260

Replication, Transcript,
Small Exhibits, Etc.

January 4, 1950

Nr. Poster lorrell
Oil & Gas Supervisor
U. S. G. S.
P. O. Box ???
Roswell, Now Mexico

Doar ir. forrell:

We enclose herewith, signed copies of the following:

Case No. 200, Order No. 848 Case No. 205, Order No. 849 Case No. 206, Order No. 847.

Very truly yours,

STATE OF MAN MEXICO
ONL CONSERVATION CONTINUESION

R. R. Spurrier Secretary-Director

RRS:by

C

January 4, 3050

REGISTERED MAIL

Lea County Clarators Committee Hobbs, Nov Mexico

Dear liv. Staloy:

We enclose herowith, signed copies of the following:

Case No. 200, Order No. 343 Case No. 205, Order No. 343 Case No. 206, Order No. 349

These are for your records and distribution.

Very wraly yours, STATE OF HEAL MEXICO
OIL COMSERVATION CONTINUESTON

Phis ibu onols.

R. R. Spurrier Secretary-Director

Jamesy 4, 1950

Worth Drilling Company, Inc. Fort Morth National Bank Building Fort Worth, Texas

Attention: Nr. William D. Porris, Secretary

Contlemens

We enclose herewith, signed copy of Case No. 200, Order No. 848, which case was heard by the Oil Conservation Commission of the State of New Moxico in Santa Fe, New Mexico on Newmber 22, 1949.

Very truly yours,

STATE OF MEN MEXICO
OLL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

R. R. Spurmer Secretary-Director

RRS:bw

NEW NEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISCON Santa Fe, New Mexico

NOTICE OF INTENTION TO DRILL

Notice must be given to the Oil Conservation Commission or its proper agent and approval abtained before drilling begins. If changes in the proposed plan are considered advisable, a copy of this notice showing such changes will be returned to the sender. Submit this notice intriplicate: One copy will be returned following approval. See additional instructions in Rules and Regulations of the Commission.

		Fort Worth	2, Texas			Oc	tober 28, 1949	
Santa Fe, Ne Gontlemen:	w Mexico.	imission, 2006 Fo	ort Worth N			dg.	Date	
							Worth Drilling	
		A.C. Taylo	erator	Lease				
of Sec. 12	, T. 1	8-S , R 31-E	, N. M. P. M.,	North	ı Shugar	t Field, Ed	dy County.	
	N.	The well is	25 reet	(N.) (X)	of theS	outhline and	1295 feet	
		(E.) (X) of	(E.) (X) of the West line of said Section 12 above described (Give location from section or other legal subdivision lines. Cross out wrong directions.)					
		(Give location						
		If state land	the oil and gas	s lease is N	тоХ	. Assignment	No. X	
		If patented is	ind the emmer 1	3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	 .		des de la companya del companya de la companya del companya de la	
		Address	\$\$\d\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\		X	-	······································	
		If governmen	t land the perm	nittee is	C Ta	ylor - L.C. 0	58709-A	
		Address	Lovington,	N.M.	T T day you day to did a cit to a glassing dad a state		The second secon	
		The lessee is	The lessee is Worth Drilling Company, Inc.					
10	1 1 1	Address 20	06 Fort Wo	rth Nati	onal Ba	ok Bldg., For	t Worth, Texas.	
AREA LOCATE WI	640 ACRES	We propose	to drill well w	ith drilling	equipmen	as follows: Cal	ole Tools No. 3	
		Machine Powere						
The status of	a bond for thi	s well in conformance	with Rule 39 o	of the Gen	eral Rules	and Regulations	of the Commission	
							ve all Federal	
	Bonds in	good standing owing strings of cas	and Permit	t to do	business	s in New Mexic	.0.	
Size of Hole	Size of Casing	Weight Per Feet	New or Second Har		Depth	Landed or Cemented	Sacks Cement	
10"	8-5/8"OD	2¼ 	New		1100'	Cementeâ	50 sacks by Halliburton	
8-1/4"	7" OD	20#	New API S	ess	34751	Cemented	100 sacks by Halliburton	
If changes in	the above pla	n become advisable w	e will notify vo	on before c	ementing (or landing casing	We estimate that	
		gas sand should occu			_		The Collinate take	
Additional in		r.		1.5				
in Red.	Our Field	address is c/c Worth Office	Roy Charl	esworth,	P. 0.	Box 667, Kerm	d. Lease outlined it, Texas,	
Approved		er	., 19.49 si	incerely you		in the second se	5 - S.	
			€ 101.00	WORTH	DRILLI	NG COMPANY, I		
₹ ***			В	, with	40 Olyan & Maria			
4		Pe	Position Secretary-Treasurer					
	The second state of the second	en de la companya del companya de la companya del companya de la c	Se	end commu	nication re	garding well to		
	ION COMMISSION,	3.* .	Name Worth Drilling Company, Inc.,					
Ву		and the second s		2006 Fort Worth National Bank Bldg.,				
" Titie	en e	man and a second se	A	ddress Fo	rt Wortl	12, Texas.	nation and the same time of the despite department of the same of the same	

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR SUREAU OF LAND MAYAGE ANT WASHINGTON, D. C.

IN BE: OIL AND OAS LEASE, LAS CHICHS SEPIAT NO. 058709(a)

STIPULATION FOR PURPOSE OF SECURING APPROVAL OF UNORTHODOX DELLING LOCATION

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PROSENTS:

That the undersigned, Worth Brilling Company, Inc., Whaley Company, Inc., and J. C. Maxwell, being the owners and holders of that certain Oil and Cas Lease bearing Las Cruces Serial No. Office(a), embracing StSWt Sec. 12 and NtWt Sec. 13, T. 18 S., R. 31 E., N.M.P.M., for the privilege of drilling a well at an unorthodox location 1,295 feet from the West line and 25 feet from the South line of Sec. 12, T. 18 S., R. 31 E., N.M.P.M., embraced in Oil and Cas Lease, Las Cruces Serial No. 058709(a), hereby stipulate and agree that they will not segregate by assignment or otherwise the StSWt Sec. 12 and the NtNWt Sec. 13, T. 18 S., R. 31 E., N.M.P.M., until such time as that well, which is to be known as the A. C. Taylor Well No. 7-A, shall have been properly plugged and abandoned.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, this Stipulation is executed by the undersigned on this <u>26th</u> day of November, 1949.

WORTH DRILLING COMPANY, INC.

By PRESIDEN

William Mon

WHALEY COMPANY, INC.

By William D Mon

PRESIDENT

ATTEST: melace

N. C. Maxwell

Movember 8, 1950

Mr. E. E. Greeson P. O. Box 1302 Albuquerque, New Mexico

Dear Gone:

We enclose herewith, copy of transcript covering the hearing held on Movember 22, 1949, which covors Case 200 through 204.

Please return the transcript to us, as soon as you have finished with it.

Sincorely,

E. E. GREESON OFFICIAL REPORTER UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT P. O. BOX 1302 ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

November 6, 1950

Dear Deek:

I have a request from the Oil Development Compan yh of Texas for a copy of the transcript in Case No. 203. I do not recall taking this, but if you will send down a copy of the transcript I will take care of it. Mrs. Powell asked me if I could take care of it for her if she did it as Ishe is kind of Isnowed lunder (please disregard the lextra l's, I am not used to this electric typewriter).

The latter part of the season will; suit me fine on the elk hunt. Even a little better. Like you, I don't see much point in going up there for a day and a half and not having a chance at lanything. I'll coordinate with Coleman about the details, etc. You let his know when xxxx you wantd to take off. The 17th would be 1 fine.

Regards

DAL COM ON THE CONTRACTOR

3 12 13 1



Land State Land

The following matter case on for consideration refere a joint hearing of the Gil conservation Cosmission of the State of New Mexico, pursuant to legal notice, at Lemma Po, New Mexico, on November 22, 1949, at 10:00 / . N.

POTICE FOR PUBLICATION ST TO OF PER SINGCO OIL CONSERVINGON COLLEGEON

The State of New Mexico by its Cil conservation Confission nereby gives public notice pursuent to have of a public hearing to be held Neverbor MS, 1949, loginating at 10:00 o'clock A.M. of that day in the City of Manta Me. New Mexico, in the Mall of Representatives.

eran of the around on:

All need parties in the following cases, and notice to the public:

Case 200

In the matter of the Application of North Drilling Company, Inc. for an order approving an unorthodox location for A. C. Taylor well No. 7-A. 10 ft. from the south line and 1880 ft. east of the west line (84/4) of Dection 18 in Township 18 south, Henge 31 east, N.A.F.R., in Borth Shagert pool, Fidly County, New Exico.

Case 201

In the matter of the Application of beneiger Oil and Refining Company for an order granting permission to drill twelve unorthodox ("five spot") locations on its Turner "A" and Turner "B" leases in Sections 17, 18, 19 and 20, in Township 17 south, Rango 31 east, R.M.P.M., in the Premier pool, Eddy County, New Moxico.

Case ROB

In the matter of the Application of Howen Oil Company for an order reducing the daily allowable of the Brunson pool, Las County, New Mexico, to 90 harrels per day per well for a period of six months, within which period that through surveys and studios information may be had for the purpose of determining the maximum efficient rate of production of reservoir.

(1880 202

In the ratter of the Application of Panta Fe Pacific Wallroad

Company and Oll Dovelopment Company of Texas, for an order allowing a exception from Commission Order No. 779, of July 27, 1945, providing in 30 were specially pattern for wells in the Crossro-de pool, i.e. County, her medico.

Case 204

In the matter of the Application of America setvolers Corpored than for an action of the Application of America setvolers Corpored the specing of wells for the conson source of supply discovered in the W. W. Hesilton fl well, MO EN Scotion OS, Township 16 south. Tange 28 cast, M.M. M. M. Mowles pool, Les County, New Moxico.

Miven under the seal of the Oil Conservation Colubsiion of New Monico, at Santa Po, New Mexico, on Movember 7, 1949.

STATE OF MER SERVICE ONL COMMENT TIME COUNTRIES OF

/s/ R. R. Spurior R. R. SPORTER, MONTAN

DEAL.

ESPORE:

Hosorable Gay Shopard, Chalman George Graham, Attorney R. R. Spurrier, Secretary

REGISTER:

C. D. Borland Findbus, New Mexico For Gulf Oil Corporation

J. H. Crocker Tulsa, Oklahorn For Mid Continent Ferrolom Corporation

J. A. Soth Santa Fe. Hew Nexteo For Amorada Petroleum Corporation

C. V. Millian Tulsa, Milahowa For America Fetroleum Corporation

J. A. Vector Midland, Texas For Ascreda Petroleum Corporation

R. S. Christie Ft. Worth, fixes For America Petroleum Corporation

Hilliam C. Schauer Rossell, New Moxico For Worth Drilling Coupany

Roy O. Yarbrough Hobbs, New Moxico For the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission Jok H. Chaptell value in the Hoxico For Toxas Pacific Coal & Oil Company

Clvis . Sts Lints So, New dexico For the New 1982to Oil Conservation Commission

P. B. Kinney Arcesta, New Moxico For the New Moxico Russeau of Mines

A. O. Stora Hobbs, New Mexico For Sheil Oil Company

Clem Staley Hobbs, New Mexico For Lea County Countries

Robert F. Herron
AKRILLO, Texas
For OLL Development Company of Texas

E. A. Paschal
Annuillo, Texas
For Oll Development Company of Yours

H. C. Iden
Albuquerque, New Mexico
For Oll Development Co. of Texas
Danta Fe Facific Hailway Company

E. J. Hemenway Libuquerque, bow Mexico For Sunta Fe Pecific Railway Company

Harold Kersey Artesia, New Mexico For Dencigor Oil & Refining Company

John E. Cochran, Jr. Artesia, New Mexico Danciger Oil & Refining Cospany

Ed Mokellar, Jr. Dallas, Texas Englis Petroleum Company

E. P. Koeler Dallas, Toxas, Magnolia Petrolows Corporation

O. E. Van Beter Bidlani, Toxas Bugnolia Potrolow Company

Roy T. Durst Ft. Worth, Toxas For Royan Oll Company

| ikinilton Rogers | Ft. Worth, Yexas | For Rowan Oil Company

G. F. Gray Jackend, Con . Por Jackels Wil & Ges Company

as Value addition which was nooting plants come to order.

(the Graham word Hotico of Stabilionalon.)

collect Asserter. The order of the cones has been changed slightly by the Counteston-Case No. 1808 will be heard first; Case 200 till be second; 201, third; 200, fourth; 204, fifth.

(The Green reed Hothco of tublication for Case 200.)
18t. HOGELD: I put Resilton Hogors, representative of the Hogen Oil Company, applicant in this case. I have present one vitness, Boy T. Durot.

(Mitness sworm.)

18. 1002 No. Mr. Chairman and Mombers of the Consission, I am here as representative of Roman (41 Company. The application filed relates to the cil allowable in Brunson pool in Lea County The applicant for itself as an independent party and in behalf of other operators similarly situated requests that the decadesion enter on order reducing the allowable of the field on a tompopery basis in order that information might be obtained with reference to reserveir energy in an effort to bring about corrective conditions for the pool. This applie tion is made in the interest of conservation of matural resources of the State of New Boxleo, and it is hoped that through this study, it will be detailed later, conditions can be brought about to insure the nextinui recovery of all from this pool. The publict exter of this hearing has been studied by the operators in the pool, their staffs, and by the engineering sub-countities of the Drunson Constitue. Representatives of the operators met in the propation office in Notice in-Soptember to consider the report of the origineering sub-counties that had been made with reference to

the pool. The n jority of the represent tives present decreed it divisible that a should for the pool to reduced. This modulation is allowable we thought advisable because of the regular decime in bottom help pressures and the increasing irregular water encreachment. A second bearing was held in betober, and at that hearing the rejerity of the operators present recommended that the application be filled before this Germansion for the purpose of having the allowable for the pool reduced to 90 largest of oil per day on a temporary besis for six months, and during that thee study the pool. I have here the Supplemental Report of Ermson Pool Germans, dated June 30.

CHATHMAN SHEPARD: It will be received.

HR. ROCERS: And also a supplemental report with reference to Brunson Peal, Potton Hole Pressures, Exhibit B.

CHAIRMAN SHEWARD: It will be received.

HR. ROGERG: Mr. Chairman. Mr. Durst is a graduate engineer. He has testified before regulatory bedies a number of times, will you accept his qualifications as a witness?

ON INHAM CHITTED: Yes, they will be accepted.

181. ROOMAS: Mr. Durst, will you give in narrative form an analysis of the reports and data contained in the report of the Brunson Pool Operators.

MR. DURST: Generally, Skidbit & reflects that the original bottom hale pressure of Brunson Fool was 3945 pounds per square inch during September of 1945 after the first well had been completed. From that time to June 1, 1949, the number of wells drilled, total number of wells in June 1, 1949, was 74. Bottom hale pressures were taken in the intervening time from September until June, 1949, and those bottom hale pressure

Moures reflect canalative pressure arop was 934 pounds with a total of 5,640,853 harrels of all were produced. I substantial amount or water has also been produced, although those figures are not readily evaluate. The cumulative decrease reflects 6,104 harrols of oil have been produced for e ch pound dropped in bottom hole pressure during the first six months of 1949, while 1,520,982 barrels of oll wore being produced. Pressure drop for the period was 267 pounds, approximately 29 per cent of the total drop since the field was first discovered. For comparative purposes with other Ellenburger pools, we introduce the following data from the TML Ellenberger, Exeter County, Texas. The TXL Ellenberger is substanticity larger in area than 18 the Brunson. However, the well spacing is identical, geological point of the formation of the TXL is the same age. TXL Ellenburger had an original bottom hole pressure, facts taken under December 1945, at which time the pressure was 4,071 pounds. From that time until September 30, 1949, a total sum of 150 wells had been completed. At latter date the average bottom hale pressure for this reservoir was 3.640 pounds per square inch. From December 1945 until September 1949, a total of 25,086,891 barrels of oil had been produced, which reflects average production of 58,247 barrels of oil have been produced for each pound dropped in bottom hole pressure as compared to the previously quoted 6,104 barrels for the Brunson pool. The production drop can readily be seen. The Elienburger's drop has been some mine and a half times as great as that of the Brunson Mield. As Mr. Rogers mentioned, decline in bottom hole pressure in the Erunson Pool has been discussed by all operators, and it is the consensus of opinion that daily allowable for Brunson pool should be reduced to 90 barrols for a six months' test period only in order to perform many tests at reduced rates.

These could be observed in an effort to determine rates of production for the within to recovery of mexicum amount of oil from the housen pool. Specific procedure to be fellowed and tosts upder reduced withdramil rates are as follows: (1) A general bottom hole pressure survey will be taken of all wells in the Erunson field in the manner prescribed by the Oil Conservation Cormission and ismediately prior to the effective date of reduced allowable. (2) a limited number of key wells to be designated by the sub-consittee of Branson Pool Operators Condittee, will have gas-oil ratio tests taken ismediately prior to the bottom hole pressure survey outlined above. In addition, gas-oil ratio tests and bottom hole pressure tests as prescribed by the Commission will be taken on the designated key wells only at 60-day intervals until a total of six wonths has elapsed. (3) During the six wonth period, the top per well allowable for the Brunson field will be fixed at 90 herrels of oil per day. Wells producing gas in excess of the limiting gas-oil ratio of 2000 cubic foot per barrel will be porslized downward from 90 burrels por day. (4) Immediately prior to the termination of the six month test period, a general bottom hole pressure survey will again be taken of all wells in the Drunson field in the Denner prescribed by the 011 Conservation Commission. (5) At the end of test period the top per well allowable for the Brunson Field will revert to the normal as presently prescribed by the Oil Conservation Conmission. The results of all bettom hole pressure and gasoil ratio tests enumerated above will be made available to all operators in the field for review and study. Murther recommendations to the Cosmission will be made subsequent to the accumulation of this data, if recommendations are in order.

Ma. RODER: In Exhibit / Pr. Durst, the pressure production date shown for June 1, 1949, reflects pressure for period of RET pounds, did you have supplement 1 information, fixhibit had the DURCT: Yes, Exhibit B reflects from June 1, 1949, until early in Bovenber 1949, the field is experiencing an additional pressure drop of low pounds insolve as 56 comparable walls were concerned. In additional insolve as 56 comparable walls were concerned. In additional insolve as 56 comparable walls were concerned. In additional insolve as 56 comparable walls were concerned. In additional insolve as 56 comparable walls were concerned. In additional insolve as 56 comparable walls were concerned. In additional insolve as 56 comparable walls were concerned to these bottom hole pressure tests indicate in 64 wells the pressure drop has been 68.4 pounds since June 1, 1949. Incidentally, these figures are incomplete, not all the wellshave been run that appear in the figures for June 1, 1949. MR. ROSERS: Attached to Exhibit A, Mr. Durst, is a water Map, what does that indicate with reference to irregular water encroschment in the pool?

in the Brunson pool that were producing water. It is rather difficult to make an interpretation from this map although it is clear that the encroachment of water is extremely irrogular. This could be due to several different things, possibly the details of which would bear quite a bit of study.

IN. HOGERS: If the Commission should grant the order requested, Hr. Durst, do you think in your opinion, would you say that the data compiled from the reservoir under the outlined procedure would afford the operators in the pool an opportunity to offer corrective steps to prevent any underground waste if such were reflected from that study?

MR. DURST: Yes, the results of a six months test under reduced rates of production should tend to furnish additional information to the operators and to the Oil Conservation Commission Whereby its best judgement can be utilized in obtaining the maximum

output of recoverable oil from the reservoir.

Like to say, however, that this application is filed as a result of recommendations of the operators' condition. We appear as the applicant for ourselves as an independent party and in behilf of others similarly situated, and we think, in the public interest in the conservation of reservoirs. Perhaps this is in the nature of an experiment, I don't of personal knowledge know that there is a peccedent. It is not in self interest alone, not self-served. I think the operators in this pool are anxious to set up a system of production which will insure the maximum recovery of oil from the pool. We, therefore, request that the Commission enter an order which will grant the applicant the relief requested.

MILTDINE CHEELEN . DOOD controlly been marked in the Million MR. KEELER: E. P. Koeler, Magnolia Potrolowa Company. Magnolia is in full agreement with the applicant's request for reduction in allowable in the Brunson Fool to 90 barrels per day, however, there is one item in the application that we do not fully agree with, and that is item No. 5 which reads as follows: "At the end of the test period, the top per well allowable for the Brunson field will revert to the normal as presently prescribed by the Cil Conservation Commission." We feel that that rather automitically reverts to present allowable, that a study should be made of the data accumulated as a result of the tests recommended in this application, and that allowable to be adopted after the expiration of the temporary period should be based on the results obtained from these surveys. Just how that could be accomplished I don't know. It could be that possibly the 90 barrels allowable continue for seven

nonths and have a hearing during the seventh month at which there results of the those tests be presented and recommendations made for the future, or if possible if the time would permit, the hearing could be held at the end of aix nonths. I don't look if that would be sufficient time to enable a thorough study of the survey taken at the end and the records checked and the results of the reduction in allowable as reflected by bottom hele pressure and ges-oil ratio survey. This should be the basis for further ratios adopted rather than automatically reverting to present allowable. One other suggestion, in our opinion there is rather a serious situation down there. If the Commission sees fit to issue an order reducing the allowable to 90 larrels per day, we suggest it be made effective December 1.

CHAIRMAN SHEPARD: Anyono elee? Er. Staley, ao you nevo enything to say?

MR. STALEY: No. sir.

MR. HOURS: One other thing in response to what the representative from Magnelia has said. I have here a telegram from Mr. House. It is in line with the General recommendations. I offer it in the case since it was sent to dr. Howen and does reflect substantially the same thing this gentleman has said. It reads as follows: "In reproduction rate Brunson pool, Lee County, New Maxico. Humble recommends 75 barrel top allowable instead of 90 for the 6 month test period, and at the end of the 6 month test period, and the top allowable be determined from these data rather than reverting back to the LEE barrel top allowable, humble Oil and Medining Company by J. M. House." I would like to offer this telegram in evidence as Exhibit C.

CHAIREN SHEPERD: It will be received.

to top ellowable is occasioned by agreement of operators present at the hearings mentioned, and we appear here as applient to carry out wishes of that consists.

held in Hobbs, we were the only Company that opposed six months period. It the end we did so along if at the end of that period the slloweble reverts back to what would be normal. The still feel that way about it. He are opposed to any change in allowable except after a second hearing.

CHAIRMAN SHEEPARD: Anybody class

ill. GRAY: G. H. Gray, Sinclair Gil & Gas Company. We are in general agreement with this procedure. We don't object to this method.

applicants request. We would leave it up to the Commission to decide whether the allowable is to revert to 122 barrels at end of six months period. If it seems proper to change it after a hearing or reverts back, we go along in either case.

MM. STORM: L. O. Storm, Shell Gil Company. Se are in agreement with the application. It was our wish that allowable revert back to normal top allowable at the end of six months.

CHAIRWH SHOPERD: Anyone else?

CHAIRMAN SHELD RD: Anybody clse?

MR. SIURNIER: Mr. Durst, this is a general question, if you have an answer all right if you don't, it doesn't matter.

This pool is thought to be an absolutely water drive pool?

THE. DURST: I am not too well prepared to ensuer that specific question. From information available to me personally, it is my opinion that it is a water drive in view of the water pro-

duction that is being experienced by a number of wells in the field.

Ha. Sittiff Etc. Are the pornouellity and porosity greatly different from that of TXL?

M. DURST: Accil do not have the exact figures to quote to you. As I understand, some of the major companies represented here do have detailed analyses on cores taken from the brunson and from the TXL Elleburger, and possibly comparative information is available present here this norming. But from the production data from the bottom hole pressure inoformation, it is apparent to me that there is a vast difference in relative permeability in the two sources in question.

MR. SPURMIER: It would be your guess that the permeability is considerably less than that of the TML?

MR. DUNST: Substantially loss, yes, sir.

MR. SPURMIEM: Do any engineers have the information which I asked and he did not have?

HH. KEELER: Hegnolia Petroleum Corporation has core information on one well in the field in Franson Field. He have no production in the TXL and are not familiar with that, but Mr. Van Meter with Magnolia has this information.

HR. Van AETER: This core analysis was taken from Magnolia's E. O. Carson No. 17 in Ellenburger formation, Brunson Field. In this core we obtained at 15 feet of limestone core which was enalysed by special analysis presently made by a commercial laboratory. The average porosity was 7.2 per cent; a permeability of 10.8.

IR. SPURKTER: I would like to have the representative from Gulf to tell us what specifically Gulf objects to--the procedure of testing or cutting allowable? The hosteld: Calf's objections are not in agreement with the test. We would go along provided at end of six wonths the allowable would revert back to what would be called normal.

The industry: Would you advise as we to what fulf's procedure would be?

in. Bod. We may object that time to may change in ellowable.

MR. SPERMER: Your mind is made up at this time even before the tests is made?

MR. HCRL MD: Yes.

IR. MURRIER: This may or may not relieve the situation. The question in this case is escentaining the maximum efficiency rate. I don't know whether this has appeared in the record before this. Has any one my comments to make on maximum efficiency rate?

HR. ROGERS: Hr. Spurpler, one comment, we have enough trouble in Texas with this, and we don't want it to get over here in New Hexico. I think what the operators in this pool are interested in, not only self interest, from the point of conservation of natural resources and recovering the greatest amount of oil. How how that information and how procedures worked may be exasted with what is referred to as "M.B.R. we don't know. But we are not anxious to see this Commission got into too much of that either.

MR. SPURHIMR: That is all.

CHAINMAN SHEETED: The case will be taken under advisement.

The next case is No. 200.

(Mr. Greham read the Notice of Publication in Case 200.)
MR. BCHAUER: If it please the Commission. I am appearing for

Morvey Dow & Hinkle representing the Worth Drilling Company, Inc., My name is William C. Schauer. He have no witnesses and are prepared to subsit the case on basis of the record. The watter before the Commission is in regard to the application by the Worth Drilling Company of Fort Worth, Texas, seeking approved to drill an additional well on an unorthodox "five spot" location. Notice of intent ion to drill was filed with the United States Geological Survey, and they made no objection to an additional well provided we obtained the consent and approval of this commission. I would like to refer to and offer as an exhibit a letter now on file with the Commission from Foster Morrel of the United States Geological Survey to the Worth Drilling Company, dated November 4, 1949, which reads as follows: "This office office to the welling of "five-spot" wells at unorthodox locations. However, we request in all such cases that the locations be no closer than 25 feet from any 40-acre subdivision line. Our approvel of such location will be contingent upon approval by the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission and to secure such approval it will be necessary to file a petition for a hearing the matter before the Commission. ... I should like to offer as Exhibit 2 the plat which was filed with the original notice of intention to drill with the Commission, which shows the location of additional well to be drilled. This plat shows four wells that are being worked by the Worth Drilling Company as follows: No. 1 in the southwest quarter of the southwest quarter of Section 12. No. 2 of the southeast of quarter of Section 7. No. 3 in northeast of the northwest of Section 13. No. 4 in the northeast of Southwest quarter of Section 12 in Township 13 south, Range 31 east. The tentative proposed location of additional well is approximately

in the center of the four wells just contioned and more particularly described as being 25 feet north of the south boundary and 1295 feet east of the most bewalery of meetion 12, Township 18 south, Henge 31 east. The designation of this well was to be well No. 76. The field is the North Shugart Field located in Eddy County, New Besico.

MR. SIMBRER: Mr. Schwer, while you are at this point, you have changed the proposed location from the application, have you not?

M. SCHWER: Yes, that was arounded at the request, I believe, of the U. S. Goological survey and the Oil Conservation Commission both. It is requested that the Commission take notice of facts within its knowledge and its reports to the effect that in the four wells just mentioned the production has fallen below the allowable, and in that regard reference is made to the proration order for November issued by the promation office of the Oil Conservation Commission indicating that production of the four wells fell below allowable from approximately 79 barrels to 214 barrels. It is, of course, our desire to drill this well so that we could equal that allowable, and in the event the Commission grants this request the allowable for the entire 160, that is, south half of the northwest of 12 and north half of the northwest of 13, Township 18, section 31 east will be allocated to entire five wells.

CHAIRMAN SHEPARD: Is that all government property, are there any overriding royalties?

HR. SCHAUER: I don't have that fact within my possession.

HR. CCCHRAN: Hay I say something, it so happens that I have knowledge as to the title of that particular lease. The owner-ship is uniform for entire 160 acres.

CHEIRAN SHEPERD: I wanted to know if there were the problem

of unitizing. Does mybody have my questions?

What this well location calls for ten fact from the south line and LSBO feet east of west line. The general practice is to avoid placing of my well on logal subdivision line.

What this well location does not fail on the subdivision line.

CHARREN SHEETED: Anybody clse, if no further objections the order will be granted.

(Mr. Graham road the Notice of Publication in Case 201.)

186. COCHACH: My name is John E. Cochran, Jr. Cur witness is

Harold Kersey. We represent the Deneiger Oil and Notining

Company in its application for permission to drill twelve un
orthodox "five spot" locations on what is known as Turner "A"

and Turner "B" leases located in the bremier pool. Eddy County.

New Mexico. Both of these leases are on Federal land, and in

this connection, I have a letter from Mr. Foster Morrel of the

U. S. Geological Survey which states that his office has no

objection to the drilling of these wells or the proposed specing

pattern, and that further they believe that the drilling of these

wells will afford opportunity to recover considerably more oil

from the lease. I offer this letter in evidence as Exhibit

No. 1.

CHAIRMAN CHEPARD: It will be received.

(Witness sworm.)

MR. COCHEME: In the interest of time, I might state to the Commission that Mr. Kersey is a graduate petroleum engineer of the University of Oklahoma and is engaged in the practice of his profession as oil operator and drilling contractor. If the Commission would like Mr. Kersey to detail his qualifications--

Children and the Hor way to there are contact,

MONTON BY THE YOR IN THE COMPLEX.

- G. Er. Revsey, the you toudd a with the summer the od the
- i. I ana
- C. That has been the decasion for you to observe and to bee
- A. I have drilled all the wolls on Surner and rurner the, except one, which totals 51 wells.
- G. How many walls are there on Turner "."?
- A. There are 28 wells on Turner W. . Sourteen of those are producing from the Grayburg Line. at approximately 3400 feet and eight from the Seven Rivers Sand at approximately 1670 feet.
- Q. How many wells are there on Turner "B"?
- A. There is one well from the Promier Land at 31,00 feet; ten from the Grayling time at 3400 feet; and eighteen from the Geven Rivers Sand at approximately 8100 feet.
- Q. Is a well being drilled at the present time?
- A. At present time furner No. 335 is being drilled.
- a. Are you drilling that woll?
- A. I am.
- : Is it in the Greyburg horizon?
- s. Yes, sir, in the Grayhurg horison.
- 0. Br. Kersey, what general specing pattern is in effect?
- A. The spacing pattern is one well to forty acros generally-spaced 550 feet from the north line of 40 ma 660 feet from the east and west lines, and in one instance ten acro spacing was followed.
- Q. In your opinion as a petroleum engineer, do you believe one well drilled on each 40-acre legal subdivision is sufficient

to obtain all recoverable oil from the tode across

- s. I do not bulleve that one wall from 40 acres will recover all the oil. This is borne out by the I at the sucre of the other operators in the scene have been drilling "five spot" locations, and their recovery from those locations has been very good.
- Q. And it is your opinion that by drilling the "five Spot":
 Locations it would present a greater recessing of oils
- A. I believe we could recover considerably more oil from "five spot" locations.
- Q. We Kersey, is it your opinion that the drilling of these proposed "five spots at locations shown on application and on map attached to the application would be in the interest of conservation?
- A. I do. I believe that a great deal more oil would be recovered than would be otherwise.
- q. Do you know what Denoiser's plan is with reference to drilling the proposed wells?
- A. Their plan is to drill one well at a time in orderly namer, and as the drilling progresses test and see what results are so that future drilling can be determined from that.
- O. It may be that after part of the drilling and the results are studied that Deneiger may wish to modify the drilling plan?

 A. That is right. If sufficient recovery were not obtained, they would probably step and all twelve might not be drilled if sufficient production were not obtained.
- i. Now, if permission is granted to drill these well by the Cormission, what does Dancigor propose to do with reference to "five spots" as to allowable?
- A. It is their plan to produce only the top allowable from the 40 acre subdivisions.
- Q. In no event would the two wells on 40 acres produce in

oxecse of chiowelle fixed by the Commissions

i. In no overt would on oxecus he produced.

THE COUNTRY Does the Commission desire to ask my questions? Met 10 dla

CHEINDER SHEFFRU: Does engrone have engining further, anything to say? If not, the order will be granted.

(Recess)

CHAINMAN SHEEFARD: The meeting will come to order. Mar. Iden is talking on a long discence call. We will change the order and hour case Ho. 204.

(Mr. Graham read Notice of Publication in Case 204.) IR. SETH: If it please the Commission, this is the application for 80-acre specing and is besed on three wells amerada drilled in the pool. The eres covered by the application and which 18 known as the "Knowles Pool" is considerably larger, probably twice as large as the "knowles Field" as fixed by the nomenclature committee. Mr. Veeder will testify as geologist, and Mr. Christie as engineer.

(ditnesses were swern)

DIRECT EXPERIMENTION BY HE SETH:

- Mr. Vooder, will you state your reme. 12.
- I am John h. Vecder, Midland, Texas. 1.0
- By show are you employed?
- Amerada Fetroleum Corporation.
- In what capacity?
- Assistant District Geologist.
- what is your training and experience?
- I have a B. S. degree; one year's graduate work at Northvestorn. I have worked for America for twelve years. I worked for six years in Oklahoma.

- Q. In this case in the so-called "Knowles Field" in Lea County, New Mexico, I bollove the first well discovered with Aribled by American
- A. Their is might.
- Q. Will you state to the Commission a description of this well?
- A. Amerada's No. 1 is known as "N. N. Resilton No. 1", and
- is logated HR/4 SW/4 of Section S5. Township 16 South, Range S6 East.
- Q. Will you give the Cepthy
- A. This well was carried to a total dopth of 18,666 feet in the Devember. The top of the Devember was called 18,461. The top of the pay was 18,467. Pive and a half inch easing was set at 18,618 feet. Watter was encountered at a depth of 18,686 feet. The well was then plugged back to depth of 18,600 feet. The well was treated with 8,000 fallons of acid and open hole from 18,518 to 600 was completed for 17 of 935 barrels of oil in 84 hours through one half inch choke.
- Q. What was the gravity of the oil?
- As The gravity was 46.9.
- q. Thus about the was-oil ratio?
- A. The gas-oil ratio was 180 to 1.
- the white the total and preserver
- A. I do not inve that.

MR. SPIK: Do you have information on that, Mr. Christie?
MR. CHRISTE: I have here a schlamberger print with me
with top of Devomian and pertinent production procedure
on 1960' PS & E/L. Sec. 35 166-38E.

CHAIRMAN SHEPARD: When was it completed?

MI. VIMBORIT AMOUNTA NO. 1 Beallton was completed May 4, 1949.

- to the Libert promoter characterist
- 50 36 M. 30
- to also the the rear will did their
- to the next will would be the number He. I redike Hose in the tay's He /4 of weetlen 35-165-305.
- ge when was that well occide took
- L. That well may excepteted peteror 31, 1949.
- well as was given in connection with Berilton well.
- A. The America hose encountered top of hevenion at depth of 12,50%. The top of pay was 12,50% foot; total depth 12,60%. Five and a half inch caping was set at 12,50%. The cosing was perforated from 12,560 to 55%. The open hole and perforations were acidized with 5 thousand gallons of acid. Arereda completed this well for II flow at the rate at 55% harrely of oil in 24 hours through are laif taking choice. Gas-oil ratio 130; gravity 47.1.

131, 55711: I would like to offer Echlusberger Separts 1, 2 and 3 in ovidence.

CHAIRMAN AFTAMB: Accepted.

the third woll is located, morada no. I now never well, sold mile of exection 35-162-323. This well is also becomes producer; encountered top of seventan at depth of 12,336 corrected by bellemberger. The total depth of 12,336; the top of the pay was called at 12,337; 7 and 5/8 inch eacing set it 12,374. Casing was perfected from 12,538 to 573. This well was acidized with 4,000 gallone and completed for HP flow of 773 tarrels of oil in 84 hours flowing through 3/4 inch tubing choks. Gas-oil ratio was 148; enably 47.9, corrected.

- A. Amoreda is now drilling a well in postion 1-170-762.

 This well is located in the northwest quarter of the duribe east quarter of section c. It is now writting around a cepth or around and feet.
- .. Is it oney enough to disclose anything?
- s. It is not.
- .. Now, Er. Veeder, have you A Schlumber log of flose Edves No. 1.
- d. Yes.
- encountered between the surface and present production?
- A. No commercial pay from the surface to the top of Devenian or present producing horizon.
- 2. All expected 12,500 feet in depth
- A. That is right.
- w. Was there a show of all in the first?
- A. There was a show of oil in discovery No. 1, which we encountered in Paddock stone. They recovered 1980 feet of oil and 276 feet of sulphur water.
- ... Not a consercial showing?
- A. We have not tested it by professional methods, but we do not believe it to be a commercial well.
- . Was the came condition encountered in the other two weller
- a. Both wells to north and south were tested thoroughly-that is, porosity in stone was not present.
- ecutions mentioned in Amerada's applications
- A. That is right.
- 4. Is there another well to the north
- A. There is a well approximately one and three-fourths miles northwest of Amerada's No. 1 Hamilton. That is the fexus No. 1

Bennett Estate Well in the Mortheact quirter of the Morthauet quarter of Sention 3-188-888.

- c. In your opinion are the aix sections described in Azerada's sections 34, 35, 35, township 16 south, Sections 1, 2, 3, township 16 south, Sections 1, 2, 3, township 17, range 38 seat probable productive limits of treatof these wells?
- a. To the best of my knowledge at this time, I would may that is so.
- w. This area is hereor than knowles field as fixed by the nomenclature committee?
- A. That is right.
- you recommend including these six sections?
- A. I would think so.
- u. Mr. Veeder, in your opinion based on your knowledge as a geologist and conditions that those wells disclose, would you recommend specing be just on to-core specings
- A. I would.
- range be so alternated sould result in the ultimate recovery of larger amounts of oll?
- A. I believe all recoverable oil would be obtained by that method.
- a. What would you recommend de to pattern of epacings
- A. I would recommend that pattern as spotted on the map.
- description of the map show wells and recommendation of the rada as to spacing?
- A. It does.
- s. I notice that the egacing pattern sails for selle in the Northwest and Southwest of forites of each quarter section?

- a. That le right.
- A. The only exception is the discovery Hemilton in the Northesst of the Southeast of section 35. That forty south he the only exception in the shole set us?
- A. That 16 right.
- a. Should thes well in your opinion be considered as the pattern well for that particular School tracts
- A. That is night,
- 4. Mr. Veeder, along the north line of section 1, 2, and 3, in your opinion based on your experience, training, and knowledge of this particular area, do you recommend that an order be entered fixing spacing of 60 acres.
- A. I do, essentially because of type of porosity in Devonian formation we have vugler and good vela perceity, and we would compare this field with the Jones Banch Field approximately 18 miles to the north which we have production hisotry on.
- w. In what way?
- A. That is just northwest and is of same type of production. The production is from the Sevenian downto of same texture and character. The perceity is very similar.
- 4. Has that been developed on 80-acre specings
- A. Yea.
- 4. Is it wrking out satisfactorily?
- A. It 1s.
- w. Your idea of specing, your recommendation is that wells be placed in center of the forty in each instance?
- a. That is right.
- d. And that some allowance or tolerence be allowed where topography requires alight deviations--160 feet?
- A. Yes, sir, I believe that is right. I think the topography is fairly flat.

The warm That is all.

GHALIMIAN BUSPANIO

Mit. O distrib.: Jack H. Campbell, representative of Taking Tacific Coal & Cil Company. Mr. Veeder, I gather at the time of the discovery well's completion, you did not feel you had cufficient engineering date on which to best a request for Bi-acre apacing?

M. Varadi: I would believe that is right.

- 4. Is it your understanding that the first three wells are
- A. No, we do not consider them on Almsore offects.
- .. The first is Northeast quarter of Southwest Quarter; and second, Southeast of Northwest; and third, doutheast of Southwest of Sol
- h. That is right.
- started on that besiev
- A. I would rather not answer, because I do not have that knowledge. I believe the engineer can answer that.

 CHAIRIAN SHOPARD: anyone cleer do shead.

RB. SETH: Hr. Christie has testified before this Commission before. It is not necessary to state his qualifications.

- w. What position do you hold with Amerada?
- A. Petroleum engineer.
- w. You have been familiar with the Knowles Field since its indeption?
- A. Yes, sir, I am.
- t. The testimony of Mr. Veeder with respect to these three wells being drilled and completed is substantially correct, and their depth of pay is substantially right?
- A. Yes, 61F.

three wells due north and south line, what is the juree of that to ascertain height one whether some Lover or higher, is that the idea?

well, we offeet to north with intention of testing upper formation and so determine whether the W-Lore basis on upper pay.
As soon as we found it not productive randock, we stopped that,
and found discovery well Hamilton No. 1 to test beyonian.
Having found production in Devenian, we continued to drill
north offset to beyonian. Hencon for that particular spacing,
we thought it advisable to verify as to location, struck units
running east and west, so drilled third south well. Discovery
well is the exception rather than the standard pattern. It is
true that as more wells were completed in the reservoir, more
now, we found better way of spacing. We found that we preferred
80-acre spacing.

- w. And what die the third--
- A. The third well further confirmed that opinion.
- and In your opinion, will the 80 core specing as set out in amerada's Exhibit 4 and the location of wells as shown thereon result in the ultimate recovery of the recoverable cil in the pool.
- that is correct. We have production index on discovery well, Hewilton No. 1, and north offset to the Hamilton, which is the Hose No. 1. The productivity index of Hamilton No. 1 is as shown to be 1.03 berrels per yound drop flowing at the rate of 40 berrels per hour, which indicates good personbility productivity. Production Index on Nose No. 1 was .444 barrels

per jours crop flocing at the rote of the barrels for 34 hours test erion. While it is not as good a well from productivity etendentat as Book ton, it is elli a good well in our vainion and has take paramability. It is lower on arracture. the lowest toll artilled to date. Furthermore, so belleve we have a safer apiro in discovery well. It tested approximately is berrely per nour of salt valor sittle fair permeability. We think one well will Irain at loast 60 acres. W. Have you enything on the cost of the celler

- The Siscovery well cost \$500. The ostimule on second well arilled was sees jour. In. or course, the discovery well always cost more, and to more testing, etc. 4300,000 estimate to 9335,000.00 or higher boosuse running 7 au 0/8 anoh casing through Levonian. \$268,000.00 is a felr estimateapproximately (200,000.00 to \$570,000.00.
 - W. Wr. Christia, Along the line of north line of Sections 1, 2, 3, township 17 South, there are a series of lots followtuk nemar happyo found anacha
 - Yes, sir.
 - They run to ground thirty agree?

 - what recommendation as to 80 here spaning, I notice lots A. You, olf. included in each of 80 cores, do you recommend that these lote, sithough less than 80 be made a unit?
 - Yes, we recommend that in consideration of government aub-divisions.
 - 4. Post America seek more than domete allowable?
 - A. No. We recommend 40-gore ellowable for that division, which I believe is 204 barrels per day of oil.
 - .. What other companies are there besides Amerada in this

six section area?

- A. Exhibit 4 shows Magnolia owns the sast half of Section one; Sinclair Dil Company, the southeast quarter of Section 2; and Danglade owns the Southwest sucrear of Section 1, the south SC of the Northwest suarter of Section 1.
- . No, American has that.
- A. That is right.
- Except that Amerada has all rest of leasest
- A. Yes, sir.
- 4. Have Hagnolia and Sinclair been notified?
- A. Yee, 817.
- 4. Do you know what Mr. Dan Glade's attitude is?
- A. I understand he is agreeable to 80 acre specing.
- 4. He has been notified?
- A. Yos, alr.
- where the 80 acres run north and south instead of east and west.
- A. I believe six.
- 4. Was reason for that to cover ownership?
- A. Yes, sir, taking care of ownership, so it wouldn't be necessary to unltime.
- . You recommend those exceptions to straight east and west?
- A. You.
- 4. Bose that make any difference in well apacing pattern?
- A. Ro, now only difference is Hamilton No. 1.

CHAIRMAN SHEPARD: Does anybody have any further questions? anyone anything to edy?

MA. KEELER: Magnolia has soreage within the area designated by the applicant and its probable productive limits of this source of supply, and we wish to concur with the recommendations made

by the applicant.

CHAIRMAN SHEPARD: Anyone else?

MR. SFURRIER: I don't know if the record is clear, but in answer to Mr. Campbell's question, those three wells are 40-acre offsets?

HR. CHRISTIE: Yes, sir, they are; but they fit into the spacing pattern.

MR. V JER: No, we drilled two and cored third, diamond cored America. No. 1 Rose, had about one hundred per cent recovery, and that did show very good porosity, but it was not analyzed by a commercial laboratory.

HR. SPURRIER: You don't know what per cent, you know it is, so you describe it, good.

MR. VEEDER: That is right.

CHAIRMAN SHEPARD: What about the royalty owners, will they be compensated?

MR. VEEDER: It is set up so that problem wouldn't arise except for, in the north quarter, that 40 acres is separate ownership. We think that can be handled by agreement. Otherwise all royalties are same under each unit; that is one reason for the amangement.

CHAIRMAN SHEPARD: That will be all on this. We will take the case under advisement.

HR. SETH: I would like to ask that if it devolves as wells are drilled they are in same common source of supply, would you recommend that area be extended to area outside sections?

MR. VEEDER: Xes.

(Mr. Graham read Notice of Publication in Case 203.)

HR. IDEN: My name is E. C. Iden, address 715 First Mational Band Building, Albuquerque, New Mexico. I appear here

representing the two applicants, the Sante Fe Pacific Railroad Company and Oil Development Company of Texas. The Senta de is the owner of mineral rights of the tract involved, and the Oll Dere' speent Company of Texas is lessee under an oil and gas lease. Everybody is familiar with what we are asking for. We are asking for an order allowing an exception from Commission's Order No. 778, of July 27, 1948, providing for 80-acre spacing pattern for wells in the Croseroads Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, and involves more specifically the Northwest Quarter of Section 27. The Oil Development Company of Texas has drilled a well in Southwest Quarter of Northwest Quarter of Section 27, shown on attached map, if any Commissioners care to refer to that, that resulted in a dry hole. The application is now before this Commission that that Company be permitted to drill a second well in Southeast Quarter of Northwest Quarter, in other words, east 40 acres of that 80 acre unit.

(Messrs. E. A. Paschal, R. F. Herron, E. O. Hemenway were sworn.)

MR. IDEN: We may not use all the witnesses here. They are present to present such information as anybody may wish to ask.

- 4. What is your name?
- A. E. A. Paschal.
- Q. What company do you represent?
- A. Oll Development Company of Texas.
- 4. What is your connection with this company?
- A. Manager of production.
- a map as Exhibit A--is that correct?
- A. Yes.
- 1. The map speaks for itself. For the purpose of the record,

representing the two applicants, the Banta Fe Pacific Railroad Company and Oil Development Company of Texas. The Santa fe is the owner of mineral rights of the tract involved, and the Oil Dovelopment Company of Texas is lesses under an oil and gas lease. Everybody is familiar with what we are asking for. We are asking for an order allowing an exception from Commission's Order No. 779, of July 27, 1948, providing for 80-acre spacing pattern for wells in the Grossroads Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, and involves more specifically the Northwest Quarter of Section 27. The Oil Development Company of Texas has drilled a well in Southwest Quarter of Northwest warter of Section 27, shown on attached map, if any Commissioners care to refer to that, that resulted in a dry hole. The application is now before this Commission that that Company be permitted to drill a second well in Southeast Quarter of Northwest quarter, in other words, east 40 acres of that 80 acre unit.

(Messrs. E. A. Paschal, R. F. Herron, E. O. Hemenway were sworn.)

HR. IDEN: We may not use all the witnesses here. They are present to present such information as anybody may wish to ask.

- 4. What is your name?
- A. E. A. Paschal.
- Q. What company do you represent?
- A. Oil Development Company of Texas.
- Q. What is your connection with this company?
- A. Manager of production.
- Q. Before we proceed, you have certain Exhibits prepared -- a map as Exhibit A -- is that correct?
- A. Yes.
- Q. The map speaks for itself. For the purpose of the record,

A. This map shows wells which have been drilled in the Gross-roads Field, paving emphasis to those that have been drilled to Devonian formations. It shows also the east west 80-acre promution units established by the Commission for the Devonian in its order of July 27, 1948. There is also shown by the purple figures the subset depth to top of the Mississippian formation on various wells.

- Q. Those purple figures look red.
- A. They are supposed to be purple. They show the top of the Mississippien formation on various wells. The green figures near each well show the subsea top of the Devonian formation in that well. There is also shown on the map attached a green line which represents the approximate location of a fault. On the west of the line all wells were salt water and no oil, and the wells on the east side contain oil.
- Q. Does this map show acreege where your company has leases?

 A. No, sir. We have west quarter section of Section 27 and

 160 acres in east quarter of Section 28. We also have other

 leases shown on confines on the map--west half of Section 28,

 and the east quarter of Section 21.
- Q. Now the well which has already been drilled by your company, is that shown in the southwest quarter of the northwest quarter of Section 27?

Yes, it is marked 1-27 on this map.

I was going to the other exhibit, but I wish to point out 'er which comes to my attention with reference to various of various wells on either side of the fault, would you plarge on this?

't will be seem from the map that the well we count 'mest of Section 27 is producing 880 feet lower

structure on top of Devonian.

- G. About how long ago was that well completed to salt water?
- A. In October.
- Q. Do you know whether any other well have been completed in this pool since that time?
- A. No, sir, there haven't been any.
- Q. Did the fault that you have shown on this map -- would you care to state to the Commission on what facts you base your opinion that there is a fault at that approximate location.
- A. This fault is based upon relative subsurface depth at which the Mississippian and Devonian formations are found in the various wells, which we think represents a faulted condition rather than a dip. We have placed this fault line midway between Midcentiment UD Sawyer in northwest of Section 34 and Midcontinent Dessie Sawyer No. 1 at southwest of Section 27. We have the line extending north digressing west. We did a Schlumberger type of survey which we took in our well; 1-27 at southwest of northwest of 27. This showed a dip on all formations below about 10,500 feet and above the Mississippian line to be an average of south 79, digressing west or strike of 11, digressing west of north, and this line has been projected in that manner.
- Q. And in the southeast of northwest of 27 you have placed a mark, a cross, on this exhibit?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And the placing of the cross is not in accordance with the present spacing plan for this pool. You could not drill there without the Commission allowing the exception?
- A. That is correct.
- Q. Why do you prefer to drill there rather than in the northwest of the northwest quarter?
- A. If a well is drilled at this location in the south half of

the northwest of Section 87, we think it will be east of the fault, and it will produce. Thereby, we will be permitted production for this 80 acres by which we will protect our property against wells which are offsets to that location.

- Q. The well in the west 40 was drilled to what depth?
- A. 18,657 feet.
- Q. It was salt water, no gas?
- A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. What was the cost in round figures?
- A. We have done considerable testing, pipe in well, plugging, testing--in excess of \$500,000.00 as the well now stands.
- Q Bo you have any other comment to make to the Commission with reference to this particular Exhibit?
- A. I think not.
- Q. We show plat marked Exhibit B for identification, will you tell the Commission what that shows and what is intended to envey in a general way?
- A. This Exhibit B is a west east cross section through the Grossroads Field. It is intended to show formations encountered in the drilling of the three Devenian oil wells located east of the fault and the formations encountered in two of salt water dry holes located west of the fault line.

MH. IDEN: We offer Exhibits A and B in evidence as part of the testimony.

CHAIRMAN SHEPARD: They will be received. Do you have any further information which you wish to state to the Commission?

MR. IDEN: So far as I know that is all I have in mind. I think not. If the Commissioners have any questions, we have two other witnesses, Mr. Hemenway and Mr. Herron, to enswer any questions.

MR. CROCKER: J. H. Crocker, Midcontinent Petroleum Corporation.

noss the Santa Fe own mineral rights in the south half of Section 127

Yes, they do. MIL, PARCHALI

Magnolia has a lease on the east quarter? in, CROCKER1

MR. PASCHAL: Yes.

MR. CROCKER: You have an oll development lease on the southwest diviseas.

MR. PASCHAL: Yes, sir.

MR. CROCKER: With respect to orthodox locations I am referring to the northeast quarter of the northwest quarter of Section 27, do you have any opinion as a geologist as to the probable produstivity of that 40-more tract?

MN. PASCHAL: Well, I hope that it will be productive, but we have no way of knowing. If the fault continues as indicated and no dip, it should be productive. There could be cross faults of which we know nothing. There are no walls drilled to give us any information to answer that question.

MIL CROCKER: If we might assume, production is possible future picture on the assumption that Magnolia might drill a well in the southeast quarter of Section 28 and get a producer, it is eltogether probable, I take it, that the Senta Pe might want to utilize the orthodox location of northeast quarter of northwest quarter of Section 27?

MH. PASCHAL: I think so.

MR. CHOCKER: That would be possible?

MR. PASCHAL: If that were done and if the Commission were to-MIL. OROGKER: If the Commission were to great your application for an exception to the present speaking rule, it would result in the Santa Me having a well, two wells say, on the east balf of the northwest quarter of Section 27, would that be correct?

MR. PASCHEL: Yes.

MR. CHOCKER: In that event it is entirely possible this spacing prizers at approved by the Consission and promulgated by it would be more or less disorganized to a point where we would just about have no 80-sore spacing because one exception wight reasonably call for enother, might it not?

MR. Paschali I don't think it would necessarily open up the whole thing to do with 80-sore specing. I can see, assuming that this fault line extended on northerly, where you might space wells better for drainage of the pool to get may from the Commission's Order, which is designated wells at southwest and northeast quarter sections of each section, where it might be better to change the pattern based on more knowledge than we had when this particular Order was written.

MR. CHOCKER: I believe that is all. I would like to make a statement when you have the evidence all in.

MR. BORLAND: C. D. Borland with Gulf Oil Company. We are interested in the screage. It is OK for any 40-acre spacing in the Crossroads Fool unless under conditions of reduced or adjusted allowable.

CHAIRMAN SHEVARD: Anyone else? Do you care to make a statement, Mr. Crocker?

MM. CHOCKEM: I will wait until Mr. Iden is through with his evidence.

MR. IDEN; I may conclude what I have to say, which will be very short. The facts before the Commission seem to be fairly clear and not involved. We feel in view of expending money and drilling a dry hole and in view of conditions as they now exist, we think this Commission should give consideration in the matter of making an exception in this instance. We believe it would be

the proper thing to do for protesting and the orderly development of this pool, and we will ask the Commission to grant paralasion.

Mi. CHOOKER: If the Commission please, this Order wasn't & mekoshift proposition by any means. Hidcontinent Petroleum Corporation has the east will of 27 and the acutiwest quarter of 87. He have dvilled the area that borders the Senta Fe tract on the east and on the south. We have drilled four wells in the pool. I believe seven or eight wells have been drilled, I am not sure. We likewise drilled a dry hole after we moved to un orthogox location south and west and got a dry hole. Our discovery well, in our opinion now, has a doubtful future as to whether it will ever pay out. We have \$1,160000.00 on our operations in red figures. However, after the discovery well. being probably the doopest in the State at that thee, there was a meeting in Tulsa by the operators. We were favored by having Mr. Spurger, Mr. Staley, and Mr. Morrell, in addition to Santa Po representatives and other operators. It was realized by that group the economics of the situation cortainly required some kind of special action on the part of the Commission. I believe that 40-acre specing had been pretty generally followed theretofore. We invoked the Commission's jurisdiction on the matter of spacing. A hearing was set for July 16, 1948. On the day previous to the hearing, the operators met in Santa Fe and prepared their case. The next day Mr. Hemenmay and Mr. Paschal. were in the meeting as engineers and geologists of other companies. We all came before the Cormission with, I believe I can truthfully say, with everything being satisfactory without any dissents whatsoever, everybody agreed. I think there were four wells drilling at that time, and all four we had been able

to get from the discovery well. I presume when it came to the Commission to looked like about the blace a thing that but ever happened. We got a 500 barrel allowable. We studied the reservoir and gave our information to our neighbors, and we found that we were right on the water line. That made us all. feel doubly sure that perhaps in reservoir conditions the heserds ware so great that this was an entirely proper situation for 80-agre provation units. Mr. Spurrier just asked no if I regalled the ressons why the lines were drawn through the quarter sections from east to west instead of north and south. I con't remember, and I den't know whather anybody doos. Anyway I do remember that through collaboration at the time that plat was made which was submitted to the countssion. We certainly have no quarrel with the Santa Fe, we regard them vory highly. Any place that we could extend a courtesy, we would be more than happy to do so. We do feel constrained in this particular case and at this particular time to insist that it is premature for the Commission to great that application. I think the matter was very capably handled on the part of the Commission and the U. S. Geological Survey. The Bureau of Mines was represented. and the thought was pretty generally exchanged over the conference table, and it was our agreement and our thought because of the anomalis cost of these walls we would soon go broke trying to Jevelop on a basis of 40-acre spacing. I realize parhaps we could get into a situation here with the Santa Fe later coming in and drilling orthodox logation in the northeast quarter of the northwest quarter of Section 27. I take it would require appearing before the Commission in order to give them full allowable. We think that exceptions should be granted only on meet compalling reasons, and it occurs to us that this pool is not

duffined to the north and to the east, and it is quite probable we which have the conta to in there exercising its perogetive to use that orthodox location. Now, I don't know how the attorney for the Commission or the attorney for the Santa Me feels, but the eatter come before the Commission after full and complete discussion, a meeting had been held. Even though additional walls have been drilled, it occurs to us that property has been oranted, invested, large sums of money were spent; and if the Commission grants this exception, cortainly it signed be on reduced and adjusted allowable. In our opinion, we don't think this specing pattern can legally be changed probably without unanimous consent by everybody who has rights created by the Commission's order. I may be wrong on that: I find that I am so many times. So without taking up more of the Commission's time, we would like for the record to show that we object to the exception being granted. In the alternative, if the Commission by virtus of its power to make rules, figures it has power to grant an exception, and I don't question that. If they feel the reasons are sufficiently compelling, we ask that it be safeguarded by a reduced and adjusted allowable. WH. CAMPBELL: Texas Pacific Coal & Oil Company has no interest in this particular application. I would have the record show that the statement was made on their behalf that this application coupled with the suggestion of Mr. Crocker that the Commission might not be able to legally change the spacing pattern without the unenimous consent of the operators points up the fact that inflexible spacing orders in the early stages of development can create considerable difficulty in the orderly development of these common sources of supply.

CHAIRMAN SHEPARD: Anyone class card to make a statement?

BH. IDEN: I wasn't before the Cosmission at the time of the hearing after which the Order of July 27, 1948 was issued, but It some very clear that the Commission had in mind that it would be open for consideration of exceptions and changes in that pattern. Section 8 of that Order yead, "The Commission retains jurisdiction of this ones for the purpose of issuing such further and additional orders as may be necessary to met changed conditions. produde, amortize, and preserve correlative rights; or upon the motion of the Comission or upon the petition of any interested operator upon a public hearing, after notice as provided by law." As I understand, at the time the hearing was held, there was only ow well, the discovery well, and that the Commission more or less arbitrarily in either direction from that well set up this spacing progress. As I understand, there is no drilling in that so-called Grossrouds; development is somewhat at a standstill. That alght be to some extent on account of the cost of didlling those wells. But it seems self-evident after this testing, that that standstill is because there is sensithing arong with the specing. se have no querrel with the specime procedure. If this Commission in contemplating this matter feels free to exercise its discretion and its equitable consideration in a matter of this kind and grant the Order, our Company is in a position to drill at a place where it is proper and practical to CHAILDIAN SHEPARD: Anyone else? If there is nothing further,

the case will be taken under advisoment. The meeting is ed journed.

GERTLEIGATE

I IMPREST CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Commission of New Mexico, in Santa Fe. New Mexico, on November Mt. 1946, at 10:00 A.M., is a true record of such proceedings to the best of my knowledge, skill, and ability.

DATED at Albuquerque, New Mexico, this 50th day of Movember. 1949.

nargand Paruel

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

> CASE NO. 200 ORDER NO. 848

THE APPLICATION OF WORTH DRILLING COMPANY, INC. FOR AN ORDER AUTHORIZING AN UNORTHODOX LOCATION FOR THE A. C. TAYLOR WELL NO. 7A, 25 FT. NORTH OF THE SOUTH BOUNDARY AND 1295 FT. EAST OF THE WEST BOUNDARY (SW/4) OF SECTION 12, TOWNSHIP 18 SOUTH, RANCE 31 EAST, N.M.P.M., IN THE NORTH SHUGART POOL, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

This matter came on regularly for hearing at 10:00 o'clock A.M. on the 22nd day of November, 1949 at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before the Oil Conservation Commission of New Mexico hereinafter referred to as the "Commission".

NOW on this 27th day of December, 1949, the Commission having before it for consideration the testimony adduced at said hearing and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

- 1. That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this case.
- 2. That the acreage involved is Federally owned and the Supervisor of the United States Geological Survey interposes no objection to the proposal after applicant executes and files a non-segregation stipulation.
 - 3. That applicant has officially filed said non-segregation stipulation.
- 4. That heretofore there has been drilled to the ("red sand") four producing wells upon the SW/4 of said Section 12, Township 18 south, Range 31 east, N.M.P.M.
- 5. That a fifth well 25 ft. north of the south boundary and 1295 ft. east of the west boundary of said Section 12 in all probability would cause recovery of oil that otherwise might not be recovered.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the application of Worth Drilling Company, Inc. for an order authorizing the drilling of a fifth well, 25 ft. north of the south boundary and 1295 ft. east of the west boundary (SW/4) of Section 12, Township 18 south, Range 31 East, N.M.P.M. in the North Shugart pool, Eddy County, New Mexico, be and the same hereby is approved,

PROVIDED HOWEVER that the production from the five wells shall be prorated and never produced in excess of the allowable for four 40-acre units within the North Shugart pool, Eddy County, New Mexico, and,

PROVIDED FURTHER that a non-segregation stipulation satisfactory to the Supervisor of the United States Geological Survey is filed with the Commis-

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico on the date hereinabove designated.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO OLL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

GUY SHEPARD, MEMBER

R. R. SPURRIER, SECRETARY

J.M. HERVEY HIRAM M. DOW CLARENCE E. HINKLE W. E. GONDURANTIJIR. GEORGE H. HUNKER, JR.

LAW OFFICES HERVEY, DOW & HINKLE ROSWELL, NEW MEXICO

WILLIAM C. SCHAUER

December 8, 1949

Mr. R. R. Spurrier, Secretary
Oil Conservation Commission Santa Fe, New Mexico

Re: LC 058709(a), Unorthodox Drilling Location, Worth Drilling Company, Inc., et al

Dear Mr. Spurrier:

Clarence E. Hinkle has asked me to forward to you a copy of the stipulation filed by Worth Drilling Geological Survey concerning the above matter, and a copy of this stipulation is enclosed for your files.

Yours very truly,

, DOW & HINKLE

GHH: rh Enc.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT WASHINGTON, D. C.

IN RE: OIL AND GAS LEASE, LAS CRUCES SERIAL NO. 058709(a)

STIPULATION FOR PURPOSE OF SECURING APPROVAL OF UNORTHODOX DRILLING LOCATION

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS:

That the undersigned, Worth Drilling Company, Inc., Whaley Company, Inc., and J. C. Maxwell, being the owners and holders of that certain Oil and Gas Lease bearing Las Cruces Serial No. 058709(a), embracing State Sec. 12 and Ntn Sec. 13.

T. 18 S., R. 31 E., N.M.P.M., for the privilege of drilling a well at an unorthodox location 1,295 feet from the West line and 25 feet from the South line of Sec. 12, T. 18 S., R. 31 E., N.M.P.M., embraced in Oil and Gas Lease, Las Cruces Serial No. 058709(a), hereby stipulate and agree that they will not segregate by assignment or otherwise the State Sec. 12 or the Ntn Sec. 13, T. 18 S., R. 31 E., N.M.P.M., until such time as said well, which is to be known as the A. C. Taylor Well No. 7-A, shall have been properly plugged and abandoned.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, this Stipulation is executed by the undersigned on this _____26th day of November, 1949.

		WORTH DRILLING COMPANY,	INC.
Ī		B y	
ATTEST:			
	Secretary		
		WHALEY COMPANY, INC.	
Ammraam		Ву	
ATTEST:			
	Secretary		-

J. C. Maxwell

FIELD ADDRESS:

P. O. BOX 6.7 KERMIT, TEXAS

WORTH DRILLING COMPANY, INC.

FORT WORTH NATIONAL BANK BUILDING FORT WORTH, TEXAS October 28, 1949.

OIL COMSERVATION COMMISSION BARTA PE, NEW MENICO.

Re: L.C. 058709-A

State of New Mexico, Oil Conservation Commission, Santa Fe, New Mexico.

CASE No. 200

Gentlemen:

Herewith copy of Notice of Intention to Drill an unorthodox well located 1320' from the West line and 10' from the South line of Section 12, Twp. 18-S., Rge. 31-E., Eddy County, New Mexico. This is in the North Shugart Field and is in the approximate center between four producing wells, all drilled in the center of 40 acre tracts. This is our first experience in requesting a permit for a well located in this manner and we are under the impression that in order to get an allowable established for the well some kind of a special hearing may be necessary. If such a hearing is necessary please consider this letter and the attached notice as an application from us to have such a hearing called. We will be glad to supply you immediately with any other data required. We are very anxious to get the well started just as promptly as possible.

Since we do not know exactly how to proceed in the matter we will appreciate any help that you or any of the other interested parties can and will give us. We are enclosing a copy of our letter to the U.S.G.S. pertaining to this matter and are also sending the parties at interest, as listed below, a copy of this letter.

Thanking you for your help in the matter, we are

Yours very truly,

q/MCW Encl.

Oil Conservation Commission, State of New Mexico, P.O.Box 1545, Hobbs, New Mexico.

Mr. Glenn Staley, Proration Office, Lea County Operators Committee, Hobbs, New Mexico.

Supervisor, U.S. Geological Survey, Roswell, New Mexico.

Mr. John A. Frost, District' Engineer, Department of Interior, U.S.G.S., P. O. Box 187, Artesia, New Mexico.

Mr. Roy Charlesworth, P. O. Box 667, Kermit, Texas.

William D. Morris, Secretary.

FIELD ADDRESS: P. O. BOX 667 KERMIT, TEXAS

WORTH DRILLING COMPANY, INC.

FORT WORTH NATIONAL BANK BUILDING FORT WORTH 2. TEXAS Cotober 28, 1949.

Re: L.C. 058709-A

Mr. Glenn Staley, Lea County Operators Committee, Hobbs, New Mexico.

Dear Bir:

Herewith please find copy of Notice of Intention to Drill our A. C. Taylor well No. 7-A, which is an unorthodox location and is located 1320' from the West line and 10' from the South line of Sec. 12, Twp. 18-8., Rge. 31-E., Eddy County, New Mexico. This is the North Shugart Field.

We are under the impression that in order to get an allowable established for this well that it is probably necessary to have some kind of a special hearing on it and we have therefore sent copies of the Notice and of the enclosed letters to all of the parties listed below, who we think may be interested in the matter.

We will appreciate it if you will advise us, assuming the permit is granted, what kind of an allowable will be given on the well and how will it be figured.

We are very anxious to get the well started and will appreciate hearing from you on this at your very earliest convenience. If you could send our Mr. Roy Charlesworth a copy of your letter on the matter it would certainly be appreciated.

Thanking you for such help as you can give us in the matter, we are

WDM/p Encl. Yours very truly,

william & Man

William D. Morris,

Secretary.

CC Supervisor, U.S. Geological Survey,

Roswell, New Mexico.

State of New Mexico, Oil Conservation Commission, Santa Fe, New Mexico.

Oil Conservation Commission, State of New Mexico, P.O.Box 1545, Hobbs, New Nexico.

Mr. John A. Frost, District Engineer, Department of Interior, U.S.G.S., P. O. Box 187, Artesia, New Mexico.

Mr. Roy Charlesworth, P. O. Box 667, Kermit, Texas. FIELD ADDRESS: P. O. BOX 667

WORTH DRILLING COMPANY, INC.

FORT WORTH NATIONAL BANK BUILDING FORT WORTH 2. TEXAS

яз: L.C. 058709-A

U.S. Geological Survey, Supervisor, Roswell, New Mexico.

Herewith please find Notice of Intention to Drill our A. C. Taylor well No. 7-A, said location being an unorthodox location and located 1320 Dear Sir: from the West line and 10' from the South line of Section 12, Twp. 18-8., From the west line and in Trom the court line of pertion is, two two pertions is, as you can see, approximately Rgs. 31-E., Eddy County, New Mexico. This is, as you can see, approximately a center location between four other producing wells, each drilled in the

I believe our Mr. Roy Charlesworth has either talked to you on the center of 40 acre tracts. telephone about this or else has talked to Mr. Frost at Artesis. We are directing a single copy of the notice to the parties listed at the bottom

We are under the impression that some kind of a special permit is nacessary from the U.S.G.S. on this proposed location and that we should of this letter. also take the matter up and possibly have a hearing on it before the New Vexico Oil Conservation Commission so as to be sure of getting an allowable MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION SO as to be sure of getting an allowable on said well after it is drilled. We are not exactly sure of the procedure in the matter so are directing copies to all parties we think may be interested in the matter and request that each of you give us such advice HE MEY DO necessary to carry out this project. We are saxious to get the well started immediately.

Thanking you for your help, we are

Yours very truly,

Q/MOW goolo

Oil Conservation Commission, State of New Mexico, P.O.Box 1545, Hobbs, New Hexico. Mr. Glann Staley, Proration Office, Les County Operators Committee, Hobbs, New Nextco. State of New Nextco, 011 Conservation Commission, Banta Fe, Her Hexico. Mr. John A. Frost, District Engineer, Department of Interior, U.S.G.S., P. O. Box 187, Artesia, New Mexico. Hr. Roy Charlesworth, P. O. Box 667, Kermit, Texas.

William)). Morris, Secretary. November 7, 1949

CARLSBAD CURRENT ARGUS

Carlsbad, New Mexico

Re: Case 200 and 201 - Notice of Publication

Gentlemen:

Please publish the enclosed notice once, immediately. Please proof read the notice carefully and send a copy of the paper carrying such notice to this office.

UPON COMPLETION OF THE PUBLICATION SEND PUBLISHER'S AFFIDAVIT IN DUPLICATE.

For payment, please submit statement in duplicate, and sign and return the enclosed voucher.

Very truly yours,

STATE OF MEN MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

R. R. Spurrier Secretary-Director

RRS:bw

FIELD ADDRESS: P. O. BOX 667 KERNIT, YEXAS

WORTH DRILLING COMPANY, INC. FORT WORTH NATIONAL BANK BUILDING FORT WORTH 2. TEXAS

November 17, 1949

Re: A. C. Taylor "A" Lease L.C. 058709-A - Well No. 7-A

Mr. Foster Morrell 011 and Gas Supervisor Southwestern Region Department of The Interior Geological Survey P. O. Box 997 Roswell, New Mexico

Accept our thanks for your letter of November 4, 1949, with reference to our proposed unorthodox location for well No. 7-A on the A. C. Dear Mr. Morrell: Taylor lease being in Section 12, Twp. 18 S., Rge. 31 E., Eddy County, New

We enclose you herewith in quadruplicate Form No. 9-331 A giving Notice of Intention to Drill this well at a location 25 feet from the Mexico. South line and 1295 feet from the West line of Section 12 (in SW/4 of Section), Two. 18 S., Rge. 31 E., N.M.P.M., Eddy County, New Mexico. This is the North Shugart Field. A proper plat is attached to this notice.

We also requested and are obtaining a hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission on this unorthodox location, We are enclosing you a copy of our letter to the Commission and also a copy of the New Mexico you a copy of our letter to the commission and also a copy of the new residu State Form Calol which we have filed with them. We are likewise requesting our attorney, Mr. Clarence E. Hinkle of Roswell, New Mexico, to prepare the stipulation in triplicate agreeing that the four 40 acre units composing the stipulation in tripicate agreeing that the four 40 acre units composing one Sw/4 of Section 12, Twp. 18 S.; Rge. 31 E., Eddy County, New Mexico, will not be segregated by assignment until such time as said well has been properly

Just as soon as he prepares and forwards us these Forms, we will execute them and send them to your office. You may be sure that we readily agree plugged and abandoned. to this stipulation and realize that it is necessary under the circumstances. If you can do so, we would like to have you approve this location subject to our filing the stipulation and also subject to the approval of the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission.

Thanking you for your consideration in this matter, we are

Yours respectfully,

WDM: M Encls.

Carbon Copies on Page No. 2

William D. Morris Secretary

Mr. Foster Morrelli Page No. 2

November 27, 1949

- cc: Mr. R. R. Spurrier, Director,
 New Mex'.co Oil Conservation Commission,
 P. O. Box 871
 Santa Fe, New Mexico
- cc: Mr. Roy Charlesworth P. O. Box 667 Kermit, Texas
- cc: Mr. Justin Newman, Artesia Representative New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission Room 205, Booker Building Artesia, New Mexico
- cc: Mr. Clarance B. Hinkle c/o Hervey, Dow & Hinkle Roswell, New Mexico
- cc: U. S. Geological Survey P. C. Box 187 Artesia, Hew Mexico

٦)

o P

Oil Conservation Commission

Room 205 Rooker Building

Artemia, New Mexico

November 4, 1949.

Mr. William D. Morris Worth Drilling Company, Inc. Fort Worth National Bank Building Fort Worth 2, Texas

Dear Mr. Morrie:

Your letter concerning your lease No. IC 058709-A has come to my attention. In order to sell oil from an unorthodox location, it will be necessary to have the location approved by the Commission in an open hearing.

The proper place to address your request for a hearing is to Mr. R. R. Spurrier, Director, Oil Conservation Commission, Box 871, Santa Fe, New Mexico, The request should contain all the details concerning the location. I would like to suggest that the well be located not more than 1310' from the West line. If the well is to be farther from the West line than 1310', I would suggest that it be 1330' since the proposed location, 1320' from the West line, falls directly on the quarter—quarter line.

Very truly yours,

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

By Justin Revman (signed)
Justin Revman
Artesia Representative

JRidn

cc: Mr. R.R. Spurrier, Director Oil Conservation Commission FIELD ADDRESS: P. O. BOX GOT KLMMIT, TEXAS

WORTH DRILLING COMPANY, INC.

FORT WOLLD NATIONAL DANK BUILDING

November 17, 1949

Ret A.C. Taylor - I..C. 058709-A Proposed Location - Well Ro.7-A

U. 8. Geological Survey P. 0. Box 187 Artesia, New Mexico

Dear Sir:

At the instruction of Mr. Foster Morrell of Roswell, New Mexico, we enclose you herewith in triplicate Notice of Intention to Drill our A.C. Taylor Hell No. 7-A, which is an unorthodox "five spot" location.

We likewise enclose you a copy of the letter we have written to Mr. Morrell in the same matter, and also a copy of the application we have filed with the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission for approval of this location.

Since it was not clear to us whether or not Mr. Morrell wished this application to be filed with your office or with his office, we are sending triplicate copies to each place. Should these prove surplus in either case they may simply be returned to our office.

Thanking you for your consideration in this matter, we are

Yours very truly,

William D. Morris Secretary

WDM:m Encls.=

cc: Mr. Foster Morrell
Oil & Gas Supervisor - Southwestern Region
Department of The Interior
Geological Survey
P. 0. Box 997
Roswell, New Mexico

Remainder of Carbon Copies shown on Page Ro. 2.

U. S. Geological Survey

- cc: Mr. R. R. Spurrier, Director,
 New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission,
 P. O. Box 871
 Santa Fe, New Mexico
- cc: Mr. Clarence B. Hinkle c/o Hervey, Dow & Hinkle, Attorneys Roswell, Hew Mexico
- cc: Mr. Roy Charlesworth P. O. Box 667 Kermit, Texas
- cc: Mr. Justin Newman, Artesia Representative New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission Room 205 Booker Building Artesia, New Maxico

November 7, 1949

Mr. E. E. Greeson
P. O. Box 153
Albuquerque, New Mexico

Dear Genet

We are having a hearing on November 22, 1949, at 10:00 o'clock A.M.

Will you let us know as soon as possible, whether you will be able to take the record for us?

Very truly yours,

RRS: bw

R. R. Spurrier Secretary-Director November 7, 1949

Oil Conservation Commission P. O. Box 1545 Hobbs, New Maxico

We are enclosing herewith, cory of Notice of Publication, covering the hearing to be held on November 22, 1949, at 10:00 o'clock A.M., in Santa Fe, at the Hall of Representatives.

Very truly yours,

R. R. Spurrier Secretary-Director

RRS: DW anal

FIELD ADDRESS: P. O. BOX 667 XERMIT, TEXAS

WORTH DRILLING COMPANY, INC.

FORT WORTH NATIONAL BANK BUILDING FORT WORTH 2. TEXAS

November 25, 1949

RE: IC 058709 (a)
Location - Well No. 7

Mr. John A. Frost
District Engineer
The Department of The Interior
Geological Survey
P. O. Box 187
Artesia, New Mexico

Dear Mr. Frost:

As suggested in your letter of November 22, we enclose you herewith Surveyor's Plat, showing certified well location on our A. C. Taylor "A" Lease, Well No. 7. This survey had not been received at the time our request for permit on this well was forwarded to you. We do not yet have theelevation on this well, but we will furnish it to you as soon as we get it; however, it will not be much different from the other wells in the immediate vicinity. We also enclose you a copy of letter we have written to Mr. R. Spurrier, which we think will fix this matter up.

Our attorney, Mr. Clarence E. Hinkle, has talked to his office and I am sure the permit will be granted promptly upon receipt of this letter.

Yours respectfully,

William D. Morris Secretary

WDM:lc
cc:
Mr. R. R. Spurrier, Director
New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission
P. O. Box 871
Santa Fe, New Mexico
cc:
Mr. Clarence E. Hinkle
c/o Hervey, Dow & Hinkle
Attorneys
Roswell, New Mexico
cc:
Mr. Roy Charlesworth
Worth Drilling Company, Inc.
P. O. Box 667
Kermit, Texas



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

P. O. Box 187 Artesia, New Mexico

November 22, 1949

Worth Drilling Company Ft. Worth National Bank Bldg. Ft. Worth, Texas

Re: L. C. 058709 (a)

Gentlemen:

We are in receipt of your "Notice of Intention to Drill" your Taylor "A" well No. 7, located 25 feet from south and 1295 feet from west lines of section 12, T. 188., R. 31k., N. Shugart Field, Eddy County, New Moxico.

Just as soon as we are advised that approved has been obtained through the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission for this unorthodox location, this office will approve said drilling operations. We did not find the usual certified well location plat among the several papers received in this office, and it is suggested that you furnish us with a plat in triplicate at an early date to avoid further delay in approving the drilling of said well.

Very truly yours

ing sign) icen al facst

John A. Frost District Engineer

oo: Roy Charlesworth
Box 667
Kermit, Texas

R. R. Spurrier Box 871 Santa Fe, N. H. FIELD ADDRESS:

P. O. BOX 667 KERMIT, YEXAS

WORTH DRILLING COMPANY, INC.

FORT WORTH NATIONAL BANK BUILDING FORT WORTH, TEXAS

November 25, 1949

CAN 200

RE: LC 058709 (a) Location - Well No. 7

Mr. R. R. Spurrier, Director New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission P. O. Box 871 Santa Fe, New Mexico

Dear Mr. Spurrier:

Mr. Clarence E. Hinkle advises me that our application for an unorthodox location for our Well No. 7 on the A. C. Taylor Lease, was apparently in order except he states that we did not furnish your office with a formal application on this well. Our records indicate that we forwarded you an application in triplicate on your Form C-101, with our letter of November 17, 1949. I believe if you will refer to the file that you will very likely find it. We are enclosing you berewith another. herewith another signed copy of the application and in the event you did not find the other copies, will send you such additional copies as you may wish. We are quite anxious to get started on this well, but, of course, will do nothing until the location is formally approved by Our reasons for desiring to drill this well are set out your department.

as follows:

3-AM	Date of Completion	Present Allowable
Well Number		12 Barrels
	12-3-38	28 Barrels
1-A	6-22-41	28 Barrels
3-A	4-10-48	28 Barrels
4-A	6-2-48	A Company of the Comp
5-A		

In view of the fact that Well No. 1-A has been in more than 10 years and is still a good well and also the way the other wells are holding up, as indicated above, our geologists are doubtful whether or not one well to a 40 acre tract will get the oil from under this land. We desire to drill this well to determine the extent of drainage and to find out whether or not we are correct in the above assumption. We also

We also contemplate either gas or water flooding at a later date and a "five-spot" well will be, in our opinion, the most efficient for gas or water flooding at some later date.

You will also note that this is an inside location on our own leases and will not cause drilling in the area unless they simply desire to drill as we have elected to do. If there is any further desire to drill as we have elected to do. If there is any further information we can give you on this matter, you may be sure that we will be glad to do so.

If upon receipt of this letter you could find your way clear to do so, wire Mr. Charlesworth collect, at the address shown below and mail us a copy of same, authorizing this well, it will be a considerable favor to us.

We do not yet have the elevation on this well, but it will not be much different from the other wells in the area, and it will be furnished to you just as soon as it has been furnished to us.

Thanking you for your careful consideration in this matter,

we are

Yours respectfully,

William D. Morris, Secretary

WDM:lc Encls.

Mr. John A. Frost
District Engineer
The Department of the Interior
Geological Survey
P. O. Box 187
Artesia, New Mexico
cc:
Mr. Clarence E. Hinkle
c/o Hervy, Dow & Hinkle
Attorneys
Roswell, New Mexico
cc:
Mr. Roy Charlesworth
Worth Drilling Company, Inc.
P. O. Box 667
Kermit, Texas

NOVEMBER 22 1949 OIL CON, ERUATION COMMISSION HEARING HODRESS KEPRESENTING BMAM Hobbs, NM OD Borland JH CROCKER, NICISA The Continuer he Hoes Bank Fr ameraka Statek CV, Millikan Tules Olla Amerada Tha Vieder Medland Jep amicada R.S. Christie It Worth tax amerada William & Schower Roswell M. My Worth Prilling Co la Herine Daw & Himkle Roll yuchrange occ Halles Sack M Caudell Koxwell M. Exopharticlast-OlG. ON Om Com Santa de. arterea nom Bus mices Hobby Shill Oil Co. 14066. Ala Co Speraler Robert F. Herron amarillo Oil Devel to of Topo 8.a. Haschas Albuquerque Bauta de l'acipie F.O. Hemencody Santo E Prestie P.R. Harold Kenny arthura, May Daneiger Oute Rylany S. Sandan, Or alman 7 My Danier along Ed. 217 Killer, Jo. Dallas, Tep. Manglin tit to. E. R. Kuler . V Oullac, Tex. Manglin tit Co. OF. Van Meter Midland Toxas Magnolia Police KOY T. DURST FT. WORTH, TEXAS KOWAN OING HAMILTON ROGERS Gith GRAY Midland, Texas Sindsir Dilates

November 7, 1949

Oil Conservation Commission 205 Booker Building Artesia, New Morrico

We are enclosing herewith, copy of Notice of Publication, covering at 10:00 occlock A.M., the hearing to be held on November 22, 1949, at 10:00 occlock A.M., in Santa Te, at the Hall of Representatives. Contilemen!

New truly yours,

R. R. Spurrier Secretary-Director

RRS: bu enolo

Hovamuel 7, 10/9

Mr. Glenn Staley
Lea County Operators Committee
Drawer I
Hobbs, New Mexico

Dear Mr. Staley:

We are enclosing herewith, copy of Notice of Publication, covering the hearing to be held on November 22, 1949, at 10:00 o'clock A.M., in Santa Fe, at the Hall of Representatives.

Very truly yours,

STATE OF HEN MEXICO OII, CONSERVATION COMMISSION

R. R. Spurrier Secretary-Director

RRS: by encl.

NOTICE FOR PUBLICATION
STATE OF NEW MEXICO
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
To tete of New Mexico by its On the Commission hereby gives public. Silce pursuant to law of a public hearing to be held November 22, 1949, beginning at 10:00 o'clock A.M. of that day in the City of Santa Fe, New Mexico, in the Hall of Representatives.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO. TO:
All named parties in the following cases, and notice to the public:
Case 200
In the matter of the Application of Weath

Case 200

In the inatter of the Application of Worth Drilling Company, Inc. for an order approving an unorthodox location for A. C. Taylor well No. 7-A, 10 ft. from the south line and 1320 ft. east of the west line (SW/4) of Section 12 in Township is south, Range 31 east, N.M.P.M.. in North Shugart pool, Eddy County, New Mexico. Case 201

In the matter of the Application of Danciger Oil and Refining Company for an



Premier pool, Eddy County, New Mexico.

Case 208
In the matter of the Application of Rowan Oil Company for an order reducing the daily allowable of the Brunson pool, Lea County, New Mexico, to 90 barrels per day per well for a period of six months, within which period of time through curveys and studies information may be had for the purpose of determining the maximum efficient rate of production of reservoir.

Case 208
In the matter of the Application of Santa
Fe Pacific Railroad Company and Oil
Development Company of Texas, for an
order allowing an exception from Commission Order No. 779, of July 27, 1948, providing an 80 acre spacing pattern for wells
in the Crossroads pool, Lea County, New
Mexico.

Mexico.
Pub: Nov. 9, 1949.

NEW MEXICO CLIPPING BUREAU Albuquerque, N. M.

-:0V 9 1949

Santa Fe New Mexican

Santa Fe, New Mexico

NOTICE FOR PUBLICATION 7 7

STATE OF NEW MEXICO

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

The State of Ner Mexico by its oil

The State of Ner Mexico by its oil

public notice pursuant to law of a public

day in the City of Santa Fe, New Mexico,

in the Hall of Representatives.

In the Hall of Representatives.

STATE OF. NEW MEXICO TO:

STATE OF. NEW MEXICO TO:

cases, and notice to the public:

Case 200

In the matter of the Application of Worth

Case 200

Case 200

In the matter of the Application of Worth In the matter of the Application of Worth In the matter of the Application of A. C. Drilling Company, Inc. for an order approving an uncorthodox location for A. C. Proving and 1970 ft. from the south Taylor well No. 7-A, 10 ft. from the south Inc. and 1920 ft. ft. from the south Inc. and 1920 ft. ft. from the south South, Range 31 east, N.M.P.M., in North South, Range 31 east N.M.P.M., in North South, Range 31 east N.M.P.M., in North South Ran

south, Range 3i east, N.M.P.M., in North Shugart pool, Eddy County, New Mexico. Case 201

In the matter of the Application of Danders of Danders Granting Permission to drill tweive order granting permission to drill tweive unorthodox ("fite spot") locations on its leases in Turner "A" and Turner "B" leases in Turner "A" and Turner "B" leases in Turner "A" is an arthur for the fite spot on the Turner Mexico. Premier pool, Eddy County, New Mexico, to 90 barrels per County, New Mexico, to 90 barrels per within which period of time through surviving within which period of time through surviving sund studies information may be have for the purpose of determining the maxifor the purpose of determining the maxiful determining the dete

mum efficient rate of the Application of Santa (Case 203) in the matter of the Application of Santa In the matter of the Application of Santa (Per Pacific Railroad Company and Oil Fe Pacific Railroad Company of Texas, for an Development Company of Texas, for an Development Company of Texas, for an Order No. 772, of July 27, 1948, prosion Order No. 772, of July 27, prosion Order No. 772, of Ju

N.M. Clipping Bureau 1949 ~3V 9

Carlsbad Current Argus

NOV 9

NOTICE FOR PUBLICATION,
STATE OF NEW MEXICO
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
The State of New Mexico by its Oil
The State of New Mexico in the Hall of Representatives.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO

STATE OF NEW MEXICO TO:
All named parties in the following cases, and notices to the public.

Case 200
In the Drilling Company, Inc. for an Worth Drilling Company, Inc. for an Worth Case and unorthdox lecation order approving an unorthdox lecation for A. C. Taylor well No. 7-A, 10 ft. for A. C. Taylor well No. 7-A, 10 ft. from the south line and 1320 ft. east of

the west line (SW!4) of Section 12 in
Township 18 south Range 31, cast,
N.M.F.M. in North Shugart pool, EdN.M.F.M. in North Shugart pool, EdN.M.F.M. in North Shugart pool, EdOil and Refining Company
Danciger of the Application of
In the matter of the Application of
In the matter of the Application of
In the west of the Application of
In the matter of the Application of
In the west of the Application of
In the matter of the Application of
In the matter of the Application of
In the Market of
In the Application of
In the Application of
In the Application of
In the Market of
In the Premier pool, Eddy
N.M.F.M. in the Premier pool, Eddy
N.M.F.M. New Mexico.

Given under the seal of the Oil ConGiven under the seal of the Oil Conservation Commission of New Mexico,
at Santa Fe. New Mexico, on November 7, 1949.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO
OIL CONSERVATION

STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COM-MISSION R. R. SPURRIER, Secretary

Budget Bureau No. 42-R353.1. Approvel expires 11-30-49.

Form 9-381a

(SUBMIT IN TRIPLICATE)

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

Las Cruces,	N.M
058709-A	
M	
	058709 -A

SUNDRY NOTICES AND REPORTS ON WELLS

		SUBSEQUENT REPORT OF WATER SHUT-OFF	1
	1 :	SUBSEQUENT REPORT OF SHOOTING OF ACIDIZING	,
NOTICE OF INTENTION TO TEST WATER SHUT-OFF		SUBSEQUENT REPORT OF ALTERING CASING	
NOTICE OF INTENTION TO RE-DRILL OR REPAIR WEIL		SUBSEQUENT REPORT OF REDRILLING OR REPAIR	
NOTICE OF INTENTION TO SHOOT OR ACIDIZE		SUBSEQUENT REPORT OF ABANDONMENT	
NOTICE OF INTENTION TO PULL OR ALTER CASING		SUPPLEMENTARY WELL HISTORY	-
NOTICE OF INTENTION TO ABANDON WELL			

(INDICATE ABOVE BY CHECK MARK NATURE OF REPORT, NOTICE, OR OTHER DATA)

	Fort W	orth, Texas - October 28, , 1949
Well No	ted25ft. from line	and1295.ft. from W line of sec12
SW/4 Sec. 12 (% Sec. and Sec. No.)	18-S R-31E (Twp.) (Range)	N.M.P.M. (Meridian)
North Shugart	Eddy (County or Subdivision)	New Mexico (State or Territory)
(2300)	(0022)	(

The elevation of the derrick floor above sea level is .3741 ft. (Estimated)

DETAILS OF WORK

(State names of and expected depths to objective sands; show sizes, weights, and lengths of proposed casings; indicate mudding jobs, cernenting points, and all other important proposed work)

Will drill well with cable tools, using No. 3 National drilling machine with Waukesha Drilling Engine for power and use natural gas for fuel. Will set approximately 1100' of 8-5/8 0.D. 24# lapweld casing with Texas Pattern Casing Shoe on top of salt and cement with 50 sacks cement by Halliburton method. Will also run oil string of approximately 3475' of 7" 0.D. 20# API seamless casing in top of lime, circulate with mud and cement with 100 sacks oil well cement by Halliburton method. Will allow to set for 72 hours, test for water shut off, and drill producing horizon with cable tools. If productive will shoot with nitroglycerine (after giving due notice), clean out, run 2" 4.70# API external upset seamless tubing with pump and rods and place on pump with National Supply Company pumping unit.

Will save formation samples from base of salt to total depth and furnish sample log upon completion. Proper notice will be given on operations as work progresses.

I understand that this plan of work must receive proval in writing by WORTH DRILLING COMPANY, INC.	the Geological Survey before operations may be commenced.
Company 2005-7 Fort Worth National Bank	Bldg,
Main Office: Ft. Worth 2, Texas. Phone	2-8374
Address Field Address:	
c/o Roy Charlesworth P. O. Box 667	Bywilliam D. Morris
P. O. Box 667	By William MILLIAM
the second secon	William D. Morris
Kermit, Texas - Telephone #3	Title Secretary-Treasurer

S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE 15-8487-3

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE THTERIOR
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

P. O. Box 997 Roswell, New Mexico November 4, 1949

Worth Drilling Company Fort Worth National Bank Building Fort Worth, Texas

Attention: Mr. William D. Morris

Gentlemen:

Reference is made to your letter of October 28, enclosing a Notice of Intention to Drill your A. C. Taylor well No. 7-A at an unorthodox location 1320 feet from the west line and 10 feet from the south line sec. 12, T. 18 S., R. 31 E., lease las Cruces 058709(a).

This office offers no objection to the drilling of "five-spot" wells at unorthodox locations. However, we request in all such cases that the locations be no closer than 25 feet from any 40-acre subdivision line. Our approval of such location will be contingent upon approval by the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission and to secure such approval it will be necessary to file a petition for a hearing the matter before the Commission. The Commission will no doubt advise you as to the proper procedure.

Inasmuch as the proposed well is to be within 200 feet of legal subdivision lines, this office will require a stipulation in triplicate wherein the lessee agrees that the four 40-acre tracts involved will not be segregated by assignment until such time as the well has been properly plugged and abandoned.

Your Notice of Intention to Drill is being returned herewith for change of location as recommended above and for resubmission, after approval of the unorthodox location by the State Oil Conservation Commission, to the U.S. Geological Survey, P. O. Box 187, Artesia, New Mexico.

Very truly yours,

Foster Morrell (signed)

Foster Morrell 0il and Gas Supervisor Southwestern Region Ma Demind

FIELD ADDRESS:

P. O. BOX 567 KERMIT, TEXAS

WORTH DRILLING COMPANY, INC.

FORT WORTH ATTIONAL BANK BUILDING
FORT WORTH, TEXAS

NOVEMBER 17, 1949

KOV 21 1949

MISTER STORY COL

Re: Case No. 200 - Worth Drilling Co.
A.C. Taylor Lse. - Proposed Location
Well No. 7-A

Mr. R. R. Spurrier, Director, New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission, P. O. Box 871 Santa Fe, New Mexico.

Dear Mr. Spurrier:

Recently, we applied to the U.S.G.S. for a permit to drill our A. C. Taylor Well No. 7-A to be located 25 feet from the South line and 1295 feet from the West line of Section 12, Twp. 18 S., Rge. 31 E., Eddy County, New Mexico. This is the SW/4 of the Section and the Unit is "M". The field is North Shugart, Eddy County, New Mexico. We are in receipt of a letter from Mr. Foster Morrell of the U.S.G.S. advising that since this was an unorthodox location, we would need your approval before they would grant the permit, but that otherwise they had no objection.

In our original request to him we ask that the location be approved 10 feet from the South line and 1320 feet from the West line of Section 12 above described, but he has suggested or rather directed the change to that set out above, to which we have readily agreed. We also wrote your office at the same time requesting that you advise us just what was necessary to obtain the approval of your Commission and also to be sure that if productive the well would have an allowable. We likewise requested a hearing on the matter if same was necessary. Mr. Justin Newman of your Artesia Office advised us to communicate with you in the matter and also sent us a copy of the notice issued by your Commission in the matter.

Your notice states that this matter will be heard in Santa Fe, New Mexico, beginning at 10:00 A.M., November 22, 1949, and is Case No. 200.

We are now enclosing your application in triplicate with plat attached on your Form C-101 requesting permission to drill this well at the revised location. You will note that this is a "five spot" location. Our reason for desiring to drill this well is that we wish to determine the extent of drainage on regular 40 acre locations and also with the idea of later using the well (assuming your office approves) for repressuring the field either by gas or water.

Since this is a new thing to us, we trust that we have complied with your rules and regulations and hope that you will find it possible to grant this permit promptly. We can have a representative come to Santa Fe if absolutely

November 17, 1949

Mr. R. R. Spurrier, Director, New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission Page No. 2

necessary, but wish to avoid it if possible. The writer will call your office tomorrow. Friday. November 18th. and discuss the matter over the telephone and if you can do so will appreciate your telling us whether or not it will be necessary for us to be there.

We are sending you also a copy of the application filed with the U.S.G.S. for this permit and we are also enclosing you a copy of letter from the U.S.G.S. and a copy of the letter from your Artesia Office in the matter. We are, of course, prepared to meet the requirements of the U.S.G.S. and your office in connection with this application.

We will appreciate you giving prompt consideration and would like for someone in your office to have the file available when we call you tomorrow afternoon regarding the matter.

Thanking you for such consideration that you can and will give us in this matter.

Yours very truly,

William D. Morris, Secretary

WDM:m Encls.-

cc: Mr. Foster Morrell
Oil and Gas Supervisor
Southwestern Region
Department of Interior-Geological Survey
P. O. Box 997
Roswell, New Mexico

cc: Mr. Roy Charlesworth P. O. Box 667 Kermit, Texas

New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission
Room 205, Booker Building
Artesia, New Mexico

NEW IXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMI ION Santa Fe, New Mexico

NOTICE OF INTENTION TO DRILL

Notice must be given to the Oil Conservation Commission or its proper agent and approval abtained before drilling begins. It changes in the proposed plan are considered advisable, a copy of this notice showing such changes will be returned to the sender. Submit this notice in triplicate. One copy will be returned following approval. See additional instructions in Rules and Regulations of the Commission.

			h 2, Texas			per 28, 1949
OIL CONSEI Santa Fe, Ne Sentlemen:	RVATION COMMIS w Mexico.	SSION, 2006	Ft. Worth Nath	Shal Bk. Bldg.	•	Date
	hereby notified th	hat it is our int	ention to commence	the drilling of a we	ell to be known as	Worth Drilling
Company,			Taylor "A" Les			-
of Sec. 12	, т. 18-8		, N. M. P. M., Nor		Field, Eddy	County.
	N.	The well is	25 feet (N.)	(%) of the So	uth line and	1295 feet
: ;		(E.) (W .) of	the West line	of said Sec	tion 12 above	described
		(Give location	n from section or ot	her legal subdivisio	n lines. Cross out v	wrong directions.)
		If state land	the oil and gas lea	se is No. X	. Assignment	No. X
		If patented l	and the owner is	X		and the second s
	i		annadorad na proprio com majerio e armojo infra incordito decido e constituido e constituido e constituido e c			
	1	If governmen	at land the permittee	e is A. C. Ta;	ylor - L.C. ⁰⁵	8709-A
	1	Address	Lovington, N.	М.		Parrice (Plabric Calebana) are replaced to the record
	1		s Worth Dri			
O ;		Municipa	andere and the second of the s			t Worth, Texas
	640 ACRES LL CORRECTLY 1 Drilling M	We propose	to drill well with ced with Wauke	drilling equipment	as follows: Cabl	e Tools No. 3
	***************************************	ده میرون دهن به محمد و میرون در میرون و میرون و میرون و میرون میرون میرون میرون میرون و میرون و میرون و میرون	**************************************	angan panggunan at tan panggunan at tanggunan at tanggunan panggunan panggunan at tanggunan	nga tay a fittiga funga ay ittiga fungangan hitaga na bagga hay tinga a ya di afing magani	ngarinaka ari dan mengangan pengangan di mengangan pengangan pengangan pengangan pengangan pengangan pengangan
			with Rule 39 of th			
						ve all Federal
Ve propose	to use the following	ng strings of cas	and Permit to	cement them as inc	licated:	
Size of Hole	Size of W	eight Per Foot	New or Second Hand	Depth	Landed or Cemented	Sacks Cement
0"	8-5/8" od	24#	New	1100'	Cemented	50 sacks by Halliburton
8-1/4"	7" OD	20 #	New API Seamless	3475'	Cemented	100 sacks by Halliburton
f changes in	the above plan be	come advisable v	re will notify you be	efore cementing or	landing casing.	We estimate that
			ir at a depth of abo			
						. Lease outlin
in Red.	Our Field ad	dress is c/		orth, P. O. B		lt, Texas, Tele
,		. 011100, 101	phono a oggive			
beroved	Novembe	r		ely yours,	•	
xcept as foll	ows:		WO	RTH DRILLING	COMPANY. INC.	
			# og n # rod - y Asserts -		ompany of Operator	Capage and and an experience of the state of
		**	By u	William	Dinon	
				illiam D. Morr	A Property of the Control of the Con	· Y
				communication regar	Q 7	
OIL	CONSERVATION	COMMISSION,		Horth Deill	ng Company,	Inc.
Ву	Napigana kanggana sana (g. n. singgan sanina ya na napigan sanin	eriya a dan Angara (ayaya da Pagara) ya afar kasa sa		2006 Fort Wo	rth National	Bank Bldg.,
Title	Santanagantanagan ganasan an internasian		Addre	ss Fort Worth 2	, Texas.	ar remany arms are experient management and the control of the con

NEW 1 CICO GIL CONSERVATION COMMIS ON Santa Fe, New Mexico

NOTICE OF INTENTION TO DRILL

Notice must be given to the Oil Conservation Commission or its proper agent and approval abtained before drilling begins. If changes in the proposed plan are considered advisable, a copy of this notice showing such changes will be returned to the sender. Submit this notice in triplicate. One copy will be returned following approval. See additional instructions in Rules and Regulations of the Commission.

		Fort Worth				
OIL CONSER	VATION COM	Mission, 2006 1	ft.Worth Natio	onal Bk. Bldg	g.	Date
Santa Fe, Ne Gentlemen:	w Mexico.	•				
Gentiemen: You are	hereby notified	that it is our inte	ntion to commence	the drilling of a	well to be known as	Worth Drilling
			A.C. Taylor "			
		Company or Ope	erator Lea	ase		÷
of Sec. 12	, T. 18-	S , R 31-E	, n. m. p. m., Nor	th Shugart	Field, Edd	ly County.
	N.	The well is	25 feet (N.)	(\$.) of the SOU	th line and	1295 feet
	1	(E.) (W.) of	the West line	of said Se	ction 12 abov	e described
		[from section or oti			
			the oil and gas lea			,
		ì	nd the owner is			· ·
<u> </u>		!				
-		Address		management and the second seco	1 T (1 ()	
	1		t land the permittee		y10r - L.C. 0	70(UY-A
	 		ovington, N.M			and the state of t
		The lessee is	Worth Drill	ing Company,	Inc.	The state of the
0						Worth, Texas.
AREA	640 ACRES					ble Tools No. 3
		s we propose sechine Powered				<u> </u>
		AUDING TORCIC	W MY OIL MENTICOL	id hitting	engriic	ar magangangangangan managanan pagga pa landa sa pagnapan managa
The status of	a bond for this	well in conformance	with Rule 39 of th	e General Rules	and Regulations	of the Commission
s as follows:	No bond fi	led - we have	operations or	ly on Feder	l Lands - Hav	ve all Federal
s as follows:	No bond fi	led - we have	operations or	ly on Feder	l Lands - Hav	ve all Federal
s as follows; Ve propose t	No bond fi Bonds in a to use the follow	led - we have good standing e wing strings of cash	operations or and Permit to lng and to land or	do businers cement them as i	l Lands - Having Mexico (Indicated:	ve all Federal
s as follows:	No bond fi	led - we have	operations or	ly on Feder	l Lands - Hav	ve all Federal
s as follows: We propose t Size of Hole	No bond fi Bonds in g to use the folia	led - we have good standing e wing strings of cash	operations or and Permit to lng and to land or	do businers cement them as i	l Lands - Having In New Mexico Indicated:	Sacks Cement
s as follows: We propose to size of Hole	No bond fi Bonds in a to use the foliouse the foliouse the folious	led - we have cood standing e wing strings of casi	operations or and Permit to lng and to land or New or Second Hand	do businers cement them as i	l Lands - Having in New Mexico (Indicated: Landed or Commented	ve all Federal
s as follows: We propose to Size of Hole	No bond fi Bonds in a to use the folia Size of Casing	yood standing even wing strings of cash Weight Per Foot 24#	operations or and Permit to lng and to land or New or Second Hand	do businer scement them as i	l Lands - Having In New Mexico Indicated: Landed or Commented Cemented	Sacks Cement 50 sacks by Halliburton
s as follows: We propose to size of Hole	No bond fi Bonds in a to use the folia Size of Casing	led - we have cood standing e wing strings of casi	operations or and Permit to land or New or Second Hand	do businers cement them as i	l Lands - Having in New Mexico (Indicated: Landed or Commented	Sacks Cement 50 sacks by Halliburton 100 sacks by
s as follows: Ve propose to size of Hole 10" 8-1/4"	No bond fi Bonds in a to use the folia Size of Casing 8-5/8" OD	yood standing even wing strings of cash Weight Per Foot 24# 20#	operations or and Permit to lng and to land or Second Hand New New API Seamless	Depth 1100'	Lands - Having in New Mexico indicated: Landed or Commented Cemented Cemented	Sacks Cement 50 sacks by Halliburton 100 sacks hy Halliburton
s as follows: We propose to size of Hole 10" 8-1/4"	No bond fi Bonds in a to use the folia Size of Casing 8-5/8" OD	yood standing even wing strings of cash Weight Per Foot 24#	operations or and Permit to lng and to land or Second Hand New New API Seamless	Depth 1100' 3475'	Lands - Having in New Mexico indicated: Landed or Commented Cemented Cemented	Sacks Cement 50 sacks by Halliburton 100 sacks hy Halliburton
s as follows: We propose to size of Hole 10" 8-1/4" f changes in	No bond fire Bonds in a course the folia of Casing 8-5/8" OD 7" OD	yood standing even wing strings of cash Weight Per Foot 24# 20#	operations or and Permit to land and to land or second Hand New Or Second Hand New API Seamless e will notify you be	Depth 1100' 3475'	Lands - Having in New Mexico indicated: Landed or Commented Cemented Cemented	Sacks Cement 50 sacks by Halliburton 100 sacks hy Halliburton
s as follows: We propose to Size of Hole 10" 8-1/4" f changes in the first products	No bond fi Bonds in a to use the folic size of Casing 8-5/8" OD 7" OD the above plan uctive oil or g	led - we have good standing elements of cash weight Per Foot 24# 20# become advisable was sand should occur	operations or and Permit to log and to land or New or Second Hand New New API Seamless e will notify you be r at a depth of abo	Depth 1100' 3475' efore cementing out 3550	Lands - Havin New Mexico (Indicated: Landed or Comented Cemented Cemented or landing casing.	Sacks Cement 50 sacks by Halliburton 100 sacks hy Halliburton We estimate that
s as follows: We propose to Size of Hole 10" 8-1/4" f changes in the first productional into	No bond fire Bonds in a course the folia size of Casing 8-5/8" OD 7" OD the above plan active oil or grantion: P	led - we have good standing elements of cash weight Per Foot 24# 20# become advisable was sand should occur lat attached s	operations or and Permit to log and to land or New or second Hand New New API Seamless e will noticy you be r at a depth of abo howing propos	Depth 1100' 3475' efore cementing out 3550 ed location	Lands - Having New Mexico (indicated: Landed or Comented Cemented Cemented or landing casing.	Sacks Cement 50 sacks by Halliburton 100 sacks hy Halliburton We estimate that d. Lease outline
s as follows: We propose to Size of Hole 10" 8-1/4" If changes in the first produced the size of Hole	No bond fire Bonds in a course the folia size of Casing 8-5/8" OD 7" OD the above plan active oil or grantion: pur Field a	led - we have good standing elements of cash weight Per Foot 24# 20# become advisable was sand should occur lat attached s	operations or and Permit to land and to land or second Hand New API Seamless e will notify you be at a depth of aboth owing propose Roy Charleswo	Depth 1100' 3475' efore cementing out 3550 ed location rth, P. O. B	Lands - Having New Mexico (indicated: Landed or Comented Cemented Cemented or landing casing.	Sacks Cement 50 sacks by Halliburton 100 sacks hy Halliburton We estimate that
s as follows: We propose to size of Hole 10" 8-1/4" f changes in he first productional interproduction Red. 0	No bond fire Bonds in a course the folia size of Casing 8-5/8" OD 7" OD the above plan active oil or grantion: pur Field a	led - we have good standing ended wing strings of cash weight Per Foot 24# 20# become advisable was sand should occur attached saddress is c/o	operations or and Permit to land and to land or second Hand New API Seamless e will notify you be at a depth of aboth owing propose Roy Charleswo	Depth 1100' 3475' efore cementing out 3550 ed location rth, P. O. B	Lands - Having New Mexico (indicated: Landed or Comented Cemented Cemented or landing casing.	Sacks Cement 50 sacks by Halliburton 100 sacks hy Halliburton We estimate that d. Lease outline
S as follows: Ve propose to size of Hole 10" 8-1/4" I changes in the first productional into the content of	No bond fire Bonds in a course the folia size of Casing 8-5/8" OD 7" OD the above plan active oil or grantion: pur Field a	led - we have good standing e wing strings of cas! Weight Per Foot 24# 20# become advisable was sand should occur lat attached sand should occur rth Office - To	noperations or and Permit to land and to land or second Hand New API Seamless e will notify you be at a depth of aboth of aboth wing propose Roy Charleswore lephone 2-83	Depth 1100' 3475' efore cementing out 3550 ed location rth, P. O. B	Lands - Having New Mexico (indicated: Landed or Comented Cemented Cemented or landing casing.	Sacks Cement 50 sacks by Halliburton 100 sacks hy Halliburton We estimate that d. Lease outline
Sas follows: Ve propose to size of Hole 10" 8-1/4" I changes in the first productional interproductional interproductional interproductional interproductional interproductional interproved	No bond fi Bonds in a course the folia casing 8-5/8" OD 7" OD the above plan uctive oil or grown field a Fort Work November	led - we have good standing e wing strings of cas! Weight Per Foot 24# 20# become advisable was sand should occur lat attached sand should occur rth Office - To	operations or and Permit to and Permit to and to land or second Hand New Or Second Hand New API Seamless e will notify you be at a depth of about the abo	Depth 1100' 3475' efore cementing of the control	Lands - Having in New Mexico in New Mexico indicated: Landed or Commented Cemented Cemented or landing casing. circled in recox 667, Kermi	Sacks Cement 50 sacks by Halliburton 100 sacks hy Halliburton We estimate that d. Lease outline t, Texas Tele
s as follows: Ve propose to size of Hole 10" 8-1/4" I changes in the first productional interproduction in Red. Othone #3.	No bond fi Bonds in a course the folia casing 8-5/8" OD 7" OD the above plan uctive oil or grown field a Fort Work November	led - we have good standing e wing strings of cas! Weight Per Foot 24# 20# become advisable was sand should occur lat attached sand should occur rth Office - To	operations or and Permit to and Permit to and to land or second Hand New Or Second Hand New API Seamless e will notify you be at a depth of about the abo	Depth 1100' 3475' efore cementing of the control	Lands - Having in New Mexico in New Mexico indicated: Landed or Commented Cemented Cemented or landing casing. tipe in the circled in recox 667, Kerming casing.	Sacks Cement 50 sacks by Halliburton 100 sacks hy Halliburton We estimate that d. Lease outline t, Texas Tele
s as follows: Ve propose to size of Hole 10" 8-1/4" I changes in the first productional interproductional interproductional interproductional interproductional interproductional interproved	No bond fi Bonds in a course the folia casing 8-5/8" OD 7" OD the above plan uctive oil or grown field a Fort Work November	led - we have good standing e wing strings of cas! Weight Per Foot 24# 20# become advisable was sand should occur lat attached sand should occur rth Office - To	operations or and Permit to and Permit to and to land or second Hand New Or Second Hand New API Seamless e will notify you be at a depth of aboth owing propose Roy Charleswo elephone 2-83' 19 49 Sincer	Depth 1100' 3475' efore cementing of the control	Lands - Having in New Mexico in New Mexico indicated: Landed or Commented Cemented Cemented or landing casing. circled in recox 667, Kermi	Sacks Cement 50 sacks by Halliburton 100 sacks hy Halliburton We estimate that d. Lease outline t, Texas Tele
Sas follows: Ve propose to size of Hole 10" 8-1/4" I changes in the first productional interproductional interproductional interproductional interproductional interproductional interproved	No bond fi Bonds in a course the folia casing 8-5/8" OD 7" OD the above plan uctive oil or grown field a Fort Work November	led - we have good standing e wing strings of cas! Weight Per Foot 24# 20# become advisable was sand should occur lat attached sand should occur rth Office - To	operations or and Permit to and Permit to and remit to an and to land or second Hand New New API Seamless e will notify you be at a depth of about a depth of about the second Hand Now API Seamless e will notify you be at a depth of about a depth of about the second Hand Now API Seamless e will notify you be at a depth of about a depth of a d	Depth 1100' 3475' efore cementing of the control	Lands - Hay in New Mexico in New Mexico indicated: Landed or Commented Cemented Cemented or landing casing. tipology circled in re lox 667, Kermi	Sacks Cement 50 sacks by Halliburton 100 sacks hy Halliburton We estimate that d. Lease outline t, Texas Tele
Sas follows: Ve propose to size of Hole 10" 8-1/4" I changes in the first productional interproductional interproductional interproductional interproductional interproductional interproved	No bond fi Bonds in a course the folia casing 8-5/8" OD 7" OD the above plan uctive oil or grown field a Fort Work November	led - we have good standing e wing strings of cas! Weight Per Foot 24# 20# become advisable was sand should occur lat attached sand should occur rth Office - To	operations or and Permit to and Permit to and remit to an and to land or second Hand New New API Seamless e will notify you be at a depth of about a depth of about the second Hand Now API Seamless e will notify you be at a depth of about a depth of about the second Hand Now API Seamless e will notify you be at a depth of about a depth of a d	Depth 1100' 3475' efore cementing of the common of the	Lands - Hay in New Mexico in New Mexico indicated: Landed or Commented Cemented Cemented or landing casing. tipology circled in re lox 667, Kermi	Sacks Cement 50 sacks by Halliburton 100 sacks hy Halliburton We estimate that d. Lease outling t, Texas Tele
s as follows: Ve propose to size of Hole 10" 8-1/4" I changes in the first productional interproductional interproductional interproductional interproductional interproductional interproved	No bond fi Bonds in a course the folia casing 8-5/8" OD 7" OD the above plan uctive oil or grown field a Fort Work November	led - we have good standing e wing strings of cas! Weight Per Foot 24# 20# become advisable was sand should occur lat attached sand should occur rth Office - To	operations or and Permit to and Permit to and remit to an and to land or second Hand New Or Second Hand New API Seamless e will notify you be at a depth of about a depth of about the second Hand and the second Hand are at a depth of about the second Hand are at a depth of a de	Depth 1100' 3475' efore cementing of the common of the	Lands - Having In New Mexico (Indicated: Landed or Commented Cemented Cemented or landing casing. tip (Company or Operated) G COMPANY IN Company or Operated rris, y-Treasurer	Sacks Cement 50 sacks by Halliburton 100 sacks hy Halliburton We estimate that d. Lease outling t, Texas Tele
s as follows: Ve propose to size of Hole 10" 8-1/4" I changes in the first productional interproductional interproductional interproductional interproved except as follows:	No bond fi Bonds in a couse the folice of casing 8-5/8" OD 7" OD the above plan active oil or grown field a Fort Work November ows:	led - we have good standing e wing strings of cas! Weight Per Foot 24# 20# become advisable was sand should occur lat attached sand should occur rth Office - To	operations or and Permit to and Permit to and remit to and and to land or second Hand New API Seamless e will notify you be at a depth of about the about	Depth 1100' 3475' efore cementing of the communication recommunication reco	Lands - Having in New Mexico in New Mexico indicated: Landed or Comented Cemented Cemented or landing casing. to circled in recox 667, Kermi	Sacks Cement 50 sacks by Halliburton 100 sacks hy Halliburton We estimate that d. Lease outlinet, Texas Tele
s as follows: We propose to size of Hole 10" 8-1/4" If changes in the first productional interproductional interproductional interproduction Red. Ophone #3.	No bond fi Bonds in go use the folice Size of Casing 8-5/8" OD 7" OD the above planuctive oil or gormation: prore work November ows:	led - we have good standing a wing strings of cas! Weight Per Foot 24# 20# become advisable was sand should occur lat attached sand should occur rth Office - To	operations or and Permit to and Permit to and Permit to and and to land or second Hand New or second Hand New API Seamless e will notify you be at a depth of aboth owing propose Roy Charleswo elephone 2-83° 19 49 Sincer By Will Position Send of Name	Depth 1100' 3475' efore cementing of the communication recommunication reco	Lands - Having In New Mexico (Indicated: Landed or Commented Cemented Cemented or landing casing. tip (Company or Operated) G COMPANY IN Company or Operated rris, y-Treasurer	Sacks Cement 50 sacks by Halliburton 100 sacks hy Halliburton We estimate that d. Lease outlinet, Texas Tele

NOTICE FOR PUBLICATION STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

The State of New Mexico by its Oil Conservation Commission hereby gives public notice pursuant to law of a public hearing to be held November 22, 1949, beginning at 10:00 o'clock A.M. of that day in the City of Santa Fe, New Mexico, in the Hall of Representatives.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO TO:

All named parties in the following cases, and notice to the public:

Case 200

In the matter of the Application of Worth Drilling Company, Inc. for an order approving an unorthodox location for A. C. Taylor well No. 7-A, 10 ft. from the south line and 1320 ft. east of the west line (SW/4) of Section 12 in Township 18 south, Range 31 east, N.M.P.M., in North Shugart pool, Eddy County, New Mexico.

Case 201

In the matter of the Application of Danciger Oil and Refining Company for an order granting permission to drill twelve unorthodox ("five spot") locations on its Turner "A" and Turner "B" leases in Sections 17, 18, 19 and 20, in Township I7 south, Range 31 east, N.M.P.M., in the Premier pool, Eddy County, New Mexico.

Case 202

In the matter of the Application of Rowan Oil Company for an order reducing the daily allowable of the Brunson pool, Lea County, New Mexico, to 90 barrels per day per well for a period of six months, within which period of time through surveys and studies information may be had for the purpose of determining the maximum efficient rate of production of reservoir.

Case 203

In the matter of the Application of Santa Fe Pacific Railroad Company and Oil Development Company of Texas, for an order allowing an exception from Commission Order No. 779, of July 27, 1948, providing an 80 acre spacing pattern for wells in the Crossroads pool, Lea County, New Mexico.

Case 204

In the matter of the Application of Amerada Petroleum Corporation for an order establishing proration units and uniform spacing of wells for the common source of supply discovered in the W. W. Hamilton #1 well, NE SM Section 35, Township 16 south, Range 38 east, N.M.P.M., Knowles pool, Lea County, New Mexico.

Given under the seal of the Oil Conservation Commission of New Mexico, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on November 7, 1949.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

R. R. SPURRIPE SECRETARY

NOTICE FOR PUBLICATION
STATE OF NEW MEXICO
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
The State of New Mexico by its Oil
Conservation Commission hereby gives
Conservation Commission hereby gives
public notice pursuant to law of a public
public notice pursuant to law of a public
public notice pursuant to law of a public
ginning at 10:00 c'c'ck A. M. of that
day in the City of Santa Fe, New Mexico,
in the Hall of Representatives

STATE OF NEW MEXICO TO:
All named parties in the following
lases, and notice to the public
lases, and notice to the south
lining Company, Inc. for an order apprilling Company, Inc. for an order apprilling Company, Inc. for an order apline and 1320 ft. east of the west line
line and 1320 ft. east of the west line
line and 1320 ft. east of the west line
line and 1320 ft. east of the west line
line and lago ft. east of the west line
line and remarked of the Application of Danline matter of the Application of Danleger Cil and Refining Company for an
elger cil and Refining Company in the
line matter of the Application of Rowan
(Oil Company for an order reducing the
Oil Company for an order reducing the
Oil Company for an order reducing the
daily allowable of the Brunson pool. Lea
dounty, New Mexico, to 90 barrels per
day per well for a period of six months,
within which period of time through surwirt.

Case 203
In the matter of the Application of Santa
In the matter of the Application of Santa
In the matter of the Application of Commisvoir.

Case 203
In the matter of the Application of Santa
In the matter of the Application of Santa
In the matter of the Application of Santa
In the Crossroads pool, Lea County, New
in the Crossroads pool, Lea County, New
in the Crossroads pool,

lexico. ub: Nov. 9, 1949.	The second secon	
	PUBLISHER'S BILL	
	2 lines, one time at \$	5.20
)	lines,times, \$	
		5.20
	Total	
Received payr	ment,	
Ву		

Affidavit of Publication

State of New Mexico \ ss.	
County of Santa re	
	son being first duly sworn, (Editor) of the Santa Fe
well Harri	son Being mat
l,	general (Editor) of the Santa Fe a daily newspaper, published in the English
1 . 1 am the (Bus	in English
declare and say	a daily newspaper, published in the English a daily newspaper, published in the English culation in the City and County of Santa Fe, State of culation in the City and County of Santa Fe, State of culation in the City and County of Santa Fe, State of culation in the City and County of Santa Fe, State of culations in the City and County of Santa Fe, State of culations in the English
New Mexican	culation in the City and County of Sandadver-
Language, and Having & B	and qualified to promise coar, that the
New Mexico, and being a newsp	f Chapter 167 of the Session Laws
tisements under the provisions of	paper duly qualified to publish le I notices and de paper duly qualified to publish le I notices and de paper duly qualified to Session Laws of 1937; that the statished to attached, was published in said paper proportion and the proper duly paper paper paper duly paper paper paper paper duly paper p
publication, a copy which is here	The state of the s
one time moo	to attached, was published to attach the notice was during the time of publication, and that the notice was during the time of publication, and that the notice was
aublished in the newspaper pro	during the time of publication, and that the little during the time of publication, and that the little during the time of publication during the publication being on the
phononea time	November, 19, 119, and the master publication being on the
one or	November, 19 149, and the mase mount in that payment
9th_day of	morn ; that payment ; that payment ; that the en (duly made), or (assessed as court costs); that the en (duly made), or (assessed as court costs); that the
mi	shorthit costs): Indi the
momniment has been	
for said advertisens	en (duly made), or (assessed as court coacs), en (duly made), or (assessed as court coacs), ledge of the matters and things set forth in this affidavit.
undersigned has r	(Way day
en e	Editor-Manus
	Subscribed and sworn to before me this 9M
5.20	and owere to before me this
	Subscribed and sworn 4
	AD., 194
	day of Maurille , A.D., 1949 Notary Public
	Norry Public
5.20	Hours
	a A tation expires
	My Commission expires
	My Commission expires 14, 1953
The second secon	\mathcal{N} . The second of the se

Affidavit of Publication

	State of New Mexico County of Santa Fe ss.
•	l, Will Harrison , being first duly sworn,
	declare and say that I am the (Business Manager) (Editor) of the Santa Fe
	New Moxican , a daily newspaper, published in the English Language, and having a general circulation in the City and County of Santa Fe, State of New Mexico, and being a newspaper duly qualified to publish legal notices and advertisements under the provisions or Chapter 167 of the Session Laws of 1937; that the publication, a copy which is hereto attached, was published in said paper and paper
	for one time source the paper during the time of publication, and that the notice was published in the newspaper proper, and not in any supplement, one can also for
	one time weeks consequively the first publication being on the
	21st day of November 1947, and strong day of November 1947, and st
PUBLISHER'S BILL	for said advertisement has been (d.), made), or (assessed as court costs); that the undersigned has personal knowledge of the matters and things set forth in this affidavit.
i deciding a pilat	Fditor Manage
73 lines, one time at \$ 7.4	Subscribed and sworn to before me this 21 at
Tax \$	a Maria da
Total \$	
Received payment,	Notary Public My Commission expires
By	June 14, 1953
Dy Control of the Con	

Affidavit of Publication

State of New Mexico, County of Eddy, ss.

F. B. Rigdon, being first duly sworn, on oath says:

That he is publisher of the Daily Current-Argus, a newspaper published daily at the City of Carlsbad, in said county of Eddy, State of New Mexico and of general paid circulation in said county; that the same is a duly qualified newspaper under the laws of this state wherein legal notices and advertisements may be published; that the printed notice attached hereto was published in the regular and entire edition of said newspaper and not in a supplement thereof on the dates as follows, to-wit:

that the	cost of	pul!ica	tion is	\$4 50
	·		·	, 19
***************************************	•		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, 19
		•••••	ş	, 19
//	.v.mul	?.W7		, 19.4.7

and that pay nt therefor has been made and will be assessed as court costs.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this

21 day of novembers, 1949

Say B Sing Notary Fublic.

My commission expires July 1, 19.50

Nov 9
NOTICE FOR PUBLICATION
STATE OF NEW MEXICO
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
The State of New Mexico by its Oil

The State of New Mexico by its Oil Conservation Commission hereby glyes public notice pursuant to law of a public hearing to be held November 22, 1949, beginning at 10:00 o'clock A.M. of that day in the City of Santa Fe, New Mexico, in the Hall of Representatives.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO TO:
All named parties in the following cases, and notices to the public:

Case 200
In the matter of the Application of Worth Drilling Company, Inc. for an order approving an unorthdox location for A. C. Taylor well No. 7-A, 10 ft. from the south line and 1320 ft. cast of

the west line (SW!4) of Section 12 in Township 18 south, Range 31, east, N.M.F.M., in North Shugart pool, Eddy County, New Mexico. Case 201

In the matter of the Application of Danciger Oil and Refining Company for an order granting permission it drill twelve unorthodox ("five spot" locations on its Turner "A" and Turne. "E" leases in Sections 17, 18, 19 and 20 in Township 17 south, Range 31 east N.M.F.M., in the Premier pool, Eddy County, New Mexico.

in Township 17 south, Range 31 east, N.M.F.M. in the Premier pool, Eddy County, New Mexico.
Given under the seal of the Oil Conscrvation Commission of New Mexico, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on November 7, 1949.

(SEAL)

STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL GONSERVATION COM-MISSI---R. R. SPURRIER, Secretary

.

NOTICE FOR PUBLICATION telette; STATE OF HAN JEKICO

TELETTE; OIL CONSERVATION COLLABSION TO TOLOR OF THE

The State of New Mexico by Its Oil Conservation Convinsion hereby gives public notice pursuant to law of a public hearing to be held November 22, 1949, beginning at 10:00 o'clock A.H. of that day in the City of Santa Fe, New Mexico, in the Hall of Representatives.

STATE OF NEW LEXICO TO: The second secon

All named parties in the following cases, and notice to the public:

TITLE SEE W 131.02 Case (200 11.0 11.0 10.00 10.00

. Well to colorband a

In the matter of the Application of Worth Drilling Company, Inc. for an order approving an unorthodox location for A. C. Taylor well No. 7-A, 10 ft. from the south line and 1320 ft. east of the west line (SW/4) of Section 12 in Township 18 south, Range 31 east, N. H. P. H., in North Shugart pool, Eddy County, New Lexico.

Case 201

In the matter of the Application of Danciger Oil and Refining Company for an order granting permission to drill twelve unorthodox ("five spot") locations on its Turner "A" and Turner "B" leases in Sections 17, 18, 19 and 20, in Township 17 south, Range 31 east, N. N. P. N., in the Premier pool, Eddy County, New Mexico.

Case 202

In the matter of the Application of Rowan Cil Company for an order reducing the daily allowable of the Brunson pool, Lea County, New Nexico, to 90 barrels per day per well for a period of six months, within which period of time through surveys and studies information may be had for the purpose of determining the maximum efficient rate of production of reservoir.

Case 203

In the matter of the Application of Santa Fe Pacific Railroad Company and Oil Development Company of Texas, for an order allowing an exception from Commission Order No. 779, of July 27, 1948, providing an 80 acre spacing pattern for wells in the Crossroads pool, Les County, New Mexico.

beginning at 10:00 octock A.M. of that beginning at 10:00 octock A.M. of that of the City of Santa Fe, New Mexico. In the matter of Santa Fe, New Mexico. All named parties in the following All named parties on the public: Cases 200

In the matter of the Organ order New Mexico. To the United South Brilling Company, Inc. for an order south line and 1320 (t. east of the west south line and 1320 (t. east of the west south Range 31 east, N.M.P.M. in 18 south, Range 31 east, N.M.P.M., in 18 south, Range 31 east, N.M.P.M., in an order granting permission to drill on the Tourner "A" and Turner "B" leases 1919.

Santa Fe Pactific Railroad Company and Santa Fe Pactific Railroad Company of Texas, for Jule Pactific Railroad Company and Santa Fe Pactific Railroad Company and Santa Fe Pactific Railroad Company of Texas, for Jule Pactific Railroad Company and Santa Fe Pactific Railroad Company of Texas, for Jule Company and Texas Coll Development Company and Santa Fe Pactific Railroad Company and Santa Fe Pactific Railroad Company of Texas, for Jule Company of Texas, for Jule Company of Texas, for Jule Company and Santa Fe Pactific Railroad Company and Company and Railroad Company and recaption of the Santa Fe Pactific Railroad Company and recaption of the Santa Fe Pactific Railroad Company and recaption of the Santa Fe

NOTICE FOR PUBLICATION

NOTICE FOR PUBLICATION

STATE OF NEW MEXICO

STA

NOTICE FOR PUBLICATION

STATE OF ULU EXICO

OLUMBERVATION COLUMBSION TO THE ME OF THE ME

3 M 3385

The State of New Mexico by Its Oil Conservation Commission hereby gives public notice pursuant to law of a public hearing to be held November 22, 1949, beginning at 10:00 o'clock A.H. of that day in the City of Santa Fe, New Mexico, in the Hall of Representatives.

All named partition in the Tollowing cases, and notice to the public:

Case (200

Committee in the Second

TOTAL SIDE OF MARCH

- 2-14 LITER NO 180

In the matter of the Application of Worth Drilling Company, Inc. for an order approving an unorthodox location for A, C. Taylor well No. 7-A, 10 ft. from the south line and 1320 ft. east of the west line (SW/4) of Section 12 in Township 18 south, Range 31 east, N. H. P. H., in North Shugart pool, Eddy County, New Lexico.

Case 201

In the matter of the Application of Danciger Oil and Refining Company for an order granting permission to drill twelve unorthodox ("five srot") locations on its Turner "A" and Turner "D" leases in Sections 17, 18, 19 and 20, in Township 17 south, Range 31 east, N. N. P. N., in the Premier pool, Eddy County, New Mexico.

Case 202

In the matter of the Application of Rowan Oil Company for an order reducing the daily allowable of the Brunson pool, Lea County, New Mexico, to 90 barrels per day per well for a period of six months, within which period of time through surveys and studies information may be had for the purpose of determining the maximum efficient rate of production of ruservoir.

Case 203

in the matter of the Application of Santa Fe Pacific Railroad Company and Oil Development Company of Texas, for an order allowing an exception from Commission Order No. 779, of July 27, 1948, providing an 80 acre spacing pattern for wells in the Crossroads pool, Lea County, New Mexico.

Case 204

In the matter of the Application of Amerada Petroleum Corporation for an order establishing proration units and uniform spacing of wells for the common source of supply discovered in the W. W. Hamilton // well, NE SW Section 35, Township 16 south, Range 38 east, N. H. P.M., Knowles pool, Lea County, New Mexico. erijai ko Itzi en .

Given under the seal of the Oil Conservation Commission of New lark on at Santa Fe, New Mexico; on November, 7, 2247

in a long will od so tack the

STATE OF NEW LEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COLLISSION

B. R. SPURRIER, SECRETARY

SEAL

The second sectors of a 110 need of the advantaged one to enter a sector of the first of sectors and the sectors are the

Real transfer of the forest of the series of

COSTROL FOR CHILD TO THE SEC.

refer the cold the cold live head, no detailed age, detailed enter each cold and age of the leaster of the cold and the cold and the cold age of the cold age

to an industry to their scale from the ending the granther delight to become and

The translated of the electrical of dense to abstrallege due to teacher the electrical and electrical of the electrical

يتهدأن زازه الأراسة

PARTY LINE

- tolker to the



