CASE 1234: Carper Drlg. Co. application for approval of oil well drilling location in potash area, Eddy Co., SE/SW Sec. 4-19S-30E. .

Case No. 1234 Replication, Transcript, Small Exhibits, Etc.

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION Santa Fe, New Mexico April 17, 1957	
TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING	
Cases 1233 and 1234	
Consolidated	

- [	BEFORE THE
	OIL CONSERVATION CONMISSION
	Santa Fe, New Mexico
	April 17, 1957
IN THE MATTER	
Applicat	ion of illie N. Yates for approval of
an oil W	vell druing location in the potash area
of Gddy	County New Maxico, as defined by Com- Order R11-A. Applicant, in the above-
styled (	cause, aks authority to drill an oil
wall in	the cenr of the NS/4 3E/4 Section 4
Township	p 19 Sou, Range 30 Last, Eddy County
New Mex	ico. Sa location is in the potash area : 1233 ned by Ger E-111-4 and Southwest Potash
Corpora	tion has jected to the drilling of said
well.	The part to this case have weived the
provisi	ons for itration set out in Order
R-111-A	and thetter is hereby set for hearing.
Applica	ation of per Brilling Company, Inc. for
approve	al of an well drilling location in the
	when of a Country of the second
potasn	area of ' County, New Mexico, as de-
fined b	by Commis Order A-111-A. Applicant.
rined b in the drill a	by Commis Order A-111-A. Applicant, above-st cause, seeks authority to an oil wen the center of the SEAL SMAL
fined a in the drill a Section	by Commis Order A-111-A. Applicant, above-st cause, seeks authority to an oil wen the center of the SE/4 SW/4 :Case Ho n 4, Town 19 South, Range 30 Sast.
fined a in the drill a Section Eddy C	by Commis Order &-111-A. Applicant, above-st cause, seeks authority to an oil wen the center of the SE/4 SW/4 :Case No n 4, Town 19 South, Range 30 Mast, : 1234 ounty, Necico. Said location is in the
fined b in the drill a Section Eddy C potash	by Commis Order R-111-A. Applicant, above-st cause, seeks authority to an oil wen the center of the SE/4 SW/4 :Case Ho n 4, Town 19 South, Range 30 Mast, : 1234 ounty, Newice. Said location is in the area as yed by Order R-111-A and South.
fined & in the drill & Section Eddy C potash west P	by Commis Order &-111-A. Applicant, above-st cause, seeks authority to an oil wen the center of the SE/4 SW/4 :Case Ho n 4, Town 19 South, Range 30 Mast, : 1234 ounty, Necico. Said location is in the area as med by Order R-111-A and South- botash Cornion has objected to the drill
fined & in the drill & Section Eddy C potash west P ing of waived	by Commis Order &-111-A. Applicant, above-st cause, seeks authority to an oil wen the center of the SE/4 SW/4 :Case Ho n 4, Town 19 South, Range 30 Mast, : 1234 ounty, Newico. Said location is in the area as sed by Order R-111-A and South- botash Cortion has objected to the drill- i said wellhe parties to this case have i the provs for arbitration sat out in
fined & in the drill & Section Eddy Co potash west P ing of waived Order	by Commis Order &-111-A. Applicant, above-st cause, seeks authority to an oil wen the center of the SE/4 SW/4 :Case Ho in 4, Town 19 South, Range 30 Mast, : 1234 ounty, Nexico. Said location is in the area as med by Order R-111-A and South- botash Corrion has objected to the drill- case have i the provs for arbitration set out in R-111-A we matter is hereby set for
fined & in the drill & Section Eddy C potash west P ing of waived	by Commis Order &-111-A. Applicant, above-st cause, seeks authority to an oil wen the center of the SE/4 SW/4 :Case Ho in 4, Town 19 South, Range 30 Mast, : 1234 ounty, Nexico. Said location is in the area as med by Order R-111-A and South- botash Corrion has objected to the drill- case have i the provs for arbitration set out in R-111-A we matter is hereby set for
fined & in the drill & Section Eddy Co potash west P ing of waived Order	by Commis Order &-111-A. Applicant, above-st cause, seeks authority to an oil wen the center of the SE/4 SW/4 :Case Ho in 4, Town 19 South, Range 30 Mast, : 1234 ounty, Newice. Said location is in the area as med by Order R-111-A and South- botash Corrion has objected to the drill- case have i the prove for arbitration set out in R-111-A we matter is hereby set for age
fined & in the drill & Section Eddy Co potash west P ing of waived Order hearin	by Commis Order &-111-A. Applicant, above-st cause, seeks authority to an oil wen the center of the SE/4 SW/4 :Case Ho n 4, Town 19 South, Range 30 Mast, : 1234 ounty, Necico. Said location is in the area as med by Order R-111-A and South- botash Cornion has objected to the drill- case have i the provs for arbitration set out in R-111-A we matter is hereby set for as.
fined & in the drill & Section Eddy Co potash west P ing of waived Order hearin	by Conseign Order R-111-A. Applicant, above-st cause, seeks authority to an oil wen the center of the SE/4 SW/4 r 4, Town 19 South, Range 30 Sast, ounty, Nexico. Said location is in the area as hed by Order R-111-A and South- botash Cortion has objected to the drill- is said welle parties to this case have i the prove for arbitration set out in R-111-A ale matter is hereby set for as.
fined & in the drill & Section Eddy Co potash west P ing of waived Order hearin	by Commis Order &-111-A. Applicant, above-st cause, seeks authority to an oil wen the center of the SE/4 SW/4 :Case Ho n 4, Town 19 South, Range 30 Mast, : 1234 ounty, Necico. Said location is in the area as med by Order R-111-A and South- botash Cornion has objected to the drill- case have i the provs for arbitration set out in R-111-A we matter is hereby set for as.
fined & in the drill & Section Eddy Co potash west P ing of waived Order hearin	by Conseign Order R-111-A. Applicant, above-st cause, seeks authority to an oil wen the center of the SE/4 SW/4 r 4, Town 19 South, Range 30 Sast, ounty, Nexico. Said location is in the area as hed by Order R-111-A and South- botash Cortion has objected to the drill- is said welle parties to this case have i the prove for arbitration set out in R-111-A ale matter is hereby set for as.
fined & in the drill & Section Eddy Co potash west P ing of weived Order hearin EDFONS	by Commis Order R-111-A. Applicant, above-st cause, seeks authority to an oil wen the center of the SE/4 SW/4 Case Ho n 4, Town 19 South, Range 30 Mast, i 1234 ounty, Necico. Said location is in the area as med by Order R-111-A and South- botash Corion has objected to the drill- i said welle parties to this case have i the prove for arbitration set out in R-111-A se matter is hereby set for MS. Ca Mr. A. chor Mr. Morgan <u>CENTER FRAIMO</u>
fined & in the drill & Section Eddy Co potash west P ing of weived Order hearin EDFONS	by Commains Order &-111-A. Applicant, above-st cause, seeks authority to an oil wen the center of the SE/4 SW/4 r 4, Town 19 South, Range 30 East, ounty, Newico. Said location is in the area as med by Order R-111-A and South- botash Cortion has objected to the drill- r said welke parties to this case have i the prove for arbitration set out in R-111-A we not tor is hereby set for MS. C: <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u> <u>C:</u>
fined & in the drill & Section Eddy Co potash west P ing of weived Order hearin EDFONS	by Commis Order &-111-A. Applicant, above-st cause, seeks authority to an oil wen the center of the SE/4 SW/4 :Case He n 4, Town 19 South, Range 30 Bast, : 1234 ounty, Newice. Baid location is in the a area as med by Order R-111-A and South- botash Cortion has objected to the drill- : said wellhe parties to this case have i the prove for arbitration set out in R-111-A se nottor is hereby set for MS. D: : M. A. chor hr, Huorgan : CELOT EX HEALTS : FORTHER write will come to order and me that

2 BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION Santa Fe, New Mexico April 17, 1957 IN THE MATTER OF: 2 Application of Lillis N. Yates for approval of 1 an oil well drilling location in the potash area 1 of Eddy County, New Mexico, as defined by Com-1 mission Order R-111-A. Applicant, in the aboveŝ styled cause, seeks authority to drill an oil \* well in the center of the RS/4 SE/4 Section 4, 1 Township 19 South, Range 30 Last, Eddy County, :Case No. New Mexico. Said location is in the potash area : 1233 as defined by Order H-111-A and Southwest Potash £ Corporation has objected to the drilling of said 4 well. The parties to this case have weived the : provisions for arbitration set out in Order 1 R-111-A and the matter is hereby set for hearing. 1 Application of Carper Brilling Company, Inc. for ŝ approval of an oil well drilling location in the Î potash area of Eddy County, New Mexico, as de-2 fined by Commission Order 8-111-A. Applicant, £ in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to drill an oil well in the center of the SE/4 SW/4 :Case No. Section 4, Township 19 South, Range 30 East, : 1234 Eddy County, New Mexico. Said location is in the potash area as defined by Order R-111-A and South-1 west Potash Corporation has objected to the drill-ing of said well. The parties to this case have waived the provisions for arbitration set out in Order R-111-A and the matter is hereby set for hoaring. S-DROHES + In. A. V. Portes far, Surray Socola 18. FORTURE The Remains will some to order and we will DEADNERS METTER & ASSOCIATES Lange M. JAARSSON
 ANRUG BRODE - NAMEE FF
 BOODET - V. 2011

consider next Case 1233.

MR. COOLEY: Case 1233 is the application of Lillie M. Yates for approval of an oil well drilling location in the potash area of Eddy County, New Maxico, as defined by Commission Order R-111-A.

MR. PORTER: Mr. Loses.

MR. LOSEE: I would like for the record to show that A. J. Losee appears for the applicant Lillie M. Yates, and in Case 1234 for the applicant Carper Drilling Company. I move that the cases be consolidated for the purpose of hearing the testimony, and that the facts in both cases are similar.

NR. REESS: George L. Reese, Jr. appears on behalf of Southwest Potesh Corporation. We second the motion.

MR. PORTER: Is there any objection to the consolidation of Cases 1233 and 1234, 1234 being a similar application for a well located in the same section as the case that you have just heard described. Any objection to that motion? Lot the record show that the two cases have been consolidated for the purpose of taking testimony. Mr. Losso.

FR. LOSSE: Mr. Portor. We have cortain stipulations of Sacts that exist and we would ask Southwest to consent to them. If I may I will read item into the record at this time. It will save considerable testimony down along the road.

> SE, PURTER: TOU MAP PROCEED. DEARNLEY MELER & ASSOCIATES A DEAR DATES A DEAR DATES

MR. LOSEE: That Liklis M. Yates is the owner and Martin Yates, III is the operator of Pederal Oil and Gas Lease LC 060585, insofar as it covers the S/2 MM/4, E/2 SW/4 Section 3, Lot 3, S/2 NW/4, SE/4 Section 4, Township 19 South, Range 30 East. That Carper Drilling Company, Inc. is the owner and operator of Federal Oil and Gas Lease LC 060585-A, insofar as it covers the W/2 SM/4 Section 3, S/2 NE/4, SW/4 Section 4, Township 19 South, Range 30 East. That both leases are out of the same base lease issued April 1, 1957 by the United States of America, and by an appropriate application was extended until April 1, 1957 by decision of Mr. Henriques of the Eureau of Land Management in Santa Fe. Both leases were continued for two years until April 1, 1959.

That Southwest Potash Corporation is the owner and Operator of Fedoral Potash Lease 10 067319-D, covering, in part, the NW/L SE/4 Section 4, being the proposed location of Lillie M. Yates-Federal No. 1 well, and the SE/L SE/L Section 4, being the proposed location of Carpor-Slivar No. 1 well.

That the proposed locations of the Lillie H. Mates-Federal No. 1 well and the Carper-Oliver No. 1 well are within the toundaries of the potesh-oil area of Eddy County, New Noxico, as defined by Order R-111-A of the New Noxico Oil Conservation Commission.

That on January 31, 1957, Lillie M. Yates and Carper Drilling Company, Inc. filed their respective Notices of Intention to Dvill

> DEARNLEY - MERCR & ASSOCIATES INCORPORATIO GRIMPAULAN REFUSERS ALBUQUERQUE - SAVIE FE BORH 20221

the Lillie L. Vator-Seder:

to. 1 well and the Carpon-Olivon Lo.

Well, with the United States Geological Survey at Artosia, New Mexico. That by letter, dated Harch 1, 1957, John A. Frost, District Engineer of the United States Geological Survey, stated that the Director of the Geological Survey has retained the author ity to approve and/or deny Approval to drill wells within the oil-potash area, on those leases which contain the potash stipulation, and if further attention is desired looking to final action on the Notices of Intention to Drill, then it will be in order to present the case before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission, in compliance with provisions of Order R-111-A.

That Lillie M. Yates and Carper Drilling Company, Inc. have complied with the procedural stipulations required under Order R-111-A of the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission, and that all interested parties, including the protestants and applicants, have waived the provisions of said Order providing for arbitration of the matter before a final hearing of the Oil Conservation Coursission.

M. HIADI We agree to the stipulation as read, but would suggest an addition with require the potesh stipulation which is contained in the reduced oil and yis landed.

The stipulation which is applied in those bases reads as follows: "To note with a defined for oil and gas in formations above the base of the selectro and or above a depth of 5,000 feet,

whichever is the Lesser, gruppinger worrand of the Director

Geological Survey. It being understood that drilling for production to these formations will be permitted only in the event that it is satisfactorily established that such drilling will not interfere with the mining and recovery of potash deposits, or the interest of the United States would be subserved thereby. No wells will be drilled for oil or gas at a location which in the opinion of the oil and gas supervisor of the Geological Survey would result in undue waste of potash deposits, or constitute a hazard to or undue interference with mining operations being conducted for the extraction of potash deposits."

6

Do you agree, Mr. Losee, that those provisions are in the leases involved here?

MR. LOSEE: Yes.

MR. PORTER: Do you have any witnesses to call at the present time?

MR. LOSZE: Yes. Mr. Tates and Nr. adalate.

MR. PORTER: Will you stand and be sworn, please.

(Witnesses sworn.)

### MARCH PARA SUCCESS

called as a witness, having first been duly search tabtifue of follows:

DIRECT DESCRIPTION

ey MR. LOSHE:

O Would you state your calls place? DEARNLEY MERCY ASSOCIATES GENERAL LOW BELLANDS ALBUQUERCOUS SAME FE S 6051 S 222 A Marshall Rowley.

Q Where do you live, Nr. Nowley? A Artesia, New Mexico.

7

Q What business are you in?

A Drilling and production of oil wells.

Are you Vice President of Carper Drilling Company, Incorborated?
A Yes, sir-

Q Did Carper Drilling Company, Incorporated file a Notice of Intention to Drill its Carper Oliver No. 1 well with the United States Geological Survey on January 31 of 1957?

A Yes, sir.

Q Was a similar Notice of Intention to Drill filed with the Cil Conservation Commission on March 11, 1957?

A Yes, sir.

Q I'll hand you this copy and ask you if this is a copy of the Notice of Intention to Drill which was filed with the United States Geological Survey and with the Oil Sonservation Sommission.

A It is.

Q Would you read the proposed eacing program as outlined on this notice?

A The Carper Drilling Company, Incorporated propose to drill, run mine and five-eighths cuping to a dopth of approximately 700 feet, and commt with 225 packs, soven inch cuping to a dopth of approximately 2,000 feet, and cuping the LLO packs, four and a half

CASING FUN to the GOLAL NEWLING, AND CODERS WITH HAVE EVERIGE DEARNING METER & ASSOCIATES

ALBUQUEROUP - SANTE FE

Did your Notice of Intention to Drill contain a further provision with reference to Order R-111-A of the Commission? Ø

A It did.

Q What was that statement?

A "All operations will be in full compliance with Order R-111-A of the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission, including the requirements as to setting compant and testing casing.

Q Does this casing program comply with the provisions of Order R-111-A of the Commission? A It does.

Q Mr. Rowley, the particular location of the Carper-Oliver No. 1 well is in the potash-oil area and part of your lease is outside of that area. I wonder if you would just explain to the Commission why you picked the particular location you did to drill this well.

A There was a well drilled here in 1939 which encountered a show of oil and a small amount of water.

MR. PORTER: Nould you indicate the location?

A The location of it?

would prohably

MR, FORTER: The exact location.

A There was a well drilled in the southwest of the coutheast quester of daction 4, 1, 30, which encountered a show of oll, and we feel that if we move up dip from that well the may we have worked th out on this contour map, which would give up from 50 to 75 feet,

> DEARNLEY MEIER & ASSOCIATES MORFORATED GENERAL LAW REPORTE'S ALBUQUERQUE - SANTE FE 3-6601 2-221

mathods of sand frack which they didn't have back in those years, that a commercial well could be made out of the show that they had in this well.

9

1. for identification.)

MR. LOSES: The applicant will offer the contour map as Exhibit 1. (Marked Carper's Exhibit No.

IE. REESE: No objection.

MR. PORTER: Do you have any other exhibits? We might as well enter this exhibit now. If there are no objections, Exhibit will be received.

Q Have you had prepared under your supervision, a strip log showing the lithology of the formations encountered in this old well? A Yes, sir.

Q Will you explain in part that part of the strip log pertaining to the show of oil and gas or oil?

A As near as we can determine from all information available, the production --

MR. PORTER: (Interrupting) Mr. Losse, just one moment. The witness seems to be getting into expert testimony. Perhaps we had better qualify him at this point.

MR. REDSE: We don't believe this willows is a qualified geologist, but he is a pruchleing all can and we have no objection to his testifying regarding the showings that are used on that abrie log. if the Commission curve to been it.

> B BORIEL LINE OU NEWD C DEARNLEY - MEIER & ASSOCIATES

> > AUBUQUERCITE UATION F BIORIA - PIZZIT

GENER

Che & Children and

-	s training and experience for the record, please.
G.	Mr. Rowley, how long have you been in the oil business?
Å	Started in 1934.
Q	In what phase of the oil business have you been in?
Å	Just about all phases from roustabout right on up.
୍	In the drilling of oil wolls? A Tes, sir.
Q	And the producing of wells?
A	Yes, sir, I had charge of Production Department for Carper
Drilli	ng Company.
	MR. PORTER: The witness's qualifications are accepted.
You may	proceed.
Q	Proceed.
Å	From the information that we were able to obtain, the show
of <b>o</b> il	to which I referred awhile ago came in in this section right
here fi	rom 3308 to 30 and what we based our opinion on that we migh
obtain	a commercial well on up dip and get out of the water.
27. 14	What formation or sand would you say this show of oil was
on <b>co</b> unt	tered in?
: <b>t</b>	Woll, it's protty look to use it down bacause is appende
so be a	n stray sand, it is below that we feel is the Penrose and
atovo 1	the Grayburg. It's proceedly a Grayburg series all right, bu
10°0 in	, that interval there and we are muble to give it down on to
Actors	tion we have had.
	PEL, PORTARI JUST & MELER & ASSOCIATES DEARNLEY - MELER & ASSOCIATES GENERAL IN REPORTS ALBUQUEROUSE - SANTE FE

in the Queen send?

A Lower Queen, yes, sir.

MR. LOSED: We apologize for not having additional copies of the strip log, but because of the difficulties of reproducing them in Artesia, this is the only copy. We will offer it as Carper Exhibit No. 2.

(Marked Carper's Exhibit No. 2, for identification.

MR. REESE: No objection.

MR. PORTER: Any objection to the admittance of this exhibit? It will be admitted.

Q One further question, Mr. Rowley. If you were successful in this drilling operation and obtained oil in the sand that you feel might to productive, would you care to estimate the ultimate recovery of this sand, based upon production in similar sands?

A Well, from our study, we feel that there was 22 feet of gross pay in the old well and we estimated that 15 feet would be the offective not pay out of the 22. We think that there should be at least 1500 barrels of oil par sore recovered, based on similar lastances throughout the field.

9 Mr. Rowley, do you have any other statements you would like to make to the Cormission in support of your application?

A Ho, I believe not.

III. 105 11: I'll puce the Mitmoor.

DEARNLEY MEIER & ASSOCIATES IN DIMERANT GENERAL LAW REPORTS ALBOQUER SANTE FE 3-3501 2-2211

MR. PORTERY Just one question, Mr. Rowley.

A Yes.

MR. PORTER: What is that estimated recovery?

12

A 1500 barrels per acre, 60,000 per 40 acre.

MR. PORTER: Does anyone else have a question of Mr. Ecwley? Mr. Mankin.

MR. MANKIN: Marron Mankin with the Oil Commission.

### CROSS DEAMINATION

## Sy MR. MANEINS

Q Mr. Rowley, did you say that you anticipated production from the Queen or stray strand in the Grayburg?

A It is a stray sand that we feel is between the Penrose and somewhere along the top of the Grayburg. It's a little Ut deep to be in the Queen series.

Q On your Exhibit 1 you show two wells in Section 33 of Sizms and Reese, are those two wells not what is known as the Norben zone Queen Fool?

A I can't give you the name of the pools

6 Those two wells, and they not I done production?

A . I ballove that they are.

weakse there is a wide base

2 You don't faal that the production you would expect to get would be in the same some as those who producing wells?

A so, sir, not based on the walk which us were offsetting.

DEARNLEY MEIER & ASSOCIATES INCORFORATES OFFICIAL LAW REPORTEN ALBUQUEROILE - SAVIE FE 16601 - 2-2411

of difference in the selt from these

to the north and where the production was encountered in the old well.

**b**3

Q Who prepared the strip log, Exhibit 2, Mr. Rowley?

A One of our employees, a geologist, and one of the employees of the Tates Brothers who was a geologist.

Q The reason I ask that question, on Exhibit 1 it shows the base of the selt in the dry hole in the southwest of the southwest of Section 4 as 1812, whereas on the strip log the base of the salt is shown at 1807. It was a slight difference.

A It wasn't a deliberate mistake. We were handleapped on the logs, these old samples, they didn't have much back in those days. We were trying to base it on newer wells and correlated to cross it.

Q What is the producing formation from the Benson Pool, do you have knowledge of that? A No, sir.

U Nould that be Vates production?

A I believe Mr. Mates can probably tell you better than I can.

C There is all production to the south in the Benson Fool which is possibly fates production, and production to the month which is possibly Queen production, and you expect production in a zone that is not presently to the orea. Is that correct?

A Yes, sir.

MA, PORTAR: Does myone class mays a question of the doubly?

You may be excused, and you say call the mean who made the cases DEARNIEY MEDICE AGONCHATER COMMANDER OF SAME EN A STOLETHE SAME EN

114 Titness excused. ] MARTIN YATES. III been first duly sworn, testified as follows: a witness. DIRECT EXAMINATION EY NR. LOSEE: Q Would you state your name? A Martin Yates, the Third. Q Where do you live, Br. Tates? A Artesia, New Mexico. Q Are you the operator of the Lillie H. Yates Federal Lease LC 060585? A I am. Q What business are you in? A I'm in the oil producing business and the drilling contracting business. Q How long have you been in the oil producing business? A Since 1937. Q On behalf of Lillie M. Yates, did you file a Notice of Intention to Drill the Federal No. 1 well in the northwest quarter in the southeast quarter of Section 4, Township 19 south, Rauge 30 A I did. east on January 31, 1957? Q Did you file a similar application with the Gil Conservation Commission in March of this year? A I did. Q I'll hand you a copy of a form 3-101 and ask you if that is a copy of the notice of intervior that are filed with the United States Geological Survey and with the Conservation Commission7 A It ic. DEARNLEY - MEIER & ASSOCIATES INCORFORATED GUNERAL LAA PETOLISHA AUBIQUERDIE - SANTE FE 3-6001 - 2-2211

O I will ask you if you will read the casing program outlined on this notice of intention.

A Yes, I will. We propose to set eight and five-eighths inch casing, that is 24 pound per foot casing, and it's new casing at 750 feet, and cement it with enough cement to surface it, which I have here I believe 225 sacks, and I intend to run a salt protection string which would be seven and seven-eighths casing which would be 20 pounds per foot new casing, at approximately 200 feet, and cement it with a nominal amount of cement, which would be 110 sacks.

Q You mean 2,000 feet down?

A Yes, Sir, 2,000 feet, yes, I beg your pardon. In the event that production was encountered, I intended to run five and a half inch casing, new casing, the weight of it is 15% pounds per foot, the total depth and cament it with a sufficient amount of cement to circulate this production string to the surface. The estimated amount being 250 eachs of cement.

O Did this Notice of Intention contain a statement with reference to compliance with Off Concertation Consistent Order R-111-A? A It did.

9 Yould you read that statement to the Cormission?

GUNERAL LAW REFORMEN ALBUQUERQUE - SANTE PE 3-6691 - 2-22-1

ing all requirements as to setting; second as dearnley meter a associates

A I will. "All operations will be in full compliance with Order R-111-A of the New Mentes Oil Conservation Cormission, inclui-

<u>15</u>

Q In your opinion, Mr. Mates, is this casing program and commenting program as outlined in your notice, in full compliance with Order R-111-A? A It is.

Q Turning to Exhibit 1 which is a contour map, would you explain to the Commission why you picked this particular location for the Tates Federal No. 1 well?

A I certainly will. This particular location that I picked in the northwest quarter of the southeast quarter of Section 4, Township 19 south, Range 30 east, is a direct north offset to an old well drilled in the southwest quarter of the southeast quarter of Section 4, 19, 30. The purpose of this location is to offset this old well, which has a show of oil from 3308 to 3330. This particular location, based on our geologist and the Carper Drilling Company geologist, contour is approximately, would be approximately from 35 to 50 feet higher than the old well which encountered the show and which our geologist Feels would be high enough structuraly to be out of the water which was encountered in the old well which we are offsetting.

Q Mr. Tatas, what is the name of this old well that you are officitting?

A I think it is the k. L. Blictt Canon No. L.

? Is that the same well that Un. Nowley was referring to in His testimony?

A Tes, It is that some MBILS DEARNLEY MERER & Abber CLATES COMPALIER MERER SAN ALBOQUERCIE SANTE FR BOOM PROFE

Q Turning to the strip 103, would you agree with Mr. Rowley's statements as to the lithology of the production encountered in the old well? A Yes, I would.

i. ..... 14 7

Q What kind of a sand is this that you hope will be productive of sil? A You mean the age?

4 Yes.

A It would be one of the sands of the Grayburg series.

Q Do you agree with the estimate of Mr. Rowley as to the estimated ultimate recovery from that sand if it is recovered?

A Yes, approximately I do. Very close, yes, I agree with Mr. Rowley.

G Do you have any additional statements to make to the Commission in support of your application?

A No, I don't believe I do.

MR. LOSED: Pass the witness.

MR. PORTER: Mr. Reese.

#### CROSS EXAMINATION

by MR. REESE:

Q Are you familiar with the Earber fool south of the dry held in question?

A The Darber Pool, 1 know approximately where it is. I this it's producing out of the Yates line. I could be wrong about that.

2 You have been familiar with a number of wells drilled in

the vicinity of theme putash leares during the years from 1934 or 5 DEARNLEY - MEIER & ASSOCIATES Incorporated General Law Reporters Alsugerous - Sante Fe 3-66 d 2-2221 down to date, have you not?

A Well, I'll be familiar in the last, well approximately during that period.

R. Now, over to the west of the potash lease area there is some more production from the Yates sand, isn't there?

A West of the potash area. I couldn't say whether that's Yates or Seven Rivers sand. I do know that a well which was drilled by B. M. Cohan in the northwest, northwest of 7 encountered a good oil show of around 3960 from the Delaware sand.

Q In the wells that have been drilled in the general area, there, is it not true that from the Seven Rivers sand and from the Nates sand there is ordinarily a small quantity of gas encountered even though oil may not be found in commercial quantities?

A Well, I wouldn't say that would always be true.

Q I say wouldn't it be ordinarily true?

A Well, I don't know. I have drilled enough wells in the general area. I don't believe I have encountered any.

I Do you know if any of the gas in those sections was encountered in this particular day take that you have described?

A I don't bolieve the lor doub not show it.

1. You have no information other then show or the in about 10?

A That is right, and this information was compiled, as I

HOLD DELOYD, BY GIO VINCAU DEPOCHMENT DODIOMICO BAN ARTICL CONFERN DEARNLEY MEIER & ASSOCIATES INCORPORATO GENERAL LAW REPORTS AND DECORPORE - SAMTE FE A 65 M 2022

and be	b Boling of the Carper Brilling Company geologist.
Ç	Do you know how deep that Simms and Reese Well No. 2 is?
*	No, but I could check and see. No, I don't know how deep.
It jus	t has 1944 on it.
Q	I think the Commission records will show that that well is
some t	hree thousand and eighteen, twenty feet, such a matter?
Å	Ĩes.
Ç	Where it was completed? A Yes.
Q	Would that section compare with the section that you hope
to fin	d in your well?
Å	No, it would not. As I understand it, that particular well
Was co	mpleted out of the lower Queen or the Penrose zone. This
partic	ular well, our particular proposed location that I intend to
drill,	is producing lower in the section out of the Grayburg. I
mean ti	hat's where the show was, was out of the Grayburg.
Q	Are you familier with that Blackwell noted on your plat
there?	) Yes, sir.
9	Is it not the that they encountered some gas in that wall
above	the Queen section?
A	I believe they did bave a show in the Yatos in that parti-
cular	place.
ରୁ	Will you give the location of that, please, in the record?
Ā	Yes, I sure will. That well, the W. H. Machwoll, mus
drillo	d in the northrest quarker of the northeast quarter of Social nit
	DEARNLEY - MEIER & ASSOCIATES HICOPFORTED GENERAL LAW REFORMERS ALBUQUERCORE - SANTE PE
	3-5631 2-221

# 3, Township 19 south, Mange 30 east.

Q That's approximately how far from the location that you propose and in what direction?

A Well, this particular well is approximately, I'd say three quarters of a mile to a mile northeast of my proposed location.

MR. REESS: That's all I have.

MR. PORTER: Anyone else have a question? Mr. Mankin.

# By MR. MANKIN:

Q Mr. Yates, do you have knowledge that the proposed well that you intend to drill is within the projected open workings or mine workings of Southwest Potash Company?

A Hell, you mean the proposed future workings?

Q The projected, yes.

A Yes, I have been advised of that. Of course, I didn't know it at the time I first filed by first Notice of Intention to Brill.

Q Do you feel whether any kind of casing you put in this well, whether new or not, when sucondary mining is started, that any kind of eccing will withstand the shear in pulling pillars in secondary mining?

A Yes, I bolieve there are ensing blat would withoused that if the potesh companies would put a sufficient pillar to protect the well. It is sy opinion that it could be protected just ordinary caping. I believe the retach Company would do that if i

EAGE 3 PRODUCES IN OFFICE CO PRODUCES THESE DEARNLEY METER & ASSOCIATES IN PRODUCTS DEARNING AN ASSOCIATES ALSOCIATES AN ACL F ALSOCIATE SANCE F -------Q with six and sight foot sub sides, you feel that casing would not be sheared? 21

A As far as I know it has not subsided at this particular location.

Q Has there been any secondary mining in this area?

A I'm so advised there has been. I don't know it for a fact. That is my understanding there has been.

Q What makes you think that there would be Grayburg production in this area rather than Gueen production as has been predominantly Queen production in the area surrounding this?

A Well, I'm offsetting a wall that made oil in the Queen. That is the best geology I know of to offset an oil that made some oil.

MR. LOSES: You mean in the Grayburg?

A In the Grayburg.

9 You were speaking of the abandoned hole just south of the proposed location?
A Yes, sir.

You indicate that you feal that the Potash Company should loave a barrier or a pillar eround this particular well. Do you have any knowledge of her much should be loft around it to keep area chearing the cooleg?

A No, I don't. The concern an argineer. It would take a rinday argineer to determine that.

 A That I couldn't answer.
MR. MANXIN: That is all.
MR. PORTER: Anyone clas lave a question of Mr. Yates?
Ar. Sutter.
By MR. MUTTER:
C What size casing did you day that first string would be at
550 feet?
A That would be five and five-eighths casing.
Q I believe when you gave the location of the W. H. Black wes
you stated it was in the northeast of the northwest of Section 3.
A Let me check that map again. I bag your pardon. It is in
the northwest of the southeast quarter.
Q Ono more tire?
A Yes, it is northwest, northwest 3.
iR. MUTTER: Trank you. Thet's all.
A I sure had a as wrong. Thank you a lot.
AR. CONTRES I be devo as devided the location was in the
a come of the southwest a cost
M., PCATOR: Anyous club to ve only quastions of the Univer
a La consta lam ès dersest,
i burne encued.
1917 – C. M. R. Maarte, Cleve en en deskay one queschor
till at att at a state of the s
Control Con

having been recalled, tostified Durcher as follows:

CHOSE CHANINATION

23

Ly MR. MUTTER:

Q Mr. Rowley, you stated that your nine and five-eighths inch pipe would be comented with 225 sacks. Would that be sufficient coment to circulate the coment to the surface?

A I believe that it would, but we would run enough cement to theoretically give it fifty percent over what it should take. One hundred fifty percent of calculated volume. I believe the 225 would do it, but we would be sure it was circulated.

Q Does that also hold true for the four and a half?

A On the four and a half it probably would bring it back up to the base of the surface pipe, yes, sir. If the temperature survey was run and it was found not to be there, we would perforate and bring the cement back.

Q To the surface?

A Into the surface string of pipe.

9 So the nine and five-eighths would be cemented to the surface and the four and a balf would be cemented to the shoe of the nine and five-eighths?

A No, up past the shoe of the nine and five-sighthe.

MR. NUTTER: Thouk you. Than's all.

MR, PORTER: Anyono also have a question? Mr. Losce, doos

this conclude your testimony? DEAFMLEY - MELER & ASSOCIATES COMPOSATED GENERAL LAW REF - COM ALSOCIATESOLE - SAMELYS MR. LOSEE: Yes, it doss.

MK. PORTER: Would you see that your exhibits are properly marked? I believe the strip log should be Carper No. 2.

MR. LOSEE: They have been marked, Mr. Porter. I'll see that they get filed, if you would like.

MR. PORTER: Mr. Reese, how many witnesses do you have?

24

MR. REESE: We will have Mr. Hubert, Mr. Commissioner, and in addition we will offer in evidence for the consideration of the Commission, the testimony taken by the Commission in a hearing held under No. 1130, an application by the Commission at the request of Velma Petroleum Corporation for the drilling of four wells on petash land held under lease by the Potash Company of America. The testimony of the engineers and other experts in that case with regard to subsidence and with regard to the affects of the subsidence on the oil well casing with regard to the method of valuing potash, and any other testimony that is pertinent to this hearing.

I think the admission of that tracecript is agreeable to the applicants and that the Cormission may consider the testimony shown in the transcript as though it were given by the witnesses testifying here today, and also in connection with their testimony, the various exhibits that are a part of that record in connection with the testimony of the various witnesses.

the condition that protestant is this case show dimilarity of their dearners

ALBUQUERQUE - SANTA FE 3-6601 - 2-7211

IR. 10300; The applicants would consent to the offer upon

mining operations, or the Commission only consider such part of the Velma transcript as will be pertinent to the protestants case.

25

MR. REESE: We will show a similar mining operation that was involved in that case.

MR. PORTER: Are there objections to making any part of the record in Case 1130 which was the Velma Petroleum case, a part of this record, the record for these cases? Without objection the Commission will consider those portions of the transcript and the exhibits that we consider pertinent to these cases. It will be limited to the stipulations as noted by the two attorneys. Mr. Reese.

> MR. REESE: Will you swear Mr. Herbert, please? MR. COOLET: Is that your only witness? MR. REESE: Yes, sir. (Witness sworn.)

## IRA A. HERDERT

called as a witness, having first been duly sworn, testified as follows:

#### DIRECT CLARINATION

By MIL REESI:

Q Will you state your name, please?

A Ira A. Herbert.

Q By whom are you employed?

A SOUTHWEST POTSSE COMPONENTIAL DEARNLEY MEER & ABRODUCTES MUSHIMATING GENERAL DA NET SCH AUBUQUERVICE SAME FR S SAME 1 2000

26 - In what capacity? A Hining engineer. Q What is your training as mining engineer and where did you get it? A 1 have a B.S. degree in mining from the University of Nevada, 1925. Q After your graduation, have you been employed continuously in the mining industry? A Yes, sir. Q In the capacity of mining engineer? A Well, I started at the bottom and worked up. Q How long have you been employed in the capacity as a mining engineer? A About twenty-seven years. Q How long have you been employed by Southwest Potesh Corporation? A Seven years. Q Were you employed as mining engineer during all of that time? A Yes, sir. Q At the start of your employment had Southwest Fotash Corporation gone into production? A No. sir. Q Then you were employed at the start of their operation in Eddy County, approximately? A Well, I started before actual production during the exploration stage. () As mining engineer, what are your general duties there at the mine? DEARDERY MELER & ASSOCIATES A CALEBRA DA ANTALA ALBAN FRANK ANTALA ALBAN FRANK ANTALA

A Mine planning, cost efficiency, the general run of mine engineer work for a mine.

Q You are in charge of those matters for the Southwest Potash Corporation?

A In cooperation with the Superintendent. Yes.

Q I understand. Now, then, Mr. Herbert, have you made a study of your mine with reference to these proposed drilling sites, and in connection with that, prepared certain exhibits?

A Yes, sir.

Q Before talking about the exhibits, I wish you would briefly outline to the Commission the general characteristics of your mine and the kind of operation that is being performed there in getting out your ore.

A Our operation is similar to all the other mines in the area. We use a room and pillar method on our first mining. Approximately a sixty percent extraction on the first mining, track haulage, the usual coal mining equipment. I believe that's about all.

9 Following your first extraction of approximately sixtyfive percent?
A Sixty percent.

Q Sixty percent, what is next done?

A There's a time where mining additional, roughly a botal of 91.8 percent total recovery, that would be 31.8 above the sixty on secondary mining.

U In obtaining the anditional percentage of your lists mining DEARNERY MELER SASSOCATES ACTIVE TABLE AND SECOND AND SECON

what do you call that operation? A Secondary mining

Q Is it also --

A (Interrupting) Pillar rolating.

Q Have you, since the start of your operation, had occasion to change the time within which you begin your pillar robbing or secondary mining after your first mining in any given area?

25

A Well, we have found that we should do our secondary mining as rapidly as possible after the first mining. Is that what you meant?

Q Yes.

A There is a longer period of time that you allow your first mining to stand, you may run into difficulties on your second mining.

Q What is your practice now in that regard?

A Well, the practice that we have set up is to second mine as rapidly as we can after our first mining.

Q How long have you had that practice?

A That is our new, for about a year that is the thoughts on it for about a year. Our second mining was not at the present time, wasn't at the present time quite in that form.

Q You have an exhibit on the board over there to the loft.

A This exhibit is merely to show the limits of our potask lease, the limits of the potash oil area, and the estimated oil

Limit as given by the United States Geological Survey, This area DEARNLEY MEET ASSOCIATES

in here which is marked, is mined out area, is where we have done our second mining. We have no first mining this side. The first mining is this way.

MR. RESSS: I would ask that that Exhibit be marked as Southwest Exhibit No. A.

(Marked Southwest's Exhibit No. A. for identification.)

29

Q I believe just before I interrupted you, you were pointing out that your first mining is now to the east and south of what is shown on that plat as your mined out area?

A Yes, sir. We've taken first mining from this point to this point, the first mining extends further to the east. We are now retreating in this direction.

G Do you have a main drift joing northward in the vicinity of these proposed well locations?

A No, sir. Not at the present time.

C Do you have one planned? A Yos, Sir.

Q Fave you filed with the Commission as of January Lot this year, your plan of operation with regard to that drift?

A Yes, sir.

() Is that drift shown on the other exhibit that is on the board?
board?
A Mong size

MR. REISS: Would you mank that axhibit Southwest shibit

No. 1, pluase?

DEARNLEY MEIER & ASSOCIATES INTERPLICATES GENERAL DAW BEFORE AND QUERCHART SAME PERSON INTERPLET (Marked Southwest's Exhibit No. 1 for identification.)

i 30

Q Will you point out on Exhibit B the main drift that you have planned that will be in the area of these well locations?

A Take off of our mains to the west and drive the main entries to the north to deliver the ore body and we propose on the exhibit we gave the Oil Commission, to start the first mining in this area.

Q You are pointing to the area around the Lillie Yates application, are you not? A Yes, sir.

Q is there another drift shown on the plat from which you are now mining?

A I don't understand your question.

Q How close to that Yates location do you have a drift at this time?

A Oh, our panel limit, which is this line, is roughly 1280 feat from here to here. It is shown on this map.

Q What do you mean by panel?

A Well, in the mining expression you use your main entries to here and you'll mine a certain distance accounteally to your haulage to the main entry from both sides. This than, because the panel limit and the main entry system becomes a panel limit from the panel entry this way, and you do have a panel satery on this side. This would be two panels of the main entry. This would be one panel of this main entry, and enother panel to your suct.

I In your mining operation, where you have the wined dok are Dearnier Melek & Association

> GENERAL AN HELSEN ACE LUERCUE - RAILERE 3000 (1

shown,	are there any old oil wells in that area?	
A	There's one oil well in the area. We bypassed right here.	
Q	What did you do with that area there when you say you by-	
passed	1t?	
A	We checked the log of the well, the abandonment, it was	

31

abandoned quite a few years ago. We considered it wasn't plugged well enough to mine through. We were afraid of it, so we left 150 foot radius pillar around that well for protection.

Q In that immediate area have you done second mining?

A We have dene no second mining. We planned to do no second mining because of the well and the shaft location. We have done approximately fifty percent extraction.

Q That old well that you plugged and left 150 foot pillar, you have marked with a red "x", and it shows in a circular shape there at the bottom of Exhibit E, does it not?

A Yes. This is a solid pillar.

MR. FORTER: For the record, could we get the exact location of that plugged and abandoned well as to quarter quarter section?

A Yes, it's in the southnest quarter of the southwest quarter of Section 10, 19, 30.

IR. PORTAR: Thank you.

Q What percentage of the potash ore has been taken out of

 Statistics of a statistic approximation of a statistic

the area where that old well is? DEARNIEY MEERICAGE CAS A Approximately fifty percent outside of the pillar.

Q Outside of the pillar? A Yes, sir.

Q You intend to leave that as protection for your mine buildings and shaft and so on?

32

A Yes, sir. We will not take anything around this well at all. It's very poorly plugged, that is according to our schedules of plugging.

9 What, if anything, did you do with reference to the abandoned well that was testified to by Mr. Rowley and Mr. Yates?

A The abandoned well they mentioned, right here, we reopened that well to approximately 200, 250 feet below the salt section. Then, under my supervision, we filled that well to the surface with concrete, with coment. When we ran the well we were very careful after we ran up through the salt through the ore zone we checked to make sure we had our cement that high. We checked it at intervals. So this well now, from approximately 200 feet below the salt, is a solid piller of concrete. It actually everflowed on the surface. There was a little slope on the surface.

9 Now, from your experience, what is your estimate as to the amount or size of petach piller which should be left around a well in order to provent subsidence and the contamination from any goe that might be in the well?

A Goll, I feel that if you inho either one of these wolls, we whould luevs, providing the walls are detiled before we time it, we should leave a 250 radius pillar around that well which will no attempt to mine at any time. Apart from that, we would move out some 1200 feet from that well, and we would only be able to take 65 percent extraction, that is 5 percent more than our normal first mining. At the end of the five percent extraction, that would kill the whole area. We would attempt to do no further mining because of the danger of cracking or shearing the casing in either one of those wells.

Q On Exhibit E you have a line drawn around those two well locations. I don't know how you would describe the shape of that.

A You meen this or this?

maining 40 percent or 35 percent.

Q Yes. A This?

Q What does that line represent?

A That represents an area coming up to this like we would be able to take a 90 percent plus extraction inside of this line. We would only take 65 percent as our total extraction, minus no extraction around the 200 foot radius pillar at each well.

Q Have you compiled any figures showing the value of the orewhich would be taken with your 93 percent recovery?

A Not 93. I didn't make a statement of their around.

但 90 --- 从 (Interropting) 法的。

Q 918, pardon me. Mith relation to the value, nonvise the vells were drilled and you had to leave the pillers and the re-

DEARMER'S MEED VALUE AT
M. RESSE: We ask that the one labeled Continue Wells be marked as Exhibit C. The one marked Yates well be marked Exhibit D, the one marked Carper Well be marked Exhibit S.

> (Marked Southwest's Exhibits Nos. C, D and E, for identification.)

36

Q Referring to Exhibits 0, D and S, what form 'a did you use there in computing your figures?

A I used the same formula that is used by the United States Geological Survey.

Q Is that the same formula that was used in the test in the Valma case? A Yes, sir.

Q You might explain your figures and give the totals with regard to each of the exhibits.

A Well, the combined wells, which are shown on the plan, both wells are drilled simultaneously or at the same time before we mine them. This has been calculated in the following manner, Recoverable Value Per Acre - 2,722.5 times thickness of ore in feet times grade of ore in percent H20 times percent mining actraction times percent mill afficiency times units of H26 per too times orice of units of H20.

The constant, 2722.5, represents the tons of one contained in one acro-foot, using 16 cubic foot equals one ton of one. He price per unit of 7 3 in markets is 36 cents.

Those are the same methods what the United Statum Generical

and services of the services o

Durvey uses for calgulating, DEARDERY METALLAS

The following are calculated values: Case No. 1, Normal mining, no wells. Using a (2) percent extraction only, we have 135 better than that extraction at the present time, total mine, 90 percent. Mill efficiency, 90 percent; recoverable value per acre \$77,235. For the 198.4 acros involved, it becomes \$15,323,424. Case No. 2, two wells, 200 foot radius pillar around each well with no extraction in that area, 55 percent mining extraction in the area marked on Exhibit 2. Total mining extraction is 65 percent. Mill efficiency, 90 percent; recoverable value per acre is 55,781. For the 192.8 acres, your two wells are zero extraction, it becomes \$10,754,576. So summarize this, the loss of recoverable value if the wells are drilled per acre, is \$23,028 or \$4,568,848. That is with the combined wells, both wells being drilled more or less in the same time, and we are not able to mine to full extraction during that period. 2 That would also be on the assumption, 1 take it, that the wells make the oil or gas? A I assume that, Q Now, with regard to Estimit 9, 1 assume those figures are calculated in the same faction shows that you have described, and that the totals arrived at is the same memory 2 703, sir. C You show a loss of recoverable value as to the erectround DEARMER WELL, CL 32, 343, 302, is that en Constant Charles Constant Charles Constant

Q With regard to the Carper well, a loss of 3,809,654, is that correct? A Yes, sir.

G Do you know of any way in which an oil well could be drilled in your potash mining area where you could obtain any higher percentage of your ore than you have outlined in these exhibits, safaly? A Before we mine?

Q Assame a well is drilled and oil is obtained and you go in to mine.

A At the present time, no.

A THE, BIT.

Q You know of no method? A No, sir.

Q What is the danger to your sine to be encountered from a producing well within the limits of your mining?

A Well, if we should second mine in an area too close to a producing well, the ground subsidence would affect us by a probable shearing of the casing, allowing oil or gas to enter into our workings, which is very unstable. It would probably mean the losing of the area or the whole mine.

Q Why do you say the whole mina?

A Facause the mines, you start out at two shafts and you gradually work out to an area, and the area towards your shaft is open behind you. So if we are in any one of the areas between the outer limits, we have no way of mereving the gau, or if the off should flow from the mining system to the outside.

A Yes, sir,

Q Do you have any leases, potasium leases, potash leases, from the State of New Mexico, that would be affected by an oil well drilled on these proposed locations in the event there should be subsidence and the oil or gas or water get loose in your mine?

\$7

A You mean in the immediate area of these two wells?

Q That would be affected by them. A No.

Q How about Section 16?

A Section 16 is quite a distance to the south. Section 16 we would have to protect.

Q Would your mine openings, would they extend from the well locations down to Section 16? A Yes, sir.

Q Do you have any plats based on figures made by you from your mine showing the actual subsidence at your mine following primary and secondary mining?

A Yes, sir, I would like to call on Exhibit A. It shows it a little clearer. We have a grid that we placed over the error. I haven't shown the camplete grid, which we have taken measurements at different intervals to shock our vertical subsidence. The highest vertical subsidence we have to date is 3.7 feet, which is at this point. It takes out to your mining edges. We have a horizontal movement, a maximum of 2.3 feet at this point. Succe teaths here and seven teaths there, the remeral direction loging to

DEARNLEY MEERS ASST 1.1

an an an Arran an Ar Arran an Arr the east slightly south.

Tou have been referring to Exhibit A?

k Yes, sir.

Q Those figures are noted on your plat, I believe?

A Yes, sir.

Q All right, if you will continue.

A These maps show in contouring vertical subsidence, we have been doing this since soon after the start of operations.

Q Are you going to talk about the big long map u there first? A Yes. I suggest that be marked Exhibit F.

> (Narked Southwest's Exhibit No. F, for identification.)

Q Now, you might explain what that is.

A We started the secondary mining operation in the triangular shaped area, the latter part of June. We did not realize it that the subsidence would be reflected so rapidly on the surface. First measurements were made on October 4, 1956. The green line reprobonts the area of second relating that we have taken during the start of operation October 4. For emphasis, the red line is a half foot vertical subsidence contour. This is your panel limit. There is no first mining on the left of this. The first mining is all in this area.

Noving over to October 29, you can also that our second mining has noved out, our ball foot centers has stayed approximately the

38

the same, mainly because we are coming out of an area that is not moving too rapidly.

Moving over to November 15, we started second mining, bringing this area back, and your half foot contour is beginning to move a little bit more. Approaching December 12 we are gradually moving out on our corners, bringing our middle back the half foot, contours extended a little greater, but not to a great extent with faster miner in this area. On the 24th is really beginning to move, it is beginning to move down this way with the effect of the second mining in here.

I would like for you to note between January 24 and February 26, approximately four weeks, you can see what your half foot contour has done, mainly because of rapid mining in this area, the weight coming from here and it coming to here, and the weight on this. The last measurement on the 30th of March, your half foot contour being more or less the same on that, but it has moved right out to the edge to your second mining limit.

Understand that from here down we do not plan to take anything more, this is a little over 1200 fast to our muriate, building portion has been 50 percent mixed and portion 70 percent mixed. The will not attempt to move any of this on secondary mining. This gives you a graphical picture so you can see how your contours hove with your mining.

That are the other contours on the plot?

A The other contours are half foot intervals. This contour is three and a half foot vertical subsidence with a 1.37. The next one is a three and so forth for each half foot interval. Actually by now we believe that this contour is connected at the present time.

Q To summarize what those plats show, how would you do these for us?

A To summarise what the plats show, the effect of secondary mining as reflected on the surface in subsidence over a period of time interval from the start of secondary mining in this area.

Q Roughly speaking, what is the surface subsidence in feet or inches? A You mean maximum?

Q Tes, sir.

A We have found 3.7 fest.

Q Going out to what ---

A (Interrupting) It comes out to zero. From zero to 3.7 is our maximum at the present time.

Q Then your contours all reflect surface subsidence?

A Yes, sir.

Deross to zero.

9 Chat is the plat below theb one?

A Woll, this is a social taken through here showing first the surface, these figures show a one-tauth subsidence at that point, four-tenths here, 3.2 and 3.7 and 3.5, 2.8, so forth, clear

> DEARNELEY - MEHER & ABSOMUATOR MENTROPORTS REPORTED FERRER ALM STRATES AND ST

# 17. 12 SEt Lat's mark that Exhibit C, please.

(Marked Southwest's Exhibit No. 9, For identification.)

41

Q what part of your mine is that section drawn to represent? A It's a section right through this row of stakes on the underground workings of the elevation. If you want to know, that would be. I've left my number of this. Just a second.

0 It would be to a point approximately midway of the last of that long series Exhibit F?

A Yes, right about through the old oil well that they mentioned of the 211iott Canyon well on an east-west line.

Q Now, describe your Exhibit G to us.

A This is to the wost, which is this panel limit. No first mining on this side. This is to show you what we have developed in the second mining as far as angle to the surface. We have this up to a one-tenth. We then move it to a zero, we moved it to the last measurement, this angle is 600 degrees. It is still noving.

This shows a 49, this shows a 38, which United States Peterhhas found in theirs, but this shows our own experience to date. On the side shere you have first mining to the cast, you'll notice that this angle is quite a bit firster, being down to 50%.

The tendency is over your first mining area, for this to be a shightly flatter. This shows a 43, this shows a 38. This section is here, I cannot prove to you then it is appeally close to the

> DEARNEEY MEIER A ASSOCIATED IN SPACE OF A SERVICE TABLE ST A STUDIES FOR ANTI-

floor. It's slightly dangerous. I have known it to be down to two feet. This area is around five feet high. It is crushed to about two feet. Whether it is actually touching the floor, 1 can't tell you. 42

Q what is the significance of those lines as to 38 degrees or 45 dogrees? What does that mean to our picture here?

A Well, this means that if a well is drilled or is in this area out to here, that the surface subsidence will crack through there and probably shear off casing, allow either oil, gas or water to enter into the mine. This line here eventually will move right on over, especially after mining is being done in this area.

Q In other words, from the place where your mining has coased, the subsidence line gues out at an angle from your ere, from your mine down below the ground, in your experience already 38 degrees, and is that what you mean?

A No, we haven't had experience 38. The United States experienced one of 38. We have only experienced on the side where we mined 79 and the side where we have first wining abade of us we have 56.

0 That is still moving you day?

hat malation?

A That is still moved on which is is instanced by Uline

DELARNEEY - MEER & ASSUDATE INCLASSING GENERAL OT BLOCKER ASBIGUEROUE - SAMPLE REACT

3 Boes that have relation to the amount of one you wast isave around an ell woll?
5 Shy yes, his. A We feel that if we take 65 percent in this ires and no more, leaving a solid pillar on your oil well, and we estimate is here, the ground will but subside around the oil well in any shape er form to cause a shearing or broaking of the casing, but we would not mine only 65 percent of this area within a 38 degree angle of the well.

Q Then you have applied the degrees of subsidence from your experience there at the mine in calculating the amount of ore which you must leave around these projected wells in the event they were drilled and found to be productive, is that correct?

A Yes, sire

Q Do you have any photographs showing the surface and subsurface subsidence in your mine?

A They have copies, Mr. Recsed

Q They do? A Mac.

Q As you describe one and tell us what it is, would you have the reporter mark it beginning with MY

A I will just bold there up. I would like to show you where these were taken. Nort, there were baken at a point right hore on April 2nd.

Q April 2nd of this years

A April 2nd, this year, yoo, site

Q You are pointly, to wat?

Raght there. The protected to the token just outside the protected to the state the second state stat

44

Q You are pointing to the last of the long contour series in about the --

A (Interrupting) March 30, 57.

red line.

008 3?

Q The one headed March 30, 1957? A That is right.

Q The Label, and you are pointing to a point near the southwest corner of your green line?

A I'll mark this surface picture.

Q Tou are referring now to what exhibit?

A This shows the surface crack developed along this aide.

These will wary from, or two to six inches in width in this area. These same pictures, they are taken, there are three pictures of cracks I want to correct myself, they are not continuous. We don't find a continuous crack for four or five hundred feet. We find them for a distance of maybe fifty feet. We can't find them in between. You pick up a cruck, it might be in the general bine or a little bit up above or a hitche bit below, but they do follow a north-south direction.

M. FORTER: That is after shut percentage of the ore hus

A We have taken out (1990 of out 1999 areas, "Block a group another ons of the same type of cruch.

> DEARMEN MEDERALSSON A Recordson Recordson Recordson Recordson Recordson Recordson

IN. READE: Will you truck these sublicity and mark the third

(Marked Southwest's Exhibits Nos. 1, 1 and J, for iden ification.)

-Q All three of those ware taken at the same relative location?

A Yes, sir.

Q You stated that the crack varied in width from two to six inches. Did you mean two feet to six inches?

A No, two inches to six inches.

Q All right.

A We have a set of prints which have been taken underground.

Q Just one question before you proceed, how deep below the surface are you mining?

A This is approximately 1,000 feet.

Q Then the cracks in the other pictures, would they indicate that the ground has subsided from your mining operation clear up to the surface?

A Yes, sir. That's indicated here by the movement of this stake down from the four-tenths, three and a bolf, 2.8. It is down and to this area, which is in turn shown by your contouring, whis being the largest subsidence from the cide. This picture who taken on 25th of February of this year, of a pillar 478.

MR. REMEN: Will you must that H, placeo?

Astural pillar that has hoon fort there's

(Carked Forthwoat's Inhibit Io. No. Son identification.)

MR. NORGAR: Does 10 laws there is a suppression of the

GENERALLAN RES 2000. ANGUGUERORE - ANNO ER S SECT

40 A Yes, sir. This piller we have mined out on the sides. This is one of the stumps, I can't tell you exactly right now, it is probably a 9 x 18 in size, that was left. That was on the 28th of February of this year. On March 7 this pillar is partly obscured here. MR. MEESE: Will you mark that photograph L, please? (Marked Southwest's Schibit No. L. for identification.) A This was swing, the camera swing a little bit to show a floor heave to give you an idea of the tremendous pressures they ware operating under after we removed the second mining on these pillars. You can see that the back is closing down, that is one woah's time in this pillar. S What do you have there, four? A Yes. A Yes. Q Are they related? 12, RCROS, Shy not Islaid then N. H. C and P. (sarted Couthmest's Erhibits Hos. C, M, C and P, for identification) 4. Then when you refer to then in your statement, fir. Techart, whichever one you have in your band, you call it I and so pay Ma, BONTAR: Nr. Analog de you have sevenni nore of Ne al clureus ing saining is this back in shi. A This will conclude the Bindfill and the Store Cive relation of THIS IS LARCE OF PHOLEN DELER, THERE AND THE SECOND STRUCT OF 1993, 

showing a cutting machine. To are doing the second mining at this time. We are coming in and cutting between this pillar and the pillar on the other side. The height here at the measuring point was 5.7 feet on the 12th of February of this year.

On Exhibit 3 we have now completed the second mining on the side and you can see the pillar, the same pillar 558. Our machines are moved back to another row of pillars. The measurement is 5.2 fest. That is the pillar has subsided a half a foot in the time that we have moved in and taken out our second mining and moved out in a week.

The Exhibit O, one week later, same pillar on March 7, is now closed down to 4.4 feet. It's a little bit over, almost one foot in one waek, we're still mining in the first mining area behind it. You can see the effect of this say and the breaking up.

On Exhibit P, the pillar is very rapidly disappearing. It is disintegrating, it is broken up and eproad on the floor. That was on the 14th of March of this year and was down to 3.2. Since that date there hasn't been any of us who desire to go back in there and look at it again. We can tell by looking at it it is probably down to a neighborhood of two fast, but I have no actual measurement.

This indicates a transmous pressure that you have on this ground as your second mining may so on out. It is constantly noving and it will follow your first mining right along bolded it, this DEARNLEY MELER & ADSCRAPTS reflected in turn to the surface or to an area outside of our mining.

1.8

MR. MORGAN: Do I understand that there is no subsluence on 65 percent mining?

A That has been the accepted theory on previous hearings I believe. We have not done any 65 percent mining; from actual experience I can't tell.

MR. MORGAN: Sixty percent?

A Sixty percent, we have found no surface subsidence. I would like to make one more statement, if I may, on this Exhibit F. We have a fourteen-inch cast iron water line which feeds our plant for milling purposes. The water line, as you can see, is right over our caved area, and it's going to be over more of it. The water line has been acting like a small snake, it has been going up and down and it is going sideways. We have developed laaks up to seventy gallons a minute. We have uncovered the whole line and we are continually, not continually, excuse no, we do have to rulte minor repairs on the line for leaks which is a very good indication of your ground neverants.

This will drop a foot in lare and the next day it will go up and be up half a foot and it will be down and go addreage eryclare from two to three inches. Another indication of the transdoud wovement that you have in the area over your second mining.

4 Ur. Harbert, with regard on the area around that a waite, DEARNLEY MEER & ARE MARKS

I think I asked you awhile ago if you hadn't filed your mining plans with the Commission last January. Actually when did you first file that plan? 49

A We filed it according to R-111-A. We filed the first one in January of 1956, indicating we would be in this area within five years based on estimates of wining at that time. January of 1957 we still feel that we'll be in this area within five years. That is we have not changed our plan in the last two years as far as mining the area in question.

Q You still intend to be there?

A Well, under present plans, yes, sir. You have to understand that mining plans do change, based on production, and well, there's quite a few reasons, that is one, tied up with the mining that is you can say that in five years I'm going to be right there, tut there are many reasons why you will not get at that point in five years.

Q You might got there sooner too.

A Yes, sir, we can be there seener or later. I don't believe there is any mining engineer in the room the will say that the company five years free new is going to be right there.

Q Can you think of anything also, Nr. Horbart, right now had might be material to the immediate as to the offect on your wind if those walls are drilled and production is obtained?

A Vell, porconally i mpulger to to gape it done because

of the loss of ore in here. There is another thing that enters into this thing. If you have to come up here and mine out a little ploce in here and wiggle out through here and come in here and mine this plece you are doing a very inefficient mining, both costwise. It is really extreme headache on those wells if we have to leave that 65 percent. We would normally take our sixty. We have to readjust the whole planning system to take another five percent in this area. It can be done, but it does upset the continuity of your work.

I forget to mention that this is the outline on Sxhibit  $\lambda_*$  shows the Carper lease and the Yates lease

Q How about that little triangle up . The provide some of that. Would you probably second mine that

A This?

Q There.

A Yes, I think we might. As to what way you would do it, you probably wouldn't mine it hord, you might mine it from another panel at another date. I balkays you could second size it.

MR. ABESS: I believe that is this we have, Fr. Correctioner.

MR. PORTSE: At this blue the location of the second of the one-thirty.

(Recass.)

AAMAA SAARAA SAARAA

lmp

# AFTERMOON SESSION

MR. PORTER: We will proceed with the Cases 1233 and 1234. MR. REESE: At this time the Southwest Potash would offer in evidence all the exhibits which have been heretofore identified. A to P, inclusive.

MR. PORTER: A through P?

MR. LUSEE: The applicants have no objection.

MR. PORTER: Any objection to the admission of these exhibits? They will be admitted.

MR. REESE: At this time we would ask the Commission to take judicial knowledge of their record showing the production of oil from wells in the vicinity of the proposed wells.

MR. PORTER: Presently producing wells that you are referring to?

MR. REESE: All that might be material. I think the Commission has a record of total production on the wells in the Barber Pool, for instance, the wells northwest of this area in the North Benson Queen Pool, and I believe those might be, you might also include the undesignated wells north and a little east of the Simms-Reece Wells by Mr. Randall and Mr. Jones, I believe.

MR. PORTER: The Commission will consider the records for those wells as to any possible bearing they might have on this case.

MR. REESE: I would like to resume the direct examination for one point that may not have been brought out clearly.

(Direct Examination continued by Mr. Reese.)

Q This morning, Mr. Perbert, you tostified that in the event

D4 Added FY MC factory, A construction of a state of the state of the Added State of the Added State of the state of the state of the Added State of the state of the state of the Added State of the state of the state of the Added State of the state of the state of the Added State of the state of the state of the Added State of the state of the state of the Added State of the state of the state of the Added State of the state of the state of the Added State of the state of the state of the Added State of the state of the state of the Added State of the state of the state of the Added State of the state of the state of the Added State of the state of the state of the Added State of the state of the state of the Added State of the Ad

production was secured on these proposed wells, you would be forced to leave the area occupied by pillars and then only take 65 percent in the remaining area shown in your dark outline there around the wells. What would be the probable outcome of the remaining 26 perent which you would normally get by secondary mining?

- A You are speaking about this area?
- Q Yes, sir.
- A We would not get it.
- Q Why?

A Well, these wells are under production. Their life is anywhere from five to 20 years. We would mine this area completely out of it; we would have pulled out our equipment, track trollies, power lines and we would have to re-tool that to go in and try to get the other 25 percent after they had completed their wells. Also, by that time this would have been caved. This would have been caved, we would be sitting in a little bot spot in the middle and attempting to go in there and get our full 90 or 92 percent, that would be extremely dangerous.

Q What sort of danger?

A Well, the danger would be that as soon as we started to attempt to get the remaining 20 or 25 percent, we just couldn't hold her back with any timber, or anything else, to allow us to mine out the pillars and retreat safely. What I am trying to say is that the ground would be coming so fact behind us it would just run us out.

Q It would be dangerous to the workmen?

A Yes, sir.

DEARNLEY METER & ASSOCIATES New State Reserves ACBLOCERDER SAMER F

2mp

53 4mp Q Due to the caving of the bank? A Yes. Q That is what we might call the roof here? A The roof here, which would be the breaking up of the roof and dropping down. Q You apply the term back to roof? A Yes, roof. MR. REESE: I believe that is all I have on direct examination. CROSS EXAMINATION By MR. LOSEE: Q There is no mining in the area of these proposed locations at this time? A No. Q There is, likewise, no subsidence in this area? A We haven't measured any. Q Do you know if, for a fact, the percentage of potash in the area of these two wells is the same as the percentage figure used in computing your figures on your Exhibits C, D and E? A We have a fairly good control on this area right here by your Corper Well, we have a potash vault within four or five hundred feet, or maybe six hundred feet. MR. PORTER: You have what? A A potash test hole; we have controlled, the black circles here are potash test holes in the immediate area, around this part (indicating). The method of calculations are more or less the same as United States Geological Survey. The proof on the figures DEARNEET MEER B AND DUALT الحمالي ( ( ) حالية اليوامين ( ) ( ) المراك محمد ( الهارية في المحمد ( ) ( ) محمد ( ) محمد ( ) محمد ( ) محمد ( ) الوارية ( ) محمد ( ) ( ) محمد ( ) محمد ( ) محمد ( )

that I used for this, we have met my figures, my calculations in other areas of our mine within a reasonable limit, so that the figure that I presented here, which I utilized the percent of  $K_2O$ I believe is accurate.

54

Q Is it possible that figure could differ?

A It can differ, yes, sir, but not materially.

Q Does your company know that there is a sufficient amount of potash in the area of these two locations so that it could be economically mined?

A We believe so at the present time.

Q You do not know that as a fact?

A At the present time, yes, sir. We know there is potash that we can economically mine within a certain allowance on my calculations at the present time.

Q I believe you stated this morning, Mr. Herbert, that Southwest had filed a program, or proposed program for five years in advance, one on January 1 of \*56 and one on January 1 of \*57?

A it was in January of each year, not January 1st.

Q Well, in January, with the Commission?

A Yes, sir,

Q Has Southwest always in the past exactly carried out the proposed plan of operations?

A We have, up to the present time, yes, six. In fact, I would like to mention that over our all property, we have mining plans established. We have followed that plan clinic 1952, in general. Minor variations, because of conditions or connege requirements, or grade requirement, but in general we have followed our original

DEARNLEY MEISR & ASSOCIATES

4mp

mining plan that was set up in 1952.

Q Is it possible that you would vary from your proposed five-year plan to any extent?

A Certainly we can either be in there inside of five years or a little bit over. Your economics enter into situations whether we have increased tonnage or production requirements, grade requirements, X number.

55

Q Is it possible that you might not be in that area for ten years?

A No, I don't think so. I think we will approach the five years in that area, under the present plans we have now.

Q I believe you stated this morning that you had, or Southwest had, under your supervision, plugged this old Elliott Cannon Well solid with cement?

A Yes, sir.

Q That well is in your proposed five year plan?

A Right on the edge, right in the corner here.

Q By reason of the existence of the plugged well, do you contemplate that the amount of potash recovered around it will be reduced?

A Wall take all the potesh.

Q You will take it as if the well was not there?

A Yes, sir, it is not a producing well, and it's not plugged. We have no fear of caving the ground around that well. We will take the 90 odd percent extraction. In other words, we will not lose any money, or I should say, production because of that well.

> DEARNLEY-MEIER & ASSOCIATES INTERACIONE GENERAL ENVIRONMENT ALCOUEROUE - UNIX (ASSO

5mp

6mp

Q Then, by the same token, if either the Carper or if both the Carper and the Yates Wells were drilled and plugged solid with cement, and abandoned, it would not cut down the amount of potash recovered?

A If you could say that you would not have production in those wells. If you had a dry well, then you plugged it we would that is, plugged it according to our specifications, and under our supervision, we would mine that area. That is a dry well, or you have finished production.

Q I believe this morning you stated that Southwest Potash plan, at least for the last year, was to commence the secondary mining as soon as possible after the primary?

A Yes, sir.

Q Do all potash mines in the Carlsbad area follow that same procedure?

A I can't speak for them.

Q Do any of the other potash mines follow that procedure that you know of?

A In the past I don't believe they have,

Q Actually some of the potash mines have waited several years to commence their secondary mining?

A Yes, sir. I believe that's mainly because to some extent we were a little bit timid about starting secondary mining because of the water situation. There has to be a point of somebody taking the lead, and finally they took it, and they found that we can do secondary mining safely.

Q Mr. Herbert, would it be possible in the area involved in

DEARNLEY MEIER & ASSOCIATES IN CONSISTENCE CONSISTENCE MARKED SAFE ALLO DUESSUE SAFE FIL CONSISTENCE SAFE FIL CONSISTENCE SAFE bé

these two wells and throughout that panel passageway leading to it, to go in and make your primary mining operations and withdraw 65 percent clear down to your main passageway.

A May I correct that? We mined 60 percent, normally on our first mining.

Q 60 percent on your first mining?

A Yes.

Q Then after the production of oil, if any were obtained in either the Carper or the Yates Well, and those wells were plugged solid with cement, is it not possible that you could then commence your secondary mining throughout that passageway?

A Mr. Reese brought that up previously. By the time you completed that period of time, we would be completely out of this on our first mining. We would probably be mining on this side, which makes this ground very unstable, and very dangerous to go back in again and try to get our second mining, plus the high additional expense of re-tooling. I mean by relaying track, power lines, equipment and everything that had been moved in the area.

Q Have any other potash mines in the area carried on a similar operation of waiting several years before they went back in the area and started their secondary mining?

A As a matter of fact, I don't know. I really couldn't say as to that.

Q Do you understand that any have?

A All I can assume is that they waited for a period of time. I don't know if they left their lines and power lines and everything in there. You have quite a capital investment when you start moving

> DEARNLEY-MEIER & ASSOCIATES INCOMPONENT COMPANY UNA REPORTER ACROMETEROIE SANDA PO

equipment, track and power lines and trolley and everything else in there for a long period of time. I really don't know, as a matter of fact, to be stated as a fact.

MR. LUSEE: I believe that's all.

MR. PORTER: Mr. Mankin, 1 believe you had some questions? By MR. MANKIN:

Q Mr. Herbert, what is the closest point that open mining is going on, to either one of the proposed locations?

A May I ask a question on that? I would like to say this, our open mining is over here and our secondary is over to here. The first is here, from our panel where we did our first mining is about 1280 feet to the Yates Well. If you add this, if you meant from where we good actually secondary mining, now you have to add on quite a large amount of footage.

Q No, sir, I just meant the closest point to any mining, to either one of the wells?

A About 1280 feet.

Q is not the Yates Well within the five-year plan which you propose?

A Yes, sir.

Q To you believe that with the plan which you have suggested here of 65 percent mining, that Southwest Potash Company could live in that particular area and not expect casing to be sheared?

A You mean if the well was drilled, if we left a 200 foot solid piller around the well and we only took 65 percent up to the, what we so far know is the angle of breakage, yes, it really would do no damage to the well and there would be no damage to the mine.

DEARNETY METER & ASSOCIATES

Q You outlined an area on Exhibit i us the second which currently encompasses this 200 fout radius, is that current?

A That has 200 foot radius around here, 1230 feat from here to here, which is based on U.S. experience of 38 degrees. Now, that in future experience may change that to 45 or some other angle, but I calculated on the 38, based on their experience of cracking out that part.

Q So, actually some of these corners would not be included in the 1200 foot radius, although it is just a general picture.

A The reason I do not take a radius is mainly for mining operations. You can make an example, if you have a tree in your lawn and it is a lot more work to keep backing up around the tree. For normal operation we would square this off.

Q For normal mining areas in blocks rather than radius's?
A Yes.

Q Is not the U.S.G.S. cut off point the point of siluite in the amount of footage?

A This line is four feet at 4 percent K20.

Q That was based on many, numerous core samples, was it not?

A This line is copied from the map that is in the files of your office.

MR. LOSEE: I believe that is all.

MR. PORTER: Mr. Nutter?

By MR. NUTTER:

Q Mr. Herbert, it has been suggested that certain parts of the record in the previous case on drilling oil wells in the potash area, be incorporated in this hearing, are you acquainted

> DEARNLEY MEIER & ASSOCIATES Ministry (Construction) Bright Construction ALTHYQUEDENTIAL MILLION CONSTRUCTION

with that record, sir?

A Fairly well, yes, sir.

Q Rathe: than go through a rather long explanation, does your method for establishing subsidence and horizontal movement, is that similar to the method used in the other instance?

: ()

A It is similar, but not as accurate. If you remember, in the Velma case they didn't have detailed survey down to hundredths of thousands of a foot for accuracy. My survey over this, for this location is probably within maybe five feet of its actual location, but my elevations are within one hundredths of a foot. I was interested in the vertical movement on my stakes and not pinpointing it down to a hundredth or thousandth of a foot; but we do have scattered through this area where I pointed out this horizontal, we do have steel pins or pipes in concrete. These have either been triangulated in, or run in under accepted standards of surveying within at least a hundredths of a foot, on these fixed points for horizontal movement. But our vertical movement is within one hundredths of a foot.

Q I think that explains that very adequately, Mr. Merbert. What provision in normal mining operations is made to prevent the subsidence, including the horizontal and vertical movement from shearing off a mine shaft?

A If you will look at Exhibit E, the nine shafts are here (indicating). We have left approximately a 300 foot solid barrier, or more than that, roughly four hundrad. Moving on over this area we have left over -- We have left from the shaft 2,000 feet, which we have only mined 50 percent. We will not mine anymore of that.

> DEARNLEY MEIER & ASSOCIATES NY DEPONSY CONSISTER REPORT ADDRESS REPORT ADDRESS REPORT

10mp

That is for two things, one is to protect our plant, which lies over this area here, and to protect our shafts. We, in no case, would mine closer to our shafts than probably 1,500 feet. That's what we have established, and we would only take 50 percent at the most.

11

Q 50 percent is a standard accepted practice?

A Well we have, we have mined, you'll see this around our shaft, we have taken out 50 percent and we have no movement in our shaft at any time, and no evidence of movement, no increase in weter flow through our lining. We feel satisfied that this ground is stable around our shafts so long as we don't tear up our barrier pillar or take too close extraction close by, the 50 percent is adequate to protect it, plus a barrier pillar around it.

MR. PORTER: Mr. Mankin?

By MR. MANKIN:

Q Mr. Herbert, just south of the area shown on your Exhibits A and B, in Section 16, there is about at least four oil wells in the Benson Pool, Southwest has a potash lease on that as a State lease. Pave you not found it inadvisable to not mine around in that particular area?

A I think it's inadvisable and highly dangerous under the present circumstances. Your recovery would be very small, very very low in the area. Probably if you mined and left your large pillars, you might get an overall of 50 percent. Some of those wells, I'm going by memory now, some of these wells are rather close together, which means there's probably 1,500 by 1,500, maybe 2,000 foot pillar actually left around those wells, a solid pillar.

> DEARNLEY MEIER & ASSOCIATES DE ORMANTER GUARAE ENVIRONMENTE ALBRIGHEROUE - SANTA FE

11mp

We have plugged, under our supervision and our expense, two of their wells that they have abandoned, which we have no fear of going back into that area and taking our 90 odd percent; but these wells being old and not plugged, and under preduction, it's anybody's guess as to how the casing is, how the ground is, if there may not be also some oil and gas in the salt area at the present time.

62

Q Then, as long as those wells are produced, Southwest and the State has lost 50 percent of the reserves of that entire section, is that correct?

A Yes, so far as my memory goes on it, yes, sir. I mean, it could be a little more or less, I don't remember any figures.

Q Does the potash company normally expect considerable trouble if they let the water get on the potash or the salt section?

A You mean on top of it?

Q Yes, sir, does it not cause lots of caving and problems in mining operation?

A No, sir, you do have a certain amount of water on top of your salt section anyway, perch water we do not have very much, but the other properties I believe do. There should be no trouble as far as water coming down into the mine because your silt bends, and it doesn't crack, so there is no way for the water to come on clear down into the mine workings. It may come into a small place where it is bent, but the clay will block it off. We are working a that theory that the salt bends and there is no danger of the water coming in.

MR. MAMKIN: That is all.

DEARNLEY-MEIER & ASSOCIATES IN TEPORALL GENERAL LAW REPURSE ALBUQUERQUE - SANTA FE 3 0090 - 2 1800

MR. PORTER: Mr. Montgomery?

BY MR. MONTGUMERY:

Q You mentioned awhile ago, Mr. Herbert, that you had four root of 14 percent K20?

A No, I said that the United States Geological Survey calculated the ore limit using an economical cut-off of four feet at 14 purcent.

Q The point I wanted to make is that will the mine shaft be from the floor to the roof be as high in the area where the oil wells are as, for example, the surface subsidence?

A This ore is not as high as those exhibits are shown there.

Q You would not expect as much subsidence?

A No, I would expect in this area it would approach within about a half a foot of what we expect there. You understand that the subsidence is still moving in that part?

Q Or half a foot as opposed to 3.7 right now in that area?

A Well, I think that will stop at four feet, and I would say roughly, this will stop at three and a half, that is as near as I can predict it.

Q To your knowledge, has there ever been any casing severed due to movement in this area?

A I don't know, no I don't. If it had been, I believe that you would have lost yourgine, put it that way.

Q You were centioning carlier these transdous forces that were involved by this earth subsiding. Must type of forces, can ' i put that in pounds, osl, or what?

A I don't remember the U. C. Surgon of clines lests on that.

Do you, Mr. Stewart?

1400

MR. STEWART: I think the pressure develops about a pound per square foot, per foot of depth. The loading on the potash bed a thousand feet deep, you would have about a thousand pounds per square foot.

Q Are you familiar with the mill test on the type of casing that the operators propose to run?

A No, sir.

Q If I would say on the order -- I don't recall the exact figure, if I say on the order of 2,000 pounds, would that change -

A (Interrupting) We don't know the exact figures on this thing. I don't believe anybody can tell you the horizontal and vertical forces exerted on your casing. It is logical to assume that when your casing starts moving this upper, the upper area which is rather unconsolidated, you get a movement this way as well as this way (indicating). When you start moving crossways, you are going to get a terrific shear. This pipeline which has maybe not too good a case, we have welded bands on two sides of a joint, and our welds are broken, which means that there is unite a pull on this line as well as vertical. I doubt if there is any casing at the present time that can withstand those pressures.

Q This case of subsidence is certainly nothing new to the oil industry in casing programs. I am told in Lake Marcibeau they have subsidence in the order of 10 inches per year, they have huge craters on the order of tend of feet deep, due to the subsidence, due to withdrawal of oil means down Moreaux mobile press

> DEARMER (MEER) & ASSOCIATES (No. 1976) (NO. 1977) (

 $(\mathcal{A})$ 

that are presently being faulted and have movement. Have you happened to study any of those particular situations in connection with this?

A No, I havan't.

MR, PORTER: Anyone else have a question of Mr. Herbert? The witness may be excused.

(Witness excused.)

MR. REESE: That is our case.

MR. PORTER: Does anyone have a statement to make in this case? Mr. Losee?

MR. LOSEE: I will make a short statement, if I may. The testimony of the applicants has reflected that their drilling program, or proposed drilling program will be in compliance with the Rules and Regulations of this Commission, referring to the oil-potash area. We assume that the program, as outlined, was considered safe by the Commission when it was specified in the order. Beyond that point on your case, the applicant's case, looking towards approval of these two drilling sites depends upon really what is two unknown factors. We don't know for a fact that there is oil down there, and although they are much more sure of their position that there is potesh, by reason of some core holes several bundred feet apart, they cannot state as a fact that there is potash that it will be aconomically (casible to mine it in that area.

Actually, Mr. Merbori not testifying to it as a fact, has stated it is his understanding that some of the other mines in the area have conducted a primary operation of withdrawing 60 or 65

LUmp

percent of the potash, and then at a later date, some years later gone back in and completed the secondary program. That statement, of course, he qualified by stating, pointing out the dangers involved, but if it were possible to follow that procedure and the applicants could drill their wells, there might not even be oil, and nobody would have any worry, because then they could be plugged as the present Elliott Well is plugged, and the potash company could recover their 90, 91.8 amount of potash; or if there was oil in the area and then the operator produced it for five or ten years, or whatever would be the normal life expectancy during that time, or, I assume, the next five years, Southwest would be in a position to withdraw their 60 percent down that entire panel, and then move on to other operations, and then at a later date when the oil operator had plugged his wells, go back in and complete secondary mining and withdraw his other 26 percent.

Now, those are possible factors. I grant you, but based upon the unknowns that the Commission has to consider, we do not feel that the Commission should disapprove the location and we respectfully ask your consideration of our applications.

MR. REESE: I would like to make a short statement.

MR. RORTER: Mr. Reese.

MR. RELSE: These applicants are asking permission to drill under Federal Lease, which they took with a provision in it providing that no wells will be drilled for oil or gas in formations above the base of the Delaware sand, or above a depth of 5,000 feet, whichever is the Lesser, except upon approval of the Director of the Geological Survey. It being understood that Srill-

> DEARNI EY MEIER & ASSOLIATES (1) States Canada I N. Resident ALBROYCENDE SANTA FO

įν,

ing for production on these Cormations will be permitted only in the event that it is satisfactorily established; that such drilling will not interfere with the mining and recovery of potash deposits,

67

. or the interest of the United States would be subserved thereby.

Now, this record, I think, is entirely adecuate to show that the proposed wells can not be drilled without seriously interfering with the mining and recovery of the Potash deposits, that are of many times the value of any hoped for production. I think that the terms of the lease itself are such that the burden is on the applicant to establish that their operations will not interfere with the mining. Certainly that has not been accomplished. It would be entirely uneconomical to take a chance, because here we have, the immense investment involved in that mine, to be considered as well as the value of the ore itself.

I think that it would be entirely unreasonable to grant permission at this time that the wells be drilled.

MR. PORTER: Does anyone else have a statement to make? If nothing further we will take the case under advisement.

STATE OF HEW MEXICO ) SS. COUNTY OF PEPPPALILLO )

<del>My Commission Explanes:</del>

I, ADA DEARCLEY, Court Reportor, do hereby certify that the foregoing and attached transcript of proceedings before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission at Santa Fe, New Mexico, is a true and correct record to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

IN WITHESS WEREAR I have affixed my hand and naturial seek this 24th day of April, 1957.

to the second second

June 19, 1939 DEARNEEY MEIER & ASSOCIATES SCHEME EXAMPLE ALBHOMMADE SANTA PE

# DEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMINISTON OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE LATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

> CASE NO. 1234 Order No. R-993

APPLICATION OF CARPER DRILLING COMPANY, INCORPORATED, FOR APPROVAL OF AN OIL WELL DRILLING LOCATION IN THE PORASH-OIL AREA OF EDDY COUNTY, MEN MEXICO, AS DEFINED BY COMMISSION ORDER R-111-A.

### ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

## BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 o'clock a.m. on April 17, 1957, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before the Oil Conservation Commission of New Mexico, hereinafter referred to as the "Commission."

NOW, on this Judday of May, 1957, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the application and the testimony adduced and being fully advised in the promises,

# FINDS:

(1) That due notice of the time and place of hearing and the purpose thereof having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this case and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That the applicant proposes to drill an oil well in the center of the SE/4 SW/6 of Section 4, Township 19 South, Range 30 East, NMPH, Eddy County, Mew Mexico, which location is in the "Potash Area" as defined by Commission Ordex E-111-A.

(3) That the proposed well location is within the area that would be affected by subsidence resulting from normal potash mining operations within the limits of the five-year development plan as indicated by the latest plats filed with the Commission by Southwest Potash Corporation, is consiliance with the provisions of Order No. 8-111-A.

(4) That the applicant has saided to show that the proposed woll could be account a such a sense as to withstand the substitution operations.

(5) That the defiling of the proposed cell would constitute a hexard to human life and an makes interference with the even of development of potech deposite in the even, contrary to the provisions of Order S-111-A. -2-Case No. 1234 Order No. R-993

# IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

That the application of Carpor Drilling Company Inc., for permission to drill an oil well in the center of the SE/4 SW/4 of Section 4, Township 19 South, Range 30 East, NMPM, in the Potash-Oil Area of Eddy County, New Mexico, be and the same is hereby denied, all until further order of the Commission

DONE at Santa Fo, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

> STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

52 pul

EDWIN L. MECHEN, Chairman

MURRAY E. Marina Monder

A. L. PORTER, Jr., Member & Secretary



ir/

DIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION P. O. BOX 871 SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

May 6, 1957

Mr. A. J. Losee Attorney At Law P.O. Box 644 Arcesia, New Mexico

Dear Sir;

On behalf of your clients, Lillie M. Yates and Carper Drilling Company, we enclose two copies of Orders R-992 and R-993 issued May 3, 1957, by the Oil Conservation Commission in Cases 1233 and 1234, respectively, which were heard on April 17th.

Very truly yours,

A. L. Porter, Jr. Secretary - Director

bp Encls.

Charles Products 2

A. J. LOSEE ATTORNEY AT LAW CARPER BUILDING - P.O. BOX 644 ARTESIA, NEW MEXICO 16 March 1957

es miles -CRI Juch

New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission 125 Mabry Hall Santa Po, New Mexico

Attention: Mr. A. L. Porter, Secretary-Director

Re: Application of Carper Drilling Company, Inc. for Approval of Drilling Location

Dear Mr. Porter:

Enclosed is the application, in triplicate, of the Carper Drilling Company, Inc., for approval of its oil well drilling location in the SE/4 SW/4 of Section 4, Township 19 South, Range 30 East, N.M.P.M., Eddy County, New Mexico. This location is in the potash area, as defined by Order R-111-A of the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission, and is on land covered both by Carper Drilling Company's Federal Oil and Gas Loase No. LC 060585-A and by Federal Potash Lease No. LC 067319-B, which is owned by Southwest Potash Corporation.

As recently discussed with you, the oil and gas lease in gues tion will expire on March 31. 1997, unless an extension thereof can be obtained from the Director of the Geological Survey, at least during the pendency of the enclosed application plus sufficient additional time for the commencement of operations in the event that the Commission's ruling is favorable to the Carper Drilling Company, The. We would appreciate having this application set for hearing as soon as possible, and in this connection we call to your attention the fact that Southwest Potash Corporation has waived the arbitration conference provided for by Order R-111-A, for the reason that conferences already held between the parties have been unsuccessful in effecting satisfactory settlement, and that Carper Drilling Company, in the enclosed application, concurs in such waiver.



EBS:NU Angloburg c.e. Mr. Aubrey ontith. Vice Leepingan Southwest in task Corporation

> Mr. John A. Andersten, Rogienal enbergiere 14. and Groupse - the control

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

Date		Date 7/24/57
CASE_	1234	Hearing Date Reg. H- ton 4/12/17

My recommendations for an order in the above numbered cases are as follows:

Of to write order daying the band an # 1233 " that well is adjoint to immediate mining glone by Souther otase

Staff Member

# 1234

# SOUTHWEST POTASH CORPORATION

CARLSBAD, NEW MEXICO

March 9, 1957

EXECUTIVE OFFICES 31 BROADWAY NEW YORK 6, N. Y

PLEASE REPLY TO P. O. BOX 472 CARLSBAD, NEW MEXICO

Mr. A. L. Porter, Jr. Secretary Director Oil Conservation Commission Santa Fe, New Mexico

Dear Mr. Porter :

The Carper Drilling Co., Inc. has submitted a "Notice of Intention to Drill" a well located 660 feet from the south line and 1980 feet from the west line of Section 4, T195, R30E, N.M.P.M.

We hereby protest granting of approval to drill this well.

The proposed well location is on our Potach Lease No. LC0673198 and within the area of our commercial orebody.

In September 1951, the Carper Drilling Co., Inc. intended to drill a hole at the same location. At a conference at that time between representatives of the Carper Drilling Co., Inc. and Southwest Potash Corp. in relation to future mining, it was not deemed advisable to drill at that time and drilling would be held in abeyance until the area was cleared by mining.

Drilling of a well at this location would seriously affect the possibilities of carrying out our present system of first mining followed by second mining or pillar removal in order to extract the maximum amount of ore.

Present mining operations are in an area adjacent to the proposed location and first mining will be started towards the hole location within the near future.

A review of the exhibits and report as presented by the Potash Company of America versus The Velma Petroleum Corporation in the recent Case No. 1130 before the Oil Conservation Commission of State of New Mexico at Santa Fe, substantiates our protest on the basis of the official finding.

"That as a result of subsidence experienced in normal potash mining operations, said wells cannot be plugged in such a manner as to confine the crude petroleum oil, natural gas or water in the strata in which they are tound thus creating safety hazard to human life."

which denied the applicant's request for permission to drill.

SOUTHWEST POTASH CORPORATION

Mr. A. J. Porter, Jr.

March 9, 1957

To assure you that our protest is in good faith and not the result of hasty or ill considered action, please be advised that we have conferred with representatives of Carper Drilling Company regarding the application to drill. Having analyzed their proposal, it is our firm belief that a well drilled at this location and penetrating gas, oil, or water would present a tremendous hazard to life and property. Furthermore, it might require the abandonment of operations at a great financial loss to us, the United States, and the State of New Mexico.

Altogether we have had two conferences with representatives of Carper Drilling Company, Inc. We regret to inform you that there appears to be no possibility of reaching an agreement on the subject matter to the satisfaction of both parties involved.

For the purposes of expediting the processing of this matter, the Southwest Potash Corporation is agreeable to a waiver of preliminary meeting between the parties in question and a representative of the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission. Consequently, please be informed that this corporation has no objection to a full hearing before the Commission should this be found necessary by you in accordance with the existing regulations.

Very truly yours,

SOUTHWEST POTASH CORPORATION

brev General Manager

WAS:mg cc: Carper Drilling Company, Inc. M. L. Armstrong J. Á. Anderson (10) Complete Markey (1000) and a second statements of the Objective and the second statement of th

CHETYEREACTION OF THE ALLOSSMERCE OF CALLER DECEMBER COMPANY, SHOLLER APPROACH OF CHE WELSE DEFENSE BOALTCR. HE SEE CLEASE ALSO OF A REPORT OF TOWERUP TO GOOME, SANGE DO BASE, N.S.T.M., SOOT SCHNER, DER DER CO, THE COM-PLEANCE WITH CODER N-TUL-A OF THE COURSE SERVATION COMPANY OF NEXT LEXECT.

No. 1234

# APPLEJAHION

How dealed durper Det Hime despany . And, and empode - Evily choses the domaidsion:

J. That applicant is the owner of Vederal OLD and Que Lease Lie Cruces Serial No. 060585-A, insofar as said lease covers the following land in Edge County. New Mexico:

> W/2 SW/4 Section 3, S/2 NE/4, SW/4, Lots 1 and 2. Section 4, Township 19 South, Range 30 East. N.M.P.M.

2. That applicant on March 9, 1957, filed with the Artesia Office of the Cil Conservation Commission of New Maxico its Notice of Intention to Drill a well to test the Delaware Sand on the leased premises at a location 660 feet from the South line and 1980 feet from the West line of said Section 4, Yownship 19 South. Range 30 East. That such location is in the petash area, as defined in the Commission's Order K-111-A. That in compliance with Paretreph Vil of said Order applicantical stead a copy of its said Notice of Intendion to Drill, with an attached plat anowing the Location of the projected well, of Section 4 robash Jorperation, Steadly couch Decode applicantical steaded hand vitain and allo of reaction to Drill Noted in.

j. Star in the second close for a constance in the star in the second second

REPEARED THE AREA STOLEN. THE AREA STOLEN AND A well, presently appreciate to the second of the second second second second second second second second second of Southwood for ash desperatives and the line sectors as fully comply with said trach (-11)-A.

4. That on March 11. 1967, Southwood Policih Covporation filed with the Artesia office of the cil Conservation Commission of New Mexico its protest of the applicant's above mentioned Notice of Intention to Drill, waived the aroitration conference provided for by Paragraph VII of the Commission's Order H-111-A and requested that the matter be set for hearing before the Cil Conservation Commission of New Mexico. That two prior conferences between representatives of the applicant and of Southwest Potash Corporation have failed to effect a satisfactory settlement with regard to the drilling of said well, and therefore applicant concurs in the waiver by Southwest Potash Corporation of the arbitration conference provided for by the Commission's Order R-111-A and requests that this matter be set for hearing before the Gil Conservation Commission of New Mexico.

5. That applicant's lease will expire on March 31, 1957, unless operations under same can be commenced prior to such date or unless a suspension of applicant's gederal Lease can be obtained on account of applicant's inability to orill on the leased premises by reason of the conflict of applicantic interests with shose of the potash louses. That applianni, therefore, proquests that the learning applied of r to hold at the earliest Ness Die dare.

WHERE'S the light second the violation for internet in the second eation be set for hearing after due notice as required by law and that an index be entered approxime applicanuit about contlineto proceed oil will definite, size.

Chinese Contraction

A Comer for Archigan

# END OF ROLL

	ROLL NUMBER	
DOCUMENT TYPE	Case Files	
DATE OF FILMING	Sept. 21, 1984	and a second
CAMERA OPERATOR	Uelma Venegas	
	Case # 234 Carper Dilling Co.	

.



in a start of the second se

.

MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS STANDARD REFERENCE MATERIAL 1010a (ANSI and ISO FEST CHART No. 2)

.

# STATEMENT OF DOCUMENT CERTIFICATION

All microphotgraphics images of documents following this certificate are of authorized documents in the possession of this Agency. These documents are routinely microfilmed as a necessary operation in the generation of an inviolate document file.

David ( SUPERVISOR

STATE OF NEW MEXICO ) ) SS. COUNTY OF VALENCIA )

Sworn and Subscribed to me, A Notary Public,

This 1st day of Alicensed, 19 83

Agenia Gragon NOTARY PUBLIC

MY COMMISSION EXPIRES: 10-2-36

# CERTIFICATE OF AUTHENICITY

THIS IS TO CERTIFY that the microphotographs appearing on this Roll of Film are accurate and complete reproductions of the records of the,  $\underline{OU}(\underline{OnDetOatton},\underline{Out})$  as delivered in the regular course of business for Micro Filming.

enegas elma L