Casa Mo.

1014

Application, Transcript,
5 mill Exhibits, Etc.

CASE 1014: Stanolind Oil & Gas application for exception to Rule 309 (a) - Fowler Pool

FORT WORTH, TEXAS

January 9, 1956

File:

RGH-L006-986.510

Subject:

Exception to Rule 309 of the Rules and Regulations of the New Mexico Oil Conservation

1. 1 1. 1. 1.

Commission

New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission (3) P. O. Box 871 Santa Fe, New Mexico

Attention: Mr. W. B. Macey

Gentlemen:

It is respectfully requested that a hearing be set on the application of Stanolind Oil and Gas Company for an exception to Section (a) of Rule 309 of the Rules and Regulations of the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission to permit the production of more than eight (8) wells into common storage on the Stanolind operated South Mattix Unit, Fowler Field, Lea County, New Mexico.

There are at present ten producing oil wells on the South Mattix Unit property which comprises the following acreage in T-24-S, R-37-E:

Section 15:

413

Section 22:

NE/4, E/2 NW/4, N/2 SE/4, SE/4 SE/4

All of the interest in the above described acreage has been unitized and the interest are now common throughout. The unitized properties are operated by the Stanolind Oil and Gas Company.

Authority will be sought at the hearing to produce ten (10) wells, Nos. 1 through 10, into common storage. A hearing before the full Commission at the next regular monthly Statewide proration hearing will be satisfactory. A list of all offset operators is attached.

Very truly yours,

ALEX CLARKE, JR. Division Engineer

RGH:jm Attach:ent

ATTACHMENT

OFFSET OPERATORS - South Mattix Unit

Continental Oil Company
Fair Building
Fort Worth, Texas

Gulf Oil Corporation Box 1290 Fort Worth, Texas

Sinclair Oil and Gas Company Box 1110 Midland, Texas

Humble Oil and Refining Company Midland, Texas

Three States Natural Gas Company 1700 Corrigan Tower Dallas, Texas

2-17-56 of monthly Memo to: + Lucley. Care 1014 v 1015. (Stan Hinds application for Common tanks tattenes for Homells in Dowler & Eurice Monument oil forla).

Exception to Rule 3096.

Recommend offer oval and to fend. 1. That allwells in lack case have common working + Royally interests. 2. Earth well in both cases can be inderidually testof at persodic enterals. 3. allandlein Koth care commen ourse of supply.

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

P. O. BOX 871

SANTA FE. NEW MEXICO

April 11, 1956



Mr. J. K. Smith Stanolind Oil & Gas Co. Oil and Gas Building Fort Worth, Texas





We enclose a copy of Order R-768 and Order R-769 issued March 29, 1956, by the Oil Conservation Commission in Case 1014 and Case 1015 respectively.

Very truly yours,



A. L. Porter, Jr. Acting Secretary - Director

ALP:brp

BEFORE THE

Bil Conserbation Commission SANTA FE. NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF:

CASE NO. 1014 & 1015

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

ADA DEARNLEY AND ASSOCIATES

COURT REPORTERS
605 SIMMS BUILDING
TELEPHONE 3-6691
ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION Santa Fe, New Mexico February 15, 1956

IN THE MATTER OF:

CASE 1014: Application of Stanolind Oil and Gas Company for an order granting an exception to Rule 309 (a) of the Statewide Rules and Regulations of the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission to permit the production of more than eight wells into a common tank battery in the Fowler Pool, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above styled cause, seeks an order approving a common tank battery to receive production from a maximum of ten producing oil wells on its South Mattix Unit, Fowler Pool, Lea County, New Mexico; said property consisting of all of Section 15, NE/4, E/2 NW/4, N/2 SE/4, SE/4 SE/4 Section 22, Township 24 South, Range 37 East.

CASE 1015: Application of Stanolind Oil and Gas Company for an order granting an exception to Rule 309 (a) of the Statewide Rules and Regulations of the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission to permit the production of more than eight wells into a common tank battery in the Eunice-Monument Pool, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order approving a common tank battery to receive production from a maximum of ten producing oil wells on its O. J. Gillully "A" Lease, Eunice-Monument Pool, Lea County, New Mexico; said property consisting of N/2, W/2 SE/4 Section 24, W/2 NE/4 Section 25, Township 20 South, Range 36 East.

BEFORE:

Honorable John F. Simms, Jr., Mr. E. S. (Johnny) Walker, Mr. William B. Macey.

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

MR. MACEY: Next case on the docket is Case 1014.

MR. SMITH: J. K. Smith, representing Stanolind Oil and Gas Company. I would like to move the Commission for consolidation of Cases 1014 and 1015.

MR. MACEY: For the purpose of testimony?

MR. SMITH: That's right.

MR. MACEY: Any objection? If not, we will consolidate the two cases, 1014 and 1015.

G. W. EATON, JR.,

called as a witness, having been first duly sworn on oath, testified

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. SMITH:

- Q State your name, please.
- A G. W. Eaton, Jr.
- Q By whom are you employed, Mr. Eaton?
- A Stanolind Oil and Gas Company.
- Q How long have you been employed by Stanolind Oil and Gas Company?

 A Eight years.
 - Q Where are you presently located?
 - A I am presently located in the Roswell District office.
 - Q What are your duties in the Roswell District office?
- A I am reservoir engineer and section leader in Roswell, classified as petroleum engineer.
 - Q what are your qualifications?
- A I graduated from the University of Oklahoma in 1948, and have a Bachelor of Science degree in petroleum engineering.
 - Q Have you worked for Stanolind since that time?
 - A Yes, sir.

MR. SMITH: Mr. Eaton hasn't testified before the Commission before; I would like to inquire if his qualifications are acceptable as an expert witness.

MR. MACEY: Yes, sir, they are.

Mr. Baton. Stanolind has made application in case 1014 for

an order granting an exception to Rule 309 (a) of the Statewide rules and regulations of the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission to permit the production of more than eight wells into a common tank battery in the Fowler Pool, Lea County, New Mexico. Mr. Eaton, do you have an exhibit showing the location of those wells and the flow lines connected to the common tank battery which are presently connected?

A Yes, I do.

(Stanolind's Exhibit No. 1 marked for identification.)

- Q Now, directing your attention to a plat marked for identification as Stanolind's Exhibit No. 1, I will ask you the wells shown there on -- in what zone are they completed?
- A These wells to which flow lines are shown connected to the tank battery are all completed in the Ellenberg zone. That is the Commission's designated Fowler Pool. Other wells are shown on the plat and are completed in some other zone. Most of them in the Langlie Mattix Pay.
- Q Those wells are not connected to the common tank battery; only those shown with the flow lines?

 A That is correct.
 - Q They are all completed in the Ellenberg Zone?
 - A That is right.
- Q This Fowler Field in the particular area shown on the map as being served by the wells are a part of the South Mattix Unit, are they not?

 A Yes, sir.
- Q Are all of the leases and royalty interests consolidated by unitization agreements?
- A Yes, sir, both the royalty and working interests is completely unitized in the South Mattix Unit.
 - () Stanolind Oil and Gas Company is operator?

- A Yes, sir.
- Q How many wells are connected to the common tank battery?
- A There are ten wells.
- Q Is there a substantial saving in cost of operations by reason of having one location?
- A Yes, sir, there would be a saving in not having to duplicate existing facilities by the construction of separate tank batteries to handle production from these two additional wells.
- Q What facilities are located in the area to assure the proper testing of the wells to make certain that the wells are not over produced in the common tank battery?
- A I call the Commission's attention to the plat of the tank battery cite on the Exhibit No. 1. This plat shows that the tank battery consists of twelve 500-barrel tanks, two separators, one treater and a 750-barrel oil tank. Since there is two separators at the battery, one could be used for test purposes.
 - Q How frequently are those tests made?
- A These tests are made as required by the Commission on an annual basis and reported to the Commission.
- Q Do you have any further statement you would care to make to the Commission in justification of the application?
- A Yes, sir. You will notice that there are 12 Hi-500-barrel tanks in the battery, providing a storage capacity of around 6,000 barrels. On the present allowable for the South Mattix unit, that provides about three-days storage. We feel that that is adequate storage.
 - Q Is there a pipeline connection to the tank battery?
 - A Yes, sir, there is.

- Q Is there anything further that you care to add?
- A I don't believe so.

MR. SMITH: That, is all the testimony we have in Case 101/4.

MR. MACEY: Is there any questions of Mr. Eaton in Case 1014?

MR. GURLEY: It is my understanding, sir, that all the interests in this has been unitized, and that the interests are common throughout?

A Yes, sir.

MR. SMITH: I might mention it is a Federal type unit.

MR. MACEY: Does anyone else have a question of the witness?

If not, we will continue into Case 1015.

MR. SMITH: I would like to offer into evidence our Exhibit No. 1.

MR. MACEY: Without objection it will be received in Case 1014.

Q (By Mr. Smith) Mr. Eaton, turning now, to the application of Stanolind Oil and Gas Company for an order requesting an exception to Rule 309 (a) of the Statewide Rules and Regulations of the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission to permit the production of more than eight wells into a common tank battery in the Eunice-Monument Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, I will ask you whether or not you have an exhibit prepared showing flow lines and the wells which will be connected to the common tank battery?

A Yes, sir.

(Stanolind's Exhibit No. 1 (Case 1015) marked for identification.)

Q Now, turning to what has been marked for identification as Stanolind's Exhibit 1 in Case 1015, what field is shown to be occupied by the wells that are connected by the flow lines as shown on

the plat?

- A These wells are completed in the Eunice-Monument Pool in Lea County.
 - Q In Lea County?

A Yes, sir.

- Q The section number and other related descriptions are shown on the plat, are they not?

 A Yes, they are.
- Q Now, I believe that two of the wells are completed in what has been designated the Eunice Field, is that right?
- A Two of the wells are completed in what is designated as the Monument Pool.
- Q And the balance of the other wells are completed in the Eunice Field?

 A That is right.
- Q Is there any particular reason for the differentiation in fields, or are the wells all completed in the same common source of supply?
- A These are all completed in the Greyburg Pay, which is a common source of supply for both the Eunice and Monument Pools. There is a slightly different gas ratio between the pools which is the only difference in proration.
- Q So far as the oil itself is concerned, it comes from the same common source of supply?

 A That is correct.
- Q Now, are all these leases, all these wells that we are making application for located on one lease?
- A Yes, sir. This Gillully lease is a lease which has common working interests and common royalty interests throughout; Stanolind Oil and Gas Comapny owns one hundred per cent of the working interest. It is a Federal lease.

- Q It is a United States of America lease?
- A Yes, sir.
- Q When were the wells completed?
- A These were all completed in 1937 and 1939; they are quite old.
- Q Have we had, at any time, permission from the United States Geological Survey to connect the wells to the common tank battery?
 - A Yes, sir. Permission was obtained from the USGS in 1948 to --
 - Q It has never been rescinded, so far as you know?
 - A No. sir.
- Q Is there a substantial savings in operating costs by reason of not being required to set up separate tank batteries?
- A There would be an economic savings in continuing to operate with the existing facilities.
- ${
 m Q}$ Will you describe the facilities, physicial facilities, ${
 m \infty}$ nditions of the tank battery?
- A I would like to point out that this flow line to the south of the tank battery shown to wells 3, 5, 7 and 8, producing into a common flow line, each of those wells has facilities at the well for indididual tests, those tests are made by portable test separator and tank.
 - Q How often are those tests made?
- A These well tests are made in accordance with the well test schedules submitted by the Oil Conservation Commission.
- Q Are periodic adjustments made on them by reason of the tests

 A Yes, they are.
- Q Do you have anything further to add, to advise the commission with reference to the application?

A I would like to call attention to the battery facilities, consisting of six 500-barrel tanks. Those tanks provide ample storage capacity for approximately thirteen days, based on the present ability of the wells on the lease to produce. We feel that that is adequate storage capacity to have on the lease.

- Q Is there a pipeline connection to the tank batteries?
- A There is.

MR. SMITH: No further questions.

MR. MACEY: Any questions of the witness?

MR. UTZ: Mr. Eaton, I note your application is for ten wells in a common tank battery, whereas you show eleven wells. Do you or do you not intend to produce the well in the NE of the NW?

A Well No. 9 is presently shutin. The flow line from that well to the tank battery is still in place and that is the reason it is shown on the exhibit. It is not producing, however.

MR. UTZ: You do not intend to produce it?

A Do not intend to produce it.

MR. MACEY: Does anyone have a question of the witness? If not, the witness may be excused.

MR. SMITH: I would like to offer our Exhibit No. 1, Case 1015, into evidence.

MR. MACEY: Without objection, it will be received.

MR. SMITH: I have nothing further.

MR. MACEY: Does anyone have anything further in this case? If not, we will take the case under advisement.

(Witness excused.)

* * * *

CERTIFICATE

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
COUNTY OF BERNALILLO)

I, THURMAN J. MOODY, Court Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing and attached transcript of proceedings had before the Oil Conservation Commission for the State of New Mexico on February 15, 1956, is a true and correct record to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

WITNESS MY HAND, this, the day of February, 1956.

Apuma Mord

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE MEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

> CASE NO. 1014 Order No. R-768

THE APPLICATION OF STANOLIND OIL AND GAS COMPANY FOR AN ORDER GRANTING AN EXCEPTION TO RULE SO9 (a) OF THE STATEWIDE RULES AND REGULATIONS OF THE NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION TO PERMIT THE PRODUCTION OF MORE THAN EIGHT WELLS INTO A COMMON TANK BATTERY IN THE POWLER POOL, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO, SAID TANK BATTERY TO RECEIVE PRODUCTION FROM A MAXIMUM OF THE PRODUCING OIL WELLS ON ITS SOUTH MATTIX UNIT, SAID UNIT CONSISTING OF ALL OF SECTION 15, ME/4, E/2 MV/4, E/2 SE/4, SE/4 SECTION 22, TOWNSHIP 24 SOUTH, RANGE 37 RAST, NEPM, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 8 o'clock a.m. on February 15, 1956 at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before the Cil Conservation Commission of New Mexico hereinafter referred to as the "Commission".

NOW, on this 29th day of March 1956, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the evidence adduced, and being fully advised in the premises.

FINDS:

- (1) That due notice of the time and place of hearing and the purpose thereof having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this case and the subject matter thereof.
- (2) That applicant is the operator of the South Mattix Unit, Fowler Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, which comprises the following described acreage:

TOWNSHIP 24 SOUTH, RANGE 37 RAST, NMPM All of Section 18 NE/4, E/2 NW/4, N/2 SE/4, and SE/4 SE/4 of Section 22

- (3) That there are at present ten wells producing from the Fowler Pool into an existing tank battery located on the NW/4 SE/4 of Section 15, Township 24 South, Range 37 East.
- (4) That for reasons of efficiency and economy, applicant desires to use the existing tank battery for the storage and measurement of oil produced from a maximum of ten oil wells in the Fowler Pool underlying the above described unit.

- (5) That the central tank battery will have suitable and adequate facilities for the storage and handling of the production from a maximum of ten oil wells in the above described acreage and that suitable equipment has been installed whereby the production from each well on the above described land may be adequately determined as prescribed by the rules and regulations of the Commission.
- (6) That the above described acreage is of one common royalty interest, the same being the United States of America.
- (7) That by reason of practical convenience and economy and in absence of objection by the United States of America, application for order granting exception to Rule 309 (a) should be granted.
- (8) That no objection by the United States of America has been entered.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

That the application of Stanolind Oil and Gas Company for an order granting an exception to Rule 309 (a) of the Oil Conservation Commission's Statewide Rules and Regulations be and the same is hereby granted and approved.

That Stanolind Dil and Gas Company be and the same is hereby authorised to maintain and operate its existing central tank battery located on the NW/4 SE/4 of Section 15, Township 24 South, Range 37 East, HMPM. Said tank battery to receive production from a maximum of ten oil wells from applicant's South Mattix Unit, Fowler Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, said unit consisting of All of Section 15; NE/4, E/2 NW/4, N/2 SE/4, SE/4 SE/4 of Section 22, All in Township 24 South, Range 37 East, NMPM, Lea County, New Mexico.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico on the day and year hereinabove designated.

> STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL_CONSERVATION COMMISSION

JOHN F. SIMMS, Chairman

E. S. WALKER, Member

W. B. MACEY, Member and Secretary