CASE 2765: Application of PERRY R. BASS for an unorthodox gas well location.

STATE OF THE STATE





, ⁶.4

El Paso Natural Gas Company

El Paso, Jexas

February 13, 1963

Dil Conservation Commission State of New Maxico Post Office Box 871 Santa Fe, New Mexico

Re:

Powposed Morrow Well, Section 28, Township 19 South, Range 32 East, N. M. P. M., Los County, New Mexico

Gentlemen;

وللمقام فالتقارب والروادي والمتعاد فالاستعاد فتعر فالقلم أحمرها والفاطر والرواري ويرواع الكلامي

El Faso Natural Gas Company has no objection to the drilling of the proposed Morrow test well at a location 1980 feet from the north line and 660 feet from the west line of section 28, Township 19 South, Range 32 East, N. M. P. M., Les County, New Mexico.

Very truly yours,

RL PASO NATURAL GAS COMPANY ORIGINAL SIGNED

SAM SMITH

San Smith Hunngor Land Department

SS: JH: bp

eo: Richardson & Bass Fort Worth National Bank Building Fort Worth, Texas Attention: M., Howard W., Jonnings

BEFORE FXAMINER UTZ OIL CONSTRUCTION COMMISSION CASE NO.

COPY

DRAFT

JMD/esr 2-22-63

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE No. 2765

Order No. R-2436

APPLICATION OF PERRY R. BASS FOR AN UNORTHODOX GAS WELL LOCATION, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.



ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 o'clock a.m. on <u>February 21</u>, 1963, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before <u>Elvis A. Utz</u>, Examiner duly appointed by the Oil Conservation Commission of New Mexico, hereinafter referred to as the "Commission," in accordance with Rule 1214 of the Commission Rules and Regulations.

NOW, on this <u>day of February</u>, 1963, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the application, the evidence adduced, and the recommendations of the Examiner, <u>Elvis A. Utz</u>, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That the applicant, Perry R. Bass, is the operator of in part the Plains Unit Agreement which comprises Section 28, Township 19 South, Range 32 East, NMPM, Lea County, New Mexico.

(3) That the applicant seeks an exception to the Special Rules and Regulations governing the Lusk-Morrow Gas Pool in order to drill a gas well at an unorthodox location 1980 feet from the North line and 660 feet from the West line of Section 28, Township 19 South, Range 32 East.

(4) That the application should be approved in order to prevent drainage of the west portion of Section 28, Township 19 South, kange 32 East by an offsetting well located 1980 feet from the North line and 660 feet from the East line of Section 29, Township 19 South, Range 32 East. -2-CASE No. 2765

(5) That approval of the application will protect the applicant's correlative rights and will not impair the correlative rights of other operators.

(6) That approval of the application will not cause waste.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That the applicant, Perry R. Bass, is hereby granted well leteling requirements of the an exception to the Special Rules and Regulations governing the Lusk-Morrow Gas Pool and is hereby authorized to drill a Lusk-Morrow gas well at an unorthodox location 1980 feet from the North line and 660 feet from the West line of Section 28, Township 19 South, Range 32 East, NMPM, Lea County, New Mexico.

(2) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Commission may deem necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

> STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

JACK M. CAMPBELL, Chairman

E. S. WALKER, Member

A. L. PORTER, Jr., Member & Secretary

NAIN OFFICE OF Paso Natural Gas Company El Paso, Texas

1963 FEB 14 PM 1 36

Mary marked and

February 13, 1963

Gil Conservation Commission State of New Mexico Post Office Box 871 Santa Fe, New Mexico

Re:

Proposed Morrow Well, Section 28, Township 19 South, Range 32 East, N. M. P. M., Les County, Now Mexico

0 4

Gentlemen:

El Paso Natural Gas Company has no objection to the drilling of the proposed Morrow test well at a location 1980 feet from the north line and 660 feet from the west line of section 28, Township 19 South, Range 32 East, N. M. P. M., Lea County, New Mexico.

Very truly yours,

EL PASO NATURAL GAS COMPANY

NA Sam Smith

Manager Land Department

SS:Л:bp

cc: Richardson & Bass Fort Worth National Bank Building Fort Worth, Texas Attention: Mr. Howard W. Jennings

February 7, 1963

Mr. A. L. Porter, Jr. Secretary-Director New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission Box 871 Santa Fe, New Mexico

Dear Sir:

in Hains

We respectfully request an exception to the Special Rules and Regulations for the Lusk-Morrow Gas Pool to permit the drilling of a gas well 1980 feet from the North line and 660 feet from the West line of Section 28, Township 19 South, Range 32 East.

Very truly yours,

PERRY R. BASS

Ry

Howard Bratton Hervey, Dow & Hinkle Post Office Box 10 Roswell, New Mexico

Case 2765 Keard 2-21-63 Rec. 2-27-63 1. Krant Peny R. Ban an emorthade location in the Luck - Honow Has Pool for the a Plains Smit well to be drilled 1970 (N and 660/w of Sec. 25 195- 32 #E. 2. Shant this only for the purpose of presenting draining from The Plains which because of El Pour will which is located 660 from the East line of sec. 29. Compa 660 fret Thurst. . This for a flaggrowt example of 640 and and mit and 160 Acre space

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION CONSISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE FURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE No. 2765 Order No. R-2436

APPLICATION OF PERRY R. BASS FOR AN UNORTHODOX GAS WELL LOCATION, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 o'clock a.m. on February 21, 1963, at Santa Pe, New Mexico, before Elvis A. Utz, Examiner duly appointed by the Oil Conservation Commission of New Mexico, hereinafter referred to as the "Commission," in accordance with Rule 1214 of the Commission Rules and Regulations.

NOW, on this <u>27th</u> day of February, 1963, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the application, the evidence adduced, and the recommendations of the Examiner, Elvis A. Utz, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That the applicant, Perry R. Bass, is the operator of the Plains Unit which comprises in part Section 28, Township 19 South, Range 32 East, NMPM, Lea County, New Mexico.

(3) That the applicant sacks an exception to the Special Rules and Regulations governing the Lusk-Morrow Gas Pool in order to drill a gas well at an unorthodox location 1980 feet from the North line and 660 feet from the Nost line of Section 28, Township 19 South, Range 32 Mast.

(4) That the application should be approved in order to provent drainage of the wast portion of Section 28, Township 19 South, Range 32 Eact by an officiting well located 1980 feet from the Morth line and 560 feet from the East line of Section 29, Township 19 South, Range 32 East.

(3) That approval of the application will protect the applicant's correlative rights and will not impair the correlative rights of other operators. -2-CASE No. 2765 Order No. R-2436

(6) That approval of the application will not cause waste.

IT IS THEREPORE ORDERED:

(1) That the applicant, Perry R. Bass, is hereby granted an exception to the well location requirements of the Special Rules and Regulations governing the Lusk-Morrow Gas Pool and is hereby authorized to drill a Lusk-Morrow gas well at an unorthodom location 1980 feet from the North line and 660 feet from the West line of Section 28, Township 19 South, Range 32 Bast, NHPM, Lea County, New Mexico.

(2) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Commission may deem necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

> STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

CAM PBELL, dhairman

úile

E. S. WALKSR, Member Dite,

A. L. PORTER, Jr., Member & Secretary



332/

Memo From A. L. PORTER, JR. SECRETARY-DIRECTOR 7. 17 Jectan - (Millingen. Order Stailet 3/1/63. QZ

DEVERNOR JACK M. CAMPBELL DHAIRMAN

State of New Mexico Bil Conserbation Commission



P. C. BOX 871 SANTA FE

February 27, 1963

STATE BEOLDGIST A. L. POTTER, JR. BEORETARY - DIRECTOR

Mr. Howard Bratton Hervey, Dow & Minkle Attorneys at Law Box 10 Roswell, New Mexico 2756 & Re: Case Ho. <u>2765</u> Order No. <u>B-2433 &</u> B-2436 Applicant:

Rumble Oil & Refining Company Perry R. Bass

Dear Sir:

E. S. JOHNNY WALKER

MEMBER

Enclosed herewith are two copies of the above-referenced Commission order recently entered in the subject case.

Very truly yours,

A. L. PORTER, Jr. Secretary-Director

ir/

Carbon copy of order also sent to:

Hobbs OCC X

Artesia OCC_____

Artec 000

OTHER____Mr. Jason Kellahin (Case 2756)

۰ . هنه	PAGE 2	2
	BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION Santa Fe, New Mexico February 21, 1963	
VICE, Inc.	IN THE MATTER OF: Application of Perry R. Bass for an unorthodox gas well location, Lea County, New Mexico. (Application of Perry R. Bass for (Application of Perry R. Bass for (Applicat	
REPORTING SERVICE	BEFORE: Elvis A. Utz, Examiner. A. L. "Pete" Porter <u>TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING</u> MR. UTZ: We will now take Case No. 2765. MR. DURRETT: Application of Perry R. Bass for an	
LEY-MEIER	unorthodox gas well location, Lea County, New Mexico. MR. BRATTON: I am Howard Bratton, appearing on behalt of the applicant. We have one witness, Mr. Floyd Acklin. (Witness sworn.)	f
DEARNLEY	MR. UTZ: Are there any other appearances in this case? You may proceed. $\underline{F} \perp \underline{O} \underline{Y} \underline{D} \underline{A} \subseteq \underline{K} \perp \underline{I} \underline{N}$ called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:	
	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. BRATTON:	

e a

Q	Will you state your name, by whom you are employed,
and in wh	at capacity?
А	Floyd Acklin, for the Perry Oil and Gas, Chief Gas
Engineer,	Fort Worth, Texas.
Q	Have you previously testified before this Commission
as an exp	ert witness?
A	I have.
ଜ	Are you familiar with the area in question in this
case?	
A	He is applying for exception to the Lusk Deep Unit.
Q	Being an exception to what?
A	Spacing of the Lusk Unit.
Ŕ	Spacing of the Lusk-Morrow Gas Pool?
А	Yes.
ତ୍	The Rules of that pool call for 640-spacing, is that
correct?	
A	That is correct.
ې م	This calls for location of the well in the interior
of 40-act	e tracts, is that correct?
А	Interior 40 of the center of the section.
ର	And in this case, he is applying for permission to
drill 66) feet from the West line of Section 28, is that correct?
А	That is correct.
ନ୍	The Lusk-Morrow Gas Pool includes Section 29, so that
	posed well would be governed by those pool rules, is

S

		PAGt 4
that	corre	ct?
	A	That is correct.
	Q	Therefore, the necessity for the exception. I refer
to wh	at ha	s been marked Exhibit No. 1, Mr. Acklin, is that a map
of th	e are	a in question?
	A	Yes, it is.
	ହ	Now, are all of the wells that are completed in the
Morro	w sho	own in the big red circles?
	A	That is correct.
	Q	And the proposed location of your well in Section 28
is al	so no	oted on there, is that correct?
	A	Right.
	Q	Now, are any of the Morrow wells completed in accordance
with	the [location requirements of the Lusk-Morrow Gas Pool?
	A	No.
	ନ୍	In other words, all of them are unorthodoxed locations?
	A	That is correct.
	Ą	The well in Section 29 is located 660 feet from the
East	line	of Section 29, is that correct?
	А	That is correct.
	Q	So it is 660 feet from your lease boundary?
	А	Yes.
	ର	All you want to do is locate it 660 feet away from the
leas	e lin	e so you will be equal distance?
	A	Right.

DEARVLEY-METER REPORTING SERVICE. Inc. Albuourroue, n. m. "Hone 243 6601 Phone 903-3971 Phone 903-3971

तन्त्रत २ १ - २

1

с,



Does Exhibit 1 show the contours of the Lusk-Morrow Q Pool? Is that an isopach? That is an isopach map. А It shows that you would be in the same pool? Q и и 192 FARMINGTON, N PIGONE 325-1 Yes, sir. Α Now, this will be a unit well, will it not, the Plains Q Unit? Right. Α And you already have one well completed in the Morrow ହ in Section 27? Α That is correct. SANTA FE, N. M. PHONE 983-367 And that is completed 660 feet from the West line of ର that Section, is that correct? Right. А Now, I take it then, Mr. Acklin, that you are merely Q seeking to protect your correlative rights, to protect the drainage in this case, is that correct? That is correct. А Do Exhibits No. 2 and 3 reflect the drainage patterns? Q ноие, N, M 243 6691 Those are set up for 660-acre drainage. А What does Exhibit No. 2 reflect, Mr. Acklin? ରୁ It reflects the drainage around the El Paso Well in А Section 29, showing the drainage they would receive from their leases and also from ours.

Q

In other words, drawing a perfect circle around the

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

PAGE 6 well, that well would be draining a net 227 acres, I believe it is, over into your lease? Α Right. Now, what does Exhibit No. 3 show? Q FARMINGTON, N. M. PHONE 323-1182 Exhibit 3 shows the nearest location we could locate А under the rules of the Lusk Unit, and shows the drainage around DEARNLEY-METER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc. that location. In other words, if you were to make a standard location, ରୁ the drainage pattern around your well would be as shown on Exhibit 3? That is correct. А SANTA FE, N. M. PHONE 983-397 So that your well would be draining approximately 70 Q acres over into the El Paso area? Correct. А If the location requested is granted, you would be Q equal distance from the line, is that correct? А Right. Therefore, drainage would be equal? ର А Yes. ALBUQUIRQUE, N. M. So any drainage would be balanced by counter drainage? ରୁ А Right. Have you estimated roughly what the percentage of ର drainage from your area would be, if you are forced to use a standard location? We estimate we would lose approximately 25 per cent А

्रम्स २ - २

(a.a.)

9**4**.

Q	Now, Mr. Acklin, El Paso is the operator of the well
	on 29, is that correct?
A	That is correct.
ଢ	They would be the offset operator?
A	Yes.
Q	And have they advised this Commission that they have
no objec	tion to the application in this case?
A	According to the letter we have received, that is
correct.	
	MR. BRATTON: Mr. Examiner, do you have the original
of that	letter in your files?
	MR. UTZ: All we have is your application.
	MR BRATTON: I will ask that a copy of the letter
from El	Paso be marked as Exhibit 4.
	(Exhibit 4 was marked by the reporter.)
Q	Is that a copy of a letter which you received from
El Paso	Natural Gas Company, indicating no objection to the
applicat	ion?
A	Yes.
	If you are permitted to move to the 660-foot location,
ତ୍	
	nat result in any waste in the east part of your section.
would th Mr. Ackl	.in?
would th	

7

PAGE

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

÷......

(WC) 1

, . . . معمد

5

and the little little and

		PAGE 8
of	f the area?	
	A Yes.	
	Q Is there anything further you care to state	in connection
wi	ith this matter?	
	A I believe not.	
	Q Exhibits 1 through 3 were prepared by you or	under
уc	our supervision?	
	A Yes, sir.	
	MR. BRATTON: We offer into evidence Applica	ant's
E	xhibits 1 through 4.	
	MR. UTZ: Without objection, Exhibits 1 thro	ough 4 will
þe	e entered into the record.	
	CROSS EXAMINATION	
B	BY MR, UTZ:	
	Q Mr. Acklin, what is shown on Pan American P	lains No. 1,
d	lid I hear you say that is your well now?	
	A It was drilled in our unit, yes, sir.	
	Q So it is a unit well then?	
	A Yes, sir, that is correct.	
	Q Now, is Section 28 in the Plains Unit?	
	A Yes, sir, it is, Plains Unit and Lusk Unit	of the same
c	common line.	
	Q So the reason you want to drill over is to	protect
у	your drainage from the unit rather than the drainage	from
[Section 28?	
		. ,

A.

	PAGE
A	Yes, sir.
Q	The fact that the El Paso Well is a pretty good well
h a s no in	fluence on your decision in moving over there 660 feet
from the	West line of Section 28?
Α	No, sir, it is just strictly an equity deal. It is
due to eg	uity.
Q	The fact that 18,000 MCF well against 14,526 on the
other sid	e has no influence on your decision?
А	No, sir, just equity.
Q	I am wondering about these drainage patterns here.
Do you fe	el that the Plains Unit No. 1 Well will properly drain
portions	of Section 28?
А	Yes, sir, I believe it will.
ବ	Even though it is a small well?
А	Yes, sir.
Q	640-acre spacing and two wells only 1320 feet apart,
in your o	opinion, is that going to create quite a substantial lo
pressure	area across those section lines?
А	No, sir, I don't believe so. I think the take will
be based	equally and protect that.
ର୍	In other words, they will set close to each other and
fight it	out?
А	Yes, sir.
	MR. UTZ: Are there any other questions of this

Contact to the second

DEARNIEV.MEIER REPORTINC SERVICE Inc

1.1

Şrçal

مبور

...

Tall State

And a straight of the state of

11

PAGE 10 Yes, sir, I have one question. MR. DURRETT: BY MR. DURRETT: Q. Mr. Acklin, I call your attention to the fact that N. M 1182 the newspaper, in preparing the ad for this case, apparently NSTON, 1 1E 325made a mistake and listed the location as 66 feet from the West **JNOH** DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc. Line, and in connection with that, let me ask you this question -in fact, 66 feet from the West Line would be more of a deviation in the rules than the outcome of your proposed location of 660 feet? Α That is correct. MR. UTZ: Any other questions? SANTA FE, N. M. PHONE 983-397 MR. BRATTON: Yes, sir, we would request one question. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. BRATTON: Q Mr. Acklin, has the USGS demanded that you protect the Plains Unit from drainage in this situation? А Yes, they have. MR. BRATTON: I believe that is all. RECROSS EXAMIN __ON ALBUQUERGUE, N. M. PHONE 243 6691 BY MR. UTZ: Q What type of acreage is Section 29? Â It is Federal. MR. UTZ: I am wondering why the applicant in the original case thought he had any need for location rules such as proposed since everything is 660 anyway?

	PAGE 11
	MR. DURRETT: In other words, the exception seems to
n an an Araban an Anna an Anna An an Anna an A	be the rule.
	MR. BRATTON: We will reframe our application, Mr.
	Examiner. We just wanted to comply with the rules in the pool.
33.2 S	MR. DURRETT: By the exception?
A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR O	MR. BRATTON: Yes, sir.
	MR. UTZ: The witness may be excused. If there are
SFRVICE,	no further questions, the case will be taken under advisement.
SN SN	
REPORTING	
REPORT	
RE E PHON	
SR	
- X-	
LE .	
I K N	
D 7ARNLEY-MEIE	
ALRUQUENQUE, N. M.	
10 A L	

A (57)



PAGE	12

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)) ss COUNTY OF BERNALILLO)

FARMINGTON, N. M. FACNE 325-1182

DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc.

54NTA FE, N. M. PHONE 983-3971

ALRUQUEROUE, N. M. PHONE 243 6591

1.2

1 9

រុខ៖

I, ELAINE J. BUCHANAN, Court Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing and attached transcript of hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission at Santa Fe, New Mexico, is a true and correct record to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have affixed my hand and notarial seal this $\frac{16}{10}$ day of April, 1963.

PUBLIC NOTARY

My Commission Expires:

October 14, 1966.

