Ola later later and and have have been and have a second and have

2032

optistion, Transcript,
mall Exhibits, Etc.

## DEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

> CASE No. 2032 Order No. R-1729

APPLICATION OF UNION OIL COMPANY OF CALIFORNIA FOR AN ORDER AUTHOR-IZING A WATERFLOOD PROJECT IN THE CAPROCK-QUEEN POOL, LEA AND CHAVES COUNTIES, NEW MEXICO, AND FOR AN UNORTHODOX INJECTION WELL LOCATION.

### ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

## BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 o'clock a.m. on July 27, 1960, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Daniel S. Nutter, Examiner duly appointed by the Oil Conservation Commission of New Mexico, hereinafter referred to as the "Commission," in accordance with Rule 1214 of the Commission Rules and Regulations.

MOW, on this 4th day of August, 1960, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the application, the evidence adduced, and the recommendations of the Examiner, Daniel S. Mutter, and being fully advised in the premises,

### PINDS:

- (1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.
- (2) That the applicant, Union Oil Company of California, seeks authorization to institute a waterflood project on its South Caprock Queen Unit, Caprock-Queen Pool, by the injection of water into the Queen formation through the following-described wells located in Chaves County, New Maxico:

Tract 9, Well No. 3-5, a proposed well to be located 990 feet from the Worth line and 1980 feet from the West line of Section 5;

Tract 11, Well No. 12-5, formerly the Monsanto-Eswin Well No. 4, legated in the NW/4 SW/4 of Section 5;

Tract 13, Well No. 16-6, formerly the Hodges-Maleo Pederal Well No. 1, loweted in the SM/4 SM/4 of Section 6; -2-CASE No. 2032 Order No. R-1729

Tract 61, Well No. 1-7, a proposed well to be located 990 feet from the North line and 450 feet from the East line of Section 7;

Tract 5, Well No. 12-8, formerly the Sinclair-Samer Well No. 2, located in the NW/4 SW/4 of Section 8;

Tract 5, Well No. 13-8, formerly the Sinclair-Samer Well No. 4, located in the SW/4 SW/4 of Section 8;

Tract 6, Well No. 1-18, a proposed well to be located 1315 feet from the North line and 330 feet from the East line of Section 18 at an unorthodox location;

Tract 64, Well No. 10-18, formerly the Collier-Same Well No. 4, located in the NW/4 SE/4 of Section 18;

Tract 64, Well No. 15-18, formerly the Collier-Sams Well No. 3, located in the SW/4 SE/4 of Section 18;

Tract 33, Well No. 2-19, formerly the Mobil-State H Well No. 1, located in the NW/4 NE/4 of Section 19;

all in Township 13 South, Range 31 East.

- (3) That a large majority of the producing wells in the area to be waterflooded have reached an advanced state of depletion and are properly to be classified as "stripper" wells.
- (4) That the proposed waterflood project should be authorized and should be governed by the provisions of Rule 701 of the Cosmission Rules and Regulations, including those provisions regarding allocation of allowables and expansion of the project area.

### IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That the applicant, Union Oil Company of California, be and the same is hereby authorized to institute a waterflood project in the Caprock-Queen Pool by the injection of water into the Queen formation through the following-described wells in Chaves County, New Mexico:

Track 9, Well No. 3-5, a proposed well to be located 900 Seat from the North Line and 1980 Seat from the West line of Section 5; Tract 11, Well No. 12-5, formerly the Monsanto-Erwin Well No. 4, located in the NW/4 SW/4 of Section 5;

Tract 13, Well No. 16-6, formerly the Hodges-Malco Federal Well No. 1, located in the SE/4 SE/4 of Section 6;

Tract 61, Well No. 1-7, a proposed well to be located 990 feet from the North line and 450 feet from the Bast line of Section 7;

Tract 5, Well No. 12-8, formerly the Sinclair-Samer Well No. 2, located in the NW/4 SW/4 of Section 8;

Tract 5, Well No. 13-8, formerly the Sinclair-Samer Well No. 4, located in the SW/4 SW/4 of Section 8;

Tract 6, Well No. 1-18, a proposed well to be located 1315 feet from the North line and 330 feet from the East line of Section 18 at an unorthodox location, which location is hereby authorised;

Tract 64, Well No. 10-18, formerly the Collier-Sams Well No. 4, located in the HW/4 SE/4 of Section 18;

Tract 64, Well No. 15-18, formerly the Collier-Sams Well No. 3, located in the SW/4 SE/4 of Section 18;

Tract 33, Well No. 2-19, formerly the Hobil-State H Well No. 1, located in the NW/4 NE/4 of Section 19;

all in Township 15 South, Range 31 East.

(2) That the operation of the waterflood project herein authorised shall be governed by the provisions of Rule 701 of the Commission Rules and Regulations, including those provisions regarding allegation of allowables and expension of the project area.

CASE No. 2032 Order No. R-1729

(3) That monthly progress reports on the waterflood projec': herein authorized shall be submitted to the Commission in accordance with Rule 704 and Rule 1119 of the Commission Rules and Regulations.

DONE at Santa F3, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

JOHN BURROUGHS, Chairman

MUERAY E. MORGAH, Member

A I. BODGER IV Member

A. L. PORTER, Jr., Member & Secretary

esz/

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION Santa Fe, New Mexico July 27, 1960.

### IN THE MATTER OF:

APPLICATION OF UNION OIL COMPANY of CALIFORNIA for an order authorizing it to institute à waterflood project in the Caprock-Queen Pool on its proposed South Caprock Queen Unit by the injection of water into the Queen formation through ten wells located in Township 15 South, Range 31 East, Chaves County, New Mexico, and for authority to drill a water injection well at an unorthodox location, being 330 feet West of the East line and 1320 feet South of the North line of Section 18, Township 15 South, Range 31 East.

CASE

NO. 2032

BEFORE:

Hon. Daniel S. Nutter, Examiner.

### TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

MR. NUTTER: Hearing will come to order. Next case will be Case 2032.

MR. PAYNE: Case 2032. Application of Union Oil Company of California for an order authorizing it to institute a waterflood project in the Caprock-Queen Pool.

MR. CAMPBELL: Jack M. Campbell, of Campbell and Russell, Roswell. New Mexico, appearing on behalf of the Applicant, Union Oil Company of California. I have two witnesses to be sworn.

(Witnesses sworn.)



E D W A R D M A T C H E S, a witness, called by the Applicant, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

### BY MR. CAMPBELL:

- Q Will you state your name, please?
- A Edward Matches.
- Q By whom are you employed, and where do you reside?
- A Union Oil Company; Midland, Texas.
- Q What is your position with that company?
- A I am a geologist.
- Q Would you give the Examiner a brief resume of your education and experience as a geologist?
- Jersey; I graduated with a bachelors' degree of Geology in 1948.

  For the past 11 years, I have been employed as a geologist by the Union Oil Company of California; the past three years I have been working in the reserve engineers as a geologist. I have done quite a bit of work for Union in the Permian Basin area during the past nine years.
- Q Have you made any particular studies insofar as the Caprock-Queen area of New Mexico is concerned?
- A Yes, sir, from the initial extension of the field in November of 1954, I followed the development of the field to date.
- Q What type of studies have you had occasion to make in that area?



A I have basically been concerned with the geology. I have watched a number of wells cored; I have personally examined and described in detail 51 of the producing wells that were cored and analyzed.

- Q You are talking now about the general area that is included in the proposed South Caprock-Queen area, are you not?
  - A Yes, sir.
  - When did that area development first start?
- A The development was first initiated with the completion of the O'Neill Number 1 Midland "A", which is located in the SW of the NW in Section 8, Township 13 South, Range 31 East.
- Q Has there been a considerable amount of information available as a result of the drilling and testing and production from the wells in this southern area of the Pool?
- A Yes, sir. We have a total of 167 producing wells that fall within our proposed unit outline. There have been 114 wells that have been cored, and those cores have been analyzed. Of these, 95 were producing wells. Of these wells, I have personally seen 51 cores.
- Q Do you feel that with that kind of information from this field, that you are able to make a pretty thorough geological analysis of the area?
- A The amount of information available in the south portion of the Caprock-Queen Field is extra good. The number which we have cored far exceeds the number that were not cored. The area



that joins to the north, our information is very good. The amount of information available, allows me to make a detailed study of the variable changes in the mythology throughout, and a portion of the northern Caprock Field.

> (Whereupon, Applicant's Exhibit 1 marked for identification.)

Mr. Matches. I refer you now to what has been identified as Applicant's Exhibit Number 1 in this case, and which is on the board there. I wonder if you would step over to the board and point out to the Examiner what that exhibit is, and what the symbols reflect, please?

Exhibit Number 1 demonstrates the outline of the Unit The colored acreage here is designated by green, State in red. acreage; orange Federal acreage; blue fee acreage. The Unit outline Drickey Queen Unit adjoins our Unit; that is also shown of the here in green outline. Also shown on this map are the locations of the water wells from which we will obtain water for the flooding of this field.

- How are those shown?
- They are shown in blue, with the water well number outlined in blue, and the pormit number underneath the water well number.
- What about the proposed projection wells, are they shown on this particular map?
  - Our proposed injection wells are shown in red along the



west side of our Unit. There are ten proposed injection wells.

Q. Let's talk for a moment about the water wells, and the water by which you intend to use for the development of this secondary recovery project, Mr. Matches. Will you advise the Examiner -- I believe you said you had permits from the State Engineer, and permit numbers are indicated at the point where the wells are situated, would you state what is the amount of your water permits from the State Engineer?

Yes, sir. We have been allocated a total of 1126 acre feet water permits; in Township 14 South, Range 31 East; we have 136 acre feet; in Township 15 South, Range 31 East; we have 990 acre feet.

- How do you -- From what formation is that water obtained?
- This water is obtained from the Ogallala formation, which overlies the red beds; the water is commonly encountered at depths ranging from 275 feet to 325 feet.
- To your knowledge, is this the same source of water that is being encountered in other areas of the Caprock-Queen Pool which are now under flood projection?
  - Yes, sir, it is.
- Is there any other source of water available, economically feasible to obtain, which you could use in lieu of water from fresh water from these wells?
  - No, sir, there is not.
  - How do you intend to get your water from the water well



locations to the area of your project?

We have water wells located in Sections 26 and 22 of 15 South, 31 East. This water will be taken to the vicinity of Section 17 by pipeline. Our proposed plant will be in Section 17 of Township 15 South, Range 31 East.

Mr. Matches, do you consider that the amount of water you have available under your existing water permits, will be sufficient to cover all of your needs for water in connection with the entire unit project?

Yes, sir.

Now, Mr. Matches, on the Exhibit 1 there, it is apparent that your northern boundary of the proposed South Caprock-Queen Unit joins the southern boundary of the Cities Service Unit, the north only at its northeast corner and the southeast corner of the Cities Service Unit: in between on your, immediately to the north of your northern boundary it appears there are some wells apparently not producing from the same general formation, is that correct?

Yes, sir.

Have you made -- Did you prior to the time the northern boundary of this proposed unit was established, make a geological study to attempt to set a reasonable boundary line on the north of this unit?

Yes, sir, the north line of our unit was not on an arbitrarily drawn line, it is based on geologic factors which separate the two areas.



(Whereupon, Applicant's Exhibit 2 marked for identification.)

I hand you what has been identified as Applicant's Exhibit Number 2 in this case, and ask you to state first what that is?

Exhibit 2 is a structure map of the Caprock-Queen Field dropped to the top of the Queen sand. This exhibit shows the position of the gas cap in the north portion of the Caprock-Queen Field developed at datum of plus 1405. A difference in the gas cap is demonstrated here. The gas cap in the south portion, or the proposed South Caprock-Queen Unit, is developed at plus 1355. There is also a syncline which is developed in the NE/4 of Section 28, and the NW/4 of Section 27, Township 14 South, Range 31 East, which is well controlled. This syncline separates the two areas, and in addition to this, a third point which separates the two areas is the production obtained from red sands to the north of this syncline.

There is an area in Sections 21, 20, 17, 16, and 9, of Township 14 South, Range 31 East, that has been productive from red sand. This similar development is confined to this basic area that I have mentioned, and also a small area to the extreme south of the South Caprock-Queen Unit in the southeast portion of Section 30. The remainder of the production from the Caprock-Queen Field is obtained from the gray sand.

Mr. Matches, based on the differences in the gas cap, and based upon the syncline there, and the production from the red



sand that you spoke of, is it your opinion that insofar as the northwest portion of that area on Exhibit 2 is concerned, lying just north of your unit, that is separated from it geologically, from the unit area?

Yes, sir, it is. The similarity of this area to the area to the northeast is very small, or rather, is great, it is similar to the area to the northeast. It is dissimilar from the south portion of our unit.

On that, can you say whether you believe that geologically speaking, there is a sound basis for the northern boundary of the proposed unit?

- Yes, sir, this is drawn from geological evidence.
- Now, Mr. Matches, have you made some studies in the Caprock-Queen area with regard to the stage of completion?
  - Yes, sir, I have.

(Whereupon, Applicant's Exhibits 3 and 4 marked for identification.)

- Mr. Matches, I refer you to what has been identified as Applicant's Exhibits 3 and 4, and ask you to state to the Examiner what those exhibits reflect.
- Exhibits 3 and 4 represent oil production for the month of November, 1959, and April of 1960. This map is contoured on barrels of oil per month, taken from the New Mexico Engineering Committee's reports. The color scheme shows a breakdown in units of 10, of barrels of oil produced per day. The red represents



O to 10 barrels of oil per day; yellow, 10 barrels of oil per day to 20 barrels of oil per day; the green represents 20 to 30 barrels of oil per day; the blue represents 30 plus barrels of oil per day.

- Q Have you shown on each well the amount of production reflected on the Engineering Committee's reports for that month?
- Yes, sir, these are 30-day months, and the figures on each well represent the monthly production for that well.
- Does the large amount of blue area in the northern portion and in the central portion, reflect the development of secondary recovery projects in those areas?
- Yes, sir, it does. The red dots, or wells circled in red, are wells that are currently injection wells.
- There is a period there between Exhibit 3 and Exhibit 4 of five months, is there not?
  - Yes, sir. A
- Directing your attention particularly to the proposed unit area in the south portion of the Caprock-Queen, it is apparent that in the month of November, 1959, as shown on Exhibit 3, there was some blue area both in the extreme northern portion and the extreme southern portion of the proposed unit area, is that not correct?
- Yes, sir, exhibit 3 and 4 show the production on a daily rate of barrels per day within our proposed unit area, which is outlined in red. It is very noticeable that the daily productive



rate is dropping rapidly. The greater portion of the central area in the South Caprock-Queen unit is now in the stripper stage, the production is in the range of 0 to 10 barrels of oil per day. There are two areas that exceed 10 barrels of oil per day, and they are located on the extreme north and south portion of the South Caprock-Queen unit. These areas also exhibit a rapid decline. The period represented by these two maps is five months, yet it is possible to see by this graphic form here that the blue area, and also the green, which represents production in excess of 20 barrels of oil per day, is getting smaller, the amount of blue and green is diminishing, that the greater number of the wells now fall in the 0 to 10 barrels of oil per day range, and the two higher areas to the north and south are now coming to the 10 to 20 barrels of oil per day.

- What is the history of this Pool, generally, Mr. Matches with regard to the rate of production, once a decline of production rate sets in?
- The decline after the decline has set in is very rapid. Once the wells start to decline, the decline is very noticeable.
- Based upon your studies of production history in this Pool, and it is generally of all Caprock-Queen Pools, do you believe by the time this waterflood project develops to the extent that it will affect the areas shown on Exhibit 4 in blue and yellow, that those will in effect be stripper areas?
  - Yes, sir, they will. They should take not more than a



year or so to be down into the 0 to 10, or 10 to 20 barrel range.

- Do you believe, if there were a decline until the area were in the stripper stage, it might result in the lower ultimate, lower secondary production?
  - Yes. sir.
- What, with regard to these two exhibits, referring to the northern area, the Caprock-Queen will encompass the North Caprock Unit and Ambassador Unit, what does that show with regard to the present status of the production in that northern area?
- The map itself shows that the flooded area has now reached its peak, and is now beyond its peak in some areas. There are some windows showing, that is, this window in the pilot area of the Graridge Flood, has now passed its peak, the production is on the downfall. In other words, the amount of water produced there is great, but the barrels of oil is below normal allowable.
- So that as your project in the southern portion of this Pool develops, you would expect the production in the northern portion of the Pool to decline, as a result of the waterflood project there having peaked out, would you not?
- Yes, sir. That is, now, being as I would say, the fact the northerly area has this hole in the middle, where production has passed its peak.

MR. CAMPBELL: That is all the questions I have of this witness.

MR: NUTTER: Does anyone have any further questions of



Mr. Matches?

MR. PAYNE: No questions.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

### BY MR. IRBY:

In your discussion of your source of water, I note that Q in your application you mention only Sections 26, 15, 31, and in your testimony you referred to other locations for your source of supply?

Yes, sir, I mentioned that -- I mentioned Section 26, a line that will be used to bring the water to the central part of the Unit would originate in Section 26. On Exhibit Number 1, I have shown the location of our water wells; we have one well, number 1 in Section 25 of 14-31; water well number 2 is in Section 22 of 15-31; and three additional wells are located in Section 26 of 15-31. Our wells are concentrated in Section 26, so that our initial line will be laid from the center of Section 26 to Section 17.

- Then am I to understand from your testimony that you propose to use water from all of your permits in this pressure maintenance or waterflood?
  - Yes, sir.
  - Is the water from these wells to be used in other floods?
  - By other floods, do you mean to the north?
  - Other than that designated here, wherever it might be.
  - There is the question of the possibility of Continental



# PHONE CH 3-6691

developing a lease, or a unit to the northeast portion of our unit, and we would be glad to cooperate with them. This would not be used in any other unit outside this area. In other words, it would be restricted to the area of our unit.

MR. IRBY: Thank you.

### QUESTIONS BY MR. NUTTER:

Q Have you made calculations as to the total amount of water that will be required, or have the Engineering Committee testified to that?

A I can give you a rough figure that will fall under the engineering testimony.

Q I see. I note that one of the injection wells that is indicated by red on your Exhibit Number 1, is that a dry hole on the unleased tract. What would you do if you cannot get the lease there, start another location for injection?

A For that, we will attempt to get that lease.

Q What is the significance, Mr. Matches, of the gas-oil contact being higher in the north part of the field than it is in the south part of the field?

A There is a distinct break from the gas cap in the south portion at plus 1355, to the north portion where it is at 1405, that break occurs to the northwest of this syncline. The gas cap has been traced to this point in the approximate center of Section 29, 14 South, 31 East, and due to the fact there have been few dry holes drilled along here that have encountered tight sand; develop-



£

menthas not progressed westward to tie down the gas cap. To pick up the gas cap again, you have to go to Section 17 of 14-31, and the gas cap has development at plus 1405 in the W/2 of the W/2.

Q Is it your opinion these are two separate gas caps, or that it would be continuous across Section 20, if there were development in there?

A The reason -- I will have to give you some speculation as to why it's not there. I will state my reasons as to why it's not there. In the vicinity of Section 29 and Section 20 of 14-31, there is a permeable barrier developed, and this barrier consists of dolomitic sand which is not common to the productive portion of the gap through it. Though dolomitic sand has been developed in several wells, and I believe that it acts as the permeable barrier which separates these two fields, separates the gas cap of these two fields. By saying two fields, the south portion of the Caprock-Queen Field is in communication with the north portion.

Q Is there communication between the oil wells from Section 21 down into Section 28, and from Section 20 into Section 29?

A Yes, sir. The red sand that I spoke of earlier is developed in the Northeast of Section 29, and the Northwest of Section 28, and continues northward into this shown area, and into the Cities Service Unit.

Q What do you find if you drill into this synclinical structure, do you find red sand, or dolomite, the Queen sand, or gray sand?



## PHONE CH DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE,

In the vicinity, the red sand developed; it is quarrying, it is wet, there is no oil in this immediate area.

- Is water present in this syncline?
- Yes, sir.
- Mr. Matches, the southernmost injection well, which is in the NW/4 of the NE/4 of Section 29, is relatively close to the area that is productive of more oil than would be normally attributed to stripper wells, do you agree with that?
- Yes, sir, it is, in the sense that it is near a higher productive area in barrels of oil per day; by the time expansion of our proposed program reaches the south area, that area should be in the stage of possibly 10 barrels or 20 barrels a day.
- Now, it is Union Oil Company's proposal to put these ten injection wells on simultaneously?
- Yes, sir, to develop a bank in the gas cap. The water, the injection of the ten wells, as shown, is to develop a water bank in the gas cap.
- How long do you estimate it is going to take to develop a water bank in the gas cap at the southern end of your initial conversion project?
- That is a question that is difficult to state from a given period of time, because of the variation in the amount of permeable sand developed in the gas cap. The net permeable sand varies from an estimated 5 feet to approximately 15 feet, and the time that it will take to fill or to develop a bank across 15 feet



of sand, or across 5 feet of sand will vary.

- What is the thickness of the sand in this well here in Q Section 19, this injection well?
  - In Section 19?
- The injection well is Magnolia Number 1 State -- rather, it's the Mobile Number --
- That has 11 feet of sand. Due north, the collar has 14 feet; there is a difference of 3 feet in one location. Our program pictures the expansion into that area, taking approximately two years, and by that time the extreme south portion of the field should be very near the stripper stage, or at the stripper stage.
- I appreciate that the expansion of it into the blue area would probably not find it blue at the time, but what I am speaking of is, presently this is offsetting acreage that is yellow, which is producing from 300 to 600 barrels of oil per month. The well directly east of this injection well produced 414 barrels of oil in April. This is not strictly stripper production.
- On the extreme south portion of the field, the state of depletion has not reached the stripper stage, but the decline has started and it is very rapid. Within two years the decline should be very noticeable, and that area will probably be at or near the stripper stage.
- Well, that well directly east of that injection well, it produced 406, and 414 in April?
  - The well we are looking -- 414 in April is a well that



ũ

is affected by the expanding gas cap. It will eventually wind up in the stripper stage; if we don't stop the expansion of the gas cap. The case -- I might point out that also if we will look in Section 5-15-31 in the NW NW, or NW SW of Section 5, we have the Monsanto Number 4. I remember when this well as initially completed had a fairly high G.O.R., the south offset and the east offset were initially completed with low G.O.R., and the gas cap has expanded and caught these wells, it has expanded to them and in turn they are penalized. And our proposal for these ten wells is to develop 2 water bank in the gas cap to stop this expansion.

Are the wells down structure producing appreciable amounts of gas?

In this case only some, by the base of the expansion of the gas cap.

How do you have gas-oil contact, this situation producing enough gas to have a penalized ratio?

For the west flank of the field you might; the gas cap is mostly in one location, that has to be modified as to the structural position of the wells. Some of the wells that have not been affected, they will be if the trend continues.

Are there any high ratio wells as much as two locations away from the gas-oil contact, the line of the gas-oil contact, I should say?

There are wells -- there are essentially two locations in the vicinity of this Lyon lease that I have mentioned earlier,



ALBUQUERQUE,

in the SW of Section 5, the locations that were affected are, one location, and I believe the one Number 1 which shows to be producing 465 barrels of oil per month has had an increase in ratio. I have not mapped the exact -- I haven't mapped the ratios as such to present here as an exhibit, however, I have mapped in the past, the expansion of the gas cap, and in general it is one location. And now, and this is one of the few cases where I think it might be coming into two locations.

- What is the target date that Union has set for starting water into the ground in these ten wells?
  - October 1st, 1960.
  - October 1st, 1960. Q

MR. NUTTER: Are there any further questions of Mr.

Matches?

(No response.)

MR. NUTTER: You may be excused.

(Witness excused.)

WILLIAM D. OWENS, a witness, called by the Applicant, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION

### BY MR. CAMPBELL:

- Will you state your name, please?
- William D. Owens.
- By whom are you employed, and where do you reside?



- Union Oil Company: in Midland.
- Q What is your position?
- Division engineer.
- Have you previously testified before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission, or one of its Examiners in your professional capacity?
  - Yes, sir.
- Mr. Owens, have you been before the Engineering Committee for the study of the proposed South Caprock-Queen Unit Agreement for waterflood?
  - From the beginning.
- How long have you been engaged in the engineering study in connection with this?
  - Three years.
- And are you acquainted with the proposed plan of operation for secondary recovery in this unit area?
  - Yes, sir.
- I would like to have you place on the board there Exhibit 5.

(Whereupon Applicant's Exhibit 5 marked for identification.)

- Referring to what has been identified as Exhibit 5, Applicant's Exhibit Number 5, would you state what that is, please?
- This is a map showing the plan of operation for the entire life of our unit, and the initial ten injection wells. They are located on the western side of the most depleted areas, which



And then assuming ideal expansion, and assuming that every well is stimulated at the same time, we colored the various segments in the expansion phases, and the little table down in the corner indicates the number of wells -- Well, the number of injection wells, the number of new wells required.

Q It is apparent you are starting this project on the west flank with the ten injection wells involved in this application. Would you state to the Examiner why you have chosen to start your project in this fashion?

A Well, sir, the big problem in this part of the field is the gas cap, and the gas cap is dry and has never been wet in oil, and if it is wet with oil, we calculate we would lose about two and a third barrels. That will not be cored for that reason, and our first move will be to build up a barrier to prevent the migration of oil. That is why we chose the ten wells.

There is an imperfect embayment near the top of our proposed initial phase. That is a natural starting place. We have gone down through the depleted area.

Q What do you anticipate will be your rate of injection in the early stages of the development of this project?

A We are set up for somewhere between 500 and a thousand barrels a day, and as Mr. Matches pointed out, we do not really know how much water will go into the gas cap. We will inject into the well, and then we will build up our water bank, and then we



will cut back.

Do you contemplate you will be able to operate this project within the limits of the prescribed allowables for waterflood projects?

Yes, sir. As soon as we do have the barrier built up, A we will cut back to maintain the barrier, and expand normally into a pattern type flood.

A question has been raised here as to the amount of water that you think may be required for this project. Are you able to make any estimates of that at this time?

You want the ultimate water required?

What is the amount of fresh water, I think would be the question that the State Engineers are interested in, would be required say on the maximum basis?

Oh, of course during all the four years of the project, we are going to be on fresh water, and the maximum after about four years will be about 16,000 barrels a day. From that point on, the use will decline and we will use recirculated water.

MR. CAMPBELL: Does that satisfy your question, or is there something else you would like to have brought out?

MR. IRBY: I am interested in the recirculating and what it does to the quality of the water; and I am sure you will bring that our later, the construction of the water.

(By Mr. Campbell) Do you have any information with regard to the effect of the recirculating of the water, insofar as



UQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

its quality is concerned?

A Well. sir, there is -- There is a lot of free salt in the formation; of course, it is going to come out salty when we put the fresh water in.

MR. CAMPBELL: Was that your question?

MR. IRBY: I am requesting of the Company, when are you going to start recirculating, the date you are going to start recirculating?

- A We anticipate no trouble. We will use sodium chloride, it is pretty well solidified.
- Q (By Mr. Campbell) You anticipate no problem of corrosion with the use of your water that you are recirculating?
- A No, sir. Of course, we are prepared for it, with plastic injected into the stream.
- Q When do you anticipate to where you will begin to get to the point where you actually will start recirculating water?
  - A The big point will occur in about four years.
- Q How much additional cil do you believe you will be able to recover by virtue of the installation and operation of this secondary recovery project from this unit area?
- A We estimated 11.12 million barrels, which we feel is probably conservative, based upon information on the units to the north.
- Q What is your multiple that you are using in your calculations there?



### A It is slightly less than one and a half times primary.

- Q How many of the proposed injection, ten injection wells are to be new wells drilled by you?
  - A We must drill three new wells, and re-enter two dry holes.
  - Q Is one of those new wells an unorthodox location?
  - A Yes, sir.
- Q Would you identify that well, since it is included in this application?
- A It is identified as injection well Number 7, and located in the E/2 of the NE/4 of Section 18.
- Q And there are seven existing wells that you intend to use as water injection wells, is that correct?
  - A Yes, sir.
- Q Do you have a fairly good data on the casing, cement program, that was used in the drilling and completions of these wells?
- A They are all adequately cased into the Queen, or through it, and the amount of cement was adequate.

(Whereupon, Applicant's Exhibit 6 marked for identification.)

- Q I hand you what has been marked as Exhibit 6, and will ask you what that is, please?
- A This is a list of projections, showing the location of the wells, the elevation, the depth, the amount of surface casing the amount of production casing, the top of the Queen sand, and for the three new wells we have underlined in this data, because the depth of course is estimated. This is the casing program we



intend to use on the new wells.

- Do you consider that the present casing program on the seven existing wells is sufficient to adequately protect any potential oil or water producing formations as you inject water, either fresh water or recirculated water?
- Yes, sir, the surface is set into the red beds at a depth adequate to protect the well.
- It has previously been brought out that all of the development in this particular area of the Caprock-Queen Unit has taken place during approximately the last six years, is that right?
  - Since 1954.
- These wells have all been completed using entirely new and modern completion practices, have they not?
  - Α Yes, sir.
- In connection with these injection wells, do you have any logs that cover any of the existing wells?
  - We have logs for three of the wells.

(Whereupon, Applicant's Exhibits , 8 and 9, marked for identi-

- Referring to what has been identified as Applicant's Exhibits 7, 8, and 9, I believe you stated those were the three logs on the three wells on which you have logs?
  - Yes, sir.
- And do those reflect anything that is not reflected on the previous exhibits with regard to these wells?



They do reflect the pay interval in the previous exhibits. on the top of the logs, of the surface casing, and the production casing is indicated.

- Mr. Owens, do you believe that the establishment of this secondary recovery project at the early stages is essential to the greatest ultimate recovery of oil?
  - Yes, sir, I do.
  - Why do you say that?
- Well, we are at the point now where the viscosity characteristics and the shrinkage of the crude are becoming critical. If you waited, before the pressure got much lower, we would lose oil.
- Do you believe that if this program is instituted at an early date, on the basis that you have testified to, that you will be able to obtain a greater ultimate recovery of oil than if the secondary recovery were not undertaken?
  - Yes, sir.

MR. CAMPBELL: That is all the questions I have.

MR. NUTTER: Anyone have any questions of Mr. Owens?

### CROSS-EXAMINATION

### BY MR. PAYNE:

- Do you propose to inject through tubing? Q
- Yes, sir. In the field to the north, the injection is sometimes through casing, and of course that is a lot more economical, and we are watching that pretty closely. However, the



initial ten wells, we will use tubing, yes, sir.

- Would you consider this to be line dry flood?
- No. We are going to expand to normal pattern flood.
- You just prefer to start on this side because of your gas cap?
  - That is the only reason, yes, sir. MR. PAYNE: Thank you.

### QUESTIONS BY MR. NUTTER:

- In this well, will you eventually go to the uniform 5-spot pattern flood?
- You will notice some strips we have mythology. Many of the wells just won't make very good powers, but will make a good injectors. Normally, we will follow a 5-spot flood.

MR. PAYNE: A thorough and efficient sweep of the oil, in any event?

- Yes, sir.
- (By Mr. Nutter) Mr. Owens, what do you think your initial rate of injection will be during the initial fill-up in the gas cap barrier?
  - We expect to have 500 and 1,000 barrels per day per well.
- Then you will reduce that rate of injection after you get full-up?
- After we fill up, we will reduce just enough to maintain it. We actually don't know how far the gas cap is, we think it is extended about a mile. We are in the dark a little bit, we really



don't know what the injection rate will be. That is a fair guess.

- Not knowing the limits of the gas cap, you do not know how long it will take then to achieve fill-up in that area?
- No, sir; we estimated about eight months, that is an estimate.
  - At those rates of injection? Q
  - Yes, sir.
- What do you think you will finally settle for as an average injection rate throughout your project?
- We worked it out there, the various phases, and of course on the first phase that is an estimate, 100 barrels per day, or a more flexible increase, or average injection rate, so I cannot give you an exact number. Probably, oh, three to five hundred barrels per day.
- What is your estimate of the total amount of water that will have to be injected during the life of this project?
  - Sixty-three million barrels.
  - Which of course includes recirculated water?
  - The bulk is recirculated water.
- What is your estimate of the total amounts of fresh water that will be required?
  - Thirty million.
  - What is the primary recovery estimated for this area?
  - Percentage?
  - Percentage, and also barrels?



It is 7,592,197 barrels, as Mr. Snyder testified, plus the recovery from the seven new wells that were drilled after July 1st, 1959. That is the recovery from old wells, that has been established by the Engineering Committee; and there are seven new wells, they are going to recover possibly in the neighborhood of 50,000 barrels.

- For each of the seven?
- No, total; a very small amount of the seven new wells.
- This is the amount of oil that had been recovered up to July 1st, it is not -- this seven million barrels or so, is this the total amount of oil that has already been recovered, plus what is expected to be recovered?
  - This is total primary.
- And what percent of the original oil in place does this represent?
  - 15 percent.
- and you expect you are going to recover by secondary recovery, one and a half times the primary?
- A little less than 22 percent. The total will be 36.6, I believe.
- Upon completion of the waterflood, you expect to recover 36.6 percent of the original oil in place?
- As I said before, I think that is a very conservative estimate, based on the information on the other floods.
  - Now, in barrels, what is the estimate, secondary plus



# DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE,

### time?

- Eleven million one hundred thousand plus, plus primary, which is 18,692,000.
- Q According to your calculations, Mr. Owens, what will be the allowable for the initial stage of the project?
- Well, we calculated there will be 29 waterfloods allowable, phase one.
- That is taking into consideration the 40-acres that have injection wells on them, plus the 40-acres that are directly, or diagonally offset these section tracts which have wells on them?
- Yes, sir, I might point out we intend one new producing well in the project area.
  - Q Where will that well be?
  - Located in the SE of the NW/4 of Section 5.
  - Q That is the well labelled on the map as location "6"?
  - Yes, sir. 29 times 42 is 1218 barrels per day.

MR. NUTTER: I believe that is all. Does anyone else have a question?

### QUESTIONS BY MR. IRBY:

- Going into your Exhibit 6, Mr. Owens --
- Yes, sir.
- -- these three line proposals, proposed ones, those depths are all estimated, I believe you said?
  - Yes, sir.
  - In each case, I note you propose to drill, to set your



surface casing at 300 feet?

- Yes, sir.
- Q You have reason to believe, I assume, that you are into the red bed?
  - That is well into it.
  - If it is not --
  - If it is not, we will drill deeper till we get into it.
- It is your estimate that the sacks of cement indicated here will circulate to the surface?
- Yes, sir. If this doesn't circulate, we will use the amount required to circulate.
- One more question. In the case of the seven wells which are already drilled, did -- this cement on the surface string did circulate?
  - I am not able to answer that. I presume that it did.
- I note that there is a rather wide variance in the amount of cement used there, and even on wells that are nearly the same depth?
- Yes, sir; of course, there is a difference in the size of the hole that was used. Some of them used an 8 5/8 hole, and a smaller amount of cement than those with 10 3/4 inch holes that used 200 sacks of cement, so possibly this variance was the amount required to get circulation.
- But in accordance with your previous testimony, you consider there is adequate cement there to prevent fresh water --



A Yes, sir.

Q These figures of one million barrels, and seven and a half million barrels, primarily include the acreage which is there in the unit, is that correct?

A They include everything within our unit outline.

Q And I think either you or Mr. Matches stated that the expanded secondary recovery estimate was a conservative estimate in comparison with some of the success encountered to the north?

A I understand that some of the wells have recovered almost twice the primary. Of course, I think our estimates is a good one, I do feel is conservative.

MR. IRBY: That is all.

MR. CAMPBELL: I would like to offer Applicant's Exhibits
1 through 9.

MR. NUTTER: Applicant's Exhibits 1 through 9 will be admitted into evidence.

Anyone else have a question?

(No response.)

MR. NUTTER: The case will be taken under advisement, and we will recess till 9:00 o'clock tomorrow morning.



# DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO SS. COUNTY OF BERNALILLO

I. LLEWELYN NELSON, Notary Public in and for the County of Bernalillo, State of New Mexico, do hereby certify that the foregoing and attached Transcript of Proceedings before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission was reported by me in stenotype and reduced to typewritten transcript, and that the same is a true and correct record to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

WITNESS My Hand and Seal, this the 4th day of August, 1960, in the City of Albuquerque, County of Bernalillo, State of New Mexico.

Levellyn J. Helson NOTARY PUBLIC.

My Commission Expires:

June 14, 1964.

I do hereby certify that the foreiving is co Oil Conservation Commission

Inc.	PHONE CH 3-6691
G SERVICE,	
ER REPORTING S	
DEARNLEY-MEIER	
DEARN	LBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

	***	INDEX			
WIT	TNESS			PAGE	
Cro	rect Examina	ation by Mr. Campbell tion by Mr. Irby Mr. Nutter		2 12 13	
Din Cro QUE				18 25 26 29	
NUMBER	EXHIBIT	MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION	OFFERED	RECEI VED	
App.#1 #23 # #45 # #67 # #89	Map Map Map Map Map List Well Log Well Log Well Log	4 7 8 8 19 23 24 24	31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31	31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31	



# CASING PROGRAM PROPOSED INJECTION WELLS

SOUTH CAPROCK QUEEN UNIT, CHAVES COUNTY, MEN NEXTED

BEFORE EXAMINER NUTTER
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
CASE NO. 2037

#10	**	*8	27	**	<i>"</i> গী	1	<b>*</b>	7	2
Mobil #1 State H	Collier #3 Sams	Collier fl. Sams	Promest	Sinclair flu Sener	Sinclair #2 Samer	Proposed	Hodges #1 Malco Fed.	Monsento Au Erwin	Froposed
B 19 - 15 - 31	0 18 - 15 - 31	J 18 - 15 - 31	(1320 fr N. 330 fr %) A 18 - 15 - 31	N 8 = 15 = 31	L 8 - 15 - 31	(990 fr N, 450 fr E) A 7 - 15 - 31	P 6-15-31	L 5 = 15 = 31	Location S T R (990 fr N, 1980 fr W) C 5 - 15 - 31
1 Hys	1. 1441	և հիշջ	hiho est.	ь 1438	Lubbi	<u>шь50 est.</u>	1 <b>11151</b>	L W151	Ground Elevation libbo est
3125	3135	<b>3110</b>	3100	3109 3109	3102	3120	3120	3165	Total Depth
8-5/8-92-269 sx.	8-5/8-311-100 sx.	8-5/8-325-125 ex.	6-5/8-300-200 ex	18-3/4-308-200 ex.	10-3/1-311-200 soc. 7-3086-100 soc.	8-5/8-300-200 8%	8-5/8-318-125 pc.	10-3/4-323-225 st.	Surface Casing 8-5/8-300-200 ex.
5 <del>+</del> 3125-1110 m.	5 <del>1</del> -3130-100 <b>21.</b>	k <del>}</del> -3110-100 æ.	14-3100-1/D	7-3081-100 ax.	7-3086-100 ax.	kd-3120-102 sx.	5}-3120-101 ax.	52-3164-625	Pandustion Capital Ld-3115-101 sec
West and the last	and the second section of the section		<b>3</b>	<b>3076</b>	<b>3978</b>	<b>8</b>		**************************************	

# OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION P. O. BOX 87 SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

May 15, 1961

Union Oil Company of California Midland, Texas

Attention: Mr. W. D. Owens

Re: Case No. 2032, Order No. R-1729

### Centlemens

Reference is made to your letter of April 7, 1961, wherein you request administrative approval of certain changes in your initial injection well program for the South Caprock Queen Unit Waterflood. If administrative approval cannot be granted, you request a hearing of the matter.

It is our understanding that inasmuch as Tract 9, Well No. 6-5 was drilled into the gas-cap and was not an oil producer as anticipated, you wish to place it on water injection and abandon place for drilling No. 3-5, the originally planned injection well one location morth.

Further, that due to the mechanical condition of the hole, you believe it impractical to attempt completion of Tract 19, Well No. 16-6 as a veter injection well as planned, and would substitute therefor a new well, designated Tract 13, Well No. 16-A-6, which would be drilled on the same 40-acre tract but would be leested 198 feet morth of the old No. 16-6.

Insumeh as both of these modifications are within the South Copwook Unit Area, and do not affect the rights or operations of anyone outside the unit, and since they do not constitute

# OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION P. O. BOX 871 SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

-2-

substantive changes in the original plans for flooding this area as presented at the hearing, we see no necessity for a hearing.

Administrative approval is, therefore, granted for Union Oil Company to substitute Tract 9, Well No. 6-5, located 2050 feet PML and 2100 feet PML of Section 5, Township 15 South, Range 31 East as a water injection well in lieu of Tract 9, Well No. 3-5, originally approved as an injection well at a point 990 feet FML and 1980 feet FML of said Section 5.

Administrative approval is also granted to the substitution of Trast 13, Well No. 16-A-6, located 760 feet PSL and 330 feet PSL of Section 6, Township 15 South, Range 31 Rast, as a water injection well in lieu of Tract 13, Well No. 16-6, originally approved as an injection well at a point 660 feet PSL and 330 feet PSL of said Section 5.

Wery truly yours,

A. L. PORTER, Jr.

Secretary-Director

ALP/DGE/LE

Her Mr. Jack M. Campbell Recuell, Her Hexico

> Mr. J. D. Ramey Gil Conservation Commission - Mobbe, N.M.

Mr. J. E. Hapteine Sil Geneervation Commission - Santa Po. N.M.

Case Pile No. 2032

### Union Oil Company of California

MIDLAND



T R X

April 7, 1961

New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission P. O. Box 871 Santa Fe, New Mexico

Attention: Mr. Daniel S. Nutter

2031 Re: Case No. <del>3032</del>, Order No. R-1729

Dear Mr. Nutter:

Order No. R-1729, concerning Union Oil Company of California's application to institute a water flood project on its South Caprock Queen Unit, authorized ten injection wells to be located along the gas cap on the western side of the field. Subsequent developments have indicated that the requested and authorized locations for two of these wells should be changed:

Tract 9, Well No. 3-5, was a proposed well to be located 990° from NL and 1980° from WL of Section 5. We have drilled Tract 9, Well No. 6-5, located 2050° from NL and 2100° from WL of Section 5, and have encountered a gas cap because the well is structurally higher than expected and the gas cap has expanded. This well was originally scheduled to be a producer but it is now evident that it should be an injection well, and there is no need for drilling the well originally authorized.

Tract 13, Well No. 16-6, formerly the Hodges-Malco Federal Well No. 1, located in SE/4 SE/4 of Section 6, was also authorized as an injection well. Subsequent information as to the condition of this well indicates that it could not be re-entered and successfully completed. The casing was shot off several times before any was recovered, and approximately 1500 feet of shooter's line was left in the hole. The well records indicate that the plugging of this well was sufficient to prevent fluid entry from the Queen Sand. Rather than re-enter this well, we should like to drill a new injection well, Tract 13, Well No. 16-A-6, located 760° from SL and 330° from EL, which is 100° north of the old abandoned well.

Both of these changes are based on engineering factors and they will in no way adversely affect our plan of injection into the gas cap to prevent loss of oil.

We are enclosing three maps to support this request:

The Structure Map shows the structural position of Tract 9, Well No. 5-5, and the relation to the gas-oil contact.

The Plan of Operation Map shows the approved injection well location and the proposed injection well location, along with the proposed location of the new well which is to be substituted for the re-entry. This map indicates a change in the project area, because the proposed use of Tract 9, Well No. 6-5 as an injection well will be a disconal offset to the Tract 8, Well No. 10-5 well. The not effect, of course, is that the project allowable will be the same as it was before, since the proposed injection well was to have been a producer and we would have had one more well in the project area.

The map showing the Unit Well Designations has been included to indicate the proposed injection wells and the ones which have already been approved, along with the project area.

We respectfully request that we be authorized to make these changes, and shall appreciate it if it is possible to do so by administrative approval. Otherwise, we should like to have the matter scheduled for the next available hearing.

Very truly yours,

WD Owen

W. D. Owens Division Engineer

WDO:bn Enclosures (3)

cc: Mr. Jack Campbell

LAW OFFICES OF

### CAMPBELL & RUSSELL

J. P. WHITE BUILDING

JACK M. CAMPBELL JOHN F. RUSSELL ROSWELL, NEW MEXICO

July 15, 1960

TELEPHONES MAIN 2-4641 MAIN 2-4642

Mr. A. L. Porter
Secretary-Director
Oil Conservation Commission
P. O. Box 871
Santa Fe, New Mexico

Dear Mr. Porter:

You will find enclosed original and two copies of application of Union Oil Company of California in Case No. 2032, which is their application for approval of a waterflood project in the Caprock Queen Pool in their South Caprock Queen Unit.

This case is being advertised for the July 27 examiner hearing.

Very truly yours,

Jack M. Campbell

For CAMPBELL & RUSSELL

JMC:np

Enclosure

Enclosure (5) 46

### DUCKET: EXAMINER HEARING JULY 27, 1960

Oil Conservation Commission - 9 a.m., Mabry Hall, State Capitol, Santa Fe, N.M.

The following cases will be heard before Daniel S. Nutter, Examiner, or Oliver E. Payne, Attorney, as alternate Examiner:

CASE NOS. 2023 through 2033 will not be heard before 1 p.m. on July 27, 1960. CASE NOS. 2034 through 2040 will not be heard before 9 a.m. on July 28, 1960.

CASE 2017: Application of Continental Oil Company for an order authorizing an automatic custody transfer system to handle the Maljamar Pool production from its Miller "BX" lease comprising in pertinent part the E/2 of Section 14, Township 17 South, Range 32 East, Lea County, New Mexico.

CASE 2018: Application of Continental Oil Company for an order authorizing the triple completion of its Jicarilla Apache Well No. 27-2, located in the NW/4 NW/4 of Section 27, Township 25 North, Range 4 West, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico, in such a manner as to permit the production of oil from the Gallup formation, the production of oil from the Greenhorn formation and the production of oil from the Dakota formation through parallel strings of 4½ inch, 2 7/8 inch, and 4½ inch casing cemented in a common well bore. Applicant proposes to install tubing to the Gallup and the Dakota formations.

CASE 2019: Application of Continental Oil Company for an order authorizing the triple completion of its Northeast Haynes Apache Well No. 9-1, located in the NW/4 SW/4 of Section 9, Township 24 North, Range 5 West, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico, in such a manner as to permit the production of gas from the Mesaverde formation, the production of gas from the gallup formation and the production of gas from the Greenhorn formation through parallel strings of 2 7/8 inch, 4½-inch, and 4½-inch casing respectively, cemented in a common well bore. Applicant also proposes to install tubing in the latter two zones.

CASE 2020:

Application of Amerada Petroleum Corporation for an order authorizing the triple completion of its Wimberly Well No. 13, located in Unit M, Section 24, Township 25 South, Range 37

Bast, Lea County, New Mexico, in such a manner as to permit the production of gas from the Langlie Mattix Pool, the disposal of salt water into the Grayburg and San Andres formations in the interval from 3500 feet to 4200 feet, and the production of oil from the Justis-Blinebry Pool by means of two parallel strings of 3½-inch casing cemented in a common well bore. Applicant would dispose of the salt water through one string of casing, produce the Blinebry oil through 1½-inch tubing set in the second string of casing, and produce Langlie Mattix gas through the annulus of the 1½-inch tubing and the second casing string.

-2-Docket No. 21-60

CASE 2021:

Application of Shell Oil Company for authority to recomplete its State BUA Well No. 2 (formerly its Bluitt Unit Well No. 2) at an unorthodox oil well location in the Pennsylvanian formation within one mile of the Bluitt Pennsylvanian Pool. Said well is located 1980 feet from the North line and 660 feet from the West line of Section 16, Township 8 South, Range 37 East, Roosevelt County, New Mexico.

CASE 2022:

Application of Sinclair Oil & Gas Company for an order authorizing the dual completion of its Turner "B" SP Well No. 67, located in Unit L, Section 20, Township 17 South, Range 31 East, Eddy County, New Mexico, in such a manner as to permit the production of oil from the Grayburg-Jackson Pool and the production of oil from an undesignated Abo pool through parallel strings of 2-inch tubing.

The following cases will not be heard before 1 p.m. on July 27, 1960:

CASE 2023:

Application of Honolulu Oil Corporation for an order authorizing it to institute a pressure maintenance project in the Horseshoe-Gallup Oil Pool by the injection of water into the Gallup formation through its Navajo Well No. 4, located in the SE/4 SE/4 of Section 5, Township 31 North, Range 17 West, San Juan County, New Mexico; applicant further seeks the adoption of special ides governing the operation of said project.

CASE 2024:

Application of Humble Oil & Refining Company for an order authorizing it to institute a pressure maintenance project in the Horseshoe-Gallup Oil Pool by the injection of water into the Gallup formation through 29 wells located in Sections 3, 4, 9, 10, and 11, Township 31 North, Range 17 West, San Juan County, New Mexico; Applicant further seeks the adoption of special rules governing the operation of said project.

**CASE 2025:** 

Application of Socony Mobil Oil Company for permission to convert to water injection its Navajo "A" Well No. 9, located in NE/4 NW/4 of Section 14, Township 31 North, Range 17 West, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico, in conjunction with a proposed adjacent pressure maintenance project in the Horseshoe-Gallup Oil Pool.

CASE 2026:

Application of The British American Oil Producing Company for an order authorizing the "slim-hole" completion of its Fullerton Well No. 7, located 1850 feet from the South and West lines of Section 11, Township 27 North, Range 11 West, Dakota Producing Interval, San Juan County, New Mexico, utilizing 2 7/8-inch tubing as casing. -3-Docket No. 21-60

CASE 2027:

Application of Hondo Oil & Gas Company for an amendment of Order No. R-1643 to provide an alternative to the fail-safe features required in the automatic custody transfer system authorized therein for the Hondo-Western-Yates State 647 lease, Empire-Abo Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico.

CASE 2028:

Application of Pan American Petroleum Corporation for an order authorizing it to commingle the production from the Empire-Abo Pool from all wells on eight separate leases in Sections 27 and 34, Township 17 South, Range 28 East, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant also seeks authorization of an automatic sustody transfer system to handle said commingled production.

CASE 2029:

Application of Pan American Petroleum Corporation for an amendment of Order R-1399 to permit the commingling of Empire-Abo Pool production from Federal Lease No. IC-064050-A, E/2 SE/4 of Section 34 and NW/4 SW/4 of Section 35, Township 17 South, Range 27 East, with the Empire-Abo Pool production from those leases for which commingling was approved by paragraph one of said order and to permit the commingling of Empire-Abo Pool production from Federal Lease No. NM-025602, NW/4 and N/2 SW/4 of Section 15, Township 18 South, Range 27 East with the Empire-Abo Pool production from those leases for which commingling was approved by paragraph two of said order. Applicant also seeks an amendment of Order No. R-1399-A to permit production from the above-described leases in Eddy County, to be handled by the automatic custody transfer systems authorized in said order.

CASE 2030:

Application of Pan American Petroleum Corporation for permission to commingle the Empire-Abo Pool production from eleven separate State leases in Townships 17 and 18 South, Range 28 East, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant further seeks permission to install automatic custody transfer facilities to handle said commingled production.

CASE 2031:

Application of Union Oil Company of California for approval of its South Caprock Queen Unit Agreement, which unit is to embrace 9526 acres in Townships 14 and 15 South, Ranges 30 and 31 East, Caprock Queen Pool, Chaves County, New Mexico.

CASE 2032:

Application of Union Oil Company of California for an order authorizing it to institute a waterflood project in the Caprock-Queen Pool on its proposed South Caprock Queen Unit by the injection of water into the Queen formation through ten wells located in Township 15 South, Range 31 East, Chaves County, New Mexico, and for authority to drill a water injection well at an unorthodox location, being 330 feet West of the East line and 1320 feet South of the North line of Section 18, Township 15 South, Range 31 East.

-4-Docket No. 21-60

CASE 2033:

Application of Cabeen Exploration Corporation for permission to complete its State 1-K Well located 1980 feet from the South and West lines of Section 11, Township 10 South, Range 32 East, in an undesignated Permo-Pennsylvanian pool in Lea County, New Mexico as a "slim-hole" completion, using 2-7/8 inch casing.

The following cases will not be heard before 9 a.m. on July 28, 1960

CASE 2034:

Application of Gulf Oil Corporation for an order authorizing the dual completion of its J. N. Carson Well No. 6, located 330 feet from the South line and 965 feet from the East line of Section 28, Township 21 South, Range 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico, in such a manner as to permit the production of oil from the Penrose-Skelly Pool and the production of gas from the Blinebry Gas Pool through parallel strings of 2 3/8-inch tubing.

CASE 2035:

Application of Gulf Oil Corporation for an order authorizing the dual completion of its W. T. McCormack Well No. 12, located 554 feet from the North line and 1874 feet from the East line of Section 32, Township 21 South, Range 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico, in such a manner as to permit the production of oil from the Drinkard Pool and the production of oil from the Wantz-Abo Pool through parallel strings of 2 3/8-inch tubing.

CASE 2036:

Application of Charles Loveless, Jr., for the establishment of a 280-acre non-standard gas unit in the Atoka-Pennsylvanian Gas Pool consisting of the NE/4, N/2 NW/4 and SW/4 NW/4 of Section 21, Township 18 South, Range 26 East, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant proposes that said unit be dedicated to the Brunner No. 1 Dayton Townsite Well to be located on an unorthodox location at a point 1650 feet from the North line and 2310 feet from the East line of said Section 21.

**CASE 2037:** 

Application of Sun Oil Company for the creation of a new oil pool for Wolfcamp production to be designated as the Jenkins-Wolfcamp pool and to consist of Sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 9, 10 and 11 of Township 9 South, Range 34 East, Lea County, and Sections 34 and 35, Township 8 South, Range 34 East, Roosevelt County, New Mexico. Applicant further seeks the promulgation of special rules and regulations for said pool including a provision for 80-acre drilling and proration units.

CASE 2038:

Application of Benson-Montin-Greer Drilling Corporation for an order authorizing the dual completion of the Jones Well No. 1, located in Unit P, Section 17, Township 28 North, Range 13 West, San Juan County, New Mexico, in such a manner as to permit the production of oil from an undesignated Gallup Pool and the production of gas from the West Kutz-Dakota Pool through parallel strings of 12-inch OD tubing,

-5-Docket No. 21-60

CASE 2039:

Application of Southwest Production Company for approval of an unorthodox oil well location in the Gallegos-Gallup Oil Pool for its Rummel Federal Well No. 1, located 790 feet from the North line and 1190 feet from the West line of Section 36, Township 27 North, Range 12 West, San Juan County, New Mexico.

CASE 2040:

Application of Neville G. Penrose, Inc., for an order authorizing the dual completion of its Grizzel Well No. 1, located in Unit G, Section 5, Township 22 South, Range 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico, in such a manner as to permit the production of gas from the Tubb Gas Pool and the production of oil from the Drinkard Pool through the casing-tubing annulus and 2 3/8-inch tubing respectively.

August 9, 1960

Mr. Jack Campbell Now 766 Roswell, New Mexico

Dear Sir:

entered in Case No. 2032, approving the South Caprock-Queen Unit Water Flood Project.

According to our calculations, when all of the authorised injection wells have been placed on active injection, the maximum allowable which this project will be eligible to receive under the provisions of Rule 701-E-3 is 1176 barrels per day.

Please report any error in this calculated maximum allowable immediately, both to the Santa Pe office of the Commission and the appropriate District provation office.

In order that the allowable assigned to the project may be kept current, and in order that the operator may fully benefit from the allowable provisions of Rule 701, it behoves him to promptly notify both of the aforementioned Commission effices by letter of any change in the status of wells in the project area, i.e., when active injection commences, when additional injection or producing wells are drilled, when additional vells are acquired through purchase or unitimation, when wells have received a response to water injection, etc.

Nour cooperation in keeping the Commission so informed as to the status of the project and the wells therein will be

Your attention is also called to the location of

# OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION P. O. BOX 871 SANTA FE. NEW MEXICO

Mr. Jack Campbell August 9, 1960

Tract 6, Well No. 1-18, which was changed slightly from the original location proposed at the hearing. This was done in order to move the well off the quarter-quarter section line in order to attribute it to some single 40-acre tract and was moved in accordance with telephone conversation between Bill Owen of Union Oil Company of California and Dan Mutter of this office.

Very truly yours,

A. L. PORTER, Jr., Secretary-Director

### ALP/DSN/og

ec: Oil Conservation Cormission - Hobbs Oil Conservation Cormission - Artesia

### BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

### STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF UNION OIL COMPANY OF CALIFORNIA FOR AN ORDER AUTHORIZING IT TO INSTITUTE A WATERFLOOD PROJECT IN THE CAPROCK QUEEN POOL ON ITS PROPOSED SOUTH CAPROCK QUEEN UNIT BY THE INJECTION OF WATER INTO THE QUEEN FORMATION THROUGH TEN WELLS LOCATED IN TOWNSHIP 15 SOUTH, RANGE 31 EAST, CHAVES COUNTY, NEW MEXICO, AND FOR AUTHORITY TO DRILL A WATER INJECTION WELL AT AN UNORTHODOX LOCATION BEING 330 FEET WEST OF THE EAST LINE AND 1320 FEET SOUTH OF THE NORTH LINE OF SECTION 18, TOWNSHIP 15 SOUTH, RANGE 31 EAST.

Case No. 2032

### **APPLICATION**

COMES NOW Applicant, Union Oil Company of California, by its attorneys, Campbell & Russell, and states:

- 1. It is the operator under the proposed South Caprock
  Queen Unit in the Caprock Queen Pool in Township 14 South, Range 31
  East, Township 15 South, Range 31 East, and Township 15 South,
  Range 30 East, Chaves County, New Mexico.
- 2. It proposes to institute a waterflood project for secondary recovery of oil in said unit and attaches hereto a plat showing the location of ten proposed injection wells and the location of all other wells within a radius of two miles from the said injection wells. All lessecs within two miles radius are participants in the unit and their identity is indicated on the attached plat which is marked Exhibit "A".
- 3. Applicant at the hearing will have those logs which are available on the injection wells.

4. The ten proposed injection wells are located at the locations circled in orange on Exhibit "A" and the information available to Applicant as to the casing program on the injection wells is attached hereto and marked Exhibit "B".

5. It proposes to inject water into the Queen formation at an initial rate of approximately 500 barrels per day in each injection well. This injection rate is subject to change and will be more fully explained at the hearing upon this Application. Water for injection purposes will be obtained from water wells located on Section 26, Township 15 South, Range 31 East, for which Applicant owns water leases and permits for the use of water from the State Engineer of New Mexico.

6. Pursuant to Memorandum No. 5-58 it has furnished the required information to the State Engineer and a copy of this Application is being forwarded to him.

WHEREFORE, Applicant requests the Commission to set this matter down for hearing before an examiner, to publish its notice as provided by law and, after hearing, to issue its order authorizing the waterflood project as requested in the Application.

Respectfully submitted,

CAMPBELL & RUSSELL

Jack M. Campbell

Attorneys for Applicant P. O. Box 766 Roswell, New Mexico

MATED: July 11, 1960