CASE 2945: Application of SHELL OIL CO. for creation of new Devonian Gas Pool and for special pool rules.



Application, TrANSCripts, SMALL Exhibits FTC.



202 Į Ĩ 挿 援 {**#** H 14 1 Ì 1 1

13

Ŷ

S.

PAGE NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION				
	EXAMINER HEARING			
	SANTA FE , NEW MEXIC	20		
	REGISTER			
HEARING DATE	DECEMBER 4, 1963 TI	ME:9 A.M		
NAME :	REPRESENTING:	LOCATION:		
D.D. Stokes	shill oil co	Rosan 1, Ny		
R.D. Vassar	SHELL	Rosusell		
A.E. Thomas Alexers	Amerada Aniszazia	Hotto		
HOMAS W. LYNCH	MUSCADA	TUCEA, OKLA.		
W. STEWAR	21			
M. Richardson	In Spender	HOBBS. NM. Resard, Marine		
ul). Denver	Suntay D-Y	Midland Tey.		
Vacturen	have of DX	Resurece, NM		
ENE HERBECK	ATLANTIC	DENVER, COLO		
PRINGLE	Atlantic	Denner, Colo		
Murrie .	Sett Montyning Jeheren & tas U.S.G.S.	land Sounda 72		
	4565,	Roswell		
Shoger))	11		
C, MORGAN	PHILLIPS PET. C.	HOBBS		
DUTTON	HUMBLE	MIDLAND, TAXIS		

NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

EXAMINER HEARING

SANTA FE NEW MEXICO

REPRESENTING:

REGISTER

HEARING DATE

NAME:

DECEMBER 4, 1963

_______ 9 A.M.

RA. Mody Josen Killahn E. V. Stine Cli. Killing

Mallah & Hox Sumag D.+ Milland Ambusador Chel H. Worth, They Surg

LOCATION: 120663 Remark to the form

Sa Zipe

The hearing will come to order, please. MR. UTZ: The first case on the docket will be Case 2945. MR. DURRETT: Application of Shell Oil Company for the creation of a Devonian Gas Pool and for special pool rules, Lea 243-669 County, New Mexico. MR. MORRIS: If the Examiner please, I'm Richard Morris Phone of Seth, Montgomery, Federici, and Andrews, Santa Fe, appearing on behalf of the Applicant, Shell Oil Company. We will have one witness, Mr. Dana Stokes. New Mexico (Witness sworn.) MR. UTZ: Are there other appearances in this case? Albuquerque, (Whereupon, Applicant's Exhibits Nos. 1 through 6 marked for identification.) D. D. STOKES called as a witness, having been first duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows: Buildin DIRECT EXAMINATION Suite 1120 Simms BY MR. MORRIS: Mr. Stokes, please state your name and position. Q D. D. Stokes, Staff Reservoir Engineer for Shell Oil Α Company, Roswell, New Mexico. Mr. Stokes, have you previously testified before the Q Oil Conservation Commission or one of its examiners? Yes, I have. А Are you familiar with the application of Shell Oil Q

and CROWNOVER

Court Reporting Service

General

WILKIN'S

MEIER,

DEARNLEY,

- 34

1

ŧ



Company in Case 2945?

243-6691

one

Ph

Mexico

New

querque,

Ibu

ви

Buildi

Simms

1120

Suite

Service

Court Reporting

General

WILKINS and CROWNOVER

DEARNLEY, MEIER,

; %

. ...

179

A Yes, sir.

Q What is the purpose of Shell's application in this case, Mr. Stokes?

A We're making application for the establishment of a Devonian Gas Pool to be called the Antelope Ridge Devonian Gas Pool, and for special field rules for production from this pool. We're also requesting establishment of horizontal limits for the pool which are to consist of Sections 27, 28, 33, and 34 of Township 23 South, Range 34 East, and Sections 3 and 4, Township 24 South, Range 34 East.

Q What are the pertinent features, briefly, of the special rules that you intend to request, Mr. Stokes?

A Well, we intend to ask for 640-acre spacing and for well locations no nearer than 660 feet to the outer boundary of the interior quarter quarter sections. This, in effect, in a standard section would be no closer than 1980 feet to the outer boundaries of the section.

Q Do you have a plat of the area of the proposed pool? A Yes. Exhibit 1 is a plat of the area. It shows the Antelope Ridge Unit outlined in red. We have two wells completed in the unit, the 1, th Third Federal No. 1 Devonian completion located in Station 27. In Section 4 we have the Federal BE No. 1, which is a Morrow completion. This well is drilled to the Ellenburger and subsequently completed to the Morrow. We do

have a commercial zone in the Devonian but have not as yet completed the well in the Devonian. Both of these wells are shut in at the present time for lack of market.

Q Does this plat also show your structural interpretation of the Devonian formation in this area?

243-6691

Phone

Mexico

New

Ibuquerque,

Building

SW

Sim

1120

Suite

DEARNLEY, MEIER, WILKINS and CROWNOVER

General Court Reporting Service

A Yes. The contours shown on the plat are on the top of the Devonian, and the data here is based on the well control furnished by the two wells, on seismic data, and on dipmeter data in Harris Federal No. 1. These data show the dip to the south, north, east, and west, with a gas-water contact at 11,450 feet subsea defining the productive limits of the pool on the north, east and south flanks, and a fault indicated by seismic data along the west edge of the unit boundary. Our water level has been proved by production and drill stem test data in the Federal BE No. 1. We recovered both gas and water on drill stem test from an interval that overlapped this 11,450 subsea.

We feel that our seismic fault on the west side of the structure is confirmed by differences in pressures and fluid content in wells in the Bell Lake Unit to the west. The pressure in the Bell Lake Unit at the time of our completion of Harris Federal No. 1 was approximately 6100 pounds, while the pressure in the Harris Federal No. 1 was 6360 pounds.

The Bell Lake Unit wells produced dry gas while both wells in the Antelope Ridge Unit have produced condensate of more than twenty barrels per million.



Q Now the Bell Lake Unit that you've referred to, does that adjoin the Antelope Ridge Unit immediately on the west?

A Yes. It's a common boundary between the Antolope Ridge Unit and the Bell Lake Unit along the west boundary of the Antelope Ridge Unit.

Q You feel from the information that you have that there is geological separation between those two areas?

243-6691

Phone

Mexico

New

Albuquerque,

Building

Simms

1120

ite

General Court Reporting Service

WILKINS and CROWNOVER

DEARNLEY, MEIER,

1.78

: 9

1 7

13

A Yes, seismic data indicate the fault to be present and that this is to a large extent confirmed by the difference in fluid content of the gas produced from the two reservoirs.

Q Refer now, Mr. Stokes, to what has been marked as Exhibit No. 2, and state what it is and what it shows.

A Exhibit 2 is a cross section along the crest of the Antelope Ridge structure trending from southwest to northeast. It shows our interpretation of the Devonian gas column with a dip indicated by seismic and dipmeter data to the northeast and southwest.

Q This is along the line A-A' as shown on Exhibit No. 1? A That is correct. This cross section also has the drill stem test and production data on the two wells that have penetrated the Devonian to date. The test data shown on the Federal BE No. 1. drill stem test No. 4, which overlapped the gas-water contact, recovered both gas and water, while production test which is labeled No. 11, with the top perforations just below the gas-water contact, recovered only water.



PAGE 7

This Federal BE No. 1 is presently completed on the interval noted 12 on this cross section, which is a Morrow formation. We intend to dual this well in the Devonian. However, the pressure in the Morrow is so much higher than the Devonian pressure that we feel that we can't complete it until we have depleted the Morrow zone and the pressures are more nearly equivalent.

Do you have a tabulation showing the well tests and 0 reservoir data for the Devonian formation in these two wells that have been completed so far in this unit?

243-669

Phone

Mexico

New

ŝ,

Albu

1120

Suite

Service

Court Reporting

General

and CROWNOVER

KINS

TIA

DEARNLEY, MEIER,

i e

) k

Yes, sir, I have a completion test on the Harris-Federal Α No. 1 and drill stem test of the Devonian interval on the Federal BE No. 1. On the Harris-Federal No. 1 we had a calculated open flow potential from the Devonian of 41 million cubic feet per day after treatment with 2,000 gallons of acid. Prior to the treatment we had a drill stem test on this same interval which Building showed calculated open flow potential of 10 million cubic feet per day.

Simms On the Federal BE No. 1, we have a drill stem test with a CAOF of 11 million cubic feet per day without treatment. We're confident with a small acid treatment on the completion of Federal BE No. 1, we'll have a potential at least equal to that of Harris-Federal No. 1.

You'll see that the condensate recovery was 1.5 million barrels cubic feet of gas more in the Harris-Federal than the Federal BE No. 1. Condensate and gas gravities are comparable.



We also have tabulated on this exhibit the reservoir properties, which have been determined from Log analysis and bottom hole pressure measurements. From log analysis we have determined an average perosity of 5.0 per cent, water saturation of 35 per cent, average net pay of 100 feet. From bottom hole pressure data we have found an original reservoir pressure of 6375 pounds per square inch absolute, and have calculated the permeability of 4.5 millidarcies from the slope of the pressure build-up curve.

Q This information that you have been giving is reflected on what has been marked as Exhibit No. 3 in this case?

A That's correct.

243-669]

Phone

Mexico

New

Albuquerque,

Building

Simms

Suite 1120

DEARNLEY, MEIER, WILKINS and CROWNOVER

General Court Reporting Service

Q Referring now to what has been marked as Exhibit No. 4, is that the pressure build-up curve that you just referred to?

A Yes. This is a graphical presentation of the pressure build-up data with the pressures plotted versus dimensionless shutin time, which would be "t" over "delta t" plus 1, where "t" is your producing time and "delta t" is your incremental shut-in time. Each of the pressure points is plotted in a straight line portion of the curve, is then extrapolated to infinite shut-in time which is equivalent to "t" over "delta t" plus 1 over 1. This gives the pressure to which the reservoir would build if you were able to leave the well shut-in for an infinite length of time. The slope of the build-up curve is also related to permeability through the equation shown below the curve on Exhibit 4. From the production data during the flowing period prior to shut-in, and the slope of



PAGE 9

the build-up curve, we've calculated 331 millidarcy feet of permeability; and using the 74 feet of pay which we find in the Harris-Federal No. 1, gives an average permeability of 4.5 millidarcies.

Q What conclusions can you draw from the mere fact that you believe you have 4.5 millidarcies of permeability in this reservoir, Mr. Stokes?

A We feel that this permeability is adequate for gas production to drain more than 640 acres, particularly where you have a large section of pay. We feel that while this indicates the well can drain more than 640 acres, we have no production data to back this up. For that reason we are requesting temporary field mules at the present time. We feel that we can prove drainage when we have sufficient production data to base our calculations on.

Q Refer now to what has been marked Exhibit No. 5, Mr. Stokes, which appears to be an economic analysis on 160, 320, and 640-acre spacing in this pool.

A Item No. 1 on Exhibit 5 shows the cost and income data. We expect an income after royalty and taxes of \$186.50 per million cubic feet of gas. This includes the condensate income. We show a well cost of \$750,000. This well cost is the minimum that we anticipate. We've drilled two wells, one of which cost around \$750,000, the other one cost \$1,200,000. I believe the experience with the Devonian in this general area has been that the average well cost runs more than a million dollars.



DEARNLEY, MEIER, WILKINS and CROWNOVER General Court Reporting Service 243-660

Phone

Mexico

New

Albuquerque,

ilding

Bu

Simms

1120

ite

1

The next three items, 2, 3, and 4, show the economics on 160, 320, and 640-acre spacing. On the 160 it shows reserves of 5.5 billion cubic feet, a life of 20 years based on our gas sales contract which would yield an income over life of \$1,030,000. Our direct operating costs and overhead and amortization of a gas sweetening plant would amount to \$103,000 over the life. The Federal income tax is \$73,000, leaving a profit of \$104,000 or profit to investment ratio of .14 and a rate of return of approximately one percent.

On 320-acre spacing the picture is improved somewhat. We have a profit to investment ratio of .96 and a rate of return of 7 percent, neither or which are satisfactory in a rick venture of this type.

On 640-acre spacing we show a profit to investment ratio of 2.6 and a rate of return of 17 percent. These are Building approaching more satisfactory factors. However, 17 percent rate of return on a risk venture is still not outstanding when you consider that the average for all manufacturing industries is around 16 percent and these industries have no risk.

Q Would you care to draw some conclusions from the exhibits Suite and the data that you've presented to this point, Mr. Stokes?

Α It's my opinion that the data presented show that the permeability in this formation is sufficient to effectively drain 640 acres, and that development on 160 or 320 is not economically feasible. I also believe that the well control, the seismic and



243-669. and CROWNOVER Service **NIIXIIA** Court Reporting DEARNLEY, MEIER, General

11

主義

Phone

Mexico

New

ue,

Sut

Sim

1120

dipmeter data provide sufficient information for us to establish initial pool limits as proposed. I would recommend that the Commission formulate field rules for the Antelope Ridge Devonian Gas Pool along the lines of those suggested in Exhibit 6.

Q Referring to Exhibit 6 that you have just mentioned, are these the proposed field rules that Shell requests be adopted in this pool?

A Yes, these are the rules that we propose. These rules are based primarily on the rules for prorated gas pools in Southeastern New Mexico, which were set forth in Order R-1670. We have set them up on this basis so that in the event of future prorationing in this field, the rules would be fairly well in line with the standard rules. They're also quite similar to rules that have been adopted temporarily for the Lusk-Morrow Gas Pool in Order No. R-2373.

Q Would you go through these rules and hit the high point of each rule?

A Rule 1 is a standard provision that each well completed or recompleted in the Devonian formation within one mile of the boundary of this pool will be drilled, spaced, and produced in accordance with the rules hereinafter set forth.

Rule 2 provides that each well shall be located in a standard proration unit of 640 acres, and provides that 632 through 648 acres would constitute a standard unit. Rule 2 also provides for administrative approval of smaller units, providing there's



DEARNLEY, MEIER, WILKINS and CROWNOVER General Court Reporting Elements

1

16

S.

unite est

243-660

Phone.

Mexico

New

ð

 B_{i}

Building

no objection from offset operators. Another provision of Rule 2 is that the acreage factor assigned to any such nonstandard unit shall bear the same ratio to the standard acreage factor in the Antelope Ridge Devonian Gas Pool as the acreage in such nonstandard unit bears to 640 acres.

Rule 3 provides for the well location, provides that any well drilled or recompleted in the Antelope Ridge Devonian Gas Pool shall be located within and not closer than 660 feet to the outer boundary of the Southwest Quarter of the Northeast Quarter, the Northwest Quarter of the Southeast Quarter, Northeast Quarter of the Southwest Quarter, or Southeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter of the section.

Does that language, Mr. Stokes, come pretty directly Q from the order in the Lusk-Morrow Pool?

Yes, it does. I believe the Lusk Morrow Pool provides Α Building 330 feet and we've asked for 660. In a standard section that would mean that you could not drain closer than 1980 feet from the outer boundary. This section also provides that any well drilling to or which has penetrated the Devonian formation on the date of this order is hereby granted an exception.

Section (b) of Rule 3 provides for the acreage that may be assigned to any well which is not drilled within the standard location provided, or which has not been granted an exception by the provisions of Rule 3 (a). It provides that a location 660 and 660 from the section be given only a maximum of 680 acres, and a



DEARNLEY, MEIER, WILKINS and CROWNOVER General Court Reporting Service Phone 243-669.

New Mexico

Albuquerque,

smm

in

Suite 1120

: 5

well drilled 660 and 1980 would be given a maximum of 320 acres. Q This follows pretty near in line with the R-1670 for the prorated gas pools?

A Yes, taken directly from that. It also provides that the acreage factor assigned to such well shall bear the same ratio to the standard acreage factor as the acreage assigned bears to 640 acres.

Rule 4 provides that in the event of subsequent prorationing of the Antelope Ridge Devonian Gas Pool, the allowable assigned to a nonmarginal well shall be in the same ratio that its acreage factor bears to the total of the acreage factors for all nonmarginal wells in the pool

Rule 5 provides that the vertical limits of the Antelope Ridge Devonian Gas Pool shall be the Devonian formation.

Q You stated earlier in your testimony that you were only seeking temporary rules at this time because you had no production data from the two wells in this pool. What would be your suggestion as to the duration of these temporary rules?

A We request that temporary rules be granted for a period of two years. Our reason for that, we are not at the present time selling gas, and we expect to start selling around the first of the year. However, the take at that time will be very small. According to the terms of our contract, we should go on full production at 1-1-65, so the two-year term on these temporary rules would give us one year of full production under our gas contract.



DEARNLEY, MEIER, WILKINS and CROWNOVER General Court Reporting Scroice 243-6601

Phone

Mexico

New

Albuquerque,

Building

Simms

Suite 1120

Would you care to make any final conclusions or give Q any final opinions concerning Shell's application in this case? Well, we feel that the request for temporary field A rules is justified, that the permeability indicated by our pres-243-660 sure build-up test shows that a well should drain 640 acres. We feel that production tests will confirm this. We think that the Phone wider spacing is necessary in this case because of the high risk and costs associated with deep drilling in the Delaware Basin. On our Harris-Federal No. 1, we had two blowouts and two fishing jobs Mexico I believe several of the wells in the general vicinity have lost General Court Reporting Service New . rigs and costs have been excessive. Do you have anything further to add to your testimony? Q Albuquercue, No, that's all. Α Were Exhibits 1 through 6 prepared by you or under your Q direction? Building Yes, sir. А MR. MORRIS: We offer Shell's Exhibits 1 through 6, and Simms that completes the direct examination of Mr. Stokes. MR. UTZ: Without objection the Exhibits 1 through 6 Suite 1120 will be entered into the record of this case. (Whereupon, Applicant's Exhibits Nos. 1 through 6 received in evidence.) CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. UTZ: Mr. Stokes, what is the pay of the Devonian in each of Q

WILKINS and CROWNOVER

DEARNLEY, MEIER,

1.74

PAGE 15

your wells?

A In our Harris Federal No. 1, we have 74 feet of net pay. In the Federal BE No. 1, 173 feet. Our seismic isopac over the structure when plenimetered yields an average pay thickness of 100 feet.

Q You also have a Morrow pay in this area?

A Yes.

Q Are there any other pays that you know of at this time? A There's another pay within the Morrow in the Federal BE No. 1 which has not been perforated. We have drill stem test data on this pay. It would be within the Morrow section, though, and I think if we were to apply for field designation on this we would include it in the total Morrow interval.

Q Your economics that you have on your Exhibit No. 5 include only Devonian economics and do not consider any other pays in the area?

A Yes, that is correct.

Q This would be an economic consideration for one well bore, would it not?

A Yes, sir, that's correct.

Q So actually your Exhibit No. 5 shows the picture somewhat worse than it actually is, considering other pays?

A Well, in our Harris-Federal No. 1, we had no pays that we considered commercial except the Devonian. We cannot dual that well. In the Federal BE No. 1, the pressure in the Morrow is



DEARNLEY, MEIER, WILKINS and CROWNOVER General Court Reporting Service 243-660

Phone.

Mexico

New]

Albuquerque,

Building

Simms

Suite 1120

يحن

nearly 9,000 pounds and the pressure in the Devonian is only 6400 pounds, and with this higher pressure on top we can't possibly dual this well at the present time. Whether or not we'll be able to subsequently we can't say, but we certainly can't take into 243-660 account an economic value for it until we are able to complete it. There's no question in your mind but what you will 0 Phone complete wells in the Morrow and produce them? Yes, but they may not be in the same well bore as a Α Devonian well. Mexico I see. What is the location of your BE No. 1? Q NewIt's 660 from the North line and 1650 from the East Α line of the section. lbuquerque, Do you or your company anticipate 640-acre spacing in Q this unit when you drill these wells? At the depth that we expect to find production here, А Building we would also consider a request for 640-acre spacing.

DEARNLEY, MEIER, WILKINS and CROWNOVER

General Court Reporting Service

Simms

1120

Suite

Then I'm wondering why you didn't drill a little further Q inside the section lines.

Well, we drilled Harris-Federal No. 1 as the discovery Α well in this field. We drilled it at what we thought to be the best structural position. Following the completion of that well, we ran other seismic lines which indicated some shift of the structure to the south. Federal BE No. 1 was drilled on this same basis, to further prove the direction of the axis of this structure. We felt that during the proving and confirmation phase, you might



		say, of development of this field, that we should drill our
		wells at the optimum structural position. I think we found the
		optimum in these two wells.
		Q And you are asking for six sections in this pool, are
DEARNLEY, MEIER, WILKINS and CROWNOVER General Court Reporting Service	1695	you not?
	243-(A Yes, sir.
	Phone 243-6691	Q Does that coincide with the boundaries of the Antelope
	Ph	Unit?
	g	A Yes, sir, they are the same.
	ice Mexic	Q You would have six standard units, and we already have
	19 Service New Mexica	two wells and two nonstandard locations?
	ortin <u>:</u> 1ue. 1	
	Court Keporti Ibuquerque,	Q In your opinion, do you think all six sections are
	l Cour Albuc	proved to be productive of gas in the Devonian reservoir?
	eneral	A Yes, sir.
	dina dina	Q Your Exhibit No. 1 would indicate that Section 28 and
	6 Buildina	part of Section 33 would be outside the fault line.
	Simms	A Yes, a small part of Section 28 and an even smaller
	Suite 1120	either of these sections, our well locations will be such that I
	Suit	believe the productive area of the section will be proved.
		Any subsequent wells we drill will be covered by these field rules
		and in order to obtain 640-acre allocation, we would have to drill
		them within the interior quarter-quarter section.
		Q In other words, if you drill Section 29, you'll drill
		*



1980 from the South and East lines, will you not?

A Yes.

Q Actually your water-oil contact as you have it now, or water-gas contact, cuts right through the middle of Section 28, does it not?

 λ Yes.

Q You might have a productive well but in your opinion would you have 640 acres productive in your Section 28?

Not on the basis of the information we have available at present, no, sir.

Q In the event of prorationing then you'd be, if you assign 640 acres to the well, you'd be receiving an allowable in excess of the reserves under the tract, would you not?

A If we can be that confident of our exact location of all these things, yes. I think that's the purpose of requiring that the well be drilled close to the center of the section is to prove the maximum amount of acreage in the section.

Q Would you say that your anticipated location of the gas-water contact now is pessimistic or optimistic? A I think it's as realistic as we can make it, and I

A I think it's as realistic as we can make it, and I don't believe it's shaded in either direction. The location on this north flank is confirmed by seismic and dipmeter run in the Federal No. 1. Both of these indicated the same amount of dip to the north and east.

Q What is the advantage of requesting six full sections -



DEARNLEY, MEILIR, WILKINS and CROWNOVER General Court Reporting Service 243-6691

Phone

New

nerque,

lb.

Buildin

Suite

for the pool at the present time on the basis of two wells? A Well, this would make the pool limits conform with our request to the U.S.G.S. for participating in the area in these six sections. I think that the data we have available show that these sections are productive.

Q You expect to include all six sections in the participating area then?

A Yes, sir.

Q On your Exhibit No. 6 in Rule 4, you have presented no reserve data here except in the form of economics on your Exhibit No. 5 on which to base an equitable proracion formula, have you?

A No, sir, other than the fact that under Order 1670, I believe it is, the standard for prorated fields in Southeastern New Mexico is straight acreage. This would fall right in line with straight acreage allocation.

Q You are aware of the Jalmat decision, are you not?
A The latest one that I was aware of put it back on straight acreage.

Q Would you have any objection to the deletion of Rule 4 until such time as we had reserve data on which to base a formula?

A Well, of course, from our interpretation it would be much to our advantage for allocation of production to be based on reserves, since the thickest part of the pool lies within our acreage.



DEARNLEY, MEIER, WILKINS and CROWNOVER General C surt Reporting Service 243-669

Phone

New Mexico

uquerque,

Building

Simms

Suite 1120

PAGE 21 MR. MORRIS: If I might interrupt, Mr. Examiner, we're not suggesting at the present time that the pool be prorated, and I believe Mr. Stokes' comments are possibly a little premature in that these are matters that would not be considered until such 243-6691 time as the pool was to be prorated. DEARNLEY, MEIER, WILKINS and CROWNOVER MR. UTZ: Well, but you are suggesting a proration Phone formula. MR. MORRIS: I think he is indicating his preliminary thinking in this regard. Mexico 0 (By Mr. Utz) Do you believe that this is a water drive? Court Reporting Service New A There's a good chance that it's a potential water drive However, the wells in the Bell Lake Unit adjacent have shown erque, pressure drop with the limited amount of production they have, Albuqu so that the water movement in the Devonian here certainly is as General extensive as it is in the pools to the north. We would expect some water movement; however, certainly not 100 percent effective Building water drive. Simms Q Do you then believe that the rate of production per well would be important in producing this pool? Suite 1120 А If the well were completed with perforations close to the gas-water contact, I believe that rate could have an effect; otherwise, I don't believe so. MR. UTZ: Are there other questions of the witness? MR. DURRETT: Yes, sir, I have a question or two. MR. UTZ: Mr. Durrett.

DEARNLEY, MEIER, WILKINS and CROWNOVER General Court Reporting Service

243-6691

Phone

Mexico

New

lbuquerque,

Buildi

Simms

Suite 1120

MR. DURRETT: The first question I would like to ask Mr. Morris. Your client would not necessarily have any objection to the Commission's not adopting this proposed Rule 4 if it should feel that it would be more proper to take up this matter at a later date of prorating the pool, if it's prorated?

MR. MORRIS: I wouldn't think so. However, I would have to check with my client to be sure.

MR. DURRETT: Would you check with them and ask them if they feel that they have to adopt the Rule 4, that that wouldn't mean if we don't feel so that we should deny the application or that we should consider Rule 4, if we feel it should be undertaken at this time.

MR. MORRIS: I might say that the Commission is certainly within its rights in adopting whatever pool rules it deems appropriate in this pool. Shell has made its suggestions, but I'm sure that they wouldn't stand upon any particular provision of it. In that regard, if the Commission felt that Rule 4 should be deleted, we would suggest that it be deleted.

MR. DURRETT: Thank you.

BY MR. DURRETT:

0

Q Now, Mr. Stokes, will you give me the permeability again that you testified to on direct examination that you feel is in this area?

A 4.5 millidarcies.

And I believe you stated that that in your opinion



would be sufficient to establish that you could drain 640 acres Yes, sir, particularly where the thickness of the pay with one well? is sufficient to maintain a commercial rate with that permeability What would you feel would be the minimum thickness the two go together. of pay and permeability that could drain 640 acres, as an engineer 243-6691 I don't believe I could give you that figure directly. and CROWNOVER I would have to make some calculations and I don't have the data Phone . available to make them. I would say that when you fall below a tenth of a millidarcy, a rock, we discard any rock below that Mexico as being pay. The depth would enter into it, the amount of pres-General Court Reporting Service New DEARNLEY, MEIER, WILKINS Let me ask this question. I'm not looking for a real sure available and so on. Albuquerque, specific answer, I'm looking for a more general answer. From your professional standpoint, do you feel that 4.5 millidarcies is approaching the minimum, or do you feel that that fairly well establishes that you can drain 640, or do you think it definitely Building establishes it from what you know at this time? Well, I have seen reservoirs with equivalent pay and Simms equivalent porosity and permeability which have drained more than 1120 640 acres, so I would say it's within the range of satisfactory Suite. Have you seen reservoirs with equivalent permeability drainage. and thickness of pay that would not drain 640 acres?

A No, sir. Not in your experience as of this date? Q That's correct. А Referring to your Exhibit No. 5, which is your economics, Q 243-6691 I'm interested a little bit in the Federal income taxes that you have in your various explanations of the spacing. Phone А Yes. In computing this or these tax figures, did you con-Q sider your deduction for amortization of this gasoline plant? Albuquerque, New Mexico Α Yes. General Court Reporting Service Q Was the normal depletion allowance computed and figured on that? Either depletion or fifty percent of net, whichever Α fit the case for the particular year. Q Depletion or fifty percent of the net? Suite 1120 Simms Building A Yes, sir. 0 Do I understand correctly that neither of these wells is connected at the moment? That's correct. А Then would you have any objection to this proposition? Q I believe you stated on direct that you would like two-year rules because you felt it would take you about a year to get a connection? No, sir, we expect to have our connection by the first А of this coming year. However, our contract calls for a very low

DEARNLEY, MEIER, WILKINS and CROWNOVER



PAGE 24

rate during this first year. We won't go on the full production rate until 1-1-65, so that I believe we'll be producing around PAGE 25 five million a day during 1964 and then our rate of production increases at 1-1-65. We feel that we need more sustained produc-243-660 tion than five million a day would give us in order to prove DEARNLEY, MEIER, WILKINS and CROWNOVER drainage of more than 640 acres. I missed you a little bit on the first. Q say that you are going to get your connection? ^{When} do you Merrico We expect by the first of 1964. General Court Reporting Service First of this coming year? 0 N_{ew} Α Yes, sir. Albuquerque, And you don't think that the one-year period of time Q from that date would be adequate? No, sir, because of the reduced rate during that period A Would it be possible to come up with any reservoir 0 Building information within a one-year period of time? No, sir. We have one other problem here. The Devonian Suite 1120 Simms gas is sour and requires treating before it can be sold. We don't have our treating plant in operation yet. So during the first portion of our gas sales out there, we'll be selling only from the Morrow and the information obtained from the Morrow certainly would be of no help. Well, we are arriving at this situation that if the 0 Commission cannot issue a two-year order that it should not issue In other words, if you feel it is avail.

		PAGE 26
		a one-year order and the Commission would not issue a two-year
		order, it would seem to me that the alternative would be to issue
		no order.
		A If we are not given an order, I don't think we could
	1693	prove, when the rehearing is held, that we could drain 640 acres.
'ER	243-6691	We would have to ask for a year's extension in order to gain
VO'	Phone 2	enough production history to provide the production data.
CROWNOVER	Pho	MR. DURRETT: That's all I have.
RO	c	BY MR. UTZ:
	Mexico	Q The initial production out of this unit will be from
WILKINS and	New N	the Morrow?
INS	rting 1e, N	A Yes.
ILK	Lour Keporn Ibuquerque,	Q And you won't produce the Devonian until such time as
M	Jeneral Court Reporting Service J Albuquerque, New Mu	you get a treating plant installed?
IER		A Yes.
MEIER,	ling	Q When do you anticipate that to be?
r	Simms Building	A They're working on it now; I would say probably the
VLE	smi	plant should be completed by March or April.
DEARNLEY,		Q Even after the treating plant is in, you don't feel
DE_{\prime}	Suite 1120	a year's production from the Devonian would be enough to show any
•	Suite	thing?
	-4	A No, sir, if we allocate the production evenly between
		these two wells, that would be two and a half million a day for
		three-fourths of a year. I believe from a reservoir this large
		we would require more production than that in order to drop the

pressure a sufficient amount to show drainage. Q Who is your contract with? A Southern Union. 0 Southern Union Gas? A Yes, sir. MR. UTZ: Are there other questions? MR. MORRIS: Might I make one comment, Mr. Examiner? We have referred here today to the order that was entered in the Lusk-Morrow Gas Pool. That order is an eighteen-month temporary order. If the Commission should not see fit to grant a two-year temporary order in this case but if it should see fit to grant an eighteen-month order, I'm sure that Shell would take a close look at all of the production history that it would have at that point

and attempt to make the best showing possible.

243-6691

Phone 2

Mexico

New

Albuquerque,

Building

Simms

Suite 1120

WILKINS and CROWNOVER

DEARNLEY, MEIER,

Jeneral Court Reporting Service

It might be, as Mr. Stokes has pointed out, that we would just have to say that we needed a little more time in order to have something definite, but at least at the end of the eighteenmonth period we would, I am sure, be more than willing to evaluate the situation at that point to see what production history we've had and what it might show.

MR. UTZ: Any other questions of the witness? The witness may be excused.

(Witness excused.)

MR. UTZ: Are there statements in this case? The case will be taken under advisement.



X

•

1

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
) ss County of Bernalillo)
I, ADA DEARNLEY, Notary Public in and for the County of
Bernalillo, State of New Mexico, do hereby certify that the fore-
going and attached Transcript of Hearing before the New Mexico
Oil Conservation Commission was reported by me, and that the
same is a true and correct record of the said proceedings to the
best of my knowledge, skill, and ability.
WITNESS my Hand and Seal this 11th day of December, 1963.
Accol Accountery NOTARY PUBLIC // June 19, 1967.
I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the pressedings in

......

~

بيند .

۱ •

- i 7 DRAFT JMD/esr

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

CF Subj. _

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

OFP.

Order No. R- 2623-A

CASE No. 2945

APPLICATION OF SHELL OIL COMPANY FOR THE CREATION OF A DEVONIAN GAS POOL AND FOR SPECIAL POOL RULES, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 o'clock a.m. on January 5___, 1966, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner Daniel S. Nutter___.

NOW, on this <u>day of January</u>, 1966, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the testimony, the record, and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That by Order No. R-2623, dated December 19, 1963,

temporary Special Rules and Regulations were promulgated for the Antelope Ridge-Devonian Gas Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, establishing 640-acre spacing units for a period of two years.

(3) That pursuant to the provisions of Order No. R-2623,

this case was reopened to allow the operators in the subject pool to appear and show cause why the Antelope Ridge-Devonian Gas Pool should not be developed on 160-acre spacing units.

(4) That the evidence establishes that one well in the Antelope Ridge-Devonian Gas Pool can efficiently and economically drain and develop 640 acres.

-2-CASE No. 2945 Order No. R-2623-A

(5) That the Special Rules and Regulations promulgated by Order No. R-2623 have afforded and will afford to the owner of each property in the pool the opportunity to produce his just and equitable share of the gas in the pool.

(6) That to prevent the economic loss caused by the drilling of unnecessary wells, to avoid the augmentation of risk arising from the drilling of an excessive number of wells, to prevent reduced recovery which might result from the drilling of too few wells, and to otherwise prevent waste and protect correlative rights, the Special Rules and Regulations promulgated by Order No. R-2623 should be continued in full force and effect until further order of the Commission.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That the Special Rules and Regulations governing the Antelope Ridge-Devonian Gas Pool promulgated by Order No. R-2623 are hereby continued in full force and effect until further order of the Commission.

(2) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Commission may deem necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

DRAFT JMD/esr

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE No. 2945 Order No. R-

APPLICATION OF SHELL OIL COMPANY FOR THE CREATION OF A DEVONIAN GAS POOL AND FOR SPECIAL POOL RULES, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 o'clock a.m. on December 4, 1963, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Elvis A. Utz Examiner duly appointed by the Oil Conservation Commission of New Mexico, hereinafter referred to as the "Commission," in accordance with Rule 1214 of the Commission Rules and Regulations.

NOW, on this ______ day of <u>December</u>, 1963, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the application, the evidence adduced, and the recommendations of the Examiner, <u>Elvis A. Utz</u>, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That the applicant, Shell Oil Company, seeks the creation of a new gas pool for Devonian production and the promulgation of temporary special rules and regulations governing said pool, including provisions for 640-acre spacing units and limited well locations.

(3) That a new gas pool for Devonian production should be created and designated the Antelope Ridge-Devonian Gas Pool; that said pool was discovered by Shell Oil Company Harris-Federal Well Month N of No. 1, located in Section 27, Township 23 South, Range 34 East, NMPM, Lea County, New Mexico.

-2-Case No. 2945

(4) That in order to prevent the economic loss caused by the drilling of unnecessary wells, to avoid the augmentation of risk arising from the drilling of an excessive number of wells, to prevent reduced recovery which might result from the drilling of too few wells, and to otherwise prevent waste and protect correlative rights, temporary special rules and regulations providing for 640-acre spacing units should be promulgated for the Antelope Ridge-Devonian Gas Pool.

(5) That the temporary special rules and regulations should provide for limited well locations in order to assure orderly development of the pool and protect correlative rights.

(6) That the temporary special rules and regulations should be established for a two-year period in order to allow the operators in the subject pool to gather reservoir informaand tion to establish the area that can be efficiently/economically drained and developed by one well.

(7) That this case should be reopened at an examiner hearing in January, 1966, at which time the operators in the subject pool should be prepared to appear and show cause why the Antelope Ridge-Devonian Gas Pool should not be developed on 160-acre spacing units.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That a new pool in Lea County, New Mexico, classified as a gas pool for Devonian production is hereby created and designated the Antelope Ridge-Devonian Gas Pool consisting of the following-described area:

> TOWNSHIP 23 SOUTH, RANGE 34 EAST, NMPM Section 27: All Section 33: E/2, E/2 2 Section 34: All

TOWNSHIP 24 SOUTH, RANGE 34 EAST, NMPM Section 4: All

(2) That Special Rules and Regulations for the Antelope Ridge-Devonian Gas Pool are hereby promulgated as follows, effective Annaly 1, 196 . -3-CASE No. 2945

SPECIAL RULES AND REGULATIONS FOR THE ANTELAPE RIDGE-DEVONIAN GAS POOL

RULE 1. Each well completed or recompleted in the Antelope Ridge-Devonian Gas Pool or in the Devonian formation within one mile of the Antelope Ridge-Devonian Gas Pool, and not nearer to for within the limits of another designated Devonian pool, shall be spaced, drilled, operated, and produced in accordance with the Special Rules and Regulations hereinafter set forth.

<u>RULE 2</u>. Each well completed or recompleted in the Antelope Ridge-Devonian Gas Pool shall be located on a standard unit containing 640 acres, more or less, consisting of a single governmental section.

RULE 3. The Secretary-Director of the Commission may grant an exception to the requirements of Rule 2 without notice and hearing when an application has been filed for a non-standard unit and the unorthodox size or shape of the unit is necessitated by a variation in the legal subdivision of the United States Public Lands Survey, or the following facts exist and the following provisions are complied with:

- (a) The non-standard unit consists of quarterquarter sections or lots that are contiguous by a common bordering side.
- (b) The non-standard unit lies wholly within a single governmental section and contains less acreage than a standard unit.
- (c) The applicant presents written consent in the form of waivers from all offset operators and from all operators owning interests in the section in which the non-standard unit is situated and which acreage is not included in said non-standard unit.
- (d) In lieu of paragraph (c) of this rule, the applicant may furnish proof of the fact that all of the aforesaid operators were notified by registered or certified mail of his intent to form such non-standard unit. The Secretary-Director may approve the application if no such operator has entered an objection to the formation of such non-standard unit within 30 days after the Secretary-Director has received the application.



Rule 4 Cach well completed or recompleted in The Contelage Ridge - Devonian gas Pool shall be located in the SW/4NE/4, NW/+ SE/9, NE/+ SW/+, or 5E/+ NW/+ & a governmental section and no nearen than 330 feet to any governmental quarter-quarter section line.

<u>RULE 5.</u> The Secretary-Director may grant an exception to the footage requirements of Rule 4 without notice and hearing when an application has been filed for an unorthodox location necessitated by topographical conditions or the recompletion of a well previously drilled to another horizon, provided the well will be located no nearer than 330 feet to the outer boundary of the unit. All operators offsetting the proposed unorthodox location shall be notified of the application by registered or certified mail, and the application shall state that such notice has been furnished. The Secretary-Director may approve the application upon receipt of written waivers from all offset operators or if no offset operator has entered an objection to the unorthodox location within 20 days after the Secretary-Director has received the application.

It is further ordered? 1) Hat any well presently drilling to or competend in the antelope Ridge - Devoman gas Pool that wat not comply does not comply with the well location requirements of Rule 4 the an exception to said me. is hereby gran The spenator of any such well shall notify the tayton district Office of the Commission or writing of to nake and location of the well on or hefore January 1, 1964.
(3)/2) That this case shall be reopened at an examiner hearing in (1966, at which time the operators in the subject pool may appear and show cause why the the subject for t

(4) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Commission may deem necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

> STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

JACK M. CAMPBELL, Chairman

2) Let any operator desiring to dedicate 640 acres to a well presently drieling to or completed in the antilogie " Ridge - Alevonian Gas Pool shall fils a new Sorm C-128 with the Commitsion on or before Jenuary 1, 1864.



113 8445

J. O. SETH (1883-1963)

A. K. MONTGOMERY WW. FEDERICI FRANK ANDREWS FRED C. HANNAHS GEORGE A. GRAHAM, JR. RICHARD S. MORRIS SETH, MONTGOMERY, FEDERICI & ANDREWS & OFFICE 000

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW 301 DON GASPAR AVENUE SANTA FE NEW MEYICO ATEOU 1903 M

SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87501 1993 NOV 5 11 OPOST DEFICE BOX 828 AREA CODE 505 TELEPHONE 983-7315

November 4, 1963

The New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission P. O. Box 871 Santa Fe, New Mexico

Gentlemen:

Please accept the enclosed Application of Shell Oil Company for the establishment of Special Rules and Regulations in the Antelope Ridge-Devonian Gas Pool, Lea County, New Mexico.

We would appreciate this matter being set for hearing before an examiner at an early date.

Yours very truly,

Richard S. Marrin

RSM; jc Enclosures



MAIL OFFICE OCC

1963 NOV 5 M 8:13

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO

Application of Shell Oil Company for the Establishment of Special Rules and Regulations in the Antelope Ridge-Devonian Gas Pool, Lea County, New Mexico

Case No. 3945

A P P L I C A T I O N

COMES NOW Shell Oil Company, as unit operator of the Antelope Ridge Unit, and applies to the Oil Conservation Commission of New Mexico for the establishment of special rules and regulations in the Antelope Ridge-Devonian Gas Pool, and in support of its application, states:

1. Shell Oil Company is the unit operator of the Antelope Ridge Unit, Lea County, New Mexico.

2. The Harris-Federal Well No. 1, located in Section 27, Township 23 South, Range 34 East, Lea County, New Mexico, recently has been completed as a gas well in the Devonian formation; the location of this well is within the horizontal limits of the Antelope Ridge Unit area, and a new gas pool, designated the Antelope Ridge-Devonian Gas Pool, has been created based upon this well.

3. Applicant asks the establishment of special rules and regulations in said pool, including a provision for 640-acre spacing units, and including a provision fixing the location of wells in said pool no closer than 1980 feet from the boundary line of each spacing unit.

4. Six hundred forty (640)-acre spacing units are proper in said pool, such being the area that can be efficiently and economically drilled and developed by one well completed therein.

5. The granting of the subject application will prevent waste and protect correlative rights.

In addition to its Application for Special Rules and Regulations, Applicant further seeks the establishment of horizontal limits for the Antelope Ridge-Devonian Gas Pool, consisting of Sections 27, 28, 33 and 34, Township 23 South, Range 34 East; and Sections 3 and 4, Township 24 South, Range 34 East, all in Lea County, New Mexico.

WHEREFORE, applicant requests that this application be set down for hearing before the Commission or one of its examiners, and that the Commission enter its Order establishing special rules and regulations in the Antelope Ridge-Devonian Gas Pool, as requested by this application.

SETH, MONTGOMERY, FEDERICI & ANDREWS

lichard S. Marris By

Santa Fe, New Mexico

Attorneys for Shell Oil Company

Note: There will be no EXAMINER HEARING in the Second Half of December

DOCKET NO, 35-63

DOCKET: EXAMINER HEARING - WEDNESDAY - DECEMBER 4, 1963

9:00 A.M.' - OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION CONFERENCE ROOM, STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING - SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

The following cases will be heard before Elvis A. Utz, Examiner, or Daniel S. Nutter, Alternate Examiner:

- CASE 2945: Application of Shell Oil Company for the creation of a Devonian Gas Fool and for special pool rules, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the creation of a new Devonian gas pool for its Harris-Federal Well No. 1 located in Section 27, Township 23 South, Range 34 East, Lea County, New Mexico, said pool to comprise all of Sections 27, 28, 33 and 34, Township 23 South, Range 34 East, and all of Sections 3 and 4, Township 24 South, Range 34 East. Applicant further seeks the establishment of special pool rules, including a provision for 640-acre spacing units and for fixed well locations.
- CASE 2946: Application of Shell Oil Company for a dual completion, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of the dual completion (conventional) of its State V Well No. 5 lucated in Unit I of Section 27, Township 17 South, Range 35 East, Lea County, New Mexico, to produce oil from the Vacuum-Yates and Vacuum-Paddock Pools through parallel strings of tubing.
- CASE 2947: Application of Charles B. Read for an unorthodox location, Roosevelt County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of the unorthodox location of his Bates Well No. 1 located 660 feet from the North line and 330 feet from the East line of Section 21, Township 8 South, Range 36 East, South Prairie-Pennsylvanian Pool, Roosevelt County, New Mexico.
- CASE 2948: Application of the Atlantic Refining Company for a pressure maintenance project, San Juan County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the approval of a cooperative pressure maintenance project for the injection of water into the Gallup (Tocito) formation, Many Rocks-Gallup Oil Pool, San Juan County, New Mexico, by the injection of water through 13 wells located in Sections 6, 7, 8, 17 and 18, Township 31 North, Range 16 West. Applicant further seeks the designation of a project area comprising approximately 1,480 acres in the aforesaid five sections and the adoption of appropriate project rules ther<u>éfor</u>.
- CASE 2949: Application of Phillips Petroleum Company for an exception to Rule 309-A, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to produce up to a maximum of 24 proration units into its Santa Fe Battery No. 14, Santa Fe Lease, Vacuum Abo Reef Pool, Lea County, New Mexico.
- CASE 2950: Application of Amerada Petroleum Corporation for the creation of a new gas pool and for the contraction of the vertical limits of an existing pool. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the creation of a Morrow-Pennsylvanian Gas Pool, Lea County, New Mexico. Said pool was discovered by applicant's S. E. Anderson "A" Well No. 1 located in Unit P of Section 19, Township 9 South, Range 35 East, and would comprise the SE/4 of Section 19, SW/4 of Section 20, NW/4 of Section 29 and the NE/4

NO. 35-63

of Section 30 in the aforesaid township. Applicant further seeks the contraction of the vertical limits of the Bough-Pennsylvanian Fool to include the Bough "C" zone of the Pennsylvanian formation only. Application of Sunray DX Oil Company for a unit agreement, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of the New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of the Hope Unit Area comprising 3822.96 acres, more or less, of State land in More white 10 Fourth Proce 22 Free Falls County New Mexico Township 18 South, Range 23 East, Eddy County, New Mexico. CASE 2951: Application of Sunray DX Oil Company for a unit agreement, Eddy County, Application of Sunray DA Olf Company for a unit agreement, Eduy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of the South Hope Unit Area comprising 3778.27 acres, more or less, of State land in Township 18 South, Ranges 21 and 23 East, and Township 19 South, Range CASE 2952: Application of Curtis R. Inman for a unit agreement, Eddy County, New 23 East, Eddy County, New Mexico. Application of ources K. Imman for a unit agreement, Edgy county, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of the Walt Canyon Unit Area comprising 11, 100.63 acres, more or less, of State, Redoral and for londs to Tourshire al and al Court Deces of Fort Federal and fee lands in Townships 21 and 22 South, Range 24 East, and Township 22 South, Range 25 East, Eddy County, New Mexico. CASE 2953: Application of Ambassador Oil Corporation for a unit agreement, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of the Langlie Mattix-Penrose Sand Unit Area comprising 3,920 acres, more or less, Langile Facture-remrose band only area comprising 3,720 acres, more or les of State, Federal and Fee lands in Township 22 South, Range 37 East, Lea CASE 2954: Application of Ambassador Oil Corporation for a waterflood project, Lea Apprecation of Ambassauor off Corporation for a waterflood project, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval County, New Mexico. tounty, New Mexico. Applicant, III the above-Styley Cause, Seens apploy for the expansion of the existing Langlie Mattix Waterflood Project in for the expansion of the existing Langile Mattix Waterlinou froject in Section 34, Township 22 South, Range 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico, by the conversion to water injection of 5 wells located in Sections 27, 33 CASE 2955: and 34 of said township. Applicant further seeks the establishment of special rules governing further expansion and operation of the waterflood project in the Langlie Mattix-Penrose Sand Unit Area, including a provision for capacity allowables for wells in said project.

-2-

Case 29+5 Heard 12-4-67 Rec. 12-0-03 1. Frant Shell 640 Occe. temperary 2 yr. order as. noted on attacked order

1980 2640

·

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

> CASE No. 2691 Order No. R-2373

APPLICATION OF EL PASO NATURAL GAS COMPANY FOR THE CREATION OF A NEW GAS POOL AND ESTABLISHMENT OF SPECIAL RULES AND REGULATIONS, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 o'clock a.m. on November 8, 1962, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Daniel S. Nutter, Examiner duly appointed by the Oil Conservation Commission of New Mexico, hereinafter referred to as the "Commission," in accordance with Rule 1214 of the Commission Rules and Regulations.

NOW, on this 21st day of November, 1962, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the application, the evidence adduced, and the recommendations of the Examiner, Daniel S. Nutter, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

That a new gas pool for Morrow production should be (2)

(3) That sinc March, 1961, the date of the first completion in the Morrow formation in the proposed pool, several wells have subsequently been completed in the Morrow formation and are capable of producing gas therefrom.

(4) That El Paso Natural Gas Company seeks the promulgation of temporary special rules and regulations for the Lusk-Morrow Gas Pool to provide for 640-acre gas proration units and the allocation of allowables to non-marginal wells in the proportion that each well's acreage factor bears to the total of the acreage factors for all non-marginal wells in the subject pool.

That the evidence presented concerning the economic (5) loss that will occur as a result of drilling of unnecessary wells -2-CASE No. 2691 Order No. R-2373

justifies the establishment of 640-acre gas proration units in the subject pool for a temporary period of $\frac{18 \text{ months}}{24 \text{ months}}$.

(6) That during the 19-month period in which this order will be in effect, 2000 operators in the subject pool should gather all available information relative to drainage and recoverable reserves in said pool.

(7) That this case should be reopened at an examiner hearing in with 1964, at which time the operators in the subject pool should be prepared to appear and show cause why the Lusk-Morrow On. Rity Gas Pool should not be developed on 160-acre gas proration units.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That a new pool in Lea County, New Mexico, classified as a gas pool for Morrow production is hereby created and designated as the Lingk Morrow Gas Pool consisting of the followingdescribed area:

TOWNSHIP IF-SOUTH, RANGE I EAST, NMPM Section I: ALL 27 - A(1) Section 20: ALL 33 - E(2, E(2)/2. Section 20: ALL 34 - A(1) T 24 S - 34 E sec 4- A(1) Q.R. flux. (2) That Special Rules and Regulations for the Lusk-Morrow Gas Pool are hereby promulgated as follows:

> SPECIAL RULES AND REGULATIONS FOR THE LISK MORROW GAS POOL Cinclefor Margo- Lev.

<u>RULE 1</u>. Each well complated or recompleted in the **Dask-**Mogrow Gas Pool or in the Morrow formation within one mile of the <u>Lusk-Morrow</u> Gas Pool, and not nearer to or within the limits of another designated Morrow pool, shall be spaced, drilled, operated, and produced in accordance with the Special Rules and Regulations hereinafter set forth.

<u>RULE 2.</u> Each well completed or recompleted in the Lusk-Morrow Gas Pool shall be located on a standard proration unit consisting of approximately 640 contiguous surface acres substantially in the form of a square which is a legal section of the United States Public Lands Survey. For purposes of these rules, a unit containing 632 through 648 acres shall be considered a standard unit.

<u>RULE 3.</u> Each well completed or recompleted in said pool shall be located not closer than 330 feet to the outer boundary

-3-CASE No. 2691 Order No. R-2373

of the SW/4 NE/4, NW/4 SE/4, NE/4 SW/4, or SE/4 NW/4 of the section. Any well drilling to or completed in the Strawn or Morrow formations on the date of this order is hereby granted an exception to the well location requirements of this rule.

RULE 4. For good cause shown, the Secretary-Director of the Commission may grant an exception to the requirements of Rule 2 without notice and hearing when the application is for a non-standard unit comprising less than 640 acres. All operators offsetting the proposed non-standard unit shall be notified of the application by registered or certified mail, and the application shall state that such notice has been furnished. The Secretary-Director of the Commission may approve the application if, after a period of 30 days, no offset operator has entered an objection to the formation of such non-standard unit.

The acreage factor assigned to any such nonstandard unit shall bear the same ratio to a standard acreage factor in the Instantion Gas Pool as the acreage in such nonstandard unit bears to 640 acres.

RULE 5. In the event of subsequent prorationing of the Lusk-Morrow Gas Pool, the allowable assigned to a non-marginal well shall be in the same ratio that its acreage factor bears to the total of the acreage factors for all non-marginal wells in the pool.

a. R. Fler. RULE 6. The vertical limits of the Luck Morrow Gas Pool shall be the Morrow formation.

 $\int_{\Omega_{m_1}} (3)$, That this case shall be reopened at an examiner hearing in May. 1964, at which time the operators in the subject pool may appear and show cause why the Lusk Morrow Gas Pool should not be developed on 160-acre gas proration units.

(4) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Commission may deem necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year herein-

above	designated.	
		STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
SEA	L	EDWIN L. MECHEM, Chairman
		E. S. WALKER, Member
esr/	/	A. L. PORTER, Jr., Member & Secretary

GOVERHOR JACK M. CAMPBELL CHAIRMAN

State of New Mexico

Gil Conserbation Commission



P. D. BOX 871 BANTA FE

December 19, 1963

STATE SECLOSIST A. L. PORTER, JR. SECRETARY - DIRECTOR

E. B. JOHNNY WALKER

MEMBER

Re:

SHELL OIT. COMPANY

2945

Order No. <u>R-2623 6</u> R-2624

Case No. _____ 2946

Dear Sire

Enclosed herewith are two copies of the above-referenced Commission order recently entered in the subject case.

Very truly yours,

A. L. PORTER, Jr. Secretary-Director

ir/

Carbon copy of order also sent to:

Hobbs OCC _____

Artesia OCC_____

Astec 000 _____

OTHER (R-2623 to Mrs. Rhea)

DOCKET MAILED

Date

Docket No. 1-66

DOCKET: EXAMINER HEARING - WEDNESDAY - JANUARY 5, 1966

9 A.M. - OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION CONFERENCE ROOM, STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING, SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

The following cases will be heard before Daniel S. Nutter, Examiner, or Elvis A. Utz, Alternate Examiner:

- CASE 3358: Application of General American Oil Company of Texas for a waterflood expansion, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to expand its Burch-Keely Waterflood Project, authorized by Order No. R-2327 in the Grayburg-Jackson Pool, by the conversion to water injection, in stages, of from 10 to 15 wells in Sections 23 and 26, Township 17 South, Range 29 East, Eddy County, New Mexico.
- CASE 3359: Application of Sinclair Oil & Gas Company for a dual completion, non-standard location, non-standard gas proration unit, and an exception to Rule 104 C I, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of the dual completion (conventional) of its Fren Oil Company Well No. 21 to produce oil from the Cedar Lake-Abo Pool and to produce gas from an undesignated Morrow gas pool through parallel strings of tubing. Applicant further seeks approval of a 200-acre non-standard gas proration unit for said well comprising the E/2 SW/4, SW/4 SE/4, and E/2 SE/4 of Section 19, Township 17 South, Range 31 East, for said well which is located at an unorthodox gas well location 560 feet from the South line and 3350 feet from the East line of said Section 19. Applicant further seeks an exception to Commission Rule 104 C I for said well which is located closer than 660 feet to another well producing from the Abo formation on the same 40-acre tract.
- CASE 3360: Application of L. R. French, Jr. for a dual completion, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to dually complete his Aztec Uncle Well No. 1 located in Unit C of Section 28, Township 18 South, Range 33 East, Lea County, New Mexico, to produce oil from the Strawn formation through the casing-tubing annulus and to produce gas from the Morrow formation through tubing. In the alternative, applicant seeks authority to dually complete the well with a single string of tubing and to commingle the Strawn oil and Morrow gas in the wellbore by means of a dual-flow choke-assembly.

CASE 3152 (Reopened)

In the matter of Case No. 3152 being reopened pursuant to the provisions of Order No. R-2821, which order established 80-acre spacing units for the Osudo-Wolfcamp Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, for a period of one year. All interested parties may appear and show cause why said pool should not be developed on 40-acre spacing units.

CASE 3153 (Reopened)

In the matter of Case No. 3153 being reopened pursuant to the provisions of Order No. R-2822, which order established 80-acre spacing units for the Osudo-Strawn Pool, Lea County, New Mexico,

January 5, 1966 Examiner Hearing

for a period of one year. All interested parties may appear and show cause why said pool should not be developed on 40-acre spacing units.

CASE 3246 (Reopened)

In the matter of Case No. 3246 being reopened pursuant to the provisions of Order No. R-2935, which order established special rules for the Mesa-Queen Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, for production of oil and gas wells in said pool, including classification of oil wells and gas wells, spacing units for oil wells and gas wells, and the establishment of a gas-liquid ratio limitation of 5,000 cubic feet of gas per barrel of oil. All interested parties may appear and show cause why the special rules should be continued in effect.

CASE 2720 (Reopened)

In the matter of Case No. 2720 being reopened pursuant to the provisions of Order No. R-2397-B which continued the original order for an additional year, establishing special rules governing the production of oil and gas wells in the Double-X Delaware Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, including classification of wells as gas wells when the gas-liquid hydrocarbon ratio exceeds 30,000 to one.

- CASE 3361: Application of Tidewater Oil Company for salt water disposal, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to dispose of produced salt water in its A. B. Coates "C" Well No. 15 located in Unit O of Section 24, Township 25 South, Range 37 East, Justis Field, Lea County, New Mexico, through a perforated interval below 8,000 feet.
- CASE 3353: Application of Tesoro Petroleum Corporation to amend Order No. R-2807, McKinley County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the abovestyled cause, seeks an amendment to Order No. R-2807, which authorized a waterflood project in the Hospah Unit Area, to permit the production of oil from previously designated water injection wells, to approve unorthodox locations for additional producing wells, and to authorize additional injection wells, all in Section 36, Township 18 North, Range 9 West, McKinley County, New Mexico.

CASE 2945 (Reopened)

> In the matter of Case No. 2945 being reopened pursuant to the provisions of Order No. R-2623, which order established 640acre spacing units for the Antelope Ridge-Devonian Gas Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, for a period of two years. All interested parties may appear and show cause why said pool should not be developed on 160-acre or 320-acre spacing units.

- 2 -

- 3 -January 5, 1966 Examiner Hearing

CASE 3350 (Continued and readvertised)

Application of International Oil & Gas Corporation for the creation of two new oil pools and for special pool rules, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the creation of a new oil pool for Bone Spring production and a new oil pool for Wolfcamp production in Section 21, Township 18 South, Range 32 East, Lea County, New Mexico, and for the establishment of special rules for each of said pools including provision for 80-acre proration units.

CASE 3362: Application of Carter Foundation Production Company for a waterflood project, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to institute a waterflood project by the injection of water into the Queen formation through five wells located at unorthodox locations in Sections 34 and 35, Township 23 South, Range 37 East, Langlie-Mattix Pool, Lea County, New Mexico.



BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

> CASE No. 2945 Order No. R-2623 NOMENCLATURE

APPLICATION OF SHELL OIL COMPANY FOR THE CREATION OF A DEVONIAN GAS POOL AND FOR SPECIAL POOL RULES, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 o'clock a.m. on December 4, 1963, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Elvis A. Utz, Examiner duly appointed by the Oil Conservation Commission of New Mexico, hereinafter referred to as the "Commission," in accordance with Rule 1214 of the Commission Rules and Regulations.

NOW, on this <u>19th</u> day of December, 1963, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the application, the evidence adduced, and the recommendations of the Examiner, Elvis A. Utz, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That the applicant, Shell Oil Company, seeks the creation of a new gas pool for Devonian production and the promulgation of temporary special rules and regulations governing said pool, including provisions for 640-acre spacing units and limited well locations.

(3) That a new gas pool for Devonian production should be created and designated the Antelope Ridge-Devonian Gas Pool; that said pool was discovered by the Shell Oil Company Harris-Federal Well No. 1, located in Unit N of Section 27, Township 23 South, Range 34 East, NMPM, Lea County, New Maxico.

(4) That in order to prevent the economic loss caused by the drilling of unnecessary wells, to avoid the augmentation of risk arising from the drilling of an excessive number of wells, to prevent reduced recovery which might result from the drilling -2-CASE No. 2945 Order No. R-2623

of too few wells, and to otherwise prevent waste and protect correlative rights, temporary special rules and regulations providing for 640-acre spacing units should be promulgated for the Antelope Ridge-Devonian Gas Pool.

(5) That the temporary special rules and regulations should provide for limited well locations in order to assure orderly development of the pool and protect correlative rights.

(6) That the temporary special rules and regulations should be established for a two-year period in order to allow the operators in the subject pool to gather reservoir information to establish the area that can be efficiently and economically drained and developed by one well.

(7) That this case should be reopened at an examiner hearing in January, 1966, at which time the operators in the subject pool should be prepared to appear and show cause why the Antelope Ridge-Devonian Gas Pool should not be developed on 160-acre spacing units.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That a new pool in Lea County, New Mexico, classified as a gas pool for Devonian production is hereby created and designated the Antelope Ridge-Devonian Gas Pool consisting of the following-described area:

> TOWNSHIP 23 SOUTH, RANGE 34 EAST, MAPM Section 27: All Section 33: E/2, M/2 W/2 Section 34: All

TOWNSHIP 24 SOUTH, RANGE 34 EAST, NMPM Section 4: All

(2) That Special Rules and Regulations for the Antelope Ridge-Devonian Gas Pool are hereby promulgated as follows, effective January 1, 1964.

> SPECIAL RULES AND REGULATIONS FOR THE ANTELOPE RIDGE-DEVONIAN GAS POOL

<u>RULE 1</u>. Each well completed or recompleted in the Antelope Ridge-Devonian Gas Pool or in the Devonian formation within one mile of the Antelope Ridge-Devonian Gas Pool, and not nearer to nor within the limits of another designated Devonian gas pool, shall be spaced, drilled, operated, and produced in accordance with the Special Rules and Regulations hereinafter set forth. -3-CASE No. 2945 Order No. R-2623

<u>RULE 2.</u> Each well completed or recompleted in the Antelope Ridge-Devonian Gas Pool shall be located on a standard unit containing 640 acres, more or less, consisting of a single governmental section.

<u>RULE 3.</u> The secretary-Director of the Commission may grant an exception to the requirements of Rule 2 without notice and hearing when an application has been filed for a non-standard unit and the unorthodox size or shape of the unit is necessitated by a variation in the legal subdivision of the United States Public Lands Survey, or the following facts exist and the following provisions are complied with:

- (a) The non-standard unit consists of quarterquarter sections or lots that are contiguous by a common bordering side.
- (b) The non-standard unit lies wholly within a single governmental section and contains less acreage than a standard unit.
- (c) The applicant presents written consent in the form of waivers from all offset operators and from all operators owning interests in the section in which the non-standard unit is situated and which acreage is not included in said non-standard unit.
- (d) In lieu of paragraph (c) of this rule, the applicant may furnish proof of the fact that all of the aforesaid operators were notified by registered or certified mail of his intent to form such non-standard unit. The Secretary-Director may approve the application if no such operator has entered an objection to the formation of such non-standard unit within 30 days after the Secretary-Director has received the application.

<u>RULE 4.</u> Each well completed or recompleted in the Antelopa Ridge-Devonian Gas Pool shall be located in the SW/4 NE/4, NW/4 SE/4, NE/4 SW/4, or SE/4 NW/4 of a governmental section and no nearer than 330 feet to any governmental quarter-quarter section line.

<u>RULE 5.</u> The Secretary-Director may grant an exception to the footage requirements of Rule 4 without notice and hearing when an application has been filed for an unorthodox location necessitated by topographical conditions or the recompletion of a well previously drilled to another horizon, provided the well will be located no nearer than 330 feet to the outer boundary CASE No. 2945 Order No. R-2623

of the unit. All operators offsetting the proposed unorthodox location shall be notified of the application by registered or certified mail, and the application shall state that such notice has been furnished. The Secretary-Director may approve the application upon receipt of written waivers from all offset operators or if no offset operator has entered an objection to the unorthodox location within 20 days after the Secretary-Director has received the application.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED:

(1) That any well presently drilling to or completed in the Antelope Ridge-Devonian Gas Pool that does not comply with the well location requirements of Rule 4 is hereby granted an exception to said rule. The operator of any such well shall notify the Hobbs District Office of the Commission in writing of the name and location of the well on or before January 1, 1964.

(2) That any operator desiring to dedicate 640 acres to a well presently drilling to or completed in the Antelope Ridge-Devonian Gas Pool shall file a new Form C-128 with the Commission on or before January 1, 1964.

(3) That this case shall be reopened at an examiner hearing in January, 1966, at which time the operators in the subject pool may appear and show cause why the Antelope Ridge-Devonian Gas Pool should not be developed on 160-acre spacing units.

(4) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Commission may deem necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

> STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL CORSERVATION COMMISSION

JAC rman

ua WALKER, Member E.

L. PORTER, Jr., Member & Secretary

esr/

GOVERNOR JACK M. CAMPBELL CHAIRMAN

State of New Mexico Gil Conservation Commission



LAND COMMISSIONER GUYTON B. HAYS MEMBER

P. O. BOX 2088 SANTA FE

STATE GEOLOGIST A. L. PORTER, JR. SECRETARY - DIRECTOR

January 13, 1966

Re: Mr. Richard S. Morris Seth, Montgomery, Federici & Andrews Attorneys at Law Post Office Box 2307 Santa Fe, New Mexico

Shell Oil Company

2945

R-2623-A

Dear Sir:

Enclosed herewith are two copies of the above-referenced Commission order recently entered in the subject case.

Very truly yours,

he L. Parter

Case No.

Order No.

Applicant:

A. L. PORTER, Jr. Secretary-Director

ALP/ir

Carbon copy of order also sent to:

Hobbs OCC_ X Artesia OCC____ Aztec OCC___

Other_

DEPORE THE OIL CONSERVATION CONNISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW NEXICO

IN THE NATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION CONSISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE FURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

> CASE No. 2945 Order No. 8-2623-A

APPLICATION OF SHELL OIL COMPANY FOR THE CREATION OF A DEVOSIAN GAS FOOL AND FOR SPECIAL FOOL RULSS, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

ORDER OF THE CONDISSION

BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 o'clock a.m. on January 5, 1966, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner Daniel 8. Mutter.

NOW, on this <u>13th</u> day of January, 1966, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the testimony, the record, and the recommendations of the Zumminer, and being fully advised in the premises,

TIEDS :

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That by Order No. R-2623, dated December 19, 1963, temporary Special Rules and Regulations were promulgated for the Antelope Ridge-Devonian Gas Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, establishing 640-acre spacing units for a period of two years.

(3) That pursuant to the provisions of Order Ho. R-2623, this case was reopened to allow the operators in the subject pool to appear and show cause why the Antelope Ridge-Devonian Gas Pool should not be developed on 160-acre spacing units.

(4) That the evidence establishes that one well in the Antelope Ridge-Devonian Gas Pool can efficiently and economically drain and develop 640 acres. -2-CASE No. 2945 Order No. R-2623-A

(5) That the Special Rules and Regulations provulgated by Order No. R-2023 have afforded and will afford to the owner of each property in the pool the opportunity to produce his just and equitable share of the gas in the pool.

(6) That to prevent the connocic loss caused by the drilling of unnecessary wells, to avoid the suggestation of risk arising from the drilling of an excessive number of wells, to prevent reduced recovery which might result from the drilling of too few wells, and to otherwise provent warts and protect correlative rights, the Special Rules and Regulations promulgated by Order No. R-2623 should be continued in full force and effect until further order of the Commission.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That the Special Bulas and Regulations governing the Antelope Ridge-Devonian Gas Pool promulgated by Order No. R-2623 are hereby continued in full force and effect until further order of the Cousission.

(2) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Counisation may doem necessary.

DORS at Santa Se, New Mexico, or the day and year berein-



STATE OF NEW NEXICO OIL CORSERVATION COMMISSION

A. L. FORTER, Jr., Acaber & Secretary



MR. NUTTER: We will call next Case 2945. MR. DURRETT: In the matter of Case No. 2945 being SPECIALIZING IN: DEPOSITIONS, HEARINGS, STATEMENTS, EXPERT TESTIMONY, DAILY COPY, CONVENTIONS reopened pursuant to the provisions of Order No. R-2623, which order established 640-acre spacing units for the Antelope Ridge-Devonian Gas Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, for I . ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO a period of two years. MR. MORRIS: If the Examiner please, I'm Richard Morris of Seth, Montgomery, Federici and Andrews, Santa Fe, New Mexico, appearing for Shell Oil Company in reopened Case 72 • PHONE 243-6691 • No. 2945. Shell Oil Company was the original applicant in) SIMM! BLDG. • P.O. BOX 1092 FIRST NATIONAL BANK EAST • F MR. NUTTER: Mr. Morris, it's twenty minutes to the case. 12:00. How long do you think this case wil take, till approximately 12:00 o'clock? 1213 MR. MORRIS: Yes. (Whereupon, Exhibits R-1

through R-4 were marked for identification.)

RICHARD SEBA

called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION



.JUC

dearnley-meier reporting service.

BY MR. MORRIS:

Α

Q

Will you please state your name? My name is Richard D. Seba, from Midland, Texas. DEPOSITIONS, MEARINGS, STATE MENTS. EXPERT TESTIMONY, DAILY COPY, COMVENTIONS SPECIALIZING IN

dearnley-meier reporting service, inc.

 Albuquerque, new mexico Albuquerque, new mexico PHONE 243-6691
FHONE 256-1294 1092 F • F BOX 1 EAST 1120 SIMMS BLDC. • P.O. 1 1213 FIRST NATIONAL BANK

previously was sworn in reopened Case 3246 and is still under oath? MR. NUTTER: The record shows he's under oath. Q (By Mr. Morris) What is your position, Mr. Seba? Α I'm the Division Reservoir Engineer for the Western

MR. MORRIS: Would the record show that Mr. Seba

Division of Shell Oil Company in Midland.

And you have previously testified before the Q Commission or one of its examiners and had your qualifications made a matter of record?

Α Yes, I have.

Are you familiar with the development, operation Q and characteristics of the Antelope Ridge-Devonian Gas Pool?

A Yes, I am.

Q You were not the witness in the original hearing of this case before the Commission?

A No, I was not.

Q But you have made yourself familiar with the

exhibits and testimony presented at that hearing?

Α Yes, I have.

Would you refer to what has been marked Exhibit R-1 Q and state what that exhibit is and point up the additional development and information that has been obtained since the original hearing in this case?



SPECIALIZING IN: DEPOSITIONS, HEARINGS, STATE MENTS, EXPERT TESTIMONY, DAILY COPY, CONVENTIONS 1120 SIMMS BLDG. • P.O. BOX 1092 • PHONE 243-6691 • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 1213 FIRST NATIONAL BANK EAST • PHONE 256-1294 • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

dearnley-meier reporting service, inc.

A This exhibit is a structure map on the top of the Devonian in the area of the Antelcpe Ridge-Devonian field. This exhibit is basically the same exhibit that was presented in the original case, however, since that time there has been one additional well drilled, Well No. 3, which is shown on this map. Well No. 3 did not alter the contour lines that appear on this map so the contours are unaltered from the original exhibit.

The exhibit also shows the gas-water contact and the unit outline, the unit covering the six sections, being Sections 27, 28, 33 and 34 in Range 34 East, and Sections 3 and 4 in the township south of that.

Q The plat also shows that Well No. 2 has become a dual completion. In what formation has it been dualed?

A It is a dual completion in the Devonian and the Pennsylvanian formation. The Pennsylvanian formation in this area is also gas-productive.

Q Just as a sidelight, Mr. Seba, are there other Pennsylvanian wells in this area?

A There is one additional Pennsylvanian well that is a twin well to the No. 2. Actually there are two separate productive members in the Pennsylvanian, one being produced in the dual completion in Well No. 2 and the other being a single zone completion in the Well No. 4, which is not shown



on the map. Where is that Well No. 4? Q Well No. 4 is southwest of the Well No. 2. I don't Ά know the exact footage, but it's a twin well to that and very ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO close nearby. One of those Pennsylvanian wells is the Atoka and Q one is the Morrow, is that correct? 243-6691 Yes. Α PHONE 256-1 Now, Wells 1 and 2 in the Devonian were already 0 drilled and completed at the time of the original hearing in S BLDG. . P.O. BOX 1092 NATIONAL BANK EAST . this case? That is correct. Α And Well No. 3 has been the only additional well 1120 SIMMS E 1213 FIRST N 0 drilled in the interim? That is correct, and these are the only three wells Α in the entire field. Would you refer next to Exhibit R-2 entitled your 0 "Reservoir and Well Completion Data," and point out the features of that exhibit? This is a tabulation of the well completion data Α and reservoir data and is presented as a supplement to a similar exhibit which was presented at the previous hearing. As stated, Unit No. 3 was drilled since the original hearing, so the only additional data presented on this exhibit is the

PAGE

5

dearnley-meigr reporting service, inc.

-78

dealnigy-meier reporting service, inc. specializing in: depositions, hearings, statements, expert testimony, dally comp. conventions

1120 SIMMS BLDG. • P.O. BOX 1092 • PHONE 243-6641 • ALFUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 1213 FIRST NATIONAL BANK EAST • PHONE 256-1294 • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO data presented for the Unit No. 3. However, there is one additional item of data and that is that Well No. 2 was repotentialed and the calculated open flow potential for that well is as appears, 16.35 million cubic feet per day, whereas it was previously potentialed for 11 million cubic feet per day.

I might point out that the calculated open flow potentials for the wells range from the low of 16.35 in Unit No. 2 to a high of 41 million cubic feet per day for Well No. 1. The liquid content of this gas is approximately 20 barrels per million and the condensate has a gravity of approximately 62 degrees API.

I would also draw your attention to the reservoir data which indicates that the reservoir has a porosity of about five and a half percent, a permeability of four and a half millidarcies, water saturation of thirty-three percent and average net pay of 127 feet; the reservoir temperature is 217 degrees Fahrenheit. The original reservoir pressure was 6375 psia.



I would like to add some additional information with regard to the gas. That is, that the Devonian gas is a sour gas, having 225 grains per hundred standard cubic feet. There is an additional liquid recovered by the plant amounting to one, co 1.25 gallons per thousand, and the plant residue

gas has a BTU content of 114 BTU per thousand.

Q The grains that you mentioned were grains of hydrogen sulphate?

A Grains of hydrogen sulphate per 100 cubic standard feet.

Q Referring next to Exhibit R-3 showing economics for the 160, 320 and 640-acre spacing. Would you explain how these figures differ from those presented at the original hearing of the case?

A This, too, is similar to an exhibit presented in the original case. However, some changes have occurred in both the economic and reservoir data such that certain changes were made in this exhibit. The first change was the price of the gas, it was originally estimated that the average price over the life of the contract would be \$187.50 per million cubic feet whereas our current contract is estimated to yield only \$162.675 cents per million, thus yielding a lower net income after royalty and taxes which currently is estimated to be \$161.442.



The well costs were the same in the Well No. 3 as for the two previous wells drilled. Additional reservoir data has indicated that our reserves are higher than were originally estimated. We estimate now that the reserves are 7,750 MCF per 160 acres, whereas before it was 5,500 and the 320 and

dearniey-meier reporting service, inc.

CONVENTIONS

 Albuquerque, new mexico Albuquerque, new mexico

• PHONE 243-6691 PHONE 256-1294 •

• 33

1120 SIMMAS BLDG. . P.O. BOX 14 1213 FIRST NATIONAL BANK EAST **dearniey-meier** reporting service, inc. specializing in depositions, hearings, statements, expert testimony, daliy cop

SFECIALIZING I.N. DEPOSITIONS, MEARINGS, STATE MENTS, EXPERT TESTIMONY, DAILY COPY, CONVENTIONS 1120 SIMMS BLDS. • P.O. BOX 1092 • PHONE 243-6691 • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 1213 FIRST NATIONAL BANK EAST • PHONE 256-1294 • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 640 multiples of this, thus we now estimate that the reserves for 640 acres would be 31 billion cubic feet whereas previously we estimated that it was only 22 billion cubic feet. These changes, then, have caused a change in the income over the life of the project as stated in the exhibit. We estimate the income for 160-acre spacing to be \$1,251,000, whereas we previously estimated it to be \$1,030,000.

220-acre spacing would yield \$2,502,000, whereas previously it was estimated to be \$2,060,000; and 640-acre spacing would yield an income of \$5,005,000, whereas before it was estimated to be \$4,120,000.

These income, less direct operating cost and overhead which does include amortization of a gas sweetening plant, less federal taxes, yields a profit now estimated to be \$246,000 for 160 acres, \$1,006,000 for 320 acres, and \$2,525,000 for 640 acres. I have reduced the profit to an average annual percent profit for each of the cases, which indicates that 160-acre spacing would yield only 1.6% average annual percent profit, whereas 320 acres would yield 6.7% and 640 acres would yield 16.8%.



I have used the economic criteria of annual average percent profit in that due to contract commitments the productive history will be essentially a flat life over the 20-year period rather than declining, recognizing that there

will be some decline at the end of the period due to deliverability, but over the majority of the life of the project it will be flat life at gas contract rates.

It is our opinion that we could not afford to accept 6.7% or 1.6% average annual profit and, therefore, I could not justify to my management to drill on anything less than 640 acres; therefore, we feel that the economics dictate 640acre spacing in this field.

Q Mr. Seba, in your opinion what size proration unit should be established on a permanent basis in this field in order to efficiently and economically drain and develop this field?

A It is my opinion that the permanent field rules for the Antelope Ridge-Devonian field should contain 640-acre spacing. I would like to offer in evidence Exhibit No. R-4, which is a plot of pressure divided by the compressibility versus cumulative gas production. I show three points on here but have drawn a line through the latter two points, which then can be extrapolated to indicate the reserves for this well.

I have neglected point No. 1 in that the production from the field during the time that the first point and the second point were determined there were only two wells producing from the field part of the time and three the rest of the time.



CONVENTIONS

COPY,

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO
ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

• •

PHONE 243-6
PHONE 256-1294

• 092

BOX 10

P.O. E

S BLDG. • NATIONAL I

SIAMS I

120311



HEARINGS, STATE MENTS, EXPERT TESTIMONY, DAILY COPY, DEPOSITIONS, Ë SPECIALIZING 1120 SIMMS 1213 FIRST N

CONVENTIONS

dearnley-meier reporting service,

 Albuquerque, New Mexico Albuquerque, New Mexico 243-661 • PHONE 256-• 8 EAST P.O. S BLDG. •

An extrapolation of these two points indicates that the reserves for this Unit No. 1 well would be approximately 88 billion cubic feet; volumetric calculations of the reservoir associated with 640 acres around the Unit No. 1 well would indicate only 31 billion cubic feet. Therefore, we offer this as evidence that one well will drain at least 640 acres and possibly more.

Therefore, one well on 640 acres will effectively and efficiently drain the reservoir, and since the area is unitized we feel that correlative rights are also protected in this manner since the takes from the field are determined by field reserves by the gas contract. Additional drilling in this field would not contribute to additional daily takes, but each well would then be only allowed to produce a smaller portion of the total take from the field. Therefore, additional wells would lead to excessive waste and drilling costs.

Do you have a recommendation concerning the field Q rules that up to this point have been adopted only on a temporary basis?



Yes. We would recommend that the temporary rules, A as written, should be made permanent and are prepared to accept those rules on a permanent basis; particularly we believe that 640-acre spacing will prevent waste and protect the correlative rights of those operators in this field.

COPY, CONVENTIONS TESTIMONY, DAILY STATE MENTS, EXPERT DEPOSITIONS, HEARINGS, S BLDG. SPECIALIZING IN: SIMMS FIRST N

30

dearnley-meier reporting service,

 AIBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO AIBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO P.O. BOX 1092 . PHONE 243-6691 BANK EAST . FHONE 256-1294 .

1120

0

Α

into evidence.

BY MR. NUTTER:

Q

under your direction?

be admitted in evidence.

Yes, they were.

extrapolation of your curve shows 88 billion cubic feet reserves. The volumetric shows 31 billion, when you have such a wide discrepancy as this, what are the takes based on, which set of the reserves? The takes from the field are based on the reserves A

for the entire field. The reserves quoted here are only for 640 acres. However, the total acreage in the unit is four sections. So that actually each well has 1280 acres allocated to it.

Is the entire unit regarded as productive and Q

11 PAGE

(Whereupon, Shell's Exhibits R-1 through R-4 were offered and

admitted in evidence.)

Were Exhibits R-1 through R-4 prepared by you or

MR. MORRIS: We offer Exhibits R-1 through R-4

MR. MORRIS: That's all we have.

be based on a relationship to reserves. Now, the

CROSS EXAMINATION

MR. NUTTER: Shell's Exhibits R-1 through R-4 will

You state that the contract states that the takes

CONVENTIONS STATE MENTS. EXPERT TESTIMONY, DAILY COPY, , NEW MEXICO W MEXICO Albuquerque, h
Albuquerque, new 1699 243-66 + PHONE 2 PHONE 256-1 HEARINGS. ۰ § DEPOSITIONS, BOX 11 EAST P.O. 1 BANK SIMMS BLDG. • 1 SPECIALIZING IN:

1120 5

dearnley-meier reporting service, inc

containing reserves?

There is some in the northwest section, you can see Α it's cut off by the oil-water contact that is not -- but we calculate the total reserves for the unit area and then our take from the three wells combined is determined by the total reserves for the field and the takes from individual wells are immaterial. The production will be produced from the wells as a whole rather than from any well individually.

Q Who is the purchaser here?

Southern Union Gas Company. The gas goes into intra-Α state.

What is the average take per day from the three wells? Q

Currently the minimum rate is 30 million cubic feet Α per day and I think that the takes are in excess of that, ranging around 40 million cubic feet per day.

0 30 or 40 per day for the three wells?

Α Yes. The contract states that the reserves are higher than this and we have additional time to commit these reserves to the gas contract,

0 Do you have anything to offer to show that one well will drain 640 acres other than the extrapolation of the pressure decline curve?

Α No. Performance data is the best evidence that we have. We also feel that the permeability of this formation,

dearnley-meier reporting service, inc.

SPECIALIZING IN: DEPOSITIONS, HEARINGS, STATE MENTS. EXPERT TESTIMONY, DAILY COPY, CONVENTIONS 1120 SIMMS BLDG. • P.O. BOX 1092 • PHONE 243-6691 • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

1120 SIMMS BLDG. • P.O. BOX 1092 • PHONE 243.6691 • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 1213 FIRST NATIONAL BANK EAST • PHONE 256-1294 • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

even though it is very low of four and a half millidarcies, is sufficient to drain more than 160 acres, 0 When was Well No. 3 completed? Well No. 3 was completed in September of 1964. Α Q Well No. 1 was completed in August of '62? That's right. A And Well No. 2 in the south was completed in 0 September of '63? That is correct. Α What was the pressure and how did it compare with the 0

other two wells when Well No. 3 was initially drilled? A The bulk of the production has occurred this year.

I don't know the exact date that we started delivering gas, but it has all been very recently so there was not too much production from the reservoir before 3 was drilled, but there was some. I don't have the original pressure in No. 3 with me at the present time.

Q I don't know if I am reading these dates on this pressure decline curve correctly or not, but is that second point 5-10-65?

A Yes, 5-10-65 and 12-6-65.

Q Do you recall what the other point --

A The other point was shortly after the original completion, so I would imagine that it was shortly after
dearniey-meigr reporting service, inc.

STOUTINE THAT I BY TAY THAT A REVIEW STITATED . AIBUQUERQUE NEW MEXICO

1120 SIMMS BLDC. • P.O. BOX 1092 • PHONE 243-6691 • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 1213 FIRST NATIONAL BANK EAST • PHONE 256-1294 • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

August of	'62.
Q	I see.
A	However, as I stated before, the production from
this research	rvoir did not commence until some time after that.
Q	Now, the takes when No. 3 was completed have been
relatively	y small?
A	Yes.
Q	So no comparison of pressures would show anything?
A	No, sir.
	MR. NUTTER: Are there any other questions of Mr.
Seba? He	may be excused.
	(Witness excused.)
	MR. NUTTER: Do you have anything further, Mr.
Morris?	
	MR. MORRIS: No, sir.
	MR. NUTTER: Does anyone have anything they wish to
offer in	Case 2945?

MR. DURRETT: We have a telegram from Continental Oil Company supporting Shell in this case.



MR. NUTTER: If there's nothing further in Case 2945 we will take the case under advisement and recess the hearing until 1:30.

dearnley-meier reporting service, inc.

- **3**62-7

SPECIALIZING IN. DEPOSITIONS, HEARINGS, STATE MENTS, EXPERT TESTIMONY, DAILY COPY, CONVENTIONS 1120 SIMMS BLDG. • P.O. B.DX 1092 • PHONE 243-6491 • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 12:3 FIRST NATIONAL BANK II:AST • PHONE 266-1294 • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

	. ·	6
		ł
	÷	

•

		PAGE	15
IND	E X		
WITNESS			PAGE
RICHARD SEBA			
Direct Examination by Mr.	Morris		2
Cross Examination by Mr.	Nutter		11
EXHIBIT	MARKED		OFFERED AND ADMITTED
Shell's R-1 through R-4	2		11

STATE OF NEW MEXICO) ss) COUNTY OF BERNALILLO)

dearniey-meigr reporting service, inc.

1120 SIMMS BLDG. & P.O. BOX 1 '92 & PHONE 243-6691 & ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 1213 FIRST NATIONAL BANK EAST & PHONE 256-1294 & ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO COUNTY OF BERNALIELO) I, ADA DEARNLEY, Notary Public in and for the County of Bernalillo, State of New Mexico, do hereby certify that the foregoing and attached Transcript of Hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission was reported by me; and that the same is a true and correct record of the said proceedings, to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability. Witness my Hand and Seal this 22nd day of January, 1966.

Jan Deanney NOTARY PUBLIC

My Commission Expires:

June 19, 1967.



i do Marinor a coapista i	more the shall	the second	
i do service i a cosplate i the instinut	hearth of the	Lang ID-	2945
the brazian	6 passon and a		La contration of the second
	D13 Cables	MALAD COP	al an is an is a second a se
Naw Merios			

SHELL OIL COMPANY ANTELOPE RIDGE DEVONIAN GAS UNIT ECONOMICS FOR 160, 320, AND 640-ACRE WELL SPACING

t.

7

1. Cost and Income Data	160 (75
Gas Price \$/MMCF	162.675
Liquid/Gas Ratio Over Life-Bbls/MMCF	20.000
Condensate Price \$/Bb1	2.730
Gross Income \$/MMCF	217.275
Royalty and ORRI \$/MMCF 34.286	
Production Tax Property Tax \$/MMCF 21.547	
Sub Total	<u>55.833</u>
Net Income After Royalty and Taxes \$/MMCF	161.442
Well Costs	\$750,000

and the strength of the

			Well Spacing	
2,	Economics	160 Ac	320 Ac	640 Ac
	Reserves MMCF	7,750	15,500	31,000
	Life Yrs	20	20	20
	Income	\$1,251,000	\$2,502,000	\$5,005,000
	Direct Operating Cost and Overhead			
	(Includes Amortization of Gas Sweeting Plant)	103,000	128,000	178,000
	Federal Income Tax	152,000	618,000	1,552,000
	Profit	246,000	1,006,000	2,525,000
	Average Annual Percent Profit	1.6%	6.7%	16.8%

SHELL OIL COMPANY ANTELOPE RIDGE DEVONIAN GAS UNIT RESERVOIR AND WELL COMPLETION DATA

1

WELL COMPLETION DATA

Formation Completion Interval Treatment Date of C.A.O.F. C.A.O.F. Liquid Recovery - Bbls/MMCF Gas Gravity Condensate Gravity - Deg API	2,000 0-1	5 000 001	Unit 3 Devonian 14,695-14,830 5,000 Gal Acid 9-15-64 25 14 0.660 63
---	-----------	-----------	---

RESERVOIR DATA

Porosity		
Permeability	-	
Water Saturation	5.5	~
net Pav	4.5	nd
Reservoir Temperature	33	%
Orfgingly Temperature	127	ft
Original Reservoir Pressure	217	¢
	6375	psia

Lana Sertia

ANTELOPE RIDGE DEVONIAN GAS POOL CASE NO. 2945

Purpose of Application

Shell Oil Company, as Operator of the Antelope Ridge Unit, makes application for an order establishing field rules for the Antelope Ridge Devonian Gas Pool. Special rules are to include 640-acre drilling units and well locations no nearer than 660 feet to the outer bounder of the interior quarter-quarter sections. Shell also requests establishment of horizontal limits for the Pool consisting of Sections 27, 28, 33, and 34, Township 23 South, Range 34 East; and Sections 3 and 4, Township 24 South, Range 34 East.

Exhibits

Exhibit 1

Exhibit 1 is a plat of the Antelope Ridge Area with the Antelope Ridge Well dddd - Well a first as no marked Unit outlined in red. The plat shows our structural interpretation at Devonian level based upon well control, seismic, and dipmeter data. The accumulation is controlled on the north, east, and south flanks by a gas-water contact of 11,450 feet subsea. This water level has been confirmed by DST data and production tests in Federai BE No. 1. The western limit of the accumulation is defined by a steeply dipping fault trending from southwest to northeast approximately along the western boundary of the Antelope Ridge Unit. The presence of this fault and the separation of the Antelope Ridge Devonian Gas Pool from the Bell Lake Devonian Gas Pool are confirmed by differences in pressure and reservoir fluids between the two accumulations. The average reservoir pressure in Bell Lake Unit wells IA and 4 in May of 1962, was approximately 6100 psi while the pressure in Harris Federal No. 1 in July of 1962 war 6360 psi. Both the Harris Federal No. 1 and Federal BE No. 1 produce gas with a condensate content of more than 20 barrels per MMCF, while the two wells in the south accumulation at Bell Lake produce no liquids.

It is my opinion that the information provided by well control, seismic, and dipmeter data are sufficient to establish the approximate field limits and prove separation from the Bell Lake Devonian Gas Pool to the west.

Exhibit 2

Exhibit 2 is a cross-section through the crest of theAntelope Ridge structure as shown by the line labled A-A' on Exhibit 1. The cross-section shows the gross Devonian interval above the gas-water contact at 11,450 feet subsea and the dip to the southwest and northeast suggested by seismic and dipmeter data. The cross-section also shows a tabulation of DST and production test data for the two wells which have penetrated the Devonian formation. You will note that DST No. 4 in Federal BE No. 1, which straddled the apparent gaswater contact flowed gas and water, while production test No. 11, with perforations just below the gas-water contact, recovered only water.

Exhibit 3

Exhibit 3 shows well test and reservoir data for the Devonian formation from Harris-Federal No. 1 and Federal BE No. 1. The test data from Harris Federal No. 1 are from the official four-point test and show a CAOF of 41 MMCF/day after treatment with 2000 gallons of acid. The indicated CAOF prior to acidization was only 10 MMCF/day. Federal BE No. 1 was drill-stem tested without treatment and had a CAOF of 11 MMCF/day with evidence of considerable formation damage. It is my opinion that when Federal BE No. 1 is completed in the Devonian, a small acid treatment will yield a potential at least equal to that of the Harris Federal well.

The reservoir data shown on Exhibit 3 have been calculated from electrical log and subsurface pressure measurements. Neutron and sonic logs were used to determine net pay and an average porosity of 5.0 per cent, and the induction log was used to determine a water saturation of 35 per cent. Permeability was calculated from the slope of the pressure buildup curve shown on Exhibit 4.

Exhibit 4

A graphical presentation of bottom hole pressure measurements made during a drill-stem test on Harris Federal No. 1 is shown on Exhibit 4. Pressures are plotted against dimensionless time, $\frac{t}{A \cdot t} + 1$, where t is equal to producing time and A t is equal to shut-in time. Pressures have been extrapolated to infinite

- 2 -

man in all desirable

shut-in time in order to obtain true reservoir pressure. The permeability shown on Exhibit 3 was determined from the slope of the buildup curve according to the

Economic analyses for 160,320 and 640-acre spacing are presented on Exhibit The reserves in each case are based on volumetric analysis using 5.0 per cent porosity, 35 per cent water saturation, 100 feet of net pay and 1000 psi abandonment pressure. The economics are based upon an average well cost of \$750,000 and net income before overhead, operating costs, and amortization of gas treating plant of \$186.50 per MMCF. The exhibit shows that for both 160and 320-acre spacing the profit-to-investment ratio and rate of return would be below acceptable standards for a high risk industry.

Conclusions

It is my opinion that the data presented show that permeability in the Devonian formation is sufficient to effectively drain 640 acres and that development on 160- or 320-acre spacing is not economically feasible. I further believe that well control, seismic and dipmeter data provide sufficient information to establish initial pool limits as proposed.

I recommend that the Commission formulate field rules for the Antelope Ridge Devonian Gas Pool along the lines of those proposed in Exhibit 6, and establishment of horizontal pool limits consisting of Sections 27, 28, 33 and 34, Township 23 South, Range 34 East; and Sections 3 and 4, Township 24 South, Range 34 East, Lea County, New Mexico.

It is my opinion that granting of this application will prevent waste in the form of unnecessary drilling and will protect correlative rights through inclusion of only reasonable proved acreage in each gas unit.

Extibit \$6

Exhibit) presents the proposed field rules for the Antelope Ridge Devonian Gas Pool. An abstract of these rules follows. - Successful Computing Pullent R-1670 -3 - "Lusk Morrow Gas Med

Rule 1 provides that each well completed in the Antelope Ridge Devonian Gas Pool will be governed by these special rules.

Rule 2 provides for 640-acre gas units consisting of a single governmental section and for administrative approval of smaller non-standard units if offset operators have no objection.

Rule 3 provides for drilling locations with wells no nearer than 660 feet to the outer boundary of the inner quarter-quarter sections (1980 feet from section lines and for maximum acreage which may be allocated to non-standard locations.

Rule 4 provides that in the event of subsequent prorationing in the Antelope Ridge Devonian Gas Pool, the allowable assigned to nonmarginal wells shall be in direct proportion to the acreage factor.

Rule 5 established vertical limits of the pool as the Devonian formation.

Conclusion Jun Mullen - 292 Deep reservoirs - more with - sheald be given opportunity from cutset to be na mile a parcing

- 4 -

Offer Exhibits

SHELL OIL COMPANY ANTELOPE RIDGE DEVONIAN GAS POOL WELL TEST AND RESERVOIR DATA

Test Data

Harris-Federal No. 1

Type Test Formation Test Date Test Interval Treatment CAOF Liquid Recovery Gas Gravity Condensate Gravity

> Porosity Permeability Water Saturation

Net Pay

Completion Inst Devonian 8-9-62 14,655-14,832 2,000 gals. acid 41 MMCF/day 23 Bbls./MMCF 0.695 56

DST Devonian 3-22-63 14,660-14,900 . 11 MMCF/day 21.5 Bbls./MMCF 0.665 57.5

Federal BE No. 1

Reservoir Properties /"Ly and in BHP analysis 5.0% 4.5 md. Galand 35% 100 217° 6375 psiafente Reservoir Temperature Original Reservoir Pressure

2945 NMOCC Case No. Exhibit No. 3 December 4, 1963 Date

1

SHELL OIL COMPANY ANTELOPE RIDGE DEVONIAN GAS POOL ECONOMICS FOR 160, 320 AND 640 ACRE WELL SPACING 187.50 Cost and Income Data 20 Liquid/Gas Ratio Over Life - Bbls./MMCF Gas Price - \$/MMCF 1. 2.73 241.10 Condensate Price - \$/Bb1. Gross Income - \$/MMCF 38.10 Royalty and ORRI - \$/MMCF Production and Property Taxes - \$/MMCF 16.50 186.50 p. MMCF 362 Subtotal Net Income After Royalty and Taxes - \$/MMCF \$750,000 Wcll Cost 5,500 MMCF 160-Acre Spacing 20 Years \$1,030,000 2. Reserves Direct Operating Cost and Overhead (Includes Life \$103,000 Amortization of Gas Sweetening Plant) \$ 73,000 \$104,000 Federal Income Taxes 0.14 0000 1% Profit to Investment Ratio Rate of Return 11,000 MMCF 5 20 Years 320-Acre Spacing \$2,060,000 3. Reserves Direct Operating Cost and Overhead (Includes \$128,000 Amortization of Gas Sweetening Plant) are well \$461,000 \$721,000 Federal Income Taxes 0.96 Profit to Investment Ratio 7% Rate of Return 22,000 MMCF 20 Years 4. 640-Acre Spacing \$4,120,000 Reserves Direct Operating Cost and Overhead (Includes Life \$178,000 \$1,242,000 Amortization of Gas Sweetening Plant) \$1,950,000 Federal Income Taxes 2.60 17% mit : Profit to Investment Ratio Profit Rate of Return NMOCC Case No. 7144 December 4, 1963 Exhibit No. Date





PROPOSED FIELD RULES ANTELOPE RIDGE DEVONIAN GAS POOL LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

Rule 1. Each well completed or recompleted in the Devonian Formation within one mile of the boundary of the Antelope Ridge Devonian Gas Pool and not nearer to nor within the boundaries of another designated Devonian gas pool, shall be drilled, spaced, and produced in accordance with the special rules and regulations hereinafter set forth.

Rule 2. (a) Each well completed or recompleted in the Antelope Ridge Devonian Gas Pool shall be located on a standard proration unit consisting of approximately 640 contiguous surface acres substantially in the form of a square which is a legal section of the United States Public Lands Survey. For purposes of these rules, a unit containing 632 through 648 acres shall be considered a standard unit.

(b) For good cause shown, the Secretary Director of the Commission may grant an exception to the requirements of Rule 2 (a) without notice and hearing when the application is for a nonstandard unit comprising less than 640 acres. All operators offsetting the proposed nonstandard unit shall be notified of the application by registered or certified mail, and the application shall state that such notice has been furnished. The Secretary Director of the Commission may approve the application if, after a period of 30 days, no offset operator has entered an objection to the formation of such nonstandard unit.

The acreage factor assigned to any such nonstandard unit shall bear the same ratio to a standard acreage factor in the Antelope Ridge Devonian Gas Pool as the acreage in such nonstandard unit bears to 640 acres.

Rule 3. (a) Each well completed or recompleted in the Antelope Ridge Devonian Gas Pool shall be located not closer than 660 feet to the outer boundary of the SW/4 NE/4, NW/4 SE/4, NE/4 SW/4, or SE/4 NW/4 of the section. Any well drilling to or which has penetrated the Devonian formation on the date of this order is hereby granted an exception to the well location requirements of this rule.

(b) The acreage assigned to any well not granted an exception to the well location requirement under the provisions of Rule 3. (a) shall be determined by the location of the well with respect to the two nearest section boundary lines as follows:

Location	Maximum Acreage
660-660	160
660-1980	320 acres

The acreage factor assigned to any such well shall bear the same ratio to a standard acreage factor as the acreage assigned bears to 640 acres.

Rule 4. In the event of subsequent prorationing of the Antelope Ridge Devonian Gas Pool, the allowable assigned to a nonmarginal well shall be in the same ratio that its acreage factor bears to the total of the acreage factors for all nonmarginal wells in the pool.

Kule 5. The vertical limits of the Antelope Ridge Devonian Gas Pool shall be the Devonian formation.

BEN MELLENSTREET	Ì
OIL CONSTRUCTION OF T	
CASE NO. 2943	
CASE NO. 2943	

NMOCC Case No. Exhibit No. 6 Date: December 4, 1963

SHELL OIL COMPANY ANTELOPE RIDGE DEVONIAN GAS UNIT RESERVOIR AND WELL COMPLETION DATA

WELL COMPLETION DATA

	<u>Unit 1</u>	Unit 2	Unit 3
Formation	Devonian	Devonian	Devonian
Completion Interval	14,655-14,832	14,692-14,823	14,695-14,830
Treatment	2,000 Gal Acid	5,000 Gal Acid	5,000 Gal Acid
Date of C.A.O.F.	8- 9-62	2- 7-65	9-15-64
C.A.O.F.	41	16,35	25
Liquid Recovery - Bbls/MMCF	23	26	14
Gas Gravity	0,695	0.660	0.660
Condensate Gravity - Deg API	56	62.3	63

RESERVOIR DATA

Porosity	5.5%	
Permeability	4.5	md
Water Saturation	33	%
Net Pay	127	ft.
Reservoir Temperature	217	¢
Original Reservoir Pressure	6375	psia

BEFORE EXAMINER NUTTER OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

SHELL OIL COMPANY ANTELOPE RIDGE DEVONIAN GAS UNIT ECONOMICS FOR 160, 320, AND 640-ACRE WELL SPACING

1. Cost and Income Data 162.675 Gas Price \$/MMCF Liquid/Gas Ratio Over Life-Bbls/MMCF 20.000 2.730 Condensate Price \$/bbl Gross Income \$/MMCF 217.275 Royalty and ORRI \$/MMCF 34,286 Production Tax Property Tax \$/MMCF 21.547 <u>55.833</u> 161.442 Sub Total Net Income After Royalty and Taxes \$/MMCF Well Costs \$750,000

Well Spacing 160 Ac 320 Ac 640 Ac 2. Economics 7,750 15,500 31,000 Reserves MMCF 20 Life Yrs 20 20 \$1,251,000 \$2,502,000 \$5,005,000 Income Direct Operating Cost and Overhead 103,000 152,000 178,000 1,552,000 (Includes Amortization of Gas Sweeting Plant) 128,000 Federal Income Tax 618,000 246,000 1,006,000 2,525,000 Profit Average Annual Percent Profit 1.6% 6.7% 16.8%

BEFORE EXAMINER NUTTER
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
CASE NO. 2945

SHELL OIL COMPANY ANTELOPE RIDGE DEVONIAN GAS POOL WELL TEST AND RESERVOIR DATA

<u>Test Data</u>

Federal BE No. 1 DST Devonian 3-22-63

Type Test Formation Test Date Test Interval Treatment CAOF Liquid Recovery Gas Gravity Condensate Gravity

Completion Devonian 8-9-62 14,655-14,832 2,000 gals. acid 41 MMCF/day 23 Bbls./MMCF 0.695 56

Harris-Federal No. 1

Devonian 3-22-63 14,660-14,900 -11 MMCF/day 21.5 Bbls./MMCF 0.665 57.5

Reservoir Properties

Porosity Permeability Water Saturation Net Pay Reservoir Temperature Original Reservoir Pressure 5.0% 4.5 md. 35% 100 217° 6375 psia

BEFORE EXAMINER UTZ
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
ASE NO. 2945
ASE NO

Teros AZIY

NMOCC Case No. Exhibit No. 3 Date December 4, 1963 .



BEN DE EXHIBIT NO. 5 CASE NO. 2945

SHELL OIL COMPANY ANTELOPE RIDGE DEVONIAN GAS POOL ECONOMICS FOR 160, 320 AND 640 ACRE WELL SPACING

1. Cost and Income Data Gas Price - \$/MMCF 187,50 Liquid/Gas Ratio Over Life - Bbls./MMCF 20 Condensate Price - \$/Bb1. 2.73 Gross Income - \$/MMCF 241.10 Royalty and ORRI - \$/MMCF 38,10 Production and Property Taxes - \$/MMCF 16.50 Subtotal 54.60 Net Income After Royalty and Taxes - \$/MMCF 186,50 \$750.000 Well Cost 2. 160-Acre Spacing 5,500 MMCF Reserves 20 Years Life \$1,030,000 Income Direct Operating Cost and Overhead (Includes Amortization of Gas Sweetening Plant) \$103,000 \$ 73,000 Federal Income Taxes \$104,000 Profit Profit to Investment Ratio 0.14 1% Rate of Return 3. 320-Acre Spacing 11,000 MMCF Reserves Life 20 Years \$2,060,000 Income Direct Operating Cost and Overhead (Includes Amortization of Gas Sweetening Plant) \$128,000 Federal Income Taxes \$461,000 \$721,000 Profit Profit to Investment Ratio 0.96 Rate of Return 7% 4. 640-Acre Spacing 22,000 MMCF Reserves 20 Years Life \$4,120,000 Income Direct Operating Cost and Overhead (Includes \$178,000 Amortization of Gas Sweetening Plant) Federal Income Taxes \$1,242,000 \$1,950,000 Profit Profit to Investment Ratio 2.60 Rate of Return 17%

> NMOCC Case No. Exhibit No. 5 Date December 4, 1963



BEFORE THE NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION Santa Fe, New Mexico January 5, 1966 325-1182 HEARING EXAMINER BHONE IN THE MATTER OF: DEARNLEY-MEIER REPORTING SERVICE, Inc. In the matter of Case No. 2945 being reopened pursuant to the provisions of Order No. R-2623, which order established 640-acre spacing units for the Antelope Ridge-Devonian Gas Pool, Lea County, New Case No. 2945 Mexico, for a period of two years. MARTA P.C. N. M. PHOME 963-3971 BEFORE: Daniel S. Nutter, Examiner. TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING стоик, м. м. 243-6691 PHONE

DAILT HEARINGS DEPOSI Ë SPECIALIZING

dearnley-meier reporting service, inc.

CONVENTION

 Albuquerque, New Mexico Albuquerque, New Mexico 243-6691 PHONE 2
 PHONE 2:6-1 • 003 BOX 1(EAST P.O. IS BLDG. SIMMS FIRST N

1120

MR. NUTTER: We will call next Case 2945. MR. DURRETT: In the matter of Case No. 2945 being reopened pursuant to the provisions of Order No. R-2623, which order established 640-acre spacing units for the Antelope Ridge-Devonian Gas Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, for a period of two years.

MR. MORRIS: If the Examiner please, I'm Richard Morris of Seth, Montgomery, Federici and Andrews, Santa Fe, New Mexico, appearing for Shell Oil Company in reopened Case No. 2945. Shell Oil Company was the original applicant in the case.

MR. NUTTER: Mr. Morris, it's twenty minutes to 12:00. How long do you think this case wil take, till approximately 12:00 o'clock?

MR. MORRIS: Yes.

(Whereupon, Exhibits R-1 through R-4 were marked for identification.)

RICHARD SEBA

called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. MORRIS:

Q Will you please state your name?

A My name is Richard D. Seba, from Midland, Texas.



dearnby-meier faduting service. ES. specializing in: depositions, hearings, statements, expert testimony, daily copy, somertions

SPECIALIZING INC. UECOSITIONS, REALIZED 243-6691 • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 1120 SIMMS BLDG. • P.O. BOX 1092 • PHONE 243-6691 • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 1213 FIRST NATIONAL BANK EAST • PHONE 256-1294 • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO MR. MORRIS: Would the record show that Mr. Seba previously was sworn in reopened Case 3246 and is still under oath?

MR. NUTTER: The record shows he's under oath.

 Ω (By Mr. Morris) What is your position, Mr. Seba?

A I'm the Division Reservoir Engineer for the Western Division of Shell Oil Company in Midland.

Ω And you have previously testified before the Commission or one of its examiners and had your qualifications made a matter of record?

A Yes, I have.

Q Are you familiar with the development operation and characteristics of the Antelope Ridge-Devonian Gas Pool?

A Yes, I am.

Q You were not the witness in the original hearing of this case before the Commission?

A No, I was not.

Q But you have made yourself familiar with the exhibits and testimony presented at that hearing?

A Yes, I have.

Q Would you refer to what has been marked Exhibit R-1 and state what that exhibit is and point up the additional development and information that has been obtained since the original hearing in this case?

DEPOSITIONS, HEARINGS, STATE MENTS, EXPERT TESTIMONY, DAILY COPY, CONVENTIONS I • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO dearnley-meier reporting service, inc. 2 • PHONE 243-6691 • PHONE 256-1294 • A . BOX 1092 K EAST • Pi 1120 SIMMS BLDG. • P.O. I 1213 FIRST NATIONAL BANK SPECIALIZING IN:

This exhibit is a structure map on the top of the Devonian in the area of the Antelope Ridge-Devonian field. Α This exhibit is basically the same exhibit that was presented in the original case, however, since that time there has been one additional well drilled, Well No. 3, which is shown on this map. Well No. 3 did not alter the contour lines that appear on this map so the contours are unaltered from the original exhibit.

The exhibit also shows the gas-water contact and the unit outline, the unit covering the six sections, being Sections 27, 28, 33 and 34 in Range 34 East, and Sections 3 and 4 in the township south of that.

The plat also shows that Well No. 2 has become a Q dual completion. In what formation has it been dualed?

It is a dual completion in the Devonian and the Pennsylvanian formation. The Pennsylvanian formation in this Α area is also gas-productive.

Just as a sidelight, Mr. Seba, are there other 0 Pennsylvanian wells in this area?

There is one additional Pennsylvanian well that is a А twin well to the No. 2. Actually there are two separate productive members in the Pennsylvanian, one being produced in the dual completion in Well No. 2 and the other being a single zone completion in the Well No. 4, which is not shown



on the map.

Where is that Well No. 4? Q

Well No. 4 is southwest of the Well No. 2. I don't Α know the exact footage, but it's a twin well to that and very close nearby.

One of those Pennsylvanian wells is the Atoka and Q one is the Morrow, is that correct?

Yes. А

Now, Wells 1 and 2 in the Devonian were already 0 drilled and completed at the time of the original hearing in this case?

That is correct. Α

And Well No. 3 has been the only additional well Q drilled in the interim?

Α That is correct, and these are the only three wells in the entire field.

Q Would you refer next to Exhibit R-2 entitled your "Reservoir and Well Completion Data," and point out the features of that exhibit?



Α This is a tabulation of the well completion data and reservoir data and is presented as a supplement to a similar exhibit which was presented at the previous hearing. As stated, Unit No. 3 was drilled since the original hearing, so the only additional data presented on this exhibit is the

COPY, DAILY dearnley-meier reporting service.

HEARINGS, DEFOSITIONS, SPECIALIZING IN

CONFENTIONS

MEXICO STATE MENTS, EXPERT TESTIMONY, NEW MEXI ALBUQUERQUE, N
 ALBUQUERQUE, NEW 243-6 PHONE 256-• 8 EAST P.O. I S BLDG. NATION 1120 SIMMS I 1213 FIRST N

dearnley-meier reporting service, mc.

 AIBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO AIBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

1092 • PHONE 243-6691 T • PHONE 256-1294 •

SIMMS BLDG. • P.O. BOX 10 FIRST NATIONAL BANK EAST

1120 S

data presented for the Unit No. 3. However, there is one additional item of data and that is that Well No. 2 was repotentialed and the calculated open flow potential for that well is as appears, 16.35 million cubic feet per day, whereas it was previously potentialed for 11 million cubic feet per day.

I might point out that the calculated open flow potentials for the wells range from the low of 16.35 in Unit No. 2 to a high of 41 million cubic feet per day for Well No. 1 The liquid content of this gas is approximately 20 barrels per million and the condensate has a gravity of approximately 62 degrees API.

I would also draw your attention to the reservoir data which indicates that the reservoir has a porosity of about five and a half percent, a permeability of four and a half millidarcies, water saturation of thirty-three percent and average net pay of 127 feet; the reservoir temperature is 217 degrees Fahrenheit. The original reservoir pressure was 6375 psia.



I would like to add some additional information with regard to the gas. That is, that the Devonian gas is a sour gas, having 225 grains per hundred standard cubic feet. There is an additional liquid recovered by the plant amounting to one, to 1.25 gallons per thousand, and the plant residue GBATAIBY - MBIBT TEPOTTING SETVICE, INC. Specializing in: oepositions, hearings, state ments, expert testimony, daily copy, conventions 1720 simms bidg. • P.O. Box 1092 • THONE 243-6681 & Albuquerque, New Mexico 1720 simms bidg. • P.O. Box 1092 • THONE 243-6581 * Albuquerque, New Mexico

О

hydrogen sulphate? A Grains of hydrogen sulphate per 100 cubic standard feet. Q Referring next to Exhibit R-3 showing economics for the 160, 320 and 640-acre spacing. Would you explain how these figures differ from those presented at the original hearing of the case? A This, too, is similar to an exhibit presented in the original case. However, some changes have occurred in both the economic and reservoir data such that certain changes were made in this exhibit. The first change was the price of the gas, it was originally estimated that the average

The grains that you mentioned were grains of

gas has a BTU content of 114 BTU per thousand.

price of the gas, it was only the price over the life of the contract would be \$187.50 per million cubic feet whereas our current contract is estimated to yield only \$162.675 cents per million, thus yielding a lower net income after royalty and taxes which currently is estimated to be \$161.442.



The well costs were the same in the Well No. 3 as for the two previous wells drilled. Additional reservoir data has indicated that our reserves are higher than were originally estimated. We estimate now that the reserves are 7,750 MCF per 160 acres, whereas before it was 5,500 and the 320 and

dearney-meier feuurting service, inc.

MEXICO

NEW V MEY

ALBUQUERQUE, 1
 ALBUQUERQUE, NEW

\$691

PHONE 243-66
 PHONE 256-1294

•

BOX 1 EAST

P.O. I BANK

I SIMMS BLDG.

1120 S

640 multiples of this, thus we now estimate that the reserves for 640 acres would be 31 billion cubic feet whereas previously we estimated that it was only 22 billion cubic feet. These changes, then, have caused a change in the income over the life of the project as stated in the exhibit. We estimate the income for 160-acre spacing to be \$1,251,000, whereas we previously estimated it to be \$1,030,000.

320-acre spacing would yield \$2,502,000, whereas previously it was estimated to be \$2,060,000; and 640-acre spacing would yield an income of \$5,005,000, whereas before it was estimated to be \$4,120,000.

These income, less direct operating cost and overhead which does include amortization of a gas sweetening plant, less federal taxes, yields a profit now estimated to be \$246,000 for 160 acres, \$1,006,000 for 320 acres, and \$2,525,000 for 640 acres. I have reduced the profit to an average annual percent profit for each of the cases, which indicates that 160-acre spacing would yield only 1.6% average annual percent profit, whereas 320 acres would yield 6.7% and 640 acres would yield 16.8%.



I have used the economic criteria of annual average percent profit in that due to contract commitments the productive history will be essentially a flat life over the 20-year period rather than declining, recognizing that there will be some decline at the end of the period due to deliverability, but over the majority of the life of the project it will be flat life at gas contract rates.

It is our opinion that we could not afford to accept 6.7% or 1.6% average annual profit and, therefore, I could not justify to my management to drill on anything less than 640 acres; therefore, we feel that the economics dictate 640acre spacing in this field.

Q Mr. Seba, in your opinion what size proration unit should be established on a permanent basis in this field in order to efficiently and economically drain and develop this field?

A It is my opinion that the permanent field rules for the Antelope Ridge-Devonian field should contain 640-acre spacing. I would like to offer in evidence Exhibit No. R-4, which is a plot of pressure divided by the compressibility versus cumulative gas production. I show three points on here but have drawn a line through the latter two points, which then can be extrapolated to indicate the reserves for this well.



I have neglected point No. 1 in that the production from the field during the time that the first point and the second point were determined there were only two wells producing from the field part of the time and three the rest of the time.

DEAFRIEY-MEIEF LEDUTTING SULVICE, INC. Specializing in. depositions, hearings, statements, expert testimony, daily copy.

CONVENTIONS

NEW MEXICO

Albuquerque, h
 Albuquerque, New

1294

PHONE 2
 PHONE 256-1

1092

BOX 2

P.O.

S BLDG. .

SIMMS I FIRST N

120 5

PAGE

9

DEALNEY-MOION LEDUTING SELVICE, MC.

PHONE 243-6691

 Albuquerque, New Mexico
 PHONE 236-1294
 Albuquerque, New Mexico

1092

P.O. BOX 10 BANK EAST

S BLDG. • NATIONAL

1120 SIMMS B 1213 FIRST NJ An extrapolation of these two points indicates that the reserves for this Unit No. 1 well would be approximately 88 billion cubic feet; volumetric calculations of the reservoir associated with 640 acres around the Unit No. 1 well would indicate only 31 billion cubic feet. Therefore, we offer this as evidence that one well will drain at least 640 acres and possibly more.

Therefore, one well on 640 acres will effectively and efficiently drain the reservoir, and since the area is unitized we feel that correlative rights are also protected in this manner since the takes from the field are determined by field reserves by the gas contract. Additional drilling in this field would not contribute to additional daily takes, but each well would then be only allowed to produce a smaller portion of the total take from the field. Therefore, additional wells would lead to excessive waste and drilling costs.

Q Do you have a recommendation concerning the field rules that up to this point have been adopted only on a temporary basis?



A Yes. We would recommend that the temporary rules, as written, should be made permanent and are prepared to accept those rules on a permanent basis; particularly we believe that 640-acre spacing will prevent waste and protect the correlative rights of those operators in this field. CONVENTIONS COPY. DEPOSITIONS, HEARINGS, STATE MENTS, EXPERT TESTIMONY, DAILY SPECIALIZING IN

dearnley-meier reporting service.

Albuquerque, New MEXICO Albuquerque, New MEXICO

Q

A

into evidence.

BY MR. NUTTER:

under your direction?

be admitted in evidence.

Yes, they were.

 PHONE 243-6691
 PHONE 256-1294 • 092 BOX 10 P.O. B 1120 SIMMS BLDG. • 1213 FIRT NATIOHAL

Q You state that the contract states that the takes be based on a relationship to reserves. Now, the extrapolation of your curve shows 88 billion cubic feet. reserves. The volumetric shows 31 billion, when you have such a wide discrepancy as this, what are the takes based on, which set of the reserves?

MR. MORRIS: That's all we have.

CROSS EXAMINATION

Were Exhibits R-1 through R-4 prepared by you or

MR. MORRIS: We offer Exhibits R-1 through R-4

MR. NUTTER: Shell's Exhibits R-1 through R-4 will



The takes from the field are based on the reserves А for the entire field. The reserves quoted here are only for 640 acres. However, the total acreage in the unit is four sections. So that actually each well has 1280 acres allocated to it.

Q Is the entire unit regarded as productive and

11 PAGE

(Whereupon, Shell's Exhibits R-1 through R-4 were offered and

admitted in evidence.)

containing reserves? There is some in the northwest section, you can see DEPOSITIONS, HEARINGS, STATE MENTS, EXPERT TESTIMONY, DAILY COPY, CONVENTIONS it's cut off by the oil-water contact that is not -- but we calculate the total reserves for the unit area and then our take from the three wells combined is determined by the total Albuduerque, new mexico Albuquerque, new mexico reserves for the field and the takes from individual wells are immaterial. The production will be produced from the wells as a whole rather than from any well individually. 1092 • PHONE 243-6691 T • PHONE 256-1294 • Who is the purchaser here? The gas goes into intra-Q Southern Union Gas Company. Α SIMMS BLDG. • P.O. BOX ¹⁽ FIRST NATIONAL BANK EAST What is the average take per day from the three wells? state. Currently the minimum rate is 30 million cubic feet Q SPECIALIZING IN: per day and I think that the takes are in excess of that, 1120 ranging around 40 million cubic feet per day. 30 or 40 per day for the three wells? Yes. The contract states that the reserves are 0 higher than this and we have additional time to commit these reserves to the gas contract. Do you have anything to offer to show that one well will drain 640 acres other than the extrapolation of the pressure decline curve? No. Performance data is the best evidence that we have. We also feel that the permeability of this formation,

dearnley-meier reporting service, inc.

DEALNEY-MEIER LEPOLTING SELVICE, INC. Specializing in: depositions, hearings, statements, expert testimony, daily copy.

SPECIALIZING IN: DEPOSITIONS, HEARINGS, STATE MENTS, EXPERT TESTIMONY, DAILY COPY, CONVENTIONS 1120 SIMMS BLĎG. • P.O. BOX 1092 • PHONE 243-15691 • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 1213 FIRST NATIONAL RANK EAST • PHONE 256-1294 • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO even though it is very low of four and a half millidarcies, is sufficient to drain more than 160 acres. When was Well No. 3 completed? 0 Well No. 3 was completed in September of 1964. Α Well No. 1 was completed in August of '62? Q That's right. Α And Well No. 2 in the south was completed in Q September of '63? Α That is correct. Q What was the pressure and how did it compare with the other two wells when Well No. 3 was initially drilled? The bulk of the production has occurred this year. Α I don't know the exact date that we started delivering gas, but it has all been very recently so there was not too much production from the reservoir before 3 was drilled, but there was some. I don't have the original pressure in No. 3 with me at the present time. I don't know if I am reading these dates on this 0

PAGE

13

pressure decline curve correctly or not, but is that second point 5~10-65?

- A Yes, 5-10-65 and 12-6-65.
 - Q Do you recall what the other point --

A The other point was shortly after the original completion, so I would imagine that it was shortly after

DEPOSITIONS, HEARINGS, STATE MENTS, EXPERT TESTIMONY, DAILY COPY, CONVENTIONS dearnley-meier reporting service, inc. SPECIALIZING IN

1120 SIMMS BLDC). • P.O. BOX 1992 • PHONE 243-6691 • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 1213 FIRST NATIONAL BIANK EAST • PHONE 256-1294 • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

August of	'62.
Q	I see.
А	However, as I stated before, the production from
this rese	rvoir did not commence until some time after that.
Q	Now, the takes when No. 3 was completed have been
relativel	y small?
A	Yes.
Ω	So no comparison of pressures would show anything?
A	No, sir.
	MR. NUTTER: Are there any other questions of Mr.
Seba? He	may be excused.
	(Witness excused.)
	MR. NUTTER: Do you have anything further, Mr.
Morris?	
	MR. MORRIS: No, sir.
	ND NUMBER, Doog annone bette speching there wish t

MR. NUTTER: Does anyone have anything they wish to offer in Case 2945?

MR. DURRETT: We have a telegram from Continental Oil Company supporting Shell in this case.



MR. NUTTER: If there's nothing further in Case 2945 we will take the case under advisement and recess the hearing until 1:30.

dearnley-meier reporting service, inc.

1120 SIMMS BLDG. • P.O. BOX 1092 • PHONE 243-6691 • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 1213 FIRST NATIONAL BANK EAST • PHONE 255-1294 • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

<u>1 N</u>	DEX	
WITNESS		PAGE
RICHARD SEBA		
Direct Examination by M	Direct Examination by Mr. Morris	
Cross Examination by Mr	. Nutter	11
		OFFERED AND
EXHIBIT	MARKED	ADMITTED
Shell's R-1 through R-4	2	11

PAGE 15

•

/

dearnley-meigr reporting service, inc.

1120 SIMMS BLDG. • P.O. BOX 1092 • PHONE 243-6691 • AIBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 1213 FIRST NATIONAL BANK EAST • PHONE 256-1294 • AIBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO

STATE OF NEW MEXICO }) ss COUNTY OF BERNALILLO) I, ADA DEARNLEY, Notary Public in and for the County of Bernalillo, State of New Mexico, do hereby certify that the foregoing and attached Transcript of Hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission was reported by me; and that the same is a true and correct record of the said proceedings, to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability. Witness my Hand and Seal this 22nd day of January, 1966. Ida Dearning NOTARY PUBLIC My Commission Expires: June 19, 1967. I do hareby ceptile thes the ferrante is a constate topoge of the procession the DAUSIDET SEADING OF LARS HO. + 74-5 beand or se Laure P Ter Marico Q11 Sonservation Condit


19

• .

9 A.M.

NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

EXAMINER HEARING

÷.

REGISTER

HEARING DATE_____

饠

DECEMBER 4, 1963

_____TIME:____

NAME :	REPRESENTING:	LOCATION
The Hoke	a first ro	and the second second
	Minerada.	The States .
	Places A	Marth and a second
10) De la de la deserve	Ser Syran 19	41068
Hamily & since	Sonray D.X	Midland Thy
A Mangan	Lauren 12	Kaiseeren at
Ma or the server M. PERCALE	ATLANTIC	DEAMER, Comp
ange and	h it the young release & inde	Donie in in the second
share a	U 5° ; 5 1)	$= \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{s} \\ \mathbf{s}$
6 Day 1812	PHILLIPS CTT	
	A A MARIE	Hoff - Marin

PAGE 3 NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION EXAMINER HEARING SANTA FE ____ NEW MEXICO REGISTER HEARING DATE____ DECEMBER 4, 1963 TIME: 9 A.M. NAME: REPRESENTING: LOCATION: - + + + 2 Co. A. A. S. 1. J. 6 France min Willah Mittah & Hox it to fo Sumay D-+ Mudland Ambassacher Cel H. Clarth, The E.T. Stan li Ju By Co

The

hk. UTZ: The hearing will come to order, please. first case on the docket will be Case 2945. MR. DURRETT: Application of Shell Oil Company for the creation of a Devonian Gas Fool and for special pool rules, Lea 243.669 County, New Mexico. CROWNOVER MR. MORRIS: If the Entaminer please, I'm Richard Morris Phone of Seth, Montgomery, Federici, and Andrews, Santa Fo, appearing on behalf of the Applicant, Shell Oil Company. We will have one witness, Mr. Dana Stokes. Mexico -WILKINS and (Witness sworn.) General Court Reporting Service Albuquerque, New MR. UTZ: Are there other appearances in this case? * (Whereupon, Applicant's Exhibits Nos. 1 through 6 marked for identification.) D. D. STOKES MEIER, called as a witness, having been first duly sworn on oath, was Building examined and testified as follows: **р** - 0 DEARNLEY, DIRECT EXAMINATION sm BY MR. MORRIS: Sim Q Mr. Stokes, please state your name and position. 1120 Ά D. D. Stokes, Staff Reservoir Engineer for Shell Oil Suite. 1 42 Company, Roswell, New Mexico. 12 Mr. Stokes, have you previously testified before the Q 1 Oil Conservation Commission or one of its examiners? A Yes, I have. ŧ Are you familiar with the application of Shell 13 1.25

r

<u> م</u>

Company in Case 29452

243-660

Phone

Mexico

New

auprove,

Albu

Building

Simms

Suite 1120

1

DEARNLEY, MEIER, WILKINS and CROWNOVER

14

14

18

General Court Reporting Service

A Yes, sir.

Q What is the purpose of Shell's application in this case, Mr. Stokes?

A We're making application for the establishment of a Devonian Gas Pool to be called the Antelope Ridge Devonian Gas Pool, and for special field rules for production from this pool. We're also requesting establishment of horizontal limits for the pool which are to consist of Sections 27, 28, 33, and 34 of Township 23 South, Range 34 East, and Sections 3 and 4, Township 24 South, Range 34 East.

Q What are the pertinent features, briafly, of the special rules that you intend to request, Mr. Stokes?

A Well, we intend to ask for 640-acre spacing and for well locations no nearer than 660 feet to the outer boundary of the interior quarter quarter sections. This, in effect, in a standard section would be no closer than 1980 feet to the outer boundaries of the section.

Q Do you have a plat of the area of the proposed pool? A Yes. Exhibit 1 is a plat of the area. It shows the Antelope Ridge Unit outlined in red. We have two wells completed in the unit, the 1, the Harris Federal No. 1 Devonian completion located in Section 27. In Section 4 we have the Federal BE No. 1, which is a Morrow completion. This well is drilled to the Ellenburger and subsequently completed to the Morrow. We do

H

3

18

1

Service

Reporting

Court]

General

> have a commutable zone in the Levosian but have not as yet completed the well in the Devonian. Both of these wells are shut in at the present time for lack of market.

Q Does this plat also show your structural interpretation of the Dovosian formation in this area?

243-669 Yes. The contours shown on the plat are on the top 24 Phone of the Devonian, and the data have is based on the well control furnished by the two wolls, on seismic data, and on dipaster data in Harris Federal No. 1. These data show the dip to the south, Mexico north, east, and west, with a gas-water contact at 11,450 feet New subsea defining the productive limits of the pool on the north, erque, east and south flanks, and a fault indicated by seismic data along the west edge of the unit boundary. Our water level has been proved by production and drill stow test data in the Federal BE No. 1. We recovered both gas and vatar on drill stem test from an interval that overlapped this 11,450 subsea.

No feel that our seismic fault on the west side of the structure is confirmed by differences in pressures and fluid content in wells in the Bell Lake Unit to the west. The pressure in the Bell Lake Unit at the time of our completion of Harris Federal No. 1 was approximately \$100 pounds, while the pressure in the Harris Federal No. 1 was \$360 pounds.

The Bell Lake Unit wells produced dry gas while both wells in the Antelope Ridge Unit have produced condensate of more than twenty barrels per million.



Now the Bell Lake Unit that you've referred to, does 0 that adjoin the Antelope Ridge Unit immediately on the west? Yes. It's a common boundary between the Antelope Α Ridge Unit and the Bell Lake Unit along the west boundary of the 243-6601 Artelope Ridge Unit. You feel from the information that you have that there Q Phone. is geological separation between those two areas? Yes, seismic data indicate the fault to be present and А that this is to a large extent confirmed by the difference in Mexico fluid content of the gas produced from the two reservoirs. New 0 Refer now, Mr. Stokes, to what has been marked as Exhibit No. 2, and state what it is and what it shows. Ibuquerque, A Exhibit 2 is a cross section along the crest of the Antelope Ridge structure trending from southwast to northeast. It shows our interpretation of the Devonian gas column with a dip Building indicated by seismic and dipmeter data to the northeast and southwest. Suite 1120 Simms Q This is along the line A-A' as shown on Exhibit No. 1? That is correct. This cross section also has the drill Α stem test and production data on the two wells that have penetrated the Devonian to date. The test data shown on the Federal BE No. 1 drill stem test No. 4, which overlapped the gas-water contact, recovered both gas and water, while production test which is labeled No. 11, with the top perforations just below the gas-water contact, racovered only water.



DEARNLEY, MEIER, WILKINS and CROWNOVER General Court Reporting Service

-

1堂

1

12 WILKINS and CROWNOVER 13 DEARNLEY, MEIER, 1 12 1.45 j 🖬

1.8

243-669

Phone

Mexico

New

lbuquerque,

Buildin

Simms

1120

Suite

General Court Reporting Service

This Federal BE No. 1 is presently completed on the interval noted 12 on this cross section, which is a Morrow formation. We intend to dual this well in the Devonian. However, the pressure in the Morrow is so much higher than the Devonian pressure that we feel that we can't complete it until we have depleted the Morrow zone and the pressures are more nearly equivalent.

Q Do you have a tabulation showing the well tests and reservoir data for the Devonian formation in these two wells that have been completed so far in this unit?

A Yes, sir, I have a completion test on the Harris-Federal No. 1 and drill stem test of the Devonian interval on the Federal BE No. 1. On the Harris-Federal No. 1 we had a calculated open flow potential from the Devonian of 41 million cubic feet per day after treatment with 2,000 gallons of acid. Prior to the treatment we had a drill stem test on this same interval which showed calculated open flow potential of 10 million cubic feet per day.

On the Federal BE No. 1, we have a drill stem test with a CAOF of 11 million cubic feet per day without treatment. We're confident with a small acid treatment on the completion of Federal BE No. 1, we'll have a potential at least equal to that of Harris-Federal No. 1.

You'll see that the condensate recovery was 1.5 million barrels cubic feet of gas more in the Harris-Federal than the Federal BE No. 1. Condensate and gas gravities are comparable.



We also have tabulated on this exhibit the reservoir properties, which have been determined from log analysis and bottom hole pressure measurements. From log analysis we have determined an average porosity of 5.0 per cent, water saturation of 35 per cent, average net pay of 100 feet. From bottom hole pressure data we have found an original reservoir pressure of 6375 pounds per square inch absolute, and have calculated the permeability of 4.5 millidarcies from the slope of the pressure build-up curve.

Q This information that you have been giving is reflected on what has been marked as Exhibit No. 3 in this case?

А That's correct.

Referring now to what has been marked as Exhibit No. 4. Q is that the pressure build-up curve that you just referred to?

Albuquerque, Α Yes. This is a graphical presentation of the pressure build-up data with the pressures plotted versus dimensionless shutuilding in time, which would be "t" over "delta t" plus 1, where "t" is your producing time and "delta t" is your incremental shut-in time. Each of the pressure points is plotted in a straight line portion Sin of the curve, is then extrapolated to infinite shut-in time which is equivalent to "t" over "delta t" plus 1 over 1. This gives the Suite pressure to which the reservoir would build if you were able to leave the well shut-in for an infinite length of time. The slope of the build-up curve is also related to permeability through the equation shown below the curve on Exhibit 4. From the production data during the flowing period prior to shut-in, and the slope of



DEARNLEY, MEIER, WILKINS and CROWNOVER Court Reporting Service General

) g

÷.

.

.660

243-1

Phone

Mexico

New

Bu

Sm

1120

10

Phone Mexico Service New Court Reporting General

and CROWNOVER

WILKINS

DEARNLEY, MEIER,

18

12

; S

ļ£

1.5

243-6691

Albu Building

auproverse,

Simms

Suite 1120

the build-up curve, we've calculated 331 millidarcy feet of permeability; and using the 74 feet of pay which we find in the Harris-Federal No. 1, gives an average permeability of 4.5 millidarcies.

What conclusions can you draw from the mere fact that 0 you believe you have 4.5 millidarcies of permeability in this reservoir, Mr. Stokes?

A We fuel that this permeability is adequate for gas production to drain more than 640 acres, particularly where you have a large section of pay. We feel that while this indicates the well can drain more than 640 acres, we have no production data to back this up. For that reason we are requesting temporary field rules at the present time. We feel that we can prove drainage when we have sufficient production data to base our calculations on.

Ö Refer now to what has been marked Exhibit No. 5, Mr. Stokes, which appears to be an economic analysis on 160, 320, and 640-acre spacing in this pool.

Item No. 1 on Exhibit 5 shows the cost and income data. Ά We expect an income after royalty and taxes of \$186.50 per million cubic feet of gas. This includes the condensate income. We show a well cost of \$750,000. This well cost is the minimum that we anticipate. We've drilled two wells, one of which cost around \$750,000, the other one cost \$1,200,000. I believe the experience with the Devonian in this general area has been that the average well cost runs more than a million dollars.



The next three items, 2, 3, and 4, show the economics on 160, 320, and 640-acre spacing. On the 160 it shows reserves of 5.5 billion cubic feet, a life of 20 years based on our gas sales contract which would yield an income over life of \$1,030,000. Our direct operating costs and overhead and amortization of a gas sweetening plant would amount to \$103,000 over the life. The Federal income tax is \$73,000, leaving a profit of \$104,000 or profit to investment ratio of .14 and a rate of return of approximately one percent.

On 320-acre spacing the picture is improved somewhat. We have a profit to investment ratio of .96 and a rate of return of 7 percent, neither of which are satisfactory in a risk venture of this type.

Ibuquerqi On 640-acre spacing we show a profit to investment ratio of 2.6 and a rate of return of 17 percent. These are approaching more satisfactory factors. However, 17 percent rate of return on a risk venture is still not outstanding when you consider that the average for all manufacturing industries is around 16 percent and these industries have no risk.

0 Would you care to draw some conclusions from the exhibits and the data that you've presented to this point, Mr. Stokes?

Α It's my opinion that the data presented show that the permeability in this formation is sufficient to effectively drain 640 acres, and that development on 160 or 320 is not economically feasible. I also believe that the well control, the seismic and



CROWNOVER and Court Reporting Service WILKINS General (DEARNLEY, MEIER,

1

243-669

one

Mexico

Jew

te,

B suu

Sim

1120

Suite

dipmeter data provide sufficient information for us to establish initial pool limits as proposed. I would recommend that the Commission formulate field rules for the Antelope Ridge Devonian Gas Pool along the lines of those suggested in Exhibit 6.

Q Referring to Exhibit 6 that you have just mentioned, are these the proposed field rules that Shell requests be adopted in this pool?

A Yes, these are the rules that we propose. These rules are based primarily on the rules for prorated gas pools in Southeastern New Mexico, which were set forth in Order R-1670. We have set them up on this basis so that in the event of future prorationing in this field, the rules would be fairly well in line with the standard rules. They're also quite similar to rules that have been adopted temporarily for the Lusk-Morrow Gas Pool in Order No. R-2373.

Q Would you go through these rules and hit the high point of each rule?

A Rule 1 is a standard provision that each well completed or recompleted in the Devonian formation within one mile of the boundary of this pool will be drilled, spaced, and produced in accordance with the rules hereinafter set forth.

Rule 2 provides that each well shall be located in a standard proration unit of 640 acres, and provides that 632 through 648 acres would constitute a standard unit. Rule 2 also provides for administrative approval of smaller units, providing there's



DEARNLEY, MEIER, WILKINS and CROWNOVER General Court Reporting Service

ijd

B

13

1

18

13

1世

18

243-669

Phone

no objection from offset operators. Another provision of Rule 2 is that the acreage factor assigned to any such nonstandard unit shall bear the same ratio to the standard acreage factor in the Antelope Ridge Devonian Gas Pool as the acreage in such nonstandard unit bears to 640 acres.

Rule 3 provides for the well location, provides that any well drilled or recompleted in the Antelope Ridge Devonian Gas Pool shall be located within and not closer than 660 feat to the outer boundary of the Southwest Quarter of the Northeast Quarter, the Northwest Quarter of the Southeast Quarter, Northeast Quarter of the Southwest Quarter, or Southeast Quarter of the Northwest Quarter of the section.

Does that language, Mr. Stokes, come pretty directly Q from the order in the Lusk-Morrow Pool?

Yes, it does. I believe the Lusk Morrow Pool provides Ά Building 330 feet and we've asked for 660. In a standard section that would mean that you could not drain closer than 1980 feet from the outer boundary. This section also provides that any well drilling to or which has penetrated the Devonian formation on the date of this order is hereby granted an exception.

Section (b) of Rule 3 provides for the acreage that may be assigned to any well which is not drilled within the standard location provided, or which has not been granted an exception by the provisions of Rule 3 (a). It provides that a location 660 and 660 from the section be given only a maximum of 680 acres, and a



WILKINS and CROWNOVER Service Court Reporting DEARNLEY, MEIER, General 243-669]

one

Mexico

New

Albuquerque,

Simms

Suite 1120

s sag

1.5

1

1

well drilled 660 and 1980 would be given a maximum of 320 acres. Q This follows protty near in line with the R-1670 for the prorated gas pools?

A Yes, taken directly from that. It also provides that the acreage factor assigned to such well shall bear the same ratio to the standard acreage factor as the acreage assigned bears to 640 acres.

243-669

Phone

Mexico

New

anbraup:

å

Simms

1120

iite

Se S

CROWNOVER

and Service

ILKINS

A

MEIER,

DEARNLEY.

Reporting

Ccurt

General

(A

Ì

) ×

1

1

Ï

13

13

捕

Rule 4 provides that in the event of subsequent prorationing of the Antelope Ridge Devonian Gas Pool, the allowable assigned to a nonmarginal well shall be in the same ratio that its acreage factor bears to the total of the acreage factors for all nonmarginal wells in the pool.

Rule 5 provides that the vertical limits of the Antelope Ridge Devonian Gas Pool shall be the Devonian formation.

Q You stated earlier in your testimony that you were only seeking temporary rules at this time because you had no production data from the two wells in this pool. What would be your suggestion as to the duration of these temporary rules?

A We request that temporary rules be granted for a period of two years. Our reason for that, which not at the present time selling gas, and we expect to start selling around the first of the year. However, the take at that time will be very small. According to the terms of our contract, we should go on full production at 1-1-65, so the two-year term on these temporary rules would give us one year of full production under our gas contract.



MEIER, WILKINS and CROWNOVER General Court Reporting Service		Γ	Q Would you care to make any final conclusions or give
			any final opinions concerning Shell's application in this case?
			A Well, we feel that the request for temporary field
			rules is justified, that the permeability indicated by our pres-
		1695	sure build-up test shows that a well should drain 640 acres. We
		243-6691	feel that production tests will confirm this. We think that the
		Phone 2	wider spacing is necessary in this case because of the high risk
		h_{H}	and costs associated with deep drilling in the Delaware Basin. On
		we, New Mexico	our Harris-Federal No. 1, we had two blowouts and two fishing jobs
	ice		I believe several of the wells in the general vicibity have lost
	ı Serv		rigs and costs have been excessive.
	orting		Q Do you have anything further to add to your testimony?
	t Rep	luerq	A No, that's all.
	Сош	Albuquerque,	Q Were Exhibits 1 through 6 prepared by you or under your
	neral	7	direction?
	Q	uilding	A Yes, sir.
1.		Build	MR. MOTRIS: We offer Shell's Exhibits 1 through 6, and
DEARNLEY		Simms]	that completes the direct examination of Mr. Stokes.
AR			MR. UTZ: Without objection the Exhibits 1 through 6
DE		Suite 1120	will be entered into the record of this case.
ſ			(Whereupon, Applicant's Exhibits Nos. 1 through 6 received in evidence.)
			CROSS EXAMINATION
			BY MR. UTZ:
			0 Mr. Stokes, what is the pay of the Devonian in each of

1

the second s



PAGE 16 your wells? Α In our Marris Federal No. 1, we have 74 feet of net pay, In the Federal BE No. 1, 173 feet. Our seismic isopac over the structure when plenimetered yields an average pay thickness of 243-6691 100 feet. WILKINS and CROWNOVER You also have a Morrow pay in this area? Q Phone. Yes. A Are there any other pays that you know of at this time? Q There's another pay within the Morrow in the Federal A Mexico BE No. 1 which has not been perforated. We have drill stem test Service New data on this pay. It would be within the Morrow section, though, Court Reporting and I think if we were to apply for field designation on this we Ibuquerque, would include it in the total Morrow interval. Your economics that you have on your Exhibit No. 5 Q MEIER, General include only Devonian economics and do not consider any other pays Building in the area? DEARNLEY. А Yes, that is correct. Simms Q This would be an economic consideration for one well bore, would it not? Suite 1120 Α Yes, sir, that's correct. Q So actually your Exhibit No. 5 shows the picture somewhat worse than it actually is, considering other pays? А Well, in our Harris-Federal No. 1, we had no pays that we considered commercial except the Devonian. We cannot dual that well. In the Federal BE No. 1, the pressure in the Morrow is

F

E.

13

1

13

12

13

1

1.1 1.1



nearly 9,000 pounds and the pressure in the Devonian is only 6400 pounds, and with this higher pressure on top we can't possibly dual this well at the present time. Whether or not we'll be able to subsequently we can't say, but we certainly can't take into 243-669. account an economic value for it until we are able to complete it There's no question in your mind but what you will 0 Phone complete wells in the Morrow and produce them? A Yes, but they may not be in the same well bore as a Devonian well. New Mexico I see. What is the location of your BE No. 1? Q Α It's 660 from the North line and 1650 from the East line of the section. Albuquerque, Do you or your company anticipate 640-acre spacing in Q this unit when you drill these wells? Α At the depth that we expect to find production here, we would also consider a request for 640-acre spacing. Buildin Q Then I'm wondering why you didn't drill a little further SIM inside the section lines. Simi A Well, we drilled Harris-Federal No. 1 as the discovery 1120 well in this field. We drilled it at what we thought to be the Suite best structural position. Following the completion of that well, we ran other seismic lines which indicated some shift of the structure to the south. Federal BE No. 1 was drilled on this same basis, to further prove the direction of the axis of this structure. We felt that during the proving and confirmation phase, you might



DEARNLEY, MEIER, WILKINS and CROWNOVER General Court Reporting Service

.ł +

.

٠.

主想

; (***5**

ina 1

E.E

1 1			
i si		_	PAGE 18
([say, of development of this field, that we should drill our
* ***			wells at the optimum structural position. I think we found the
કે એ			optimum in these two wells.
• •			Q And you are asking for six sections in this pool, are
		1690	you not?
1	TER	243-6691	A Yea, sir.
ų,	101	Phone 2	Q Does that coincide with the boundaries of the Antelope
.a	NA	$p_{h_{i}}^{h_{i}}$	Unit?
1.5	CROWNOV	0	A Yes, sir, they are the same.
ι. Γ		Mexico	Q You would have six standard units, and we already have
1-2 1-5	S and Service	New 1	two wells and two nonstandard locations?
11	WILKINS Court Reporting		A Yes, sir.
. 1	ILK t Rep	lbuquerque,	Q In your opinion, do you think all six sections are
°₽5		Albug	proved to be productive of gas in the Devonian reservoir?
•	EIER General	*	A Yes, sir.
Ì.,	ME Ge	ling	Q Your Exhibit No. 1 would indicate that Section 28 and
: € 1 :		Building	part of Section 33 would be outside the fault line.
	DEARNLEY,	Simms	A Yes, a small part of Section 23 and an even smaller
	ARI		part of Section 33. However, if we were or when we drill in
10	DE.	1120	either of these sections, our well locations will be such that I
1	-	Suite	believe the productive area of the section will be proved.
14 12 12		-	Any subsequent wells we drill will be covered by these field rules
) 17			and in order to obtain 640-acre allocation, we would have to drill
			them within the interior quarter-quarter section.
1 2			Q In other words, if you drill Section 29, you'll drill
5			

1			
\$ \$		г	PAGE 18 say, of development of this field, that we should drill our
14			
			wells at the optimum structural position. I think we found the
			optimum in these two wells.
1.2			Q And you are asking for six sections in this pool, are
		2691	you not?
i k K	ER	243-6691	A Yea, sir.
, fr	CROWNOVER	Phone 2	Q Does that coincide with the boundaries of the Antelope
3	NA	Pha	Unit?
÷ .	RO	0	A Yes, sir, they are the same.
		Mexico	Q You would have six standard units, and we already have
\$14 1	and Service	New N	two wells and two nonstandard locations?
11	INS Inting		A Yes, sir.
4 .	LK. Repc	nerqı	Q In your opinion, do you think all six sections are
	WII Court A	Albuquerque,	proved to be productive of gas in the Devonian reservoir?
, ,	EIER, WILKINS General Court Reporting	P	A Yes, sir.
4		gui	Q Your Exhibit No. 1 would indicate that Section 28 and
1. ş	DEARNLEY, M	Building	part of Section 33 would be outside the fault line.
	VLE	Simms]	A Yes, a small part of Section 28 and an even smaller
-1 : •	IRN		part of Section 33. However, if we were or when we drill in
1.5 1.5	DE	1120	either of these sections, our well locations will be such that I
1. 1. 1.		Suile	believe the productive area of the section will be proved.
南		ν.	Any subsequent wells we drill will be covered by these field rules
1			and in order to obtain 640-acre allocation, we would have to drill
			them within the interior quarter-quarter section.
19			Q In other words, if you drill Section 29, you'll drill
P.			

i w

1980 from the South and East lines, will you not? Yes. Α Actually your water-oil contact as you have it now, 0 or water-gas contact, cuts right through the middle of Section 28, 243-660 does it not? А Yes. Phone : You might have a productive well but in your opinion Q would you have 640 acras productive in your Section 28? Not on the basis of the information we have available A Mexico at present, no, sir. New In the event of prorationing then you'd be, if you 0 assign 640 acres to the well, you'd be receiving an allowable in iquerque, excess of the reserves under the tract, would you not? Albu If we can be that confident of our exact location of А all these things, yes. I think that's the purpose of requiring Building that the well be drilled close to the center of the section is to prove the maximum amount of acreage in the section. Simms Would you say that your anticipated location of the 0 gas-water contact now is pessimistic or optimistic? Suite 1120 A I think it's as realistic as we can make it, and I don't believe it's shaded in either direction. The location on this north flank is confirmed by seismic and dipmeter run in the Federal No. 1. Both of these indicated the same amount of dip to the north and east. What is the advantage of requesting six full sections \mathbf{O}

and CROWNOVER

General Court Reporting Service

WILKINS

DEARNLEY, MEIER,

6.3



for the pool at the present time on the basis of two wells? Well, this would make the pool limits conform with our Α request to the U.S.G.S. for participating in the area in these six sections. I think that the data we have available show that Phone 243-669. these sections are productive. You expect to include all six sections in the partici-D pating area then? Yes, sir. A On your Exhibit No. 5 in Rule 4, you have presented no Ö Mexico reserve data here except in the form of economics on your Exhibit New No. 5 on which to base an equitable proration formula, have you?

WILKINS and CROWNOVER

DEARNLEY, MEIER,

Service

Court Reporting

General

Building

Simms

Suite 1120

A No, sir, other than the fact that under Order 1670, I believe it is, the standard for prorated fields in Southeastern New Mexico is straight acreage. This would fall right in line with straight acreage allocation.

Q You are aware of the Jalmat decision, are you not? A The latest one that I was aware of put it back on straight acreage.

Q Would you have any objection to the deletion of Rule
4 until such time as we had reserve data on which to base a formula?

A Well, of course, from our interpretation it would be much to our advantage for allocation of production to be based on reserves, since the thickest part of the pool lies within our acreage.



MR. MORRIS: If I might interrupt, Mr. Examiner, we're not suggesting at the present time that the pool be prorated, and I believe Mr. Stokes' comments are possibly a little premature in that these are matters that would not be considered until such time as the pool was to be prorated.

MR. UTZ: Well, but you are suggesting a proration formula.

MR. MORRIS: I think he is indicating his preliminary thinking in this regard.

Q (By Mr. Utz) Do you believe that this is a water drive A There's a good chance that it's a potential water drive However, the wells in the Bell Lake Unit adjacent have shown pressure drop with the limited amount of production they have, so that the water movement in the Devonian here certainly is as extensive as it is in the pools to the north. We would expect some water movement; however, certainly not 100 percent effective water drive.

Q Do you then believe that the rate of production per well would be important in producing this pool?

A If the well were completed with perforations close to the gas-water contact, I believe that rate could have an effect; otherwise, I don't believe so.

> MR. UTZ: Are there other questions of the witness? MR. DURRETT: Yes, sir, I have a question or two. MR. UTZ: Mr. Durrett.



DEARNLEY, MEIER, WILKINS and CROWNOVER General Court Reporting Service Phone 243-660

Mexico

New

Albuquerque,

Building

Simms

1120

Suite

MR. DURHETT: The first question I would like to ask Mr. Morris. Your client would not necessarily have any objection to the Constantan's not adopting this proposed Rule 4 if it should feel that it would be more proper to take up this matter at a later date of prorating the pool, if it's provated?

243-660 MR. MORRIS: I wouldn't think so. However, I would Phone have to check with my client to be sure.

MR. DURRETT: Would you check with them and ask them if they feel that they have to adopt the Rule 4, that that wouldn't Mexico mean if we don't feel so that we should deny the application or New that we should consider Rule 4, if we feel it should be undertaken at this time.

erque, MR. MORRIS: I might say that the Commission is cer-Albu tainly within its rights in adopting whatever pool rules it deems appropriate in this pool. Shell has made its suggestions, but I'm sure that they wouldn't stand upon any particular provision ildin Bui of it. In that regard, if the Commission felt that Rule 4 should Simms be deleted, we would suggest that it be deleted.

MR. DURRETT: Thank you.

1120 BY MR. DURRETT: Suite

Now, Mr. Stokes, will you give me the permeability Q. again that you testified to on direct examination that you feel is in this area?

4.5 millidarcies. Α

And I believe you stated that that in your opini



CROWNOVER and (Service WILKINS Reporting Court DEARNLEY, MEIER, General

would be sufficient to establish that you could drain 640 acres with one wall?

A Yes, sir, particularly where the thickness of the pay is sufficient to maintain a commercial rate with that permeability the two go together.

Q What would you feel would be the minimum thickness of pay and permeability that could drain 640 acres, as an engineer A I don't believe I could give you that figure directly. I would have to make some calculations and I don't have the data available to make them. I would say that when you fall below a tenth of a millidarcy, a rock, we discard any rock below that as being pay. The depth would enter into it, the amount of pressure available and so on.

Q Let me ask this question. I'm not looking for a real specific answer, I'm looking for a more general answer. From your professional standpoint, do you feel that 4.5 millidarcies is approaching the minimum, or do you feel that that fairly well establishes that you can drain 640, or do you think it definitely establishes it from what you know at this time?

A Well, I have seen reservoirs with equivalent pay and equivalent porosity and permeability which have drained more than 640 acres, so I would say it's within the range of satisfactory drainage.

Q Have you seen reservoirs with equivalent permeability and thickness of pay that would not drain 640 acres?



DEARNLEY, MEIER, WILKINS and CROWNOVER General Court Reporting Service 243-660,

Phone

Mexico

New

querque,

Albu

Building

Simms

1120

Suite

٦

ſ	 No, sir. Not in your experience as of this date?
	Exhibit No. 5. Which in your the
-	that he bit in the Federal Income com
7ER 243.6601	I'm interestou a luna have in your various explanations of the spacing.
VEN	
ZNC .	A Yes. Q In computing this or these tax figures, did you com-
SO W	Q In computing this of sider your deduction for amortization of this gasoline plant?
WILKINS and CROWNOVER	Was the normal depletion allowance computed and figured
EIER, WILKINS and Converted Count Reporting Service	a on that? A Bither depletion or fifty percent of net, whichever
WII Court H	on that: A Bither depletion or fifty percent of net. Whichever fit the case for the particular year. O Depletion or fifty percent of the net?
MEIER, Guneral C	O Depletion of 111-1 Fri
M	a les, sir. In I understand correctly that heither of these wells a no I understand correctly that heither of these wells
DEARNLEY,	
RNJ	E is connected at the me E is connected at our sounds to the proposition?
VHO	C Then would jut in when you would like two-year rule
	B I believe you stated of ciredu unit for U
	because you reit it
	No. Shi we expect to use our course of the
	of this compare very however, our contract that i very con

-

rate during this first year. We won't go on the full production rate until 1-1-65, so that I believe we'll be producing around five million a day during 1964 and then our rate of production increases at 1-1-65. We feel that we need more sustained produc-243-669 tion than five million a day would give us in order to prove WILKINS and CROWNOVER drainage of more than 640 acres. Phone I missed you a little bit on the first. When do you Q say that you are going to get your connection? We expect by the first of 1964. A New Mexico First of this coming year? Q Court Reporting Service Yes. sir. Ά Albuquerque, And you don't think that the one-year period of time 0 from that date would be adequate? No, sir, because of the reduced rate during that period Â DEARNLEY, MEIER, General Would it be possible to come up with any reservoir Ö Building information within a one-year period of time? No, sir. We have one other problem here. The Devonian Simms gas is sour and requires treating before it can be sold. We don't have our treating plant in operation yet. So during the 1120 first portion of our gas sales out there, we'll be selling only Suite from the Morrow and the information obtained from the Morrow certainly would be of no help. Well, we are arriving at this situation that if the Q Commission cannot issue a two-year order that it should not issue 640. In other words, if you feel it is of no avail to issue

ŧ



a one-year order and the Commission would not issue a two-year order, it would seem to me that the alternative would be to issue no order.

If we are not given an order, I don't think we could A prove, when the rehearing is held, that we could drain 640 acres. We would have to ask for a year's extension in order to gain enough production history to provide the production data.

MR. DURRETT: That's all I have.

BY MR. UTZ:

А

600

243-1

Phone

Mexico

New

Building

Simms

Suite 1120

General Court Reporting Service

Q The initial production out of this unit will be from the Morrow?

Α Yes.

Albustuerque, And you won't produce the Devonian until such time as Q you get a treating plant installed?

ïéä.

When do you anticipate that to be? Q

A They're working on it now; I would say probably the plant should be completed by March or April.

Q Even after the treating plant is in, you don't feel a year's production from the Devonian would be enough to show anything?

A No, sir, if we allocate the production evenly between these two wells, that would be two and a half million a day for three-fourths of a year. I believe from a reservoir this large we would require more production than that in order to drop the



pressure a sufficient amount to show drainage.

- Q Who is your contract with?
- A Southern Union.
- Q Southern Union Gas?
- A Yes, sir.

Phone 243-6691

Mexico

New

Albuquerque,

Building

Simms

Suite 1120

DEARNLEY, MEIER, WILKINS and CROWNOVER

General Court Reporting Service

MR. UTE: Are there other questions?

ER. MORRIS: Might I make one comment, Hr. Examiner? We have referred here today to the order that was entered in the Lusk-Morrow Gas Pool. That order is an eighteen-month temporary order. If the Commission should not see fit to grant a two-year temporary order in this case but if it should see fit to grant an eighteen-month order, I'm sure that Shell would take a close look at all of the production history that it would have at that point and attempt to make the best showing possible.

It might be, as Mr. Stokes has pointed out, that we would just have to say that we needed a little more time in order to have something definite, but at least at the end of the eighteenmonth period we would. I am sure, be more than willing to evaluate the situation at that point to see what production history we've had and what it might show.

MR. UTZ: Any other questions of the witness? The witness may be excused.

(Witness excused.)

MR. UTZ: Are there statements in this case? The case will be taken under advisement.



STATE OF NEW MEXICO 86) COUNTY OF BERNALILLO) I, ADA DEARNLEY, Notary Public in and for the County of Bernalillo, State of New Mexico, do hereby certify that the foregoing and attached Transcript of Hearing before the New Mexico Phone 243-6691 Oil Conservation Commission was reported by me, and that the DEARNLEY, MEIER, WILKINS and CROWNOVER same is a true and correct record of the said proceedings to the best of my knowledge, skill, and ability. WITNESS my Hand and Seal this lith day of December, 1963. Albuquerque, New Mexico General Court Reporting Service 11 NOTARY PUBLIC My Commission Expires: June 19, 1967. Suite 1120 Simms Building I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No. 2945 hard by me on .<u>3 کا 19 م</u> u <u>a</u> ((Exaciner New Mcxico 011 Conservation 13310