CASE 3756: Application of GULF OIL CORPORATION FOR SALT WATER DISFOSAL, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEMICO.

.







GOVERNOR DAVID F. CARGO CHAIRMAN

State of New Mexico Bil Conservation Commission



STATE GEOLOGIST A. L. PORTER, JR. SECRETARY - DIRECTOR

LAND COMMISSIONER GUYTON B. HAYS MEMBER

P. C. BOX 2008 BANTA FE

May 6, 1968

Mr. Bill Kastler Gulf Oil Corporation Post Office Box 1938 Roswell, New Mexico 88201 Re: Case No. 3756 Order No. R-3409 Applicant:

Gulf Oil Corporation

Dear Sir:

Enclosed herewith are two copies of the above-referenced Commission order recently entered in the subject case.

Very truly yours,

A. L. PORTER, Jr. Secretary-Director

ALP/ir

Carbon copy of drder also sent to:

Hobbs OCC x

Artesia OCC<u>×</u>

Aztec OCC

Other Mr. D. E. Gray, State Engineer Office, Santa Fe, N.M.

REFORE THE OIL CONDERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

> CASE No. 3756 Order No. R-3409

APPLICATION OF CULF OIL CORFORATION FOR SALT WATER DISPOSAL, BDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 s.m. on May 1, 1968, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner Elvis A. Utz.

NOW, on this <u>6th</u> day of May 1968, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the testimony, the record, and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That the applicant, Gulf Oil Corporation, is the owner and operator of the Eddy State "AN" Well No. 5, located 2310 feet from the South line and 2310 feet from the East line of Section 13, Founship 19 South, Range 28 Sast, NMMA, Mast Millman Gusen-Grayburg Fool, Eddy Councy, New Versico.

(3) That the applicant proposes to utilize said well to dispose of produced salt water into the Queen-Grayburg formation, with injection into the perforated interval from approximately 1773 rest to 2142 feet.

(4) That the injection should be accomplished through 2 3/8-inch internally plastic-coated tubing installed in a packer set at approximately 1700 feet; that the casing-tubing annulus should be filled with an inext fluid; and that a pressure -2-CASE No. 3756 Order No. R-3409

gauge should be attached to the annulus or the annulus left open at the surface in order to determine leakage in the tubing or packer.

(5) That approval of the subject application will prevent the drilling of unnecessary wells and otherwise prevent watto and protect correlative rights.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That the applicant, Gulf Gil Corporation, is hereby authorized to utilize in a Eddy State "AN" Well No. 5, located 2310 feet from the South line and 2310 feet from the East line of Section 13, Township 15 South, Range 20 East, NMFM, East Millman Queen-Grayburg Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico, to dispose of produced salt water into the Queen-Grayburg formation, injection to be accomplished through 2 3/8-inch tubing installed in a packer set at approximately 1700 feet, with injection into the perforated interval from approximately 1773 feet to 2142 feet;

<u>PROVIDED HOWEVER</u>, that the tubing shall be internally plastic-coated; that the casing-tubing annulus shall be filled with an inert fluid; and that a pressure gauge shall be attached to the annulus of the annulus left open at the surface in order to determine leakage in the tubing or packer.

(2) That the applicant shall submit monthly reports of its disposal operations is accordance with Rules 704 and 1120 of the Commission Rules and Regulations.

(3) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the ontry of such further orders as the Counicsion may deem becessary.

DONS at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO



OID COMPARYSEEDER CONSISSION 1 DAVID F. CARCO

A. L. PORTOR, Member & Secretary

Care 375-6 bleard 5-1-68 Re= 5-1-6 F. 1. Frant Sulf permission to convert their Eddy State N' #5, 2310/ Sof see. 13 - 195-285 to a SUP well. Respond water will be from Their Eddy State 'BN' + AN' level in the Z. Mill man- Queen Kuykung pool. Sport to Banda/da will he desposed. Thurs le. M

Docket No. 13-69

DOCKET: EXAMINER HEARING - WEDNESDAY - MAY 1, 1963

9 A.M. - OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION CONFERENCE ROOM, STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING, SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

The following cases will be heard before Elvis A. Utz, Examiner, or Daniel S. Nutter, Alternate Examiner:

CASE 3756:Application of Gulf Oil Corporation for salt water disposal,
Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled
cause, seeks authority to dispose of produced salt water
into the Queen-Grayburg formation in the interval 1773 feet
to 2142 feet in its Eddy State "AN" Well No. 5 located 2310
feet from the South and East lines of Section 13, Township
19 South, Range 28 East, East Millman Queen-Grayburg Pool,
Eddy County, New Mexico.

CASE 3002 (Reopened):

In the matter of Case No. 3002 being reopened pursuant to the provisions of Order No. R-2684-B, which order provided special rules and regulations for the Fowler-Lower Paddock Pool, Lea County, New Mexico. All interested parties may appear and show cause whether the special pool rules, as they relate to well spacing, well classification, and limiting gas-oil ratios, should remain in effect.

CASE 3559 (Reopened):

In the matter of Case No. 3559 being reopened pursuant to the provisions of Order No. R-3228, which order established 80-acre spacing units for the South Flying "M"-Pennsylvanian Fool, Lea County, New Maxico, for a period of one year. All interested parties may appear and show cause why said pool should not be developed on 40-acre spacing units.

ir/

Gulf Oil Corporation

EXPLORATION AND PRODUCTION DEPARTMENT -- U. S. OPERATIONS

ROSWELL DISTRICT

April 8, 1968

P. O. Drawer 1938 Roswell, New Mexico £8:201

Carl 3756

T.W. Kidd DISTRICT MANAGER M. I. Taylor DISTRICT PRODUCTION MANAGER F. O. Martlack DISTRICT EXPLORATION MANAGER H. A. Rankin DISTRICT SERVICES MANAGER

Oil Conservation Commission State of New Mexico Post Office Box 2088 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

Attention: Mr. A. L. Porter, Jr.

Re: Application of Gulf Oil Corporation for Salt Water Disposal, East Millman Queen Grayburg Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico

ं द्र

Gentlemen:

Gulf Oil Corporation respectfully requests an examiner hearing to consider its application for approval to dispose of salt water in the Eddy State "AN" Well No. 5, located 2310' FSL & 2310' FEL of Section 13, T-19-S, R-28-E, East Millman Queen Grayburg Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico.

The salt water to be disposed of is produced from the East Millman Queen Grayburg Pool on applicant's Eddy State "BN" Lease described as the SE/4 of Section 11 and the Eddy State "AN" Lease described as the S/2 NW/4, N/2 SW/4 and N/2 SE/4 of Section 13. The attached plat shows these leases outlined in red and the proposed injection well circled in green. It is proposed to dispose of this produced salt water in the Queen Grayburg interval 1773' - 2142' through 2-3/8" OD plastic coated tubing set in a tension type retrievable packer at approximately 1700'. A schematic diagram of the proposed salt water disposal well is attached.

The disposal of this produced salt water underground is necessary to comply with the Commission's Order R-3221 which prohibits surface disposal after January 1, 1969.

A copy of this application has been furnished to offset operators.

Respectfully submitted,

GULF OIL CORPORATION

cm e Tay

M. I. Taylor

DONKET MILLED

Dato 4-18-68

Yates Petroleum Corporation 309 Carper Building Artesia, New Mexico 88210

Attachments JHH:sz

cc: New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission Drawer DD Artesia, New Mexico 88210 Sunray DX Cil Company

Post Office Box 1416 Roswell, New Mexico 88201



Teomey-Allen	Store (Summer DC Cheesman	rsiate i	Si ui e A-Semese	(Mctrory) 10 - 3505010-100:	U
€204 €275	90 2402 218 101 15 V 5 W # 15 1 2402 2300 H 8 P	Breas Pacific (ETTE) Bohic Briese Scific (ETTE) Bohic Briese Scific (Etterse) Briese Scific (Etterse)	dierzeo 42:60 BOhio	fee H Williams 1136 at Stor	u use Superior C iii
12 Ospeco 85 83	217 State 647 (8 syndia)	LE RECECT IL MOTON	\$ 16 6 5	(64004'8-Fed)	.1-15-7C
"L+3"	tott Oil i H H P	4-21-68 4-10-57	12945 11200 4036	. 31	
3 250 g) 44+ L ⁶ Stanoliji 1 10 45 j	D C Cheesman (3150 Merchant (53716) 2302 () () () () () () () () () (McCourStevens Stevens Pacific ONO-St St	Sivley Alscott	
	ر	Miller & Smith State Miller & Smith State	Redfein Dev (n Marannan W C.Welch	т.,,,†	- 4
201 Pe	State	Relph Nix 4-21-68 14-10-57 Stete	2008 State	3005 USA	S i
12 "B" OBI	4 3 2 Stanoj, i Minico Restar & Kates	4 3 2 1 A Tarac New Merice (4Stanolind)	4 3 2 1 (* Boss et al	4 3 2 L #Stano I-IA 651	4 3 U Suntay
L'Southwest Pri Lowe-State		Development Co j 9-16-65 j		- 18 65 - S	1: 18: 64'
2 Resier 6 Yates et al	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	22-2			Miller Bros.
	240 Ac. Al	18 Sunray Mid Cont.	EROBART E MCKOC	12 Nix & Curtis	15 Sunray Mid Cor
	нвр	\$11×60 1 160 AC		Nikt Curtus-St	8 - 16 - 65
	127. Lowe 12-19-67	Stote	Onio Oil Merchant- State	Bharfin Yates III 11 State NIG T I Shulau	"Jones & Walkin. S
f e Setman	40 AC	1ª Western-Yotes USouthwest Frod,	Ø ¹ 3055'	1 g Stanieu L. Jones Continental 0. 5000'	ø,
•3 •0	WIC 64 RL	La Messella, toles	125unrayMidCont 10-10-60 4-16-67 1 \$2370 1 1	H I	s Stanley Contine
9×15:63*1 1 1	Stonetund XD 72 F 15 Depco Inc. 63 71 75		Perry R. Bass Philip Hist Jerry Currie	- 2 - 1	l
State	2330° ↓ 0 48"	295	Selfzer Sr 22500 2	- 3-4 7	
	¥174 1W	•Ka • 4 87565 • 3 • 4 10 55 • 5 • 10 10 • 10	B Raiph Lowe Bais 4408-272" 1 L R&B-SIGIB	2832' 57	"c 1
Southwest Prod	L ^E Westenn Ø "Yotes 2180"	"648" (NCT-A) Eddy-State	B Sunray Mid-Cont. O.	- 4 - 2 (Continental) John & Yates (1 (Elizabeth Dunder)	"Contin∉
1e 2315	2-WEI State	•189 3 T	2 1 State S New Mexico-State 19 Sunray Mid Cont 19 John A Yates	Stole	<i>S</i> :
12 Texas 6-18-67	19 Western-Yotes 4 "E" -1-, "5003" 1-2-19-14	IS Western-Yates	2 Sunray Mid Cont U. John A. Yates 2 10" 1 2308 Mere Maxico-State	Lehn Al Gin GB 9-15-63 Connal Gin GB 9-15-63 Connal Connal Conna Con	É Sunray Mid-Cent. 10-20-63 "W"
		T Stote	Elliott & Porcell	n Tejas Pet Co	270641
HBP 1		VIC2147 H6 5707 645 [95 2255' 2043'		2-10-64"*" sinctair state B	New Mexico State
I. S. "MILLNAN" 39'37.584 11'06.877	15 -644*(1715)	Hit Hitstern-Yates 161 Bill 1	4 (3396 160 AL 39 160 AL 30 30 AL	Editoret 16 20.63	RSuncay Mid Cont. 11-18-68
• 11 06.877	-646"(Tr.15) 165 2141	State	(240 Ac) Eddy State	- 4 -	BMA Machris
cific cal	"GASTIFIS) 5/0/00 556 M (150	H5 183 Winter 178 2550 - 5707 - 57	•4 State / New Mexico-State	State	51
りつチ		4 5 1 dentional Yates	•2	2 Kinney 15M A. Machris 2 - 10 - 61 3 - 16 - 64	2 -10 -61 1-10-6"
	Sweich Evenson 22 112	ł	WS North cott State No E-5702	- 2 - UM.A.	+
197500	Panetheren watch Fad		W S NorthEatt A	Machris	7-21-62
13370) 120 Ac	1 _ 22	23 Bibepco Cima Capitan, 'm Landenberger	Contranated 24	3	HP HP
Eday State Or	•138 •153 2738'	Landeaberger	37:6		
601150-11/10-12 (Cord State) 01 (Cord	● ¹⁸⁷ State	\$7 5000 Stole	siole	2-16-64 Store	5;
104 8/8 22. Swe-stole Angrif St. 177		Malce-St. (Bulf N)		I U Machris	13 M A I LS M. Nachrist II
* Western-Yates	(53370) (1) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5	320	62.851 8/8 asi Only)	5-19-69	RP 1
"648"	240 Ac		1920 Ac 5200	2	
V.H. McKinley	Eddy-State 27	26	25	30 30 IS Machris	U M.A Mechrit
1 13 04	BP BP		Eddy-State	2 MA CIGONS 1-11-53	19 54
	(63370)	E S. PYHES	"D" Stofe	4 13 M A Machris 5 12 89	USunray Mid Con gl"AU"
1.	STOLE Carry Store	Store	22N-34W	1-1	St AU" 7405 S MOW HOL-St USUNON- MID-INT I
I		1. 3. 3. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.		1 '	Turid-Tour y

-



Car 3750

BEFORE THE NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION Santa Fe, New Mexico May 1, 1960 EXAMINER HEARING					
IN THE MATTER OF: Application of Gulf Oil Corporation) for salt water disposal, Eddy) County, New Mexico.					
BEFORE: Elvis A. Utz, Examiner					
TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING					

.

~		page					
NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION							
SANTA FE , NOW MEXICO							
MAY	TIME: 9 A.						
Hearing Date <u>MAY</u>		LOCATION					
	REPRESENTING						
Bray T. Buell DAVID G. WIGHT Bill Kastler John Hoard Bob Coleman Bill Kern Jason W. Kellahi	PAN AM PAN AM Gulf L BTA Kelleting You	FORT WORTH FORT WORTH Sound L Mideand, Texts Anta F. h. h.					

•

.

14

.

MR. UTZ: Hearing will come to order. First case

on the docket will be Case 3756. MR. HATCH: Case 3756. Application of Gulf

Oil Corporation for salt water disposal, Eddy County, New

MR. KASTLER: If the Examiner please, my name Mexico. is Bill Kastler, I'm an attorney from Roswell with Gulf Oil Corporation and our witness at this hearing will be

Mr. John H. Hoover.

(Witness sworn)

MR. UTZ: Are there other appearances? You

may proceed.

JOHN HOOVER

called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. KASTLER:

Mr. Hoover, have you previously appeared before the New Mexico Oil Commission and testified as an expert

Petroleum Engineer?

Yes, I have. And are you familiar with Gulf's application in А 0

Case No. 3756?

A Yes, sir.

Q What is Gulf seeking in this case?

A We are asking for authority to dispose of produced salt water into the Queen-Grayburg formation in our Eddy "AN" State Well No. 5, in the East Millman Queen-Grayburg pool, Eddy County, New Mexico. The disposal of this produced salt water is necessary to comply with the Commission's Order R-3221 which prohibits surface disposal after January 1, 1969.

Q Will you please explain what is shown on Exhibit No. 1?

(Whereupon, Applicant's Exhibits 1 through 5 marked for identification)

A Yes, sir. Exhibit No. 1 is a plat of our leases in the East Millman Queen-Grayburg pool from which this salt water is produced. We have outlined our leases on this plat in red and the Eddy "AN" State Lease is described as the south half of the northwest quarter, and the north half, southwest quarter, and the north half, southeast quarter, Section 13. The Eddy "BN" State is described as the southeast quarter of Section 11, both of these being in Township 19 South, Eange 28 East, Eddy County, New Mexico. The proposed injection well is

circled and colored in green and it is located 2,310 feet from the east line and 2,310 feet from the south line of this Section 13.

Q Will you please explain what is shown on Exhibit No. 2?

A Exhibit No. 2 is also a plat of the East Millman area. The proposed injection well being our Eddy "AN"State No. 5 is marked by an orange arrow. The wells on this plat are marked to indicate the producing formation insofar as we were able to ascertain from our records. The color code that we have used is explained under the legend and it's the Queen -- the Queen formation is red, the Seven Rivers is yellow, blue is San Andres, and brown is Grayburg. On the pools in Section 18 to the east, there is one well which is colored in yellow. That is the only well in the Palmillo-Seven Rivers Pool.

To the southwest the wells that are colored in yellow are the Millman East Seven Rivers. To the northwest wells that are colored in red, blue and brown are in the Artesia Pool and on these particular wells our records didn't indicate the producing formation, therefore, I have only colored those for Queen, San Andres and Grayburg, which are the producing formations of the Artesia Pool.

All the other wells are in the East Millman Queen-Grayburg pool. To the west of our Eddy "AN" State is a waterflood project which is operated by Depco. This is offsetting our "AN" to the west and it's offsetting our "BN" to the south and east and they are, at the present time, injecting water into the Queen and Grayburg formations.

Q The dual completions are dually colored, is that correct?

A Not necessarily. If it's an injection well, they possibly may be dually injecting in both the Queen and the Grayburg, however, the producing wells in most cases, are open in the section producing at a single zone.

Q Will you now explain what is shown on Exhibit No. 3?

A Exhibit No. 3 is a schematic diagram of the injection well. We have eight and five-eighths inch OD casing set at 620 feet, it was cemented with 150 sacks and although we did not have the temperature survey, we estimated the top of the cement at 345 feet. We have five and a half inch casing set at 2,225 feet, cemented with 300 sacks and the estimated top of the cement is at 1,200 feet. The total depth of this well was 2,240 feet. It's plugged back to 2,195 feet. We propose to

have two and three-eighths inch tubing which will be internally plastic-coated and it will be set in a packer at 1,700 feet. The perforations are 1,773 feet to 1,785 feet, 2,033 feet to 2,043 feet, 2,136 feet to 2,142 feet.

MR. UTZ: Where did you say the top of the cement would be on the five and a half?

A We estimate it at 1,200 feet.

MR. UTZ: Go ahead.

A This well has a fish in it, it's got 130 feet of tubing which is still in the well. We expect if this application is approved that we would dispose of the water into this well by gravity flow.

Q Mr. Hoover, will you now please refer to what we have marked as Exhibit No. 4 and explain that?

A Exhibit No. 4 is a log, a sonic log, of the proposed injection well, being our Eddy "AN" State Well No. 5. On this log we have marked the top of the Queen; it's on the bottom part of the log, Mr. Utz, at 1,694 feet, the top of the Grayburg at 2,070 feet, and we show the plug-back depth there of 2,195 feet. The perforations are also shown. The top set of perforations, as we explained on Exhibit 3, are also shown on here.

The top set, 1,773 feet to 1,785 feet, that is in the Queen; the middle set of 2,033 feet to 2,043 feet, that is also Queen; and the bottom set, 2,136 feet to 2,142 feet is in the Grayburg.

Q It is noted that the log lists this well as John Hill Eddy State No. 1. Will you explain the difference in the nomenclature of the well?

A Yes, this well was originally drilled by John Hill on a Gulf farmout. The well was completed in March of 1960 and after producing 6,112 barrels of oil it was temporarily abandoned in January, 1964. We took the well over and renamed it the Eddy "AN" State No. 5.

Q What is the volume of salt water that you plan to dispose of in this application, if this application is approved?

A To answer this question I have prepared an Exhibit No. 5 where I have tabulated the monthly water production from the Eddy "AN" State and the Eddy "BN" State Leases. By months, for the year 1967, and for January and February, 1968, on the righthand column I have averaged the water production per day by barrels which you will notice it runs anywhere from 68 to 44 and at the bottom we have averaged the water production

of would be approximately 60 barrels per day. What is the quality of the water to be injected? An analysis of the produced water from the Eddy "AN" State Well No. 1 was made and the chloride content was 61,000 parts per million; from the "AN" Well No. 3 it was 52,200 parts per million of chlorides. The "BN" Well No. 1, chloride content was 82,400 parts you refer to this proposal as a salt water disposal and not as a waterflood even though you are injecting per million. nto the producing formations. Is there any particular reason for calling this a salt water disposal instead of a waterflood or pressure maintenance? In view of the fact that the Commission's Order R-3221; prohibits the surface disposal of water after January 1, 1969, we need to dispose of this water, therefore, we considered it as salt water disposal mainly. The injected volume of only 60 barrels per day is so

from each lease, from the Eddy "AN" State, the average per day for the year 1967 and January, February, 1968, was 37 barrels per day; the "BN" State 21 barrels, making a total of 58 barrels per day, therefore, to answer your question, we would estimate that the water to be disposed

.

.

small that we do not anticipate any production increase. It will be injected into the producing formation and there is an outside chance we could get a little push but if that should happen, we don't think it will, but if it should, and a reclassification is necessary, we would expect to request such reclassification.

Q Were all of the offset operators notified of Gulf's application for this salt water disposal?

A Yes, they were and we have received no indication of any objections.

Q Do you have anything further to add in this case?

A No, sir.

Q Were Exhibits 1 through 5 prepared by you or at your direction and under your supervision?

A Yes, they were.

MR. KASTLER: This concludes our hearing on Direct, Mr. Examiner, and I would like at this time to move that Exhibits 1 through 5 be admitted into evidence.

MR. UTZ: Without objection Exhibits 1 through 5 will be entered into the record of this case.

> (Whereupon, Applicant's Exhibits 1 through 5 were offered and admitted in evidence.)

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. UTZ:

Q Do you happen to have the gravity or estimated average gravity of your salt water?

A If I don't have it with me I can get it. From the Eddy State "AN" No. 1 it was 1.069. From the "AN" Well No. 3 it was 1.066, and the gravity on the "BN" Well No. 1 was 1.082.

Q So that your hydrostatic head at the top of the perforations being on the order of 825 to 50 pounds?

A Yes, sir.

Q And you feel that this formation will take that amount of water easily enough at that pressure?

A Actually, we have made no injection test, but we went into this well with the idea of maybe recovering that fish and perforating a little lower interval, possibly make a small producer out of it, the way it turned out we couldn't get the fish out so we dropped that part, but in the process of trying to work this over, we lost considerable water to the formation just keeping it loaded so we feel like we have a well that will probably take -well, at that time we were losing at the rate of about 500 barrels per day on this short test, so we feel that

and it will be just by the hydrostatic head.

Q There are some nearby wells that are producing from this same formation, are there not?

A Yes, sir, referring to Exhibit No. 2, the offset wells, the dimect north and south, actually, they are northeast and southwest offsets, those wells are in the -- completed in the Grayburg.

Q I see.

A They are not open in the Queen. This injection well, we feel, although this is strictly an opinion, that most of the water will be going into the Queen formation because the porosity is about double in the Queen compared to what our section that is open in the Grayburg is, so we feel like the bulk of the water. if not all of it, will go into the Queen, therefore, those offset wells are not open in the Queen.

Q And because of that you wouldn't expect any response from your injection of water here?

A No, sir, we feel like that the volume is too small.

Q You say this well was drilled in 1960?

A It was completed, yes, sir, in March of 1960.

Q Do you know whether or not new casing was used on the five and a half inch string?

A No, sir, I don't.

Q Do you intend to pressure test the casing on this well?

A Yes, sir. One thing I didn't mention on Exhibit No. 3, we will load the casing tubing annulus with inhibited water, which will also give us a periodic check on the casing in addition to protecting.

Q So in view of that, then the only casing problem that you might have would be below the packer?

A That's right, yes, sir.

Q Around the shoe probably?

A Yes, sir, which would be right at the perforations.

Q Pardon?

A Which would be right at the perforations. We will have a packer set 70 feet above the top perforation.

Q You are going to use internally plastic-coated new two-inch tubing?

A Yes, sir.

Q And your annulus will either have a pressure gauge or left open so you can detect any change from the static condition of the annulus?

A Yes, sir.

MR. UTZ: Are there other questions of the witness? Witness may be excused.

(Witness excused)

MR. UTZ: Are there statements in this case? Case will be taken under advisement.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)) ss COUNTY OF BERNALILLO)

I, KAY EMBREE, Notary Public in and for the County of Bernalillo, State of New Mexico, do hereby certify that the foregoing and attached Transcript of Hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission was reported by me; and that the same is a true and correct record of the said proceedings, to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

Witness my Hand and Seal this 6th day of May, 1968.

Kay Emlyce NOTARY PUBLIC

My Commission Expires: November 19, 1971

s constant mouth with the thereading to the maintene heating of Caes 20.3256, here is no chi 5-26-66, 19 Thursde TAST UST Hos Morios 011 Constantion Constantion





TABULATION OF MONTHLY WATER PRODUCTION GULF OIL CORPORATION EDDY "AN" & "BN" STATE LEASES EAST MILLMAN QUEEN GRAYBURG POOL EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

	Eddy "AN" State Bbls.	Eddy "EN" State Bbls.	Total Bbls.	Avg/Day Bbls.
1967				
January	1,181	637	1,818	59
February	1,281	611	1,892	68
March	1,419	680	2,099	68
April	1,254	633	1,887	63
May	1,052	656	1,708	55
June	1,182	571	1,753	58
July	1,128	593	1,721	56
August	1,144	690	1,834	59
September	1,109	680	1,789	60
October	771	600	1,371	7874
November	1,089	630	1,719	57
December	1,144	650	1,794	58
<u>1968</u>				
January	1,144	694	1,838	59
February	980	607	1,587	55
TOTAL	15,878	8,932	24,810	
Avg/Day	37	21	58	

Case No. <u>3756</u> Exhibit No. <u>5</u>

.

REFORMERY AND UTZ KILLADIAL AND AND UTZ KILLADIAL AND S CASE NO. 1077 D.G.