

CASE No.

32

Large Exhibits

OCD



**STANOLIND OIL AND GAS COMPANY**  
FAIR BUILDING  
FORT WORTH, TEXAS

STANOLIND OIL AND GAS COMPANY  
FORT WORTH, TEXAS

JANUARY 21, 1942  
FILE: 0-3635-010-10

Re: Revision of Forms O-108 and 109

Mr. Glenn Staley  
Lee County Operators Committee  
Drawer 1  
Hobbs, New Mexico

Dear Sir:

This refers to your letter of January 20, addressed to all operators requesting the second revision of Forms O-108 and O-109.

We believe that this proposed form is satisfactory. However, we believe that the word "to" should be inserted between the words "distribution" and "units" in the line reading (1): "Distribution units based on", as you pointed out in your discussion on this subject with Mr. Carl.

Yours very truly,

STANOLIND OIL AND GAS COMPANY

J. H. Meyer

By *W. H. Carl*

STW/aw

cc: JHM  
WCH  
RHM

- 2 -

Mr. Glenn Staley 1/28/42

In bringing a sample form before the Commission for consideration our management feels that no non-essential work, or report making, should be advocated since there is constant a shortage of trained labor. Undoubtedly it would be to the advantage of all concerned if the number, and length, of all such reports were kept to an absolute minimum during the emergency.

Yours very truly,

*J. J. Sweeney*  
District Superintendent  
Stanolind Oil Company

JMS:SH

cc: Messrs. J. S. Freeman  
Geo. W. Selinger

**Leonard Oil Company**  
Roswell, New Mexico

HARVEY LEONARD  
PRESIDENT

January 21, 1942

Mr. Glenn Staley  
Lee County Operators Committee  
Drawer 1  
Hobbs, New Mexico

Dear Mr. Staley:

We have your letter of January 20th, enclosing a copy of new form to be used for reporting O-108 and O-109.

We believe this form is satisfactory, however, we should have until the 15th of the month for filling the report. This will allow an additional time to have the returns from the participating companies.

Yours very truly,

LEONARD OIL COMPANY

*Harvey Leonard*  
Harvey Leonard

HLM

**STANOLIND OIL AND GAS COMPANY**  
FAIR BUILDING  
FORT WORTH, TEXAS

STANOLIND OIL AND GAS COMPANY  
FORT WORTH, TEXAS

JANUARY 28, 1942

FILE: 0-3635-010-10

Mr. Glenn Staley  
Lee County Operators Committee  
Drawer 1  
Hobbs, New Mexico

Dear Sir:

We refer to your recent communication to all operators in Lee and Elmer counties, to which was attached a sample form combining O-108 and O-109, and which requested that we consider same and notify you of our criticisms, comments, suggestions, etc.; also if in our opinion the Conservation Commission should be petitioned by the Lee County Operators Committee to adopt same.

After reviewing the above mentioned combined form we have no criticisms to offer, but believe that it will substantially decrease the amount of work and time required in preparing the Forms O-108 and O-109, and so are heartily in accord with your suggestion that the Conservation Commission be petitioned by the Lee County Operators Committee to adopt the combined form.

Yours very truly,

*J. H. Meyer*  
J. H. Meyer

HLM:SH  
cc: JHM

**SKELLY OIL COMPANY**

Hobbs, New Mexico  
January 28, 1942

In re: Forms

Mr. Glenn Staley, Chairman,  
Lee County Operators Committee,  
Petroleum Office,  
Hobbs, New Mexico

Dear Mr. Staley:

The sample form, combining O-108 and O-109, which was attached to your general letter of January 20, has been received by us and we want to assure you that we appreciate very much your efforts in getting a sample form that will lift the burden placed on our shoulders when the two mentioned above were adopted. In reference to this sample we should also very much to have some comment along the line of comparing it with the one you previously sent all the operators.

You have columns on the form, down toward the bottom, calling for information which would be of no benefit to us whatsoever, but would simply mean additional work that should, if possible, be avoided. We understand the Commission have never requested any information not contained on the old O-108s, and this form did not call for capacity of storage, oil on hand, etc. Then since the State does not require this information it is our opinion that we should probably leave these columns off of any proposed form.

To us the sample form you sent all the operators earlier this month appears the most logical to present to the Commission for consideration, and we accordingly advised you of our approval of that form some few days ago. Our thought is still that this form is the one that should be brought before the Commission, providing, of course, it meets with the approval of a majority of the operators, and would furnish the State with all the required information, as we believe it would.

Johnson & Johnson

P. O. Box 102

Hobbs, New Mexico

January 27, 1942

Mr. Glenn Staley  
Lee County Operators Committee  
Drawer 1  
Hobbs, New Mexico

Mr. Staley:

Your letter to him of January 20, 1942 concerning form O-108 and O-109 together. I cannot see why this form is not suitable to fill monthly reports.

As one cannot see where any change would be of any value to form O-108 and O-109.

Will you please furnish the above address with a supply of these forms as soon as they are approved for print.

You are truly

*John Johnson*  
John Johnson

JAJ:



[illegible]

Mr. Glenn Staley,  
Lea County Operators Committee,  
Hobbs, New Mexico.

Dear Mr. Staley:

We are in receipt of your letter of the 20th, forwarding us a copy of the revised form combining New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission's form C-108 and C-109.

It is our opinion that this form is satisfactory, but would prefer that it show runs each month in excess of monthly allowance. This would be a desirable feature. An oil property may be under-produced for the month, but the oil runs may be in excess of the allowable for the month.

We would also like to have the regulations changed so that this report will be due on the 25th of the month instead of the 15th of the month. This would allow us our oil statement until about the 14th or 15th of the month and the amount of barrels we are paid for is never the same as the amount of barrels we are allowed to produce. We will check and report gas production until the 22nd to the 24th of each month, and this is entirely different from the amount they reported to our lease pumper, which must be shown on each report.

We are entirely out of forms C-108 and C-109 and would greatly appreciate it if you would have a supply of these reports sent to us in time to file our January oil report, or the revised form if it is adopted in time.

Very truly yours,  
WALKER OIL CORPORATION,  
By James B. Walker  
Sec.-Treas.

Mr. Glenn Staley,  
Lee County Operators Committee,  
Drawer 1,  
Hobbs, New Mexico.

We have your letter of January 20, 1942 with which was enclosed a combined form of the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission's Forms O-108 and O-109, including a lease summary and opening and closing gauges for the month.

Yours very truly,

SAMEDAN OIL CORPORATION

Re: 2000-2001

LIB-HE

[illegible]

**Remarks:**

(Opening Block, Plus Oil Production, Less Disposal, Must Equal Closing Block.)

**AFFIDAVIT:  
STATE OF TEXAS  
COUNTY OF \_\_\_\_\_**

Before me the undersigned authority, on this day personally appeared \_\_\_\_\_ known to me to be the person whose name is subscribed to this instrument, Who after being by me duly sworn on oath states that he is the person in charge of superintending the production from all wells on the above tract and is employed in the capacity of \_\_\_\_\_; and that he has knowledge of all the facts stated herein, and that said report contains no misstatements or omissions, and that no perjured matter is charged in said report nor does the same contain any untrue statement of fact or crime or of any kind to which shown in this report was produced from said report, and that said report is true and correct statement of the facts therein recited.

Subscribed and Sworn to before me on this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, A.D. 19\_\_\_\_.

Notary Public \_\_\_\_\_  
(Signature)

In and for \_\_\_\_\_ (Follow Instructions on Reverse Side.)

Mr. Glenn Staley,  
Lee County Operators Committee,  
Drawer 1, Hobbs, N.M.

We have your new condensed form, in lieu of the old C-108 and C-109 and it is some better than the first suggested form sent out on Dec.29th.

We do not like the "Uni" feature at all for where there is, say five wells running into one tank battery and you failed to run 50 bbls as much oil as you produced, it is purely a guess as to what portion of the 50 bbls belongs to a given well.

We attach a copy of the new Texas EB form that we  
imple yet very clear and would like to see something  
opted.

The Eastland Oil Co.,  
By W. E. Jones Sup't

TO STOCKHOLDERS:

TEXAS NATIONAL BANK BUILDING  
DALLAS, TEXAS  
January 23, 1942

Mr. Glenn Staley  
Lee County Operators Committee  
Drawer 1  
Hobbs, New Mexico

We acknowledge receipt of your form letter of January 20, 1942, with which you submitted a proposed second revision combining the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission's Forms C-109 and C-109a, and we are pleased to advise that we have no criticism to offer on the proposed form and hope it will be adopted without further changes.

Yours very truly

OIL WELL DRILLING COMPANY  
BY *H. A. Fausett*  
H. A. FAUSETT

## CONTINENTAL OIL COMPANY

Fort Worth, Texas  
January 24, 1942

Mr. Glenn Staley  
Lea County Operators Committee  
Drawer I  
Hobbs, New Mexico

Dear Sir

Reference is made to your letter to All Operators dated January 20th, relative to a combined form of the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission's Forms C-108 and C-109.

We approve and recommend the second revision of the proposed form, consolidating Forms C-108 and C-109 as submitted with your letter.

Yours truly,  
*[Signature]*  
Z. S. HURLEY  
General Superintendent  
Texas-New Mexico Division  
Production and Drilling Dept.

EGG-CF  
Carbon copy to:  
Mr. G. M. Purswell,  
Hobbs, New Mexico

2037

IRVING FISH, INC. COMM  
Houston, Texas  
January 22, 1942

Dr. H. E. Hubbard  
Belling

Dear Sirs:

I am returning herewith a letter from Mr. J. W. House together with proposed form to replace New Mexico Conservation Commission Form C-109 and Form C-100.

While Mr. DeWay's letter does not so state, I would infer that a separate form is to be made for each lease as the proposed form would not accommodate several leases. If it is proposed that a separate report be filed only for each field, it would appear that it would be necessary to provide a column in the report for the name of the lease, state land number, etc.

The term "The Oil Sold" is undoubtedly a misnomer as it would appear that the signature which should be furnished to the Commission and used by them is not "Oil Sold" but "Oil Not From The Lease". This is equally true with respect to the column headed "Purchaser" on the proposed report which should perhaps be changed to read "Pipe Line Connected". In the case of "Leasehold" the signature of the owner of the lease should be given, and in the signature or title to be in many instances the purchaser. In so far as Marble Oil & Refining Company leases connected by Marble Pipe Line Company are concerned, the oil as you know is not sold at the lease but is merely transported for account of the Marble Oil & Refining Company and sold upon delivery to Marble Pipe Line Company to seaboard terminals.

In the discussion with the Joint Women's Oil & Gas Association Committee, directed by this date as well as other work assigned to Committee members, the following points were emphasized: regarding the Commission to eliminate from the reports data as to the total gas produced from oil wells having in mind the situation which has developed in Louisiana with respect to taxation on gas produced but not used. While we appreciate what information with respect to gas-oil ratio is necessary for the proper assessment of transportation facilities, the Commission should not be concerned with the actual production of gas. The matter should not be covered from periodic reports of fields on wells rather than attempting to work out and compile all of the details of this nature each month.

The Court does not carry instructions in regard to the preparation and filing of this report, and I would like suggest that any instructions which are to be written in connection with it would be a copy of the report to be submitted to the jury this morning and leave such matter.

[illegible]

W. E. Hubbard

Houston, Texas

1/20/42

In regard to the information as to the disposition of gas which is required on the form, I might state that insofar as most of the smaller operators are concerned this information is not available to them at the time the report is required to be filed, and from my observation of the reports which we are currently receiving from producers served by us, this information is not being furnished by the producer.

As you know the present forms which have been adopted by the Commission vary slightly from the recommendations of the committee appointed by the New Mexico Oil & Gas Association which recommendations were finally worked out and agreed upon in meetings with representatives from the Lea County Operators Association.

Yours very truly,

(Signed) A. D. Wilbur

ADW:SBV

C O P Y

**HUMBLE OIL & REFINING COMPANY**  
HOUSTON, TEXAS

January 23, 1942

Mr. Glenn Staley,  
Drawer 1,  
Hobbs, New Mexico.

Dear Sir:

In reply to your letter of January 20 referring to a combined form of your present Forms C-108 and C-109, will you please note the attached copy of comments by Mr. A. D. Wilbur?

It is my understanding that the Mid-Continent Oil & Gas Association Committee on Forms feels that while the information with respect to gas-oil ratio is necessary to the proper enforcement of conservation rules, the essential information could best be secured from periodic reports from tests on wells rather than attempting to work out and compile all of the details of this nature each month.

Very truly yours,

W. I. Hubbard

NRH:LA  
Attachment

January 20, 1942

TO ALL CHARTERS

A combined form of the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission's Forms C-108 and C-109 together with a general letter of explanation was mailed to all operators on December 29, 1941.

In replying to this general letter a number of operators have suggested that the form be further revised so as to include a lease summary and opening and closing gauges for the month.

The Lea County Engineers Committee at their regular monthly meeting held January 14, 1942 made up a form embodying the suggested revisions. They also passed a resolution requesting that this revised form be submitted to all operators for their consideration and criticism.

In compliance with this request there is enclosed herewith a second revision of Forms C-108 and C-109 for your consideration. Please advise the writer as to any further criticism which you might have to make.

Yours very truly,

Glenn Staley  
Los County Operators Committee  
Drawer 1  
Hobbs, New Mexico



[illegible]

NEVILLE G. PENROSE, INC.  
FAIR BUILDING  
FORT WORTH, TEXAS  
January 19, 1942

Las Vegas Operators' Commission  
 District I  
 Las Vegas, New Mexico

Attention: Mr. Glen Staley

Page 20 of 79

We are enclosing forms G-108 and G-100 covering our farm lease. We believe we have now learned how to correctly make out these reports. In the event we have not correctly completed the reports, please advise me and I will get them out correctly hereafter.

[illegible]

I want to apologize for the trouble we have caused you in submitting down which had to be returned for completion and must acknowledge the fact that the errors were caused by ignorance on our part. If there is any additional information required which I have failed to give, I would greatly appreciate your advising me.

**CONCLUSIONS:**

[illegible][illegible]

**WESTATES PETROLEUM CORPORATION**  
EXECUTIVE OFFICES  
TELEPHONE BUFFER 1566 • 391 BUFFER STREET  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

January 15, 1942

Mr. Glenn Sirloy  
Lee County Operators Committee  
Drawer 1  
Hobbs, New Mexico

Dear Sir:

We have read with great interest your letter to us in reference to form G-109, Operator's Monthly Report. It is our opinion that the revised form will serve the needs of everyone concerned.

We would like to raise the question at this time of the "Over and Short" statement. Is it our understanding that we are to continue to file the monthly "Over and Short" statement with the Commission? We would appreciate it if you would kindly advise us.

With kindest personal regards,

Very truly yours,

WESTATES PETROLEUM CORPORATION

4

PH: 04





Mr. Glenn Staley  
Lee County Operators Committee  
Hobbs, New Mexico

Dear Sirs:

With reference to your letter pertaining to monthly reports C-109 and C-109A.

The revised form C-109, containing pipe line runs, is very satisfactory with this company. Combining these two forms, and eliminating form C-109A, will allow that much more time to be used on some of the other numerous monthly reports.

It is my opinion, the Conservation Commission should be petitioned by the Lee County Operators Committee to adopt same.

Yours very truly,

*L. S. Smith*  
L. S. Smith  
Dist. Superintendent  
Republic Oil Co.  
Hobbs, N. M.

LS/sls

Hobbs, New Mexico  
January 9, 1942

Mr. E. J. Kessler, Chairman,  
Lee County Operators Committee  
c/o Shell Oil Company, Inc.,  
Midland, Texas

Dear Mr. Kessler:

I have a general letter from Mr. Glenn Staley to all operators of Lee and Sibley Counties, to which was attached a proposed form to replace the recently adopted E. J. Oil Conservation Commission forms C-109 and C-109A.

We are very well pleased with this proposed form, since it will eliminate the additional burden placed on the operators when these two forms were adopted. No doubt but that the entire expense of labor, printing and paper, placed on the shoulders of the Commission was rather a large item.

We have already advised Mr. Staley of our desire to see this new form replace the two, and are sure that you will do all within your power to see that the Commission is petitioned to adopt it.

Yours very truly,

*W. E. DUNN*  
W. E. DUNN

SHELL OIL COMPANY

JWD:10

cc: Mr. Glenn Staley  
Mr. Geo. H. Sellinger

THE ILLINOIS OIL COMPANY  
P. O. BOX 100  
KANSAS, TEXAS

January 8, 1942

Mr. Glenn Staley  
Lee County Operators Committee  
Drawer 1  
Hobbs, New Mexico

Dear Mr. Staley:

Received your letter regarding the combining of forms C-109 and C-109A.

We believe that the combining of the above mentioned forms is a very good idea and of course, any move cutting down the number of forms we are required to file is greatly appreciated.

It is our opinion that the Conservation Commission should be petitioned by the Lee County Operators Committee to adopt same.

Yours very truly,

*L. S. Smith*  
L. S. Smith

MORTON & ELLER  
200 EAST STREET  
LONG BEACH, CALIFORNIA

DRIVER 107, Artesia, N. Mex.  
Jan. 10, 1942

Mr. Glenn Staley,  
Lee County Operators Comm.,  
Drawer 1,  
Hobbs, N. Mex.

Dear Sirs:

Regarding the new form C-109, I am sure that if it provides your operators with all the information that you find necessary, it is simpler and would be a good idea to adopt it.

I think the leaving off the affidavit part is a good thing, as after all a signature is just a word and it is a bother to the operators to have to run out and look up a lawyer.

Yours very truly,

*Morton & Eller*  
Morton & Eller

WESTERN PETROLEUM CORPORATION

P. O. BOX 1281  
JAL, NEW MEXICO

Jan. 9, 1942.

Mr. Glenn Staley, Chairman,  
Lee County Operators Comm.,  
Hobbs, New Mexico.

Dear Mr. Staley:-

Form C-109, while we do not at present have any operations and reports to any but Federal Leases, meets with our approval except in minor detail. The following is suggested or may only be a lack of full understanding of the form:

From the left of the perpendicular section of the form, the column: Net Oil Produced: oil produced, but not sold, is of indeterminate estimate as to temperature because it is rarely tested except upon shipment, also for B.S. & W. and per cent- age of the same. This would make the correct answer for gross instead of net unless the operator chose to make tests of the oil or estimate the difference between gross and net. This would only pertain to storage before and after the month's ship- ments and may be generally regarded as immaterial to the report.

Sincerely,

*J. B. Benton*  
J. B. Benton  
Dist. Supt.

GRAYBURG OIL COMPANY  
OF NEW MEXICO

ARTESIA, NEW MEXICO

January 9, 1942

Mr. Glenn Staley  
Lee County Operators Committee  
Hobbs, New Mexico

Dear Mr. Staley:

Referring to Form C-109, we believe this form should be satisfactory with all operators, and at the same time give the required information.

We might add one suggestion to the form. At the bottom where the production during the month was disposed of, we suggest that you show opening and closing inventories.

Yours very truly,

Grayburg Oil Company  
Elmer Production Company  
Greiner Petroleum Corp.  
Western Production Company

GDH:10



AMON G. CARTER  
P. O. Box 1036

Fort Worth, Texas  
January 7, 1942

Mr. Glenn Staley,  
Lee County Operators' Committee,  
Drawer 1,  
Hobbs, New Mexico.

I have received your letter of January 6, 1942, regarding the proposed new form for the Lee County Operators' Committee. I am sorry that I cannot reply to you more fully at this time, but I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

Wilson Oil Company

SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

January 7, 1942

Mr. Glenn Staley,  
Lee County Operators' Committee,  
Drawer 1,  
Hobbs, New Mexico.

Dear Mr. Staley:

I have your letter of January 6, 1942, regarding the proposed new form for the Lee County Operators' Committee. I am sorry that I cannot reply to you more fully at this time, but I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

Midland, Texas,  
Box 112,  
January 6, 1942.

Lee County Operators' Committee,  
Drawer 1,  
Hobbs, New Mexico.

Attention: Mr. Glenn Staley

Dear Mr. Staley,

We have your letter of recent date directed to all Operators in Lee & Eddy Counties, reference to combining Form C-106 and C-109, and have examined the sample form. We are heartily in accord with the proposal, and believe that the Conservation Commission should be petitioned to adopt same.

In reply to your request for suggestions, etc., we offer the following: Under oil dispositions, that "This is oil from stock tanks" and "This is oil from the well" be omitted, and space be provided for Opening and Closing Stocks. The correctness of the "Net oil produced" figure could then be determined by adding closing stock to net oil sold and subtracting opening stock. It seems that the "Net oil produced" figure is correct; whereas, by starting off with the assumption and closing stocks, we need only to know what the net sales figure is, to determine the correct net production.

The elimination of the "Net production" line in the lower part of the form will not take anything away from the form, since "net production" is already taken care of in the upper part.

Having attended most of the recent meetings in connection with preparing forms in New Mexico, we realize how extremely difficult it is to make a form that will be of use to everyone in all respects, and with this in mind, we try to make it as simple as possible. We are sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.

I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators. I am sure that the proposed form will be of great benefit to the operators.



SHELL OIL COMPANY

PETROLEUM BUILDING

MIDLAND, TEXAS

January 7, 1942

In Re: Proposed Form C-109  
Oil Conservation  
Commission, State  
of New Mexico

Mr. Glenn Staley,  
Lee County Operators' Committee,  
Drawer 1,  
Hobbs, New Mexico

Dear Mr. Staley:

We have received your memorandum in regard to a new Form C-109, which has been prepared by the engineers of the Lee County Operators' Committee. This new form has been prepared with the view of combining the present Lee County Oil Conservation Commission Forms C-106 and C-109, with the purpose in mind of reducing the amount of paper work which is not required in submitting the two old forms.

We have studied the proposed Form C-109 and it is our opinion that it should be submitted to the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission for adoption by them, and that present Forms C-106 and C-109 should be discontinued. I believe that this new form includes the necessary information now only contained in the two old reports, and that considerable time would be saved and efficiency gained by eliminating the two old forms and adopting the proposed Form C-109.

We recommend that the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission be petitioned by the Lee County Operators' Committee to adopt this form.

Yours very truly,

SHELL OIL COMPANY, Incorporated

H. J. Kunkin  
Chief Engineer - West Texas

Oil Producing Committee - Houston  
Oil Producing Committee - Houston  
Oil Producing Committee - Houston

TO ALL OPERATORS LEE & EDY COUNTIES

REPLYING

The Production Office and State Geologist's Office have received a number of letters from producing operators in the State of New Mexico expressing dissatisfaction with the Commission's recently adopted STANDARD FORMS. It is thought at the time this form was made up that it could be filled out at the same time the Federal Form C-109 was made out but it now appears that this is impossible.

At the request of Mr. Ed. Kunkin, Chairman of the Oil-Conservation Committee the engineers have prepared a sample form combining C-106 and C-109, copy of which is enclosed herewith. It is believed that this new form includes the necessary information previously contained in the other two reports.

It will be greatly appreciated if you will give this sample form your consideration and notify the writer of your criticisms, comments, suggestions, etc.; and if, in your opinion, the Conservation Commission should be petitioned by the Lee County Operators' Committee to adopt same.

Yours very truly,

Glenn Staley  
Lee County Operators' Committee,  
Drawer 1,  
Hobbs, New Mexico

Dear Sir:

Think this form will be great improvement over the other two.

R. W. PAID INTERESTS

TYLER, TEXAS

By 1/12/42

Rudco Oil & Gas Co.

Producers and Refiners of Petroleum Products

P. O. Box 2018

Tyler, Texas

January 7, 1942

Glenn Staley  
Lee County Operators' Committee  
Drawer 1  
Hobbs, New Mexico

Dear Sir:

I acknowledge receipt of form letter transmitting copy of Operator's Monthly Report form on which you ask that comments be made.

So far as this company is concerned, the form meets with our approval, except that there are one or two suggestions that I am making below for what they may be worth to you. Please understand that the form as prepared is satisfactory with us, however, our operations are very limited in New Mexico, and it is possible that the comments below would affect the larger operators to a much greater extent than in our case.

It seems to me that there is some information requested on the form that is almost impossible to accurately give. It may be that that information is necessary, and if so, of course, it would have to be included. I have reference to the Quantity of the Oil; the Total Gas Production M.C.F.; Gas-Oil Ratio cu. ft. per Barrel and Gallons per M.C.F. Particularly, is the gas production difficult to accurately report, because there is no way of measuring it, and of course, leases in most instances are not provided with meters.

The gas-oil ratio cannot be determined accurately except by tests, and these tests are seldom, if ever, made on the average producing property.

The gallons per thousand cubic feet is, of course, unknown to the operator unless he is disposing of his gas to some casing head plant.

On the bottom of the form the information concerning the gas is practically impossible to estimate on the average lease. I know, for our part, that any figure we might show would be just a wild guess, because we have no means of measuring the gas and would be put to a considerable expense were that necessary.

As I have said before, these suggestions are made for what they may be worth, and I hope that they are considered by you as not critical.

Yours very truly,

R. W. Cook

CCC/lab

cc: C. J. Dexter, Artesia  
W. S. Walsh, Artesia  
M. S. Bish, Artesia  
D. D. Balle, Hobbs  
C. S. Griffith, Gallup  
D. J. Dwyer, Dickinson  
Ernest Cooper, Artesia  
Eugene Brown, Clovis  
H. L. Sawyer, Roswell

# DALEPORT OIL CORPORATION

100 Green Building  
Bristow, Oklahoma  
January 6th, 1942.

Mr. Glenn Staley  
Lea County Operators Committee,  
Drawer 1,  
Hobbs, New Mexico.

Dear Mr. Staley:

This will acknowledge your letter to all operators in Lea and Terry Counties New Mexico, together with sample form combining forms C-108 and C-109.

For your information, we only have one lease and one well on that, and for that reason we are not in a position to either criticize or offer helpful suggestions as could operators who have several wells on several different leases.

We want to be absolutely fair in the matter, but where we are affected in such a small way, we are willing to abide by the decision of the majority of operators. We expect our inability to be helpful at this time but believe you understand why it is impossible for us to make suggestions that would fit the large operator's problems.

Yours very truly,  
Daleport Oil Corporation

By *W. W. W. W. W.*

W

Head Office  
Chas. H. T.

# Olean Petroleum Company 100 Green Building Bristow, Oklahoma

Jan. 5, 1942.

Glenn Staley,  
Lea County Operators Committee,  
Drawer 1,  
Hobbs, New Mexico.

Dear Sir:

With reference to your form letter of recent date, enclosing sample form combining forms C-108 and C-109, to be filed with Oil Conservation Commission on production in New Mexico:

We feel that cases such as ours, where producer and operator are the same, the combined form will be decidedly preferable, and we hope the Commission will decide to adopt this combined form.

Very truly yours,

Olean Petroleum Company,

By *W. W. W. W. W.*

OK

Telephone 22

# SKELLY OIL COMPANY

TULSA, OKLAHOMA

January 5, 1942

PRODUCER'S REPORT  
OF OIL PRODUCTION  
AND OPERATIONS

Mr. Glenn Staley  
Lea County Operators Committee,  
Drawer 1,  
Hobbs, New Mexico

Dear Sir:

We acknowledge receipt of form C-109, "Operator's Monthly Report", which is a sample form combining C-108 and C-109, and this is to advise you that after considering this form C-109 as proposed, it meets with our approval, especially since it eliminates the present form C-108 and makes it necessary for only one report to be filed each month and in line with your request we further wish to advise that the Conservation Commission should be petitioned by the Lea County Operators Committee to adopt form C-109 as proposed, eliminating the present C-108 and C-109.

Very truly yours

*George W. Dunlap*  
George W. Dunlap

GWD:ah  
cc: J. Dunlap

# WALKER OIL CORPORATION

100 Green Building  
Bristow, Oklahoma  
January 5, 1942.

Mr. Glenn Staley,  
Lea County Operators Committee,  
Hobbs, New Mexico.

Dear Glenn:

We are in receipt of your letter enclosing a sample form combining form C-108 and C-109 for reporting oil production, oil runs and gas sales.

We believe that this form is satisfactory and that the Conservation Commission should be petitioned by the Lea County Operators Committee to adopt this form.

Very truly yours,

WALKER OIL CORPORATION,

By *Harry N. Walker*

Harry N. Walker

# SOUTHERN PETROLEUM EXPLORATION, INC.

Box 1017  
Roswell, New Mexico

January 5, 1942

GEOLOGICAL AND  
LAND DEPARTMENT

Mr. Glenn Staley  
Lea County Operators Committee,  
Drawer 1,  
Hobbs, New Mexico

Dear Glenn:

Harry Leonard has just handed me your latest memorandum relative to Producer's Monthly Crude Petroleum Oil Report with attached tentative new form labeled form C-109.

Since I am leaving promptly for a couple weeks work in North Dakota I have hurriedly looked at this new form and apparently it will be quite satisfactory. At least I think it will be as it seems to give all the information you have previously required and is quite similar to the old form C-104-A, which I believe worked satisfactorily for a number of years.

I believe everyone will be satisfied with the new form provided the old form C-108 is eliminated and the time of submitting the form is made at such a date that pipe line statements are received before the report has to be sent in. If the time for submitting the new form C-109 is the same as the present form C-108, namely, the 25th of the month, I think it will work quite satisfactorily.

I believe the Conservation Commission should be petitioned by the Lea County Operators Committee to adopt the new form.

Very truly yours,

*J. B. Headley*  
J. B. Headley  
For Southern Petroleum Exploration, Inc.

JBH:AMS

# WESTMOUNT OIL COMPANY

512 CONTINENTAL BUILDING  
DALEMAN, TEXAS

January 5, 1942

Mr. Glenn Staley  
Lea County Operators Committee,  
Drawer 1,  
Hobbs, New Mexico

Dear Mr. Staley:

This company is in receipt of a new operators monthly report titled form C-109, which you point out is a combination of the old reports C-108 and C-109.

We are one of those companies mentioned in your letter who was dissatisfied with the original form C-108. With a great deal of pleasure we examined the copy of the combined form which you enclosed and it is our opinion that this form will meet the needs of both the commission and of the operators. All necessary information seems to be required in this report and we are highly in favor of the adoption of this form since it eliminates duplication of work which was necessary under the old system. Our company enters its request that the Lea County Operators Committee petition the Conservation Commission for the early adoption of this form.

Yours very truly,

WESTMOUNT OIL COMPANY

*John M. Turner*  
John M. Turner

JMT:ah

**TEXAS PACIFIC COAL AND OIL COMPANY**

EXECUTIVE OFFICES  
FORT WORTH  
TEXAS

January 5, 1941

Mr. Glenn Staley, Chairman  
Lee County Operators' Committee  
Box 1  
Hobbs, New Mexico

Dear Sir:

Today's mail brought us your circular letter with the revised form C-108 which you ask our comment. If the form as revised gives you what you want and will eliminate the C-104, it certainly will meet with our wholehearted approval.

In our round sketcher form sent you with our letter of December 19th, we have provided a column for the allowable, which is about the only difference. With the allowable shown on this form it shows without reference to the schedule whether a well was over or under produced and this will be worth much to an operator in checking back over old sheets, because sometimes a schedule because misplaced while files of the monthly forms are more carefully checked.

As stated above, however, the revised form as you have it will be most satisfactory and this allowable matter is just passed on to you for what it may be worth in your judgment.

Yours very truly,

TEXAS PACIFIC COAL AND OIL COMPANY

G. W. Schaffer  
By Mr. John Kelly  
Santa Fe, New Mexico

December 22, 1941

Oil Conservation Commission  
State of New Mexico  
Santa Fe, New Mexico

Gentlemen:

We submit to you herewith our Producer's Monthly Crude Petroleum Oil Report for the month of November 1941, on Form C-108. We also enclose Operator's Monthly Report for November on Form C-109.

Report on Form C-108 being due December 15th is delinquent due to the fact that the information called for on the form, namely, oil runs to pipe line, does not reach this office until after the 15th, sometimes as late as the production records but invariably some of these are in error due to the fact that the pipe line companies many times make changes on the run tickets, notice of which we do not get until we receive the monthly pipe line statements. As before stated, these do not reach us until after the 15th, sometimes as late as the 1st. In order to make an accurate report it is necessary to have the pipe line statements. We believe the time of submitting Form C-108 should be about the 25th of the month.

On the other hand you require Form C-108 to be submitted by the 25th of the month. The pertinent information desired on this form (excepting pipe line runs, which is a duplication of information on Form C-108), is that of the physical condition of the wells. We believe that in the first of the month and it is not necessary to delay it until the 25th. We believe the time for submitting the forms are reversed. It would be better, however, to have both forms due at the same time.

May we take the liberty to make exception to Form C-108. This form is a good one for the purpose it is intended for you require another purpose for it. It seems the form is almost identical with the Federal Tender Board Form. The

Page 2  
Oil Conservation Commission

12-22-41

Federal Tender Board form is designed to submit information by pools and to that end is a good form. But when you require the information to be submitted by leases on this form it does not serve the purpose very well. The form was not designed for this. Sections 1 and 2 of the form each have a line for totals. We are at a loss as to what to do with these lines. We cannot add up lease names, unit letters, counties, names of transporters or locations of tanks on these lines. And to add up the numbers on lease tanks has no meaning except a repetition of the lines above in the column. In Section 3 of the same form there is no provision to take care of wells separately, yet a line total is given implying that information for separate wells should be given. After the oil is produced into the tanks there is no way of telling how much from each well goes to the pipe line. The only way we can submit information for each well on this form would be to have a separate tank for each well and pipe line runs made from each well tank.

It is our information that at the time the new forms were considered that they were to be designed to aid the operators in making reports in conjunction with the required Tender Board form. Form C-108 was apparently adopted for that purpose. But to that purpose it has failed completely. For instead of relieving the duplication of labor in making the reports the new forms have doubled and almost tripled the work. The reason being that the Tender Board form calls for information by pools and you require the information by separate leases. The two forms, Federal and State, cannot be made at the same time in a typewriter and cannot be made out at the same time. Nor are they handy for transferring data from one to the other. To do that takes just as much time as starting all over again with the new information.

We believe your old Form C-104-A is much better adapted for the information you desire. If you desire the information of C-108 separately it should be made out by pools.

We do not intend to kick about making reports but we believe the forms should be efficiently designed for the

**TEXAS PACIFIC COAL AND OIL COMPANY**

EXECUTIVE OFFICES  
FORT WORTH  
TEXAS

December 30, 1941

January 6, 1942

Page 3  
Oil Conservation Commission 12-22-41

purpose they are intended and to cause a minimum of work and repetition. The present forms more than double the work and cause considerable repetition.

Very truly yours,

SOUTHERN PETROLEUM EXPLORATION, INC.,

By

JBR:AMS

cc-Southern Petroleum Exploration, Inc.  
Slatersville, West Virginia

cc-Glen Staley  
Lee County Operators' Committee  
Hobbs, New Mexico

Mr. G. G. Staley, Chairman  
Lee County Operators' Committee  
Hobbs, New Mexico

Dear Sir:

Reference to our exchange of correspondence of December 19th and 27th, regarding forms C-108 and C-109.

As suggested in your letter, we are forwarding a copy of the attached form to Mr. Kelly for whatever use he may be able to make of same. As you will note, we have roughed in a column for oil runs to pipe lines and for the allowable. About all this form does not provide for is the tank data shown on C-108 and the well completion data is turned in on other forms, so, unless this information is considered necessary, the form C-108 could be eliminated.

It is not our intention to be critical and we are passing this along for what it may be worth. If anything, if it is of no value to the form committee, they may pass it on to the waste basket and our feelings will not be affected.

Yours very truly,

TEXAS PACIFIC COAL AND OIL COMPANY

By

G. W. Schaffer  
By Mr. John Kelly  
Santa Fe, New Mexico

Mr. G. W. Schaffer  
T. L. Coal & Oil Co.  
El Norte, Texas

Dear Mr. Schaffer:

I wish to thank you for your letter of December 20, containing your idea of the changes that could be made in Form C-108 so that C-108 could be eliminated.

You, no doubt, have received a copy of the changes in Form C-108 as suggested by the engineers. You will note that it is for all practical purposes, the same as yours. I am enclosing another copy in case you did not receive the one sent out with the general mailing list.

Thanking you for your interest in the matter, I am,

Yours very truly,

GWS

G. W. Schaffer

**PHILLIPS PETROLEUM COMPANY**

Hamilton, Texas  
January 5, 1942

Mr. Glenn Staley,  
Lea County Operators Committee,  
Denver, Tl.,  
Holt, New Mexico.

Re: Combining Forms C-108 and C-109

Reference is made to your memorandum to  
all operators in Lea and Safford Counties, New Mexi-  
co, relative to combining the New Mexico Oil Con-  
servations Commission forms C-108 and C-109.

After examining this new form thoroughly  
we believe that it should serve the purpose for  
which it was made and we are agreeable to using the  
new form C-109, and doing away with the other two  
reports.

Yours very truly,

C. A. Daniels  
C. A. Daniels, Division Supt.

CAR:RGS

Artesia, New Mexico  
January 13, 1942

Mr. Glenn Staley,  
Lea County Operators Committee,  
Denver, Tl.,  
Holt, New Mexico

Dear Sir:-

In our opinion, the Conservation  
Commission should be petitioned to adopt  
the new form of operators Monthly Crude Oil  
Report, combining forms C-108 and C-109. By  
so doing we will conserve time, as well as  
paper.

W. T. Hudson  
W. T. Hudson  
C. B. Smith  
C. B. Smith

TO ALL OPERATORS LSA & LEAF COUNTIES

GENTLEMEN:

The Forestry Office and State Geologist's office have received a number of letters from producing operators in the State of New Mexico expressing dissatisfaction with the Commission's recently adopted FURNISHING OF CERTAIN CRITICAL INFORMATION OIL REPORT Form C-106. It was thought at the time this form was made up that it could be filled out at the same time the Federal Tender Board form was made out but it now appears that this is impossible.

At the request of Mr. H.J. Kessler, Chairman of the Subadvisory Committee the two inlets have prepared a sample form combining C-106 and C-107, copy of which is enclosed herewith. It is believed that this new form includes the necessary information previously contained in the other two reports.

It will be greatly appreciated if you will give this sample form your consideration and notify the writer of your criticisms, comments, suggestions, etc.; and if, in your opinion, the Conservation Commission should be petitioned by the Lea County Operators Committee to adopt same.

Yours very truly,  
Glenn Skidley  
Lea County Operators Committee,  
Drawer 1  
Hobbs, New Mexico

GSKH

[illegible]