<u>CASE 2941</u>; Application of TEXACO for a triple completion of its L. R. KERSHAW WELL NO. 9.

.



Application, TrANSCripts, SMAll Exhibits ETC.

Date 11/22/65 2941 CASE 9 au 11/201 Hearing Date My recommondations for an order in the above numbered cases are as follows: OSN () Euter an order an thorying IEkalo Fue to develop complete its R. R. Kershan Well to 9, Located in B-13-205-31 E to produce ail from the East win - Blineby and the Oto an underignated Tuble Oil poal through plaraced strings of 2 Caring ceneuted in a cam week leare Include finding that origin application was for approved of a triple completion to produce from the blorieta, Blinetery, and al Tuble formations but the blarieta war found to be sean production the application amended at the hear Take segregation Cests upon langertion an Suring annal GOR +25+ for Tuble your

NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

5-1-61

APPLICATION FOR MULTIPLE COMPLETION

Operator			County	November 14, 1963
Address	TEXACO Inc.	•	Lease	Well No.
•	Box 728, Hobbs	, New Mexico	L. R. Kershaw	9
Location	Unit	Section	Township	Range
of Sell	ъ	1 12	20-5	37-E

1. Has the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission heretofore authorized the multiple completion of a well in these same pools or in the same zones within one mue of the subject well? YES_____ NO_X___

2. It answer is yes, identify one such instance: Order No. _ ; Operator, Lease, and Well No.:

The following facts are submitted:	Upper Zore	Intermediate Zone	Lowet Zone	
a. Name of Pool and Formation	Weir, E. (Blinebry)		Weir (Tubb)Gas)	
b. Top and Bottom of Pay Section (Perforations)	5761,5799,5815, 5833,5845,5864		6439, 6447,6467, 6498,6505, 6513, 6521	
c. Type of production (Oil or Gas)	Oil		Cas oic	
d. Method of Production (Flowing or Attificial Lift)	Flow		Flow	

4. The following are attached. (Please mark YES of NO)

Yes a. Diagrammatic Sketch of the Multiple Completion, showing all casing strings, including diameters and setting depths, centralizers and /or turbolizers and location thereof, quantities used and top of cement, perforated intervals, tubing strings, including diameters and setting depth, location and type of packers and side door chokes, and such other information as may be pertinent.

Yes_b. Plat showing the location of all wells on applicant's lease, all offset wells on offset leases, and the names and addresses of operators of all leases offsetting applicant's lease.

NO. C. Walvers consenting to such multiple completion from each offset operator, or in lieu thereof, evidence that said offset operators have been furnished copies of the application.*

Yegd. Electrical log of the well or other proceptable log with tops and bottoms of producing zones and intervals of perforation indicated thereon. (If such log is not available at the time application is filed, it shall be submitted as provided by Rule 112-A.) 5. List all offset operators to the lease on which this well is located together with their correct mailing address.

Continental Oil Company-Box 68-Eunice, New Mexico

6. Were all operators listed in Item 5 above notified and furnished a copy of this application? YES ____ NO _A . If unswer is yes, give date of such notification

CERTIFICATE: I, the undersigned, state that I am the Dist. Superintendent of the TEXACO Inc. ___ (company), and that I am authorized by said company to make this report; and that this report was prepared under my supervision and direction and that the facts stated therein are true, correct and complete to the best of my knowledge.

W. B. Hubbard Signature

Should waivers from all offact operators not accompany an application for administrative approval, the New Mexico Ol Conservation Commission will hold the application for a period of twenty (20) days from date of receipt by the Commission's Santa Fe office. If, after said ewenty-day period, no protest nor request for hearing is received by the Santa Fe office, the application will then be processed. NOTE: If the proposed multiple completion will result in an unorthodox well location and/or a non-standard protation unit in either or both of the producing zones, then separate application for approval of the same should be filed simultaneously with this application.

DRAWER 728 HOBBS, NEW MEXICO 88240

TEXACO

INC.

PETROLEUM PRODUCTS

October 31, 1963

New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission P. O. Box 871 Santa Fe, New Mexico

MAIN OFFICE OCC

1363 OCT 31 AM 8:37

Re: Application for Triple Tubingless Completion, L. R. Kershaw Well No. 9, Lea County, New Mexico.

Attention: Mr. A. L. Porter, Jr.

Gentlemen:

Attached is Texaco's Application for triple tubingless completion for the L. R. Kershaw Well No. 9, located 760 feet from the north line and 1900 feet from the east line, Unit B, Section 13, T-20S, R-37E, Lea County, New Mexico. Texaco plans to complete this well as a triple tubingless oil completion in the Skaggs (Glorieta), Weir, East (Blinebry) and Weir (Tubb Gas) Pools. It is anticipated that all zones will flow initially. Approval has been granted previously by the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission for these zones in Texaco Inc.'s C. H. Weir "A" Well No. 8, SKagge (Glorieta), East Weir (Blinebry) and Skaggs (Drinkard) and M. B. Weir "B" Well No. 7, Weir (Tubb Gas) and Skaggs (Drinkard).

Also attached is a copy of the plat showing the area immediately surrounding Texaco's L. R. Kershaw Lease and a diagrammatic sketch of the proposed triple tubingless completion. It is respectfully requested that Texaco Inc. be granted administrative approval for this application as provided for under Rule 112-A.

Yours very truly.

J. G. Blevins, Jr. Assistant District Supt.

WPY-bh CC: NMOCC, Hobbs Offset Operator

Attachments

DOCKET MARED

NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

5-1-61 12. 26111

APPLICATION FOR MULTIPLE COMPLETION

refator TEXACO Inc.		County	Date October 31, 1963
ddress		Lease	Well No.
Box 728-Hobbs, New Mer	kico	L. R. Kershaw	9
	Section	Township	Range
i Well B	13	20 - S	37-E
Has the New Mexico Oil Conservati	on Commission heretofore a	athorized the multiple completion of	a well in these same pools or in th
same zones within one mue of the s	ubject woll? YES	NO_X_	
It answer is yes, identify one such in		; Operator, Lease, and	Well No.:
TEXACO Inc. C. H. Weir			
(Drinkard) Order MC-1180			
The following facts are submitted:	Upper Zone	Intermediate Zone	Lowet Zone
a. Name of Pool and Formation	Skaggs Glorieta	Weir E. (Blinebry	7) Weir (Tubb Gas)
b. Top and Buttom of Pay Section (Perforations)	Est. 5240-5250'	Est. 5800-58501	Est. 6350-6370'
e. Type of production (Oil or Gas)	011	Qil	Gas

Yes a. Diagrammatic Sketch of the Multiple Completion, showing all casing strings, including diameters and setting depths, centralizers and /or turbolizers and location thereof, quantities used and top of cement, perforated intervals, tubing strings, including diameters and setting depth, location and type of packers and side door chokes, and such other information as may be pertinent.

Yes b. Plat showing the location of all wells on applicant's lease, all offset wells on offset leases, and the names and addresses of operators of all leases offsetting applicant's lease.

NO_c. Waivers consenting to such multiple completion from each offset operator, or in lieu thereof, evidence that said offset operators have been furnished copies of the application.*

No d. Electrical log of the well or other acceptable log with tops and bottoms of producing zones and intervals of perforation indicated thereon. (If such log is not available at the time application is filed, it shall be submitted as provided by Rule 112-A.) 5. List all offset operators to the lease on which this well is located together with their correct mailing address.

o, mat an onset operators to the rease on which this went is rotated together with their confect manning aut ess.	88	
Continental Oil Company - Box 68 - Eunice, New Mexico	OCT	
	<u>u</u> '	
		8
	<u>0</u> 2	8
	ω.	· ~

under my supervision and direction and that the facts stated therein are true, correct and complete to the best of my knowledge.

THERA G. Blevins, Jr.

Should waivers from all offset operators not accompany an application for administrative approval, the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission will hold the application for a period of twenty (20) days from date of receipt by the Commission's Santa Fe office. If, after said twenty-day period, no protest nor request for hearing is received by the Santa Fe office, the application will then be processed.
 NOTE: If the proposed multiple completion will result is an unorthodox well location and/or a non-standard protation unit in either or both of the producing zones, then separate application for approval of the same should be filed simultaneously with this application.



TEXACO Inc.

· · ·

LEASE: L. R. Kershaw Well No. 9 FIELD: Skaggs (Glorieta), Weir (Tubb Gas) & Weir, East (Blinebry) DATE: October 31, 1963



OTU CONSERVATION COMMISSION

1333 NOV - BOX120451 36

Э.;-

HOBBS, NEW HEXICO

L CONSERVATION COMMISSION	Re: Proposed NSP
X 873 NTA FE, NEW MEXICO	Proposed NSL
	Proposed NF0
	Proposed DC
entlemen:	Triple X
I have mained the applica	ition dated 10/31/63
r the <u>Texaco, Inc. L.</u> Operator Lease	R. Kershaw #9-B 13-20-37 and Well No. S-T-R
nd my recommendations are as fo	ollows:
Multiple & Tubingless 0.KE	F.E.
-	₩ @ 6305. O.KJ.W.R.
To Aller (-3) of the	N (1 3003 U.A U.H.A.
	Yours very truly,
	Yours very truly, Oll CONSERVATION COMMISSION
	OLL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
	OLL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
	OLL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
	OL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
	OLL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
	OL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
	OLL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
	OLL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
	OLCONSERVATION COMMISSION
	OLL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
	OLCONSERVATION COMMISSION
	OL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
	OLCONSERVATION COMMISSION
	OL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
	OL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
	OL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

ITEM Type Crude Gravity (^O API) BHP (psi) GOR (cu. ft./bbl) Status Corrosion Paraffin	TEXACO INC. L. R. Kersnaw Well No. 9 Crude Characteristics Blinebry Formation WEIR, E. BLINEBRY POOL Int. Sweet 41 2350 700 Flow None None	Tubb Formation WEIR (TUBB) (ALB) POOL Distillate Inf. Sweer 64-37.1 2500 40500 2519 Flow None None
Note:	Above duta estimated from in area and from data o Tubl Formation in L.R	previous completions bitained thus far in Kenshaw Well No. 9

顶脉

BEFORE EXAMINER NUTTER
CONSERVATION COMMISSION
Tern Co EXHIBIT NO. 3
CASE NO. 2941





Docket No. 34-63

DOCKET: EXAMINER HEARING - WEDNESDAY - NOVEMBER 20, 1963

9:00 A. M. - OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION CONFERENCE ROOM, STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING - SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

The following cases will be heard before Daniel S. Nutter, Examiner, or Elvis A. Utz, Alternate Examiner:

- CASE 2939: Application of Texaco Inc. for a unit agreement, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of the Square Lake 31 Unit Area comprising 480 acres, more or less, of Federal land in Section 31, Township 16 South, Range 30 East, Eddy County, New Mexico.
- CASE 2940: Application of Texaco Inc. for a waterflood project, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to institute a waterflood project in the Square Lake Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico, by the injection of water into the Premier Sand through six wells located in Section 31, Township 16 South, Range 30 East.
- CASE 2941: Application of Texaco Inc. for a triple completion, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of the triple completion (tubingless) of its L. R. Kershaw Well No. 9, located in Unit B of Section 13, Township 20 South, Range 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico, to produce oil from the Skaggs Glorieta and East Weir Blinebry Pools and gas from the Weir Tubb Gas Pool through parallel strings of 2 7/8 inch casing cemented in a common well bore.
- CASE 2942: Application of Sunray DX Oil Company for the creation of a new oil pool and for special temporary pool rules, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the creation of a new Bough "C" Oil Pool for its New Mexico State "AO" Well No. 1, located in Unit M of Section 16, Township 10 South, Range 34 East, Lea County, New Mexico, and the establishment of temporary pool rules therefor, including a provision for 160-acre proration units and for fixed well locations.

CASE 2943:

. . . .

3. - D

Application of Gulf Oil Corporation to combine two existing gas pools, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the abovestyled cause, seeks the consolidation of the Monument-Ellenburger and Monument-McKee Gas Pools, Lea County, New Mexico, into a single pool to be operated and prorated under the existing rules for the Monument-McKee Pool. PAGE -2-Docket No. 34-63

CASE 2944: Application of Socony Mobil Oil Company for an unorthodox location, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the abovestyled cause, seeks approval of an unorthodox location for a proposed triple completion in the Vacuum-Devonian, Vacuum-Wolfcamp and North Vacuum-Abo Pools, Lea County, New Mexico, said well to be drilled at a point 600 feet East of the center of the NW/4 SW/4 of Section 36, Township 17 South, Range 34 East.

Oil Conservation Commission

ir/

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

> CASE No. 2941 Order No. R-2610

APPLICATION OF TEXACO INC. FOR A DUAL COMPLETION, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 o'clock a.m. on November 20, 1963, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Daniel S. Mutter, Examiner duly appointed by the Oil Conservation Commission of New Mexico, hereinafter referred to as the "Commission," in accordance with Rule 1214 of the Commission Rules and Regulations.

NOW, on this <u>6th</u> day of December, 1963, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the application, the evidence adduced, and the recommendations of the Examiner, Daniel S. Nutter, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That the applicant, Texaco Inc., seeks authority to complete its L. R. Kershaw Well No. 9, located in Unit B of Section 13, Township 20 South, Range 37 East, HMPM, Lea County, New Mexico, as a dual completion (tubingless) to produce oil from the East Weir-Blinebry Pool and an undesignated Tubb oil pool through parallel strings of 2 7/8-inch casing cemented in a common well bore.

(3) That the applicant originally made application in this case to complete the subject well as a triple completion (tubingless) to produce oil from the Skaggs-Glorieta and East Weir-Blinebry Pools and gas from the Weir-Tubb Gas Pool; that the Skaggs-Glorieta Pool was found to be non-productive prior to the hearing; and that the application was amended at the hearing to seek approval of a dual completion as set out above.

(4) That the mechanics of the proposed dual completion are feasible and in accord with good conservation practices.

-2-CASE No. 2941 Order No. R-2610

(5) That approval of the subject application will prevent waste and protect correlative rights.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That the applicant, Texace Inc., is hereby authorized to complete its L. R. Kershaw Well No. 9, located in Unit B of Section 13, Township 20 South, Range 37 East, NMPM, Lea County, New Mexico, as a dual completion (tubingless) to produce oil from the East Weir-Blinebry Pool and an undesignated Tubb cil pool through parallel strings of 2 7/8-inch casing cemented in a common well bore.

<u>PROVIDED HOWEVER</u>, That the applicant shall complete, operate, and produce said well in accordance with the provisions of Rule 112-A of the Commission Rules and Regulations insofar as said rule is not inconsistent with this order.

<u>PROVIDED FURTHER</u>. That the applicant shall take zone segregation tests upon completion and annually thereafter during the Gas-Oil Ratio Test Period for the Tubb oil zone.

(2) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Commission may deem necessary.

DOME at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

> STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

ACK M. CAMPBELL, Chairman

1al WALKER, Member



A. L. PORTER, Jr., Member & Secretary



esr/

DOVERNOR JACK M. CAMPBELL CHAIRMAN

State of New Maxico

Bil Conserbation Commission



N. D. BOX 871 BANTA FE

December 6, 1963

STATE SECLOSIST A L PORTER, JR. BERETARY - DIRECTOR

LAND COMMISSIONCE E. B. JOHNNY WALKER MEMORIA

Ret

Mr. Charlie White Gilbert, White & Gilbert Attorneys at Law Post Office Box 787 Santa Fe, New Maxico

2941

R-2610

Enclosed herewith are two copies of the above-referenced Dear Siri Commission order recently entered in the subject case.

Very truly yours, Inter,

A. L. PORTER, Jr. Secretary-Director

Case No._

order No.

Applicants

TEXACO INC.

ir/ Carbon copy of order also sent to: Bolbbs OCC ____X Artesia OCC____ Astec OCC _____ OTHER



PAGE 2

2

WHONADOU IN THE MATTER OF: Application of Texaco, Inc. for a triple completion, Lea County, New Mexico. BEFORE: DANIEL S. NUTTER, EXAMINER BEFORE: DANIEL S. NUTTER, EXAMINER MR. NUTTER: Call Case 2941. MR. DURRETT: Application of Texaco, Inc., for a triple completion, Lea County, New Mexico. MR. WHITE: If the Examiner please, may the record	
TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING MR. NUTTER: Call Case 2941. MR. DURRETT: Application of Texaco, Inc., for a tri completion, Lea County, New Mexico.	
MR. WHITE: If the Examiner please, may the record show the same appearances and the witness has been sworn. MR. NUTTER: Yes, sir. D. M. WILLIAMS, called as a witness herein, having been previously sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WHITE:	ple



DEARNLEY, MEIER, WILKINS and CROWNOVER General Court Reporting Service

243-669.

Mexico

New

uerque,

ā

Buildi

Simms

Suite 1120

MR. WHITE: If the Examiner please, we originally filed an application for triple completion to produce from the Skaggs Glorieta and the East Weir Blinebry Pools and gas from the Weir Tubb Gas Pool. Subsequent to the filing of the application, it was proved that the Glorieta was not commercially productive. Instead of having a triple completion, we wish to change the application or amend it, to a dual. And yesterday we learned that the zone in the Weir Tubb gas pool is oil productive, rather than gas. So, at this time, we would like to amend our application to conform with what we propose.to do.

MR. NUTTER: You are amending this application, Mr. White, from a triple completion in the Skaggs Glorieta and East Blinebry Pool and gas in the Weir Tubb to a dual completion in the East Weir Blinebry and Weir Tubb Gas Pool, both zones would be oil productive?

MR. WHITE: Yes, sir.

MR. NUTTER: There a classification in the gas well in a Weir Tubb?

MR. WHITE: I don't know.

MR. NUTTER: In the pool rules.

MR. WHITE: Frankly, we just learned about this Weir Tubb Gas Pool, yesterday.

MR. NUTTER: I don't believe we actually have a classification for an oil well in that gas pool under any particular pool rules, but that matter can be resolved later. The application will



PAGE 3

		PAGE 7
	ſ	be amended for a dual completion to produce oil in the Weir
	ļ	Blinebry and the Weir Tubb.
		MR. WHITE: Thank you, sir.
		Q (By Mr. White) You are the same Mr. Don Williams who
	160	has previously testified in the former two cases?
ïR	243-6691	A Yes, sir.
TVF		Q Are you familiar with Texaco's application in Case Number
NC	Phone	2941?
	~	
K.	9	A Yes, sir, I am.
с. d	Messico	Q What is the present status of this well?
Service	New 1	A At the present time, the well has been drilled to a total
INS rting		depth of 6880 feet and as mentioned, previously, it was determined
WILKINS and CROWNOVER court Reporting Service	querque,	that the Glorieta zone was structurally too low to be productive.
0	Albuqu	Consequently, two parallel strings of 2 7/8ths inch OD casing were-
MEIER, General I	V	and have been run and cemented in the common well bore. The
MEI Ge	ing	Tubb formation has been completed flowing 139 barrels of oil on an
	Build	eight hour test, ending November 16, 1963; on that test the Tubb
DEARNLEY		completion produced with a gas-oil ratio of 2519 cubic feet per
NA IN	Simms	barrels and the gravity of the oil produced was 39.1 degrees API.
DE	1120	Flowing surface pressure on this test was 120 pounds per square
, T	Suite 1120	inch. Blinebry formation in this well has been perforated and at
	ω	the present time, load oil is being swobbed back following stimu-
		lation treatment.
		Q Now, will you refer to Exhibit Number One and Explain

::**‡**

346

-

-

1. 1 #

1

12

t a 1

14 1

1 -

that, please?



or A

Ā Exhibit Number One is a plat showing the subject lease, outlined in yellow, and showing the Kershaw Well Number Nine circled in red. This plat also shows other wells and completions on the lease, and on leases offsetting this lease.

Now, will you refer to your diagratmatic sketch, Exhibit Q Two?

243-6601

Phone

Mexico

New

ŝ, lerg

lbu

Smi

Sim

1120

Suite

WILKINS and CROWNOVEN

DEARNLEY, MEIER,

Service

Court Reporting

General

Exhibit Two is a diagramatic sketch showing dual tubing-А less installation in the L. R. Kershaw Well Number Nine. This sketch shows that 9 5/8ths inch OD surface casing was set at a depth of 1416 feet, and was cemented with sufficient cement to circulate to the surface. It shows that an 8 3/4 inch hole was drilled to a total depth of 6880 feet, and that two parallel strings of 2 7/8ths inch OD casing were run and set in the common well bore, and at a depth of 6879feet. This also shows that the two strings of 2 7/8ths inch OD casing were cemented with a total Building of 2,080 sax of Class "C" cement with four percent jell. This volume of cement that was used calculated to equal 2.6 times the theoretical calculated volume necessary to reach the base of the salt in this well, at a depth of 2540 feet. The sketch also indicates that centralizers were run on each joint of each of the 2 7/8ths inch OD casing strings over the following intervals, from 5200 feet to 5400 feet, 5600 to 6000, 6300 to 6550, and from 6700 to total depth, 6880 feet. This sketch shows that the Tubb formation has been perforated with one shot at each of the following depths: 6439, 6447, 6467, 6498, 6505, 6513 & 6521. It also



PAGE 5

shows that the Blincbry formation has been perforated with one PAGE 6 shot perforations at each of the following depths: 5761, 5799, 5815, 5833, 5845, 5864. Now, will you give the accrued characteristics? Q 243-6601 Accrued characteristics for the L. R. Kershaw Well Number λ WILKINS and CROWNOVER Nine in the tabulation as Exhibit Three. This tabulation shows Phone that both the Blinebry formation and the Tubb formation in this well produce intermediate sweet crude. API gravity of the crude from the Blinebry, 41 degrees; from the Tubb formation, 39.1, Mexico bottom hole pressure in the Blinebry 2350; in the Tubb 2500. Court Reporting Survice oil ratio in theBlinebry, 700; in the Tubb, 2519. Both zones are New Gasexpected to flow on initial completion. We do not anticipate any guerque, coresion problems or parafin problems with production from either of the two zones. This data is estimated from previous completions DEARNLEY, MEIER, General in the area. in the case of the Blinebry formation, and from data obtained thus far on the Tubb formation completion in the L. R. Buildin Kershaw Well Number Nine. Simms Is it possible to artificially lift this crude if it 0 became necessary? Suite 1120 If and when it becomes necessary to artificially lift А either or both zones, it is anticipated that no difficulty will be had in pumping with conventional rod pumps, both zones. Now, will you refer to Exhibit Four and explain your Q logs, please? Exhibit Four is a radio activity log of the L. R. Kershaw A



्र

the Blinebry formation, and perforations in the Tubb formation. Q Are these exhibits trueand correct to the best of your knowledge and belief? A Yes, sir, they are. Q All right. MR. WHITE: At this time, we offer Exhibits One throug Four.		PAGE 7
Q Are these exhibits true and correct to the best of your knowledge and belief? A Yes, sir, they are. Q All right. MR. WHITE: At this time, we offer Exhibits One through Four will be admitted in evidence. MR. NUTTER: Texaco's Exhibits One through Four will be admitted in evidence. MR. NUTTER: That concludes our direct. MR. NUTTER: Are there any questions of Mr. Williams? He may be excused. Do you have anything further, Mr. White? MR. WHITE: That concludes our case. MR. NUTTER: Does anybody have anything they wish to offer in Case 2941? Take the case under advisement.		Well Number Nine; shown on this log in red are perforations in
<pre>Knowledge and belief? A Yes, sir, they are. Q All right. MR. WHITE: At this time, we offer Exhibits One through Four. Four. MR. NUTTER: Texaco's Exhibits One through Four will k admitted in evidence. MR. WHITE: That concludes our direct. MR. NUTTER: Are there any questions of Mr. Williams? He may be excused. Do you have anything further, Mr. White? MR. WHITE: That concludes our case. MR. NUTTER: Does anybody have anything they wish to offer in Case 2941? Take the case under advisement.</pre>	ĺ	the Blinebry formation, and perforations in the Tubb formation.
<pre>November 2015 A Yes, sir, they are. A Yes, sir, they are. A All right. MR. WHITE: At this time, we offer Exhibits One through Four. NR. NUTTER: Texaco's Exhibits One through Four will & admitted in evidence. MR. WHITE: That concludes our direct. MR. NUTTER: Are there any questions of Mr. Williams? He may be excused. Do you have anything further, Mr. White? MR. WHITE: That concludes our case. MR. NUTTER: Does anybody have anything they wish to offer in Case 2941? Take the case under advisement.</pre>		Q Are these exhibits trueand correct to the best of your
MR. NUTTER: Texaco's Exhibits One through Four will & admitted in evidence. MR. WHITE: That concludes our direct. MR. NUTTER: Are there any questions of Mr. Williams? He may be excused. Do you have anything further, Mr. White? MR. WHITE: That concludes our case. MR. NUTTER: Does anybody have anything they wish to offer in Case 2941? Take the case under advisement.		knowledge and belief?
MR. NUTTER: Texaco's Exhibits One through Four will & admitted in evidence. MR. WHITE: That concludes our direct. MR. NUTTER: Are there any questions of Mr. Williams? He may be excused. Do you have anything further, Mr. White? MR. WHITE: That concludes our case. MR. NUTTER: Does anybody have anything they wish to offer in Case 2941? Take the case under advisement.	<i>Ιόόι</i>	A Yes, sir, they are.
MR. NUTTER: Texaco's Exhibits One through Four will be admitted in evidence. MR. WHITE: That concludes our direct. MR. NUTTER: Are there any questions of Mr. Williams? He may be excused. Do you have anything further, Mr. White? MR. WHITE: That concludes our case. MR. NUTTER: Does anybody have anything they wish to offer in Case 2941? Take the case under advisement.	43-(Q All right.
MR. NUTTER: Texaco's Exhibits One through Four will be admitted in evidence. MR. WHITE: That concludes our direct. MR. NUTTER: Are there any questions of Mr. Williams? He may be excused. Do you have anything further, Mr. White? MR. WHITE: That concludes our case. MR. NUTTER: Does anybody have anything they wish to offer in Case 2941? Take the case under advisement.	ne 2	MR. WHITE: At this time, we offer Exhibits One through
<pre>admitted in evidence.</pre>	Pho	Four.
MR. WHITE: That concludes our direct. MR. NUTTER: Are there any questions of Mr. Williams? He may be excused. Do you have anything further, Mr. White? MR. WHITE: That concludes our case. MR. NUTTER: Does anybody have anything they wish to offer in Case 2941? Take the case under advisement.	0	MR. NUTTER: 'Texaco's Exhibits One through Four will be
MR. WHITE: That concludes our direct. MR. NUTTER: Are there any questions of Mr. Williams? He may be excused. Do you have anything further, Mr. White? MR. WHITE: That concludes our case. MR. NUTTER: Does anybody have anything they wish to offer in Case 2941? Take the case under advisement.	lexic	admitted in evidence.
MR. NUTTER: Are there any questions of Mr. Williams? He may be excused. Do you have anything further, Mr. White? MR. WHITE: That concludes our case. MR. NUTTER: Does anybody have anything they wish to offer in Case 2941? Take the case under advisement.		MR. WHITE: That concludes our direct.
MR. NUTTER: Does anybody have anything they wish to offer in Case 2941? Take the case under advisement.		
MR. NUTTER: Does anybody have anything they wish to offer in Case 2941? Take the case under advisement.	ubroi	He may be excused. Do you have anything further, Mr. White?
MR. NUTTER: Does anybody have anything they wish to offer in Case 2941? Take the case under advisement.	nbnq,	MR. WHITE: That concludes our case.
offer in Case 2941? Take the case under advisement. * * * * *	đ	
* * * * *	ви	offer in Case 2941? Take the case under advisement.
Suite 1120 Simms Bu	tildi	
Suite 1120 Simm	$s B_{t}$	
Suite 1120 S	i'mmi	
Suite 11	20 S	
Suit	e 11.	
	Suit	

		г	PAGE 8
			STATE OF NEW MEXICO X
		ļ	COUNTY OF BERNALILLO X
			I, ROY D. WILKINS, Notary Public in and for the County
		160	of Bernalillo, State of New Mexico, do hereby certify that the for
ER		43-0	going and attached Transcript of Hearing before the New Mexico Oil
40		Phone 243-6691	Conservation Commission was reported by me, and that the same is
MEIER, WILKINS and CROWNOVER		Pho	a true and correct record of the said proceedings, to the best of
RO		<u>,</u>	my knowledge, skill, and ability.
1 C	9	New Mexico	WITNESS mY Hand and Seal of Office, this 23rd day of
anc	Servic	ew M	December, 1963.
SN	ting		\mathcal{O} .
LKI	General Court Reporting Service	Albuquerque,	Kaperelin
ΙM	ourt	nbnq	NOTARY PUBLIC
ER,	eral C	Al	My Commission Expires:
EII	Gene	61	September 6, 1967.
•		Building	
HY		is Br	
RNI		Simm	
DEARNLEY,		Suite 1120 Simms	
Ī		te 11	
		Sui	
			I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No. 2941 heard by me on 1120, 1963
			New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission



```
-2-
CASE No. 2941
```

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That the applicant, Texaco Inc., is hereby authorized to complete its L. R. Kershaw Well No. 9, located in Unit B of Section 13, Township 20 South, Range 37 East, NMPM, Lea County, New Mexico, as a dual completion (tubingless) to produce oil from and the sector of the pool for the pool through the East Weir-Blinebry Pool and the Weir-Tubb Car Pool through parallel strings of 2 7/8-inch casing cemented in a common well bore.

PROVIDED HOWEVER, That the applicant shall complete, operate, and produce said well in accordance with the provisions of Rule 112-A of the Commission Rules and Regulations insofar as said rule is not inconsistent with this order.

PROVIDED FURTHER, That the applicant shall take zone segregation tests upon completion and annually thereafter during the Gas-Oil Ratio Test Period for the Just Bill France ...

(2) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Commission may deem necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

(3) Aat the applicant state the originally made application in this case to Complete in the case to completion the publicity well as a triple completion En (tubinglesso) to produce oil from the Alagon Jorieta and Cast Wise Blinding Pools and gas from the wain sult gas vore and gas from the Wan Subor gas Part : that the Alaggo glorieta Pool was found to be non-productive prior to the hearing; and that the application was hearing; and that the application was amended of the hearing to pet out above. (*) That the machanics of the proposed dual completion are

feasible and in accord with good conservation practices. (4) That approval of the subject application w^{+} prevent

waste and protect correlative rights.

DRAFT JMD/esr

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

		CASE No.	2941
	100	Order No.	R- <u>2610</u>
) INC. I, LEA	NAME NO	$) \land$,
ORDER	OF THE COMMISSION		

APPLICATION OF TEXACO INC. FOR A DUAL COMPLETION, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 o'clock a.m. cn November 20, 1963, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before <u>Daniel S. Nutter</u>, Examiner duly appointed by the Oil Conservation Commission of New Mexico, hereinafter referred to as the "Commission," in accordance with Rule 1214 of the Commission Rules and Regulations.

NOW, on this day of <u>November</u>, 1963, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the application, the evidence adduced, and the recommendations of the Examiner, Daniel S. Nutter , and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That the applicant, Texaco Inc., seeks authority to complete its L. R. Kershaw Well No. 9, located in Unit B of Section 13, Township 20 South, Range 37 East, NMPM, Lea County, New Mexico, as a dual completion (tubingless) to produce oil from the East Weir-Blinebry Pool and the Weir-Tubb Gas Pool through parallel strings of 2 7/8-inch casing cemented in a common well bore.