CASE 2164: Application of HUDSON & HUBSON for exception to Rule 506 (A) by increasing the limiting gas-oil ratie for West Tonto-Yates Seven Rvs.

STATES BARRAN MARKEN STATES

日本後に

-265

50 Replication, Transcript, Replication, Transcript, Smill Exhibits, Etc.



ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 o'clock a.m. on January 25, 1961, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before <u>Elvis A. Utz</u>, Examiner duly appointed by the Oil Conservation Commission of New Mexico, hereinafter referred to as the "Commission," in accordance with Rule 1214 of the Commission Rules and Regulations.

NOW, on this <u>day of February</u>, 1961, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the application, the evidence adduced, and the recommendations of the Examiner, <u>Elvis A.</u> <u>Utz</u>, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That the applicant, Hudson and Hudson, seeks an exception to Rule 506 (A) by increasing the limiting gas-oil ratio for the West Tonto Yates-Seven Rivers Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, from 2,000 to 6,000 cubic feet of gas per barrel of oil, and further seeks permission to shut-in its Federal 18 Well No. 3 producing from said pool and transfer its allowable to its Federal 18 Well No. 2.

(3) That, inasmuch as the West-Tonto Yates-Seven Rivers Pool is an associated oil-gas reservoir, approval of the subject would application application the in the best interests of conservation.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

That the subject application is hereby denied.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

The second se

 			WELL 1129118		AUGIU (YAIKI-SEVEN RIVIDS) POOL	POOL		
							GAS ANALYSES	
WELL	DATE	BBLS.OIL	HRS.	00 9	PRODUCTING MR TROD	DATE	ALL UNDER CITAL	
Federal 18 #1	7/26/60	22	74				NA LEVUAN	<u></u>
	8/2/60	84	24	3,814 1.270	Cont Linious	7/15/60	37.58	
	8/5/60 8/2/60	5 5 9	20	3,240	-			
Pederal 18 47	1 MM 100	•		1	The Cut Cat Cat.	8/06/60	8.55	1410
	8/28/60	57	2.3	230	Cont.innous Internitter	8/19/60	12.95	1515
Pederal 18 #3	7/25/60 9/8/60	23 60	24 3.7	17,516 4,210	Cont/innous Internétter	8/30/60	35.39	861
Pederal 18 #4	11/18/60		· · · · · ·	872	June			-
Federal 18 #7	11/26/60	50	Ģ.	205	Intermitter			

Exhibit #9

VILLIAM A. & EDWARD R. HUDBON - VINT TOWID (Y-SR) FOOL

VELL DATA

NET Federal 18 #1 Federal 18 Mt Pederal 18 #3 Pederal 18 #2 Federal 18 37 COMPLETION DATE 5/23/60 6/13/60 6/20/60 8/20/60 10/17/60 3280 DEFTE 3349 3283 3280 3290 P 40 30/5 hrs. 23/64" ch. 27 30 + 14 34/24 hrs. os pemp. 7 153 30/16 hrs. 22/64" ch. 7 40 30/15 hrs. 20/64" ch. 7 203 30/24 hrs. 10/16" sh. INITIAL. POTENTIAL CASTING SA 3280 3280 3349 3283 3290 PERPORATED INTERVALS 3259-63 3250-54 3266-70 3247-49 3252-56 3282-85 3287-90 3293-3300 3305-10 3268-80 500 gals. acid, then 2,000 gals. in Aug. 1960. 6,000 gals. acid in Dec. 1960. None. 300 gals. acid. 500 gals. acid. TREATER 300 gals. acid.

Ex6.'5,4 #2

	Pederal 18 17	Tederal 18	Pederal 18 #3	Pederal 18 #2	Federal 18 #1	VRLL	
	10/17/60	8/20/60	6/20/60	6/13/60	5/23/60	DATE	
	3 290	3349	3283	3280	3280	TOTAL. DEPTH	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	l' 203 30/24 hrs. 10/16" ch.	27 30 + 14 34/24 hrs. on pump.	F 40 20/5 hrs. 23/64" ch.	P 153 30/16 hrs. 22/64" ch.	7 40 30/14 hrs. 20/64" ch.	INITIAL Potswiial	VINI LIAN
۵. • ۲. میں ۳۰۰ میں •	300	3388 1	3283 3283	3280	3280	S}"	
CASE NO	3268-80	3282-85 3287-90 3293-3300 3305-10	3250-54 3266-70	3247-49 3252-56	3259-63	PERPORATED INTERVALS	
BEFORE EXAMINER UTZ	300 gala. acid.	500 gals. acid, then 2,000 gals. im Aug. 1960. 6,000 gals. acid in Dec. 1960.	Soq gala. acid.	None.	300 gals. acid.		

s es

WILLIAM A. & RUWARD R. HUDSON - WINT TOWYO (Y-SE) POOL

Exhibit *2

Col	:	LOCONON	Odessa, Texa	Sompler a Ide	n)
Sample chChight	lat 11 Gas, Sin	dealgested. El	ald, Takes &	Seven Rivers, Mr. A.I	d Hedson Company
moved from 10110	()()-1) Pada			and a second s and a second s	۲۰۰ میں میں میں ایک کی ایک کی کار ایک کی کار میں کا
mation AA IN A P		19-33 ·	County 1	State	Her Harico
mpere Birrang	na Tana 70	*F. Pras	Secured by	L. T. Q'le11 Hos / 1q. in.; Bols cit	dov
etume/day		Weather	conditions of hme	or sampring	
ald Gas Ansauro		PSIGe Line Pressure		PSIG.	
		Chrome.	Anal	nus 14.65 PSI at 60°F	
	Mai. %	Liq %			.839
arben Dioxide				Fropone Calc. G.P.M. Iso-Butane Calc. G.P.M.	166
			·	Nor-Butone Calc. G.P.M.	.353
iihegen ydrogen Sulfide				Pentaner Calc. G.P.M.	. 589
Actofice Sounds		•		Test Car (Date)	.705
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	BTU/cu.ft.W.B	• /07 27
lettere	\$0,67			Calc. Specific Gravity Calc. A.P.I. © 60°F	
hune	<u>S.14</u>			Observed A P.I. Av	· · · · · · ·
ingene - Automo					
	111			H2S + CO2 by areat	0.30
			and a second	H25 grains/100 cu. ft.	267
-Pentens		·	- 	Mercaptune ge/100 cu. ft	
er-Pentone			بدر فمساف والاستها	Calc. Vap. Press. # /sq. in.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			·	Reid Vop. Press.#/sq. in.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
uptones Mut		· · ·		Cu. ft. gas/Gal. Liq.	an a management
	190.00	100.00		Calc. Gasoline Factors	
			-		
A Bhom		· · · · · ·	Magn.	26-70 Gasoline Excess Butone	0
n by		Celculated by		Excess Proporte	<u>v.</u> 0.
heched by Reger	•	Approved by		Excess Ethane & lighter	0.
•					1.0000
	A .			Distribution	
dditional Date and Rom Tutto Props. 34	oru:				
The True AL					•
Commission 7					
Chaine 1/8"					
Tie. The Press	900/ . 90/				
ALL Omerity 30	0				
Malla 243 abut	in during to				
Nore. 678				an annan agus a' an t-anna, a' t-	
			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	and the second				

, 4

No. Fractional Mailletion Analysis Results Summary Run No. 40 1-11-DATE OF RUN Petroleum Analytical Laboratory Service, Inc Date Secured 1711 East 8th Street ODESSA, TEXAS Ph. FE 2-4767 WILLIAMS EDWARDS HUDSON FEDERAL 38 41 L. M. Ward 8-30-60 Ralph L. Gray 201 Carper Bldg. Artesla, N. Meured by A Sample of -Date 1 2.00 Secured from _ Time At. Temp. 75 Sampling conditions Press. 254 FRACTIONAL DISTILLATION Percentage Composition 60 119. % MOL% Volume Sample Vol. distilled, % 0.00 Vol. of residue, % -100.00 Recovery, % -1.48 . 142 Gole. 6p. Gr.-Carbon Dioxide Lb./Sq. In. Calc. A.P.I.-Air 1.5 Calc. Vapor Press.-Nitrogen ---Liquid Content 1.82 (960 878.) Oxygen . _ - -G.P.J Hydrogen sulf. Method Hydrogen --Based on Analysis (Butanes) 1.1 \$0.16 Based on Analysis (Pentanes & Heavier) 4.187 Methone 18.75 Based on Analysis (Propanes) 16.03 Ethane Propane -Heating Value British Thermal Units Per Butanes - -6.76 Cu. FL. ho-Butane - --1.07 at 14.65 p.s.i. N-Butane ---at 60 °F Dry Basis Wet Basis Pentanes ----0.92 Gal. Iso-Pentane - -1385 1410 0.71 Method N-Pentone Hexanes plas Based on % Composition 1.05 Heptones. --Approved by 25 100.00 TOTAL _ Checked by T.M. Simpeon Run by Additional Data and Remarks 5 Exhibi 435

PALS	Petroleum		ratory Service, Inc	Date Secured	8-31-60
		1711 East 8th St			
		ODESSA, TEX	(AS		<i>.</i>
		Ph. FE 2-476	57		
-	WILLIAME EDW	ABDE HUDSON	FEDERAL \$3		
A Sample of	WILLIAMS LD.		L. H. Wat		
Secured from	201 Carper BM	. Artesia, N.M.	Secured by L. H. Was Time 10:45 am	Date 8-31	
At	Temp. 78				
Sampling conditi	Press. 25			2	
				- 4 ^{°°}	
		TONAL	DISTILLATION	:	
	Percentage (Composition			24 PT
		L1Q. %	-		
-	MOL%	LIQ. %	Volume Sample	834 100. c.c. a	60 · F.
<u>.</u> .			Vol. distilled, %		
			Vol. of residue, % - 10		
Carbon Dioxid	0.61		Recovery, %		
Air			Colc. A.T.I.		Lb./Sq. In.
Nitrogen Oxygen			Calc. Vapor Press	Liquid Content	
Hydrogen sulf	0.39			Liquio Conscie	G.P.M.
Hydrogen	50.67		Method Based on Analysis (B	utanes)	
Methane Ethane	<u> </u>		Durand on Angivels ("	GUICHAR OF LISES	1.025
Propone	3.68		Based on Analysis (P	(obques)	
Butanes iso-Butane _	9.67			Heating Value British The	rmai Units Per
N-Butane	1.87			C	u. Ft. 1.65 p.s.i.
Pentanes	0.46			2	60 °F
Iso-Pentane	0.41 -		Method	Gal. Dry	Basis Wet Basis
N-Pentane Hexanes	1.22		- Based on % Composi	ition	
Hept anes.					
1				(1
TOTAL	100.00			1h	danger-
		Checked	by Apr	proved by	
Run	by T. M. Sings	~			
		Additio	onal Data and Remarks		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	·····				
				Exh	bit
			and a second		

FIELD UNUES	BINO 3		Petroleum Reserv	
COUNT		DATE 6-19	-60 FILE N	10 WP=3=140K
LOCATION 19801 PRA	L SPC 10-10 22	FORMATION VATE	S ELEV	ROOME
1		TEMARKS SAUDI	ED CORES	DTAMOND I
	COMPLE	TION FORMATION VATE	IL DIRECTED PY	CLIENT
•	COMPLE	TION COREG		
,	These and your approach or interprotections are based	UUNEU	AAAA	
	and fore laboratorial ing and in prototy pay or opinion benetion or profileblances of one of get or under a	an adversations and mater at supprior by the stant is when I appointed representate her subgravity (and stant is when about the second second builty and material (also is the origin)	and for whose parties of and and	
SAND		ten at tend in (pangerige with ab it terth repert i	(Deady and a to the Prederical Press	
SHALE		GLOMERATE	CHERT	
	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	OOLITES 00000		ANHYDRITE
F:Fractured L:Laminated FB; MB;	ARACTERISTICS			
	Stylolitic V	Vuggy D Oil W: Water C O	Ongeries 1	
	RADILITY MD		s T Transitional	TOTAL MATER O
HORI	ZONTAL OF DORE SA	CE V COMEADILITO-O	POROSITY XX	75 50 2
			PERCENT	BIL SATTOATION
			20 10	25 50 75
. WHOR	CORE ANALYSIS			
				[╋] ╪╪ ┊╏┼┼┨╏ ┥┥┥┥
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			3220	
			57	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
			1. Ezz	[┥] ┽ ╂┼┤┤┟╂┽┽┽┥╂┆Ҭ
				┊ <u>╴</u>
SHOW		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3225	┼┼╀╿╕┇┫╧╧┦╂┾┼┼
				·· ·
				┊
			3230	; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;
3233.0-34.5 <0.1	(n.1 4.7 6. 1 1.9 A		. E7	
24.2-52.8 11	3.2 10.3 3.0 31 d			╶<u>╊</u>╡┥┥<u>┥</u>┫┥┽┥┧┫┥┧┥╶
235.8-37.2 -0.4	3.2	and the second s		
37-2-30 0 10	7.1 7.3 7.2 45.6 PT 5.7 8.2 7.3 37.7 PT		3235	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

	∳ 2 − − 2	Ì	i	İ		-						• • • • •		马马				
	Î.		ţ		1	1]								.		••••	
			l service and a			la se sere	.]	ىدىي يىر الى مەربى			l dan suga			747	4		• • • • •	
							ļ .		4	4		4		3245	- 		↓ • • • • •	╅┽┽╃
	3245.0-66.5	<0.1	<0.1	4.8	6.4	62.5	TV			1	•			177	11	.0		
						ļ	$\left \right $.]			
							-			11	• • • •	l					1.2.1	
	3247.5-49.0	1.4			13.5		1		مسهنه						\mathbf{R}^{\cdot}	••••	l Et	****
	49.0-50.5				11.7			PKC.	┿╪┽╍╌		• • • • •	+++•	╏┯╧┯╧	3250		<u></u> ┫┥╺┤┥	╎┤╋┥┥	╉┼┼┿╡
	50.5-52.0				n.5	77.1	F .A.		1	3	4		•••	H			Q	****
	3252.0-53.3	+1.7 288	*1.3 .26		14.2	 	l	L		┫┥┲╤┲		• • • • • •		++++				₽ ┼ ┦ ᢪ ┆
10		0,4			r						4 4 1 2	••••	n ,	EZ.				† /++ †
n	51.7-56.0	-	<0.1	دينه . م ک	2.3	A9.7		h		The		1	1. 4.	3255	N I		┝╄╉╋╋	
n		0,5	0.3		-304	┝┝┙┯╴┩	†		*** * *	†	**** **	****	· ¥ .	+ 3255	F AL			###
1 39	3256.0-57.2	-4-0.1		7.7	0.7	67-0]					1				NUT		1111
L.	T				16.2	1	,									ΠŇ		iiii -
1	50.6-60.2				14.4										B T			
1		1.6	0.5											3260			•	
15	5260.2-61.8	Q.B		7.8	9.6	65.0	1								N	IV 4		
1		0,1]								\mathbf{N}	h (11		
36	5261.8-63.0	-	-	I	10.0	67_1			IIII	HIR								
										ШП								
	3264.0-65.6	93	15	9.8	8.6	47.6	T							3265				
12		1.1	1.0		.									É T				
L LI	3265.6-67.0	-	0.3	7.6	14.4	63.1	ST.		┟ ┥ <u></u>				Γ	77	1 52	9		
		SHOW			.		- c.	↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	┨┥┥┽┼							╷┊┊┊┇┛	┼┼┽┽┥	
 									┨┊┥┼┥			┟╽┙┟┼		<u>}</u>			╅┦╫┥	
1.29			8.3	7.8	8.8	John C	P S	E.H.	┨ ┥┥┊┥	╉╃╤╃┿	┟┥┿╃╇┙			3270				
	70.3-71.5	T	1710	14.5	8.3	39.7	↓.▼		┨┥┿┿┽				┝┝┥┝┼	TT		·↓↓ ↓ ↓	╇	┟┼┼╀
	71.5-73.0	1 .	1570		 -	• • • •	† • • -		╂┊┥┦╅	.				27	N	· ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	┝┥╽╄╽	
99	Y		6300	-	6.6			┟╷ ┼	┫ ┥┿┥┥	┫┥┥┥┾	┝┥┥╡┽					┼┽┥┼╋	┿┿┽╁	
			↓▲▲	- amou	6.7	* 24 81	. .	⋫ ┽∔∔	╉┽┼┽┥						2+++	H	T	
	75.0-76.6				10.8			<u></u> <u></u> <u></u> +++++	╋ <u>┥</u> ┥┽		┝┿┿┿┽┥		* **+	3275	N +		<u></u>	
		32	23				1		TTT						Stil	<u>++</u> + F		
25	3276.6-78.2	Y		10.5	11.3	5.1	†	tttt	T ††∔	+++						11	<u>॑</u>	
57	78.2-79.0			5	7.8			*†† ††	* ****			┝╋┄╉╺┊┥┫				1111		
							L	┋┋┋	T † † † †							*****		
		SROM												3280			Ш	Ш
								ШП								ШІ		III
								IΠ	HIII						ШШ			
			_		.	L	L				ALA	11	4.	3283	ПШ		<u>'Ш</u> І	
	1		L	I .	I	1	I				111711	1011	4	t l				111

Federal 18	Federal 18	Federal 18	Federal 18		Federal 18	WELL							
3 #7	#4	#3	3 #2	··	3 #1				 			- 1	
11/26/60	11/18/60	7/25/60 9/8/60	7/24/60 8/28/60	8/3/60 9/5/60	7/26/60 8/2/60	DATE							
50		60 23	102 57	49 52	22 84	BBLS, OIL		WILLIAM A.	CASE NO.	m×n Ni		BEFORE EX	
6		24 3.7	24 2.3	20 1.6	24	HRS.	WELL TESTS	WILLIAM A. & EDWARD R. HUDSON - WEST TONTO (YATES-SEVEN RIVERS) POOL	V D V	11 NO. 9	NOISEWAY TOT COMMISSION	EXAMINER UTZ	
205	872	17,516 4,210	484 230	3,240 225	5,814 1,270	GOR		ON - WEST TONTO	<u> </u>				
Intermitter	Pump	Continuous () end () Intermitter () 7	Continuous Intermitter	" Intermitter	Cont inuous	PRODUCING METHOD) (YATES-SEVEN F			÷.		
	•	i gi bur i				•		IVERS) POO					
		8/30/60	8/19/60	8/30/60	7/15/60	DATE	•	ſ					
		35.39	12.95	8.55	37.58	P ERCENT NI TROGEN	GAS ANALYSES		х • •		7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		861	1515	1410		B.T.U.							

Exhibit #9

にはないのない。

			• • •	•
			No	
	and a shall	sis Results Summary	Run No	
Fi Fi	ractional Distillation Analy		DATE OF RUN	
<u>,S)</u>		Service, Inc		
Petrole	um Analytical Lan	cratory Service, Inc	Date Secured	
1 611010				
	1711 East 8th	Street		1 - A.A.
•	ODESSA, TE	EXAS		
	Ph. FE 2-4	767		
			<i>w</i>	
•				
		TEDERAL BEAL		-
WILLIAM	S EDWARDS HUDE		Ward	tr
mple of Relation		Mecured by -		-
red from 201 Carp	er Dies. Aressent		Date	
pling conditions				
Pres	. 254			
		A DISTILLATION		
	FRACTION	AL DISTILLATION		
	entage Composition			
Perce	entage Composition			
-				· · ·
MOL%	11 Q . %		c.c. at	_•F•
		Volume Sample		
		Vol. distilled, %	0.00	
		Vol. of residue, % -10	0,00	
1.48		Recovery, %		
arbon Dioxide	<u></u>	Cale: 6p. Gr 943		
Air (8.55/	/	- Colc. A.P.I	Lb./:	iq. In.
Nitrogen	- CINVI	Calc. Vapor Press	Liquid Content	
Hydrogen sulf.	(you have be		Light Courses	P.N .
-		Method	<u> </u>	
		Based on Analysis (H	lutanes)	
		Durad on Analysis (F		187
Ethane	<u> </u>		Propanes)	
Butanes				
iso-Butane 1.0			Deitich Thermal Value	l La
N-Butane			Cu. Ft. nt 14.65 p.s.i.	
Pentanes	6nrr	ORE EXAMINER	UTZ Gal. Dry Basis W	et Basis
Iso-Pentane 0.1	11	Method	Gal. Dry Dasis	385
N-Pentone plane 1.1		Lompos on % Lompos	sition	,
Hexanes		EXHIBIT NO.		
Heptones	-	and the second se		•
100	CAS	<u>E NO</u>		
TOTAL			22 Barry	m
		Ao	proved by	
er . M	L. Simpoth Checke	ed by		
Run by				
		litional Data and Remarks		
	You			
$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}}}}}}}}}$				
a de la constante de la constan			= 1.6.4	#6
e s _e r i se se se se se se se se se				
			Exhibit	e e constante alla strata
				- ೧೯೯೫ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಿಯಲ್ಲಿನ
			Exploit	ಮಾನುಗಾ ವಿಧರಕ್ಕಳು

(PALS)	Fraction	sl Distillation Analysis	s Results Summary	No,	
ala na bana ang sa	Petroleum /	Vialytical Labora	atory Service, L		No. 1144 E OF RUN- 9-31
		1711 East 8th Stree		1	Secured \$-30-60
		ODESSA, TEXAS		L	
		Ph. FE 2-4767)		
ана. 19					
A Sample of	ILLIANS EDWA	RDS HUDSON FI			
			and a supervised of the superv		
At2	ol Carper Bldg.	Artesia, N. Meecu	red by L. H. Wa	Fd	
Sampling conditions	Temp. 780		Time An	Date	8-30-60
	Press 254				
-	Percenta vá C	FRACTIONAL DIST	ULATION		6
	Percentage Compo	osition		Z-1	
MOL	%	LIQ. %			
		Volur	me Sample78	4 m .e.	*
Carbon Dioxide	0.61	Vol. (distilled, % 199.6		atF.
Air		Vol. d	of residue, % 0.		
Nitrogen	.19	Col-	very, % 100.0 Sp. Gr		
Dxygen		Calc.	A.P.I		
tydrogen sulf	0.19	Colc.	Vapor Press		1.1.70
	0.67			id Content	L D./ Sq. in.
	5.23	-	Method	in Courent	
ropane	.68	Base	d on Analysis (Butane	(a)	G.P.M.
utanes		Base	d on An <u>alysis (Penton</u>	95 & Heavier)	
	.67	Base	d on Analysis (Propan	es)	1.025
-		J	Heat	ing Value	
entanes	.46				nal Units Per
Pentane		and a second		Cu.	Ft.
xanes 1	.92				65 p.s.i. 0 *F
eptanes		Me	ethod	Gai. Dry B	asis Wet Basis
		Based	on % Composition	861	846
10 ITAL	0.00				· · · · ·
Runby TT. L	f. Simmer			. \	1.
		hecked by	Approved by	22 9	angram
१०७	137. °		· · · ·		ý
<u> </u>	/-	Additional Data and R	emarks		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		BEFORE EXAM	MINER UTZ		ana ny faninana amin'ny fanina amin'ny fanina amin'ny fanina amin'ny fanina amin'ny fanina amin'ny fanina amin'
		CONTRACTOR		1	
		C. Sec. etc			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	DE NO			and an and a second

U-344-2 PHILLIPS PETBOLINA COMPANY SS No Perm 205 1-40 R.n No na Danaarta 7-20-60 Date Run Date Secured 7-15-60 Odessa, Texas Time Sompler's Ident All Cas, Underigneted Field, Takes & Seven Bivers, Mr. & Md Hadson Company 11e # 14-1) Pederal "14" Leave State Her Marico LA W III Tes. 14-19-11 . County LAA Secured by A. T. Q! Mell *F; Pressure on Bemb 14 Ibs /sq. in.; Bbls cil/day : Weether conditions at time of sampling nos. Temp. PSIGe Line Pressure PSIG d Gas Pressore Chrome, 14.65 PSI at 60°F Analysis Liq. % ه کی است Propane Calc. G.P.M. Carbon Dioxida Iso-Butane Culc GPM Nor-Butane Calc. G.P.M. Pentanez Celc G P.M. Test Car (Date 8.T.U / _____ cv. ft. W.B 23 Calc. Specific Gravity Colc. A.P.I. @ 40°F Obterved A P.I. Av. 0.30 H2S + CO2 by arist 267 H2S grains/100 cu ft. Mercaptans gr/100 cu. H Calc. Vap. Press. # /sq. in. Reid Vop. Press. # /sq. in. 24 Cu. ft. gas/Goi. Liq. Calc. Gasoline Factors 100.00 100.00 Tatal 26-70 Gasoline Excess Sutone Celculated by **Meon** 0 Excess Propone Excess Ethane & lighter 0 Regors Approved by Charlend by 1.0000 Distribution-L. THAN BEFORE EXAMINER UTZ CIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION Oneine Press. 900 h. Press. 50 EXHIBIT NO. 5 MI Gravity 30.0 CASE NO. 2164 Malla 263 abut in during test Barn. 678 Exhibit #5

.

DOCKET: EXAMINER HEARING - WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 25, 1961 OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION - 9 a.m., CONFERENCE ROOM - STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING, SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

The following cases will be heard before Elvis A. Utz Examiner, or Oliver E. Payne, attorney, as alternate examiner:

CASE 2159: Application of Continental Oil Company for three non-standard gas proration units. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the establishment of the following-described nonstandard gas proration units in the Jalmat Gas Pool, Lea County, New Mexico:

A 320-acre non-standard gas proration unit consisting of the W/2 E/2 and E/2 W/2 of Section 19, Township 25 South, Range 37 East, to be dedicated to the Sheles B-19 Well No. 1, located in the center of the SE/4 SW/4 of said Section 19.

No. 3-61-

A 320-acre non-standard gas proration unit consisting of the E/2 and NE/4 NW/4 of Section 1, Township 25 South, Range 36 East, to be dedicated to the Wells B-1 Well No. 1, located in the center of the NE/4 NE/4 of said Section 1.

A 360-acre non-standard gas proration unit consisting of the SE/4, E/2 W/2 and SW/4 SW/4 of Section 29, Township 22 South, Range 36 East, to be dedicated to the Meyer A-29 Well No. 3, located in the center of the SE/4 SW/4 of said Section 29.

CASE 2160:

Application of Continental Oil Company for a quadruple completion. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order authorizing the quadruple completion of its Northeast Haynes-Apache 9 No. 1 Well, located in the NW/4 SW/4 of Section 9, Township 24 North, Range 5 West, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico, in such a manner as to permit the production of hydrocarbons from the Greenhorn formation through a string of 2 7/8-inch casing, the production of hydrocarbons from the Dakota formation through 2 3/8-inch tubing installed within a string of $4 \frac{1}{2}$ -inch casing, the production of hydrocarbons from the Mesaverde formation through the 23/8x 4 1/2-inch annulus of the latter casing string, and the production of hydrocarbons from the Gallup formation through 2 3/8-inch tubing installed within a second string of 4 1/2inch casing, the three strings of casing being cemented in a common well bore.

Docket No. 3-61

CASE 2161:

-2-

Application of Texaco, Inc. for a triple completion. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order authorizing the triple completion of the C. H. Weir "B" Well No. 4, located in Unit I, Section 11, Township 20 South, Range 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico, in such a manner as to permit the production of gas from the Eumont Gas Pool, the production of oil from the Skaggs-Glorieta Pool and the production of oil from the Skaggs-Drinkard Pool through the casing-tubing annulus, through 2 3/8inch tubing, and through 2 3/8-inch tubing respectively.

CASE 2162:

Application of The Atlantic Refining Company for an automatic custody transfer system. Applicant, in the abovestyled cause, seeks permission to install an automatic custody transfer system to handle the commingled Justis Tubb-Drinkard and Justis-Blinebry production from the following-described leases:

Langlie Federal Lease, N/2 SE/4 of Section 14 Langlie Federal "A" Lease, S/2 NE/4 of Section 14 Langlie Federal "B" Lease, N/2 NE/4 of Section 14

all in Township 25 South, Range 37 East, Les County, New Mexico.

CASE 2163:

Application of Yates Drilling Company for an automatic custody transfer system. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks permission to install an automatic custody transfer system to handle the Pennsylvanian formation production from all wells presently completed or hereafter drilled on Federal Lease NM 03283, comprising the W/2 of Section 31, Township 8 South, Range 37 East, Reosevelt County, New Mexico.

CASE 2164:

Application of Hudson and Hudson for an exception to Rule 506 (A) of the Commission Rules and Regulations and for permission to transfer allowables. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an exception to Rule 506 (A) by increasing the limiting gas-oil ratio for the West Tonto Yates Seven Rivers Peol, Lea County, New Mexico, from 2,000 to 6,000 cubic feet of gas per barrel of oil. Applicant further seeks permission to shut-in one well in said pool and transfer its allowable to another well.

and the second
-3-Docket No. 3-61

CASE 2165:

Application of Pan American Petroleum Corporation for two unorthodox oil well locations and a non-standard oil proration unit. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of two unorthodox oil well locations in the Cha Cha-Gallup Oil Pool, San Juan County, New Mexico, said locations to be as follows:

Navajo Tribal "E" Well No. 7, to be located 250 feet from the South line and 800 feet from the West line of Section 16.

Navajo Tribal "G" Well No. 5, to be located 1830 feet from the South line and 885 feet from the East line of Section 18, both in Township 29 North, Range 14 West.

Applicant also seeks an 88.7-acre non-standard oil proration unit in said pool comprising that portion of the SW/4 of Section 16, within the Navajo Reservation lying South of the mid-channel of the San Juan River, Township 29 North, Range 14 West, to be dedicated to said Navajo Tribal "E" Well No. 7.

CASE 2166:

. .

Application of Pan American Petroleum Corporation for permission to take interference tests and transfer allowables. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks permission to take interference tests in the Cha Cha-Gallup Oil Pool, San Juan County, New Mexico, by shutting in its Navajo Tribal "E" Well No. 3, located in the NE/4 SW/4 of Section 21, Township 29 North, Range 14 West and transferring the allowable of said well in equal parts to the other five wells on the said Navajo "E" Lease.

CASE 2167:

Application of Chambers & Kennedy for a 200-acre nonstandard gas proration unit and for an unorthodox gas well location. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the establishment of a 200-acre non-standard gas proration unit in the Eumont Gas Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, comprising the NE/4 NE/4, S/2 NE/4, and the N/2 SE/4 of Section 34, Township 19 South, Range 37 East. Said unit is to be dedicated to the Monument State Well No. 1, located on an unorthodox location at a point 1649 feet from the South line and 2197 feet from the East line of said Section 34.

-4-Docket No. 3-61

CASE 2168:

Application of Continental Oil Company for permission to shut-in one well and transfer its allowable to other wells. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks permission to shut-in its Wilder Well No. 20, located 1980 feet from the shut-in its Wilder Well No. 20, located 1980 feet from the South and East lines of Section 26, Township 26 South, Range 32 East, El Mar-Delaware Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, and transfer its allowable to the following offset wells in and transfer its allowable to the following offset wells in said Section 26: Wilder Lease Well Nos. 17, 18, 22 and 25.

CASE 2169:

Application of Gulf Oil Corporation for a salt water disposal well. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order authorizing the disposal of produced salt water into the Grayburg and San Andres formations through its J. F. Janda "F" Well No. 17, located in Unit A, Secits J. Township 22 South, Range 36 East, Lea County, New tion 4, Township 22 South, Range 36 East, Lea County, New Mexico, with the proposed injection interval from 3999 feet to 5650 feet.

CASE 2170:

Application of Amerada Petroleum Corporation for an amendment of Order R-1750. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an amendment of Order No. R-1750, which authorized the triple completion of its Wimberly Well No. 13, located in Unit M, Section 24, Township 25 South, Range 37 East, NMPM, Lea County, New Mexico, to substitute an undesignated oil pool, probably Paddock, for the Langlie-Mattix which was previously authorized. Applicant also proposes to use three parallel strings of tubing rather than two as provided in Order R-1750. APPLICATION OF WILLIAM A. & EDWARD R. HUDSON FOR AN EXCEPTION TO RULE 506(A) AND FOR AUTH-ORIZATION TO TRANSFER ALLOWABLE IN THE WEST TONTO (Y-SR) POOL

11 2 63

Con To Holf

APPLICANTS WILLIAM A. AND EDWARD R. HUDSON, 1810 Electric Building, Fort Worth, Texas, respectfully show:

1. That they are Operators of Oil and Gas Leases LC-067847 and LC-064790, insofar as they cover the following land in Lea County, New Mexico, above the base of the Grayburg Formation:

Township 19 South, Range 33 East Section 18: All

2. That the West Tonto (Y-SR) Pool has a very substantial part of the reservoir porosity filled with gas \checkmark overlying the oil.

3. That the gas has a very high Nitrogen content, making its use as a marketable gas questionable.

4. That the reservoir rock has a vuggy and fractured type of porosity, which permits easy vertical communication.

5. That due to the characteristics, the limiting gasoil ratio should be raised from 2,000 to 6,000 cubic feet per barrel in order to prevent undue hardships from gas-oil ratio penalties.

6. That in order to conserve reservoir energy, the Commission is asked to transfer the allowable from Federal 18 Well #3, to Federal 18 Well #2.

7. That the producing of this extra allowable from Well #2 can be done without creating waste and will conserve the reservoir energy.

WHEREFORE, the Applicants pray that after due consideration, the Commission change the limiting gas-oil ratio for the West Tonto (Y-SR) Pool from 2,000 to 6,000 cubic feet per barrel, and authorize the transfer of allowable from Well #3 to Well #2.

Respectfully submitted, WILLIAM A. & EDWARD R. HUDSON By:



Care 216 4 Heard. 1-25-61 1. This application is contrary to 1-30-61 the namel Occ poling in regard to the handling of Har cop reservoirs. the handling of Har cop reservoirs. er. Dhe raised of SOR's to increase ail production and gas production as will as to transfere of allowables from one 40 Gec. provation with to another rather than to remedy the His HORD that ailing well. I While it is true that the west to which allowate is requelet to be trans fered is completed well into the oil gone, the fact that the allowle likely to cause excession GOR in the future. Celeo this is a performation which has never here allowed heretofore and one which could jaione to be dungerous to the plinife? conservation as well as to chuse the commission many more similain applicational Stir my opinion that each 40 acre provation hint should stand on its own. It appears that the 6000 HORis not sheeded at thes time we the operator is using intermitter holich successfully keeps & OR to a figure well below the experient 2000 : I with the exception of the # 3 well which is 4 210:1. (over 1

The opplicant also requested that is their was to be a no. place provis put in this order they would prefer denial. a too o o : 1 that no ould certainly cause the flaving of a larger volume of Has. I there accommend denial of the's application in the interest of Thurst. conser

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION P. O. BOX 871

SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

MENORANDUM

TO: Governor Mechem and Commissioner Walker FROM: A. L. Porter, Jr., Secretary-Director SUBJECT: Commission Order 3-1868

This order is a denial of an application by Medeen & Medeen to increase the gas-oil ratio limits in an Medy County pool from 2000 to 1 to 6000 to 1; and to allow then to shut-in a woll with an excessive ratio and transfer its allowable to another well on the lease.

We feel that the application should be dealed in the interest of conservation. The increase in G.O.R. limit would allow a much more rapid dissipation of resorveir energy as well as the flaring of a much greater volume of gas. In the matter of allowable transfer, there was little evidence that it would result in efficient drainage.

ALP/1r

Pobruary 9, 1961

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

> CASE No. 2164 Order No. R-1868

APPLICATION OF HUDSON AND HUDSON FOR AN EXCEPTION TO RULE SOG (A) OF THE COMMISSION RULES AND REGULATIONS AND FOR PERMISSION TO TRANSFER ALLOW-ABLES IN THE WEST TOWIC TRANSFER ALLOW-RIVERS POOL, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

ORDER OF THE CONSISSION

BY THE CONDUSSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 o'clock a.m. on January 25, 1961, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Elvis A. Utz, Examiner duly appointed by the Oil Conservation Coumission of New Munico, hereinafter referred to as the "Coumission," in accordance with Rule 1214 of the Coumission Rules and Regulations.

NOW, on this ^{9th} day of Fohrwary, 1961, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the application, the evidence adduced, and the recommendations of the Examiner, Elvis A. Wts, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Coumission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That the applicant, Hudson and Hudson, seeks an exception to Rule \$06 (A) by increasing the limiting gas-oil ratio for the Mest Tento Yates-Seven Rivers Pool, Les County, New Mexico, from 2,000 to 6,000 enhis feet of gas per barrel of oil, and further seeks permission to shut-in its Pederal 18 Well No. 3 producing from said pool and transfer its allowable to its Federal 18 Well No. 2.

(3) That approval of the subject application would not be in the best interests of conservation.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

That the subject application is bereby denied.

-2-CASE No. 2164 Order No. R-1868

6\$/

DONE at Santa Po, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION CONMISSION

ROWTH L. MACHINE. Challman

Elvalker A. L. PORTER, JE., MA

tretary



3 ------

	CORELA		-	INC.	VVI				FILE 1		gineering
MPANY_	M. A. & .	RIMARD R.	HUDSON		DA	ΤΕ	6-10-60		ENGR	5 POO	
CLL	THERAL 1	8 80. 3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				14 A 1910 C		ELEV.	9011	C
ILO	TRA		STATE	NEW MED	TCO DR	LG FLD	SAMPLE	AS DI	RECTED P	Y CLIEN	<u>чомп 1 3/8</u> . Т
CATION	1960* PE	AL SPC 19-	-10-33		RE	MARK5	# 78 OI	L	·]		
						CO	PFG	RAF			
	•		UMI	L EI							
	•			ant are based of	abserver Sec. and	nalar al sappled by l e she her sidament s	ing Light is another in F (prej Labor ator at	and far fahana ar	مرتبع ومع وماليوم منط ومدرومو ومالووه مالو وماليومو ومالي	ب او ا ا	
	•	and the report is the two of t	nada the merpete Las Sac and recall address of any a	بعود والمحود بولومو فيده يديد ه بوقو دو بوو		persibility and make	₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩ ₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩	ad 10' 10' 0d 1 90			[++++]
	<u><u><u></u></u></u>				GLOMERATI	0:50		CHERT		ANHI	
SAN	•			3							
		DOLONI		3			•			r-	
		LE CHARACT	E DISTICS	- <u></u>		pu	BAP FC	RODUS			PERCENT PORE SPAC
F - Frachurt	SAMP L:Lominated	EE CHARACT FB: NB; CB: Type	Grain Size S	Stytolitic	V Vuggy	0.01	W.Water E				75 50 25
					DUAL	PERMEABIL	TT 0-0		Y XX		BIL SATURATION X-
75	DEPTH	HORIZONT		* PORI	TOTAL		17		11		25 50 75
	PRET	MAT 9		011	WATER	20					
							•				
		VEIOLE_C	OFF ANAL	JSIS							****
		WELCE A							••••	3220	
				_	++						
				BEFC	RE E	AMIN					.
		· · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		SE YA		ANNISSIC			日日	
	******	↓		H.	EX:-	inti Ne.		╋╤╷╽╽			
				CASE	NO.	2164	<u>C</u>	44		3225	
				-+						17	
		SHOW									
						• • • •	• • • •	4:5 · -			
+-						┈┈╄╼╼╼┝				- 3237	4
						• • • • •	· · · · · · · · ·				1
1 2 3 4	دد میں ایک کا کے اور اور ا			ţ	1 1				• • • • •	日子	
┝──╻┝┈	3233.7-34.	5 <0.1	<0.1		n 49.8	1 E		1			F I I
Å	•۴۲-۲۰، ۲۲، ۲۲، ۲۲ <u>۸۲۲-۲</u> ۰μژ	8 11		0.3 3	9 31.9	FV			+		
2		6,4	3.2	7.3 7	2. 45.6	FV		3	X		4
	3235.8-37.	2 <0.1	11.11	1 . 2	 , 14 ≠ • °į			•	1 i a		



out start a

The state of the second states of



PAGE 1

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION Santa Fe, New Mexico January 25, 1961

EXAMINER HEARING

IN THE MATTER OF:

CH 3-6691

PHONE

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

NEW MEXH

ALBUQUERQUE,

Application of Hudson and Hudson for an exception to) Rule 506 (A) of the Commission Rules and Regulations) and for permission to transfer allowables. Appli-) cant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an exception) Case to Rule 506 (A) by increasing the limiting gas-oil) 2164 ratio for the West Tonto Yates Seven Rivers Pool, Lea) County, New Mexico, from 2,000 to 6,000 cubic feet of) gas per barrel of oil. Applicant further seeks per-) mission to shut-in one well in said pool and transfer) its allowable to another well.

BEFORE:

Elvin A. Utz, Examiner.

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

MR. PAYNE: Application of Hudson and Hudson for an exception to Rule 506 (A) of the Commission Rules and Regulations and for permission to transfer allowables.

MR. KELLAHIN: Jason Kellahin, representing the applicant. We will have one witness.

(Witness sworn.)

MR. UTZ: Any other appearances in this case?

RALPH L. GRAY,

called as a witness, having been previously duly sworn, testified

as follows:



DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KELLAHIN: Would you state your name, please? Q Ralph L. Gray. A Are you a consulting engineer, Mr. Gray? Q Yes, sir. A Have you testified before the Oil Conservation Commission Q as a petroleum engineer? I have. A HR. KELLAHIN: Are the witness's qualifications acceptable? MR. UTZ: Yes, they are. Mr. Gray, are you connected in any way with Hudson and Q Hudson? Yes. I am a consultant for them. A Are you familiar with the application in Case No. 2164? Q Yes, I am. ٨ Will you state briefly what is proposed in this appli-Q cation? It is proposed to raise the limiting gas-oil ratio from A 2,000 cubic feet per barrel, which is the Statewide rule, to 6,000 cubic feet per barrel. It is also requested that the allowable from the Hudson Federal 18 Well No. 3 be transferred to their well No. 2. Referring you to what has been marked as Exhibit No. 1, Q will you discuss that exhibit, please?

PHONE CH 3-6691 Inc. REPORTING SERVICE, 1.-4 مده 19-44 1-9 DEARNLEY-MEIER 财 14 1.4 ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO **\$**\$ 調 ¢ã

18

.

PAGE 2

Exhibit No. 1 is a map of the area. It shows the location of the West Tonto (Yates-Seven Rivers) Pool and, principally, it is located in Section 18, Township 19 South, Range 33 East. This map shows the structural contours on top of the porous dolomite. 3-6691 As will be shown on the map, there are five producing wells on the 5 PHONE Inc.Hudson lease, and two wells on the Pan American Bondurant lease, for a total of seven producing wells in the field. DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Q Is that all the producing wells in this pool? Yes, sir. Now, referring to what has been marked as Exhibit No. 2, Q would you discuss the information shown on that exhibit? Exhibit No. 2 shows the well data for all of the Hudson wells. These wells were completed last year, from April through October, 1960. Most of them were drilled to a depth of approximately 3300 feet. The initial potentials are shown on this sheet. Five and a half casing, generally, was set to the bottom, and the pay was perforated. This table shows the perforated intervals, also the treatment is shown for each well, and the treatments required were NEW MEXICO very small amounts of acid just to clean the wells up. **LBUQUERQUE**

PAGE 3

Could you, on the basis of mexhibit you prepared, dis-Q cuss the reservoir conditions in Exhibit 3?

Exhibit No. 3 is a cross section, west to east cross section through the field, and the yellow portion shown on the map represents the space that is filled with gas. It has an original gas cap present. There are gamma ray neutron logs shown for each

well. Then the blue portion on each end of the cross section represents what we think is the approximate water table. Apparently there is water existing in the lower portions of the field.

This cross section also shows the intervals that are perforated in each well, and shows the location of the casing, and so forth. The space shown between the yellow, gas cap, and the water interval represents the volume of the reservoir that is saturated with oil.

Q Will you discuss briefly the perforation intervals as shown on this exhibit in each of the wells?

A Generally speaking, the wells are perforated low or in the middle portion of the cil saturated section. The gas cap was determined very early in the drilling of these wells, so an effort has been made to keep these perforations fairly low in the oil section, away from the gas cap.

Are any of the wells in the area making water?

A Yes, sir. The Well No. 4, shown on the extreme right of the cross section makes approximately 15% water.

Q Would you describe the nature of this gas cap and the market possibilities for the gas that is available?

A Well, first of all, on the cross section it is evident that the gas cap occupies a very substantial part of the reservoir, both in area and in thickness. It is a very sour gas. It also has a very high nitrogen content, and --

Q Before we get into that, do you have any core information on the No. 4 well, or any of the wells?

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, NUM MIXICO

Q

3-6691

3

PLONE

Inc.

PAGE 4

We have core data on, I think, three or four of the Yes. wells. Is that marked as Exhibit No. 4? Q Yes, sir, that's right. Å. Would you discuss the information shown on that exhibit? Q Exhibit No. 4 shows a core analysis graphically through A all of the section on Well No. 3, and I think the pertinent thing about this is that it will be noted that the permeabilities are very high. Permeabilities range as high as 6300 millidarcies, and the cores were found to be a very vuggy type of material, large holes existing, and fractures, and there is very good communication as evidenced by these high permeabilities. I think that is the main thing that this core graph shows. Q Were you discussing the gas analysis? Would you continue your discussion of that? A As I mentioned, it has been found that the gas content of the gas cap has a very high nitrogen content, which makes it of a questionable commercial value. I can comment on each one of these NEVI MEXICO three analyses we have. ALBUQUERQUE, Are those Exhibits 5, 6 and 7? Q Yes, sir, that's right. Would you discuss those? Q Exhibit 5 is a gas analysis taken by Phillips Petroleum A

3-6691 £

PHONE

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

Ersté

Ø. .

> Company in July, 1960, on the well No. 1, and this will show that the nitrogen content of this well at the time the analysis

PAGE 5

was taken was above 37% nitrogen.

Đ

Inc.

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE,

MEXICO

NEW

ALBUQUERQUE,

On Exhibit No. 6, which is also Well No. 1, this was taken several months later at a time when there had been a change in operating conditions, and the gas-oil ratio in the well had been lowered. It will be noted that the nitrogen content is only 8.55%, which indicates that there is less gas from the cap being produced. This analysis also shows the hydrogen sulfide content of 960 grains per 100 cubic feet, which is a very sour gas.

PAGE 6

Exhibit No. 7 is an analysis on Well No. 3, and this well has a high gas-oil ratio which we know from performance indicates it is producing gas cap gas, and the nitrogen on this well was above 35%.

Q Does the nitrogen content have any effect on the marketability of the gas?

A Yes, it does. It lowers the BTU content. On this last analysis it was only about 800 BTU, which is below normal gas and below the usual contract requirements.

Q Is there gas line facilities available in the area?

A No, there are not.

Q Referring to what has been marked as Exhibit No. 8, would you discuss that exhibit, please?

A I am sorry, we only have two maps of that. We couldn't get a third one, but Exhibit No. 8 shows a very large area in Southeast New Mexico, and the purpose of that map is just to show the vicinity and the West Tonto (Yates-Seven Rivers) Pool is indicated by the red portion on the map. This shows the closest dis-
3-6691 5 Inc. SERVICE, DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING Q MEXICO MEN ALBUQUERQUE,

. नु सन्दर्भ tance to any gas gathering facilities that we know about at this time. It is approximately six miles from the field, in a northerly direction to the E. K. Queen Field where gathering facilities are available, and it is approximately seven miles in a northwesterly direction to the Shugart Field, which has gathering facilities, so this shows that we aren't very close to any gathering facilities that I know about.

Q Has any effort been made to secure a market for the gas that is available?

A Yes. Last July the Phillips Petroleum Company took a sample of the gas and made the analysis, which was included as one of these exhibits, and since then they have never expressed any interest in purchasing this gas.

Have any well tests been made on the wells?

A Yes.

Q Referring to what has been marked as Exhibit 9, would you discuss the information shown on that exhibit?

A Exhibit No. 9 shows various well tests, dates, which have been made on each one of the Hudson wells, and along with this information it also shows some pertinent data on gas analyses made at about the same time. I think the important thing on this table is a comparison of the gas-oil ratios on some of these tests, and the nitrogen content that was shown to exist at those times. For instance, on Well No. 1 we had a gas-oil ratio problem to start with. The gas-oil ratio to start with was 5,814 cubic feet per barrel. At this time the well was being produced by continuous flow, and the nitrogen content as shown by gas analysis was 37.58%. Then, later on, we tried various producing methods in an effort to reduce the gas-oil ratio, and approximately two months later it was found that by flowing this well on intermitter it was possible to reduce the gas-oil ratio to 225 cubic feet per barrel, and at this time another analysis of the gas was made which showed the low nitrogen content of 8.55%. Then, on Well No. 2 it will be noted that this is one of the few wells where we haven't really had a gas-oil ratio problem. The gas-oil ratio has varied from 230 to 484 cubic feet per barrel, and some of these tests were conducted up as high as 102 barrels of oil per day, which shows that this well is capable of producing much more than one or two top allowables.

Well No. 3 has had a gas-oil ratio problem from the start. The ratio has been as high as 17,516 cubic feet per barrel where i_{t}^{\dagger} was produced on continuous flow, and you will note, also, that the nitrogen content was high at that rate, signifying that some of the gas was produced from the gas cap. Then, the lowest ratio on this well was 4,210 by intermitter control, which is well above the present limiting gas-oil ratio and causes the well to be penalized. I think those are the pertinent points on this table.

Mr. Gray, assuming the Commission should approve the re-Q quest as shown in this application, what would be the approximate volume of gas produced from the five wells on the Hudson & Hudson lease?



PAGE 8

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

NEW MEXICO

LBUQUERQUE,

3-6691

(2)

SC.

		The approximately 56 MCF	
	a e en la Bik	A At the present time there would be approximately 56 MCF	
	perc	day of gas produced. Q Do you think this volume is sufficient to interest a	
	gath	Q Do you think the area under present conditions?	
C. PHONE CH 3-6691		A I wouldn't think so. A I wouldn't think so.	
Inc.	sta	Q In the event the gas-off fermination of obtaining a market in the future, would the chances of obtaining a market	ан 3
SERVICE,		A I think so. I think the gas gatherers would be more	
	in	A fulling of the area in that event. terested in coming into the area in that event. Q Would your answer be the same if the Commission did not	
ring		limiting gas-oll racio	1
POR			
MEIER REPORTING	c	A There wouldn't be as much and there wouldn't be ome in because the volumes would be lower, and there wouldn't be is much chance for the thing to be economical.	
IEIEI		+ limiting 643	
EY-A		feet per barrel, are you suffering the penalty on	
DEARNLEY-	MEXICO	17 harrels of our per	1
DE	UE, NEW N	lized allowable on that well is if could though this is a new well and capable of producing well above top	
	ALBUQUERQUE, MEW	allowable. Q Does that make that well an economical operation?	
	<	Q Does that make that well changed by Does that make that well changed by Out A Yes, sir, it is economical to operate, but the pay out	
			1
,		on the well will be very slow.	

gas-oil ratios in the other wells to increase substantially? Yes, I think they will, and probably within a very short A time. I think the fact that the character of the formation is a very vuggy type of material, and there are fractures existing, I expect the gas-oil ratios to increase very rapidly.

PAGE 10

In such an event would this create any further hardship Q on the operator?

Yes. Under the existing limiting gas-oil ratio it could A cause a hardship and could result in his investment being recovered over a very long period of time.

In your opinion, is a limiting gas-oil ratio of 6,000 Q cubic feet per barrel of oil a reasonable limit for this field?

Yes, sir, at the present time.

Mr. Gray, in your opinion will the granting of a 6,000 to 0 one ratio have any affect on the ultimate recovery of oil from this pool?

No, sir, I don't think so, because, first of all, I think it is an impossibility to recover the oil from this reservoir without producing with a very high ratio over the life of the pool.

You base that on the nature of the reservoir? ۵

Yes, sir.

3-6:591

5

Inc.

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE,

MEX

Its characteristics?

Yes, sir. By the nature of the reservoir and the relatively thin column of oil existing.

In the application you are also asking for transfer of the

allowable from the No. 3 well to the No. 2 well; would this result in a conservation of the reservoir energy?

Yes, it would. By transferring the allowable less space in the reservoir would be voided because of the lower ratio of the Well No. 2, which would conserve reservoir energy.

Would it have any adverse affect on correlative rights or Q the rights of other operators?

I can't see where it would affect any other operator. Well A No. 2 is almost in the center of this section. It is located a great distance from the nearest line and I don't think it would affect any other operator.

Were Exhibits 1 through 9 inclusive prepared by you or Q under your supervision?

Yes, sir.

MR. KELLAHIN: At this time I would like to offer in evidence Exhibits 1 through 9 inclusive.

MR. UTZ: Without objection Exhibits 1 through 9 inclusive in this case will be entered into the record.

Q

(By Mr. Kellahin) Do you have anything to add, Mr. Gray I don't believe so.

MR. KELLAHIN: At this time, if the Examiner please, I would like to make this statement. We are aware that the Commission generally, in raising the gas-oil ratio, has frequently adopted a "No Flare" order along with such an increase. It is a request of the applicant in this case that if the Commission feels that that



ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

is necessary, that a "No Flare" order be included, that that portion of our application seeking the removal of the ratio and increasing it to 6,000 to 1 be denied rather than having a "No Flare" order entered, due to the fact that there is no market at the present time for the gas, and because of the nature of the gas and the nature of the reservoir generally, it doesn't appear there will be a market for the gas for sometime to come. It is, of course, our position that an increase in the ratio to 6,000 to one is fully justified under the circumstances in this particular pool. That is all we have, Mr. Utz.

PAGE 12

BY MR. UTZ:

Inc.

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE,

NEW

Mr. Gray, the five wells that you stated were producing Q now, 56 MCF per day, at 6,000 ratio, what do you estimate they will produce?

You mean how much gas?

Yes.

Well, of course, you mean in the event the gas-oil ratio increased later. At the present time changing the limiting gasis oil ratio to 6,000 would not affect the amount of gas that is being produced at the present time, which would be about 56 MCF per day. Changing the limiting ratio would not affect that at the present time.

Q You mean, providing the allowable from the No. 3 is transferred?

Yes, sir, providing it is transferred.



T

Q If that wasn't transferred, then it would increase? A If the allowable is not transferred there would be a little additional gas produced; that would increase it, roughly, to about 180 MCF per day.

Q I note on your cross section, Exhibit 2, that your upper perforations in the No. 3 well are in the base of the gas zone. Do you suppose that might be some of your GOR problems?

A When the well was originally perforated it was perforated in the two intervals shown, and the upper perforations were tested and found to be gassy. Of course, that was one reason for our locating the gas cap where we did on the cross section. Then we ran a packer between the perforations and produced from the lower perforation. Although we had a lower gas-oil ratio we still had a comparatively high ratio compared to the other wells, so at the present time the well is actually producing from the lower perforations by a packer set between them.

Q Then the No. 2 well is perforated well down into the oil zone only?

Yes, sir.

Q And that is probably the reason that you have no GOR problems on that well, don't you think?

A We think that in that particular case we don't have quite as good a vertical communication up into the gas cap as we do on some of the other wells. It is possible maybe we don't have the fracturing in that particular area that we do in other parts of



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

MEXICO

3-6691

PHONE CH

	the reservoir.
	Q That well is producing top allowable at the present time
	A Yes, sir.
	Q If you doubled the rate of production on the No. 2 well,
	is there a likelihood of increasing the gas-oil ratio on the well?
	A Not substantially. Our Exhibit No. 9, for instance,
	shows two well tests there, and one test, conducted at a rate of
	57 barrels of oil per day, had a gas-oil ratio of 230 cubic feet
	per barrel. Another test, 102 barrels of oil per day, ratio of
	484 cubic feet per barrel.
	Q In other words, by transferring the allowable from the
	No. 3 to the No. 2 you don't believe there will be but very little
	more gas cap gas produced?
	A Yes, sir. At the present time we don't think there will
	be. I'd say practically no gas from the gas cap is produced in
	Well No. 2.
	Q Do you have any bottomhole samples available?
	No, we do not.
	Q What kind of pressures are we talking about in this pool
	A The bottomhole, original pressure, is about 1250 pounds
	per square inch.
	MR. UTZ: Any other questions?
	BY MR. NUTTER:
	Q Mr. Gray, what is that stippled area on this cross sect
L	outside of it?

A That is a member that exists clear across the reservoir. It is just a sandy formation that is present within that dolomite section.

Q It is not productive, I take it, since there are no perforations opposite it?

A The core analyses we have through there show a very low permeability, so we don't think it will probably produce very much oil, although it does show some oil saturation.

Q Your production is actually coming from the dolomite, either above or below the sands, as the case may be?

A That's right.

Q Is this all one common reservoir then; if some of the perforations are above and some are below this impermeable sand?

A Well, I think probably so. The fact that we have a gasoil ratio problem in this Well No. 3, which is perforated below that sand break would indicate that.

Q It is perforated from above and below?

A It is producing from below at the present time because we have a packer set there. I think that indicates it is probably in communication, both below and above that sand break.

Q Can you rule out the possibility of communication behind the pipe?

A Not positively, no, sir.

Q Where is the pipe set in this well; is it down here where this little black triangle is?



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

MEXI

NEV

3-6691

That's right. What kind of a packer have you separating ? Q Hook-wall packer. I don't believe we have a bad cement job there, because when we first produced from the upper perforations we had this very gassy condition, and then we ran the tubing back 3-6691 and set the packers between the perforations, and then we produced £ DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc. the well and didn't get but very little fluid out of it. practically dry, which indicated, at least at that time, that there was no communication between those two sets of perforations, and then after that we gave it a small acid treatment, and we were able, then, to get oil from those perforations, so I think that would probably mean that we do have a good cement job behind the pipe. I am at a loss to understand how you can have gas coming down through the formation if you have vertical communication, when the upper perforations are in the gas cap, then there is this interval of non-permeable sand, and the lower? The sand would have to be fractured. A Is the sand fractured as well as the dolomite? Q That would be a guess. There is no way of knowing except ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO A through possibly performance. Is there any indication of fractures in the sand on the Q core graph? They don't indicate any fracturing on the core graph. However, that is not definite proof one way or the other, actually They do indicate fractures in the dolomite, however, don't

1.053

) inter

Q

ſ	the	ey?
	і. 	 Yes, they show some fracturing through there. A Yes, they show some fracturing through there. Q By the use of intermitters you have been able to drop the
		Q By the use of intermined below 2,000 to one, with the tio on all wells to substantially below 2,000 to one, with the
3-6691	ra	No. 3, 18 that control
С. Рноме сн 3-6691	e	A Yes, for the present, although we think that is a very
SERVICE, Inc.	t	emporary situation. Q This test on the No. 3 well, which shows a ratio of 4300,
VICE		Q This test on the No. 5 well, U to 1, was made September 8th, 1960. Has any test been made on
SERI		this well since that date?
RTI		three analyses of the gas that have been mer
REPORTING		Q On these three analyses Phillips Petroleum Company, when they made their analysis in July, 1960, apparently thought they were getting gas from the 1, 2 and
RR		
EY-MEIER		3 well? If you will note on the bottom part of the sheet there
EY-N		Halle No. 2 and 3 are shut he
	100	Q While the three wells were hooked in our o
DEARNL	NEW MEX	shut in and gas did come from the No. 1?
	ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO	A Yes, sir. Q Is it your belief, Mr. Gray, that the gas that is in the
	ALBUQI	nitrogen content, as rellected by
۹ ۱۰۰۰ ۲۰۰۰		of the gas from the No. 1 well, and that the gas
р. •		gas cap has the high mitrogen content?
		A Yes, sir. I think that is have

PAGE 18

If you take the allowable from the No. 3 and transfer it to the No. 2, how will the Commission know how much to transfer? If the Commission grants the increase in limiting gas-oil ratio to 6,000 cubic feet per barrel I would think that would automatically raise the allowable on Well No. 3 to top allowable, 3-6691 since the gas-oil ratio is below the 6,000 cubic feet per barrel, 3 Inc. and that, in that event, that top allowable would be transferred. If the Commission does not change the limiting ratio, then I assume SERVICE, they would transfer the present allowable. How long should the Commission transfer top allowable? Well, gas-oil ratio surveys are required, annually on Q DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING these wells. The operator has to report these gas-oil ratios once a year. Including the shut in well there? Well, that would be up to the Commission as to what they Q would require. If that well weren't being produced it would probably, on an annual gas-oil ratio, remain a relatively high producer for a ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO great number of years, would it not? **ب** As I say, the character of this reservoir is very vuggy type, high permeabilities, and my thought is that in that type of reservoir shutting the well in is not going to greatly change the conditions that exist throughout the reservoir. That was the next question I was going to come to. Mr. Gray, what about the roserves that surround the No. 3. How will

6.04 يسرب

PAGE 19 they be produced if the well is shut in? I think they will be recovered by the present wells due Å to the high permeabilities. By the No. 4 or No. 2, only No. 2, or what? Q That would be impossible to say. E But you don't feel those reserves are going to be left in the ground by shutting the well in? No, sir, I do not. Have you given any thought to the possibility of squeezing 0 off those upper perforations in the No. 3 well? We have given consideration to it, but we know that that dolomite has very large vugs in it, some as large as your thumb, larger even, and we are a little bit afraid if we try to squeeze that type of material that we could squeeze it off to the point where we might have difficulty in getting anything back. If you could squeeze off that sour gas, you wouldn't want Q it back anyway, would you, or that nitrogen gas? We would, of course, prefer not to have it at the present A NEW MEXI time, but we would sure like to have the oil. MR. NUTTER: I believe that is all. Thank you. ALBUQUERQU BY MR. UTZ: How much oil did you say you were getting from the upper Q perforations? I don't have an exact figure on that, but at the time we A producing out of the top perforations it was predominantly gas

Inc.

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE,

[with only a very small amount of oil.
	BY MR. NUTTER:
	Q What are the ratios of the Pan American wells to the west?
5	A I am sorry. I don't have any information on their wells.
99-E H	BY MR. PAYNE:
PHONE CH 3-6691	Q What is the percentage of nitrogen, as a rule of thumb.
-	that purchasers use in determining whether they want the gas or not?
	A Well, actually the purchasers go more by BTU content,
	rather than nitrogen, although one is the reflection of the other,
	and I think in most gas contracts they require a minimum of, say a
	thousand BTU, from there on up. I think that is about the lowest,
	and from the analyses shown here, the analyses with the high nitro-
	gen content show BTU content of approximately 800, which is below
	the usual contract requirements.
	Q That is on the one well?
	▲ Yes, sir.
	Q No. 3 Well?
8	I believe that was the one.
V MEXIC	Q But the other two would meet the minimum standards?
UE, NEV	A The other one was producing with a low gas-oil ratio and
ALBUQHERQUE, NEW MEXICO	it had a low nitrogen content.
ALB	Q So we can't completely rule out the possibility that a
E	gas purchaser will come in this area?
	A No, sir. We can't rule that out. We can only say at the
	present time, at least, no one has shown an interest in coming in.

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

ين ا

PAGE 21

	DY ND MILTORD.
	BY MR. NUTTER:
-	Q Mr. Gray, on your Exhibit No. 9 you show a nitrogen con-
169	tent of 37.58 for the No. 1 well. When was that test made?
CM 3-6691	A Will you repeat that question?
PHONE PHONE	Q You show a nitrogen content of 37.58 for the gas in the
	No. 1 well. Was that well producing gas cap gas or what?
	A Yes, I think so. You see, that analysis was made July
	15th, 1960, and on July 26th we took a test of that well, at which
	time the gas-oil ratio was 5814 cubic feet per barrel, so I think
	that the evidence shows that, due to the high ratio and the high
4 4	nitrogen content that we were taking some gas out of the gas cap
	at that time.
	Q Now, that is not the same test that the nitrogen content
	was reported? Oh, yes, that is the No. 1 well.
	A Yes.
	Q And the only test that has ever been made of this No. 3 wel
NEW MEXICO	is the one that was run on Exhibit 7?
ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO	A Yes, sir, that's right.
DUE, NE	BY MR. PAYNE:
UQUERO	Q You say at the present time if the ratio is increased and
ALI	the allowable was transferred from the No. 3 well to the No. 2 well
	there will be no increase in gas production?
	A By transferring the allowable the gas production will be

٢	th	le ratio on Well No. 3.	
			•
	wi	Q How long do you think this can ill you reach the point, by raising the ratio you also increase the	
	a	mount of gas being produced and italeu.	
3-6691		vour quescion.	
C. PHONE CH 3-6691		A I don't understand y Q This situation isn't going to continue forever in this	
Inc	5	status?	
ICE,		A No, sir. Q You are going to reach the point where more gas is being	
RV		Q You are going to reach the point and flared?	
SE		Q You are going of produced and flared than is now being produced and flared?	
NG			
RTI		A That's right. Q Do you have any idea when that point would be reached?	-
DO		A No, sir, I can't say.	
Y-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.		BY MR. UTZ: Q Mr. Gray, how much gas do you think that you will have to	
SIEF	÷.	Q Mr. Gray, how much gub us of produce from this field before you can get a market?	
-MI		produce from this field bolton answer that question.	
LEY		that with Phillips.	
DEARNLE	KICO	Q You haven't discussed the day last July, and they have express A Phillips analyzed the gas last July, and they have express	;ed
)EA	NEW MEXICO	the sas up to this time.	
1	RQUE, N	no interest in purchasing the gas in a second secon	
	ALBUQUERQUE,	Q Do they have iter gas line plant, or is it necessary to remove it?	
•	•	gas line plant, of	i
		A I don't know.	
,		BY MR. PAYNE: Q Their disinterest might be due to either the velume or the second sec	1.÷
•	7	C Their disinterest might of	

	quality of the gas?	
-1-1-1-4-	A Probably both.	
	BY MR. NUTTER:	
16	Q Transferring the allowable from the No. 3 well to the	e No.
PHONE CH 3-6691	2 well would entail drainage of an 80-acre spacing pattern, wo	uld
PHONE	it not, if you were going to recover the reserves from the	No. 3?
	A Yes, sir.	
	Q Do we have any evidence here today this pool can be	
	efficiently drained on 80-acre spacing?	
	A Yes, sir, I think so.	
	Q What is that evidence?	
	A The high permeabilities shown in the core analyses,	the
	type of porosity existing, existing fractures.	
r	Q Porosity doesn't indicate drainage, does it?	
	A I say permeability.	
÷.	Q Those vugs wouldn't necessarily contribute to the pe	rmea-
	bility, either?	
<u>8</u>	A Well, no, except that if that type of material has g	600
EW MEX	connection between vugs usually you do have high permeability	
QUE, N	existing.	
BUQUE	BY MR. PAYNE:	
ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO	Q I take it, then, this transfer of allowable you are	talk-
	ing about, you contemplate that would be a permanent situation	3
	A No, sir, not necessarily. I think it may be a tempo	rary
	situation.	

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.



		Q When would it be temporary and when would it be permanent;
		turnorary and when would it to P
r		Q When would it be temporary and when would you decide maybe you wanted to let the No. 3 well produce
1	1	Q whom we let the No. 3 well proces
		, the maybe you wanted to the
	who	would you decide mayor r
I		
	1 - 1	owable again? A If the gas-oil ratios on these other wells should increase
		owauto -s
		A If the gas-oil ratios on those ter on, which we think they will, we may reach a time when we
ī	ł	A II the with with we hav reach a time with we
С. РНОИЕ СН 3-6691	1	think they will, we may
. m	11a	ter on, which we only the well No. 3, and
ບ ພິ		ter on, which we think they will, we may uld choose to assign the allowable back to the Well No. 3, and
. ²		uld choose to assign one
2	WO	uld choose to assign
Ţ		t it produce its own allower-
	l Te	t it producing with and
E	- 1	costs on the other
Ŋ	۱.	W 11 one the No. 3 Well?
\mathbf{A}		high or higher than the high
R	1901	Q If the GOR's day itters got as high or higher than the No. 3 well?
E		
\mathbf{v}		A Yes, sir.
сħ		
¥	_ 1.	BY MR. NUTTER:
		31 MA. 1022
E		Q Would you continue to produce the other wells with inter-
Ř	1	Q would you dimitation?
REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.		Q would you mitters if you had a higher ratio limitation?
		mitters 11 you need the gas-oll racio
SE	ļ	Mitters if you had a higher ratio income and the gas-oil ratio
	1	A I think so, we
K	1	
E	1	lower by doing so we would. MR. UTZ: Any more questions? The witness may be excused. MR. UTZ: Any more questions? The taken under advisement.
II		The Without and auestions? The Without a
		MR. UTZ: Any more quite under advisement.
	!	Case will be taken under
X		statements in this case.
DE ARNLEY-MEIER		MR. UTZ: Any more questions: The and Other statements in this case? Case will be taken under advisement. (Whereupon, a short recess was taken.)
		(like mouron, a short recess was
	3	(Whereupon,
	ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO	
. ▼ -1	X	
1 T		
	íQU	
	ine	
. A.	bne	
4	AL N	
-		
• . •		
1. c ^{at}		٤

17

Ì

STATE OF NEW MEXICO

3-6691

Đ

(;

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc. Albuquerder, new mexico) ss

I, JUNE PAIGE, Court Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing and attached transcript of proceedings before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission at Santa Fe, New Mexico, is a true and correct record to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have affixed my hand and notarial seal this 1st day of February, 1961.

Notary Aublic - Court Reporter

PAGE	26
------	----

	-				PAGE	26
	- Lorenterer	<u>I N P</u>	<u>E X</u>			
		WITNESS		·		
	DATE				PAGE	
	RALP	H L. GRAY				
	1	Pirect Examination by Mr. Ke	allahim			
3-6691			art au TU		2	
-		RUDDILUNS by Mr. Nutton			12	
Ċ		CODDITIONS BY Mrs II+-			14	
C. PHONE CH		QUESTIONS by Mr. Nutton			19	
n i		WOBSILUNS DV Mr. Darma			20	
		RUBBILIUNS by Mr. Nutton			20	
E I		QUESTIONS by Mr. Payne			21	
\mathbf{S}		QUESTIONS by Mr. Utz		ar.	21	
		QUESTIONS by Mr. Payne			22	
	I	QUESTIONS by Mr. Nutter QUESTIONS by Mr. Nutter			22 23	
SE	(QUESTIONS by Mr. Payne			23	
		With by Mr. Nutter			23	
S					4 7	ļ
			_			
		<u>EXHIB</u>	<u>ITS</u>			
NEW MEXICO REMAINSTORY AND A DEPORTING SERVICE, Inc. PHO REMAINSTORY AND A DEPORTING SERVICE, Inc.	TUMBER	<u>EXHIBIT</u>				
á "	. //>		<u>IDBNT IFIED</u>	<u>OFFERED</u>	APMITTED	
	x.#1	Map	3			
	x.#2 x.#3	Well Pata	3	11	11	
P-	x•#3	Cross Section	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	11	11	1
E.	x•#4	Core Pata	5	11	11	
R	x.#6	Gas Analysis, No. 1 Well	5	11	11	
B	x.#7	UGS ANGLYSIS No 1 Walk	6	11	11	
B	c. #8	Vas Analysis, No. 3 Wall	6	11 11	11	
E	c. #9	Help		11	11	
8		Well Test Data	6	11	11	
N N				. 41	11	
ž l						
ž			,		-	
ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO						
			* *			
5		I de herei	er hearing of the			
₹		a complete	y certify that	+h.	1	
		the Examin	er having of the on	wie foregoi	ng is	
		heard by me	a on	ise n. A	in	

New Mexi onser Examiner Mission vation Cr

