

CASE 1936: In the matter called by
the OCC to consider changing date
of June Reg. Hearing to June 10th.

Casa No.

1936

Application, Transcript,
Small Exhibits, Etc.

DOCKET: REGULAR HEARING APRIL 15, 1960

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION - 9 a.m. - HOBBS AUDITORIUM, 1300 EAST SCHARBAUER

HOBBS, NEW MEXICO

- ALLOWABLE:**
- (1) Consideration of the oil allowable for May, 1960
 - (2) Consideration of the allowable production of gas for May, 1960 from six prorated pools in Lea County, New Mexico; also consideration of the allowable production of gas from seven prorated pools in San Juan, Rio Arriba and Sandoval Counties, New Mexico for May, 1960.

CASE 1934: In the matter concerning purchaser prorationing by Indiana Oil Purchasing Company in all oil pools from which it purchases in New Mexico.

CASE 1935: In the matter concerning purchaser prorationing by Sinclair Crude Oil Company in all oil pools from which it purchases in New Mexico.

CASE 1936: In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Commission on its own motion to consider changing the date of the Regular Commission Hearing in June, 1960, from the 15th to the 10th.

CASE 1937: In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Commission on its own motion to consider consolidating the rules governing the six prorated gas pools in Southeast New Mexico into one order, and to consider consolidating the rules governing the seven prorated gas pools in Northwest New Mexico into one order.

CASE 1938: Southeastern New Mexico nomenclature case calling for an order creating new pools and extending existing pools in Lea, Eddy, Chaves and Roosevelt Counties, New Mexico.

- (a) Create a new pool classified as an oil pool for San Andres production, designated as the Button Mesa-San Andres Pool, and described as:

TOWNSHIP 8 SOUTH, RANGE 32 EAST, NMPM
SECTION 20: NE/4

- (b) Create a new pool classified as an oil pool for San Andres production, designated as the Echol-San Andres Pool, and described as:

TOWNSHIP 10 SOUTH, RANGE 37 EAST, NMPM
SECTION 8: NW/4

- (c) Create a new pool classified as an oil pool for Wolfcamp production, designated as the Echol-Wolfcamp Pool, and described as:

TOWNSHIP 11 SOUTH, RANGE 38 EAST, NMPM
SECTION 16: NW/4

- (d) Create a new pool classified as an oil pool for Devonian production, designated as the Reeves-Devonian Pool, and described as:

TOWNSHIP 18 SOUTH, RANGE 35 EAST, NMPM
SECTION 24: NW/4

- (e) Extend the Bluitt-Pennsylvanian Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 8 SOUTH, RANGE 36 EAST, NMPM
SECTION 24: NE/4

- (f) Extend the Caprock-Queen Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 13 SOUTH, RANGE 31 EAST, NMPM
SECTION 3: SE/4

- (g) Extend the West Crossroads-San Andres Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 9 SOUTH, RANGE 35 EAST, NMPM
SECTION 20: E/2

- (h) Extend the East Dayton (Grayburg) Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 18 SOUTH, RANGE 27 EAST, NMPM
SECTION 28: NW/4
SECTION 29: NE/4

- (i) Extend the Empire-Abo Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 17 SOUTH, RANGE 28 EAST, NMPM
SECTION 33: SW/4

- (j) Extend the North Hackberry-Yates Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 19 SOUTH, RANGE 31 EAST, NMPM

SECTION 20: SE/4

SECTION 29: N/2 NE/4 and NE/4 NW/4

- (k) Extend the East Hightower-Devonian Gas Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 12 SOUTH, RANGE 34 EAST, NMPM

SECTION 30: E/2 NE/4

- (l) Extend the Hume-Queen Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 16 SOUTH, RANGE 34 EAST, NMPM

SECTION 7: NE/4

- (m) Extend the Jalmat Gas Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 22 SOUTH, RANGE 35 EAST, NMPM

SECTION 3: N/2

- (n) Extend the Leamex-Pennsylvanian Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 17 SOUTH, RANGE 33 EAST, NMPM

SECTION 23: NE/4

- (o) Extend the Pearl-Queen Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 19 SOUTH, RANGE 35 EAST, NMPM

SECTION 34: SE/4

- (p) Extend the Ranger Lake-Pennsylvanian Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 12 SOUTH, RANGE 34 EAST, NMPM

SECTION 22: SE/4

- (q) Extend the East Red Lake-Queen Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 17 SOUTH, RANGE 28 EAST, NMPM

SECTION 2: W/2 NE/4

- (r) Extend the Sawyer-San Andres Gas Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 10 SOUTH, RANGE 33 EAST, NMPM

SECTION 4: NW/4

- (s) Extend the Sugart-Pennsylvanian Gas Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 18 SOUTH, RANGE 31 EAST, NMPM
SECTION 26: S/2
SECTION 27: SE/4

- (t) Extend the Tubb Gas Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 22 SOUTH, RANGE 38 EAST, NMPM
SECTION 28: SW/4

- (u) Extend the Turkey Track Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 18 SOUTH, RANGE 29 EAST, NMPM
SECTION 28: N/2 and SE/4

CASE 1939:

Northwestern New Mexico nomenclature case calling for an order creating new pools and extending existing pools in San Juan and Rio Arriba Counties, New Mexico.

- (a) Create a new pool classified as an oil pool, designated as the Chiquito-Gallup Oil Pool and described as:

TOWNSHIP 26 NORTH, RANGE 1 EAST, NMPM,
SECTION 5: NE/4

- (b) Extend the Pine Lake-Pictured Cliffs Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 26 NORTH, RANGE 2 WEST, NMPM
SECTION 30: All (partial)

- (c) Extend the Tapacito-Pictured Cliffs Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 27 NORTH, RANGE 5 WEST, NMPM
SECTION 12: SW/4
SECTION 13: NW/4

- (d) Extend the West Kutz-Pictured Cliffs Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 27 NORTH, RANGE 12 WEST, NMPM
SECTION 9: SE/4

- (e) Extend the Blanco-Mesaverde Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 32 NORTH, RANGE 13 WEST, NMPM
SECTION 26: W/2

- (f) Extend the Angels Peak-Gallup Oil Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 27 NORTH, RANGE 10 WEST, NMPM
SECTION 19: SE/4
SECTION 29: NW/4
SECTION 30: NE/4

- (g) Extend the Horseshoe-Gallup Oil Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 30 NORTH, RANGE 16 WEST, NMPM
SECTION 10: S/2 SW/4

TOWNSHIP 31 NORTH, RANGE 16 WEST, NMPM
SECTION 27: SE/4 NW/4, NE/4 SW/4, & SE/4

- (h) Extend the Verde-Gallup Oil Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 31 NORTH, RANGE 14 WEST, NMPM
SECTION 30: S/2 SE/4
SECTION 31: NE/4 NE/4

- (i) Extend the Angels Peak-Dakota Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 26 NORTH, RANGE 9 WEST, NMPM
SECTION 6: W/2
SECTION 7: W/2

TOWNSHIP 26 NORTH, RANGE 10 WEST, NMPM
SECTION 1: All

TOWNSHIP 27 NORTH, RANGE 10 WEST, NMPM
SECTION 19: E/2
SECTION 20: All

- (j) Extend the South Blanco-Dakota Pool to include:

TOWNSHIP 27 NORTH, RANGE 6 WEST, NMPM
SECTION 19: W/2
SECTION 27: S/2

TOWNSHIP 27 NORTH, RANGE 7 WEST, NMPM
SECTION 24: S/2

- (k) Extend the West Kutz-Dakota Pool to include:

-6-

Docket No. 11-60

TOWNSHIP 28 NORTH, RANGE 13 WEST, NMPM

SECTION 9: All (partial)

SECTION 10: All (partial)

SECTION 16: All

SECTION 16: N/2

TOWNSHIP 29 NORTH, RANGE 13 WEST, NMPM

SECTION 27: All

SECTION 28: All

SECTION 33: All

SECTION 34: W/2

CASE 1893:

(De Novo)

Application of Petro-Atlas, Inc. for a hearing de novo before the Oil Conservation Commission in Case No. 1893, Order No. R-1619, which was an application to cancel the overproduction charged against one gas well in the South Blanco-Pictured Cliffs Pool, San Juan County, New Mexico. Said Order No. R-1619 denied the requested relief.

ig/

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING
CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION
COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR
THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE No. 1936
Order No. R-1654

APPLICATION OF THE OIL CONSERVATION
COMMISSION ON ITS OWN MOTION TO
CONSIDER CHANGING THE DATE OF THE
REGULAR COMMISSION HEARING IN JUNE,
1960, FROM THE 15TH TO THE 10TH.

ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 o'clock a.m. on
April 13, 1960, at Hobbs, New Mexico, before the Oil Conserva-
tion Commission of New Mexico, hereinafter referred to as the
"Commission."

NOW, on this 25th day of April, 1960, the Commission, a
quorum being present, having considered the evidence adduced at
said hearing, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required
by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the
subject matter thereof.


(2) That the date originally scheduled for the Regular
Commission Hearing in June, 1960 (15th) conflicts with the Semi-
Annual Meeting of the Interstate Oil Compact Commission.

(3) That accordingly the date of the Regular Commission
Hearing in June, 1960, should be changed from the 15th day of
the month to the 10th day of the month.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

That the date of the Regular Commission Hearing in June,
1960, be and the same is hereby changed from the 15th day of
the month to the 10th day of the month.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year herein-
above designated.



STATE OF NEW MEXICO
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

John Burroughs
JOHN BURROUGHS, Chairman

Murray E. Morgan
MURRAY E. MORGAN, Member

A. L. Porter, Jr.
A. L. PORTER, JR., Member & Secretary

1

BEFORE THE
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
HOBBS, NEW MEXICO
APRIL 13, 1960

IN THE MATTER OF:

CASE 1936 In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil :
Conservation Commission on its own motion to :
consider changing the date of the Regular Com- :
mission Hearing in June, 1960, from the 15th to :
the 10th. :

BEFORE:

John Burroughs
~~Daniel S. Nutter~~
A. L. Porter

T R A N S C R I P T O F P R O C E E D I N G S

MR. PORTER: Take up next Case 1936.

MR. PAYNE: Case 1936. In the matter of the hearing called
by the Oil Conservation Commission on its own motion to consider
changing the date of the Regular Commission Hearing in June, 1960,
from the 15th to the 10th.

(Witness sworn)

DANIEL S. NUTTER,
called as a witness, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. PAYNE:

Q Will the witness please state his name and position?

A Dan Nutter. I am Chief Engineer for the Oil Conservation

Commission.

Q Mr. Nutter, are you familiar with the circumstances surrounding the calling of Case 1936?

A Yes, sir, I am.

Q For what reason does the Commission desire to change the hearing date in June from the 15th to the 10th?

A The Interstate Oil Compact Commission has scheduled its semi-annual meeting for dates in June, which would conflict with the date of the hearing as previously set by the Commission. Several members of the Commission, as well as the Commission staff, are members of standing committees of the Compact, and it's felt that the hearing date should be changed in order to make it possible for the members of these committees to attend the compact meeting.

Q Mr. Nutter, would you urge that all purchasers submit their nominations early?

A Yes, sir. It will be necessary for the purchasers to submit their nominations earlier than usual for the month of June. We would appreciate having them five days before the date of the hearing, if possible.

MR. PAYNE: That concludes the testimony of this witness.

MR. PORTER: Any questions of the witness concerning the changes of these dates? The witness may be excused.

(Witness excused)

MR. PORTER: The Commission has ruled on that or will rule on that case. The dates will be changed. Keep that in mind,

please, that the June regular hearing will be on the 10th.

* * *

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
COUNTY OF BERNALILLO) ss

I, THOMAS T. TOMKO, Court Reporter, in and for the County of Bernalillo, State of New Mexico, do hereby certify that the foregoing and attached Transcript of Proceedings before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission was reported by me in machine shorthand and reduced to typewritten transcript by me, and that the same is a true and correct record to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

WITNESS my Hand and Seal this, the 7th day of April, A.D. 1960, in the City of Albuquerque, County of Bernalillo, State of New Mexico.

Thomas T. Tomko
Notary Public

My Commission expires:

January 7, 1964

DRAFT
OEP:esr
April 19

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

OEP
4/19
don
APR
4/19
IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING
CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION
COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR
THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE No. 1936

Order No. R-1654

APPLICATION OF THE OIL CONSERVATION
COMMISSION ON ITS OWN MOTION TO
CONSIDER CHANGING THE DATE OF THE
REGULAR COMMISSION HEARING IN JUNE,
1960, FROM THE 15TH TO THE 10TH.

ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

BY THE COMMISSION:

/Hobbs,

This cause came on for hearing at 9 o'clock a.m. on
April 13, 1960, at ~~Santa Fe~~ New Mexico, before the Oil Conserva-
tion Commission of New Mexico, hereinafter referred to as the
"Commission."

NOW, on this _____ day of April, 1960, the Commission,
a quorum being present, having considered the ~~evidence presented~~
~~and the exhibits received~~ *evidence adduced* at said hearing, and being fully advised
in the premises,

FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required
by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the sub-
ject matter thereof.

-2-

CASE No. 1936

Order No. R-_____

(2) That the date originally scheduled for the Regular Commission Hearing in June, 1960 (15th) conflicts with the Semi-Annual Meeting of the Interstate Oil Compact Commission.

(3) That accordingly the date of the Regular Commission Hearing in June, 1960, should be changed from the 15th ^{day of the month} to the 10th ^{day of the month}.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

That the date of the Regular Commission Hearing in June, 1960, be and the same is hereby changed from the 15th ^{day of the month} to the 10th day of the month.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, -----