CASE 2972: Application of PAN AM. for force-pooling in the Basin Dakota Pool - San Juan County.

٨

ł

APP/ication, Transcripts, SMAll Exhibits ETC.

# BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

> CASE No. 2972 Order No. R-2643

APPLICATION OF PAN AMERICAN PETROLEUM CORPORATION FOR FORCE-POOLING, SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

#### ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

#### BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 o'clock a.m. on January 22, 1964, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner Elvis A. Utz.

NOW, on this 29th day of January, 1964, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the testimony, the record, and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That the applicant, Pan American Petroleum Corporation, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests in the Basin-Dakota Gas Pool underlying the W/2 of Section 22, Township 29 North, Range 13 West, NMPM, San Juan County, New Mexico.

(3) That the applicant has the right to drill and proposes to drill a well 940 feet from the South line and 790 feet from the West line of said Section 22 to be projected to the Dakota formation.

(4) That there are interest owners in the proposed proration unit who have not agreed to pool their interests.

(5) That to avoid the drilling of unnecessary wells, to protect correlative rights. and to afford to the owner of each interest in said proration unit the opportunity to recover or receive without unnecessary expense his just and fair share of the gas in the Basin-Dakota Gas Pool, the subject application should be approved by pooling all mineral interests, whatever they may be, within said unit. -2-CASE No. 2972 Order No. R-2643

(6) That the applicant should be designated the operator of the subject well and unit.

(7) That any non-consenting working interest owner should be afforded the opportunity to pay his share of estimated well costs to the operator in lieu of paying his share of reasonable well costs out of production.

(8) That any non-consenting working interest owner that does not pay his share of estimated well costs should have withheld from production his share of the reasonable well costs plus an additional 25% thereof as a reasonable charge for the risk involved in the drilling of the well.

(9) That any non-consenting interest owner should be afforded the opportunity to object to the actual well costs but that said actual well costs should be adopted as the reasonable well costs in the absence of such objection.

(10) That following determination of reasonable well costs, any non-consenting working interest owner that has paid his share of estimated costs should pay to the operator any amount that reasonable well costs exceed estimated well costs and should receive from the operator any amount that paid estimated well costs exceed reasonable well costs.

(11) That \$75.00 per month should be fixed as the reasonable cost of operating the subject well and each non-consenting working interest owner should be assessed with his share of such cost, to be paid out of production.

(12) That all proceeds from production from the subject well which are not disbursed for any reason should be placed in escrow to be paid to the true owner thereof upon demand and proof of ownership.

#### IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(That all mineral interests, whatever they may be, in the Basin-Dakota Gas Pool underlying the W/2 of Section 22, Township 29 North, Range 13 West, NMPM, San Juan County, New Mexico, are hereby pooled to form a 320-acre gas proration unit to be dedicated to a well to be drilled 940 feet from the South line and 790 feet from the West line of said Section 22.

(2) That Pan American Petroleum Corporation is hereby designated the operator of the subject well and unit.

(3) That the operator shall furnish the Commission and each known working interest owner in the subject unit an itemized

--3-CASE No. 2972 Order No. R-2643

schedule of estimated well costs within 30 days following the date of this order.

(4) That within 30 days from the date the schedule of estimated well costs is furnished to him, any non-consenting working interest owner shall have the right to pay his share of estimated well costs to the operator in lieu of paying his share of reasonable well costs cut of production, and that any such owner who pays his share of estimated well costs as provided above shall remain liable for operating costs but shall not be liable for risk charges.

(5) That the operator is hereby authorized to withhold the following costs and charges from production:

- (A) The pro rata share of reasonable well costs attributable to each non-consenting working interest owner who has not paid his share of estimated well costs.
- (B) As a charge for the risk involved in the drilling of the well, 25% of the pro rata share of reasonable well costs attributable to each nonconsenting working interest owner who has not paid his share of estimated well costs.

(6) That the operator shall distribute said costs and charges withheld from production to the parties who advanced the well costs.

(7) That the operator shall furnish the Commission and each known working interest owner in the subject unit an itemized schedule of actual well costs within 30 days following completion of the well; that if no objection to the actual well costs is received by the Commission and the Commission has not objected within 60 days following completion of the well, the actual well costs shall be the reasonable well costs; provided however, that if there is an objection to actual well costs within said 60-day period, the Commission will determine reasonable well costs after public notice and hearing.

(8) That within 30 days following determination of reasonable well costs any non-consenting working interest owner that has paid his share of estimated costs in advance as provided above shall pay to the operator his pro rata share of the amount that reasonable well costs exceed estimated well costs and shall receive from the operator his pro rata share of the amount that estimated well costs exceed reasonable well costs.

(9) That \$75.00 per month is hereby fixed as the reasonable cost of operating the subject well, and the operator is hereby

-4-CASE No. 2972 Order No. R-2643

authorized to withhold from production the proportionate share of such cost attributable to each non-consenting working interest.

(10) That any unsevered mineral interest shall be considered a seven-eighths (7/8) working interest and a one-eighth (1/8) royalty interest for the purpose of allocating costs and charges under the terms of this order.

(11) That any well costs or charges which are to be paid out of production shall be withheld only from the working interests' share of production, and no costs or charges shall be withheld from production attributable to royalty interests.

(12) That all proceeds from production from the subject well which are not disbursed for any reason shall be placed in escrow in San Juan County, New Mexico, to be paid to the true owner thereof upon demand and proof of ownership; that the operator shall notify the Commission of the name and address of said escrow agent within 90 days from the date of this order.

(13) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Commission may deem necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

> STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

JACK M. CAMPBELL, Chairman

E. S. WALKER, Member

A. L. PORTER, Jr., Member & Secretary

# SEAL

esr/

# BEFORE THE OIL COMSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

> CASE No. 2972 Order No. R-2643

APPLICATION OF PAN AMERICAN PETROLEUM CORPORATION FOR FORCE-POOLING, SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

#### ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

#### BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 o'clock a.m. on January 22, 1964, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner Elvis A. Utz.

NOW, on this 29th day of January, 1964, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the testimony, the record, and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,

#### FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That the applicant, Pan American Petroleum Corporation, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests in the Basin-Dakota Gas Pool underlying the W/2 of Section 22, Township 29 North, Range 13 West, NMPM, San Juan County, New Mexico.

(3) That the applicant has the right to drill and proposes to drill a well 940 feet from the South line and 790 feet from the West line of said Section 22 to be projected to the Dakota formation.

(4) That there are interest owners in the proposed proration unit who have not agreed to pool their interests.

(5) That to avoid the drilling of unnecessary wells, to protect correlative rights, and to afford to the owner of each interest in said proration unit the opportunity to recover or receive without unnecessary expense his just and fair share of the gas in the Basin-Dakota Gas Pool, the subject application should be approved by pooling all mineral interests, whatever they may be, within said unit. -2-CASE No. 2972 Order No. R-2643

(6) That the applicant should be designated the operator of the subject well and unit.

(7) That any non-consenting working interest owner should be afforded the opportunity to pay his share of estimated well costs to the operator in lieu of paying his share of reasonable well costs out of production.

(8) That any non-consenting working interest owner that does not pay his share of estimated well costs should have withheld from production his share of the reasonable well costs plus an additional 25% thereof as a reasonable charge for the risk involved in the drilling of the well.

(9) That any non-consenting interest owner should be afforded the opportunity to object to the actual well costs but that said actual well costs should be adopted as the reasonable well costs in the absence of such objection.

(10) That following determination of reasonable well costs, any non-consenting working interest owner that has paid his share of estimated costs should pay to the operator any amount that reasonable well costs exceed estimated well costs and should receive from the operator any amount that paid estimated well costs exceed reasonable well costs.

(11) That \$75.00 per month should be fixed as the reasonable cost of operating the subject well and each non-consenting working interest owner should be assessed with his share of such cost, to be paid out of production.

(12) That all proceeds from production from the subject well which are not disbursed for any reason should be placed in escrow to be paid to the true owner thereof upon demand and proof of ownership.

# IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That all mineral interests, whatever they may be, in the Basin-Dakota Gas Pool underlying the W/2 of Section 22, Township 29 North, Range 13 West, NMPM, San Juan County, New Mexico, are hereby pooled to form a 320-acre gas proration unit to be dedicated to a well to be drilled 940 feet from the South line and 790 feet from the West line of said Section 22.

(2) That Pan American Petroleum Corporation is hereby designated the operator of the subject well and unit.

(3) That the operator shall furnish the Commission and each known working interest owner in the subject unit an itemized

-3-CASE No. 2972 Order No. R-2643

schedule of estimated well costs within 30 days following the date of this order.

(4) That within 30 days from the date the schedule of estimated well costs is furnished to him, any non-consenting working interest owner shall have the right to pay his share of estimated well costs to the operator in lieu of paying his share of reasonable well costs out of production, and that any such owner who pays his share of estimated well costs as provided above shall remain liable for operating costs but shall not be liable for risk charges.

(5) That the operator is hereby authorized to withhold the following costs and charges from production:

- (A) The pro rata share of reasonable well costs attributable to each non-consenting working interest owner who has not paid his share of estimated well costs.
- (B) As a charge for the risk involved in the drilling of the well, 25% of the pro rata share of reasonable well costs attributable to each nonconsenting working interest owner who has not paid his share of estimated well costs.

(6) That the operator shall distribute said costs and charges withheld from production to the parties who advanced the well costs.

(7) That the operator shall furnish the Commission and each known working interest owner in the subject unit an itemized schedule of actual well costs within 30 days following completion of the well; that if no objection to the actual well costs is received by the Commission and the Commission has not objected within 60 days following completion of the well, the actual well costs shall be the reasonable well costs; provided however, that if there is an objection to actual well costs within said 60-day period, the Commission will determine reasonable well costs after public notice and hearing.

(8) That within 30 days following determination of reasonable well costs any non-consenting working interest owner that has paid his share of estimated costs in advance as provided above shall pay to the operator his pro rata share of the amount that reasonable well costs exceed estimated well costs and shall receive from the operator his pro rata share of the amount that estimated well costs exceed reasonable well costs.

(9) That \$75.00 per month is hereby fixed as the reasonable cost of operating the subject well, and the operator is hereby

-4-CASE No. 2972 Order No. R-2643

authorized to withhold from production the proportionate share of such cost attributable to each non-consenting working interest.

(10) That any unsevered mineral interest shall be considered a seven-eighths (7/8) working interest and a one-eighth (1/8) royalty interest for the purpose of allocating costs and charges under the terms of this order.

(11) That any well costs or charges which are to be paid out of production shall be withheld only from the working interests' share of production, and no costs or charges shall be withheld from production attributable to royalty interests.

(12) That all proceeds from production from the subject well which are not disbursed for any reason shall be placed in escrow in San Juan County, New Mexico, to be paid to the true owner thereof upon demand and proof of ownership; that the operator shall notify the Commission of the name and address of said escrow agent within 90 days from the date of this order.

(13) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Commission may deem necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

> STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

JACK M. CAMPBELL, Chairman

E. S. WALKER, Member

A. L. PORTER, Jr., Member & Secretary

SEAL

esr/

# BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

> CASE No. 2972 Order No. R-2643

APPLICATION OF PAN AMERICAN PETROLEUM CORPORATION FOR FORCE-POOLING, SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

#### ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

#### BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 o'clock a.m. on January 22, 1964, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner Elvis A. Utz.

NOW, on this 29th day of January, 1964, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the testimony, the record, and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,

#### FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That the applicant, Pan American Petroleum Corporation, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests in the Basin-Dakota Gas Pool underlying the W/2 of Section 22, Township 29 North, Range 13 West, NMPM, San Juan County, New Mexico.

(3) That the applicant has the right to drill and proposes to drill a well 940 feet from the South line and 790 feet from the West line of said Section 22 to be projected to the Dakota formation.

(4) That there are interest owners in the proposed proration unit who have not agreed to pool their interests.

(5) That to avoid the drilling of unnecessary wells, to protect correlative rights, and to afford to the owner of each interest in said proration unit the opportunity to recover or receive without unnecessary expense his just and fair share of the gas in the Basin-Dakota Gas Pool, the subject application should be approved by pooling all mineral interests, whatever they may be, within said unit. -2-CASE No. 2972 Order No. R-2643

(6) That the applicant should be designated the operator of the subject well and unit.

(7) That any non-consenting working interest owner should be afforded the opportunity to pay his share of estimated well costs to the operator in lieu of paying his share of reasonable well costs out of production.

(8) That any non-consenting working interest owner that does not pay his share of estimated well costs should have withheld from production his share of the reasonable well costs plus an additional 25% thereof as a reasonable charge for the risk involved in the drilling of the well.

(9) That any non-consenting interest owner should be afforded the opportunity to object to the actual well costs but that said actual well costs should be adopted as the reasonable well costs in the absence of such objection.

(10) That following determination of reasonable well costs, any non-consenting working interest owner that has paid his share of estimated costs should pay to the operator any amount that reasonable well costs exceed estimated well costs and should receive from the operator any amount that paid estimated well costs exceed reasonable well costs.

(11) That \$75.00 per month should be fixed as the reasonable cost of operating the subject well and each non-consenting working interest owner should be assessed with his share of such cost, to be paid out of production.

(12) That all proceeds from production from the subject well which are not disbursed for any reason should be placed in escrow to be paid to the true owner thereof upon demand and proof of ownership.

## IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That all mineral interests, whatever they may be, in the Basin-Dakota Gas Pool underlying the W/2 of Section 22, Township 29 North, Range 13 West, NMPM, San Juan County, New Mexico, are hereby pooled to form a 320-acre gas proration unit to be dedicated to a well to be drilled 940 feet from the South line and 790 feet from the West line of said Section 22.

(2) That Pan American Petroleum Corporation is hereby designated the operator of the subject well and unit.

(3) That the operator shall furnish the Commission and each known working interest owner in the subject unit an itemized

-3-CASE No. 2972 Order No. R-2643

schedule of estimated well costs within 30 days following the date of this order.

(4) That within 30 days from the date the schedule of estimated well costs is furnished to him, any non-consenting working interest owner shall have the right to pay his share of estimated well costs to the operator in lieu of paying his share of reasonable well costs out of production, and that any such owner who pays his share of estimated well costs as provided above shall remain liable for operating costs but shall not be liable for risk charges.

(5) That the operator is hereby authorized to withhold the following costs and charges from production:

- (A) The pro rata share of reasonable well costs attributable to each non-consenting working interest owner who has not paid his share of estimated well costs.
- (B) As a charge for the risk involved in the drilling of the well, 25% of the pro rata share of reasonable well costs attributable to each nonconsenting working interest owner who has not paid his share of estimated well costs.

(6) That the operator shall distribute said costs and charges withheld from production to the parties who advanced the well costs.

(7) That the operator shall furnish the Commission and each known working interest owner in the subject unit an itemized schedule of actual well costs within 30 days following completion of the well; that if no objection to the actual well costs is received by the Commission and the Commission has not objected within 60 days following completion of the well, the actual well costs shall be the reasonable well costs; provided however, that if there is an objection to actual well costs within said 60-day period, the Commission will determine reasonable well costs after public notice and hearing.

(8) That within 30 days following determination of reasonable well costs any non-consenting working interest owner that has paid his share of estimated costs in advance as provided above shall pay to the operator his pro rata share of the amount that reasonable well costs exceed estimated well costs and shall receive from the operator his pro rata share of the amount that estimated well costs exceed reasonable well costs.

(9) That \$75.00 per month is hereby fixed as the reasonable cost of operating the subject well, and the operator is hereby

authorized to withhold from production the proportionate share of such cost attributable to each non-consenting working interest. (10) That any unsevered mineral interest shall be considered (10) That any unsevered mineral interest shall be considered a seven-eighths (7/8) working interest and a one-eighth (1/8) roy-alty interest for the minness of allocating costs and changes under a seven-eignths (//8) working interest and a one-eighth (1/8) roy-alty interest for the purpose of allocating costs and charges under (11) That any well costs or charges which are to be paid out the terms of this order. (11) That any Well COSTS or charges which are to be paid of of production shall be withheld only from the working interests or production shall be withneld only from the working interests. share of production, and no costs or charges shall be withheld from production attributable to royalty interests. (12) That all proceeds from production from the subject well (12) That all proceeds from production from the subject wer which are not disbursed for any reason shall be placed in escrow in San Juan County, New Mexico, to be paid to the true owner thereof upon demand and proof of ownership; that the operator shall notify the Commission of the name and address of said shall notify the Commission of the name and address of said escrow agent within 90 days from the date of this order. (13) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the (12) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Commission may deem necessary. DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year herein-

above designated.

CASE No. 2972

Order No. R-2643

-4-

STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

JACK M. CAMPBELL, Chairman

E. S. WALKER, Member

A. L. PORTER, Jr., Member & Secretary

SEAL

esr/

# BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

> CASE No. 2972 Order No. R-2643

APPLICATION OF PAN AMERICAN PETROLEUM CORPORATION FOR FORCE-POOLING, SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

#### ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

#### BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 o'clock a.m. on January 22, 1964, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner Elvis A. Utz.

NOW, on this 29th day of January, 1964, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the testimony, the record, and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That the applicant, Pan American Petroleum Corporation, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests in the Basin-Dakota Gas Pool underlying the W/2 of Section 22, Township 29 North, Range 13 West, NMPM, San Juan County, New Mexico.

(3) That the applicant has the right to drill and proposes to drill a well 940 feet from the South line and 790 feet from the West line of said Section 22 to be projected to the Dakota formation.

(4) That there are interest owners in the proposed proration unit who have not agreed to pool their interests.

(5) That to avoid the drilling of unnecessary wells, to protect correlative rights, and to afford to the owner of each interest in said proration unit the opportunity to recover or receive without unnecessary expense his just and fair share of the gas in the Basin-Dakota Gas Pool, the subject application should be approved by pooling all mineral interests, whatever they may be, within said unit. -2-CASE No. 2972 Order No. R-2643

(6) That the applicant should be designated the operator of the subject well and unit.

(7) That any non-consenting working interest owner should be afforded the opportunity to pay his share of estimated well costs to the operator in lieu of paying his share of reasonable well costs out of production.

(8) That any non-consenting working interest owner that does not pay his share of estimated well costs should have withheld from production his share of the reasonable well costs plus an additional 25% thereof as a reasonable charge for the risk involved in the drilling of the well.

(9) That any non-consenting interest owner should be afforded the opportunity to object to the actual well costs but that said actual well costs should be adopted as the reasonable well costs in the absence of such objection.

(10) That following determination of reasonable well costs, any non-consenting working interest owner that has paid his share of estimated costs should pay to the operator any amount that reasonable well costs exceed estimated well costs and should receive from the operator any amount that paid estimated well costs exceed reasonable well costs.

(11) That \$75.00 per month should be fixed as the reasonable cost of operating the subject well and each non-consenting working interest owner should be assessed with his share of such cost, to be paid out of production.

(12) That all proceeds from production from the subject well which are not disbursed for any reason should be placed in escrow to be paid to the true owner thereof upon demand and proof of ownership.

# IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That all mineral interests, whatever they may be, in the Basin-Dakota Gas Pool underlying the W/2 of Section 22, Township 29 North, Range 13 West, NMPM, San Juan County, New Mexico, are hereby pooled to form a 320-acre gas proration unit to be dedicated to a well to be drilled 940 feet from the South line and 790 feet from the West line of said Section 22.

(2) That Pan American Petroleum Corporation is hereby designated the operator of the subject well and unit.

(3) That the operator shall furnish the Commission and each known working interest owner in the subject unit an itemized

-3-CASE No. 2972 Order No. R-2643

schedule of estimated well costs within 30 days following the date of this order.

(4) That within 30 days from the date the schedule of estimated well costs is furnished to him, any non-consenting working interest owner shall have the right to pay his share of estimated well costs to the operator in lieu of paying his share of reasonable well costs out of production, and that any such owner who pays his share of estimated well costs as provided above shall remain liable for operating costs but shall not be liable for risk charges.

(5) That the operator is hereby authorized to withhold the following costs and charges from production:

- (A) The pro rata share of reasonable well costs attributable to each non-consenting working interest owner who has not paid his share of estimated well costs.
- (B) As a charge for the risk involved in the drilling of the well, 25% of the pro rata share of reasonable well costs attributable to each nonconsenting working interest owner who has not paid his share of estimated well costs.

(6) That the operator shall distribute said costs and charges withheld from production to the parties who advanced the well costs.

(7) That the operator shall furnish the Commission and each known working interest owner in the subject unit an itemized schedule of actual well costs within 30 days following completion of the well; that if no objection to the actual well costs is received by the Commission and the Commission has not objected within 60 days following completion of the well, the actual well costs shall be the reasonable well costs; provided however, that if there is an objection to actual well costs within said 60-day period, the Commission will determine reasonable well costs after public notice and hearing.

(8) That within 30 days following determination of reasonable well costs any non-consenting working interest owner that has paid his share of estimated costs in advance as provided above shall pay to the operator his pro rata share of the amount that reasonable well costs exceed estimated well costs and shall receive from the operator his pro rata share of the amount that estimated well costs exceed reasonable well costs.

(9) That \$75.00 per month is hereby fixed as the reasonable cost of operating the subject well, and the operator is hereby

-4-CASE No. 2972 Order No. R-2643

authorized to withhold from production the proportionate share of such cost attributable to each non-consenting working interest.

(10) That any unsevered mineral interest shall be considered a seven-eighths (7/8) working interest and a one-eighth (1/8) royalty interest for the purpose of allocating costs and charges under the terms of this order.

(11) That any well costs or charges which are to be paid out of production shall be withheld only from the working interests' share of production, and no costs or charges shall be withheld from production attributable to royalty interests.

(12) That all proceeds from production from the subject well which are not disbursed for any reason shall be placed in escrow in San Juan County, New Mexico, to be paid to the true owner thereof upon demand and proof of ownership; that the operator shall notify the Commission of the name and address of said escrow agent within 90 days from the date of this order.

(13) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Commission may deem necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

> STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

JACK M. CAMPBELL, Chairman

E. S. WALKER, Member

A. L. PORTER, Jr., Member & Secretary

SEAL

esr/

Docket No. 3-64

DOCKET: EXAMINER HEARING - WEDNESDAY JANUARY 22, 1964

9 A.M. - OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION CONFERENCE ROOM, STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING - SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

The following cases will be heard before Elvis A. Utz, Examiner, or Daniel S. Nutter, Alternate Examiner:

CASE 2682: (Reopened and continued from January 8, 1964 Examiner Hearing.)

In the matter of Case 2682 being reopened pursuant to the provisions of Order No. R-2375, which order established temporary 80-acre oil proration units for the Simpson-Gallup Oil Pool, San Juan County, New Mexico, for a period of one year. All interested parties may appear and show cause why said pool should not be developed on 40-acre proration units.

CASE 2967: (Continued from the January 8th Examiner Hearing)

Application of Standard Oil Company of Texas for a unit agreement, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of the Jurnegan Point Unit Area comprising 7680 acres, more or less, of State and Fee land in Township 24 South, Ranges 24 and 25 East, Eddy County, New Mexico.

CASE 2969: Application of H. N. Sweeney for a unit agreement, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of the Good Hope Unit Area comprising 1919.72 acres, more or less, of State and fee land in Township 19 South, Range 23 East, Eddy County, New Mexico.

CASE 2970: Application of Cima Capitan Incorporated for an amendment of Order No. R-2395, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above styled cause, seeks amendment of Order No. R-2395 to delete the seven water injection wells authorized therein for its Artesia Pool Waterflood Project, Eddy County, New Mexico, and to substitute therefor the following four injection wells in Section 17, Township 18 South, Range 28 East:

> Welch State No. 1-W, 1330 feet from the South and West lines;

Welch State No. 4-W, 2630 feet from the South line and 2230 feet from the West line; -2-Docket No. 3-64

#### Case 2970 continued from page 1

Adkins Williams State No. 1-W, 10 feet from the South line and 2630 feet from the East line;

Adkins Williams State No. 6-W, 1180 feet from the South line and 1595 feet from the East line.

CASE 2971: Application of Caulkins Oil Company for unorthodox locations, dual completions, and expansion of a waterflood project, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of the dual completion (conventional) of its Breech C No. D-189 and Breech C No. D-248 wells to produce oil from the Tocito formation through 2<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> inch tubing and gas from the Dakota formation through the casing-tubing annulus at unorthodox locations 1850 feet from the South line and 790 feet from the West line of Section 12 and 1140 feet from the North line and 900 feet from the East line of Section 13, Township 26 North, Range 6 West, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico. Applicant also seeks expansion of the South Blanco-Tocito Pressure Maintenance Project Area to include said wells.

# CASE 2727: (Reopened)

In the matter of Case No. 2727 being reopened pursuant to the provisions of Order No. R-2408 which order established temporary 80-acre proration units for the Oil Center-Blinebry Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, for a period of one year. All interested parties may appear and show cause why said pool should not be developed on 40-acre proration units.

- CASE 2972: Application of Pan American Petroleum Corporation for forcepooling, San Juan County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order force-pooling all mineral interests in the Basin Dakota Pool underlying the W/2 of Section 22, Township 29 North, Range 13 West, City of Farmington, San Juan County, New Mexico.
- CASE 2973: Application of Pan American Petroleum Corporation for forcepooling, San Juan County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order force-pooling all mineral interests in the Basin-Dakota Pool underlying the W/2 of Section 13, Township 30 North, Range 12 West, San Juan County, New Mexico.

-3-Docket No. 3-64

- <u>CASE 2974:</u> Application of Pan American Petroleum Corporation for a triple completion, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of the triple completion (conventional) of its South Mattix Unit Well No. 16, located in Unit O of Section 15, Township 24 South, Range 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico, to produce gas from the Fowler Paddock and Fowler Tubb Gas Pools and from an undesignated Lower Paddock through parallel strings of tubing.
- CASE 2975: Application of Amerada Petroleum Corporation for an unorthodox location, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of an unorthodox location for a proposed triple completion in the Vacuum-Devonian, Vacuum-Wolfcamp, and North Vacuum-Abo Pools, Lea County, New Mexico, said well to be drilled at a point within 200 feet of the center of the NE/4 SW/4 of Section 36, Township 17 South, Range 34 East.

Docket No. 3-64

DOCKET: EXAMINER HEARING - WEDNESDAY · JANUARY 22, 1964

9 A.M. - OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION CONFERENCE ROOM, STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING - SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

The following cases will be heard before Elvis A. Utz, Examiner, or Daniel S. Nutter, Alternate Examiner:

CASE 2682: (Reopened and continued from January 8, 1964 Examiner Hearing.)

In the matter of Case 2682 being reopened pursuant to the provisions of Order No. R-2375, which order established temporary 80-acre oil proration units for the Simpson-Gallup Oil Pool, San Juan County, New Mexico, for a period of one year. All interested parties may appear and show cause why said pool should not be developed on 40-acre proration units.

CASE 2967: (Continued from the January 8th Examiner Hearing)

Application of Standard Oil Company of Texas for a unit agreement, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of the Jurnegan Point Unit Area comprising 7680 acres, more or less, of State and Fee land in Township 24 South, Ranges 24 and 25 East, Eddy County, New Mexico.

CASE 2969: Application of H. N. Sweeney for a unit agreement, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of the Good Hope Unit Area comprising 1919.72 acres, more or less, of State and fee land in Township 19 South, Range 23 East, Eddy County, New Mexico.

<u>CASE 2970:</u> Application of Cima Capitan Incorporated for an amendment of Order No. R-2395, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above styled cause, seeks amendment of Order No. R-2395 to delete the seven water injection wells authorized therein for its Artesia Pool Waterflood Project, Eddy County, New Mexico, and to substitute therefor the following four injection wells in Section 17, Township 18 South, Range 28 East:

> Welch State No. 1-W, 1330 feet from the South and West lines;

Welch State No. 4-W, 2630 feet from the South line and 2230 feet from the West line; -2-Docket No. 3-64

#### Case 2970 continued from page 1

- Adkins Williams State No. 1-W, 10 feet from the South line and 2630 feet from the East line;
- Adkins Williams State No. 6-W, 1180 feet from the South line and 1595 feet from the East line.
- CASE 2971: Application of Caulkins Oil Company for unorthodox locations, dual completions, and expansion of a waterflood project, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of the dual completion (conventional) of its Breech C No. D-189 and Breech C No. D-248 wells to produce oil from the Tocito formation through 2½ inch tubing and gas from the Dakota formation through the casing-tubing annulus at unorthodox locations 1850 feet from the South line and 790 feet from the West line of Section 12 and 1140 feet from the North line and 900 feet from the East line of Section 13, Township 26 North, Range 6 West, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico. Applicant also seeks expansion of the South Blanco-Tocito Pressure Maintenance Project Area to include said wells.

# CASE 2727: (Reopened)

In the matter of Case No. 2727 being reopened pursuant to the provisions of Order No. R-2408 which order established temporary 80-acre proration units for the Oil Center-Blinebry Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, for a period of one year. All interested parties may appear and show cause why said pool should not be developed on 40-acre proration units.

- CASE 2972: Application of Pan American Petroleum Corporation for forcepooling, San Juan County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order force-pooling all mineral interests in the Basin Dakota Pool underlying the W/2 of Section 22, Township 29 North, Range 13 West, City of Farmington, San Juan County, New Mexico.
- CASE 2973: Application of Pan American Petroleum Corporation for forcepooling, San Juan County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order force-pooling all mineral interests in the Basin-Dakota Pool underlying the W/2 of Section 13, Township 30 North, Range 12 West, San Juan County, New Mexico.

-3-Docket No. 3-64

- CASE 2974: Application of Pan American Petroleum Corporation for a triple completion, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of the triple completion (conventional) of its South Mattix Unit Well No. 16, located in Unit 0 of Section 15, Township 24 South, Range 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico, to produce gas from the Fowler Paddock and Fowler Tubb Gas Pools and from an undesignated Lower Paddock through parallel strings of tubing.
- CASE 2975: Application of Amerada Petroleum Corporation for an unorthodox location, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of an unorthodox location for a proposed triple completion in the Vacuum-Devonian, Vacuum-Wolfcamp, and North Vacuum-Abo Pools, Lea County, New Mexico, said well to be drilled at a point within 200 feet of the center of the NE/4 SW/4 of Section 36, Township 17 South, Range 34 East.

# BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION ) OF PAN AMERICAN PETROLEUM COR-) PORATION FOR AN ORDER FORCE-POOLING ) ALL MINERAL INTEREST IN THE BASIN DAKOTA POOL UNDERLYING THE  $W^{\frac{1}{2}}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ ) SECTION 13, TOWNSHIP 30 NORTH, RANGE 1 12 WEST, SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO. )

No. 2973

# ENTRY OF APPEARANCE

The undersigned, Atwood & Malone, of Roswell, New Mexico, a firm of attorneys, all of whose members are duly licensed to practice law in the State of New Mexico, hereby enters its appearance as local counsel with Guy Buell, Esquire, of the Texas Bar, for Pan American Petroleum Corporation.

DATED at Roswell, New Mexico, this 16th day of January,

1964.

ATWOOD & MALONE

er By ã

P. O. Drawer 700 Roswell, New Mexico

2173. Leand 1-22-64 Rec. 1-23-64 1. Show & Pom an. a forced pooled emit, consisting u/2 sec. 3. 30 N- 12W. Pool all interes known or unknown. Tecow the centeror interest according to law. 2. Showt 25 % risk factor. 3. When interest one so denerified and accounting so ste high I comment \$6500 mo. Stherfor accomend. , fan am anerenge ent gindudig sepervision of the more and perating cost. Thursd. D. ····· 

······

• • • • •

.

(ase 2972 Keard 1-22-64 Kec. 1-23-64 1. Frank Pour ans request for forced Pooling in the Zotah Vinta Sustanit. 2. Grant \$10000 for openiting informent \$ \$25% rich factor. 3 See Recommendations for case 2973 as to reason for \$100.00 operating cost. Shuster of-\_\_\_\_\_ . . . . . . . . . . \_\_\_\_\_\_ 

# ATWOOD & MALONE

LAWYERS

ULEF D. ATWOOD (1983-1960) ROSS L.MALONE CHARLES F. MALONE RUSSELL D. MANN EAULA CODTER BOB F. TUSNER Sphent A. JUNNSON

P. O. DRAWER, 200

# January 16, 1964

New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission State Land Office Building P. O. Box 871 Santa Fe, New Mexico

> RE: Docket of January 22, 1964 Cases No. 2972, 2973 and 2974

## Gentlemen:

We enclose herewith our entry of appearances as local counsel for Pan American Petroleum Corporation in Cases No. 2972, 2973 and 2974 on your docket for January 22, 1964. Would you please file the same.

Appreciating your courtesy and with our kind regards, we are,

Very truly yours,

00

for ATWOOD & MALONE

PC/tc

Enclosures

cc: J. K. Smith, Esquire Pan American Petroleum Corporation Fort Worth, Texas

# BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

# OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION)OF PAN AMERICAN PETROLEUM COR-)PORATION FOR AN ORDER FORCE-POOLING)ALL MINERAL INTEREST IN THE BASIN)DAKOTA POOL UNDERLYING THE  $W_2^1$ )SECTION 22, TOWNSHIF 29 NORTH, RANGE)13 WEST, CITY OF FARMINGTON, SAN JUAN)COUNTY, NEW MEXICO)

No. 2972

# ENTRY OF APPEARANCE

The undersigned, Atwood & Malone, of Roswell, New Mexico, a firm of attorneys, all of whose members are duly licensed to practice law in the State of New Mexico, hereby enters its appearance as local counsel with Guy Buell, Esquire, of the Texas Bar, for Pan American Petroleum Corporation.

DATED at Roswell, New Mexico, this 16th day of January,

1964.

ATWOOD & MALONE

By

P. O. Drawer 700 Roswell, New Mexico

Docket No. 3-64

DOCKET: EXAMINER HEARING - WEDNESDAY JANUARY 22, 1964

9 A.M. - OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION CONFERENCE ROOM, STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING - SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

The following cases will be heard before Elvis A. Utz, Examiner, or Daniel S. Nutter, Alternate Examiner:

CASE 2682: (Reopened and continued from January 8, 1964 Examiner Hearing.)

In the matter of Case 2682 being reopened pursuant to the provisions of Order No. R-2375, which order established temporary 80-acre oil proration units for the Simpson-Gallup Oil Pool, San Juan County, New Mexico, for a period of one year. All interested parties may appear and show cause why said pool should not be developed on 40-acre proration units.

**<u>CASE 2967:</u>** (Continued from the January 8th Examiner Hearing)

Application of Standard Oil Company of Texas for a unit agreement, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of the Jurnegan Point Unit Area comprising 7680 acres, more or less, of State and Fee land in Township 24 South, Ranges 24 and 25 East, Eddy County, New Mexico.

CASE 2969: Application of H. N. Sweeney for a unit agreement, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of the Good Hope Unit Area comprising 1919.72 acres, more or less, of State and fee land in Township 19 South, Range 23 East, Eddy County, New Mexico.

CASE 2970: Application of Cima Capitan Incorporated for an amendment of Order No. R-2395, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above styled cause, seeks amendment of Order No. R-2395 to delete the seven water injection wells authorized therein for its Artesia Pool Waterflood Project, Eddy County, New Mexico, and to substitute therefor the following four injection wells in Section 17, Township 18 South, Range 28 East:

Welch State No. 1-W, 1330 feet from the South and West lines;

Welch State No. 4-W, 2630 feet from the South line and 2230 feet from the West line; Docket No. 3-64 -2-

# Case 2970 continued from page 1 Adkins Williams State No. 1-W, 10 feet from the South line and 2630 feet from the East line; Adkins Williams State No. 6-W, 1180 feet from the South line and 1595 feet from the East line. Application of Caulkins Oil Company for unorthodox locations, dual completions, and expansion of a waterflood project, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of the dual comple-CASE 2971: tion (conventional) of its Breech C No. D-189 and Breech C No. D-248 wells to produce oil from the Tocito formation through 2½ inch tubing and gas from the Dakota formation through the casing-tubing annulus at unorthodox locations 1850 feet from the South line and 790 feet from the West line of Section 12 and 1140 feet from the North line and 900 feet from the East line of Section 13, Township 26 North, Range 6 West, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico. Applicant also seeks expansion of the South Blanco-Tocito Pressure Maintenance Project Area to include said wells.

In the matter of Case No. 2727 being reopened pursuant to CASE 2727: (Reopened) the provisions of Order No. R-2408 which order established temporary 80-acre proration units for the Oil Center-Blinebry Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, for a period of one year. All interested parties may appear and show cause why said pool should not be developed on 40-acre proration Application of Pan American Petroleum Corporation for forcepooling, San Juan County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the units. above-styled cause, seeks an order force-pooling all mineral interests in the Basin Dakota Pool underlying the W/2 of Section 22, Township 29 North, Range 13 West, City of

CASE 2972:

CASE 2973:

Farmington, San Juan County, New Mexico. Application of Pan American Petroleum Corporation for forcepooling, San Juan County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order force-pooling all mineral interests in the Basin-Dakota Pool underlying the W/2 of Section 13, Township 30 North, Range 12 West, San Juan County, New Mexico.

-3-Docket No. 3-64

- CASE 2974: Application of Pan American Petroleum Corporation for a triple completion, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of the triple completion (conventional) of its South Mattix Unit Well No. 16, located in Unit O of Section 15, Township 24 South, Range 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico, to produce gas from the Fowler Paddock and Fowler Tubb Gas Pools and from an undesignated Lower Paddock through parallel strings of tubing.
- <u>CASE 2975:</u> Application of Amerada Petroleum Corporation for an unorthodox location, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of an unorthodox location for a proposed triple completion in the Vacuum-Devonian, Vacuum-Wolfcamp, and North Vacuum-Abo Pools, Lea County, New Mexico, said well to be drilled at a point within 200 feet of the center of the NE/4 SW/4 of Section 36, Township 17 South, Range 34 East.

DRAFT

JMD/esr

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE No. 297 Order No. R-2606

APPLICATION OF PAN AMERICAN PETROLEUM CORPORATION FOR FORCE-POOLING, SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

# ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

BY THE COMMISSION;

NOW, on this \_\_\_\_\_\_ day of <u>January</u>, 19<u>64</u>, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the **copreticeDiscoble** testimony, the record, **axistranovalbaret** and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That the applicant, Pan American Petroleum Corporation,

seeks an order pooling all mineral interests in the Basin-Dakota 22 Gas Pool underlying the W/2 of Section 13, Township 30 North, /3 Range 12 West, NMPM, San Juan County, New Mexico.

(3) That the applicant has the right to drill and proposes to drill a well  $\underline{940}$  feet from the full line and  $\underline{790}$  feet from the West line of said Section  $\frac{22}{13}$  to be projected to the Dakota formation.

(4) That there are interest owners in the proposed proration unit who have not agreed to pool their interests.

-2-CASE NO. 2973

(5) That to avoid the drilling of unnecessary wells, to protect correlative rights, and to afford to the owner of each interest in said promation unit the opportunity to recover or receive without unnecessary expense his just and fair share of the gas in the Basin-Dakota Gas Pool, the subject application should be approved by pooling all mineral interests, whatever they may be, within said unit.

(6) That the applicant should be designated the operator of the subject well and unit.

(7) That any non-consenting working interest owner should be afforded the opportunity to pay his share of estimated well costs to the operator in lieu of paying his share of reasonable well costs out of production.

(8) That any non-consenting working interest owner that does not pay his share of estimated well costs should have withheld from production his share of the reasonable well costs plus 25% an additional 4% percent thereof as a reasonable charge for the risk involved in the drilling of the well.

(9) That any non-consenting interest owner should be afforded the opportunity to object to the actual well costs but that said actual well costs should be adopted as the reasonable well costs in the absence of such objection.

(10) That following determination of reasonable well costs, any non-consenting working interest owner that has paid his share of estimated costs should pay to the operator any amount that reasonable well costs exceed estimated well costs and should receive from the operator any amount that paid estimated well costs exceed reasonable well costs.

(11) That  $\frac{75}{2}$  per month should be fixed as the reasonable cost of operating the subject well and each non-consenting working interest owner should be assessed with his share of such cost, to be paid out of production.

(12) That all proceeds from production from the subject well which are not disbursed for any reason should be placed in -3-CASE No. 2973

escrow to be paid to the true owner thereof upon demand and proof of ownership.

# IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That all mineral interests, whatever they may be, in the Basin-Dakota Gas Pool underlying the W/2 of Section  $\frac{12}{12}$ , Township  $\frac{12}{50}$  North, Range  $\frac{12}{12}$  West, NMPM, San Juan County, New Mexico, are hereby pooled to form a 320-acre gas proration unit to be dedicated to <u>a well to be drilled 950 full from To Denth fine and</u> <u>710 full from The West fine of call Section 2.2</u>.

(2) That Pan American Petroleum Corporation is hereby designated the operator of the subject well and unit.

(3) That the operator shall furnish the Commission and each known working interest owner in the subject unit an itemized schedule of estimated well costs within 30 days following the date of this order.

(4) That within 30 days from the date the schedule of estimated well costs is furnished to him, any non-consenting working interest owner shall have the right to pay his share of estimated well costs to the operator in lieu of paying his share of reasonable well costs out of production, and that any such owner who pays his share of estimated well costs as provided above shall remain liable for operating costs but shall not be liable for risk charges.

(5) That the operator is hereby authorized to withhold the following costs and charges from production:

- (A) The pro rata share of reasonable well costs attributable to each non-consenting working interest owner who has not paid his share of estimated well costs.
- (B) As a charge for the risk involved in the drilling of the well, 40% of the pro rata share of reasonable well costs attributable to each nonconsenting working interest owner who has not paid his share of estimated well costs.

(6) That the operator shall distribute said costs and charges withheld from production to the parties who advanced the well costs.

(7) That the operator shall furnish the Commission and each known working interest owner in the subject unit an itemized schedule of actual well costs within 30 days following completion of the well; that if no objection to the actual well costs is received by the Commission and the Commission has not objected within 60 days following completion of the well, the actual well costs shall be the reasonable well costs; provided however, that if there is an objection to actual well costs within said 60-day period, the Commission will determine reasonable well costs after public notice and hearing.

(8) That within 30 days following determination of reasonable well costs any non-consenting working interest owner that has paid his share of estimated costs in advance as provided above shall pay to the operator his pro rata share of the amount that reasonable well costs exceed estimated well costs and shall receive from the operator his pro rata share of the amount that estimated well costs exceed reasonable well costs.

(9) That  $\frac{5}{5}$  per month is hereby fixed as the reasonable cost of operating the subject well, and the operator is hereby authorized to withhold from production the proportionate share of such cost attributable to each non-consenting working interest.

(10) That any unsevered mineral interest shall be considered a seven-eighths (7/8) working interest and a one-eighth (1/8) royalty interest for the purpose of allocating costs and charges under the terms of this order.

(11) That any well costs or charges which are to be paid out of production shall be withheld only from the working interests' share of production, and no costs or charges shall be withheld from production attributable to royalty interests.

(12) That all proceeds from production from the subject well which are not disbursed for any reason shall be placed in escrow
-5-CASE No. 2973

in San Juan County, New Mexico, to be paid to the true owner thereof upon demand and proof of ownership, The Commission shall be notified as to the name and address of said escrow agent within 90 days from the date of this order

(13) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Commission may deem necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated. DOVERNOR JACK M. CAMPBELL CHAIRMAN

## State of New Mexico Gil Conservation Commission



LAND DOMMISSIONER E. S. JOHNNY WALKER MENGER

> P. C. BOX 871 BANTA FE

January 29, 1964

Mr. Guy Buell Pan American Petroleum Corporation Box 1410 Fort Worth, Texas Case No. 2972 Order No. R-2643

Applicant:

Pan American Petroleum Corporation

1-31-64

STATE BEDLOGIST

A L PORTER, JR. BEORETARY - DIRECTOR

Dear Sire

Enclosed herewith are two copies of the above-referenced Commission order recently entered in the subject case.

Very truly yours,

A. L. PORTER, Jr. Secretary-Director

ir/

Carbon copy of order also sent to:

allin)

Hobbs OCC \_\_\_\_X

Artesia OCC\_\_\_\_

Astec OCC \_\_\_\_\_x

OTHER

List of known owners which is attached to Application in Case 2972

Ric led

#### BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

> CASE No. 2972 Order No. R-2643

APPLICATION OF PAN AMERICAN PETROLEUM CORPORATION FOR FORCE-POOLING, SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

#### ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

#### BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 o'clock a.m. on January 22, 1964, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner Elvis A. Utz.

NOW, on this 29th day of January, 1964, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the testimony, the record, and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That the applicant, Pan American Petroleum Corporation, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests in the Basin-Dakota Gas Pool underlying the W/2 of Section 22, Township 29 North, Range 13 West, NMPM, San Juan County, New Mexico.

(3) That the applicant has the right to drill and proposes to drill a well 940 feet from the South line and 790 feet from the West line of said Section 22 to be projected to the Dakota formation.

(4) That there are interest owners in the proposed proration unit who have not agreed to pool their interests.

(5) That to avoid the drilling of unnecessary wells, to protect correlative rights, and to afford to the owner of each interest in said proration unit the opportunity to recover or receive without unnecessary expense his just and fair share of the gas in the Basin-Bakota Gas Pool, the subject application should be approved by pooling all mineral interests, whatever they may be, within said unit. -2-CASE No. 2972 Order No. R-2643

(6) That the applicant should be designated the operator of the subject well and unit.

(7) That any non-consenting working interest owner should be afforded the opportunity to pay his share of estimated well costs to the operator in lieu of paying his share of reasonable well costs out of production.

(8) That any non-consenting working interest owner that does not pay his share of estimated well costs should have withheld from production his share of the reasonable well costs plus an additional 25% thereof as a reasonable charge for the risk involved in the drilling of the well.

(9) That any non-consenting interest owner should be afforded the opportunity to object to the actual well costs but that said actual well costs should be adopted as the reasonable well costs in the absence of such objection.

(10) That following determination of reasonable well costs, any non-consenting working interest owner that has paid his share of estimated costs should pay to the operator any amount that reasonable well costs exceed estimated well costs and should receive from the operator any amount that paid estimated well costs exceed reasonable well costs.

(11) That \$75.00 per month should be fixed as the reasonable cost of operating the subject well and each non-consenting working interest owner should be assessed with his share of such cost, to be paid out of production.

(12) That all proceeds from production from the subject well which are not disbursed for any reason should be placed in escrow to be paid to the true owner thereof upon demand and proof of ownership.

#### IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That all mineral interests, whatever they may be, in the Basin-Dakota Gas Pool underlying the W/2 of Section 22, Township 29 North, Range 13 West, NMPM, San Juan County, New Mexico, are hereby pooled to form a 320-acre gas proration unit to be dedicated to a well to be drilled 940 feet from the South line and 790 feet from the West line of said Section 22.

(2) That Pan American Petroleum Corporation is hereby designated the operator of the subject well and unit.

(3) That the operator shall furnish the Commission and each known working interest owner in the subject unit an itemized

-3-285N No. 2972 Order No. 8-2643

schedule of estimated well costs within 30 days following the date of this order.

(4) That within 30 days from the date the schedule of estimated well costs is furnished to him, any non-consenting working interest owner shall have the right to pay his share of estimated well costs to the operator in lieu of paying his share of reasonable well costs out of production, and that any such owner who pays his share of estimated well costs as provided above shall remain liable for operating costs but shall not be liable for risk charges.

(5) That the operator is hereby authorized to withhold the following costs and charges from preduction:

- (A) The promata share of reasonable well costs attributable to each non-consenting working interast owner who has not paid his share of estimated well costs.
- (B) As a charge for the risk involved in the drilling of the well, 25% of the pro rate share of reasonable well costs attributable to each nonconsenting working interest owner who has not p id his share of estimated well costs.

(6) That the operator shall distribute said costs and charges withheld from production to the parties who advanced the well costs.

(7) That the operator shall furnish the Commission and each known working interest owner in the subject unit an itemized schedule of actual well costs within 30 days following completion of the well; that if no objection to the actual well costs is received by the Commission and the Commission has not objected within 60 days following completion of the well, the actual well costs shall be the reasonable well costs; provided however, that if there is an objection to actual well costs within said 60-day period, the Commission will determine reasonable well costs after public notice and hearing.

(3) That within 30 days following determination of reasonable well costs any non-consenting working interest owner that has paid his share of estimated costs in advance as provided above shall pay to the operator his pro rate share of the amount that reasonable well costs exceed estimated well costs and shall receive from the operator his pro rate share of the amount that estimated well costs exceed reasonable well costs.

(9) That \$75.00 per month is hereby fixed as the reasonable cost of operating the subject well, and the operator is hereby

-4-CASE No. 2972 Order No. R-2643

authorized to withhold from production the proportionate share of such cost attributable to each non-consenting working interest.

(10) That any unsevered mineral interest shall be considered a seven-eighths (7/8) working interest and a one-eighth (1/8) royalty interest for the purpose of allocating costs and charges under the terms of this order.

(11) That any well costs or charges which are to be paid out of production shall be withheld only from the working interests' share of production, and no costs or charges shall be withheld from production attributable to royalty interests.

(12) That all proceeds from production from the subject well which are not disbursed for any reason shall be placed in escrow in San Juan Jounty, New Mexico, to be paid to the true owner thereof upon demand and proof of ownership; that the operator shall notify the Commission of the name and address of said escrow agent within 90 days from the date of this order.

(13) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Commission may deem necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.



STARE OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

JZ airman

A. L. PORTER, Jr., Member & Secretary

esr/





BEFORE THE NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION Santa Fe, New Mexico January 22, 1964 EXAMINER HEARING 243-6691 DEARNLEY, MEIER, WILKINS and CROWNOVER IN THE MATTER OF: Phone Application of Pan American Petroleum CASE NO. 2972 Corporation for force-pooling, San Juan County, New Mexico New Mexico General Court Reporting Service BEFORE: ELVIS A. UTZ, EXAMINER Albuquerque, TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING MR. UTZ: The hearing will come to order. Case No. 2972. MR. DURRETT: Application of Pan American Petroleum Building Corporation for force-pooling, San Juan County, New Mexico. MR. BUELL: For Pan American Petroleum Corporation, Guy Suite 1120 Simms Buell. We will have two witnesses for this case. (Witnesses sworn) GEORGE W. EATON, called as a witness herein, having been first duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. BUELL:



PAGE 2

BEFORE THE NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION Santa Fe, New Mexico January 22, 1964 EXAMINER HEARING 243-6691 DEARNLEY, MEIER, WILKINS and CROWNOVER IN THE MATTER OF: Phone . Application of Pan American Petroleum CASE NO. 2972 Corporation for force-pooling, San Juan County, New Mexico Mexico General Court Reporting Service New ELVIS A. UTZ, EXAMINER BEFORE: Albuquerque, TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING MR. UTZ: The hearing will come to order. Case No. 2972. MR. DURRETT: Application of Pan American Petroleum Corporation for force-pooling, San Juan County, New Mexico. Buildin MR. BUELL: For Pan American Petroleum Corporation, Guy Simms Buell. We will have two witnesses for this case. (Witnesses sworn) Suite 1120 GEORGE W. EATON, called as a witness herein, having been first duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. BUELL:



PAGE 2

DEARNLEY, MEIER, WILKINS and CROWNOVER General Court Reporting Service

243-660

Phone.

Mexico

 $N_{ow}$ 

Suite 1120

whom you are employed and in what capacity and in what location?

Mr. Eaton, would you state your complete name and by

MR. UTZ: Just a minute. Just a minute. I will call

for other appearances. There are none. Let the record show there were none.

George W. Eaton, Jr., Senior Engineer for Pan American A Petroleum Corporation, Farmington, New Mexico.

Mr. Eaton, you have testified at previous hearings 0 before the Commission, and your qualifications as a petroleum engineer are a matter of public record, are they not?

They are. Α

0

Ibuquerque, Would you direct your attention to what has been marked Q as Pan American's Exhibit Number One, Mr. Eaton, and briefly state what that exhibit reflects?

Exhibit Number One is a map of a portion of the Basin Α Building Dakota Gas Pool in San Juan County, New Mexico. Specifically, the area shown is that in the vicinity of the south part of the town Simms of Farmington, New Mexico, in Township 29 North, Range 13 West.

The specific area that we are concerned with in Case 2972 is the West Half of Section 22; Township 29 North, Range 13 West.

How have you designated that half section on Exhibit One? Q This West Half of Section 22 is outlined with a red А

It is the proposed Totah Vista Gas Unit. border.

How have you designated the producing Dakota wells in this 0



The nearby wells completed in the Basin Dakota Gas Pool immediate area? Λ are circled and colored in brown. Would you say, for all practical purposes, Mr. Eaton, that this proposed unit is completely surrounded by producing 243-6691 It is surrounded in all directions except possibly due Dakota gas wells? DEARNLEY, MEIER, WILKINS and CROWNOVER north, which is inside the town of Farmington, where there are no Phone wells directly north of the proposed Totah Vista Gas Unit. Mr. Eaton, in view of these producing Dakota wells in the immediate vicinity, in your opinion, is not a well needed on Mexico this proposed unit in order to protect the correlative rights of General Court Reporting Scrvice New all the owners of interest under this acreage? Albuquerque, In my opinion, a well is definitely needed to develop the West Half of Section 22 in order to protect the correlative rights of those owners of interest of this Half Section. Mr. Eaton, as our later testimony will show, there are Building several small uncommitted tracts in this proposed unit, which we are attempting to force-pool here today. In your opinion, is it SMM necessary to force-pool in order to protect the rights of all these ū 1120 various owners of interest, whoever they may be? In my opinion, force-pooling these interests is the most Suite practical way that correlative rights can be protected. It would be possible, but not practical to actually drill a well on each of these small tracts. It would be wasteful because one well is not

needed to adequately, one well on each of these small tracts is not needed to adequately develop and drain this half section. Q So, would it then be your opinion that the only way you can effectively protect the correlative rights of all owners of interest, as well as prevent waste, is by the force-pooling which we are seeking here today?

A That is correct.

Q Mr. Eaton, would you direct your attention now as to what has been marked as our Exhibit Number Two, and briefly state for the record what that exhibit reflects?

A Exhibit Number Two is a copy of Form C-128, which shows the acreage to be dedicated to the Totah Vista Gas Unit, as well as the orthodox location for the Totah Vista Gas Unit Well Number One. That location is 790 feet from the West line and 940 feet from the South line of Section 22. I have examined that location on the ground and know it personally to be a suitable drill site.

Q In your opinion, will a well located at that specific location or spot adequately serve the proposed Totah Vista Gas Unit?

A Yes, sir.

Q Mr. Eaton, in your opinion, what will be the approximate operating expense of Pan American for this proposed unit?

A I estimate that the operating expense will be approximately \$115.00 per month.

Q Mr. Eaton, you have testified that for practical purposes



DEARNLEY, MEIER, WILKINS and CROWNOVER General Court Reporting Service 243-6601

Phone

Mexico

New .

lbuquerque,

Building

Suite 1120 Simms

this proposed unit is completely surrounded by producing Dakota wells. Would I take it then, in your opinion, there is not much risk from a productivity standpoint of this proposed unit? In my opinion, it would be highly unlikely a dry hole

Α would be drilled anywhere in the West Half of Section 22. The risk, I would say, of a dry hole then would be rather small.

Well, in view of that, are you recommending any risk 0 factor be included in the order, assuming the Commission approves our application?

Mexico I am recommending that a risk factor of 25% be included. Α This will cover the normal and inherent drilling hazards always Newpresent in drilling any oil or gas well. Albuquerque,

Do you have anything else that you would care to add at Q this time, Mr. Eaton?

No, sir, I believe not. Α

243-669

Phone ?

DEARNLEY, MEIER, WILKINS and CROWNOVER

General Court Reporting Service

MR. BUELL: That is all we have from Mr. Eaton at this Building Our next witness, Mr. Galligan, will testify time, Mr. Examiner. Suite 1120 Simms in detail as to the efforts of Pan American to voluntarily form a unit in the West Half of this section.

MR. UTZ: He will also testify as to the people that you are pooling here?

MR. BUELL: He will testify insofar as he can, Mr. Examiner. We are really pooling the lands and not the people in a lot of instances, with respect to the uncommitted tracts.

MR. UTZ: Are there questions of the witness?



MR. DURRETT: I have one question. This may be deferred to the next witness if you choose.

#### CROSS FRAMENATION

BY MR. DURRETT:

Are you pooling some working interests here; is there a Q fee land involved?

There is working interest involved to this extent, that Α it is some tracts that are not leased at all, so that the title is still fee simple, so far as we know. There are no owners of leasehold interest as such that are being force-pooled.

I see. But, you do have some fee acreage that has not Q been leased?

That is correct. А

Albuquerque, All right. Now, one other question, on your \$115.00 a 0 month that you have recommended for operating expense, what do 61 ildi you base that on, the wells in the immediate surrounding area?  $B_{u}$ Yes, sir. That includes, the \$115.00 per month includes Α Simms all overhead charges, as well as direct charges. Our actual direct 1120 charges for operating cost of Basin Dakota wells are approximately \$50.00, but when all overhead charges and all charges of that Suite nature are added, in our actual experience, the cost is approximately \$115.00.

> MR. DURRETT: Thank you.



DEARNLEY, MEIER, WILKINS and CROWNOVER Service General Court Reporting 243-6691

Phone

Mexico

New

1

		[	MR. UTZ: So, your supervisory overhead cost is \$65.00					
			a month?					
			A Yes, sir.					
		7	MR. UTZ: Are there other questions? The witness may be					
ĨR		3-669	excused.					
VE.		Phone 243-6691	MR. BUELL: We call next Mr. Galligan.					
CROWNOVER		Phon						
0 M			GERALD G. GALLIGAN,					
CR		cico	called as a witness herein, having been first duly sworn on oath,					
nd	vice	Mexico	was examined and testified as follows:					
Y, MEIER, WILKINS and	ig Scr	New	DIRECT EXAMINATION					
	General Court Reporting Service	Albuquerque,	BY MR. BUELL:					
	ri Re		Q Mr. Galligan, would you state your complete name, please,					
3	1 Cou		sir, by whom you are employed, and in what capacity, and in what					
IEI	enera		location?					
ME	0	Building	A Gerald G. Galligan, I am Senior Land Man for Pan American					
		-	Petroleum Corporation in Farmington.					
NL		Simms	Q Mr. Galligan, in your capacity with Pan American as a					
DEARNLE		iS Oi	10 Si	iS Oi	10 Si	iS 03	10 Si	Senior Land Man, are you completely familiar with all of the efforts
DE		Suite 1120	and the negotiations on the part of Pan American to voluntarily					
		Suit	form our proposed Totah Vista Gas Unit?					
			A Yes, sir.					
			Q It might be of benefit to the record and to the examiner					
			if you could give us an estimate of the time that you have					



personally spent working in this area of this proposed unit? A we have been trying to lease these small unleased tracts and shown on the map here in this area, and up here and a few over in this area.

Q Let the record show that the witness is referring to Exhibit Three, which we haven't come to, but which we will get to. Go right ahead, Mr. Galligan. A We started about two years ago, and I would estimate tha

A We started about two years ago, and I would estimate that I have spent a quarter of my normal working time, with the exception of about eight months during which time all of our lease buying was suspended.

buying was suspended. Q Mr. Galligan, you said your normal work day. Have you not also spent some time in the evenings and on week ends in attempting to run down these owners?

A Because of the nature of the area, there are a number of families living on the land down there who were - - where the husband and wife both work, and the only time I could contact them is after working hours in the evenings, or during week ends. Q Mr. Galligan, now, let me direct your attention to what

Q Mr. Galligan, now, let me direct your attention to what has been marked as our Exhibit Number Three, and would you briefly relate for the record, please, what that exhibit reflects?

A This exhibit covers all of Section 22, the East Half is the Pan American operated White Gas Unit, which is producing. The West Half is the proposed Totah Vista Gas Unit. All of the tracts shown in white are leased, leases either owned by Pan



DEARNLEY, MEIER, WILKINS and CROWNOVER General Court Reporting Service

Mexico

American or by other operators who have signified their willingness to join the unit.

This area in green is practically in the same catagory except that the tracts are so small that we simply colored it. The tracts are too small to show individual and varied co-ownerships. So, in that respect, the green and the white are the same in this area.

By this area, you are pointing to the Upper North portion? 0

Approximately the West Half of the Northwest-Northwest. Α

Q Yes, sir.

New ] This is a mixed area of small, I'd say, third class А homes, trailer sites, and vacant lots. I would say it was probably guerque, 65 percent occupied by resident owners. The remainder are nonresident owners.

Is it the type of development area that would appear to Q appeal to what we would normally call migratory workers, kind of here today, gone tomorrow?

Simms That is the history of that area. That is why it has Α been so difficult to lease. In some instances, the owners of these small tracts or lots occupied them for a year or two or three years, then sold the surface rights and moved away and retained the minerals. It is just by chance that you find someone like that, because they disappear from the assessor's records, and there is no occasion to have a current address for them on the records. We have been able to locate quite a few of them through



DEARNLEY, MEIER, WILKINS and CROWNOVER General Court Reporting Service 243-669

Phone

Mexico

Building

Suite 1120

contact with neighbors and relatives and things like that.

Q Mr. Galligan, in this area that you have been describing so far, is that area the northern portion of the East Half-West Half of Section 22, the town limits of Farmington? Would you drop down now to what I believe is the Totah Vista subdivision outside the town limits of Farmington and describe for the Examiner what type of area that is?

A This is generally the same type of area, as far as the type of ownership is concerned. However, in this area, the prior owner reserved half the minerals. An owner who has signified his willingness to join the unit owns a half interest lease on all of this. The developers of the unit overlooked retaining the minerals, so there are 136 lots in this subdivision. The lots average about a quarter of an acre in aerial extent, which means that each individual owner has approximately 1/8th of that mineral acre to lease. I bought 66 leases in that there, 66 separate leases. Of course, the other half interest is covered by the one lease.

Q Mr. Galligan, let me interrupt you here. We don't want to burden the record. I wish you would go back up now to some of those pink tracts in the town limits of Farmington and pick out one or two or three and give the Examiner a little history of that tract and your efforts in attempting to voluntarily get these tracts in the unit?

A Well, I could give you the case history on every one in here, but it would be a little boring. I will pick a few, as you



DEARNLEY, MEIER, WILKINS and CROWNOVER General Court Reporting Service 243-669

Phone

Mexico

New

ſĉ,

Albuquerq

Building

Simms

1120

Suite

say.

243-6691

Mexico

New

uergi

Building

Suui

Sim

Suite 1120

Q	By that	tract,	you	are	pointing	to	the	extreme	Northwest
cornex?									

Extreme Northwest corner. Λ

Colored pink? Q

That is owned by Burns Tool Company. Frankly, I have А Phone not anticipated any difficulty in leasing from them. But, their tract is up for sale, and on the advice of their California lawyers, they rejected our offer to lease on the basis that it would be an impediment to the sale. I haven't yet been able to convince them that it would not necessarily be true. But, they have answered our letters, and I finally, after several telephone conversations, íô, sent them a certified letter. We have an answer back just stating flatly, "We don't care to do anything about it." So, the only lbı recourse is to force-pool on them.

It appears that they are going to follow the advice of Q their California lawyers to join a producing unit would be an impediment on the sale of their property?

Α Yes.

All right, sir. Pick another pink tract out of there. Q This tract belongs- -Α

This tract, that pink tract in the Northeast corner? Q Yes, sir. The only one along the highway. This is the Α Highway 17 here. The only one along the highway. This belongs to a truck driver who works for M & H Trucking Company in Farmington,



DEARNLEY, MEIER, WILKINS and CROWNOVER General Court Reporting Service

only works intermittently. He is delinquent for his 1962 taxes, and under our leasing policy, we don't feel that we have an adequate lease where the tract is subject to sale to the State. In other words, we have been trying to get him to pay \$36.00 in back taxes, and at which time we would pay him \$46.00 for the lease, and he hasn't been able to raise the money to pay the \$36.00 in back taxes.

Q We can't take his lease until he does pay his taxes, and he, apparently, can't pay his taxes until we take the lease?

A That is correct.

Q Kind of a chicken or an egg. How did you arrive at the \$46.00 you offered him?

A This entire area had been thoroughly combed by two or three brokers and one or two company men. They had picked up all of the easily available leases in the area. The ones that were no problems, had no problems, they picked up. They were paying thirty-five to Fifty dollars an acre. On the basis of that, we went into the area, we realized that it had been worked over twice by other buyers, and in order to induce the remaining lessors to lease, we raised our price to a basis of \$100.00 an acre so that these small tracts would realize enough money out of it to make it worthwhile for them to go to the trouble of leasing. On that basis, this portion here, the West Half of the Northwest-Northwest, laid dormant for, I would say a period of possibly 18 months after the first attempts were made to lease. These two tracts were



DEARNLEY, MEIER, WILKINS and CROWNOVER General Court Reporting Service 243-669

Phone

New Mexico

guerque,

lbu

Building

Simms

Suite 1120

leased first and the brokers who were buying in there realized that they had undertaken an uneconomical procedure in buying these small tracts, and just bought these two and walked off and left it.

Q You are talking about the two tracts colored in green in the Northwest of the Northwest?

243-660 Green, yes. All of this was just lying open until we Α Phone decided to go into the area. The same thing applied down here. Approximately 44 lots in this area had been purchased by, in some cases, the same group of brokers that worked up here, but there Mexico were also some company men down here. They bought about 44 lots. New When we went into the area, I took 66 individual leases in here, and about 35 in this area. So, now, it reduced it to almost an *ie*, irreducible core of bad titles, delinquent tax tracts, and oh, such things as this tract, the first tract south of the first one I talked about here. There is a dispute over the ownership of Building the minerals in that. That was owned jointly by two men. One man contends that he paid the other man off four years ago, but sm neglected to obtain a quit claim deed from him. And he won't *i*Ω consent to having the other man join because he says he has no 1120 interest in it. We don't care particularly to take a half interest Suite lease on a tract that small. We are still trying to work that difficulty out. It is possible that this, and some of these other tracts, will become available for lease for this reason, in this area, about six had been sold to the State for delinquent taxes.



DEARNLEY, MEIER, WILKINS and CROWNOVER General Court Reporting Service

PAGE 1.5

This area, you are down to the Totah Vista? About six lots in here had been sold to the State, Q transferred, title had been transferred to the State Tax Commission. I investigated that angle and I find out that the state Tax Commission has no authority to issue an oil and gas lease. We 243-6691 are still stymied on those. Although, in a recent check I made about ten days ago, I find that two of these tracts were in the catagory at the time we made the listing, on a recheck, I find Phone that they have changed ownership, and presumably, if they were very smart, they picked up the back taxes to redeem that from the Mexico State before they bought it, or at the time they bought it. NewGeneral Court Reporting All right. So, that leaves the possibility of some of these that Q Albuquerque, are colored in pink still being available as soon as we can locate the new owners. Let's make this clear, Mr. Galligan, although we are asking for force-pooling, as Mr. Eaton's testimony pointed out so Building graphically, the violation of correlative rights in an area is eminent due to the number of other producing Dakota wells, even SMM ŝ assuming the Commission approves our force-pooling request, we 1120 still intend to work diligently in that area where we can Suite voluntarily bring these uncommitted interests in? Yes. That is true, yes. We would rather have the acreage under lease, or voluntarily join than to force-pool them, and there are several of these tracts that will eventually, within



a reasonable time, come into the catagory that I was talking about where redemption had been made of delinquent tax. But, the problem we run into in waiting, we have been Oworking on this unit two years, and still not voluntary pooled, 243-660 even with all your expense in that area. I don't believe you would DEARNLEY, MEIER, WILKINS and CROWNOVER want to predict when each and every one of those tracts colored one in pink might be voluntarily pooled? hd That is right. Α So, it is pretty obvious that force-pooling is the only G Mexico answer? Service New Only answer at the present time on these that are Α **Court Reporting** colored- lbuquerque, Would you point out one or two pink tracts in the Totah Q Vista subdivision and give us a little background on those, the General problems you have ran into? Building This is Lot Three of Block One. We have been completely Α unable to locate the owner of the minerals under the tract. There smm is a surface owner, but that comes in the catagory that I in mentioned awhile ago, where the surface has been sold and the Suite 1120 mineral owner simply disappeared. This tract was sold just recently to the- -By that tract, do you mean the extreme pink tract in Q the Northeast corner of the Totah Vista subdivision?

A Yes. I made contact with that man on the average of once a month over all the period I have been working in there to

remind him that he was delinquent in taxes. When I first started to work on him, his taxes were only delinquent for one year behind the current year, and he just kept delaying and delaying, and now, it has been transferred to the State Tax Commission. He still 243-6691 has made no effort to redeem it. DEARNLEY, MEIER, WILKINS and CROWNOVER On this tract, we have a flat refusal. Phone . What tract is that, can you designate it? 0 I mean, Lot Four, I believe, yes, Lot Α It is Block--Four in Block Two. We have a written refusal to lease on this Mexico tract. General Court Reporting Service New What about the tract that has got the Federal tax lien of Q it, what is that tract? Ibuquerque, This tract is an exception to the general statement I А made while ago about the class of housing in that area. This tract is landscaped and well developed and has about, I would Building say, seventeen or eighteen thousand dollar home on it. It belongs to a widow, who married a man who is in business, second marriage, Simms and she owned the property at the time. At the time they married? Q Suite 1120 Yes. Then, he was involved in some other complications Α or complicated dealings with the Internal Revenue Service in Farmington, and wound up with a \$30,000.00 lien on this tract, tax lien, which makes it impossible for us to obtain a valid lease. Have you attempted to work through the Internal Revenue 0



Service to get that tax lien lifted and subordinated to our lease? A Yes. I started negotiations with them about a year and a half ago. They sent the complete file to Albuquerque with a request that a review be made and with some suggestions be made as to how we could go ahead and take a lease and obtain a subordination. I have checked with him on the average of once a month since that time, and checked with him actually about Wednesday of last week, and he still had not had a reply from the Albuquerque office with any suggestions as to how we can proceed on the thing. I asked him specifically about the time he would estimate

to clear, if it cleared by the Albuquerque office, to obtain a subordination of that tax lien based on the assumption that we would pay to the Internal Revenue Service the \$25.00 which would be payable for the lease, and he said rather reluctantly, that if we were lucky, we might get a subordination in two years.

Q Mr. Galligan, these examples of your trials and tribulations as a land man in that area, are pretty well representative of all these pink tracts?

A All of them, yes.

DEARNLEY, MEIER, WILKINS and CROWNOVER

General Court Reporting Service

Me

New .

Ulbuquerque,

Building

Simms

1120

Suite .

Q Now, I don't believe we ought to go into any more unless the Examiner- -

A Unless you want to hear more of our problems. I can give you the details on every tract in there, if you would like to hear it.

MR. UTZ: No, I don't think that is necessary.



I don't think you would want to listen to it. λ PAGE 19 MR. BUELL: The main thing we wanted to accomplish through Mr. Galligan, Mr. Examiner, is conclusively show that Pan American has made every reasonable effort, has been completely Phone 243-6691 diligent in trying to voluntarily form this Totah Vista Unit. DEARNLEY, MEIER, WILKINS and CROWNOVER have worked on it two years. We have just reached the end of our rope time wise with all the producing wells in the area. We that is all. The record is pretty clear that we have been very active in that area. That is all we have from Mr. Galligan, at I believe Mexico this time, Mr. Examiner. General Court Reporting Service  $N_{ew}$ Albuquerque, CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. UTZ: Mr. Galligan, in this list that you people sent us as a 0 Building part of the file in this case, does that, or does that not, include all the people that you know- -Includes every one that we know-at the time that list was made, which was about three weeks ago. Suite 1120 that we knew about Since that time, the two changes that I mentioned awhile ago, because of change of ownership, and presumed payment of back taxes, would change the record owner on that. We could supplement that list, if you desire. MR. BUELL: Let me make a suggestion there. <u>have to supplement</u> <u>it every day for it to mean anything, because</u>

these small tracts, the ownership just changes day by day. present time? 243-660 А Yes. DEARNLEY, MEIER, WILKINS and CROWNOVER Phone Mexico had a refusal. Service New Court Reporting excused. erque, Albuqu General Building SIM Sim like to answer it. 1120 Suite А Q

PAGE 20

MR. UTZ: There are at least 36 or such matter people involved in this unit, which you do not have leases from at the MR. BUELL: Yes, sir. MR. UTZ: Are there any questions? MR. BUELL: Every tract that is leased will voluntarily come into the unit. There is not a leased tract yet where we have MR. UTZ: If there are no questions, the witness may be Do you have anything further? MR. BUELL: Mr. Examiner, I would like to formally offer Pan American's Exhibits One through Three inclusive. MR. UTZ: Without objection, Exhibits One through Three will be entered into the record in this case. MR. DURRETT: Let me ask one question, Mr. Examiner, if you would. I will direct it to either of the witnesses that would Mr. Galligan, maybe since you are familiar with the acreage involved, let's take one tract, say, for example, the man that had to have the Federal lien. This tract. Well, pick another one, because that is not a good one

to talk about. One that possibly might be leased at a later date. Well, I will explain the history of this one, which is Α

fairly interesting.

MR. BUELL: Now, we are back in the town limits of Farmington?

This is into the town limits. This tract was purchased Α as a commercial tract by a firm, Rogers Rental Company, that used 243-660 to operate a rental service in Farmington. They gave up their Phone business in Farmington and moved to Calgary, Canada. When I got to checking the title, 1 found that their title is very bad, because they bought that under a description as Block 4, Lot 4 of Mexico the I. D. Bowen Subdivision. The plat on the I. D. Bowen Sub-New division was never placed of record. From a lien standpoint it is fatally defective. I have been in correspondence with them lbuquerque, over a period of the last three months, and I have offered to - since I am on the ground, I have offered to obtain a correction deed for them with their permission to start meddling with their Building title, and I have the letter back, but what broke the negotiations down was the fact the last letter I had from them, the Canadian Simms attorneys asked if we would pay the attorneys' fees, and we are stymied at that point at the present time. I am going to answer 1120 their letter and tell them that we are not- if the tract isn't Suite worth enough to pay their attorneys' fees, that we are not going to volunteer to pay their attorneys' fees for them. But, there is a possibility that that tract will come in.

> MR. BUELL: We are willing to help them every way we can. MR. DURRETT: I can see that would break down the



DEARNLEY, MEIER, WILKINS and CROWNOVER General Court Reporting Service negotiations possibly, but how much acreage is involved in that particular tract they were talking about?

.58ths of an acre. А

243-669

Phone

Mexico

DEARNLEY, MEIER, WILKINS and CROWNOVER

General Court Reporting Service

MR. DURRETT: I am approaching my thought from this angle, say, that Pan American was granted the \$115.00 per month, use a hundred dollars if you want to, as a round figure, operating expense, what would be the rough estimate of the share that that tract would pay; it would be down in the cents, would it not?

Oh, yes, it would be very small. Would be almost- -Α MR. DURRETT: Probably a few pennies?

New\$115.00 spread over 325 acres, and down to .58ths of an Α acre, would be almost without consequence.

MR. BUELL: Something like a nickel or a dime.

Ibuquerque, MR. DURRETT: The point I was trying to get at, \$115.00 per month operating expense would be negligible to any party that you have been talking about here today?

Building Yes, sir. Incidentally, unless my memory is wrong, this А Simms tract and this tract are the two largest individual tracts, individually owned tracts, that would be affected by that, I mean, Suite 1120 where one owner would be affected by that.

MR. DURRETT: Thank you. I think that is all.

MR. BUELL: Mr. Galligan, do you have some estimate of the total acreage reduced to mineral acres, something like that, to give us an idea just how small a portion of that total unit we are talking about?

The last calculation I made on that, which is subject to λ. some revision because of these changes of ownership, I came up with somewhere between three and a half and four acres as an overall total of the number of acres that would be force-pooled.

243-669 MR. BUELL: Thank you, Mr. Galligan. So, actually, from Phone the standpoint of operating expense, risk factor, et cetera, we are really talking about a very small volumn of money.

MR. EATON: I think you have put your finger on a very important point, to point out the reason we would prefer not to have force-pooling actions at all, because the bookkeeping on it is not worth it.

MR. GALLIGAN: We had rather take it under lease, or have them voluntarily join. One man who refused, if you don't mind my pointing out one more case, this man had flatly refused Building to lease and had refused to join and up until last Friday, we had him set up as a tract that would be necessary to force-pool. Friday morning, his wife called me and said he had signed the 850, which Sim is authorization to join and pay his proportionate share. 1120

MR. BUELL: He came in as a working interest?

MR. GALLIGAN: Owning approximately .89ths of an acre, which is another problem for us. I mean, we accepted it rather than force-pool him, though.

MR. DURRETT: I guess we could come to the conclusion then, Mr. Galligan, that if you really in fact were a non-consenting



DEARNLEY, MEIER, WILKINS and CROWNOVER Mexico General Court Reporting Scrvice Newlbuquerque,

sui

Suite ]

PAGE 24
torest best way to
working interest owner or non-consenting interest, best way to
- unioan would be to agree out
get to the Pan American and MR. BUELL: That is all we have, Mr. Examiner. MR. UTZ: Are there any statements to be made in this
MR. UTZ: Are there any statement.
MR. UTZ: Are child case? If not, the case will be taken under advisement. * * * * * *
STATE OF NEW MEXICO
COUNTY OF BERNALILLO I
Notary Public
do hereby correction
of Bernalillo, State of New Mexico, do hereby convert foregoing and attached Transcript of Hearing before the New Mexico
a sign was reported a
Oil Conservation Commission was report of the said proceedings, to the same is a true and correct record of the said proceedings, to the best of my knowledge, skill, and ability.
same is a true and correct record of the said proceedinger best of my knowledge, skill, and ability.
best of my knowledge, skill, and ability.
WITNESS My Hand and Seal of Office, this 30th day of
WITNESS My Hand and Security
$ \begin{array}{c} W \\ W \\ W \\ W \\ January, 1964. \end{array} $
A m January,
HY WITNESS M January, 1964. NOTARY PUBLIC
V O NOTARY PUBLIC
antify that the identity in
the Example 1 Curry 2007
heard de de Examiner
New Nexico Vil Conservative Commission
New North

WILKINS and CROWNOVER

Mini 2 3 Parton De. Trop & 2. C. Kop 1991 Santa De Mar Marie

.

2

1

/

622972-

PAN AMERICAN PETROLEUM CORPORATION

# P. O. Box 480, Farmington, New Mexico

January 7, 1964

#### N-9-986.510.1 File:

Subject: Application of Pan American Petroleum Corporation For A Compulsory Pooling Order Basin Dakota Pool W/2 Section 22, T-29-N, R-13-W San Juan County, New Mexico 

Mr. A. L. Porter, Jr. (3) New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission P. O. Box 871 Santa Fe, New Mexico

Dear Sir:

FORM 410 2-57

U) U)

Please refer to our letter of application dated December 27, 1963, File: N-913-986.510.1, which stated that a list of known owners and the last known address of those not voluntarily pooling their acreage in the W/2 Section 22, T-29-N, R-13-W, Basin Dakota Pool, San Juan County, New Mexico, was being compiled and would be furnished by separate letter. Attached is the list of known owners and their last known ad-

dresses that cannot voluntarily pool their acreage in the Totah Vista Gas Unit. As there are approximately 230 separate owners involved in the W/2 Section 22, we feel that it is impractical to compile a list including <u>all</u> owners in this proposed unit and are furnishing the attached list of those owners whose acreage cannot be voluntarily pooled. We now have the approval to pool from all other owners of leasehold and royalty interests.

Yours very truly,

PAN AMERICAN PETROLEUM CORPORATION

T. M. Curtis

District Superintendent

GWE:en Attach.

DOCKET MAILED

Date /-

#### LIST OF OWNERS IN TOTAH VISTA GAS UNIT WHO CANNOT BE VOLUNTARILY POOLED SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

# Last Known Address Street Address City & State

#### Name

J. T. Hastings Frank Armenta Mrs. George Weir George Banks, Jr. R. L. Scarber W. T. Murphy Phyllis Hardin White Albert Newell J. D. Campbell James B. Ashcrofc Delbert Hines Everett Earl Hall R. A. Salisbury Douglas F. Foutz Tony Chavez Hazel G. Duke L. K. Busey Everett K. Shugren Cox & Leighton c/o Robert L. Leighton A. L. Doughty Christine G. Mowrer Donald C. McDonald c/o J. R. Puckett J. J. DeWeerd Thelma Gulledge Cullen Butler Edna Smith Herman E. Smith Reynaldo Lobato Amador Lobato Mordoqueo Saiz Burns Tool Company Robert L. Hall Charles 0. Off S. T. Goodwin John O. Rogers Jack & Berta Lee Walker

Address Unknown c/o Bolack B Square Ranch Farmington Highway 412 E. Broadway 404 Pima 2317 Fairview Avenue c/o Hustler Press P. O. Box 1402 516 N. Wall Avenue P. O. Box 427 1102 Oak P. O. Box 1692 1204 N. Butler Avenue General Delivery 2101 Lynwood Drive 514 Concho Drive Junker Trading Post

1400 N. Mesaverde 106 E. Aztec

616 E. Main 1111 E. Ute 1634 Lake Boulevard 2212 Ridgecrest Drive P. O. Box 117 4560 Oxbow Drive P. O. Box 19 General Delivery 719 E. Bloomfield Road 8346 Salt Lake Avenue P. O. Box 91 805 Crestview Drive Randolph Avenue 1220 Contre Street North

121 Walker Road

Farmington, New Mexico Hobbs, New Mexico Aztec, New Mexico Farmington, New Mexico Maywood, California Dalhart, Texas Farmington, New Mexico Gallup, New Mexico

en n

Farmington, New Mexico Gallup, New Mexico Gallup, New Mexico

Farmington, New Mexico Farmington, New Mexico Redding, California Farmington, New Mexico Liberal, Missouri Sacramento, California La Jara, New Mexico Abiquiu, New Mexico Farmington, New Mexico Calgary, Alberta, Canada

Farmington, New Mexico

Jeny of Gides & - 2643 Mailed 1-31-64

DOCKET MAILED

Date 1-10-64

FORM 470 2-57

# PAN AMERICAN PETROLEUM CORPORATION

#### P. O. Box 480, Farmington, New Maxico January 7, 1964

#### File: N-9-986.510.1

Subject: Application of Pan American Petroleum Corporation For A Compulsory Pooling Order Basin Dakota Pool W/2 Section 22, T-29-N, R-13-W San Juan County, New Mexico

Hr. A. L. Forter, Jr. (3) New Maxico Oil Conservation Commission P. O. Box 871 Santa Fe, New Maxico

Dear Sir:

Please refer to our letter of application dated December 27, 1963, File: N-913-986.510.1, which stated that a list of known owners and the last known address of those not voluntarily pooling their acreage in the W/2 Section 22, T-29-N, R-13-W, Basin Dakota Pool, fan Juan County, New Mexico, was being compiled and would be furnished by separate letter.

Attached is the list of known owners and their last known addresses that cannot voluntarily pool their acreage in the Totah Vista Gas Unit. As there are approximately 230 separate owners involved in the W/2Section 22, we feel that it is impractical to compile a list including <u>all</u> owners in this proposed unit and are furnishing the attached list of those owners whose acreage cannot be voluntarily pooled. We now have the approval to pool from all other owners of leasehold and royalty interests.

Yours very truly,

PAH AMERICAN PETROLEUM CORPORATION Original Signed by I. M. EURTIS

T. N. Cortis District Superintendent

GWE:en Attsch.

### LIST OF OMNERS IN TOTAH VISTA GAS UNIT WHO CANNOT IN VOLUNTATILY POOLED SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

c/o Bolack B Square Ranch

Address Unknown

### Last Known Address Street Address

### City & State

Lant

J. T. Mastings Frank Armenta Mrs. George Weir George Banks, Jr. R. L. Scarber W. T. Murphy Phyllis Hardin White Albert Newell J. D. Campbell James B. Ashcroft Delbert Hines Everett Earl Hall R. A. Salisbury Douglas F. Fouts Tony Chaves Hazel G. Duke L. K. Busey Everett X. Shugren Cox & Leighton c/e Robert L. Leighton A. L. Boughty Christine G. Mowrer ponald C. McDonald c/o J. R. Fuckett J. J. Devicerd Theims Gulledge Cullen Butler Edna Smith Horman E. Smith Loynaldo Lobato Inador Lobato Nordeques Sais Burns Tool Company Rotart L. Hall Charles 0. Off S. T. Goodwin John C. Rogers Jack & Berta Lee Walker

Fermington Highway 412 E. Broadway 404 Fima 2317 Tairview Avenue c/o Hustler Press P. O. Box 1402 516 N. Wall Avenue P. 0. Box 427 1102 Oak P. O. Box 1692 1204 H. Butler Avenue General Belivery 2101 Lynwood Drive 514 Conche Drive Junker Trading Post

> 1400 M. Mesaverde 106 E. Astec

616 E. Main 1111 E. Ute 1634 Lake Boulevard 2212 Ridgecrest Drive P. Q. Box 117 4560 Oxbow Drive P. C. Box 19 General Delivery 719 E. Bloomfield Road \$346 Selt Lake Avenue P. O. Box 91 \$05 Crestview Drive Landolph Avenue 1220 Contre Street North

121 Walker Road

Farmington, New Mexico Hobbs, New Mexico Artec, New Mexico Farmington, New Maxico Farmington, New Merico Farmington, New Mexico Farmington, New Mexico Farmington, New Moxico Farmington, New Maxico Maywood, California Dalbart, Texas Farmington, New Mexico Gallup, Now Mexico

Farmington, New Mexico Gallup, New Mexico Gallup, New Maxico

Farmington, New Maxico Varmington, New Mexico Redding, California Farmington, New Mexico Liberal, Missouri Sacramonto, California La Jara, New Mexico Abiquiu, New Mexico Farmington, New Mexico Bell, California Farmington, New Mexico Farmington, New Mexico Farmington, New Mexico Calgary, Alberta, Canada

Farmington, New Mexico
### PAN AMERICAN PETROLEUM CORPORATION

P. O. Box 480, Farmington, New Maxico January 7, 1964

File: N-9-986.510.1

Subject: Application of Pan American Petroleum Corporation For A Compulsory Pooling Order Basin Dakota Pool W/2 Section 22, T-29-N, R-13-W San Juan County, New Mexico

Mr. A. L. Porter, Jr. (3) New Maxico Oil Conservation Commission P. O. Box 371 Santa Fe, New Mexico

Dear Sir:

Please refer to our letter of application dated December 27, 1963, File: N-913-986.510.1, which stated that a list of known owners and the last known address of those not voluntarily pooling their acreage in the W/2 Section 22, T-29-N, R-13-W, Basin Dakota Pool, San Juan County, New Mexico, was being compiled and would be furnished by separate letter.

Attached is the list of known owners and their last known addresses that cannot voluntarily pool their acreage in the Totah Vista Gas Unit. As there are approximately 230 separate owners involved in the W/2Section 22, we feel that it is impractical to compile a list including <u>ell</u> owners in this proposed unit and are furnishing the attached list of those owners whose acreage cannot be voluntarily pooled. We now have the approval to pool from all other owners of leasehold and royalty interests.

Yours very truly,

PAN AMERICAN PETROLEUM CORPORATION

Original Syred by T. M. CURIIS **T. M. CURTIS District Superintendent** 

GWE:en Attach.

### LIST OF OWNERS IN TOTAH VISTA GAS UNIT WHO CANNOT ME VOLUNTARILY POOLED SAM JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

c/o Bolack E Square Ranch

### Street Address

Hame

#### Last Known Address City & State

J. T. Mastings Frank Armenta Mrs. Goorge Weir George Benks, Jr. R. L. Scarber W. T. Murphy Phyllis Hardin White Albert Newell J. D. Compbell James B. Ashcroft Delbert Hines Everett Earl Hall R. A. Salisbury Douglas F. Fouts Tony Chaves Hanel G. Duke L. K. Busey Everett K. Shugren Cox & Leighton c/e Robert L. Leighton A. L. Boughty Christine G. Mowrer Donald C. McDonald c/o J. R. Puckett J. J. DeWeerd Theims Gulledge Cuilen Butler Edna Smith Hormon E. Smith Reynaldo Lobato Amader Lobato Mordoqueo Sais Burns Tool Company Robert L. Kall Charles 0. Off S. T. Goodwin John O. Rogers Jack & Berta Lee

Walker

Farmington Highway 412 E. Broadway 404 Pima 2317 Fairview Avenue c/o Hustler Press P. O. Box 1402 516 M. Wall Avenue P. O. Nox 427 1102 Oak P. O. Box 1692 1204 M. Butler Avenue General Delivery 2101 Lynwood Drive 514 Coacho Drive Junker Trading Post

Address Unknown

1400 N. Mesaverde 106 E. Astoc

616 E. Main 1111 E. Ute 1634 Lake Boulevard 2212 Ridgecrest Drive P. O. Box 117 4560 Oxbow Drive P. O. Box 19 General Delivery 719 E. Bloomfield Road 8346 Salt Lake Avenue P. O. Nox 91 805 Crestview Drive Randolph Avenue 1220 Contre Street North

121 Walker Road

Farmington, New Mexico Hobbs, New Mexico Astec, New Mexico Farmington, New Maxico Farmington, New Mexico Maywood, California Dalbart, Texas Farmington, New Mexico Gallup, New Maxico

Farmington, New Mexico Gallup, New Mexico Gallup, New Mexico

Farmington, New Maxico Farmington, New Mexico Redding, California Farmington, New Mexico Liberal, Missouri Sacramento, California La Jara, New Mexico Abiquiu, New Mexico Farmington, New Maxico Bell, California Farmington, New Maxico Farmington, New Mexico Farmington, New Mexico Calgary, Alberta, Canada

Farmington, New Maxico

TO: <u>Min A. K. Ponticents</u> FLOOR NO. REMARKS <u>A MIR CAC</u> Junitar Der DJ. J. 7304

1

ر

FROM

FORM ATO D 45

# PAN AMERICAN PETROLEUM CORPORATION

P. O. Box 480, Farmington, New Mexico

Subject: Application of Pan American Petroleum Corporation For A Compulsory Pooling Order Bisin Dakota Pool W/2 Section 22, T-29N, R-13W San Juan County, New Mexico

Mr. A. L. Porter, Jr. (3) New Mexico 011 Conservation Commission P. O. Box 871 Santa Fe, New Mexico

Dear Sir:

Pan American Petroleum Corporation hereby makes Application for a hearing to consider the compulsory pooling of all mineral interests in the Basin Dakota Pool underlying the W/2 Section 22, T-29-N, R-13-W, San Juan County, New Mexico, to be known as the Totah Vista Gas Unit. A portion of the acreage in the  $\frac{1}{2}$  Section 22 lies in the Totah Vista Subdivision of the Town of Farmington, New Mexico.

There are generally two classes of acreage in the W/2 Section 22 which cannot be voluntarily pooled. Six lots in subdivision have delinquent taxes and the title has passed to the State Tax Commission of New Mexico, who is not a body that can execute a valid oil and gas lease since the prior owner has the right of redemption. It is estimated that there are three fourths net mineral acre underlying these six lots. The other general class of acreage that cannot be voluntarily pooled is unleased minerals, for which we have either been unable to locate the owners or the owners have refused to lease. It is estimated that there is a total of three net mineral acres in this class.

In addition to the above parties, there is one owner of a leasehold interest who has not replied to our request to voluntarily pool.

There are approximately 230 separate leases involved in the W/2 of Section 22. A list of the known owners and the last known address of those not voluntarily pooling their acreage is being compiled and will be furnished by separate letter.

Yours very truly,

PAN AMERICAN PETROLEUM CORPORATION

DOCKET MAILED M. Curtis

CWE:en

District Superintendent Juck letter with list of Oursen

### PAN AMERICAN PETROLEUM CORPORATION

#### P. O. Box 480, Farmington, New Mexico December 27, 1963

File: N-913-986.510.1

Subject: Application of Pan American Petroleum Corporation For A Compulsory Pooling Order Bisin Dakota Pool W/2 Section 22, T-29N, R-13W Sam Juan County, New Mexico

Hr. A. L. Porter, Jr. (3) New Maxico Oil Conservation Commission P. O. Box 871 Santa Fe, New Mexico

Dear Sir:

Pan American Potroleum Corporation hereby makes Application for a hearing to consider the compulsory pooling of all mineral interests in the Basin Dakota Pool underlying the W/2 Section 22, T-29-N, R-13-W, San Juan County, New Maxico, to be known as the Totah Vista Gas Unit. A portion of the acreage in the W/2 Section 22 lies in the Totah Vista Subdivision of the Town of Farmington, New Maxico.

There are generally two classes of acreage in the W/2 Section 22 which cannot be voluntarily pooled. Six lots in subdivision have delinquent taxes and the title has passed to the State Tax Commission of New Maxico, who is not a body that can execute a valid oil and gas lease since the prior owner has the right of redemption. It is estimated that there are three fourths not mineral acre underlying these six lots. The other general class of acreage that cannot be voluntarily pooled is unleased minerals, for which we have either been unable to locate the owners or the owners have refused to lease. It is estimated that there is a total of three net mineral acres in this class.

In addition to the above parties, there is one owner of a leasehold interest who has not replied to our request to voluntarily pool.

There are approximately 230 separate leases involved in the W/2 of Section 22. A list of the known owners and the last known address of these not voluntarily pooling their acreage is being compiled and will be furnished by separate letter.

Yours very truly,

PAN AMERICAN PETROLEUM CORPORATION

Æ H. T. M. Curtis

District Superintendent

Gir:en

### PAN AMERICAN PETROLEUM CORPORATION

#### P. O. Box 480, Farmington, New Mexico December 27, 1963

File: #-913-986.510.1

Subject: Application of Pan American Petroleum Corporation For A Compulsory Fooling Order Basin Dakota Pool W/2 Section 22, T-29N, R-13W San Juan County, New Mexico

Mr. A. L. Porter, Jr. (3) New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission P. O. Nox 871 Santa Fe, New Mexico

Dear Sir:

Pan American Petroleum Corporation hereby makes Application for a hearing to consider the compulsory pooling of all mineral interests in the Basin Dakota Fool underlying the W/2 Section 22, T-29-M, R-13-W, San Juan County, New Mexico, to be known as the Totah Vista Gas Unit. A portion of the acreage in the W/2 Section 22 lies in the Totah Vista Subdivision of the Town of Fermington. New Mexico.

There are generally two classes of acreage in the W/2 Section 22 which cannot be voluntarily pooled. Six lots in subdivision have delinquent taxes and the title has passed to the State Tax Commission of New Maxico, who is not a body that can execute a valid oil and gas lease since the prior owner has the right of redemption. It is estimated that there are three fourths not mineral acre underlying these six lots. The other general class of acreage that cannot be voluntarily pooled is unleased minerals, for which we have either been unable to locate the owners or the owners have refused to lease. It is estimated that there is a total of three net mineral acres in this class.

In addition to the above parties, there is one owner of a leaseheld interest who has not replied to our request to voluntarily pool.

There are approximately 230 separate leases involved in the W/2 of Section 22. A list of the known owners and the last known address of these not voluntarily pooling their acreage is being compiled and will be furnished by separate letter.

Yours very truly,

PAN AMERICAN PETROLEUN CORPORATION

H. Dar T. M. Curtis

District Superintendent

GWE : en

the second . . . y La t

4 24

، و ، جه ر

. . A second standards

**\$ .** - S

. .

5.

A second second second second second second . . . .



ing i sur sur sur me surver plan was preparer and the surver is the treat structure the treatments. That's the formula and our superclassion substructure is surver and the surver treatment the treatment. day he was and telef.

Unde Survey 12 SEPTEMBER 1963 San cuin ingineering Company Fine a

we we we have the second second second second second

and the second second second second

#### Section V.

Sec. 1824 1833

Denote the interaction ally concerning to the denote of the second s

Provide the second system of the secon

Sec. P. Brits A Society of Approximate States and Approximate States. Same

#### Exernal De

This is a contraction that the information in Social A another is the and complete to the new of the model is and belief.

Pan American Petrokaum Corp. rid the day in the F. H. Collingsworth Receiption Carteria

Box 480

den i

Fisher Lageore,

(Address)) Farmington, Usa Mexico

### Ref: GLO plat dated 19 April 1881



contributing the accumptor was trepared from field notes of actual surveys te in under any supervisit at and that the skiller are to be and control to the best alonge and onlief.

19 December 1963 Engenalis engen Leave Survey James P. Leese N. Mex. Reg. No. 1463 San Juan Engineering Company

row, , ..., To: N.M.O.C.C. REMARKS Santa Fe, New Mexico FROM

.

>

1

## PAN AMERICAN PETROLEUM CORPORATION

P. O. Box 480, Farmington, New Mexico May 14, 1964

File:

1034 MAY (13) ANA H-621-400.1

- 217-

19

Subject: Schedule of Well Costs Totah Vista Gas Unit No. 1 San Juan County, New Mexico

Mr. A. L. Porter, Jr. New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission P. O. Box 2088 Santa Fe, New Mexico

Dear Sir:

In accordance with the requirements of Force Pooling Order No. R-2643, we are listing below an itemized schedule of well costs for the Totah Vista Gas Unit No. 1, which includes all charges booked as of March 31, 1964.

Drilling Costs		
Daywork - Drilling Contract	\$ 4,066.44	
Turnkey Contract	22,560.00	
Truck and Service Equipment	499.11	
Well Survey and Test Services	1,623.38	
Acidize, Shoot & Perforate	9,054.28	
Cementing Casing	5,893.81	
Geological & Engineering	99.79	
Other Labor - Company	520.86	
Other Labor - Contract	3,219.01	
Other Drilling Costs	250.59	\$47,787.27
<u>Well Equipment</u>		
Well Head	\$ 3,022.50	
Časing	8,316.50	
Tubing	3,077.92	
Noncontrollable Tangible Equipment	885.13	\$15,302.05
Lease Equipment		
General Lease Equipment	\$ 1,998.99	
Noncontrollable Tangible Material	148.63	\$ 2,147.62
Other Operating Expense		Å 017 (F
Administrative	<u>\$ 317.65</u>	<u>\$ 317.65</u>
Grand Total		\$65,554.59

Page 2 Mr. A. L. Porter, Jr. New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission May 14, 1964 File: H-621-400.1

Subsequent to the completion of the well, we have recently installed lease equipment in order to initiate the sale of gas and condensate. The cost of this equipment and its installation is not available to this office at this time. In the near future we will furnish revised cost data which will include this equipment and any adjustments that may be necessary for cost settlement to March 31, 1964.

Note that the above figures are not final well costs as detailed in the force pooling order. It is impossible to give this information within the specified 30-day period. We respectfully request an extension of this date to facilitate the reporting of the final well costs.

Yours very truly,

PAN AMERICAN PETROLEUM CORPORATION

Mon Custan

T. M. Curtis District Superintendent

JCG:ep

cc: Redfern Development Corp., P. O. Box 1747, Midland, Texas J. H. Herd, P. O. Box 121, Midland, Texas John J. Christman, 4th Floor, Great Plains Life Bldg., Lubbock, Texas Jack Markham, 4th Floor, Great Plains Life Bldg., Lubbock, Texas Vincent S. Mulford, Jr., 206 Daniel Building, Tulsa, Oklahoma Rodney P. Calvin, 202 Patterson Building, Denver 2, Colorado Weldon C. Julander, P. O. Box 44, Farmington, New Mexico

. . **.** 

F1.

211

# PAN AMERICAN PETROLEUM CORPORATION

P. Q. Box 480, Farmington, Hew Maxico February 10, 1964

File: H-540-400

Eubject: Force Pooling Order No. 2-2642 - Lillywhite Gas Unit

> Force Pooling Order No. 2-2643 - Totah Vista Gas Unit

Mr. A. L. Porter, Jr. (2) New Maxico Oll Conservation Commission P. O. Box 2088 Sente Fe, New Mexico

Dear Sir:

In accordance with the provision of the subject orders we are forwarding berewith the Commission copy of the estimated well cost estimates previously furnished each known working interest owner for each of the wells. You will note we have indicated on each of our Forms 850 an estimated additional \$10,000 will be required for surface equipment.

A schedule of actual well costs incurred will be furnished the Commission and each known working interest owner of the respective units on completion of the wells.

Yours very truly,

PAN AMERICAN PRINCIPUS CORPORATION

1. Alin T. M. Cartis

District Superintendent

GLN:en

Attachments - 2 (Forms 850)



Forw 850 4 63 PP-14780 IN U.S.A

### PF AMERICAN PETROLEUM CORPORATION

	WELL A	UTHORIZATION				
OPERATOR. Pan American Petrole		[]]	BUDGET ITE			
				ELOPMENT WELL		
	DUAL COMPLETE			] EXPLORATORY WELL	_	
ASE NO. NAME TOLE		WELL		Basin Dakot	<b>R</b>	
NUNTY Sen Juan	STATE	New Mexico	AFEA	Farmington		
CAHON. SW/4 Section 22, 1					1	
ртн <b>5900'</b>	Delete				220	
			SPACING PA	TIERN ACRES WELL	320	
					r	
OCATION MEETS STATE REGULATIONS 🔀 FIELD	RULES EXCEPTION REQUIRED	ESTIMATED ALLOW/CAL DAY	• •	PRORATED		
N AMERICAN'S INTEREST: BEFORE ROYALTY	<b>U,33</b> / AFTE	ER KOYALTY	OTHER WORKING	INTERESTS		
Redfern and Berd, Inc.,						
ASE EXP. DATE Various				BY		
UARTER TO STARTQUARTER	TO COMPLETE TIME RE	O'D TO MEET LAND DEPT, REQUIR	EMENTS			
FEDERAL LEASE OR UNIT	IVE ORDER 10075 - IS NOT APP	LICABLE				
Tortoo a	011 Corp. DATA ON OF	ESET OR NEARBY WELL	S Pon Amenda		<u>ла</u>	
DMPANY, LEASE, AND WELL				White Gas Unit No. 1 Dakota 5753-5798 (45')		
Y, THICKNESS, AND PROD. INTERVAL						
		LATEST_TEST		A1		
ATE						
		L. & Acreage				
ROD OILWATER GAS		_ Deliverabilitie 				
OURS - CHOKE GAS/OIL RATIO		17,296 (1963)				
RODUCING METHOD AND PRESSURES.		749 BC & 2672 MMK				
RILLING INTANGIBLES					EST. AMOUNT	
DRILLING COST	Turnkey				\$ 26,900	
DAY WORK 3 At \$925					2,775	
LOCATION	1 /3E war	GTHUR ATION 6 000		7.100	14,525	
LOCATION SURVETS		SIMULATION U, U,		DRY HOLE	PRODUCER	
	OTAL DRILLING INTANGIBLES			\$ 45.450	44.200	
/ELL EQUIPMENT - TANGIBLES	JIAL DRILLING INTAINGIBLES					
CASING AND TUBING 350 - 8-5	/8" - 59001 - 4-1/2"	(10 54) - 5850 - 3	2-3/8"	9,650	12.800	
WELLHEAD, ETC.		1	·····	900	3,000	
	TOTAL WELL EQUIPMEN	IT - TANGIBLES		10,550	15,800	
		NET-DRY HOLE	NET-PRODUCER			
TOTAL THIS AUTHORIZATION		\$	\$	56,000	60,000*	
					1	
OTAL PREVIOUSLY AUTHORIZED PLUS THIS SUPPLEMEN						
REMARKS		∛∐ell co	st only. An	estimated #1	0.000 for	
APPROVED:			equipment, t			
BY :			crease total			
D1 .				0000 00 210,		
DATE:						
6003.5 Es 5						
	DIVISION APPROVA	LS:		ACTION IN GENERAL	OFFICE	
IELD SUPERINTENDENT	DATE PRODUCING	GEOLOGICAL LAN	4D			
	REJECTED ACTION BY DIVISION DIVISION COMMITTEE	i manager (through Ef	9			
		~				
	AUTHORIZED		EJECTED			
SUPERVISOR IN CHARGE OF DISTRICT	DATE			DR PRODUCING DEPT.	DATE	
		DATE	FO	R EXPLORATION DEPT.		
ST. ULT. RECOVERY8BL	SMMCF	MONTHS TO PAY OUT	ROI			
EC/PRIM, RATIODRAINAG	SE LOSS%	SALES DELAY	MOS ESTIMAT	ED SUCCESS	*	