

NEW MEXICO CLIPPING BUREAU Santy Fe, New Mexico MAR 2.7 1047

Hobbs(N.M.)News-Sun

LEGAL NOTICES

NOTICE OF THE CATION STATE OF THE CATION OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION The Oil Conservation Columission, as provided by law, haroby gives notice of the following hearings to be held at Santa Fe, New Mexico, at 10:00 A. M. April 15, 1947: CASE or

CASE 97

CASE 97 In the matter of the applica-tion of the Oil Conservation Commission upon its own mo-tion for an order regarding tank batteries for separate pools and whether one tank battery shall serve one pool only or whether separate tank batteries shall be employed for separate poels. CASE 98 In the matter of the applica-tion of the Oil Conservation Commission for an order gov-erning gas-sil ratios for Lea. Eddy, and Chavez counties, New Mexico. CASE 92 In the matter of the Applica-tion of Gulf Oil Corporation

CASE 92 In the matter of the Applica-tion of Gulf Oil Corporation for issuance of a Special Or-der permitting the production of more than one horizon or pool through a single well bore in the Hobbs Pool, Lea Coun-ty. New Mexico.

- 1.5 -

. .

. 1 In the matter of the Applica-tion of Gulf Oil Corporation for the issuance of a Special Order permitting the produc-tion of more than one horizon of pool through a single well bore in the Paddock, Drink-ard, Brunson, Jones and Blin-bry Pools, Lea County, New Mexico. Mexico. CASE 94

CASE 94 In the matter of the Applica-tion of Gulf Oil Corporation for the promulgation of a General Order permitting and controlling production from more than one horizon or pool through a single well bore. Note: Cases 92, 93 and 94 were in part heard January 10, 1947 and are continued to April 15th as indicated above. Given under the seal of said Com-mission at Santa Fe, New Mexi-co on March 24, 1947. OIL CONSERVATION

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION By: /s/ R. R. SPURRIER, Secretary

and the foll indicated and the second s

THE TARTER OF THE ELECTRON DALLESS AT THE CHE CONSERVATION CONTENTS IN THE THE SECTION OF THE FURPOIS OF DELICIONAL PARTICIC FOR ALL FURPOIS OF DELICIONAL PARTICI.

0451 10. 97

okanaa 20. 709

THE APPLICATION OF THE OIL CONSTRATION CONDERSION UPON INCOMENDATION FOR AN CONDER REGARDING TANK GALGERIES FOR SEPARATE POOLS AND MUNICIER ONE CANK BATTERY SHALL SERVE OND FOOL ONLY OR MHETHER SEPARATE TANK BATTURIES SHALL BE EMPLOYED FOR SEPARATE FOOLS.

CREAR OF THE OUR ROLLOND

BY THE CONSTRUCTS

This cause came on for hearing at 10:00 A.H. on April 15, 1947 at Santa Fe, New Mexico before the Gil Conservation Consission of New Mexico, hereinafter referred to as the "Consission".

NCM, on this 6th day of June, 1947, the Journission having before it for consideration the testimony adduced at the hearing of said case;

FINDS:

1. That the Commission by its Order No. 633 effective January 15, 1946 defined the boundaries of the then known bill and gas pools of Lea, Eddy and Chaves Counties, New Mexico, and required each defined pool to be developed and produced as a single common reservoir.

2. The principles of eil and as conservation, the practices of the oil industry and the policy of the Commission as expressed in Order 633 require actual segregation at all times before sale of the oil and gas produced from the separate pools and fields.

3. That Section 6 of the Convission's Order No. 633 providing that each pool shall be separately projuced is silent as to tenkage and the cosingling with oil produced in other pools.

10 XO TIBRASCON CRUSTOS:

Instation 2001.2 1947, postion 2 of order 10.693 approved Jeausny 16, 1946, or the Bis many heready in the debit of series in the Holman The series of the Science Line 1 de the former of a the because more word the till of the series

a the location reportation of the offle therein duilt to completel, must take the actions. Such as the social constant is an action of the social constant of all set as morelocational, which there is a morelice the social therein of a structure state term is also a structure of a strucbar term attain a structure of social responses for other and distance the social is also define the social structure of social is also define the social social social so

. The end of the theory of the theory of the interval of $\hat{f}(x)$ is the theory of the theory of the transmission of transmission of the transmission of the transmission of the transmission of the transmission of tra

OP. J. Journee

中99

NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

Proposed Amendment to Order 637, Providing for Separate Tankage for Production from Each Pool.

The oil production from each reservoir or pool as defined in Order No. 637 shall be separately measured and stored in tankage not in any wise connected to tankage containing production from any other reservoir or pool, in order to prevent the commingling of oil produced from two or more separate reservoirs or pools before it is sold or otherwise disposed of by the operator. It shall be permissible, however, for a producer to maintain such separate tankage for two or more pools at the same location.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR GEOLOGICAL SURVEY P. O. Box 997 Roswell, New Mexico April 11, 1947

Mr. R. R. Spurrier New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission P. 0. Box 871 Santa Fe, New Mexico

Dear Mr. Spurrier:

Reference is made to the notice issued by the Oil Conservation Commission of hearings to be held at Santa Fe, New Mexico, at 10:00 a.m., April 15, 1947, and in particular to Case No. 97, in the matter of the application of the Oil Conservation Commission upon its own motion for an order regarding tank hatteries for separate pools and whether one tank battery shall serve one pool only or whether separate tank batteries shall be employed for separate pools.

In Order No. 633, Case No. 70 of the Oil Conservation Commission of the State of New Mexico, approved January 15, 1946, defining oil and gas pools in Lea, Eddy and Chaves Counties, New Mexico, effective as of January 1, 1946, under section 6 it is provided that "each pool shall be produced as a single common reservoir and wells shall be completed, cased, and maintained to that end". The operation of a pool as a single common reservoir would seem to imply without question that the oil produced from each pool must be physically separated for measurement and sale.

On march 7, 1946, I issued an order to oil and gas lessees and operators on public land of the United States in Lea, Eddy and Unaves Counties, New Mexico, requiring that production must be physically separated, measured and sold from separate tanks designated for receiving oil produced from specific wells from the separate pools. It was provided that the separate tanks so designated may be located with other tarks in a single tank battery, but no connection shall be made between tanks for oil from different pools. Separate oil and gas separators, gun-barrels, manifolds or common metering devices shall be used for tanks receiving oil from different pools. The co-mingling of oil in the same tanks or intermediate connections between wellheads and tanks and estimating production from the different pools is prohibited.

As stated in my order of March 7, 1946, the physical separation of oil from different pools is considered necessary and desirable among other reasons to obtain proper and adequate records for the determination of oil recoveries from separate common reservoirs and for engineering studies, to obtain benefits of increased allowables under orders of the Oil Conservation Commission for pools producing below 5,000 feet, to avoid conflict with the Connally Act, and to provide records and means for obtaining any premiums or differentials in price that might result from such physical separation of the oil.

By letter of March 13, 1946, to our office at Roswell you appear to have fully concurred in the position taken by the Roswell office of the Geological Survey with respect to Federal lands by stating that order No. 653 is interpreted by your office to require separation of oil produced from separate pools whether these pools be separated by 1,000 feet vertically or 100 miles horizontally, that it is not your purpose however to specifically designate how the separation will be accomplished and that it will be suggested to operators on state and patented land that separation should be accomplished in separate tanks for the following reasons:

- (1) To protect the operator from suspicion or prosecution under the Connally Act.
- 12) To provide accurate production records for each pool concerned.
- (3) To realize the maximum price (if any differential) from the higher gravity oils.

You further stated that if the pools which overlie one another and are separated by feet, were separated by miles horizontally, the pool or lease would of necessity require a separate battery of tanks, however, if the operator can save the expense of complete tank batteries and use only separate tanks, it would seem advisable.

Restatement of the same interpretation is contained in your letter of July 22, 1946, to Mr. George Selinger, Skelly Oil Company, Tulsa, Oklahoma. However, by letter of November 15, 1946, to Mr. Glenn Staley you stated that all operators may make use of common tank batteries as they see fit until a hearing may be called to promulgate a suitable order with reference to the separation of oil produced from separate pools and/or leases, provided that the reporting of production from all pools shall be kept separate; that is separate C-115s shall be used in reporting the production of oil and ges from all pools. Case 97 to be heard April 15 apparently is intended to provide information and date essential to the issuance of such suitable order.

The necessity and justification for the physical separation in separate tanks of oil produced from separate pools as expressed by both your office and the koswell office of the Geological Survey appear self-explanatory and seemingly need no additional comment except for the fact that one or two operators have raised the question as to why

Ż

separate tankage is necessary, and objected solely on the basis of the economics involved in the relatively small expense involved in the additional tankage.

The majority of operators and this office are firmly convinced that accurate records of production from separate pools must be obtained in order to permit proper evaluation and engineering studies for both primary and secondary phases of production. It is a well recognized fact that the present records now maintained by the Oil Conservation Commission of withdrawals from individual wells in any single pool where oil is co-mingled in the same tank and the oil actually withdrawn from each well can only be estimated, are meaningless so far as study of individual well performance is concerned. The record of crude oil withdrawals as contained in the proration schedules of the Oil Conservation Commission and in the Lea County Operators Engineering reports can be used only for a lease or area study. It would be most undesirable and unfortunate if the records of crude oil withdrawals as between separate pools or common reservoirs should be allowed to be confused in like manner. Any exception granted that would allow co-mingling of oil from separate pools into a single tank, regardless of measuring or metering devices, could only result in confusion of essential records. Supervisory forces of both the State and Federal governments are insufficient to adequately police any system of measuring or metering co-mingled oil from separate pools and subterfuge could easily result to the serious detriment of all other parties involved.

Effective January 9, 1947, several purchasers of crude oil in Lea County posted price schedules for segregated oil produced from the Blinebry, Drinkard and Erunson pools amounting to six cents per barrel in excess of the price posted for oil of equal gravity from other pools in Lea County. In announcing the new price schedules for the high quality, high gravity crude oil from these three pools it was stated by the purchasing companies that pipeline facilities had been made for segregation of these premium oils in delivery to refineries.

It appears unquestionable that the premium differential obtained for oil produced from these three pools could have been obtained only by reason of prior physical separation of the oil withdrawn from these pools in separate tanks for measurement and sale. The desirability for continuation of physical separation of oil from these pools by use of separate tanks is obvious. It is not unreasonable to assume that other premium prices might later be established for other pools producing premium quality oil where such oils are physically separated by separate tanks.

Furthermore, we have under consideration at this time the question of computing royalties on crude oil from Federal oil and pas leases on Si sur 2 page 2

What is needed to record properly and accurately the production of crude oil from individual wells is separate tankage for each well. This may be considered uneconomic under existing conditions in the industry. The nearest approach to this ultimate of recording well productivity is a periodic test into a separate tank of each individual well normally connected to tankage common to two or more wells.

It is suggested that consideration be given by the Commission to the issuance of an order requiring a 24 hour test of each individual cil well in Lea, Eddy and Chaves Counties, not less often than three months periods, to determine and record a daily capacity at least equal to the current top unit cil allowable and if the daily capacity is less than such top unit allowable, to determine and record the actual productivity of each cil well.

These data are essential for efficient operation of leases and for proper remedial work. Uniform application of the principle of individual well tests should result in reducing present oil "underages" on the proration schedule sufficient to increase the current top well allowable for the benefit of wells where the additional production would not adversely effect reservoir conditions. the basis of the number of producing wells from each separate pool where the royalty rate is based on the average daily production per day. This becomes necessary because of the wide difference in rates of production between the wells approaching the stripper stage in the upper Permian pools and the flush, high allowable wells in the new deeper pools. Physical separation of the oil from each pool is essential under such procedure as to Federal leases.

The benefits to be obtained thereby far exceed the slight additional inconvenience or cost of physically separating oil from separate pools in separate tanks, and it is recommended that the Oil Conservation Commission issue such order or interpretation as may be necessary to re-state the principal of use of separate tanks for use of oil produced from the separate pools as originally provided under section 6 of order No. 633.

It is further requested that this letter be read at the hearing and entered in the minutes of such hearing at Santa Fe on April 15, 1947.

11

Very truly yours,

oster UD Sorrell FOSTER MORRELL,

Supervisor, Oil and Gas Operations.



- 1

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

14.

.

P. O. Box 207 Levell, her Males Haust 7, 1746

TO OUL AND GAS LESSERS AND OPERATORS ON PUBLIC LANDS OF THE DESTED CUTATOS IT L'A, SODY AND CHAVES COUPTIES, NEW MONICO.

talso has (), dass No. 70, of the OD Conservation Condesion of in a final of a second decreased the second se 12/6. Section 6 of the order provides that each pool shall is as a simila econom concercia and solls shall be emploied, is clobalned and operated to that ond. Statis provation achadulate a considerable production are used apparately for each posit.

ad the erade oil, found on the same lesschold on public lands of and the same lesschold on public lands of and the second term of the second terms of the second se d yorl, the all production must be physically separated, weasured I soll than separate banks designated for receiving oil produced from we this wells from the separate pools. The superate tasks to designated is to becould with other truks in a single tank balcary, but no compea the chall be nude between tanks for all from different pools. Separate the acperators, jua-burnels, manifolds or conton metering devices at her used for tanks receiving oil free different pools.

do conditary of oil to bue again braids or interrested compositions. In weighted of the factor is additionizing productions are the difference. sould a probling star.

It's physical supremition of all from different pools is non-estary to the other measure is obtain proper and adequate seconds (a) the solid brong owner represents to optimit or the integrated over the balance of the box of oth is the content of parallel of the reaction of the balance of the balance of the reaction of the balance of the content of the balance of the balance of the balance of the balance of the content of the production for the balance of the balance of the content of the balance of the balance of the balance of the balance of the content of the balance of the balance of the balance of the balance of the content of the balance of the balance of the balance of the balance of the content of the balance of the balance of the balance of the balance of the content of the balance of the balance of the balance of the balance of the content of the balance of the balance of the balance of the balance of the content of the balance of the balance of the balance of the balance of the content of the balance of t

NOTICE OF PUBLICATION STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

The Oil Conservation Commission, as provided by law, hereby gives notice of the following hearings to be held at Santa Fe, New Mexico, at 10:00 A.M. April 15, 1947:

Case 97

In the matter of the application of the Oil Conservation Commission upon its own motion for an order regarding tank batteries for separate poels and whether one tank battery shall gerve one pool only or whether separate tank batteries shall be employed for separate pools.

Case 98

In the matter of the application of the Oil Conservation Commission for an order governing gas-oil ratios for Lea, Eddy, and Chavez counties, New Mexico.

Case 92

In the matter of the Application of Gulf Oil Corporation for issuance of a Special Order permitting the production of more than one horizon or pool through a single well bore in the Hobbs Pool, Lea County, New Mexico.

Case 93

In the matter of the Application of Gulf Oil Corporation for the issuance of a Special Order permitting the production of more than one horizon or pool through a single well bore in the Paddock, Drinkard, Brunson, Jones and Blinbry Pools, Lea County, New Mexico.

Case 94

In the matter of the Application of Gulf Oil Corporation for the promulgation of a General Order permitting and controlling production from more than one horizon or pool through a single well bore.

Note: Cases 92, 93 and 94 were in part heard January 10, 1947 and are continued to April 15th as indicated above.

Given under the seal of said Coumission at Santa Fe, New Mexico on March 24, 1947.

OIL CONSTRVATION COMMISSION

By: /s/ R. R. SPURRIER, Secretary

SEAL

- 1