

Q Se Replicition, Transcript, Smill Exhibits, Etc.

No. 3-61

DOCKET: EXAMINER HEARING - WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 25, 1961 OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION - 9 a.m., CONFERENCE ROOM - STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING, SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

The following cases will be heard before Elvis A. Utz Examiner, or Oliver E. Payne, attorney, as alternate examiner:

CASE 2159:

Application of Continental Oil Company for three non-standard gas proration units. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the establishment of the following-described nonstandard gas proration units in the Jalmat Gas Pool, Lea County, New Mexico:

A 320-acre non-standard gas proration unit consisting of the W/2-E/2 and E/2 W/2 of Section 19, Township 25 South, Range 37 East, to be dedicated to the Sholes B-19 Well No. 1, located in the center of the SE/4 SW/4 of said Section 19.

A 320-acre non-standard gas proration unit consisting of the E/2 and NE/4 NW/4 of Section 1, Township 25 South, Range 36 East, to be dedicated to the Wells B-1 Well No. 1, located in the center of the NE/4 NE/4 of said Section 1.

A 360-acre non-standard gas proration unit consisting of the SE/4, E/2 W/2 and SW/4 SW/4 of Section 29, Township 22 South, Range 36 East, to be dedicated to the Meyer A-29 Well[®]No. 3, located in the center of the SE/4 SW/4 of said Section 29.

CASE 2160:

Application of Continental Oil Company for a quadruple completion . Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order authorizing the quadruple completion of its Northeast Haynes-Apache 9 No. 1 Well, located in the NW/4 SW/4 of Section 9, Township 24 North, Range 5 West, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico, in such a manner as to permit the production of hydrocarbons from the Greenhorn formation through a string of 2 7/8-inch casing, the production of hydrocarbons from the Dakota formation through 2 3/8-inch tubing installed within a string of $4 \frac{1}{2}$ -inch casing, the production of hydrocarbons from the Mesaverde formation through the 2.3/8x 4 1/2-inch annulus of the latter casing string, and the production of hydrocarbons from the Gallup formation through 2 3/8-inch tubing installed within a second string of 4 1/2inch casing, the three strings of casing being cemented in a common well bore.

-2-Docket No. 3-61

<u>CASE 2161</u>:

Application of Texaco, Inc. for a triple completion. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order authorizing the triple completion of the C. H. Weir "B" Well No. 4, located in Unit I, Section 11, Township 20 South, Range 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico, in such a manner as to permit the production of gas from the Eumont Gas Pool, the production of oil from the Skaggs-Glorieta Pool and the production of oil from the Skaggs-Drinkard Pool through the casing-tubing annulus, through 2 3/8inch tubing, and through 2 3/8-inch tubing respectively.

CASE 2162:

Application of The Atlantic Refining Company for an automatic custody transfer system. Applicant, in the abovestyled cause, seeks permission to install an automatic custody transfer system to handle the commingled Justis Tubb-Drinkard and Justis-Blinebry production from the following-described leases:

Langlie Federal Lease, N/2 SE/4 of Section 14 Langlie Federal "A" Lease, S/2 NE/4 of Section 14 Langlie Federal "B" Lease, N/2 NE/4 of Section 14

all in Township 25 South, Range 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico.

CASE 2163:

Application of Yates Drilling Company for an automatic custody transfer system. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks permission to install an automatic custody transfer system to handle the Pennsylvanian formation production from all wells presently completed or hereafter drilled on Federal Lease NM 03283, comprising the W/2 of Section 31, Township 8 South, Range 37 East, Roosevelt County, New Mexico.

CASE 2164:

Application of Hudson and Hudson for an exception to Rule 506 (A) of the Commission Rules and Regulations and for permission to transfer allowables. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an exception to Rule 506 (A) by increasing the limiting gas-oil ratio for the West Tonto Yates Seven Rivers Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, from 2,000 to 6,000 cubic feet of gas per barrel of oil. Applicant further seeks permission to shut-in one well in said pool and transfer its allowable to another well. Docket No. 3-61

CASE 2165:

:3-

Application of Pan American Petroleum Corporation for two unorthodox oil well locations and a non-standard oil proration unit. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of two unorthodox oil well locations in the Cha Cha-Gallup Oil Pool, San Juan County, New Mexico, said locations to be as follows:

Navajo Tribal "E" Well No. 7, to be located 250 feet from the South line and 800 feet from the West line of Section 16.

Navajo Tribal "G" Well No. 5, to be located 1830 feet

from the South line and 885 feet from the East line of Section 18, both in Township 29 North, Range 14 West. Applicant also seeks an 88.7-acre non-standard oil pro-South of the mid-channel of the San Juan River, Township

ration unit in said pool comprising that portion of the SW/4 of Section 16, within the Navajo Reservation lying

29 North, Range 14 West, to be dedicated to said Navajo Application of Pan American Petroleum Corporation for

permission to take interference tests and transfer

CASE 2166:

allowables. Applicant, in the above styled cause, seeks permission to take interference tests in the Cha Cha-Gallup Oil Pool, San Juan County, New Mexico, by shutting in its Navajo Tribal "E" Well No. 3, located in the NE/4 SW/4 of Section 21, Township 29 North, Range 14 West and transferring the allowable of said well in equal parts to the other five wells on the said Navajo "E" Lease.

CASE 2167:

. J.

Application of Chambers & Kennedy for a 200-acre nonstandard gas proration unit and for an unorthodox gas well location. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the establishment of a 200-acre non-standard gas proration unit in the Eumont Gas Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, comprising the NE/4 NE/4, S/2 NE/4, and the N/2 SE/4 of Section 34, Township 19 South, Range 37 East. Said unit is to be dedicated to the Monument State Well No. 1, located on an unorthodox location at a point 1649 feet from the South line and 2197 feet from the East line of said Section 34.

-4-Docket No. 3-61

CASE 2168:

Application of Continental Oil Company for permission to shut-in one well and transfer its allowable to other wells. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks permission to shut-in its Wilder Well No. 20, located 1980 feet from the South and East lines of Section 26, Township 26 South, Range 32 East, El Mar-Delaware Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, and transfer its allowable to the following-offset wells in said Section 26: Wilder Lease Well Nos. 17, 18, 22 and 25.

CASE 2169:

Application of Gulf Oil Corporation for a salt water disposal well. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order authorizing the disposal of produced salt water into the Grayburg and San Andres formations through its J. F. Janda "F" Well No. 17, located in Unit A, Section 4, Township 22 South, Range 36 East, Lea County, New Mexico, with the proposed injection interval from 3999 feet to 5650 feet.

CASE 2170:

Application of Amerada Petroleum Corporation for an amendment of Order R-1750. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an amendment of Order No. R-1750, which authorized the triple completion of its Wimberly Well No. 13, located in Unit M, Section 24, Township 25 South, Range 37 East, NMPM, Lea County, New Mexico, to substitute an undesignated oil pool, probably Paddock, for the Langlie-Mattix which was previously authorized. Applicant also proposes to use three parallel strings of tubing rather than two as provided in Order R-1750.

Case 2170 Leard 1-25-61 3-16-61 1. Supercede R-1750 Carezoro. 2. Shank amerada's request to Slim hale their Wimherly # 13 unit 11-Sec24-255-37E. The well will be completed. with 3'2 set @ 4241 2 perfs @ 4870-4080 + 4145-65 for 54 Pinlo the San andreas, tating 272 run to 5318 + ku/2 6 5017-5557 in any ender_ lignated zone above the Blineber marker and below the base of the Slorietta, 27, set 6 5314 and completel in the Blinety Jone puits an open hope from 534 to 5450. 3 Ske 35 54 p shall be plaster could inside and the two stong of 275 shall be plastic could butade 4. The suredesignated zone shall be assigned in allowable until such time as the Blinebug pool vertical limits have been determent ined by hearing. 5 I would suggest the progranter of an 18 mo. allowable to the kenderin teder one Tembel such time as the Brindy, set limits ane determined. 6. Usual Alual order otherwise. Mund. H

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

> CASE No. 2020 Order No. R-1750

APPLICATION OF AMERADA PETROLEUM CORPORATION FOR A GAS-SALT WATER DISPOSAL-OIL TRIPLE COMPLETION IN THE LANGLIE MATTIX POOL, IN THE SAN ANDRES FORMATION AND IN THE JUSTIS-BLINEBRY POOL, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 o'clock a.m. on July 27, 1960, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Daniel S. Nutter, Examiner duly appointed by the Oil Conservation Commission of New Mexico, hereinafter referred to as the "Commission," in accordance with Rule 1214 of the Commission Rules and Regulations.

NOW, on this <u>18th</u> day of August, 1960, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the application, the evidence adduced, and the recommendations of the Examiner, Daniel S. Nutter, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That the applicant, Amerada Petroleum Corporation, is the owner and operator of the Wimberly Well No. 13, located in Unit M, Section 24, Township 25 South, Range 37 East, NMPM, Lea County, New Mexico.

(3) That the applicant proposes to complete the said Wimberly Well No. 13 in such a manner as to permit the production of gas from the Langlie Mattix Pool through perforations from 2900 feet to 3250 feet, the disposal of salt water into the San Andres formation in the interval from 3575 feet to 4200 feet, and the production of oil from the Blinebry Pool through the open hole from 5300 feet to 5500 feet.

(4) That the applicant proposes to produce the Blinebry oil through 1-1/2 inch tubing and Langlie Mattix gas through the annulus between that tubing and string of 3-1/2 inch casing,

-2-CASE No. 2020 Order No. R-1750

utilizing a hookwall packer to separate the producing horizons, and to dispose of salt water through a parallel string of 3-1/2inch plastic coated casing, both of said 3-1/2 inch casing strings to be cemented in a common well bore.

(5) That the parallel strings of 3-1/2 inch casing should be cemented from the base of the lowest string to a depth of approximately 2100 feet.

(6) That centralizers or turbolizers should be installed on each joint of casing throughout each producing or disposal interval and on each of the first three joints above and below each interval.

(7) That inasmuch as there is approximately 1100 feet of separation between the disposal zone and the lowermost producing zone, and approximately 325 feet of separation between the disposal zone and the uppermost producing zone, and inasmuch as the entire interval, including the disposal and producing zones, will be adequately protected by cement, the mechanics of the proposed completion appear to afford adequate protection to prevent waste and to protect correlative rights.

(8) That although multiple completions incorporating a salt water disposal zone are inherently hazardous and normally should not be authorized, nevertheless the mechanics of this particular completion are feasible and in accord with sound conservation practices.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That the applicant, Amerada Petroleum Corporation, be and the same is hereby authorized to complete its Wimberly Well No. 13, located in Unit M, Section 24, Township 25 South, Range 37 East, NMPM, Lea County, New Mexico, in such a manner as to permit the production of gas from the Langlie Mattix Pool, the disposal of salt water into the San Andres formation, and the production of oil from the Blinebry Pool, the production of oil being through 1-1/2 inch tubing, the production of gas being through the annulus between that tubing and string of 3-1/2inch casing, utilizing a hookwall packer to separate the producing horizones, and the disposal of salt water through a parallel string of 3-1/2 inch plastic coated casing, cemented in a common well bore.

<u>PROVIDED HOWEVER</u>, That the parallel strings of 3-1/2 inch casing shall be cemented from the base of the lowest string to a depth of approximately 2100 feet.

PROVIDED FURTHER, That centralizers or turbolizers shall be installed on each joint of casing throughout each producing CASE No. 2020 Order No. R-1750

or disposal interval and on each of the first three joints above and below each interval.

<u>PROVIDED FURTHER</u>, That the applicant shall complete, operate, and produce said well in accordance with the provisions of Section V, Rule 112-A.

<u>PROVIDED FURTHER</u>, That the applicant shall, upon completion and annually thereafter during the Annual Gas-Oil Ratio Test Period for the Blinebry Pool, or as directed by the Secretary-Director of the Commission, take packer-leakage tests and such other tests as are necessary to ensure that there is no communication between any of the zones.

IT_IS FURTHER ORDERED:

That jurisdiction of this cause is hereby retained by the Commission for such further order or orders as may seem necessary or convenient for the prevention of waste and/or the protection of correlative rights; upon failure of the applicant to comply with any requirement of this order, the Commission may terminate the authority herein granted and require the applicant or its successors and assigns to limit its activities to regular singlezone production in the interest of conservation.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

> STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

JOHN BURROUGHS, Chairman

MURRAY E. MORGAN, Member

A. L. PORTER, Jr., Member & Secretary

SEAL

esr/



.

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OFNEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF AMERADA PETROLEUM CORPORATION FOR AN ORDER AMENDING ORDER NO. R-1750 TO PERMIT TRIPLE COMPLETION OF ITS WIMBERLEY WELL NO. 13 LOCATED IN SECTION 24-255-37E, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO, FOR THE PURPOSE OF PRODUCING OIL FROM AN UNDESIGNATED ZONE AND FROM THE BLINEBRY ZONE AND TO DISPOSE OF SALT WATER INTO THE SAN ANDRES

CASE NO. 2/7C

APPLICATION

Applicant Amerada Petroleum Corporation states that:

1. This Commission by its Order No. R-1750, dated August 18, 1960, in Case No. 2020, after notice and hearing, authorized the Applicant to complete its Wimberley Well No. 13 located in Unit "M," Section 24-258-37E, N.M.P.M., Lea County, New Mexico, as shown on Exhibit "A," so as to permit the production of gas from the Langlie Mattix pool, the disposal of salt water into the San Andres formation and the production of oil from the Blinebry; and said order was issued prior to completion and on the basis of the proposed completion.

2. The said well as completed encountered two oil zones, to wit, an undesignated oil zone perforated between 5017 and 5057 feet and the Blinebry oil zone between 5319 and 5450 feet.

3. This application is for the purpose of amending Order No. R-1750 so that Applicant will be permitted to make a triple completion of this well in such a manner as to permit the production of oil from the undesignated zone through 2-7/8" tubing with perforations between 5017 and 5057 feet, to produce the Blinebry oil in 2-7/8" tubing with open hole from 5319 to 5450 feet and the disposal of salt water into the San Andres formation in the interval between 4070 and 4090 and 4145 to 4165 feet through 3-1/2" casing.

4. The three strings will be cemented in a common well bore and all zones below 1805 feet will be cemented.

5. The method of completion will prevent pollution by salt water and will maintain separation of the two common sources of supply in a manner that will prevent waste and protect the rights of owners.

6. Attached in the form of Exhibit "B" is completed form of application for dual completion on the form prescribed by this Commission pursuant to its Statewide Rule 112-A-IV.

7. Application to dispose of salt water is attached as Exhibit "C."

WHEREFORE, Applicant requests that this matter be set for hearing before an examiner, that notice of hearing be given as required by law and that upon conclusion of the hearing the Commission enter its order authorizing the proposals set forth above.

AMERADA PETROLEUM COBPORATION ushnell

KELLAHIN AND FOX

W. K-UC 2 An Jason W. Kellahin

Attorneys for Applicant

Mary and bit

NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

APPLICATION FOR DUAL COMPLETION

Field Name	-			County	Date
	Justis			Lea	January 10, 1961
Operator			Lease		Well No.
Amer	ada Petroleum	Corporation	Ida	Wimberley	13
Location	Unit	Section		Township	Range
of Well	M	24		25 South	37 East

1. Has the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission beretofore authorized the dual completion of a well in these same pools or in the same zones within one mile of the subject well? YES_____NO__X___

2. If answer is yes, identify one such instance: Order No. _____; Operator, Lease, and Well No.:

. The following facts are submitted:	Uppet Zone	Lower Zone
a. Name of reservoir	Undesignated	Blinebry
b. Top and Bottom of		
Pay Section		
(Perforations)	5017-5057'	он 5319-5450'
c. Type of production (Oil or Gas)	Oil	Oil
d. Method of Production	· · · · · ·	
(Flowing or Artificial Lift)	Flow	Flow

4. The following are attached. (Please mark YES of NO)

a. Diagrammatic Sketch of the Dual Completion, showing all casing strings, including size and setting, top of cement, perforated intervals, tubing strings, including diameters and setting depth, location and type of packers and side door chokes, and such other information as may be pertinent.

Yes_b. Plat showing the location of all wells on applicant's lease, all offset wells on offset leases, and the names and addresses of operators of all leases offsetting applicant's lease.

Yes c. Waivers consenting to such dual completion from each offset operator, or in lieu thereof, evidence that said offset operators have been furnished copies of the application.*

NO d. Electrical log of the well or other acceptable log with tops and bottoms of producing zones and intervals of perforation indicated thereon. (If such log is not available at the time application is filed, it shall be submitted as provided by Rule 112-A.)

5. List all offset operators to the lease on which t	his well is located together with their corre	ect mailing address.
Western Natural Gas Company	Box 1387	Anaconda, Montana
Olsen Oils, Inc.	Drawer 2	Jal, New Mexico
El Paso Natural Gas Company	Box 1384	Jal, New Mexico
Atlantic Refining Company	Box 1610	Midland, Texas
Tidewater Oil Company	Box 547	Hobbs, New Mexico
Anderson-Prichard Oil Corp.	Liberty Bank Building	Oklahoma City, Oklahoma
Jal Oil Company	Box 1744	Midland, Texas
Hamilton Dome Oil Company		Hamilton Dome, Wyoming

CERTIFICATE: I, the undersigned, state that I am the petroleum engineer of the Amerada Petroleum <u>Corporation</u> (company), and that I am authorized by said company to make this report; and that this report was prepared under my supervision and direction and that the facts stated therein are true, correct and complete to the best of my knowledge.

ubert & Signature

Should waivers from all offset operators not accompany an application for administrative approval, the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission will hold the application for a period of twenty (20) days from date of receipt by the Commission's Santa Fe office. If, after said twenty-day period, no protest not request for hearing is received by the Santa Fe office, the application will then be processed.
NOTE: If the proposed dual completion will result in an unorthodox well location and/or a new-standard protation unit in either or both of the producing zones, then separate application for approval of the same should be filed simultaneously with this application.

EXHIBIT "B"

7-3-58

STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

APPLICATION TO DISPOSE OF SALT WATER BY INJECTION INTO A PORCUS FORMATION <u>NOT</u> FRODUCTIVE OF CIL OR GAS

Pcol Name	Justis and Langlie-Mattix County Lea
Operator	Amerada Petroleum Corporation
Address	Box 2040 Tulsa 2, Oklahoma
Lease Name	Ide Wimberley Well No. 13
Depth to top of	injection zone 4070'
	f injection zone4165'
Size of surface	casing 10-3/4" and 11-3/4"
Length of surfa	ce casing855'
Number of sacks	of cement used on surface casing 600 sacks
Size of long st	ring 3-1/2"
Length of long	string 4241'
Number of sacks	of cement used on long string1115
Size of tubing	None Length of tubing None
Depth of tubing	packer setting None
Name and model	of packer None
	of packer None
Is injection th	
Is injection th Is injection th	rough tubing or long string or annulus? <u>Long string</u>
Is injection th Is injection th Was the well dr List perforated	rough tubing or long string or annulus? <u>Long string</u> rough perforations or open hole? <u>Perforations</u>
Is injection th Is injection th Was the well dr List perforated menting operation	rough tubing or long string or annulus? <u>Long string</u> rough perforations or open hole? <u>Perforations</u> illed for salt water disposal purposes? <u>No</u> intervals and number of sacks of cement used on any squeeze ce-
Is injection th Is injection th Was the well dr List perforated menting operati What is depth of	rough tubing or long string or annulus? <u>Long string</u> rough perforations or open hole? <u>Perforations</u> illed for salt water disposal purposes? <u>No</u> intervals and number of sacks of cement used on any squeeze ce- ons <u>None</u>
Is injection th Is injection th Was the well dr List perforated menting operati What is depth of What is depth of Are there any of for injection p	rough tubing or long string or annulus? <u>Long string</u> rough perforations or open hole? <u>Perforations</u> illed for salt water disposal purposes? <u>No</u> intervals and number of sacks of cement used on any squeeze ce- ons <u>None</u> f the shallowest zone productive of oil or gas in this pool? <u>2700'</u> f the deepest zone containing fresh water in this pool? <u>750'</u> ther salt water disposal wells in this pool using this same zone urposes? <u>No</u> . If so, list operator, lease and well
Is injection the Is injection the Was the well dr List perforated menting operation What is depth of Are there any of for injection performed and the performance of	rough tubing or long string or annulus? <u>Long string</u> rough perforations or open hole? <u>Perforations</u> illed for salt water disposal purposes? <u>No</u> intervals and number of sacks of cement used on any squeeze ce- ons <u>None</u> f the shallowest zone productive of oil or gas in this pool? <u>2700'</u> f the deepest zone containing fresh water in this pool? <u>750'</u> ther salt water disposal wells in this pool using this same zone urposes? No . If so, list operator, lease and well
Is injection the Is injection the Was the well dr List perforated menting operation What is depth of What is depth of Are there any of for injection performed number What is the app	rough tubing or long string or annulus? <u>Long string</u> rough perforations or open hole? <u>Perforations</u> illed for salt water disposal purposes? <u>No</u> intervals and number of sacks of cement used on any squeeze ce- ons <u>None</u> f the shallowest zone productive of oil or gas in this pool? <u>2700'</u> f the deepest zone containing fresh water in this pool? <u>750'</u> ther salt water disposal wells in this pool using this same zone urposes? <u>No</u> . If so, list operator, lease and well
Is injection the Is injection the Was the well dr List perforated menting operation What is depth of What is depth of Are there any of for injection per number What is the app Will system be	rough tubing or long string or annulus? <u>Long string</u> rough perforations or open hole? <u>Perforations</u> illed for salt water disposal purposes? <u>No</u> intervals and number of sacks of cement used on any squeeze ce- ons <u>None</u> f the shallowest zone productive of oil or gas in this pool? <u>2700'</u> f the deepest zone containing fresh water in this pool? <u>750'</u> ther salt water disposal wells in this pool using this same zone urposes? <u>No</u> . If so, list operator, lease and well
Is injection the Is injection the Was the well dr List perforated menting operation What is depth of What is depth of Are there any of for injection performed What is the app Will system be Will injection	rough tubing or long string or annulus? <u>long string</u> rough perforations or open hole? <u>Perforations</u> illed for salt water disposal purposes? <u>No</u> intervals and number of sacks of cement used on any squeeze ce- ons <u>None</u> f the shallowest zone productive of oil or gas in this pool? <u>2700'</u> f the deepest zone containing fresh water in this pool? <u>750'</u> ther salt water disposal wells in this pool using this same zone urposes? <u>No</u> . If so, list operator, lease and well roximate volume of salt water to be injected daily? <u>1,000 barrels</u> open or closed type? <u>Closed</u>

EXHIBIT "C"

Is this well so cased and completed that water can enter no other formation than the above set out injection cone?
Yes
Attach a complete full-scale electrical log of this well. <u>Will submit at hearing</u>.

2. List names and addresses of all offset operators and surface owners.

t this of the annual the control of the second s		
Mestern Natural Gas Company	Box 1387	Anaconda, Montana
Olsen Oils, Inc.	Drawer 2	Jal, New Mexico
El Paso Natural Gas Company	Box 1384	Jal, New Mexico
Atlantic Refining Company	Box 1610	Midland, Texas
Tidewater Oil Company	Box 547	Hobbs, New Mexico
Anderson-Prichard Oil Corp.		.Oklahoma City, Oklahoma
Jal Oil Company	Box 1744	Midland, Texas
Hamilton Dome Oil Company		Hamilton Dome, Wyoming
Ida May Wimberley	Box 1071	Jal, New Mexico
Glenn Wayne Wimberley	35 Minguil Drive	Newark, Delaware
Lewis Woodrow Wimberley	Box 74	Quartz Hill, California
Levie Elane Wimberley Tisdail	719 Arbor Drive	Vandenberg AFB, California
Gurvis Earl Wimberley	Box 1071	Jal, New Mexico
Jewell Ella Ward	Box 365	Jal, New Mexico
Mary Elsie Jones Turner	Box 211	Jal, New Mexico

3. Rave notices of this application been sent by registered mail or given to all offset operators and to the New Mexico State Engineer? Yes

4. Attach waivers from all offset operators and New Mexico State Engineer.

- 5. Attach waivers from surface owners of land on which well is located or a letter from company making application to the surface owner explaining said application and requesting waiver. Surface owners have been sent copy of application.
- 6. No application will be processed until Item 1 has been attached to the application. Should all necessary waivers not accompany application, the Commission shall hold such application for a period of fifteen (15) days from date of receipt in the Santa Fe office. If, after said fifteen (15) day period, no protest or request for hearing is received in the Santa Fe office, the application will then be processed.

AMERADA PETROLEUM CORPORATION

STATE OF OKLAHOMA COUNTY OF TULSA

BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority, on this day personally appeared Herbert D. Miller , known to ue to be the person whose name is subscribed to the above instrument, who being by me duly sworn on oath states that he is duly authorized to make the above report and that he has knowledge of the facts stated therein and that said report is true and correct.

Margaut Jay Hotery Put

My Commission expires November 30, 1963

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION CONMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

> CASE No. 2170 Order No. R-1750-A

APPLICATION OF AMERADA PETROLEUM CORPORATION FOR A SALT WATER DISPOSAL-OIL-GIL TRIPLE COMPLETION IN LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for bearing at 9 o'clock a.m. on January 25, 1961, at Santa Pe, New Mexico, before Elvis A. Uts, Examinar duly appointed by the Oil Conservation Counission of New Mexico, hereinafter referred to as the "Counission," in accordance with Rule 1214 of the Counission Rules and Regulations.

HOW, on this ^{22nd} day of March, 1961, the Coumission, a quorum being present, having considered the application, the swidence adduced, and the recommendations of the Examiner, Elvis A. Wha, and being fully advised in the premises,

FIRS:

同業

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Coumission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That the applicant, Amerada Petroleum Corporation, is the owner and operator of the Wimberly Well No. 13, located in Unit M. Section 24, Younship 25 South, Range 37 East, MAPH, Lea County, New Nextco.

(3) That the applicant proposes to complete the stid Minherly Well No. 13 in such a manner as to permit the disposal of salt water into the Sam Andres formation in the intervals from 4070 feet to 4090 feet and from 4145 feet to 4165 feet, the production of oil from an undesignated some performed in the interval from 5017 feet to 5057 feet, and the production of oil from the Justis-Blinebry Pool through open hole from 5319 feet to 5450 feet.

(4) That the applicant proposes to inject the salt water through 3 1/3-inch casing set at 4241 feet and to produce oil from the undesignated zone through 2 7/8-inch casing set at 5318 feet and to produce oil from the Justis-Blinebry Pool through 2 7/8inch casing set at 5341 feet, the three casing strings being bemented in a common well hore. CASE No. 2170 Order No. R-1750-A

(5) That the casing strings should be comented from the top of the open hole at 5319 feet up to a depth of 1805 feet.

(6) That centralizers or turbolizers should be installed on each joint of casing throughout each producing and disposal interval and on each of the first three joints above and below each interval.

(7) That while multiple completions incorporating a salt water disposal zone are inherently hazardous, the mechanics of this proposed completion appear to afford adequate protection to any fresh waters and all productive formations.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That the applicant, Amerada Petroleum Corporation, be and the same is hereby authorized to complete its Winherly Well No. 13, located in Unit M. Section 24, Township 25 South, Range 37 East, MMPM, Lea County, New Mexico, in such a manner as to permit the disposal of salt water into the San Andres formation in the intervals from 4070 feet to 4090 feet and 4145 feet to 4165 feet, the production of oil from an undesignated zone performed in the interval from 5017 feet to 5057 feet, and the production of oil from the Justis-Blinebry Pool through open hole from 5319 feet to 5450 feet. The salt water will be injected through 3 1/2-inch casing, the undesignated zone produced through 2 7/8inch casing, and the Justis-Blinebry Pool produced through 2 7/8inch casing.

<u>PROVIDED HOMEVER</u>. That the 3 1/2-inch casing shall be internally plastic costed and each of the 2 7/8-inch casing strings shall be plastic costed on the outside.

from the top of the open hole at 5319 feet up to a depth of 1805 feet.

<u>PROVIDED PURPHER</u>, That centralizers or turbolizers shall be installed on each joint of casing throughout each producing and disposal interval and on each of the first three joints above and below each interval.

<u>**PROVIDED FURTHER**</u>, That the applicant shall complete, operate, and produce said well in accordance with the provisions of Section V, Bule 112-A.

<u>PROVIDED FURTHER</u>, That the applicant shall, upon completion and annually thereafter during the Annual Gas-Oil Ratio Test Period for the Blinebry Pool, or as directed by the Secretary-Director of the Commission, take communication tests to ensure that there is no communication between any of the zones. -3-CASE No. 2170 Order No. R-1750-A

A State of the second second

esr/

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED:

That jurisdiction of this cause is hereby retained by the Commission for such further order or orders as may seen necessary or convenient for the prevention of waste and/or the protection of correlative rights; upon failure of the applicant to comply with any requirement of this order, the Commission may terminate the authority herein granted and require the applicant or its successors and assigns to limit its activities to dual some or regular single-zone production in the interest of conservation.

(2) That Order No. R-1750 be and the same is hereby superseded.

(3) That the subject well shall be assigned an allowable in the undesignated zone subject to review at a future measurelature hearing to determine the vertical limits of the Justis-Blinebry Pool.

DOMM at Santa Fe, New Maxizo, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

> STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL COMPRENATION COMPLISEION

x m

ROWIN L. MECHEN, Chairman

Escuelken S. WALKER. Maniber

Parter, p. (1) h.(

L. PORTER, Jr., Moniber & Secretary

DRAFT

OEP/esr March 17, 1961

> BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE No. 2170

Order No. R-1750-A

APPLICATION OF AMERADA PETROLEUM CORPORATION FOR A SALT WATER DISPOSAL-OIL-OIL TRIPLE COMPLETION IN LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

et 3/2'

ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 o'clock a.m. on January 25, 1961, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Elvis A. Utz Examiner duly appointed by the Oil Conservation Commission of New Mexico, hereinafter referred to as the "Commission," in accordance with Rule 1214 of the Commission Rules and Regulations.

NOW, on this day of March , 1961, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the application, the evidence adduced, and the recommendations of the Examiner, Elvis A. Utz _____, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That the applicant, Amerada Petroleum Corporation, is the owner and operator of the Wimberly Well No. 13, located in Unit M, Section 24, Township 25 South, Range 37 East, NMPM, Lea County, New Mexico.

(3) That the applicant proposes to complete the said Wimberly Well No. 13 in such a manner as to permit the disposal of salt water into the San Andres formation in the intervals from 4070 feet to 4090 feet and from 4145 feet to 4165 feet, the production of oil from an undesignated zone perforated in the interval from 5017 feet to 5057 feet, and the production of oil 53/9from the Justis-Blinebry Pool through the open hole from 5390feet to 5500 feet. -2-CASE No. 2170 Order no. R-1750-A

(4) That the applicant proposes to inject the salt water through 3 1/2-inch casing set at 4241 feet and to produce oil from the undesignated zone through 2 7/8-inch casing set at 5318 feet and to produce oil from the Justis-Blinebry Pool through 2 7/8inch casing set at 5341 feet, the three casing strings being cemented in a common well bore.

(5) That the casing strings should be cemented from the top of the open hole at -point 5319 feet up to a depth of 1805 feet.

(6) That centralizers or turbolizers should be installed on each joint of casing throughout each producing and disposal interval and on each of the first three joints above and below each interval.

(7) That while multiple completions incorporating a salt water disposal zone are inherently hazardous, the mechanics of this proposed completion appear to afford adequate protection to any fresh waters and all productive formations.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That the applicant, Amerada Petroleum Corporation, be and the same is hereby authorized to complete its Wimberly Well No. 13, located in Unit M, Section 24, Township 25 South, Range 37 East, NMPM, Lea County, New Mexico, in such a manner as to permit the disposal of salt water into the San Andres formation in intervals from 4070 for the 400 High for High for High for the production of dil from an undesignated zone perforated in the interval from 5017 feet to 5057 feet, and the production of oil 5319from the Justis-Blinebry Pool through the open hole from 5000 feet to 5500 feet. The salt water will be injected through 3 1/2-inch casing, the undersignated zone produced through 2 7/8-inch casing, and the Justis-Blinebry Pool produced through 2 7/8-inch casing.

PROVIDED HOWEVER, That the 3 1/2-inch casing shall be plastic coated **summer** and each of the 2 7/8-inch casing strings shall be plastic coated outside.

PROVIDED FURTHER, That all casing strings shall be cemented up from the top of the open hole at appoint 5319 feet/to a depth of 1805 feet.

-3-CASE No. 2170 Order No. R-1750-A

istery Itali PROVIDED FURTHER, That centralizers or turbolizers shall be installed on each joint of casing throughout each producing and disposal interval and on each of the first three joints above and below each interval.

PROVIDED FURTHER, That the applicant shall complete, operate, and produce said well in accordance with the provisions of Section V, Rule 112-A.

<u>PROVIDED FURTHER</u>, That the applicant shall, upon completion and annually thereafter during the Annual Gas-Oil Ratio Test Period for the Blinebry Pool, or as directed by the Secretary-Director of the Commission, take communication tests to ensure that there is no communication between any of the zones.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED:

That jurisdiction of this cause is hereby retained by the Commission for such further order or orders as may seem necessary or convenient for the prevention of waste and/or the protection of correlative rights; upon failure of the applicant to comply with any requirement of this order, the Commission may terminate the authority herein granted and require the applicant or its successors and assigns to limit its activities to dual zone or regular single-zone production in the interest of conservation.

(2) That Order No. R-1750 be and the same is hereby superseded.

(3) That the subject well shall be assigned an allowable in the undesignated zone subject to review at a inomenclature hearing to determine the vertical limits of the Justis-Blinebry Pool.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated. GOVERNOR Edwin L. Mechem Chairman

State of New Mexico fil Conservation Commission

LAND COMMISSIONER E. S. JOHNNY WALKER MEMBER



STATE GEOLOGIST A. L. PORTER, JR, SECRETARY - DIRECTOR

SANTA FE March 23, 1961

Mr. Jason Kellahin Kellahin & Pox Box 1713 Santa Pe, New Mexico

Re: Case No. 2170 Order No. 2170 Applicant: Amerada Petroleum Corporation

Dear Sir:

Enclosed herewith are two copies of the above-referenced Commission order recently entered in the subject case.

Very truly yours, . L. PORTER, Jr. Secretary-Director

ir/

Carbon copy of order also sent to:

Hobbs OCC * Artesia OCC Aztec OCC

OTHER Mr. Howard Bratton

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION Santa Fe, New Mexico January 25, 1961 PAGE

1

Case 2170

EXAMINER HEARING

IN THE MATTER OF:

Application of Amerada Petroleum Corporation for an amendment of Order R-1750. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an amendment of Order R-1750, which authorized the triple completion of its Wimberly Well No. 13, located in Unit M, Section 24, Township 25 South, Range 37 East, NMPM, Lea County, New Mexico, to substitute an undesignated oil pool, probably Paddock, for the Langlie-Mattix which was previously authorized. Applicant also proposes to use three parallel strings of tubing rather than two as provided in Order R-1750.

BEFORE:

Elvin A. Utz, Examiner

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

MR. UTZ: Case 2170.

MR. PAYNE: 2170, application of Amerada Petroleum Corporation for an amendment of Order R-1750.

MR. KELLAHIN: Jason Kellahin, Kellahin & Fox, Santa Fe, representing the applicant. We will have one witness, Mr. Christie. (Witness sworn.)

MR. KELLAHIN: By way of introduction, this is an application to amend the Order R-1750, which was entered in Case No. 2020. At this time I would like to move to incorporate the record in Case 2020 in this proceeding, since it involves the same subject matter.

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

A.LBUQUERQUE,

CH 3-6691

PAGE 2 MR. UTZ: The record in Case 2020 will also become a part of the record in this case. R. S. CHRISTIE called as a witness, having been previously duly sworn, testified 1699-1 as follows: IJ DIRECT EXAMINATION Inc. BY MR. KELLAHIN: DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Would you state your name, please? Q R. S. Christie. By whom are you employed and in what position? Q Petroleum engineer, Amerada Petroleum Corporation, Tulsa, A Oklahoma. Have you testified before the Oil Conservation Commission ٥ of New Mexico as a petroleum engineer? Yes, I have. A MR. KELLAHIN: Are the witness's qualifications acceptable? MR. UTZ: Yes, they are. (By Mr. Kellahin) Mr. Christie, are you familiar with 0 NEW MEX the application in Case 2170 now being heard? Yes, sir. A Would you state what is proposed in this application? Q In Case No. 2020 we sought to dual complete our Wimberly A No. 13 as a producer from the Langlie-Mattox gas pool and the Blinebry oil pool, and inject salt water into the San Andres. We found, after drilling the well, that we had a productive zone

what we called in our application a non-designated zone, and we are here now to apply for permission to recomplete this well in this undesignated zone, together with the other two zones that have been approved.

Q Attached to the application was a plat marked Exhibit A. Would you state briefly what that shows?

A Exhibit A, attached to the application, has been revised to include some later wells. Exhibit A shows the development around the Wimberly lease. The Wimberly lease is outlined in red, with the subject well, the Wimberly No. 13 in the SW/4 of the SW/4 of Section 24, Township 25 South, Range 37 East. The legend at the bottom of the plat on the left-hand side indicates the various formations that the various wells are completed in, to the best of our knowledge, at least.

Q Does the exhibit also show the lease ownership?

A Yes, it does.

Q <u>Likewise</u>, attached to the application was a form of application for a triple completion, marked as Exhibit B; is that correct?

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

ALBUQUERQUE

A Yes, that's correct.

Q Poes that set forth the proposal involved in this application?

A Yes, sir.

Q Also attached to the application, marked as Exhibit C, was application for disposal of salt water. Poes that correctly



reflect what you propose in this application?

A Yes, it does.

Q Now, Mr. Christie, have you made a diagrammatic sketch of the proposed dual completion?

A Yes, sir.

3-6691

PHONE CH

Inc.

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE,

LIBUQUERQUE,

MR. KELLAHIN: Could we have that marked as Exhibit D, please?

Q Referring to what has been marked as Exhibit D, would you discuss the information shown on the exhibit?

A Exhibit P shows the present completion of the subject well. The salt water injection zone was cased off and 3 1/2-inch casing was set at 4241, and then, in place of running the 3 1/2-inch producing string with 1 1/2 inside as we contemplated in the original application, we have now run two strings of 2 7/8-inch tubing, one set at 5318 feet and perforated for production from the undesignated zone, perforations being from 5017 feet to 5057 feet. The other string is completed in open hole, or is run into open hole from a depth of 5319 to 5450 feet, and is used for production from the Blinebry zone. The top of the cement is indicated, 1805 feet, so that all three strings have been cemented, and you will also note that the 3 1/2-inch salt water string is internally plastic-coated, and the other two strings externally plastic-coated.

Q How does that completion differ from the completion approved by Order R-1750?

The difference is that now we have a single string for



PAGE 5

each producing zone, whereas before we had a 1 1/2-inch string running inside 3 1/2-inch string with a packer set in, and this appears to us to be more adaptable to this kind of production In your opinion, is that a safer and more feasible type of operations. completion than that that was originally approved by the Commission? I don't know that it is any safer, but it is much easier to run and complete this way, and we felt that the other one was safe enough, but if there is any difference this would probably be DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, more, meet their approval moreso than the other. In your opinion will the completion which you have made here insure against communication between the zones which are open in the well bore? Yes, I think so. No you have an electric log on the subject well? Q MR. KELLAHIN: May we have that marked as Exhibit E, Yes, sir. A Referring to what has been marked as Exhibit E, would you plaase? state what information you have shown on that exhibit? ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO Exhibit E is a sonic log of the subject well and included on the log are various tops, the different formations from the top of the Tansill down through the Blinebry zone. The principal ones we are concerned about, however, are the San Andres, in which we are injecting water, and the undesignated zone which lies between

3-6691

PHONE CH 3

Inc.

-

a:4

the base of the Justis Gas and the top of the Blinebry and then, of course, the lower zone which is the Blinebry zone.

Q In your opinion, what is this undesignated zone, or do you have an opinion on it?

A Based on the definition of the Paddock gas zone, which is defined in Order No. R-1670, that is marked as approximately 4873 feet on this exhibit, so, from the base of the gas zone to what we choose to call the top of the Blinebry, or the top of Clear Fork at approximately 5130 feet, it is a zone that contains oil, and if we were asked for definition of that particular zone we'd prefer to call it the Lower Paddock, since it is below the gas, and it contains zones of porosity and permeability and saturation, oil saturation.

Q Was that particular zone involved in a nomenclature case at the last hearing?

A Yes, it was.

Q Po you know what occurred in that case?

A The case was dismissed for further study.

Q That involved the zone which is the subject of your testimony here?

A It involves both that zone and probably the Blinebry, because there is apparently a difference of opinion as to where the top of the Blinebry is. The top of the Blinebry we have shown on this exhibit is the correlation point that we believe the Commission geologists in the Hobbs Office picked for the top of the Blinebry, and we have been using that as a top of the producing zone, or top

3-6691

Б

PAGE 6

of the Blinebry.

Q Has that top ever been defined by any order of the Commission, to your knowledge?

A It has never been defined, the vertical limits, that I know of.

Q It is just a matter of field practice that you picked the top?

A Yes, sir.

Q In your opinion is there separation from what you have denominated the Lower Paddock, and the Blinebry?

A Yes, we feel there is. We have some substantiating evidence that indicates they are separated. In the undesignated zone, or the Paddock, the gravity of the oil is higher.

Q Do you have those figures?

A Yes. On a test upon completion the well produced 324 barrels with a gravity of 42.1 API; gas-oil ratio, 11,000 cubic feet. That was taken on December 21, or, at least, the test was started on the 21st. The gravity of the Blinebry zone was 37.8 with a gas-oil ratio of 457. In addition to the variation of the gravities, there is some difference in the bottomhole pressure. The static bottomhole pressure of the Paddock, or undesignated zone, is approximately 2343 pounds at minus 2300 feet, and the pressure of the Blinebry zone, maximum pressure recorded was 2211 at the same datum. Now, if we can correct the Paddock to the interval from where the production is coming from, there is not very much



7

PAGE

3-6691

5

Inc.

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE,

NEW MEX

ILBUQUI

difference in the bottomhole pressure, approximately 22 pounds, the Paddock being the higher zone, has a higher pressure than the Blingbry zone which is some 300 feet lower.

Is there any difference stratigraphically in the for-۵ mations involved?

Yes, there is. Generally, we pick the top of the Blinebry, A here. the top of Clear Fork, where we get a clean break in the dolomite. The dolomite is clean and practially one hundred percent, whereas, above that we have a sandy dolomite and sand streak, so from the formation standpoint the material, the formation is different.

Were Exhibits A through E, inclusive, prepared by you or Q under your supervision?

A Yes, sir.

MR. KELLAHIN: At this time I would like to offer in evidence Exhibits A through E.

MR. UTZ: Without objection Exhibits A through E will be entered into the record.

(By Mr. Kellahin) Do you have anything further to add, Q Mr. Christie?

No. except that we believe that we are justified in asking for this dual for these two reservoirs and receive allowable on the undesignated zone or the Lower Paddock, as we would like to have it called. The well has been completed now since about the

loth of December.



PAGE 8

MEXICO

NEW

LIBUQUERQ

5

Q Is there any change in your proposal as to the disposal
of salt water from your previous case?
A No, there isn't. It is the same completion that we hav
proposed in our original application.
MR. KELLAHIN: That is all the questions I have, Mr. Utz
BY MR. UTZ:
Q Mr. Christie, how thick is the plastic coating on this
tubing that you are using?
A I don't know. I can't answer that question.
Q It is threaded tubing?
A Yes.
Q What is the possibility of scratching or damaging the
 plastic coating in running the tubing?
A I think it would be rather remote.
Q You don't believe that by damaging the tubing you could
possibly cause considerable corrosion in your salt water string?
A No, I doubt it.
Q As an additional precaution, that is why you are using
external plastic-coating on your other two strings?
 A Well, I don't think we would need it for that because
they are all encased in coment. I don't think one could get from
the injection string to the other anyway.
Q What kind of centralizers have you used?
A I believe we followed the order that was set out in Case
2020 where we were required to run a turbilizer, and I don't know

ني .

the particular make, but I am sure we followed that plan. From the top of the cement at 1805 to the surface casing at 855 it is open hole, is that right? Yes, that is correct. A 3-6691 Are there potable waters or oil zones in that area? Q 3 No. The surface pipe is low enough to protect all fresh Inc. waters, and, to the best of my knowledge, there is no water sand SERVICE, or oil sands, gas sands, between 855 and 1805. What is the nearest well to this area to be completed in Q the San Andres? REPORTING I would assume it would be in the Monument, although I Â am not positive. It would be a considerable distance away? 0 Yes, sir. DEARNLEY-MEIER MR. KELLAHIN: If I am not mistaken that was the effect of the testimony in Case 2020, Mr. Utz. Q (By Mr. Utz) What did you say the Blinebry gravity was, or did you give it? NEW MEXICO 37.8. 0 At the same datum you have 2211 for the Blinebry and ALBUQUERQUE, 2340 for the undesignated zone? 2343, yes, sir. And the Blinebry zone, what was the GOR on it? Û. 457. and 11,000 on the undesignated zone?

PAGE 10

Yes, sir. No you have as much separation between the disposal zone BY MR. PAYNE: and the uppermost producing zone now as you had when the Langlie-Mattix was proposed as the other producing zone? CM 3-6691 I den't know that I follow your question. Is the production from this undesignated zone lower or PHONE Inc. Q higher than that of the Langlie-Mattix? DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, It is lower. This undesignated is? Q Actually, you have more separation now as far as distance, Hard Cont American and a second second from your injection interval to the first producing? Actually the Langlie-Mattix would be above the perfor-A So now the injection is above both of the producing horiations in the San Andres. zons whereas before it was in the middle? Q That's right. I might add, we know we have a good cement job here; in testing it we had a vacuum on our salt water disposal well and we took a flow test on our undesignated zone. The pressure ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO on the Blinebry continued to build up slightly after we shut it in while we were taking the flow test on the Paddock, and it had declined during the test from about 2350 -- well, 2343 -- down to, when it was first opened up, down to 14, approximately 1500 pounds. Then it gradually built back up to 16, about 1640 or 50 when the

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

well was shut in, so there was no decline at all in the Blinebry while the undesignated zone was on production. After about four days the undesignated zone continued to build up and reached a maximum of about 2300 pounds, not quite as high as it was in the initial test.

BY MR. UTZ:

Q Did the Langlie-Mattix zone prove to be barren?

A That I don't know.

MR. UTZ: Any other questions of the witness? Witness may be excused. Any other statements in this case?

MR. BRATTON: Howard Bratton, appearing on behalf of Atlantic Refining Company. Atlantic Refining Company owns the N/2 of the SE/4 of Section 23, which is a diagonal offset to the well in question. Atlantic has only one concern in the case. That is with respect to the so-called undesignated zone. At the outset I would like to state, we have no objection to Amerada being given allowable for this undesignated zone on a temporary basis. We do observe there is a question as to where the top of the Blinebry is, and there is a disagreement among the operators in the pool. It is my understanding that there has been called a meeting of the operators in the pool to see if they can agree on vertical limits and, in conjunction with the Commission, establish vertical limits that are satisfactory to the Commission and to all of the operators.

It is for that reason, that the matter is under consideration, and there is an attempt to work it out, that we do ask that any

allowable to be granted in this case for the undesignated zone be on a temporary basis pending the attempt to work this out amicably among all the parties and the Commission. Otherwise, of course, it will come on for hearing before the Commission.

MR. UTZ: Where did you say your interests were, in Section 23?

MR. BRATTON: It would be the N/2 of the N/2 of the SE/4. MR. PAYNE: Mr. Bratton, I assume that Atlantic Refining feels there is possibly Blinebry production, is that right?

MR. BRATTON: Yes, sir.

3-6691

Đ

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

MR. PAYNE: Then the assignment of a temporary allowable, what depth factor should you use? Are you going to use the Blinebry pool or are you going to use this as a discovery well in the Paddock?

MR. BRATTON: We just bring the Commission's attention to the matter. There is a disagreement as to where the top of the Blinebry is. We have no quarrel with what allowable might be set on a temporary basis. We don't want to interfere with that.

MR. CHRISTIE: Since we think it is possibly a Lower Paddock we would be satisifed to call it, whatever depth that is.

MR. UTZ: The ownership on that map, could it be in error, Mr. Christie?

MR. CHRISTIE: It could well be, Mr. Utz.

MR. UTZ: I believe you show El Paso as the owner of the N/2 of the SE corner of 23.

MR. BRATTON: That could be the gas rights. MR. CHRISTIE: I think they do own the gas rights to some of that.

MR. UTZ: Other questions of the witness? He may be excused. Other statements? Case will be taken under advisement.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)

I, JUNE PAIGE, Court Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing and attached transcript of proceedings before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission at Santa Fe, New Mexico, is a true and correct record to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

SS

Notary Reporter



DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc. Aldiquer new mexico

3-6691

5

PAGE - 15 INDEX PAGE WITNESS R. S. CHRISTIE 2 Pirect Examination by Mr. Kellahin 9 QUESTIONS by Mr. Utz QUESTIONS by Mr. Payne 11 PHONE CH 3-6691 12 STATEMENT by Mr. Bratton DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc. 1 1 --<u> BXHIBITS</u> Admitted **Offered** <u>Identified</u> <u>Exhibit</u> Plat 8 Number Ex.#1 8 3 8 8 Application for triple comp. 3 8 Ex.#2 8 Application, salt water disp. 3 8 Ex.#3 8 4 Piagrammatic sketch Ex.#4 8 8 5 Electric log Ex.#5 ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete reached of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No. 2/7.0 heard by , Examiner Hew Mexico Oil Conservation Commission