

H. R. PATON Box 667 Artesia, N. M.

PATON BROS.

DRILLING CONTRACTORS

P. O. BOX 667

ARTESIA, N. M.

May 8, 1948

New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission Santa Fe, New Mexico

ATTENTION: Betty Westrand

Dear Miss Westrand:

We are in receipt of your letter of May 6, in which you ask that we furnish you with the description of the following wells:

Russell Lease - LC #028755-A, Section 35, Range 18s, Township 27E. #1 SWSESW (deep) #2 SWSWSE (deep) #3 SESESW (deep) #4 NWSWSE (deep) #5 NESWSE (shallow) #6 NESESW (shallow) #7 SESWSE (shallow) Wright Lease - State B-1483, Section 2, Range 18S, Township 27E. # 1 NWNWNE, (deep) #2 SWNWNE (shallow) State Lease - State 2029-A, Section 2, Range 18S, Township 27E. #1 NWNENW (deep) #2 NWNENW (shallow) #3 NENENW (deep) #4 SENENW (shallow)

Hoping that this gives you the information that you need.

Very truly yours,

PATON BROTHERS

Rementine Marshall BY:

ccm/s

1

P. 0. Box 871 Fay 6, 1948

Paton Brothers Artesia, New Mexico

RE: Case No. 135

Gentlemen:

We would appreciate your furnishing us with the description of the following wells in order that we may have complete knowledge of the situation when writing the order:

Russell lease - 4 shallow 3 deep Wright lease - 1 shallow 1 deep State lease - 2 shallow 2 deep

Merry truly yours,

New Mexico Cil Conservation Commission, Box 871, Santa Fe, New Mexico.

Attention: R. R. Spurrier, Secretary

Gentlemen:

In connection with Case No. 135 set for hearing before the Commission on April 22, 1948 at 10:00 A.M., with reference to double zone production in the Empire - Red Lake area, the undersigned Paton Brothers respectfully request that any order made allowing production from separate zones on separate allowables, contain a provision that, on marginal wells approaching the economic limit and on leases where only a single allowable is sought for each proration unit, the operator be permitted to produce both zones into a single tank battery when the ownership is the same in the shallow zones as in the deeper zones; and, in support of the request, the undersigned petitioners respectfully show:

1. That petitioners have three leases in the Empire Field, one lease being known as the "Russell Lease", one being known as the "Wright Lease", and one being known as "State Lease". On the Russell Lease, there are 7 wells, 4 of which are producing from a shallow pay horizon at less than 500 feet, and 3 producing from deeper horizons. On the Wright Lease, there are 2 wells, one of which is producing from the shallow zone and one producing from deeper horizons. On the State Lease, there are 2 shallow wells and 2 producing from deeper horizons. That said shallow wells are producing from depths less than 500 feet and have been produced for many years, and said shallow wells, with the exception, do not produce in excess of 2 barrels per well per day.

That the shallow wells mentioned are producing into the same tankage as the deeper wells on the same lease, under a single allowable, and, on account of the small production from the shallow wells, it would be impractical and too expensive to produce the shallow wells into separate tankage.

2. That the ownership of the leasehold estate and all interests therein payable out of production is the same in both the shallow zone and the deeper zones, and the division of interest is the same in both the shallow zone and the deeper zone.

3. That some of the shallow wells have been producing for approximately twenty (20) years and, for the past several years, have been producing under a single allowable for each proration unit.

For the foregoing reasons, and in order to prevent premature abandonment of the marginal wells, the

petitioners respectfully request that any order entered in Case No. 135 by the Commission permit the continued production of these marginal wells into a single tank battery in order to prevent loss.

Yours very truly,

PATON BROTHERS

E. a. Palon by

Attorneys for Petitioners, Artesia, New Mexico.

April 20, 1948

1

••

Affidavit of Publication

State of New Mexico, County of Eddy, ss.

F. B. Rigdon, being first duly sworn, on oath says:

That he is publisher of the Daily Current-Argus, a newspaper published daily at the City of Carlsbad, in said county of Eddy, State of New Mexico and of general paid circulation in said county; that the same is a duly qualified newspaper under the laws of this state wherein legal notices and advertisements may be published; that the printed notice attached hereto was published in the regular and entire edition of said newspaper and not in a supplement thereof on the dates as follows, to-wit:

	19
	19
,	19

....., 19......

that the cost of publication is \$..... and that payment therefor has been made and will be assessed as court costs.

11912 giles

Subscribed and sworn to before me this

1.3 day of april. 1948 1.3 Jay B. King Notary Public. My commission expires any 1, 19.50

APRIL 6 NOTICE OF PUBLICATION STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL. CONSERVATION COMMISSION The State of New Mexico by its Oil Conservation Commission hereby gives notice, pursuant to law, of the follow-ing special heatings to be held April 22. 1948, beginning at 10:00 o'clock a.m. on that day in the City of Santa Fe, New Mexico. STATE OF NEW MEXICO TO: All named parties in the fol-lowing cases, and notice to the public. APRIL 6

All named patiles in the fol-; lowing cases, and notice to the public: **CASE NO.** 135 In the matter of the application of the Oil Conservation Commission of New Mexico upon its own motion up-on recommendation of the New Mexi-co Nomenclature Committee to delete from the Empire Pool the S12 of Sec-tion 33, All of Section 34, Township 17 South. Range 27 East, NM.P.M.; W12 of Section 6, E12 of Section 7, All of Section 6, E12 of Section 7, All of Section 8, W12 and NE1, of Section 9, Township 18 South. Range 27 East; and the SE1, of Section 19, Township 17 South, Range 28 East, N.M.P.M.; Eddy County, New Mexico and to redefine the boundaries of said Empire Pool and designate the pro-duction formations therein. CASE NO 136 In the matter of the application of the Oil Conservation Commission of New Mexico upon its own motion up-on recommendation of the New Mexi-co Nomenclature Committee to delete from the Red Lake Pool the S12 of Section 24, and all of Section 25-17s-28E, N.M.2.M., Eddy County, New Mexico. Given under the scal of the Oil Conservation Commission of New Mex-tico at Santa Fe. New Mexico Offic CONSERVATION COMMISSION (Scal) By R B SPUBBER

ico al Santa PC, ACN MEXICO 2, 1948 STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION (Seal) By R. R. SPURRIER Secretary

2 April 1948

The Carlsbad Current Argus Carlsbad, New Mexico

RE: Cases Nos. 135 & 136 - Notice of Publication.

Centlemen:

Please publish the enclosed notice once, immediately. Please proof-read the notice carefully and send a copy of the paper carrying such notice.

UPON COMPLETION OF THE PUBLICATION, PLEASE SEND PUBLISHER'S AFFIDAVIT IN DUPLICATE.

For payment please submit statement in duplicate, accompanied by voucher executed in duplicate. The necessary blanks are enclosed.

Very truly yours,

GEORGE A. GRAHAM ATTORNEY

GAG:bsp

ľ

BEFORE THE CIL CONSERVATION COEMISSION OF THE CIL CONSERVATION COEMISSION OF THE

IN THE MATTER OF A HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE NO. 135 ORDER NO. 766

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE OIL CONSERVATION CONMISSION OF NEM MEXICO UPON ITS OWN MOTION UPON RECOMMENDATION OF THE NEW MEXICO NOMENCLATURE CONMITTEE TO DELETE FROM THE EMPIRE POOL THE S $\frac{1}{2}$ OF SECTION 33, ALL OF SECTION 34, TOWNSHIP 17 SOUTH, RANGE 27 EAST, N.M.P.M.; W $\frac{1}{2}$ OF SECTION 3, ALL OF SECTIONS 4 AND 5, E $\frac{1}{2}$ OF SECTION 6, E $\frac{1}{2}$ OF SECTION 7, ALL OF SECTION 8, W $\frac{1}{2}$ AND NE $\frac{1}{4}$ OF SECTION 9, TOWNSHIP 18 SOUTH, RANGE 27 EAST; AND THE SE $\frac{1}{4}$ OF SECTION 19, TOWNSHIP 17 SOUTH, RANGE 28 EAST, N.M.P.M., EDDY COUNTY, NEM MEXICO, AND TO REDEFINE THE BOUNDARIES OF SAID EMPIRE FOOL AND DESIGNATE THE PRODUCTION FORMATIONS THEREIN.

ORDER OF THE CONSILSSION

BY THE COMMISSION:

This matter came on regularly for hearing at 10:00 o'clock a. ., at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before the Oil Conservation Commission of New Mexico, hereinafter referred to as the "Commission."

NOW, on this 3rd day of May, 1948, the Commission having before it for consideration the recommendations of the Nomenclature Committee and the testimony adduced at the hearing of said cause, and being fully advised in the premises;

FINDS:

1. That due public notice having been given pursuant to law, jurisdiction is vested in the Commission.

2. That the recommendation of the Nomenclature Committee for deletion of certain lands from the heretofore recognized Empire pool area is acceptable.

3. That production in said pool as hereinafter redefined, is considered as being from the Tates-Seven Rivers formation.

4. That exception to the requirements of Section 6 of Order 633, as amondod by Order 709, should be granted in proper cases.

IT IS THREETORE CREEKED:

1. That the recompendation of the Monenelature Consists be accepted and the following described lands are hereby aclosed from the Impire pool,

 S_2 solution 33, all of reduced 34, in 1.17 3, 3.27 2; S_2 solution 30, 5.17 2, 3.48 3; M_2 solution 3, all solutions 4 and 5, 2, solution 5, M_2 solution 7, all solution 8, M_2 , M_2 , and 5, 7.18 5, 3.27 2

2. that from and after the exposition has been af, the Unpire pool, Bdy County, New Perios, is defined as including:

the S/2 Sec. 13, All Secs. 24 and 25, E/2 Sec. 26, All Secs. 35 and 36, in 17 S, R. 27E; S/2 Sec. 18, N/2 and SM/4 Sec. 19, N/2 Sec. 30, Twp. 17 S, R. 28E; N/2 and SA/4 Sec. 2, E/2 Sec. 3, Twp. 183, R. 27 E.

3. That production from papirs Pool shall be considered as from the Yates-Seven Rivers formation; and subject to the provisions of Sec. 6 of Order No. 633 as amended by order 709 effective September 1, 1947, PROVIDED, that operators of marginal shallow wells within the Empire pool area may be excepted from the separate tankage requirements of such order, by designating in their operation reports to the Proration office amounts of oil produced from each zone, and providing such other information to the proration official as may be required.

4. That jurisdiction of this case is hereby retained for such further orders as may from time to time be required.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

CHAIRMAN METBER SECRETAR

BEFORE THE

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

STATE CLOLOGIST

Santa Fe, New Mexico

The State of New Mexico by its Oil Conservation Commission hereby gives notice, pursuant to law, of the following special public hearings to be held April 22, 1948, beginning at 10:00 A. M. on that day in the City of Santa Fe, New Mexico.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO TO:

All named parties in the following cases, and notice to the public:

Case No. 133.

In the matter of the application of Stanolind Oil and Gas Company for an order for final approval of the Rosa Unit Agreement comprising 54,224.07 acres, more or less, described as All of Sections 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9. 10, 11, 12, 13, 14. 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31 in Township 31 North, Range 4 West; All of Sections 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15. 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36 in Township 31 North, Range 5 West; All of Sections 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26 in Township 31 North, Range 6 West; All of Section s 32, 33, 34, 35, 36 in Township 32 North, Range 6 West, N.M. P.M., in the counties of San Juan and Rio Arriba, New Mexico.

<u>Case No. 134.</u>

In the matter of the application of the Oil Conservation Commission of New Mexico upon its own motion upon recommendation of the New Mexico Nomenclature Committee to delete therefrom all lands heretofore designated as the West Roberts Pool covering the SW1 of Section 1, S2 of Section 2, S2 of Section 3, N2 of Section 10, all of Section 11 and the W2 of Section 12, Township 17 South, Range 32 East, N.M.PM., Lea County, New Mexico, and to include said described land in the boundaries of the existing redefined Roberts Pool in said county.

<u>Case No. 135.</u>

In the matter of the application of the Oil Conservation Commission of New Lexico upon its own motion upon recommendation of the New Lexico Romenclature Committee to delete from the Empire Pool the So of Section 33, all of Section 34, Township 17 South, Hange 27 East, N.M.F.M.; Wo of Section 3, all of Sections 4 and 5, Eo of Section 6, Eo of Section 7, all of Section 8, Wo and RE, of Section 9, Township 18 South, Range 27 East; and the SEc of Section 19, Township 17 South, Range 28 East, N.M.F.M., Eddy County, New Mexico, and to redefine the boundaries of said Empire Fool and designate the production formations therein. Case No. 136.

In the matter of the application of the Oil Conservation Commission of New Mexico upon its own motion upon recommendation of the New Mexico Nomenclature Committee to delete from the Red Lake Pool the $S\frac{1}{2}$ of Section 24, and all of Section 25-17S-28E, N.M.P.M., Eddy County, New Mexico.

Given under the seal of the Oil Conservation Commission of New Mexico at Santa Fe, New Mexico, On April 2, 1948.

(SEAL)

and and

- '

71

STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

By <u>/s/ R. R. Spurrier</u> R. R. SPURRIER, Secretary

BEFORE:

Hon. Thomas J. Mabry, Chairman Hon. R. R. Spurrier, Member and Secretary

REGISTER:

George A. Graham, Legal Advisor to the Commission.

Lake Frazier, Legal A_dvisor to the Commission.

R. O. Yarbrough, For the Commission.

Frank C. Barnes, For the Commission.

Al Greer, For the Commission.

Seth & Montgomery, By Mr. J. O. Seth, For Stanolind Oil and Gas Company.

Faul Umbach, For Stanolind Oil and Gas Company.

R, O. Cronquist, For Stanolind Oil and Gas Company.

L. F. Hine, For Stanolind Oil and Gas Company.

Glenn Staley, For the Lea County Operators.

GOVERNOR MADRY: Go ahead, gentlemen. COMMISSIONER SPURAIER: Let the record show, Mr. Reporter, that the advertisement has been read for Case No. 133. We will proceed with that case. MR. SETH: I would like to have Mr. Umbach and Mr. Cronquist sworn, please.

(Witnesses were thereupon sworn.)

Paul Umbach, upon oath, testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SETH:

Please state your name.

A. Paul Umbach.

Q. What is your profession, Mr. Umbach.

A. Geologist.

Q. And by whom are you employed?

A. By Stanolind Oil and Gas Company.

Q. Please state briefly your educational qualifications. A. I have a Bachelor of Science and Easter of Science in geology in the University of Wyoming, and a year of graduate work toward a PhD at the University of Missouri.

Q. And your experience?

A. Seven years--let's see-- two years with the Wyoming geological Survey, five years as an instructor, State College of Arkansas, seven years as a geologist with the Stanolind Oil and Gas Company.

Q. Are you familiar with this proposed Rosa Unit?

A. I am.

 \hat{Q} . And are you familiar with the gravity and magnatometer work that has been done in the area?

A. I am.

Q. Have you the plats or maps showing the results of this work?

A. I do.

Q. Please produce them. Which was made first?

A. The gravity map.

Q. I would like to have the gravity map marked Exhibit A, and the magnatometer map marked Exhibit B, and this report attached of November 13, 1947, Exhibit C. Now, Mr. Umbach, does this gravity map, Exhibit A, represent the results of the

-3-

gravity survey made by Stanolind in the area?

A. It does.

Q. What, in a general way, does that gravity work indicate? A. It indicates a long anomaly of approximately a mile wide and approximately eighteen miles long.

Q. A long anomaly?

A. That's right.

Q. What do you mean by anomaly? An underground structure of some character?

A. Transferring it into geological terms, it would be an indication of a long anti-clinal fold.

Q. Referring to the magnatometer map, Exhibit B, what does that indicate?

A. That also indicates a long anti-clinal fold covering approximately the same area as the gravity indications.

Q. The gravity work and the magnetic work indicate substantially the same thing?

A. Substantially the same.

Q. And underground structure?

A. Yes.

Q. On these maps there is an outline in red. What does that indicate?

A. That indicates the proposed Rosa Unit.

Q. Does that area indicated red, in your opinion, include within its boundaries the anomaly that you say these surveys disclose?

A. That's right, it does.

Q. Are you familiar with the surface geology of that country? A. I am.

Q. What does that indicate in the way of this underground anomaly, if anything?

A. There is a structural terrace covering that area on the surface which corresponds fairly well to the anomaly indicated

-1;-

in these maps.

Q. Does it indicate anything to the contrary of what is shown on the maps?

A. It does not.

Q. In your opinion, do those maps--the results of the gravity and magnatometer surveys--indicate a structure that should be developed as a unit?

A. It does.

Q. Is there any engineering data available at all? Has there been any wells drilled?

A. There has not.

Q. Is there an y production within thirty or forty miles of the area?

A. No production.

Q. Purely wildcat. Who will be the operator of the proposed unit?

A. Stanolind Oil and Gas Company.

Q. Are they willing to drill a well in an effort to find out whether there is any oil and gas, as a result of these maps? A. They are.

Q. Will you state in a general way what formations you anticipate encountering in drilling the well?

A. We encounter tertiary sediments comprised of wasach, puerco, terrejo of approximately 2,000 feet; Kirtland formation, including Farmington of approximately 1,000 feet; pictured cliffs, approximately 1,000 feet; then Lewis at approximately 2,000 feet; then Mesa Verde, oh, 700 feet; Mancus, 1,700 feet; Dakota, approximately 200 feet. That is approximately the maximum depth.

Q. What does the Stanolind contemplate drilling a well to, the Dakota?

A. Into the Dakota and thoroughly testing the Dakota. Q. Where would that well be located, approximately?

-5-

A. Approximately in the NE of the NW of Section 22, Township31 North, Range 5 West.

Q. Now, we would like to offer in evidence the two maps and the accompanying report. Exhibits A, B, and C. They are already on file in your office.

COMMISSIONER SPURRIER: Yes, sir.

Q. Mr. Umbach, in your opinion, if oil and gas is found, should that area properly be developed as a unit?

A. It should.

Q. In your opinion, as a geologist and from your knowledge of the area, would unit development, as far as can be told at this time, be fair to the royalty owners as well as the working interest?

A. It would.

Q. Now, the map shows some State land that might be on the edge of the structure as set up. Do you believe that the production of the area as a uni. would result in the State's recovery of its fair share of the oil under this State land? A. I do.

Q. Do you think the development as a unit might be highly advantageous to the edge leases?

A. It would.

AR. SETH: I believe that is all I want to ask him.

GOVERNOR "ABRY: Anything, Mr. Spurier?

COMMISSION R SPURRIER: No, sir.

MR. GRAHAM: We might like to know who is included in the unit. What I mean--are they--how many have MR. SETH: I will have another man put that on. GOVERNOR MABRY: Could you state that for the record. There will be no objection to it. I think that will be sufficient for this purpose.

MR. SETH: The area comprises approximately 54,000 acres. The area consists of 54,209.49 acres; of which Federal Lands

-6-

include 49,505.22 acres; patented land 1,948.01 acres; and State lands 2,756.26 acres. The Federal lands comprise ninety-one per cent of the area. There has been committed to the unit agreement a total now of 94.35 per cent of the total acreage, consisting of one hundred per cent of the Federal -all Federal lands -- thirty-four per cent of all the patented lands, and thirty-five per cent of all State lands; and in addition 1,120 acreas of State lands are still being considered, held by Byrd Frost. They are wating on their geologist. We submit a map, which we ask to have marked as Exhibit D, showing the land ownership and the area committed to the unit. I might add that the unit agreement is in the form approved by this office and the Land Commissioner. The Geological Survey has made some minor suggestions for changes, which are entirely immaterial, but we are not asking that the changes be approved. We don't want to have to submit it back to all these signers. The Geological Survey has also suggested the addition of eight sections on the north of the area. We are not asking that that be added to the unit under the terms of the unit agreement.

COMMISSIONER SPUBRIER: les.

MR. SETH: And they have made no material changes in form. They didn't know it had been signed. It is the ordinary practice to wait and not have it signed until the Geological Survey and this office approve, but the Land Commissioner approved it as to form, and we are anxious to start drilling this summer, so they went out and got it signed, and we will iron the difficulties out with the Interior Department. The unit agreement requires drilling of a well within six months. Stanolind will be the operator, and they are ready to go ahead. We have here the ten forms of unit agreement that are required by the--seven of them I think go to the Interior Department.

-7-

COPERISSIONFR SPORFIER: Naturally, the bulk of them would. MR. SETH: I might add that the Interior Department in checking the acreage claimed errors of nineteen acres out of the fifty thousand, due to resurveys up there. We have had people affected by that change in the area sign up all Federal lands and attached an addition showing the corrected acreage. MR. GRAHAM: The people who are unsigned, do you continue to invite them?

MR. SETH: Yes. The agreement provides that enybody who hasn't come in may come in at any time. If the area is to be enlarged, the unit agreement itself provides -- there is a question in the Interior Department's mind as to whether the geophysical work doesn't require the eight sections to the north. MR. GRAHAM: All eight sections Federal lands? MR. SETH: Yes, sir. And another matter, the unit agreement is not fixed by any lease ownership proposition because the Stanolind Oil and Gas Company could have gotten twice as many leases as are already committed to it. It is a bona fide effort to make the unit correspond to the geophysical work. Mr. Cronquist was going to testify to what I have stated. GOVERNOR MABRY: I wonder whether that isn't just as good. It is in the record. Anybody object to it? I think that will be sufficient. Is Governor Miles familiar with this? CUMMISSIONER: SPURRIER: Yes, sir, he approved it as Land Commissioner. As there is no objection, does anyone have anything further in this case? GOVERMOR MABRY: All right, the order will issue. COLLISSIONER SHERRIER: These next three cases are purely matters of nomenclature, of committee recommendations for deletions, Governor,

GOVELNOR MABRY: Yes.

COMMISSIONER SPURRIER: When the Nomenclature Committee was set up to advise the Commission on determining the boundaries

-Ô-

of the different pools, they very specifically put in a provise that the Oil Commission could not delete any part of any pool without an open hearing. That is why these are brought up. Mr. Staley is familiar with it and he can verify that these have been circulated before the operators for a matter of thirty days and that our advertisement for the legal ten days has been served. If there has been no objection, we have always prepared them in the past. GOVERNOR MABRY: Yes. The necessary thing is to show proof of

service?

COMMISSIONER SPURRIER: Yes.

GOVERNOR MABRY: And the recommendation of the Committee? COMMISSIONER SPURRIER: Yes, sir.

GOVERNOR MABRY: You have them all?

MR. STALEY: Governor, I can give you the background of that committee. The Nomenclature Committee is not a subcommittee of the Lea County operators. It is a committee acting in an advisory capacity to the Oil Conservation Commission in fixing the boundaries of various pools in southeastern New Mexico, and furnishing different information to the Commission; and when new wells are completed that are not in any field, to assist the Commission in designating it as a new field, and giving it a name, for proration purposes. The Commission and the Nomenclature Committee have felt that where lands have been added to a field that they cannot then be taken out by the Nomenclature Committee or the Commission without an open hearing, so that any operator that might object could have his day in court and state his objection. COVERNOR MAERY: Yes. The operator gets notice by the appearance of the publication, I guess. CONFISSIONER SPURAIFR: Yes. COVERNOR MABRY: Just publication.

COMMISSIONTH SPURATER: In addition to that, Governor, Mr.

-9-

Staley, also circulates **al** Nomenclature recommendations for thirty days before we can act on them. GOVERNOR MABRY: You have your proof of service and no objection. MR. STALEY: I think the Nomenclature Committee sends a recommendation to the Oil Conservation Commission. The Lea County operators take that and send it to all operators in southeastern New Mexico with the notation that anyone having any objection can voice that objection. GOVERNOR MABRY: There has been no objection interposed? COEMISSIONER SPUBRIER: No, sir.

GOVERNOR MABRY: And you know of none, Mr. Staley? MR. STALEY: I know of none.

COMMISSIONER SPURRIER: There is one exception here. I don't consider it an objection, I consider it a request for special consideration, you might say. In deleting one of these pools, which is Case No. 135, a certain area has been requested to be deleted from the Empire Pool. Now, this letter is from an operator known as Paton Brothers. They advise that when part of this one pool is deleted that they will find themselves operating in two pools, one overlying the other. According to our rules and regulations, and the insistence of the United States Geological Survey, the production from separate pools must be produced in separate tankage. Now, there are many reasons for it which I don't think are necessary to bring up here. But raton Brothers have certain wells in this very area which produce considerably less than ten barrels. In fact, they are approaching the economic limit. And if, according to their request, they are forced to put in the usual tankage and lay the new lines necessary to produce these separate pools into separate tankage, it will force the abandonment of these small wells, GOVERNOR MABRY: They are asking us to consider their case

and give them some relief on the severation of tankage?

-10-

COMMISSIONER SPURMIER: That's right. And asking the Commission to allow them to go ahead and produce as they have in the past.

GOVERNOR MADRY: Can the Commission do that? COMMISSIONER SPURRIER: It is my personal opinion that they should, and the reason is this: It is strictly not conservation to cause the premature abandonment of those wells. As long as they produce a few barrels a day and make these boys money under the circumstances, then we are recovering oil which would be otherwise lost. I don't want to plead their case

GOVERNOR MABRY: Yes. Are we supposed to act upon their request at the same time we act upon this petition for deletion? COMMISSIONER SPURRIER: Yes.

ER. STALEY: I don't believe that is necessary, Dick. I believe that in submitting the production report to the Commission by Paton Brothers

GOVERNOR MABRY: Hereafter?

COMMISSIONER SPURRIER: Yes.

MR. STALEY: If they will designate the **smount** of oil produced from each one--it would be purely a prorating procedure and not have to be involved in that at all. It would be merely an order from the Commission to Paton Brothers in making out their monthly report of operation that they designate the amount of oil produced from each pool, even though superimposed upon the other. We keep checks upon every forty-acre unit in each pool. That is two separate pools, and in compiling the yield for each pool, we would have to have that, and we could take that off of this report. I believe that would take care of that. If you will notify them that they can continue, but it is necessary for them--the burden of proof is on them as to the amount produced from each one of those allowables. COL-ISETCLER SPOLATION: That's right.

-].]. --

MR. GRAHAM: It would be an exception to our very stringent rule.

GOVERNOR MABRY: That's right, but it is so little, it isn't worthwhile. You might indicate to them that the Commission would look favorably upon this conception if the facts are as stated in their petition. What do they call that? MR. GRAHAM: Request for consideration. They want an exception to a very stringent rule we have. GOVERNOR MABRY: Well, there wouldn't be anything wrong with

tat. Let them make a showing.

GOVERNOR MABRY: We will adjourn.

MR. STALEY: It doesn't necessarily mean that you are setting a precedent because each one is an individual case. COMMISSIONER SPURRIER: Yes.

MR. STALEY: I would make it plain to them that this is an isolated case and until a very thorough study can be made of the case that they be allowed to produce in the same tank battery, but furnishing the Commission on the C-115 the exact amount of oil produced from each horizon.

COMMISSIONER SPURRIER: Governor, I think it would be neglect of conservation practices if we caused them to put in the new tankage because they would abandon the wells.

NR. GRAHAM: The production wouldn't pay for the tankage. GOVERNOR MABRY: Let the order issue on that. Write them about this, as Mr. Staley says, and put the burden upon them. Separate from this thing entirely. COMMISSIONER SEDERIER: Yes, sir. GOVERNOE MABRY: Is that all you have? COMMISSIONER SEDERIER: Yes, sir.

-12-

<u>CERTIFICATE</u>

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the attached transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Commission of New Mexico, held at Santa Fe, New Mexico, April 22, 1948, is a true transcript of such proceedings to the best of my knowledge, skill, and ability.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am the Official Reporter for the United States District Court for the District of New Mexico.

DATED at Santa Fe, New Mexico, this 27th day of April, 1948.

ì

E.E. Jack How

COURT REPORTER

NOTICE OF PUBLICATION STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

The State of New Mexico by its Oil Conservation Commission hereby gives notice, pursuant to law, of the following special hearings to be held April 22, 1948, beginning at 10:00 o'clock A.M. on that day in the City of Santa Fe, New Mexico.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO TO:

All named parties in the following cases, and notice to the public:

CASE NO. 135

In the matter of the application of the Oil Conservation Commission of New Mexico upon its own motion upon recommandation of the New Maxico Nomenclature Committee to delete from the Empire Pool the $S_2^{\frac{1}{2}}$ of Section 33, All of Section 34, Township 17 South, Range 27 East, N.M.P.M.; $W_2^{\frac{1}{2}}$ of Section 3, All of Sections 4 and 5, $E_2^{\frac{1}{2}}$ of Section 6, $E_2^{\frac{1}{2}}$ of Section 7, All of Section 8, $W_2^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and NE $_2^{\frac{1}{2}}$ of Section 9, Township 18 South, Range 27 East; and the SE $_2^{\frac{1}{2}}$ of Section 19, Township 17 South, Range 28 East, N.M.P.M., Eddy County, New Mexico and to redefine the boundaries of said Empire Pool and designate the production formations therein.

CASE NO. 136

In the matter of the application of the Oil Conservation Commission of New Maxico upon its own motion upon recommendation of the New Mexico Nomenclature Committee to delete from the Red Lake Pool the S_2^1 of Section 24, and all of Section 25-17S-28E, N.M.P.M., Eddy County, New Mexico.

Given under the seal of the Oil Conservation Commission of New Mexico at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on April 2, 1948.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

R. A. SPURIER, Secretary

Alexandra de la constante Alexandra de la constante de la Alexandra de la constante de la

a set of the set of the

The late of encoded of the 11 characteristic ten termines for the name of encoded to the state of the second state of the second state April 22, 1948, as heated at 10 th states to the termines of the sty of anise of encoded.

1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 -

All cames parties in the solidestic state, and only the parties

. 13

in the matter of the application of statistics it and as company for an order for final approval of the loss (all deremont comprising 54,224.07 acres, one or insu, described to All of Sections 1,2,3,4,5,0,7,5,3,10,11,12,13,14,15,10,17,10,10,20,21,22,23,24,25, 20,27,25,27,30,31 to iterative of Carbo, auge 4 - 00,1 Fill of Sections 3,4,5,5,7,0,0,10,11,12,13,14,13,10,17,10,17,20,41,22,20,24,25,20,27, 20,27,25,30,31,32,35,34,35,30 to overselar bit burble, ange 5 Sector the 3,4,5,5,7,0,0,10,11,12,13,14,13,10,10,17,20,20,41,22,20,24,25,20,27, 20,29,30,31,32,35,34,35,30 to overselar bit burble, ange 5 Sector th continue 1,2,3,4,5,3,4,5,10,11,12,13,14,15,10,17,21,22,03,24,35,20 in community 31 forth, ange 6 fort; all of contents 32,33,24,35,35 in community 32 forth, ange 6 fort; all of contents 32,33,24,35,35 in consting 32 forth, ange 6 fort; all of contents 32,33,24,35,35 in consting 32 forth, ange 6 fort; all of contents 32,33,24,35,35 in consting 32 forth, ange 6 fort; all of contents 32,33,24,35,35 in consting 32 forth, ange 6 fort; all of contents 32,33,24,35,35 in consting 32 forth, ange 6 fort; all of contents of for consting 32 forth, ange 6 fort; all of contents 52,33,24,35,35 in

In the satier of the application of the 11 (unservation Consistion of Rew Sector upon its own notion upon reconcessions of the Sec-Sector Secondature constitutes to coldie detrained all lands territor fore designated as the Seat coverts real covering the SE/4 of conten i, by of section 2, good coverts real covering the SE/4 of conten is all met the Sy of contents is any of a time 10, all all coveion 11 met the Sy of contents and to contents and the series as to show in the Sy of contents and to content and set boots in the comparison of the action contents and the series land in the comparison of the action contents and the series land in the comparison of the action contents and the series and county.

No. 13. 135

in the marker of the application of the MC encorrector Scanation of the sector span the second sponter constituted the part derive Remaining exciting to defail from one plane of the part of contends if, the defailed of the from the plane, we will not on contending to defail by the second transmip if and, we will not on the second for the provide the plane of the second state of the second for the provide the plane of the second state of the second of the second state of the second of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second of the second state of the

AN AND THE REPORT OF A PARTY

المكلم مالاً من المحليل المحليل المحلي المحلي المحلية المحلية من محلية من محلية من المحلية من المحلية من المحل المحلي المحلية المحلية المحلية المحلي المحلية المحلي المحلية المحلية المحلية المحلية المحلية المحلية المحلية ال المحلي المحلي المحلية المحلية