848 Application, Transcript, Smill Exhibits, Etc.

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLEI BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

THE APPLICATION OF EL PASO NATURAL GAS COMPANY FOR COMPULSORY COMMUNITIZATION OF LOTS 3 AND 4, E/2 SW/4, SE/4, (S/2) OF SECTION 31, TOWNSHIP 31 NORTH, RANGE 11 WEST, NMPM, SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

THE APPLICATION OF EL PASO NATURAL GAS COMPANY FOR DETERMINATION AND RATIFICATION OF COMMUNITIZATION OF LOTS 3 AND 4, E/2 SW/4, AND SE/4 (THESE LANDS COMPRISING THE S/2) OF SECTION 31, TOWNSHIP 31 NORTH, RANGE 11 MEST, SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO. CASE NO. 707) CASE NO. 847) Consolidated Order No. R-546-B

APPLICATION FOR REHEARING

Your Applicant, EL PASO NATURAL GAS COMPANY, applies for rehearing and states:

1. Applicant is the owner of oil and gas leasehold interests in and under the tract of land described in the caption and is a party affected by Order No. R-546-B entered by the Commission on January 12, 1956.

2. Your Applicant would show the Commission that its Order No. R-546-B is erroneous as follows.

a. That the Commission's Finding No. 9, insofar as it finds that the date upon which the working interest owners agreed to communitize their leases of May 19, 1954 is not supported by and is contrary to the credible evidence.

b. That the Commission's Finding No. 11 that the pooling and drilling unit was established on May 19, 1954 is not supported by and is contrary to the weight of the credible evidence.

c. That the portion of Paragraph 1 of the Commission's Order establishing May 19, 1954 as the date the drilling unit upon a pooled and communitized tract became effective is erroneous.

d. That there is no evidence in the record to show that the working interest owners made any agreement on the 19th day of

Application for Rehearing

Cases Nos. 707 and 847

May, 1954, the date when the original hearing was conducted, and that the evidence shows the agreement to have been made and consummated prior to that date and the selection of that date is arbitrary and unreasonable.

e. That the evidence shows the working interest owners had agreed to communitize and pool their respective interests prior to February 19, 1953, on which date a Notice of Intention to Drill was filed with the Commission.

f. That the finding of the Commission that an agreement was made on May 19, 1954 is an arbitrary and unreasonable finding and not necessary to a determination of the applications.

The Commission having held that the working interest g. owners have the power without the joinder of the lessors to enter an agreement for the communitizing or pooling of tracts of land into drilling units in conformity with Order R-110, the Commission exceeded its jurisdiction by determining the date upon which the working interest owners made such agreement and exceeded its jurisdiction in determining that such agreement did not become effective until the date of the first hearing, which findings were not necessary to a determination of the applications. The Commission, having found that the working interest owners effectively pooled or communitized the tracts of land into a drilling unit, has no further jurisdiction and the Commission's Order is erroneous in attempting to do more than determine the effect of the agreement made by the working interest owners. When that agreement effectively pooled the several tracts into a drilling unit, there remained nothing further for the Commission to dc, and those portions of the Commission's Order which attempt to pool or communitize at a later date are invalid and void.

h. Faragraph 2 of the Commission's Order is beyond its jurisdiction and is not supported by the evidence, and is contradictory and contrary to all of the findings and conclusions of the

Application for Maning

Cases Nos. 707 and 847

Commission made in the remaining portions of the Order.

WHEREFORE, your Applicant respectfully requests the Commission to grant a rehearing in these consolidated cases and to hear such further evidence as may be material, and to reconsider the Order entered by the Commission.

Respectfully submitted,

EL PASO NATURAL GAS, COMPANY By_/

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

THE APPLICATION OF EL PASO NATURAL GAS COMPANY FOR COMPULSORY COMMUNITIZATION OF LOTS 3 AND 4, E/2 SW/4, SE/4, (S/2) OF SECTION 31, TOWNSHIP 31 NORTH, RANGE 11 WEST, NMPM, SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

CASE NO. 707) Consolidated CASE NO. 847)

THE APPLICATION OF EI PASO NATURAL GAS COMPANY FOR DETERMINATION AND RATIFICATION OF COMMUNITIZATION OF LOTS 3 AND 4, E/2 SW/4, AND SE/4 (THESE LANDS COMPRISING THE S/2) OF SECTION 31, TOWNSHIP 31 NORTH, RANGE 11 WEST, SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

APPLICATION FOR REHEARING

Come now Saul A. Yager, Marian Yager, M. E. Gimp, Morris Mizel and wife, Flora Mizel, and Sam Mizel, by their attorneys, Campbell & Russell, and make application to the Commission for rehearing upon Order No. R-546-B, and as a basis for the application state:

(a) Applicants are the owners of interests in Lot 4, Section 31, Township 31 North, Range 11 West, N.M.P.M., San Juan County, New Mexico and are parties affected by Order No. R-546-B entered by the Commission on January 12, 1956.

(b) Order No. R-546-B is erroneous in the following respects:

Finding No. 10 is erroneous in that Order
No. R-110 was not complied with in the establishment of the
drilling unit.

2. Finding No. 11 is erroneous in that all interests within said unit were not consolidated by pooling agreement or otherwise as required by Order No. R-110.

3. Order No. R-546-B is contrary to Section 1(a) of Order No. R-110 of the Commission.

4. Order No. R-546-B is contrary to Section 13(b) of Chapter 168, Laws of 1949, as amended.

5. Order No. R-546-B is an unreasonable and arbitrary interpretation of the Commission's rules and regulations and deprives Applicants of their correlative rights.

6. Order No. R-546-B deprives Applicants of their property without due process of law.

7. Order No. R-546-B impairs the obligations of a valid lease contract between Applicants and El Paso Natural Gas Company.

WHEREFORE, Applicants request a rehearing in Case No. 797 - 847 Consolidated on Order No. R-546-B.

-2-

Respectfully submitted,

Saul A. Yager, Marian Yager, M. E. Gimp, Morris Mizel and wife, Flora Mizel, and Sam Mizel

Βv

for CAMPBELL & RUSSELL their attorneys

BEFORE THE GEL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MERICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE CIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

THE APPLICATION OF EL PASO NATURAL GAS COMPANY FOR COMPULSORY COMMUNITIZATION OF W/L OF SECTION 15, TOWNSHIP 31 NORTH, RANGE IL WEST, NMPM, SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

THE APPLICATION OF EL PASC NATURAL GAS COMPANY FOR DETERMINATION AND RATIFICATION OF COMMUNITIZATION OF W/2 OF SECTION 15. TOWNSHIP 31 NORTH, RANGE 11 WEST, NMPM, SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO. CASE NO. 700) CASE NO. 648) Order No. R-547-8



BY THE COMMISSION

Case No. 708 came on for hearing originally on heavily, 1955 before this Commission, at which time testimony and evidence offered by the interested parties was received by the Commission, and after which time written briefs were submitted by said parties and considered by the Commission.

Thereafter, the Commission signed Order No. R-547 in Case No. 708 on December 15, 1954 (the order being entered in the Commission records on December 17, 1954,) declaring that W/2 of Section 19, Township 31 North, Kange II West, NMPM, was recognized as a communitized or pooled tract from August 3, 1953.

Thereafter, on January 6, 1935, Saul A. Yager, Marian Magor, M. E. Gimp, Morris Mixel, Flora Mixel and Sam Mixel, the Yager Group". filed their application for rehearing, pursuant to which the Commission entered its Order No. R-547-A on January 14, 1955, Sinte S Carnello, 708 for rehearing.

Thereafter, on February 2, 1955 \$1 Paso Natural Gas Company filed its application for bearing in Case No. Sec.

Thereafter, on March 17, 1955, at 9:00 a.m., Case No. 708 came on for rehearing and Case No. 848 came on for regular hearing, at which time the two cases — ere consolidated for bearing, it being understood that the entire record on Case No. 708 should be considered applicable to Case No. 848.

-1-0148-10. 10-18-547-8

NOW, on this 22 day of January, 1936. the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered all the evidence, testimony, exhibits and logal arguments and briefs adduced and presented during the course of these two cases, and being fully advised in the premises,

SONIS

i. That due public notice having been given an required by law, the (. mminster has justediction of these cases, and the subject matter covered by the order for rehearing in Case No. 708.

3. That in making these liadings and leguing this order, the Commutation recognises that the reader is a dispute as to whether certain leases involved in these cases remained in torce and effect during all times relevant hereto; these cases remained in torce and effect during all times order to adjudicate the the the Commission cannot, and will not, attempt is this order to adjudicate the the the same such that the the commission cannot, and will not, attempt is this order to adjudicate the title to any and hereto.

3. That by its order No. R-110 this Commission established a uniform spacing plan for the Blanco-Mesaverde Gas Pool, suid plan previding tor drilling units of not less than 320 acres of land in the shape of a rectangle, and provided further that "the popling of properties or parts thereof shall be permitted, and if not agreed upon may be required in any case when and to the extent that the smallness or shape of a separately owned tract would, under the enforcement of the uniform specing plan of properties or hered would, under the enforcement of the uniform specing plan of prosetion units, otherwise deprive or tend to deprive the owner of such tract of the oppertunity to secover his or tend to deprive the owner of such tract and the oppertunity to secover his or tend to deprive the owner of such tract of such antity to secover his or tend to deprive the owner of such tract of such antity to secover his funt and so deprive the owner of such tract of such antity to secover his or tend to deprive the owner of such tract of such antity to secover his funt and so deprive the owner of such tract such antity of an in the pool.

4. That by its Order R-110, the Commission provided that "no well shall be drilled, completed or recompleted, and no licitic of intention to Drill or drilling gramit shall be approved, unless such well be located on a designated driking unit of not less than three bundred twenty (320) acres of land, more or less, according to legal subdivision of the United States Land burveys, in which unit all the interests are consolidated by pooling agreement or otherwise and on which no other well is completed, or approved ter compleot otherwise and on which no other well is completed, or approved ter completion, in the pool".

5. That in order tor a drilling unit to be established under the terms of Order h-lite, it was necessary not only that the Commission approve a flotice of Internon to Order, but also the the lease and tract of land, all as required by said order, but also that the leases of the working interest of the terms of the booled or interest before such arbitration to the pooled or integrated before such drilling unit could be to each before or the leases of the working interest or there is no to the booled or integrated before such drilling unit could be to each before.

6. That the NAR the State could be she within the Blanco-Measure Clas Pool as designs. West, March in vituated within the Blanco-Measuretde Clas Pool as designs. ted by the Commission

7. That applicant. It has over the company and Delhi Cit Corporation were, on August 5, 1953, the owner, of the entire working interest under oil and gas leases covering the W/2 of Section 15, Township 31 Horth, Kange 11 N est, NMPM, San Juan County, New Mexico, containing 320 acres of land, more or less. -3-Order Ho. 21-547-B

8. That on August 3. 1953. a Notice of Intention to Drill (Form C-101) was approved by a Commission Oil and Gas Inspector, said Notice of Intention to Drill baving been previously filed by the Applicant, 11 Paso Natural Gas Company; that permission was granted to drill a well to be known as the Neil Well No. 3, and to be located 990 feet from the South line and 1650 feet from the West line of said Section 15. Township 31 North, Range 11 West, NMPM, San Juan County, New Mexico, said well to be drilled to the Mesaverde formation; said Notice of Intention to Drill designated the W/2 of said Section 15. Township 31 North, Range 11 West, NMPM, as the drilling unit; that drilling operations were commenced (Faid well on August 7, 1953, and the well completed on August 22, 1953 in the Mesaverde formation with an initial potential of 2,630,000 cubic feet of gas per day.

9. That the said working interest owners agreed to communitize their leases; that the evidence before the Commission is that such agreement had been made by May 19, 1954, the date of the first hearing in Case No. 708, no other computent evidence whatsoever being before the Commission as to when communitization was agreed upon and the consolidation of all interests therefore effected; that the Commission heretofore finds that the date £1 Paso Natural Gas Company and Delhi Gil Corporation consolidated their leases was May 19, 1954.

10. That the said agreement of the working interest owners to communitize their lease complied with the provisions of Order R-110, and that the unit selected as a drilling unit likewise complied with Order R-110.

11. That the approval of the Notice of Intention to Drill by the Commission's inspector, the designation of N/2 of said Section 15 as a drilling unit, and the agreement entered into by said working interest owners to positivity oil and gas bases covering said acreage, which agreement consolidated all interests therein, effectively established and created said drilling unit on May 19, 1954; that the N/2 of said Section 15 has been, and is, an approved drilling unit containing 320 acres at all times thereafter.

32. That the drilling of an additional well or wells lying within W 2 of said Section 15. Township 31 North, Range 11 West, NMPM, would be wasteful.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

1. That the W/2 of Section 15, Township 31 North, Hange 11 West, NMPM, San Juan County, be, and is hereby, recognized as a pooled and communitized tract and a duly formed and established drilling unit, effective May 19, 1954.

2. IN THE ALTERNATIVE, and in the event that subsequent adjudication as to the title of the leases berein involved readers the foregoing paragraph null, void, and inoperative from May 19, 1954, it is ordered that in -4-Order No. R-547-E

any event all the interests in the 37/2 of Section 15. Township 31 North, Kange II West, NMPM, San Juan County, be, and they are hereby, consolidated, and that the said acreage be, and the same is hereby established as a drilling unit, effective the date of this order.

Substitution IT IS FURTHER ORDERED:

in the second second

That Order No. R-547, in Case No. 708 be, and the same is, hereby superseded by this order.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

JOHN F. SIMMS, Chairman

E. S. WALKER, Member

W. B. MACEY, Member and Secretary



89 V

ŝ

1#/

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE GIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

; .

> THE APPLICATION OF EL PASO NATURAL GAS COMPANY FOR COMPULSORY COMMUNITIZATION OF W/2 OF SECTION 15, TOWNSHIP 31 NORTH, RANGE 11 WEST, NMPM, SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

THE APPLICATION OF EL PASC NATURAL GAS COMPANY FOR DETERMINATION AND RATIFICATION OF COMMUNITIZATION OF W/2 OF SECTION 15, TOWNSHIP 31 NORTH, RANGE 11 WEST, NMFM, SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO. CASE NO. 708) CASE NO. 848) Order No. R-547-C

ORDER OF THE COMMISSION FOR REHEARING

BY THE COMMISSION:

\$ # A L

11/

These cases came on for consideration upon the application of Saul A. Yager, Marian Yager, M. E. Gimp, Merris Misel, Flora Mizel, and Sam Misel, the "Yager Group", through their attorney Jack M. Campbell and upon the application of El Pase Natural Gas Company through its attorney Ben R. Hewell, for reheating on Order Number R-547-B heretofore entered by the Commission.

NOW on this 10th day of February, 1936, the Gemmission, a quorum being present, having fully considered said applications for rebearing,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

That a reheating in said sauses be hold March 16, 1956, at 9:00 e'clock a.m. on said day at Santa Fe, New Mexico.

> STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

JOHN F. SIMMS, Chair was

E. S. WALKER, Member

W. B. MACEY, Member and Secretary

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

THE APPLICATION OF EL PASO NATURAL GAS COMPANY FOR COMPULSORY COMMUNITIZATION OF W/2 OF SECTION 15, TOWNSHIP 31 NORTH, RANGE 11 WEST, NMPM, SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

THE APPLICATION OF EL PASO NATURAL GAS COMPANY FOR DETERMINATION AND RATIFICATION OF COMMUNITIZATION OF W/2 OF SECTION 15, TOWNSHIP 31 NORTH, RANGE 11 WEST, NMPM, SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO CASE NO. 708) CASE NO. 848) Consolidated ORDER NO. R-547-B

ic to as the Bruch

APPLICATION FOR REHEARING

Your Applicant, EL FASO NATURAL GAS COMPANY, applies for rehearing and states:

1. Applicant is the owner of oil and gas leasehold interests in and under the tract of land described in the caption and is a party affected by Order No. R-547-B entered by the Commission on January 12, 1956.

2. Your Applicant would show the Commission that its Order No. R-547-B is erremeous as follows:

a. That the Commission's Finding No. 9, insofar as it finds that the date upon which the working interest owners agreed to communitize their leases of May 19, 1954 is not supported by and is contrary to the credible evidence.

b. That the Commission's Finding No. 11 that the poeling and drilling unit was established on May 19, 1954 is not supported by and is contrary to the weight of the credible evidence.

c. That the portion of Paragraph 1 of the Commission's Order establishing May 19, 1954 as the date the drilling unit upon a pooled and communitized tract became effective is erroneous.

d. That there is no evidence in the record to show that the working interest owners made any agreement on the 19th day of

Application for Rehearing

Cases Nos. 708 and 848

May, 1954, the date when the original hearing was conducted, and that the evidence shows the agreement to have been made and consummated prior to that date and the selection of that date is arbitrary and unreasonable.

e. That the evidence shows the working interest owners had agreed to communitize and pool their respective interests prior to August 3, 1953, on which date a Notice of Intention to Drill was filed with the Commission.

f. That the finding of the Commission that an agreement was made on May 19, 1954 is an arbitrary and unreasonable finding and not necessary to a determination of the applications.

g. The Commission having held that the working interest owners have the power without the joinder of the lessors to enter an agreement for the communitizing or pooling of tracts of land into drilling units in conformity with Order R-110, the Commission exceeded its jurisdiction by determining the date upon which the working interest owners made such agreement and exceeded its jurisdiction in determining that such agreement did not become effective until the date of the first hearing, which findings were not necessary to a determination of the applications. The Commission, having found that the working interest owners effectively proled or communitized the tracts of land into a drilling unit, has no Aurther jurisdiction and the Commission's Order is erroneous in attempting to do more than determine the effect of the agreement made by the working interest owners. When that agreement effectively pooled the several tracts into a drilling unit, there remained nothing further for the Commission to do, and those portions of the Commission's Order which attempt to pool or communitize at a later date are invalid and void.

h. Paragraph 2 of the Commission's Order is beyond its jurisdiction and is not supported by the evidence, and is contradictory and contrary to all of the findings and conclusions of the

10× 10× 12

Application for Rehearing

Cases Nos. 708 and 848

Commission made in the remaining portions of the Order.

WHEREFORE, your Applicant respectfully requests the Commission to grant a rehearing in these consolidated cases and to hear such further evidence as may be material, and to reconsider the Order entered by the Commission.

0

Respectfully submitted,

EL PASO NATURAL CAS COMPANY ttorney

MAIN OFFICE OCC

NO. 848

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF EL PASO NATURAL GAS COMPANY FOR DETERMINATION AND RATIFICATION OF COMMUNITIZATION OF W/2

OF SECTION 15, TOWNSHIP 31 NORTH, RANGE 11 WEST, N.M.P.M., SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO, CONTAINING 320 ACRES

TO THE HONORABLE COMMISSION:

Your Applicant, EL PASO NATURAL GAS COMPANY, represents that it is a Delaware corporation with a permit to do business in the State of New Mexico and that it was on August 3, 1953, and at all times thereafter and now is the owner of leasehold rights or gas operating rights to the base of the Mesaverde formation under the following described oil and gas leases:

a. Oil and Gas Lease dated September 1, 1948, from Saul A. Yager and wife, Marian Yager, as Lessors, to Wayne Moore, as Lessee, embracing among other lands the following described land in San Juan County, New Moxico:

Township 31 North, Range 11 West, N.M.P.M. Section 15: E/2 SW/4 containing 80 acres, more or less.

b. United States Oil and Gas Lease Serial Number Santa Fe 078051,
C. M. Neal, Lessee, embracing among other lands the following described land in San Juan County, New Mexico:

Township 31 North, Range 11 West, N.M.P.M. Section 15: NW/4, W/2 SW/4 containing 240 acres, more or less.

Your Applicant represents that in Case 708 on the docket of the Commission an application for compulsory communitization of the lands is pending on rehearing, and this application is supplemental to that made in Case 708.

Your Applicant represents that the royalty interest on West E/2 SW/4, Section 15, Township 31 North, Range 11 / is now claimed by the following named persons: Saul A. Yager, 613 Oil Capital Bldg., Tulsa, Oklahoma Marian Yager, c/o C. H. Rosenstein, McBirney Building, Tulsa, Oklahoma

M. E. Gimp, c/o Zale's Jewelry, 1606 Main Street, Dallas, Texas

Morris Mizel and wife, Flora Mizel, 101 We. Cameron Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma

Sam Mizel, 101 W. Cameron Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma and that your Applicant has forwarded to Jack M. Campbell, Attorney for the above named persons, copies of this application.

Your Applicant represents that El Paso Natural Gas Company and Delhi Oil Corporation on August 3, 1953,

and at all times thereafter were and are the owners of the entire working interest and operating rights under the above described oil and gas leases covering the W/2 of said Section 15, Township 31 North, Range 11 West, N.M.P.M. containing 320 acres of land in the shape of a rectangle, and that the W/2of said Section 15, Township 31 North, Range 11 West, N.M.P.M. was on August 3, 1953, and at all times thereafter located within the boundary of the Blanco-Mesaverde Gas Pool as designated by the Commission.

Your Applicant further represents that by its Order No. R-110 the Commission established a uniform spacing plan for the Blanco-Mesaverde Gas Pool which said plan provided for drilling units of not less than 320 acres of land in the shape of a rectangle, and provided further that "the pooling of properties or parts thereof shall be permitted, and if not agreed upon may be required in any case when and to the extent that the smallness or shape of a separately owned tract would, under the enforcement of the uniform spacing plan of proration units, otherwise deprive or tend to deprive the owner of such tract of the opportunity to recover his just and equitable share of the crude petroleum oil and natural gas in the pool".

Your Applicant further represents that by its Order No. R-110, the Commission provided that "no well shall be drilled, completed or recompleted, and no Notice of Intention to Drill or drilling

permit shall be approved unless such well be located on a designated drilling unit of not less than three hundred twenty (320) acres of land, more or less, according to legal subdivision of the United States Land Surveys, in which unit all the interests are consolidated by pooling agreement or otherwise and on which no other well is completed or approved for completion in the pool".

Your Applicant represents that all the above named owners of the working interest agreed to communitize and pool their working interest to form a drilling unit covering the W/2 of said Section 15, and pursuant to such agreement your Applicant filed with the Commission a Notice of Intention to Drill a well to the Mesaverde Formation located 990 feet from the south line and 1650 feet from the west line of said Section 15, which Notice designated the W/2 of said Section 15 as the designated drilling unit prescribed by Order R-110; that on August 3, 1953, a duly authorized Commission Oil and Gas Inspector approved, in accordance with then existing rules and custom, said Notice of Intention to Drill and granted Applicant permission to drill said well designated as Neal No. 3; and that drilling operations were commenced on said well on August 7, 1953, and the well completed in the Mesaverde Formation on August 22, 1953, with an initial potential of 2,630,000 cubic feet of gas per day.

Your Applicant further represents that at all times material Delhi Oil Corporation

and your Applicant were the only persons who had the right to drill

The second second second

into the Mesaverde Gas Pool and to appropriate the production for themselves and that all of said working interest owners agreed to pool or communitize their separate oil and gas leases into the above described drilling unit containing 320 acres, and that said Agreement in all things complied with the provisions of Order No. R-110, and the unit selected by the owners as a drilling unit complied with Order No. R-110 and that the rules and regulations of the Commission made no additional requirements for the working interest owners to obtain approval of the creation of a drilling unit.

Your Applicant further represents that the Agreement entered into by said owners to pool or communitize the oil and gas leases covering the W/2 of said Section 15, and the filing by the owners of Notice of Intention to Drill and approval of such Notice by the Commission on August 3, 1953 , constitueed an effective approval of such communitized or pooled tract, and that the W/2 of said Section 15 has been and is an approved drilling unit containing 320 acres at all times thereafter.

Your Applicant represents that the drilling of an additional well or wells lying within the W/2 of said Section 15, Township 31 North, Range 11 West, N.M.P.M. would be wasteful.

WHEREFORE, Your Applicant prays that the Commission determine by appropriate Order that the W/2 of said Section 15, Township 31 North, Range 11 West, N.M.P.M., be recognized as a communitized or pooled tract effective August 3, 1953, and at all times thereafter, and that such pooling or communitization accomplished by the working interest owners having the right to drill into and produce from the Mesaverde Gas Pool be confirmed and ratified.

Respectfully submitted,

EL PASO NATURAL GAS COMPANY