19,19	o'clock 185:3	129:25 135:13	122:17 129:6
166:21,24	186:17 187:24	136:10 137:17	129:19 130:7
167:23,25	188:3,15	137:22,24,25	138:23 170:10
168:3,9,14,16	oath 70:24	138:2,5 139:7	<b>ocd's</b> 84:20
169:3,5 180:9	74:25 83:6	139:7 140:3,4	128:25
180:11,13	84:7 95:5,10	140:7 142:6,9	office 9:21
181:18 182:8	96:9,16,19	142:15 143:15	31:12,20 38:21
182:21 184:8,9	100:1,14	145:1,5 159:14	128:1,5 147:14
184:12	<b>object</b> 46:20	159:14 160:6	165:15,20
<b>noticed</b> 36:11	77:25 82:7	175:20 176:6	officer 189:2
43:25 51:21	93:9 98:4,12	177:25,25	official 31:15
186:7	100:8 107:17	178:10 179:25	32:6 166:20
notification	108:25 112:19	180:4 181:9,12	<b>offline</b> 26:20
165:25	115:8,9 116:20	181:24	<b>offset</b> 35:1
notified 162:11	117:13 118:11	objection's	oftentimes
165:23,23	120:19 122:12	159:18	85:18
notifying	129:3 139:3	objections 11:5	<b>ogrid</b> 80:2,4,6,7
162:13	144:19 149:16	11:7 96:23	80:9,13,15
<b>number</b> 24:18	158:24 163:5	98:20 111:17	81:2,3,6
24:25 25:1	174:19 177:21	<b>objects</b> 104:24	136:21 137:2,8
33:16 61:7	179:19	obligation	137:13 138:25
71:13 72:18	objected 10:8	124:7	139:19
80:2,4,6,7,9,13	100:9 104:23	<b>observed</b> 30:20	<b>oh</b> 36:10 76:10
80:15 81:2,3,6	161:7		96:1 111:14

## [oh - operating]

			I
112:9 113:18	48:16,21 50:18	114:1,15 115:2	oklahoma
113:21 114:23	51:16 52:11,22	115:11 117:1	17:16 19:9
117:9 134:16	53:4,13,22	119:7,15,25	<b>older</b> 19:11
157:1 161:6	54:6,7,9,18,20	120:5 122:14	omissions
173:23	55:10,12 56:4	124:16 125:9	121:21 125:4
oil 1:3,6 6:5	58:4,20 61:23	131:1 133:11	once 13:14
10:21 11:1	62:9,15,20	133:24 135:18	22:24 27:12
50:1,15 57:10	63:18 67:5,9	136:7,13	30:24 32:10,11
61:10 71:7	67:11 68:6,23	137:16,20	36:5 38:17,21
76:9 81:17,18	69:13 70:23	139:20 141:2	39:18 52:20
86:5 118:5	71:3,15,18,23	142:18 143:15	53:17,22 55:5
okay 9:9 10:16	72:3,5,17,21,25	149:23 150:7	60:24 67:16
13:24 14:7	73:3,9,15,19,20	151:12,16,21	88:25 112:20
15:6,8,20 16:7	73:22,23 74:7	152:2 153:13	129:7 177:20
16:18 17:2,5	75:2,14,17,20	153:19,24	188:10
18:11,14 19:14	76:7,10,11	155:14,18,25	one's 135:4
19:20,21 20:10	82:20 83:23	157:22 159:17	ones 44:14
21:14,20 22:11	84:19 85:4	160:6,17,21	124:21 168:8
22:20 23:10	86:14,19 87:3	162:2 163:7	ongoing 157:9
24:14,14,23	87:17,20,24	164:1 167:15	<b>open</b> 20:16
25:4,14 26:4	88:9,14,22	170:2,5,14,18	67:1 185:10,15
26:23 27:4,15	89:2,13,17	170:25 171:22	opened 30:5
27:25 28:4	93:4,12,16	173:21,24	73:2 166:13
29:6,11,17,18	94:2,19 98:6,9	174:5,9 175:16	opening 5:11
30:10,16 31:2	98:14 99:14,18	175:20 176:1,5	44:19 45:3,5,9
31:5 32:10,14	99:21 100:2	178:18,19	55:18,21 56:3
33:4,6 34:8,13	101:21,25	179:10,25	57:20 62:2
35:4,9,23 37:3	102:3,10,14,23	180:2,3,6,24	63:14,16,17,19
37:15,16 39:23	103:5,17	181:5,8 182:10	67:19,19,24
39:25 40:20,25	104:15 106:15	182:23 183:16	105:19 149:18
41:1 42:8	106:22 110:22	183:22 184:13	operate 57:10
43:15,21 44:13	111:5,14,18,23	184:20 186:20	59:15 126:4
44:18 45:8	112:5,9,11	187:11,23,25	128:14
46:2,11,14,22	113:8,11,21,21	188:9	operating 2:10
47:24 48:8,12	113:23,24		3:2 7:17 43:2

# [operating - ownership]

58:7 60:16	operators	orders 59:20	overruled
99:11,13 155:5	21:15 35:2	136:25 137:5	106:25 107:20
162:21 174:17	50:15 65:21	150.25 137.5	118:2 143:16
175:14,24	141:9 151:11	ordinarily	145:11 182:24
175.14,24		154:8	
181:21	operatorship 67:3 81:15	ordinary 154:8	overruling 55:14
operational	123:3	original 22:4	
11:17	operatorships	34:21 45:23	oversaw 136:22 oversee 136:18
operations	67:16	110:17	oversight 129:6
22:25 26:21	<b>opinion</b> 35:11	originally	overview 61:7
30:23 33:24	96:8 168:14	49:13 110:15	own 65:1 91:23
34:2 126:1	170:5 183:1	outcome	114:17 123:13
operator 23:18	opportunity	189:15 190:12	136:5 148:20
33:20 57:9,10	45:6 86:12,23	outline 124:14	164:21
59:2 60:19,20	154:7 180:13		owner 87:22
60:23 65:14,22	180:15	outlining 73:25 outlook.com	134:25 166:24
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		2:22	181:16 182:6
66:2,3,8,10,12	opposite 34:9		
66:19 81:6,9	orange 25:7,16	outside 162:1	182:19
81:11 85:25	order 27:8 31:3	183:11	owners 56:16
88:5 116:2,6,8	31:25 37:11	overall 137:9	59:11,13 92:5
116:13,14	47:2 51:1,1,8	overlap 172:17	92:7,21 131:22
118:9,19,20	51:11,13,15	overlapped	131:23 132:17
119:9,20	52:3 60:4 61:8	172:15	132:21,23
120:23 127:13	81:15,17 86:1	overlapping	133:6,7,9
128:21 129:16	115:25 116:5	172:21	146:1 152:14
138:25,25	116:15,15	overlaps	165:11,17,22
139:18,19	122:5,8,17	164:23 172:16	167:10,12
160:13 161:20	128:14 153:23	overpressure	170:20 179:11
162:10 174:18	153:23 154:5	29:25	181:3
175:15,25	155:9,16	overpressures	ownership
176:13 177:9	158:14,17	30:8	64:22 65:1,6,9
177:10,11,13	159:8 177:8,10	override 78:6	88:11,24 91:6
operator's	184:3 185:6	<b>overrule</b> 78:15	92:6 116:4,10
130:4	ordered 167:22	78:16	125:19 135:3,6
			135:9 176:20

# [owning - perspective]

<b>owning</b> 91:17	82:25 87:4,25	97:10	penetration
owns 125:21	88:14 89:3,4	parties 10:10	154:20
133:3	94:8,21 97:19	11:8 92:15	percent 22:2
oxy 2:2 6:4 9:7	99:3 109:8	104:5 105:6	26:21 134:14
9:22 10:10,22	110:18 113:15	111:3 141:22	136:3 148:21
11:1,8,20 18:5	113:15 120:1	143:5 149:20	perfect 9:16
18:12 19:12	130:23 165:8	154:13 155:21	10:16 13:16
21:17,19 23:17	174:1,3,4	159:10 161:8,9	14:7 40:19,22
31:11	pages 20:16	161:12 165:11	44:18 72:25
p	77:1 89:2,13	167:25 168:22	111:18 188:16
	99:14	170:7 184:15	perform 26:17
<b>p</b> 2:1,1 3:1,1	<b>panel</b> 13:22	189:11,14	27:1
4:1,1 9:1 15:12 15:23	19:22 20:5,12	190:8,11	performed
	panhandle 19:4	party 14:3	27:11 36:19,20
<b>p.m.</b> 1:14 55:8 188:22	paragraph	21:15 29:3	36:23 37:6,23
<b>p.o.</b> 2:20 3:20	141:2,14,20	41:12 56:18	period 19:8
<b>p.o.</b> 2.20 3.20 <b>packet</b> 41:5	143:23 146:11	66:25 88:11,24	33:23
45:13,15,16	156:11 174:10	89:14 91:13,14	permian 18:6
62:19 71:22	paraphrasing	106:11 107:14	188:5
72:22 73:2	138:21	126:3 127:10	permissible
87:4 97:23	<b>part</b> 24:7 26:9	132:25 133:2	11:12 84:25
109:8 113:17	110:24 111:1	160:9 165:21	permission
packets 12:3,25	112:22 130:22	168:2	63:2
40:11 92:20	143:2 154:23	<b>pass</b> 24:13	permits 148:2
94:9	participate	<b>past</b> 18:2 154:3	148:14,21
pad 28:25	101:3 103:20	<b>path</b> 89:12	permitted
page 5:2 20:23	participated	<b>paula</b> 2:3 6:19	49:16 95:15
21:21,21 22:22	103:8 108:4	9:20	person 20:2
24:16,25 25:5	participating	<b>pay</b> 137:1	181:14 185:17
26:6 27:14	56:8	<b>pbex</b> 188:8	personal 35:11
29:19 31:7	participation	<b>pdf</b> 73:11 99:3	103:24 120:7
33:5 36:8,16	183:20	pecos 1:16	personally
37:24 38:1,10	particular 22:5	pending 59:25	90:18
38:19 73:11	25:17,21 31:12	penetrate	perspective
77:3,12 82:24	35:10,16,21	33:10	26:13 35:10

## [perspective - position]

128:25	<b>play</b> 129:7	<b>point</b> 12:1	143:5 151:11
petroleum 6:8	please 9:17	21:23 22:23,24	154:14 165:12
12:6 16:4,5	14:9 15:21	24:2,9 27:16	165:22 167:24
17:22 70:13,14	18:18 19:24	32:16 33:19	168:3
<b>ph</b> 173:24	20:3,23 22:22	34:5,20 35:6,7	pooled 87:22
<b>phone</b> 108:6	24:7,17 25:5	35:17 38:13	88:11,24 89:15
162:17	26:6 27:15,18	47:10 48:6,13	91:9,10,14
picture 124:3	28:16 29:19	49:24 51:20	92:7,13,15
<b>piece</b> 91:22	31:7 33:5	53:22 54:2	133:2 165:18
<b>place</b> 26:18	36:14 41:3	58:6 64:1 75:9	166:1 167:1,14
30:18 31:3	53:23 55:18	78:11 82:8,18	170:7,22
46:25 47:13	68:6 69:13,14	96:11 105:8	pooling 7:4,8
129:7	69:22 70:1	107:10 110:7	59:4 60:4,20
placed 62:25	76:16 78:17,18	116:22 117:4	61:8,21 66:9
<b>plan</b> 30:18,23	78:21 95:9	121:4,14 122:2	81:15,17 84:22
30:25 31:5,9	96:15 100:11	128:16 129:9	86:1 93:1
31:15 32:6	106:24 108:23	129:13 135:2,8	109:20 115:25
38:11,15 43:18	118:3 122:1	137:12 140:2	120:25 126:15
43:19 74:6	124:20 126:23	149:16 154:20	128:14 132:20
77:24 81:16	126:25 130:16	159:12 160:23	143:13 146:1
124:10 127:17	137:22 138:7	161:13 166:7	151:9 154:8,11
128:13 136:25	143:20 145:10	174:20 181:22	159:8,11
137:4 175:6	145:13,15	<b>point's</b> 134:24	165:25 166:22
176:19	150:10,10	pointing 125:6	168:24 177:10
planned 35:17	151:8 156:4	<b>points</b> 48:20	181:18 183:5
planning 34:22	160:25 161:3	124:17	portion 23:5
186:17	176:9 180:18	<b>policy</b> 66:21	29:20 88:22
<b>plans</b> 31:21	183:21	84:20 138:11	141:10 166:25
78:24 82:13	plethora 82:9	138:13 139:5	167:13
134:19 146:16	<b>plug</b> 27:2,8	139:14	portions
146:21	pmvance 2:7	political 18:20	124:12 170:22
<b>plat</b> 77:4 87:10	pocket 27:7	<b>pool</b> 56:19	position 10:6
87:25 88:2,15	<b>pod</b> 32:8	60:18 61:10	43:12 85:11
88:17 89:3,5	<b>pods</b> 32:9	74:3 132:25	166:16 168:5
134:7		141:23,23	

# [possible - profession]

possible 26:16	82:22 83:5	nmagguma 22:4 8	nrocodural
26:25 32:12	84:6 85:12	pressure 22:4,8	procedural 47:14
		22:10,12,17	
159:22 180:10	90:3,10,13	30:2 34:3 38:8	procedure
185:22	119:22 164:17	38:9	100:4 185:12
possibly 186:3	<b>prepared</b> 11:20	pretend 74:20	proceed 9:6
potential 25:24	59:18 74:13,24	<b>pretty</b> 34:16	39:20 95:24
potentially	75:14,25 77:19	146:7 148:4	proceeding
35:14	79:22 81:1,2,4	prevent 29:24	57:25 95:19
<b>powell</b> 90:21	83:10 85:15,20	59:15	117:21 121:2
90:23,25	90:5,7 91:4	previously 10:9	137:9 159:5
108:15,18	96:5,20 99:18	11:2 12:9,13	188:23 190:4
148:12,16,23	107:22 120:24	13:10 15:25	proceedings
163:3	140:19,21	25:25 29:1	46:5 49:11
practice 3:19	173:17,19	50:6 69:6,18	50:5,12 53:12
65:20 118:19	190:3	71:9,24 102:15	58:14 59:24
120:11,15,15	prepares 85:18	135:20 137:19	83:9 95:12
154:4 155:20	preparing	170:9	104:14 118:12
170:14	144:12	primarily	137:15 143:13
<b>pre</b> 47:3,7,20	prerogative	11:10 13:20	165:16 189:3,4
preceding 55:8	48:9	primary 37:8	189:6,8 190:6
<b>prefer</b> 43:17	present 4:2	<b>prior</b> 17:22	process 30:4
preferable	19:22 45:4,6	52:19 59:9	58:23 63:4
13:23 40:16	45:25 46:6	92:9,18 122:10	82:16 95:23
prehearing	62:8 84:23	122:12 154:10	138:22
47:2 51:1,1,13	109:16 112:16	159:5 189:5	produced 34:1
51:14 52:2,6	presented 56:1	priority 186:12	producing
54:25 55:2	82:6 122:9	186:13	19:11
57:1 61:4	152:13 175:18	probably 12:24	production
149:21	183:5	127:16,24	13:20 21:22,24
premium	presenting	137:1 168:16	26:10 28:11
131:25	125:5	problem 82:21	29:24 33:16,23
preparation	preserving	84:8,8 96:3	36:16 37:8
136:18,23	62:5	169:3 186:13	profession
prepare 74:15	<b>press</b> 171:19	problems 82:23	104:13
75:24 78:14	_	84:9	

## [professional - question]

professional	proposed 34:4	provision 52:17	117:20,21
95:25	57:13 87:11	83:16 122:11	121:1 189:7
professionals	88:8 144:4,21	provisional	qualifies 18:15
50:4,15 60:7	146:13,17	49:3	qualify 72:1
104:4,12	154:14,16	proximity	quarter 156:19
profile 36:17	164:14,22	25:23	question 19:23
prohibition	166:6 167:19	prudent 126:1	19:24 26:12
66:12,13	172:17 174:17	prudently	32:15 36:12
project 23:6	proposes	59:14 126:3	39:2,3 50:19
27:13 31:13	138:20 167:1	<b>psi</b> 22:8,10,18	50:20 56:20
105:5	167:14	22:19 27:17,23	58:7 70:5
<b>promoted</b> 19:7	proposing	<b>public</b> 189:19	75:21,23 77:16
<b>proof</b> 35:12	94:15 97:13	publication	78:1,18,21
<b>proper</b> 144:1	prospect 79:14	6:21 8:6 13:5	81:22,24,25
168:14,17	protect 116:1	published 13:5	100:8,11,22
169:2	131:16 137:6	<b>puff</b> 21:23	102:25 103:10
properly 144:4	protected	<b>pull</b> 133:23	104:18 106:1,6
144:7,9,21	131:20	173:22	106:13,25
145:20	protocol 21:22	pulled 52:3	107:1,8 113:14
properties	<b>proves</b> 63:25	72:16	118:3 120:14
19:11	provide 12:18	purchased	126:23,25
proposal 7:14	30:9 39:16,19	169:25	128:2 130:14
59:7 94:13	40:2 50:25	purpose 1:7	130:18 135:14
97:6,10 146:24	63:11 74:20,22	purposes 46:3	137:23 140:10
150:17 151:14	87:20 92:6	62:4	142:12,18
152:7 154:14	97:25 98:17	<b>put</b> 41:3 46:2	143:17 145:10
154:17,25	120:8 137:24	49:21 51:17	145:13,15
155:1,4 164:16	181:20	78:9	149:4 152:24
164:19,21	provided 11:21	putting 104:7	153:2,3 157:2
172:13 175:5	76:5 122:9	$ $ $\mathbf{q}$	159:15 160:18
proposals	144:1,21 169:2	qualified 15:25	160:24 161:1,3
101:10 144:17	179:21 181:18	16:13 17:8	161:6,7 165:5
145:22 146:24	182:7,20	19:15 44:14	166:2,4,9
147:12 172:25	provides 31:8	50:7 69:6,18	172:6 174:22
		71:24 96:6,7	175:2,22,24

# [question - received]

1760101115	160 14 16	41 05 14	100 10 101 6
176:3,10,11,15	163:14,16	rather 85:14	108:18 121:6
176:25 178:8	166:11 169:14	108:22 125:4	reasonable
180:6,14,25	169:15,18	159:11 168:1	159:9,12
181:15,15,25	171:1,6 178:17	187:6	reasons 35:3
182:3,11,11,13	178:20,23	rating 38:8	160:9,20
182:15,25	179:1,20	rex 5:15	164:14 181:19
183:10 184:12	180:17,19	<b>rdx</b> 5:15	<b>rebut</b> 49:21
187:21	183:14 188:13	<b>reach</b> 146:12	rebuttal 45:24
question's	<b>quick</b> 39:24	reached 147:5	46:2,6,17,20
174:21	55:17 148:4	158:6	49:21 62:18,22
questioning	153:18 157:2	read 52:12	110:2
12:17 20:13	163:11 187:3	54:22 55:5	rebutting 46:3
63:17 102:9,21	188:3	61:14 62:2	<b>recall</b> 76:24
116:21 118:14	quicker 160:10	132:14 142:3	79:1,2,4,23
119:15 122:13	quickest 159:8	143:1 168:1	86:15,22 97:9
129:4 139:4	<b>quickly</b> 159:22	reading 54:24	158:21 161:18
150:10 158:24	<b>quite</b> 161:18	142:12	161:21,23
174:24 175:13	r	ready 9:6 20:7	162:11 172:8
183:7	<b>r</b> 2:1 3:1 4:1 9:1	57:11 58:24	172:10,25
questionings	16:11 69:3,16	81:18 94:4	recalled 86:20
61:19	69:16 131:25	realistic 37:11	183:20
questions 13:7	<b>r131</b> 153:23	realize 30:11	<b>recap</b> 88:23
13:19 20:6	<b>r13155</b> 154:5	51:24 112:7	89:14 92:5
31:24 32:2,3	rabbit 183:4	140:6	131:3,6,12
34:16,18,19	raise 14:9 68:6	<b>really</b> 34:17	132:14 133:3,5
35:20 39:9	180:8	51:23 60:15	recapitulation
47:18 57:20	raised 140:3	67:22 96:12	87:21 88:10
76:15 89:22	160:7	105:12 107:9	<b>recaps</b> 91:12
93:14 96:25	raising 50:10	114:25 117:25	92:10
98:8 100:19	106:20	118:25 152:19	receive 32:11
108:23 111:20		152:20 153:18	115:25 128:14
121:7,24 122:2	range 50:4 rate 29:7 34:3	186:1	received 17:14
124:21 129:5	rates 11:15	reason 34:23	31:11,15 32:5
148:24 149:20		49:19 52:23	38:21 62:14
150:13 163:12	34:1	56:23 90:23	93:18 98:23

# [received - repeat]

	I		I
106:11 109:4	rectified 82:16	152:13 164:9	103:11 129:24
109:13,15	95:18	regards 49:23	130:1,3 137:8
110:15,20	<b>rectify</b> 137:10	50:11 58:1	159:1,3,15,19
113:4 164:15	137:14	155:7	178:1
188:12	redirect 20:4	regular 37:23	relevant 78:1,3
receives 116:5	36:1 150:22	82:19	103:14 105:1
recent 66:6	169:15,22	regulatory	120:22 121:24
recess 41:21	178:25 179:8	32:25	122:24 123:11
recitation	180:15,19	rejected 8:8	130:18 150:1,3
154:22	183:13	<b>relate</b> 118:14	150:9 151:20
recognize 14:8	redlines 11:20	related 39:2	152:19 159:20
<b>record</b> 12:10	12:4	49:24 50:9	reliability
15:10 41:20,24	reduced 189:6	57:1,5,20	48:14 78:10
43:23 62:5	<b>refer</b> 22:16	58:18 59:3	95:7 96:14
71:4 84:11	26:15	60:6,25 61:2	103:15 105:25
86:11 110:9	reference 20:11	82:11,12 92:23	118:17
111:22,24	referenced 82:5	111:2 129:6	reliable 74:23
132:9 133:15	referred 20:19	174:25 189:11	84:9 100:10
167:2 168:8	referring 28:22	190:7	reliant 50:17
169:12 177:12	29:1 97:20	relates 87:17	<b>relief</b> 10:11
177:17 185:25	101:16,20	144:20	11:25 29:23
188:19 189:9	102:22	relation 38:20	30:4 39:2
190:5	<b>refile</b> 112:2,6	relationship	relying 36:21
recorded 189:6	refiled 66:7	57:18 58:8,9	65:13
recording	reflected 141:6	60:11 65:15	remember
189:8 190:4	141:10	relative 189:13	79:20 157:5
records 32:12	reflection	190:10	161:25 163:22
90:7	58:22	relatively	172:9
recover 59:5	refresh 162:25	139:17	removal 11:11
recovery 6:5	regard 23:2	relayed 162:9	11:12
10:21 11:1	regarding	release 168:23	removed 34:23
22:2 37:10,14	56:22,24 58:2	released 171:19	35:1,3
recross 171:5	71:21 82:2	relevance	repeat 24:6
171:12 172:3	83:16 95:20	47:19 48:14	78:21 107:8
	129:25 135:5	102:8,24	120:14 126:24

## [repeat - right]

100 0 145 14	• 4	150 14 150 11	• • 41 4 4
128:2 145:14	requirement	150:14 152:11	revision 41:4,4
149:4 161:2	92:25 106:10	168:18	84:20
182:5	129:21 166:21	response 38:16	revisions 11:9
repeating	177:21	68:1 119:13	revisit 38:13
53:23	requirements	140:9	revisiting 159:6
rephrase 146:4	32:19 33:2	responsibility	<b>rig</b> 147:18,20
reported 1:20	144:15 154:7	169:4	<b>right</b> 9:15 10:7
reporter 19:25	155:3,4	<b>rest</b> 51:17	10:14 14:1,10
46:9 185:16,17	requires 100:4	137:15	18:12 41:9,19
reporting	reserve 93:13	<b>restate</b> 123:20	42:11,13 43:16
32:18,19 33:2	98:7	restrict 124:20	43:22 48:9,17
represent	reserved 91:22	results 37:10	50:20 51:24
173:21	reservoir 6:15	37:24	52:3 54:10
representation	12:12 13:21	resumes 13:15	55:11,17 65:19
143:12	16:16,17 17:23	19:19	68:3,7 70:17
representative	17:24 18:4,10	returned	70:25 73:1,9
90:21 107:14	18:15 22:4,7,8	150:18,18	73:22 79:12
representing	22:9,12,17	review 13:14	92:11 93:5,14
10:2 43:8	25:12,21 33:16	39:20,22 46:15	94:5 97:24
request 10:11	36:19,22,23	46:17,19 82:23	100:20 101:5
61:1	37:2 65:24,25	84:6 96:7 97:6	106:5 109:21
requested	66:14 118:23	138:22 173:15	111:15,25
11:15,25 39:19	<b>resolve</b> 160:11	reviewed 52:13	112:22,23
requests 26:7,8	resource 17:25	62:13,19 74:24	113:16,24
require 26:14	resources 1:2	75:12 80:21,25	114:4 115:6,12
35:18 39:22	2:10 9:25 18:6	97:9 108:12	115:18 116:13
required 31:5	respect 23:16	182:8 186:25	123:17 124:1
43:24 47:2	33:14 52:19	188:13	125:19,22
83:14 92:9,19	126:1 159:25	reviewing	127:19 130:13
93:1 106:9	170:18 183:6,7	110:8	131:4 132:14
107:18 129:7	183:8	revised 6:4	134:4 136:6,13
129:20 165:18	respond 48:18	40:11 82:11,18	139:15 140:20
168:3,10	48:19 67:15	83:22 84:23	144:2 145:6
180:11 182:8	85:23 104:2	92:20 95:15	150:8 152:16
182:20,21	119:10 124:22		162:14 169:20

## [right - sample]

169:21 171:3	81:10,11,12,14	176:16,18,19	149:24 168:10
171:16,24	81:23 90:8,9	177:1,8,18	<b>ruling</b> 84:17
173:3 174:14	90:12,16,22	188:18	86:10
176:2 179:17	92:12 94:14	rockwood's	<b>run</b> 17:9 55:20
181:13 182:16	97:12,16 101:5	49:3,23 50:21	rundown 44:23
183:18	101:11 107:6	52:17 56:19	running 104:4
righthand	107:23 108:6,9	59:6,19 62:6	<b>ryan</b> 1:20
29:13 33:6	108:11 115:20	64:10 65:1,19	189:2,18
<b>riley</b> 188:5,7	115:23,24	66:17 77:23	S
risk 128:8	116:5,7,13,17	90:6 109:20	s 2:1 3:1 4:1 6:1
130:6,6	116:23 117:5	116:12 124:8	7:1 8:1 9:1
<b>risks</b> 59:6	118:25 119:6,9	126:6 127:3	15:12,23 16:11
roadmap 67:20	123:2 124:13	131:16,20	16:22 69:16,16
rock 46:25	125:22 128:10	132:8 137:13	131:25
47:13	128:13,20	146:12,14,16	safety 29:21
rockwood 2:18	129:2,14,19	146:17 150:5	30:17
7:15 41:22	131:21 132:6	171:23 173:4	salamander
42:14,21,21	132:20 133:10	175:4,5 177:15	4:13 6:14
43:14,20 44:1	133:25 134:6	179:16	12:13 13:9
47:21,22 49:16	134:19 135:9	<b>role</b> 18:3	15:2 16:7,9,10
49:24 56:8,10	136:2,22 141:7	<b>roman</b> 21:2	16:10,15 17:6
56:14,21 57:5	141:9,11,12	<b>route</b> 124:4	17:13,19 18:10
57:13,18 58:9	142:1 143:5,14	rule 51:23 52:1	18:13 22:6,7
58:17 59:4,8	146:18,20	54:14,15,20,22	22:14 25:11,12
59:14,16,20	147:10,25	54:23 55:5	25:22 33:18,19
60:11,22 61:8	148:6 149:3,6	61:3 92:23	36:12,14,22
62:10 64:1,4,5	149:7,14	93:1 100:3	37:7
64:7,8,20,24	151:24 153:4	124:23 149:19	salamander's
65:11,12,13,16	156:17 157:4	154:22 166:17	17:8
65:18 66:18,23	159:21 162:15	166:17 167:6	sales 24:4,11,13
71:13 74:1	164:6 165:12	168:1,15 169:5	29:2
76:4 77:8,10	165:21 166:4	182:21	salt 131:24
77:13 78:24	170:6 173:2,8	<b>rules</b> 56:25	132:4,4,11
79:6,15,24	173:20 174:18	61:3 83:20	sample 7:14
80:6,9,13	175:15 176:13	102:16 129:19	13:2 94:13
			15.271.15

## [santa - sent]

1 10 5 1			7 440 40
<b>santa</b> 1:19 2:6	<b>school</b> 17:20	sections 11:11	seek 113:13
2:14 3:21 9:21	science 17:14	24:18 25:2	116:13 119:8
satisfies 54:10	18:20	61:12 133:5	seeking 16:13
save 65:4 81:15	<b>scope</b> 106:4	134:20	16:24 56:19
81:18,21	136:1 153:8	see 10:4 11:22	61:8 62:6,11
159:23 161:10	162:1 180:18	20:21 21:1,9	111:21 136:2
<b>saver</b> 28:8	183:11	21:10 29:12,17	141:23 151:11
saw 173:12	screen 14:5	31:17 32:1	155:23 165:12
sayer 10:2	20:20 28:19	33:7,8,25 38:9	168:3
saying 30:1	67:10 72:9,12	41:8 43:9	seeks 133:25
53:25 63:9	72:16 114:8	45:10 46:21	141:22 143:5
79:19 96:2	120:2 122:5,6	72:6,6 73:3,3,5	165:22
110:23 121:23	122:11 132:16	76:22 77:10,12	seem 143:2
126:21 140:23	133:21 138:16	78:5 79:10	seemed 82:23
144:9 161:23	139:18	80:2 91:25	seems 95:21
161:25 163:22	scribbles 37:21	97:4,19 100:9	104:18,21
167:5 172:9	sealing 33:15	105:2 109:22	160:8 165:9
175:20 181:25	<b>second</b> 22:9,15	109:23 110:19	seen 31:1 38:17
says 29:22 30:1	22:15,17 49:23	114:23 120:1,6	66:2 111:22
52:8 55:5 61:3	73:2 77:3	120:9 122:6	<b>self</b> 6:17 8:4
66:9 77:13	110:12 114:8	130:23 131:7	13:1 36:17
80:17 95:7	137:24 143:2	137:8 138:15	119:22 120:9
107:13 126:13	143:24 175:13	139:1 141:2,14	121:15 140:25
141:20 144:20	section 25:6	144:2,3,6	141:5
161:25 166:21	33:21 74:2,4	146:7,14,23	sell 29:3 112:11
174:16,25	87:10,13,14,15	149:2,25	<b>send</b> 112:19
175:4 176:13	87:25 88:6,15	156:10,12,15	115:3 154:12
177:12	88:17,19,19,20	161:15 163:12	sense 26:10,11
scada 30:2,7	89:3,5,8,9,10	165:19 169:13	<b>sent</b> 94:14,17
39:2	89:12 91:21	173:22 174:9	97:3,7,10,15
scenario 51:25	133:6 134:2,2	174:12 175:19	106:10 108:8
60:10 83:13	134:2,3,7,8,13	187:12	138:14 144:11
86:3	134:15,17,17	seeing 20:25	145:23 146:25
scheduled 49:1	154:18 156:19	31:4 133:21	147:12 165:15
55:1,6,9			165:24 167:25

## [sentence - sorry]

gamtamaa 142.2	a <b>b</b> and 44.10	101.20	gituration (0.22
sentence 142:3	short 44:19	181:20	situation 60:22
143:1,3,6,25	47:25 88:4	signature	85:24 101:11
174:11	119:15 122:2	189:17 190:14	148:2 158:17
separate 35:19	186:19 188:18	<b>signed</b> 43:18	six 49:15 51:25
september 40:3	shorter 88:7	75:7 76:4 79:9	171:20
41:6 189:17	shorthand	83:11,15 132:7	sixth 29:21,22
190:13	125:15	132:7 133:12	<b>skills</b> 189:10
seriously 64:11	should've	167:17 168:9	190:6
sessions 103:25	117:18	173:6,9 174:12	<b>slice</b> 64:17
set 27:2 49:3,13	<b>show</b> 58:24	175:4,10,24	<b>slight</b> 11:14
53:2	67:21 74:22	176:12,16,17	<b>slo</b> 32:3
sets 122:18	88:23 89:14	177:5 179:14	<b>slow</b> 46:8
settlement 61:4	91:5,11,13,16	179:22	<b>soil</b> 37:13
<b>seven</b> 24:20	92:13,20	signet 27:2	<b>sole</b> 23:18
25:1 122:18,21	100:10 122:20	<b>signs</b> 31:4	50:19
123:4,8 124:17	124:12,13	similar 34:15	<b>solo</b> 3:19
124:19,20	126:19	88:2 141:8	sombrero
seventh 73:4	showing 87:10	167:23 168:8	64:23
several 135:16	94:16 122:22	<b>simple</b> 106:17	<b>sorry</b> 60:2
158:21 162:22	123:19 139:17	111:7,8	61:18 64:5
<b>shaking</b> 178:19	<b>shown</b> 24:25	simplifies 24:4	68:3 72:3
<b>sharbro</b> 131:24	59:12 66:15	<b>simply</b> 121:10	73:17,22 74:17
132:4,6,6	<b>shows</b> 65:18	143:14 166:6	78:25 90:24
<b>share</b> 28:15	74:4 87:5 88:3	simulation	94:23 100:2
32:11 38:22	88:10,15 89:4	36:19,23,23	101:4,15,19
72:9,11,15	89:6 92:12	37:2,14,24	102:14 107:8
114:8,9	99:9 125:21	<b>single</b> 47:22	109:10,15
sharing 20:20	127:5 179:21	174:11	112:6 113:18
122:10	<b>shut</b> 67:1	sir 18:19 53:9	117:16 120:14
<b>sharp</b> 33:25	<b>side</b> 27:7 29:13	69:8,12,20	126:25 128:2
shepard 64:23	<b>sight</b> 64:13	72:3 78:21	129:1 132:5
78:24 87:11,18	66:25	100:2 114:14	134:15,16
88:17 89:6	<b>sign</b> 133:9	<b>sites</b> 126:5	136:24 139:13
97:21	173:13,13,14	<b>sitting</b> 79:12	140:24,25
	177:4,4,7		142:12,21

## [sorry - steps]

	I	I	
145:18 146:24	specifically	<b>stands</b> 98:13,13	45:3,5 52:6
148:18 149:4	21:6 25:7	<b>star</b> 82:5	54:25 55:2,18
153:17 155:6	27:21 46:3	<b>start</b> 15:8	55:21 56:3
157:1 166:9	87:17 92:3,9	17:12 31:4	57:20 63:14,16
173:24 176:17	108:10 180:17	43:11 44:24	63:17,20 65:20
182:4 183:9	180:22 182:2	63:23 110:18	67:24 105:19
<b>sort</b> 27:6 35:12	<b>specify</b> 154:17	113:20 132:5	119:23 120:9
58:8 95:6,6	<b>spell</b> 15:9,21	184:15,17	124:24 140:25
104:25	16:7 69:2,14	185:25 186:9,9	141:6 144:20
<b>sorts</b> 38:4	spelled 70:2	started 17:20	144:23 145:19
sounds 36:3	spencer 112:11	19:3 30:24	146:4 149:19
48:2	spending	117:15 118:4	181:14
source 35:8	121:13	140:12	statements
sourced 21:5	<b>sperling</b> 3:4,11	starting 89:3	12:4,15,18
21:12	43:1	99:11 109:8	45:10 58:1
sourcing 19:2	<b>spoil</b> 188:10	<b>starts</b> 54:12	67:15,19,19,25
<b>south</b> 1:17	<b>spools</b> 28:13	143:25 174:11	121:15 159:21
33:21 74:4	38:4	<b>state</b> 1:1 31:12	states 21:3
87:14,15 88:19	<b>spot</b> 66:8	31:20 38:21	138:18
88:20 89:10,12	<b>spring</b> 10:21	62:6 71:5	<b>status</b> 31:13
134:3,3	23:24 25:8	87:11 88:18	63:24 71:15
southwest 88:6	32:9 61:9 74:2	89:6 97:21	statutory
156:19	<b>springs</b> 22:9,17	128:1,5 147:13	166:23
spacing 44:24	25:8 31:17	148:3 165:15	<b>step</b> 82:2 116:1
61:11 80:17,19	33:8,11	165:19 166:19	132:12 177:9
80:22,24 87:10	<b>st</b> 1:17	189:20	stephanie 4:9
87:12 88:3	<b>stack</b> 39:7	stated 25:25	6:12 12:7
125:22 172:15	stages 58:23	59:8 71:4	14:17 15:11
172:17,21	<b>stand</b> 123:12	130:9 133:9	<b>stephen</b> 4:7 6:7
<b>speak</b> 63:19	standalone	139:5 143:11	12:5 14:12
speaking 20:1	40:14	158:14 161:17	15:22 25:25
54:5	standard 152:1	181:19 182:21	32:24 33:1
<b>special</b> 9:3,11	152:8,9,11	statement 5:11	stepped 105:9
specific 107:24	standpoint	6:17 8:4 13:1	steps 75:1
108:2,7 166:22	26:3	36:18 44:20	_

## [stimulated - sworn]

stimulated	93:24 155:8	supplemental	84:24 85:13
25:10	167:11 170:15	40:15,18 41:4	94:24 96:10
stipulate 48:3,4	submitted	<b>support</b> 43:19	101:15,20
48:5,7 50:21	20:17 31:22,25	50:13 56:15,17	102:21,22
stipulating	38:12,15 65:18	59:12 109:9,14	107:1,9 108:17
46:24	71:22 75:13	109:18,19	110:3 111:10
stipulation	76:6 77:9,10	110:12,16,17	117:18 122:8
45:11 63:10	77:13 82:4	110:21,23	126:17,21
storage 22:25	83:22 136:18	112:17,23	127:6 131:19
straightforward	147:22,23	132:9,24	143:6,9 147:5
139:17	170:11	133:14 167:9	147:6 149:1,5
strategic 19:2	subsequent	167:11 168:6,7	152:17 161:19
<b>street</b> 2:5 3:5	45:16	168:16 169:11	169:4 188:2
3:12	subsurface	170:19,19	surface 74:5
strengthen	18:4	173:4,12,15,18	88:3 89:7
98:17	<b>suffer</b> 64:11	174:25 177:11	126:2,5,6,8
strenuously	<b>suggest</b> 186:18	177:15 179:13	127:3,5,8
46:19	suggests 34:5	179:15,23	147:14 166:5,6
stretch 9:3	<b>suite</b> 2:5,13 3:5	181:20	surfacing 74:2
stricken 83:21	3:12	supporting	88:18
103:21	summaries	132:8	surprise 80:12
strictly 36:20	99:15	supports 43:13	188:10
<b>strike</b> 48:10	summary 61:20	175:5 177:13	surrounding
81:22,24	99:11 101:4	supposed 64:2	158:11
striking 47:15	103:3,23	67:20,22 82:24	<b>surveys</b> 147:16
81:22	106:15,17	110:3 126:12	166:3
studies 36:18	107:21 108:13	<b>sure</b> 13:8 14:8	sustain 140:4
subitem 21:3	109:9 111:2	20:15 26:21	178:10 181:12
subject 55:23	152:5	28:12 30:22	sustained 117:2
56:23 71:16	supervision	36:3,6,11	140:7 163:9
152:17,20	75:15 76:1	38:12 39:9,11	sustaining
166:13	77:19,22 79:22	39:13 41:6	136:10
submit 32:7	83:18 85:15	48:1 60:4,20	sworn 14:13,18
81:5 82:11	96:20 99:19	63:3 75:21	14:23 15:3
86:12 92:10,19		78:1 79:13	68:4,10,15,20

## [sworn - thank]

189:5	talking 10:13	telling 63:7	83:5 84:15
system 21:3	27:21 101:22	temperature	93:24 116:18
24:10 30:7	111:24 135:2	28:11	118:19 127:9
38:9 39:6	135:10 144:16	ten 118:7	177:19
72:13	150:16 157:6	119:18	testifying 47:23
t	162:22 187:16	<b>tend</b> 47:10	60:7 65:24
t 6:1 7:1 8:1	talks 27:15,16	tendered 13:14	83:10,16 85:21
15:12,23 69:3	149:7	term 171:25	91:2 106:11
70:3	tank 29:4	terminated	108:19 143:14
table 23:4	taos 2:21	23:6	145:6 147:7
take 39:11	target 63:25	terms 28:19	172:10 189:5
76:13 84:14	64:10 154:15	32:15 46:23	testimony 47:3
132:12 151:24	targeted 74:6	48:23 50:16	47:7,7,20 50:9
155:11 163:11	targeting 74:1	106:19 111:20	50:16 53:3
169:8 185:5	<b>team</b> 18:4	116:24 142:11	58:23 62:1
186:19 187:1	32:25 39:12	151:25 178:3	63:12 83:17
188:11,14,14	85:18	test 26:15	100:13 104:10
188:17	tech 12:23	35:12 37:23	104:11,11
takeaway 24:2	technical 4:4,5	testified 12:9	112:13 117:6
24:8 38:13	12:4,5 26:11	12:13 13:10	117:25 121:20
127:12,17,24	42:1 129:18	14:15,20,25	121:25 122:25
takeaways	138:22	15:5 68:12,17	123:8,12
127:10	techniques	68:22 71:9	124:12,18,20
taken 143:10	22:3	77:18 78:8	125:18 126:6
186:21,25	technology	115:17 118:8	126:10,13
189:3,12 190:9	18:4	119:19 131:2	127:3,7,11,12
takes 154:6	tell 14:14,19,24	140:19 171:14	127:18,25
158:16	15:4 43:16	171:22 172:23	128:4,9,9,12,23
talk 52:2	68:11,16,21	testifies 83:12	159:25 162:1
146:16 156:14	87:5 88:15	104:6	172:8
156:25 158:19	99:8 102:12	testify 46:1	texas 19:4
164:5	114:19 145:5	50:5,15 65:19	text 122:7
talked 33:9	149:13 150:2	65:21 66:2,14	<b>thank</b> 9:18,19
55:12 158:7,10	151:13 153:4	66:16 71:21	10:17,18 13:16
33.12 130.7,10	181:10 186:21	79:3,7 80:8	15:13 16:6

# [thank - timeline]

10 16 10 14 17	120 0 120 12	51.2.60.5.62.5	41 14 62 4
18:16 19:14,17	138:8 139:12	51:2 60:5 62:5	thought 63:4
19:18 22:20	140:14,17	72:10 79:8	110:7 143:19
24:14,23 25:4	143:21 145:7	81:20,23 94:19	three 17:22
26:4 29:18	146:2 150:8	105:13 106:5	18:2 29:21
31:5 33:4	151:23 152:22	107:10 113:18	44:4 53:22
34:13 35:23	153:11,14	115:10 116:21	67:9 68:6 89:8
37:16 41:11,15	155:10 156:6,7	117:7,17,20	109:13,17
41:18,23,25	159:20 163:7	118:11 124:19	110:20 112:17
42:23 43:4,6	163:10,17,18	125:4,23 127:9	135:7
43:10,22 44:3	164:24 165:1	127:16,23	thursday 55:8
54:6 56:6,7	166:12 167:21	129:17 131:14	<b>tied</b> 39:6
62:15,20 63:20	171:2,4 175:12	131:15,17,19	<b>tight</b> 152:20
63:22 67:6,7	176:7,8 178:4	135:1,7 139:16	<b>till</b> 19:8
67:13 69:1,12	178:5,9,15,17	142:3,4,10	time 1:14 19:6
69:24 70:4,18	178:24 183:16	144:11,22	20:3 33:23
71:3 73:13	183:19 184:20	145:23 146:8	40:3 49:3 50:2
76:18,21 79:5	185:13 188:20	147:20 148:1	53:24 57:11
85:10 86:9	188:21	148:12,13,13	65:5 68:3 75:9
89:21,24 96:2	thanks 74:7	148:14,16,21	82:8,18 93:22
98:2,25 100:24	80:1 84:3	148:23 149:12	93:23 105:4,11
103:1 106:22	91:25 109:2	149:19,20	110:7 121:13
107:2 108:24	115:16 136:15	153:8 158:17	129:9,13
109:6 113:24	theoretical	160:2,9,22	137:12 146:1
114:5,7 115:7	166:6	161:12,22	149:16 157:6
115:11,13	<b>thing</b> 51:17	163:2,3 166:10	159:12 162:8
117:1,3,9	156:25 159:12	168:11 169:8	162:12,17,19
118:16 119:11	172:4	169:13 174:20	164:20 167:3
119:16 121:6	<b>things</b> 17:11	179:17 183:22	170:16 172:24
122:3,16	36:4 45:7 78:9	thinking 30:17	178:23 184:19
125:12,14	79:21 169:7	160:6 186:15	185:15 186:2,2
127:10,15,18	think 25:18	<b>thinks</b> 19:21	186:3
127:21 128:7	34:11,14,18	<b>third</b> 21:15,22	timeframe
128:16,19	35:20 38:13,22	25:6 29:3,23	39:13 49:14
130:2,4,15,19	39:1,16 41:20	31:8 44:11	timeline 39:10
133:8,11 136:8	48:24 50:13	68:24 127:10	

## [timeliness - turn]

timeliness	149:22 161:10	towards 80:16	<b>tried</b> 63:9
54:11 55:13	161:14,17	94:19	trouble 46:9
timely 13:3,5	164:5 175:18	<b>tract</b> 7:13	true 26:22
47:1,9 53:14	177:19 182:18	88:23 91:23	125:1 189:9
53:15,17 54:9	183:19,23	92:17 130:21	190:5
55:3,9 59:11	today's 51:20	131:3,10	truncate 45:2
60:21 102:12	63:25 184:11	133:20 134:7,8	truncated
112:25 126:5	together 41:22	134:10,12,13	67:25
131:21 137:23	46:3 49:21	134:14,15,16	<b>truth</b> 14:14,14
138:5 144:1,4	96:3 104:7	156:18	14:15,19,19,20
144:7,7,9	154:15 167:8	<b>tracts</b> 91:17	14:24,24,25
145:20 164:7	<b>told</b> 153:7,7	114:19,21	15:4,4,5 68:11
times 53:22	162:15,20	134:25 148:20	68:11,12,16,16
105:17 135:16	tomorrow 9:3	trails 183:4	68:17,21,21,22
145:4 158:21	43:25 49:6	transcriber	<b>try</b> 84:13 147:1
175:9	51:19,21 52:17	190:1	159:10 161:15
timing 159:24	52:18,20 53:12	transcript	184:14
172:13	53:19,20 54:9	190:3,5	trying 53:14,25
<b>title</b> 18:9 19:12	83:4 86:18	transcriptionist	76:11 92:1
26:10 59:1	93:21 112:22	189:7	95:6 103:6
90:5,6 104:4	113:12 146:4	transfer 81:16	105:7,25 114:7
<b>titles</b> 17:11	183:20,21,23	116:15 128:15	117:4 118:23
<b>today</b> 39:17	183:25 184:6	136:25 137:5	119:1 123:16
43:2 44:4	184:14 185:2	176:19	124:4 131:19
45:25 47:23	185:12,25	transmissive	150:24 157:15
49:17,24 53:8	186:2,3,8,17	33:14	159:24 165:16
53:10,11 54:12	188:14	traversing	180:7,25
56:8 60:8,10	tony 9:12	88:20 89:11	tschantz 4:3
63:5 64:7	took 147:10	tree 27:25 28:2	42:3 185:9,14
65:15 91:2	172:1 186:3	28:7,8,14 38:3	185:20
108:19 110:5	top 27:16 29:20	<b>trend</b> 30:3	<b>tubing</b> 27:23
110:10 111:16	33:11 57:9	trespass 148:15	29:16
112:18 115:17	<b>topic</b> 153:12	148:21	tuesday 1:13
131:2 135:11	<b>total</b> 56:16	<b>trial</b> 137:21	turn 54:19 72:4
144:14 145:25			73:15 112:14

### [turn - unusual]

110.05.100.5	1 1 1 2 7	170 10 174 17	7. 4. 7
119:25 120:5	typo 141:25	173:19 174:17	undisputed
130:21 136:17	typographical	186:22,25	64:18
138:23	143:11	188:11	<b>unduly</b> 146:12
turning 61:6	u	underlying	unit 23:24 25:8
72:20 74:8	<b>u</b> 16:22	61:10	44:25 56:16
75:4 87:3 94:8	<b>uh</b> 40:12 123:6	underneath	58:15,15 59:1
97:14,18 99:2	124:9 140:11	31:18	80:17,19,20,22
128:8 131:12	175:7	understand	80:24 87:11,12
<b>twice</b> 24:13	ultimate 22:2	47:25 50:19	87:20 88:3,10
<b>two</b> 9:10 24:1	uncertainty	53:9 56:20	88:23,24 89:14
26:1 27:22	128:24	91:10,15 92:8	91:12 92:5,10
29:21 39:16	unclear 47:9	92:16 98:19	111:4 131:3,10
40:3,5 41:20	uncommitted	103:9 106:2	132:14 167:18
48:25 49:2	61:8 92:4,11	111:11 113:24	172:15,17,21
54:12 68:24	92:20 152:14	119:1 136:1	179:12 181:4
77:1 82:24	167:9 168:2	155:22 157:16	181:17 182:7
134:20 138:24	179:12,15	159:24 160:3	unitization
163:20 183:24	182:6	168:5 182:11	166:23
184:6	unconventional	182:13 183:2	unitized 23:23
<b>tyler</b> 4:15 5:16	17:25 18:5	understanding	<b>units</b> 21:13
7:10 44:5	21:25	10:3 11:24	26:1 31:16
67:11 68:9	under 21:3	26:24 33:20	57:6 58:18
69:3 101:8	29:21 54:20	37:12 123:11	59:13 64:21,22
<b>type</b> 21:6 24:4	56:25 57:3	125:24 133:25	116:4,10
30:22 80:17	61:3 66:20	137:12 147:2	125:22 151:11
100:3	67:3 70:24	understands	167:19 170:20
<b>types</b> 50:14	74:25 75:15,25	155:3	182:20
typewriting	77:19 79:22	understood	university
189:7		111:12	17:15
typical 44:23	83:6,18 84:7	undertake	unpreparedne
46:5 55:20	85:15 95:5,10	146:21	58:22
61:21 62:2	96:9,16,19,20 99:25 100:14	undertook	unreliable
82:14 102:16		147:3,16,25	84:10
typically 55:25	116:15 145:24	148:6	unusual 85:19
	149:19 156:11		
	167:23 168:10		

## [update - way]

	I		I
update 92:14	10:1,3,7,18	virtual 185:18	96:9,18 100:22
updated 31:25	13:17 14:1,6	<b>vogel</b> 188:8	101:12 102:19
32:5 92:6,18	19:21 20:4,19	<b>voir</b> 5:3,5,7,9	102:21 107:9
upgrade 27:16	20:20,24 35:25	78:17 93:7,15	107:12,17
38:1	36:2 37:18	100:22 108:21	112:14 113:3
upgraded	38:24 39:1,8	voluntarily	116:20 117:13
27:19 38:3,7	40:2,7,13 41:2	167:4,18	121:24 124:3
uplift 22:1	41:10,11	168:20,22	126:11 127:8
36:25 37:10	187:13,17,18	voluntary	129:3 139:3
uploaded 112:8	187:18,20,24	56:21 146:13	140:5 141:9
<b>upper</b> 33:6	188:1,4,6,16	155:23 168:19	142:2 143:9
upstream 24:3	variance 26:7,8	168:25 169:10	144:19 146:4
24:11 28:23	varying 37:7	<b>vs</b> 188:18	146:16 153:21
<b>usa</b> 2:2 6:4 9:22	vc 91:23	W	158:24 161:10
use 49:22	<b>verde</b> 21:13	wait 45:18	163:11 169:10
122:20	31:16 33:22	179:24,24	180:9 182:3
<b>used</b> 24:12	<b>verify</b> 27:3 28:5	waiting 140:9	184:16 185:1
using 22:2	veritext 185:21	140:13 187:7	186:1
123:18,25	186:1	walk 10:12	wanted 24:24
125:15	version 12:3	31:20 122:21	35:16 39:3
<b>usual</b> 65:20	20:17,18	124:11	41:21 112:24
<b>usually</b> 77:3,5	166:19,20	wall 44:25	130:21 143:1
77:14	<b>versus</b> 169:11	want 21:4 28:5	156:25 164:20
v	vertical 80:18	28:12 36:2,6	172:24 177:4,6
v 70:3	80:19,22	37:18 39:19,20	188:1,12
valuable 28:16	vicinity 35:8	40:8,9,9,14	wanting 160:1
valuable 20.10 valve 29:15	videoconfere	43:16 44:19	<b>wants</b> 20:2
valves 26:9,16	2:3,11 4:6,8,10	47:14 51:6,11	47:15 56:24
26:18 28:14	4:12,14,15,17	55:15 61:7	72:11 151:24
29:23 30:4	4:19	64:13 66:24	waste 59:15
37:21 38:4	view 35:10,12	73:2 74:23	<b>water</b> 11:16
39:2	85:19	78:16 82:10	34:1
vance 2:3 5:11	<b>vii</b> 21:2,2	83:8 84:13,18	way 26:10
6:19 9:6,8,17	violation	84:19,23 85:11	64:16 81:21
9:18,20,21	129:10,18	93:21 94:3,23	94:24,25 99:12
7.10,20,21		73.41 74.3,43	

## [way - witnesses]

112:8 127:24	59:19,21 60:4	158:6,11 164:6	83:11,12,15
143:1 159:8	60:16,21 73:25	164:12,15	84:15 89:22
160:11 182:12	74:5 81:12	172:24 173:2,5	93:8,24 95:4
ways 142:4	88:8 94:15,17	173:23 174:12	95:10 96:5,5
<b>we've</b> 11:5	97:13,24	175:4,10	96:15 99:25
28:20 32:5	115:25 127:14	176:17 177:1,3	100:5,19,23
49:21 55:12	127:23 128:13	177:11,13	101:22,23,23
60:6 62:2	128:18 129:10	179:3,10,22	102:2 103:7
135:7 162:22	129:11,13,15	180:23 181:3	104:11,19,20
165:9 183:23	129:19 130:10	181:16 182:6	106:2 107:21
weak 126:20	141:8 144:5,21	182:19	108:21 111:20
weeds 45:4	146:13,17	winston's	113:2 114:2
week 12:2	158:15 162:10	114:25 164:10	117:15 118:4
31:24 45:15	162:21 164:7	164:18 172:7	120:20 122:24
49:5 82:17	164:22 166:4	172:11,12	126:9,24 127:9
84:21 109:15	174:16,16	withdraw	130:9 133:18
109:16	177:14,16	81:25 176:5	137:4,23 138:4
weeks 39:16	wendell 1:18	178:7	139:4 140:2
40:3 172:14	went 11:20,23	withdrawn	142:22,24
<b>weigh</b> 65:11	13:2 33:22	10:9 11:5	143:17 145:14
104:25	75:21 94:24	withdrew	159:15 160:14
<b>weight</b> 103:22	west 61:12	140:10	160:24 161:2
121:19,24	87:12,12,13,13	<b>witness</b> 4:7,9	163:15 166:11
123:13	87:14 88:6	4:11,13,15,16	169:9,16 171:2
wellhead 28:14	133:4	4:18 5:15	176:9,11 179:5
38:3	<b>wide</b> 50:3	14:13,18,23	179:5 182:15
wellheads	104:22	15:3 20:12	182:25 183:11
27:17	willing 48:3	44:5 47:21,22	183:14,24
<b>wells</b> 11:11	50:21 57:12	63:12 68:10,15	186:4 189:4
21:25 23:4,23	58:24 152:8	68:20 69:13	witness's 78:13
24:20 25:2,8,9	wins 64:15	70:1,9,22	123:12 124:18
26:13 27:12	winston 3:17	74:13,23 75:22	witnesses 13:19
33:21 34:9,12	43:8,13 91:5	75:25 76:4,9	14:4 20:5 44:2
34:22 57:11,12	91:16,20 132:2	76:17 78:8,20	44:4 45:7 46:1
58:7,25 59:16	157:23 158:1,5	82:21 83:4,5	47:23 49:24

### [witnesses - zone]

50:6,7 57:21	132:23 133:5	26:14 33:17
58:2 62:1 64:8	134:6 152:14	118:7 119:18
66:23 67:9	167:10	<b>yep</b> 21:1
68:4 71:24	works 40:7,15	110:11
79:6,7 80:11	40:23 90:11,12	<b>yeses</b> 68:24
86:15,19,22	121:10,12	yesterday
116:18,23	<b>world</b> 132:2	111:13
136:5 152:1	would've	Z
185:5	121:11	<b>zone</b> 34:9
wolfcamp	written 36:3	Zone 54.7
10:25 22:7,18	39:9 167:1	
23:24 25:17,19	168:21	
25:23 31:17	<b>wrong</b> 128:25	
32:9 33:12	wrote 132:24	
34:12,12	133:13 143:7	
wondering	X	
22:3 92:2	<b>x</b> 5:1 6:1 7:1	
184:14	8:1	
word 168:13,15		
<b>words</b> 134:19	<u>y</u>	
<b>work</b> 39:10	<b>y</b> 69:3,4,16	
59:1 104:4	<b>yeah</b> 14:6	
120:17 161:15	17:19 20:22	
166:5	21:22 27:4,5	
worked 17:21	28:15 39:21	
17:23 18:25	40:17 76:16,17	
19:1 82:17	88:2 94:14,24	
147:13	120:5 144:7	
working 17:24	165:4 166:10	
18:3 19:3,4,8	169:17 183:9	
64:16,19,20,21	188:6	
67:2 91:5,11	year 11:2 91:24	
91:17 92:4,21	170:4	
116:3,7,10	years 17:22,24	
131:15,18	18:2 19:1	