CASE 2138: Application of SKELLY to commingle production from wells on its BAKER "B" LEASE.

Applietion, Transcript,
Smill Exhibits, Etc.

RSM/esr December 13 BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

pm 12/13

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE No. 2138
Order No. R- /842

APPLICATION OF SKELLY OIL COMPANY FOR PERMISSION TO COMMINGLE THE PRODUCTION FROM TWO SEPARATE POOLS, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

10,000

ORDER OF

ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

### BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 o'clock a.m. on December 12, 1960, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Elvis A. Utz ... Examiner duly appointed by the Oil Conservation Commission of New Mexico, hereinafter referred to as the "Commission," in accordance with Rule 1214 of the Commission Rules and Regulations.

NOW, on this day of December, 1960, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the application, the evidence adduced, and the recommendations of the Examiner, Elvis A. Utz ... and being fully advised in the premises,

### FINDS:

- (1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.
- (2) That the applicant, Skelly Oil Company, is the owner and operator of the Baker "B" Lease, consisting of the SW/4 and the W/2 SE/4 of Section 10, Township 22 South, Range 37 East, NMPM. Lea County, New Mexico.
- (3) That the applicant seeks permission to commingle, without separate measurement, the production from the Penrose Skelly Pool with the production from the Drinkard Pool from all wells presently completed on the above-described Baker "B" Lease.
- (4) That inasmuch as no well on the subject lease is capable of making top, allowable from either pool, separate measurement of the production from each pool should not be required.

(5) That approval of the subject application will neither cause waste nor impair correlative rights.

### IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

That the applicant, Skelly Oil Company, be and the same is hereby authorized to commingle, without separately measuring, the production from the Penrose Skelly Pool with the production from the Drinkard Pool from all wells presently completed on the Baker "B" Lease, consisting of the SW/4 and the W/2 SE/4 of Section 10, Township 22 South, Range 37 East, NMPM, Lea County, New Mexico.

become capable of producing a top allowable from either pool, the applicant shall separately measure the production from both such pools and notify the Commission's Santa Fe Office of such action.

PROVIDED FURTHER, That the applicant shall install adequate facilities to permit the testing of all wells on the said Baker "B" Lease at least once each month to determine the individual production from each well.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.



# SKELLY OIL COMPANY HAIN OFFICE OCC

TULSA 2.OKLAHOMA

PRODUCTION DEPARTMENT
C. L. BLACKSHER, VICE PRESIDENT
W. P. WHITMORE, GENERAL MANAGER

November 14, 11980: 13

Case 2138

New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission P. O. Box 871 Santa Fe, New Mexico

Gentlemen:

Attached are original and three copies of Application for an Exception to Rule 303 to permit commingling of production on our Baker "B" Lease from the Penrose Skelly Oil Pool and the Drinkard Oil Pool.

Please set this matter down for hearing at your earliest convenience.

Very truly yours,

GWS: br

Attach. 4

De Maried 60 12 SM

Case 2138

COMPANY FOR AN EXCEPTION OIL.

COMPANY FOR AN EXCEPTION TO

NUTE 303 TO FEBRIT COMMUNICATION
OF CRUDES FROM THE ENBOSE

SKELLY OIL FOOL AND FROM THE

DECKARD OIL FOOL ON APPLICANT'S BAKER "B" IFASE.

TO: THE HOMOPARTE OIL CONSERVATION CONSESSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

Comes now the applicant, Shally Cil Campany, and respectfully alleges and states as follows:

- A. That it is the owner and operator of its Maker "B" lease, which consists of the SM/A and the M/2 of SM/A of Section 10, Econship 22 South, Range 37 Mash, Lea County, New Mados.
- 2. That there is no diversity of royally omership underlying the above described lesse.
- 3. That the applicant has three wells load, 2, and 3 completed in the Penrose Ekelly cil youl and five wells No. 6, 7, 8, 9, and 11 completed in the Drinkand cil pool.

1. That applicant proposes to commingle production from walls No. 1, 2, and 3, which are capable of producing approximately 79/EOPD from the Penroso Sketly only pool into common tankage with cells No. 6, 7, 6, 9, and 11, which are capable of producing approximately 57/20FD from the Deinkard oil pool.

7. In their adequate testing facilities will be installed to enable accurate will testing, thereby, enabling accurate production records by pools to be maintained.

6. Attached hereto merked EXHERY "A" and made a part hereof by reference is a plat which shows the locations of applicant's Boker "B" leass well.

locations, flow lines, and tent bettery locations, and offset operators.

7. That abbached hereto marked EXHIBIT "B" and made a part hereof by reference is a diagrammatic sketch, which shows the proposed method of testing and storage of the production produced.

MARGEFORE, applicant prays that this application be set for hearing, that notice be given as required by law, and that upon the evidence adduced the Considerion issue an order permitting applicant to commingle its production from the Penrose Skelly and Drinkard oil pool underlying its Baker "B" lease, Lea County, New Mexico, as more fully set out in this application.

SKELLE OUL COPPANY

Leagen Leen

without superalely measuring

Walsh

		T	GUI F
	MOBIL	#45	
MOBIL			0.35
		and the second s	
012 03		02	02
i i	019 015	1	
07		04	05
	0.5	0.5	1
		Brusch	Paves
- <b>\</b>	Brunson   O		AMERINA
Brunson	SKELLY	010	1
RUMBLA	DO 012	۰	
	V <b>Q•</b>	2	01
	003 013 PAZ	77	
	P503 013		
		• 1	·
Oil	XI.	1	
1			
		1811	0.4
	3/	0.4	0.2
	P5/	- ··•	
01			
	008		
	0.14		Baker'
	Baker "B"	r, r, cc	AL & OTL
Greenwood	T. P. COAL & OII	03	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
GULF	0.5	<b>~</b>	
			0.2
1	02 04	Oı	
	01		<u>,                                     </u>
	<u> </u>	•	1
01	07		
į .	Maiden	F ( C(111/1))	7
	UNITOSE ET AL.	AMBASSADOR	
	MINROOD IN		
· •			
anta <b>l</b> eta araba		01	
0.5	01 0302	1000	
<b>32</b>	0.5		į į
	1	1	BUL F-15
	11 9 3 3 5	5 - B1-B-15	
Cole State	Walden		hittery of
Do Boddook	······································	TORRAY	if Mon Pines
O Penrose Skill	) yr i ceirig a chair	0	(a)   William   1.1.0.43
O Drinkard			Chr. 2138
<i>O</i>			( na

SKELLY OIL COMPANY BAKER'B' LEASE SECTION 10-T225-R37E LEA COUNTY NEW MEXICO EXHIBIT \_B\_ 500 BBL 500 BBL STOCK TANK STOCK TANK SETTLING TANK HEATER 10.8 are. 1,47/AVE SEPARATO UTZ 222 PENROSE SKELLY WELLS 1 2 BEFORE EXAMINER UTZ LIL CC NSERVATION - MAINSON Trilly EXTILITY NO. 13. CASE NO.\_

### SKELLY OIL COMPANY

BAKER "B" LEASE SECTION 10-T225-R37E LEA COUNTY NEW MEXICO

## BEFORE EXAMINER UTZ

CIL CONTERVATION JAMISSION
Skully EXHIBIT INJ. A
CASE NO. 2/38

O DRINKARD

EXHIBIT \_\_A\_\_

---- PROPOSED FLOW LINES

<del></del>				
MOBIL	MOBIL		GULF	GULF
012 03			***	• 3
07	9 <b>0 19</b>	015	, o <b>2</b>	02
			04	
		∘ 5	۰ 5	O 5
BRUNSON	BRUNSON		BRUNSON	EAVES
HUMBLE	To surplying Geographic Asy, a has complicated interpretable improvables pertablished to the committee and conf	SKELLY		AMERADA
	Ó.	21080	0 10	
a de la companya de	0.3 013	معم	07	0 1
011		$\times$		
	V A			
	out !	***************************************		
,		معتبت	2	
	/			0.1
υı	4.1-	o.5	۰4	O 4 。 <b>2</b>
	06			
	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
GREENWOOD		BAKER B"		BAKER
GULF	T. P. COAL	& OIL	T. P. CO.	
1		0.5	<b>∘.3</b>	0 4
. *	<i>0</i> 1	02 04	O I	. <b>2</b>
			•	<b>~</b>
01	07			
	WALDEN			
	PENROSE ET.	AL.	AMBASSADOR	ar ar
		ľ		
05	01	03 02	01	
			0 (	
	O 5			
COLE STATE	WALDEN	 	ELL-8-15	ELL-8-15
PADDOCK			TANK BA	TTERY
O PENROSE SK	ELLY		PRESENT	FLOW LINES

-2-Docket No. 36--60

CASE 2145:

Application of Oil Development Company of Texas for off-lease storage of oil. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order authorizing it to store the East Crossroads-Devonian production from its Santa Fe Pacific Railroad Lease (S/2 SW/4 of Section 19, Township 9 South, Range 37 East) in a separate tank battery to be located on its Santa Fe Pacific Railroad Lease, Crossroads-Devonian Pool (NE/4 of Section 26, Township 9 South, Range 36 East) both in Lea County, New Mexico.

CASE 2116:

Application of Humble Oil & Refining Company for an oil-oil dual completion. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order authorizing the dual completion of its D. H. Crockett Well #1, located in Unit C, Section 21, Township 15 South, Range 36 East, Lea County, New Mexico, in such a manner as to permit the production of oil from the Caudill-Wolfcamp Pool and the production of oil from the Caudill-Devonian Pool through the annulus between strings of 5½-inch casing and 2½-inch tubing and through 2½-inch tubing, respectively.

### DOCKET: EXAMINER HEARING, MONDAY, DECEMBER 12, 1960

### Oil Conservation Commission - 9 a.m., STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING, SANTA FE, NM

The following cases will be heard before Elvis A. Utz, Examiner, or Oliver E. Payne, attorney, as alternate examiner:

- CASE 2136: Application of Byard Bennett for a non-standard gas proration unit and for an unorthodox gas well location. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the establishment of an 80-acre non-standard gas proration unit in the Jalmat Gas Pool consisting of the E/2 NW/4 of Section 24, Township 25 South, Range 36 East, Lea County, New Mexico, said unit to be dedicated to the Ascarte-Federal Well No. 1, located at an unorthodox location at a point 330 feet from the North line and 2310 feet from the West line of
- CASE 2137: Application of Caulkins Oil Company for a non-standard gas proration unit. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the establishment of a 320-acre non-standard gas proration unit in the Basin-Dakota Pool, San Juan and Rio Arriba Counties, New Mexico, comprising the SE/4, S/2 NE/4 and S/2 SW/4 of Section 16, Township 26 North, Range 6 West. Said unit is to be dedicated to the D-268 well located in the SE/4 NE/4 of said Section 16.

said Section 24;

- CASE 2138: Application of Skelly Oil Company for permission to commingle the production from two separate pools. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks permission to commingle without separately measuring the production from the Penrose Skelly and Drinkard Pools from all wells presently completed on its Baker "B" Lease consisting of the SW/4 and the W/2 SE/4 of Section 10, Township 22 South, Range 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico.
- CASE 2139: Application of Cosden Petroleum Corporation for the promulgation of special rules and regulations governing the South Prairie-Pennsylvanian Pool, Roosevelt County, New Mexico, including a provision for 80-acre oil proration units.
- CASE 2140: Application of Humble Oil & Refining Company for approval of the North Kirtland Unit Agreement. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of the North Kirtland Unit Agreement, which unit embraces 11,478 acres of Federal and State land in Township 30 North, Range 14 West, San Juan, New Mexico.
- CASE 2141: Application of Honolulu Oil Corporation for approval of a unit agreement. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of its McKittrick Canyon Unit Agreement, which unit is to embrace 6708 acres of Federal, State and fee lands in Township 22 South, Ranges 25 and 26 East, Eddy County, New Mexico.

Case 2/38 Leard. 12 1260 (Cec. 12-15-60 to coomingle Penrose Skelly port and the Drinkand Pool on its Baker "B" lease without melenguntil such time as any well from either Pool shall be come non-marginal. In such a event the port that be come AM. Shall be mettel. Set up ceaud 30 well teste to deltermine pro ducing suspainty of wells. 3 all interest are the same pools. Thurste Wy

# GOVERNOR JOHN BURROUGHS CHAIRMAN

# State of Mew Wexico Gil Conservation Commission

LAND COMMISSIONER MURRAY E. MORGAN MEMBER



STATE GEOLOGIST A. L. PORTER, JR. SECRETARY DIRECTOR

P. O. BOX 871

December 21, 1960

Mr. Charlie White Gilbert, White & Gilbert Box 787 Santa Pe, New Mexico

Re:

Case No. 2138

Order No. R-1842

Applicant:

Skelly Oil Company

Dear Sir:

Enclosed herewith are two copies of the above-referenced Commission order recently entered in the subject case.

Very truly yours,

A. L. PORTER, Jr., Secretary-Director

ir/

Carbon copy of order also sent to:

Hobbs OCC Artesia OCC Aztec OCC

Other

### BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

> CASE No. 2138 Order No. R-1842

APPLICATION OF SKELLY OIL COMPANY FOR PERMISSION TO COMMINGLE THE PRODUCTION FROM TWO SEPARATE POOLS, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

### ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

### BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 o'clock a.m. on December 12, 1960, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Elvis A. Utz, Examiner duly appointed by the Oil Conservation Commission of New Mexico, hereinafter referred to as the "Commission," in accordance with Rule 1214 of the Commission Rules and Regulations.

NOW, on this 21st day of December, 1960, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the application, the evidence adduced, and the recommendations of the Examiner, Elvis A. Utz, and being fully advised in the premises,

### FINDS:

- (1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.
- (2) That the applicant, Skelly Oil Company, is the owner and operator of the Baker "B" Lease, consisting of the SW/4 and the W/2 SE/4 of Section 10, Township 22 South, Range 37 East, MMPM, Lea County, New Mexico.
- (3) That the applicant seeks permission to commingle, without separate measurement, the production from the Penrose Skelly Pool with the production from the Drinkard Pool from all wells presently completed on the above-described Baker "B" Lease.
- (4) That inasmuch as no well on the subject lease is capable of making top unit allowable from either pool, separate measurement of the production from each pool should not be required.
- (5) That approval of the subject application will neither cause waste nor impair correlative rights.

-2-CASE No. 2138 Order No. R-1842

### IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

That the applicant, Skelly Oil Company, be and the same is hereby authorized to commingle, without separately measuring, the production from the Penrose Skelly Pool with the production from the Drinkard Pool from all wells presently completed on the Baker "B" Lease, consisting of the SW/4 and the W/2 SE/4 of Section 10, Township 22 South, Range 37 East, NMPM, Let County, New Mexico.

PROVIDED HOWEVER, That should any well on the subject lease become capable of producing a top unit allowable from either pool, the applicant shall separately measure the production from each pool and shall notify the Commission's Santa Fe Office of such action.

PROVIDED FURTHER, That the applicant shall install adequate facilities to permit the testing of all wells on the said Baker "B" Lease at least once each month to determine the individual production from each well.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

> STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

JOHN BURROUGHS, Chairman

MURRAY B. MORGAN, Member

A. L. PORTER, Jr., Member & Secretary

# BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO DECEMBER 12, 1960

IN THE MATTER OF:

CASE 2138 Application of Skelly Oil Company for permission:
to commingle the production from two separate
pools. Applicant, in the above-styled cause,
seeks permission to commingle without separately:
measuring the production from the Penrose Skelly:
and Drinkard Pools from all wells presently completed on its Baker "B" Lesse consisting of the
SW/4 and the W/2 SE/4 of Section 10, Township 22:
South, Range 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico.

BEF ORE:

Elvis A. Utz, Examiner.

### TRAMSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

MR. UTZ: Case 2138.

MR. MOORE: Case 2138. Application of Skelly Oil Company for permission to commingle the production from two separate pools.

MR. WHITE: Charles White of Gilbert, White & Gilbert of Santa Fe, appearing on behalf of the applicant. We have one witness, Mr. Examiner.

MR. UTZ: Any other appearances in this case? (No response)
You may proceed.

(Witness sworn)

ARTHUR BAUMGARDNER,

called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, testified as



# DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

follows:

### DIRECT EXAMINATION

### BY MR. WHITE:

- Q Will you state your full name?
- A Arthur Baumgardner. B-a-u-m-g-a-r-d-n-e-r.
- Q By whom are your employed and in what capacity?
- A. By Skelly Oil Company as petroleum engineer, Hobbs District.
  - Q Are you familiar with the subject application?
  - A Yes, sir, I am.
- Q Have you previously testified as an expert before the Commission or before one of its Examiners?
  - A Yes, sir, I have.
  - Q Have your qualifications been accepted?
  - A Yes, sir.

MR. WHITE: Are the witness's qualifications acceptable?
MR. UTZ: Yes, sir.

- Q (By Mr. White) What does Skelly propose by this application, Mr. Baumgardner?
- A They propose to commingle Penrose Skelly with the Drinkard in the Baker "B" Lease, located in the southwest quarter and the west half of the southeast quarter of Section 10, Township 22 South, Range 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico.

(Whereupon, Applicant's Exhibit A marked for identification)



OH4

I, NEW MEXICO

Q Refer to what has been marked Exhibit A and explain to the Examiner, what that shows please.

A Exhibit A is a map of the area involved, namely, the Baker "B" Lease. It shows the present tank battery, the present flow lines, the proposed flow lines, the offset operator and the offset operator's well.

Q Which is the present tank battery which you expect to dispose of?

A The tank battery on the left, presently serving wells 1, 2, and 3.

Q Then, the dotted lines are your proposed lines?

A Yes, sir, to transport the oil from the Penrose well the Drinkard tank battery on the right. This will be to the Penrose Skelly and then to the Drinkard on the right.

(Whereupon, Applicant's Exhibit B marked for identification)

Q Will you refer to what has been marked Exhibit B and explain that, please?

A Exhibit B is the tank battery, proposed tank battery to serve both the Drinkard and Penrose Skelly wells. It is the present Drinkard tank battery with the addition of Penrose Skelly separator and necessary to permit testing of the separate pay zones at regular intervals. The Penrose Skelly wells proposed on the left of the diagonal go into a separate separator and is commingled or separated in the tank on the left for test purposes.



The Drinkard wells will go through the separator treater heater and either commingled or separated in the tank on the right for testing purposes.

- How often do you contemplate taking these tests of each Q well?
- A minimum of once a month. More often than deemed ad-Α visable.
  - Will this be manually operated? Q
  - Α Yes sir, it is.
  - What are the crude characteristics? Q
- The Penrose Skelly in this area has gravity of 34 percent, Α it's classified as sour crude. The Drinkard has a gravity of 38.5 and also classified as sour crude.
  - Are these wells producing? Q
- Well, No. 1, 2 and 3 for the month of October averaged 1.47 barrels of oil per day per well, making a total for the three wells of approximately four and a half barrels. Well No. 3 --
  - Per month? Q
- Per day, yes, sir. Wells No. 6, 7 and 9 and 11 averaged 10.8 barrels of oil per day for the month of October. The five wells produced approximately 65 barrels of oil per day.
- Will the commingling of these crudes increase or decrease the price?
- Increase the price in that the 34 gravity will be brought Α up to 48 gravity; 38 crude, and increase it to 54 a day.



Are the working interests throughout the lease? Q

A They are.

And royalty also? Q.

A Yes.

What economic advantages would be obtained?

The Penrose Skelly tank battery is presently needing replacement, and we have estimated that we can commingle these at cheaper costs than replacing the present tank battery and also the additional well and reduce the operating expense of the extra tank battery by now commingling.

It is your opinion this application, if granted, would be in the interest of conservation?

If it were granted it would reduce the whole well time from approximately twenty days to approximately eight days, and it takes approximately eight days to fill a one hundred barrel tank and by commingling we can reduce this to approximately eight days.

Will correlative rights be adequately protected?

Yes, sir, they will.

Were these Exhibits prepared by you or under your direction?

Yes, they were.

MR. WHITE: We offer A and B at this time.

MR. UTZ: Without objection, they will be entered.

(Whereupon, Applicant's Exhibits A & B were received in evidence.)



LBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXI

1 9

CH 3-669

MR. WHITE: That is all we have on direct.

MR. UTZ: Any questions of the witness?

### CROSS-EXAMINATION

### BY MR. PAYNE:

- You gave the average production per well in each of those Is there any single well in either of the pools that is capable of producing to that unit allowable?
  - No, sir. I can read these off if you like.
  - All right.
- Well No. 1, this is for the average for the month of Octbber. Well No. 1, 1.35. Well No. 2, 1.35, 3, 1.77, Well No. 6 which is 7.55. Well No. 7, 7.87. Well No. 8, 4.19. Well No. 9, 4.87 and No. 11, 27.52.
- Does Skelly have any plans to drill additional Drinkard or Penrose Skelly wells on this acreage?
  - Not at the present time.
  - Did you have any plans to rework any of these wells?
  - No, sir. Α
- In the event that there was additional drilling or the existing wells were reworked and if it came in and the wells were capable of producing to that unit allowable would Skelly separate the production from each pool?
  - Yes, sir.
- (By Mr. Utz) Did I understand you to say both the royalty and working interest were identical in both pools?



	3-6691
Inc.	PHONE CH
RVICE,	
ING SE	
PEPART	NEI OIG
	DEARNLEY-MEIER ACIONALIA PROPERTIES

- Yes, sir. Are these sweet or sour crudes? Q
- Classified as sour crude. Α
- Both are sour? Q
- Yes, sir.

MR. UTZ: Any other questions? (No response)

(Witness excused)

MR. UTZ: The case will be taken under advisement.



ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

STATE OF MEW MEXICO ) COUNTY OF BERNALILLO )

I, LLEWELYN NELSON, Court Reporter, in and for the County of Bernalillo, State of New Mexico, do hereby certify that the foregoing and attached Transcript of Proceedings before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission was reported by me in machine shorthand and reduced to typewritten transcript under my personal supervision, and that the same is a true and correct record to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

WITMESS my Hand and Seal this, the 16 day of Cec 1960, in the City of Albuquerque, County of Bernalillo, State of New Mexico.

NOTARY PUBLIC

nmission

My Commission expires: June 14, 1964

> I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complore record of the proceedings in the Existent hearing of Case No. 2/3 5 Examiner New Mexico Oil Conservation

