CASE 3837: Appli. of CONTINENTAL for non-stnd. gas unit & gas well loc. & transfer of underproduction

Number -ase Application Transcripts. Small Exhibits

GOVERNOR DAVID F. CARGO CHAIRMAN

State of New Mexico Gil Conservation Commission

STATE GEOLOGIST A. L. PORTER, JR. SECRETARY - DIRECTOR

P. O. BOX 2088 Santa Fe

September 9, 1968

Mr. Jason Kellahin Kellahin & Fox Attorneys at Law Post Office Box 1769 Santa Fe, New Mexico

Re:	Case No	38.	57
	Order No.	R-349	92
-	Applicant:	-	
-	Continenta	1 011	Company

Dear Sir:

LAND COMMISSIONER GUYTON B. HAYS MEMBER

> Enclosed herewith are two copies of the above-referenced Commission order recently entered in the subject case.

> > Very truly yours,

A. L. PORTER, Jr. Secretary-Director

ALP/ir

Carbon copy of drder also sent to:

Hobbs OCC X

Artesia OCC

Aztec OCC

1 -

Other____

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF 'THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

> CASE No. 3837 Order No. R-3492

APPLICATION OF CONTINENTAL OIL COMPANY FOR THE CONSOLIDATION OF THREE NON-STANDARD GAS PRORATION UNITS, TEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 a.m. on August 21, 1968, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner Daniel S. Nutter.

NOW, on this <u>9th</u> day of September, 1968, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the testimony, the record, and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the press,

FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That the applicant, Continental Oil Company, is the operator and co-owner of the Lynn B-1 Lease which, in addition to other lands, consists of Section 26, Township 23 South, Range 36 East, NMPM, Jalmat Gas Pool, Lea County, New Mexico.

(3) That the E/2 of said Section 26 is presently dedicated to the applicant's Lynn B-1 Well No. 1 located in Unit J of said section, that the NW/4 of said Section 26 is presently dedicated to the applicant's Lynn B-1 Well No. 3 located in Unit C of said section, and that the SW/4 of said Section 26 is presently dedicated to applicant's Lynn B-1 Well No. 4 located in Unit M of said section. -2-CASE No. 3837 Order No. R-3492

(4) That the applicant now seeks the consolidation of the three existing ion-standard gas promation units to form one 640acre standard gas promation unit comprising all of said Section 26 to be simultaneously dedicated to the aforesaid Lynn B-1 Wells Nos. 1, 3, and 4. In the alternative, the applicant seeks the consolidation of the two non-standard gas promation units comprising the E/2 and the SW/4 of said Section 26 into one 480-acre non-standard unit to be dedicated to the aforesaid Lynn B-1 Well No. 1.

(5) That the proposed standard gas provation unit can be efficiently and economically drained and developed by the Lynn B-1 Wells Nos. 1, 3, and 4.

(6) That approval of the subject application will afford the applicant the opportunity to produce its just and equitable share of the gas in the Jalmat Gas Pool, and will otherwise prevent waste and protect correlative rights.

(7) That Administrative Orders NSP-715, NSP-716, and NSP-717 should be superseded.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That effective October 1, 1968, a 640-acre standard gas proration unit in the Jalmat Gas Pool comprising all of Section 26, Township 23 South, Range 36 East, NMFM, Lea County, New Mexico, is hereby established and dedicated to the Continental Oil Company Lynn B-1 Well No. 1, located in Unit J of said Section 26, the Continental Oil Company Lynn B-1 Well No. 3, located in Unit C of said Section 26, and the Lynn B-1 Well No. 4, located in Unit M of said Section 26.

(2) That the allowable assigned to the above-described gas proration unit shall be based upon the unit size of 640 acres; that the operator may produce the allowable assigned to the unit from the subject wells in any proportion; that the status of said consolidated unit shall be the combined status, as of October 1, 1968, of the three units being consolidated.

(3) That Administrative Orders NSP-715, NSP-716, and NSP-717 res hereby superseded.

-3-CASE No. 3837 Order No. R-3492

(4) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Commission may deem necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO OLL CONSERVATION COMMISSION



DAVID F. CARGO, Chairman GUNTON B. HAVE Momber

A. L. PORTER, Jr., Member & Secretary

esr/

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

OF THE STATE O' NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF CONTINENTAL OIL COMPANY FOR APPROVAL OF A STANDARD GAS PRORATION UNIT IN THE JALMAT GAS POOL CONSISTING OF SECTION 26, T-235, R36E, TO BE ASSIGNED JOINTLY TO APPLICANT'S LYNN B-1 WELLS NOS 1, 3, AND 4; OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE FOR APPROVAL OF A 480-ACRE-NON-STANDARD GAS PRORATION UNIT CONSISTING OF THE EAST HALF AND SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF SAID SECTION 26 TO BE ALLOCATED TO APPLICANT'S LYNN B-1 WELL NO. 1 IN THE JALMAT GAS POOL, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

Cace 3837

38 AUG 5 AH 8 22

APPLICATION

COMES NOW Applicant, Continental Oil Company, and respectfully requests approval of a standard gas proration unit in the Jalmat Gas Pool consisting of Section 26, T235, R36E, Lea County, New Mexico, to be assigned jointly to applicant's Lynn B-1 Wells Nos. 1, 3, and 4; or in the alternative, for approval of a 480-acre non-standard gas proration unit consisting of E/2 and SW/4 of said Section 26, to be allocated to applicant's Lynn B-1 Well No. 1 and in support thereof would show:

- 1. Applicant is operator and co-owner of the Lynn B-I Lease which, in addition to other lands, consists of Section 26, T23S, R36E, Lea County, New Mexico.
- 2. Applicant has heretofor drilled and completed as Jalmat gas wells its Lynn B-1 Well No. 1, located 2310' from the south line and 2310' from the east line; its Well No. 3, located 990' from the north line and 1650' from the west line; and its Well No. 4, located 990' from the south line and 990' from the west line of said Section 26.
- 3. Administrative Order NSP -715 approved a 320-acre provation unit consisting of E/2 Section 26 for Well No. 1; NSP-716 approved a 160-acre unit consisting of NW/4, of said Section 26 for Well No. 3; NSP 717 approved a 160-acre unit consisting of SW/4 of said Section 26 for Well No. 4.
- 4. Producing capacity of Well No. 4 has declined to the extent that it can no longer produce the allowable for the unit assigned by NSP 717.

- 5. In the interest of protecting its correlative rights, applicant proposes to form a standard unit and allocate said unit jointly to the three said wells; or in the alternative, to allocate to Well No. 1 the acreage and accrued underproduction assigned to Well No. 4.
- 6. That the granting of this application is in the interest of preventing waste and protecting correlative rights.

WHEREFORE Applicant respectively prays that this matter be set for hearing before the Commission's duly appointed examiner and upon hearing, an order be entered approving the standard gas proration unit or in the alternative, the non-standard gas proration unit as described above.

Respectfully submitted,

Jamieson CONTINENTAL OIL COMPANY





CONTINENTAL OIL COMPANY

P. O. Box 460 HOBBS, NEW MEXICO 88240

August 23, 1968

1001 NORTH TURNER TELEPHONE 393-4141

PRODUCTION DEPARTMENT HOBBS DIVISION L. P. THOMPSON **Division** Manager G. C. JAMIESON Assistant Division Manager

> New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission P. 0. Box 2088 Santa Fe, New Mexico

Attention of Mr. D. S. Nutter

Re: Case 3837 - August 21, 1968 Examiner Docket

Gentlemen:

As requested by the examiner at the hearing on Case 3837 we forward herewith tests conducted March 15, 1968, on Lynn B-1 Wells Nos. 1, 3, and 4. As shown, the wells tested at the rates shown below:

le11	No. 1		2203 MCFPD	
le]]	No.3		1205 NCFPD	
lel l	No. 4		1076 HCFPD	

Please advise if additional information is required.

OLEUM

Yours very truly,

VIJym

G

R

VTL-JS

ERR

Ο N V. T. LYON

268 AUG 26 AH 8 42

875

NCE

$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			e - 19 F. 17							- 5 1		
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	MININ	UM CUNTRA	CT TEST		- 1			• {	Start Date of	Test]
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Form 15-	-20 (10-67)			-		EOS		Marc	n 1),	1955	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Compin					n na ann an an an an an ann an an an an	Leuse	1 B-1	No. 1			· · · · ·
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Con	itinental 0	the second se	У								
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Unll	1	· · · · ·	Louniy	Ĩes		Jala	nat			Compte	
Single Producting Thru X 31/0 Xet 21 in X Xet 21			23 36	J		Casing			1			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1		Prod	ucing Thru	1			3	13.2	!o.		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Sir	Brevious Minim	um Contract Te	st n, of Previs	us Back Pre	ssure Test		0			11	2.00
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	g-	1-67			1.00	00	.00	<u>y</u>	<u> </u>			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$							FLOW	NG PR	ESSURES,	PS!A		
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	[FL	the second s					1			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		r Ch	oke	Pressure	E .		Tubing			······		
160 100 000000 VOLUME CALCULATIONS Coefficient (24 · Hour) $\sqrt{h_w} P_m$ Pressure Prise Provements Pressure Provements Pressure Provements Pressure Provements Pressure Provements Pressure P			ze	Psig.	·				1 177	.2		24
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$				160			Closed					
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			******		VO	I UME CALC	ULATIONS					
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	n. La se				r	the second se	and the second design of the s			ess.	Ro	MCFPD @
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Coefficient	/h	w Pm		Factor	Foctor				<u> </u>	5.025 Psia.
VOLUME INTEGRATED BY PATH OFFICES SHUT-IN DATA, PSIA D ₁ = Q $P_c^2 - P_d^2$ Pr Tonip, R Tr Z D ₁ = Q P_c^2 - P_d^2 P_r Tonip, R Tr Z LINE ITEM SOURCE 113.2 263.2 613.2 863.2 LINE Pc ² J\130.2 0 0 0 0 0 S Pc ² Pc ² T-2 130.2 0 0 0 0 S Pc ² Pc ² T-3 11.67 0 0 0 0		(24 - Hour)						102				2,203
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				V	OLUME I	NTEGRATED H	SY MAIN OFF			ا ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ		
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	-							ł	\$I	IUT-IN D	DATA, PS	the second
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			-n •					DU	RATION	TUE	SING	
LINE ITEM SOURCE 113.2 263.2 613.2 863.2 1 P_c^2 143.0	<u> </u>	$-0 P_c^2 - 1 $	Pd2	Pr	Tenip	<u>. R 17</u>		h		Valve	Closes	3/3.2
LINE ITEM SOURCE 113.2 263.2 613.2 863.2 1 P_c^2 143.0	Ut :	Pc ² - 1	Pt				<u>]</u>]	4	8 Hour			
LINE ITEM SOURCE 113.2 263.2 053.2 1 P_c^2 $1k_3.0$		L .						7		<u>l</u>		
LINE ITEM COULD 1 P_c^2 143.0 2 P_d^2 12.8 3 P_t^2 31.4 4 $P_c^2 - P_d^2$ $\overline{1} - \overline{2}$ 130.2 5 $P_c^2 - P_t^2$ $\overline{1} - \overline{3}$ 111.6 6 B $\overline{4} \div \overline{5}$ 1.167 7 $Log \overline{6}$	r	T		1122		263.2	613.	2		863.2		
2 P_d^2 12.8 3 P_t^2 31.4 4 $P_c^2 - P_d^2$ $\overline{1} - \overline{2}$ 130.2 5 $P_c^2 - P_t^2$ $\overline{1} - \overline{3}$ 111.6 6 B $\overline{4} \div \overline{5}$ 1.167 7 $Log \overline{6}$	LINI		SOURCE							-		
3 P_1^2 31.4 4 $P_c^2 - P_d^2$ $\overline{1} - \overline{2}$ 130.2 5 $P_c^2 - P_t^2$ $\overline{1} - \overline{3}$ 111.6 6 B $\overline{4} \div \overline{5}$ 1.167 7 $Log \overline{6}$	1	Pc ²		143.0								
4 $P_c^2 - P_d^2$ $\overline{1} - \overline{2}$ 130.2 5 $P_c^2 - P_t^2$ $\overline{1} - \overline{3}$ 111.6 6 B $\overline{4} \div \overline{5}$ 1.167 7 $Log \overline{6}$	2	Pd2		12.8								
4 $P_c^2 - P_d^2$ $\overline{1} - \overline{2}$ 130.2 5 $P_c^2 - P_t^2$ $\overline{1} - \overline{3}$ 111.6 6 B $\overline{4} \div \overline{5}$ 1.167 7 $Log \overline{6}$		P.2	-	31.4								
5 $P_c^2 - P_t^2$ $\overline{1} - \overline{3}$ 111.6 6 B $\overline{4} \div \overline{5}$ 1.167 7 Log $\overline{6}$												
6 B $\bar{4} \div \bar{5}$ 1.167 7 Log $\bar{6}$	4											
7 Log $\tilde{6}$ 1.000 8 nt 1.000 9 $\tilde{7} \times \tilde{8}$ 10 B ^{nt} Antilog $\bar{9}$ 1.167 11 Q.MCF/D 2.203	5	$P_c^2 - P_t^2$										
8 n_1 1.000 9 $\overline{7} \times \overline{8}$	- · •	S B	4÷5	1.167								
9 $\overline{7} \times \overline{8}$ 10 B^{n_t} Antilog $\overline{9}$ 1.167 11 Q.MCF/D 2,203 11 Q.MCF/D 2.571		7 Log 6				<u>.</u>				-		
10 B^{n_1} Antilog $\overline{9}$ 1.167 11 Q.MCF/D 2,203	-	8 n _t		1.000		<u> </u>						•
11 Q.MCF/D 2,203		9	7 x 8									
		0 B ^{nt}	Antilog 9	1.167								
	\neg	Q.MCF/D	1	2,203								
	· ·		10 × 11	2,571	·						_	

Slope of Wellhead Deliverability Curve (Pc² - Pt² vs Q) Actual flow e end of Flow Period at Wellhead Press., Pt. n. =

- Q =
- Maximum Shut-in Pressure, Psia Pc = Observed in a 72 Hour Period Flowing Wellhead Pressure (tubing if flowing thru tubing and vice versa), Psia
- Pt =
- Pd = Deliverability Pressure, Psia
- D₁ = Wellhead Deliverability © Deliverability Pressure (Pd), MCF/Day

Witnessed By: (Name)	88 Aug 26 AH 8 42	
Company:		
Tested By:	J. B. Murray	
Calculated By:	Ed Made	=

El Pass , atunt Gas Congany HINTER CONTRACT TEST

Form 1	5-30 (10-67)			e de la seconda de la secon La seconda de la seconda de	1						Start Date	of Test			
									E	GS	Mar	ch 15, 1	968		
Comp				721 FTF 774027.	en inse i				Lease		vennelssneresserve	27.57. (2007) T.1.100)			
Continental Oil Company				Lynn B-1 No. 3											
Unit				County					Pcol						
C		23	36	1	-	Le	8		1	almat					
1,200			Deadu			Tuhing		Casing	Top of Pay		Bar. Pres		Compressor		
	ingle			cing Th						957	13.		None		
	of Previous Mi	nlaum Centre	et Tes	t nt of P	tevio				Gravity	(1)0	Meter Sto.		Acré Factor		
9	-1-67			-	÷	ر لل مشاہدین	000			670	60-	014	1.00		
					<u>.</u>					NULLC	DOSCILLOSC				
Prove	and the second states of the second states	Choke		Stotic		<u> </u>			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		PRESSURES,	PSIA	DURATION OF		
Line		Orifice		Pressu	re 🗄	Diff hw		Temp. •F	Tubi	ing	c	asing	FLOW HOURS		
512.0				- Psig											
				124			< 1	i i	1 19	53.2	233	.2	24		
Leon te			aanunen - ł						ULATIONS						
· ·	<u> </u>			<u>F. 11 a.</u>		Y					····				
	Coellicient		/ h. I			essure	F	low Temp. Factor	Gravity Factor		Super Compi Factor	ess.	Rote of Flow Q-MCFPD@		
	(24 - Hour)		V			Psio.	<u> </u>	Factor Ft	- Fg		F _{PV}		15.025 Psio.		
					VO	LUME I	ITE	GRATED E	Y MAIN OF	FICE			1,205		
				-							S⊦		A, PSIA		
D	$Q = \begin{bmatrix} P_c^2 - P_c^2 - P_c^2 \end{bmatrix}$	Pd2			Pr	Tem	p. R	Tr	Z	D	URATION	TUBING	CASING		
×1 -	P _c ² -	Pt ²									24 Hour	443.2			
	L.,	J		.					J		48 Hour	458.2			
				<u></u>							72 Hour	463.2	463.2		
LINE	ITËM	SOURCE		113.	2			263.2	613	1.2	8	63.2			
1	Pc ²			23.4.6											
2	۶ď		j j	12.8						B					
3	Pt ²		1	23.5	_	· · ·						e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e			
4	$P_c^2 - P_d^2$	ī - ī	2	201.8	and the second										
5	$P_c^2 - P_1^2$	ī - <u>3</u>]]	191.1											
6	В	4 ÷5]]	1.056									•		
7	Log õ						•								
6	nt]]	1.000				·.							
9		7 x 8											•		
10	B ⁿ t	Antilog 9]]	1.056				-				and the second sec	la dia 2		
n	Q.MCF/D]]	1,205											
12	Dt	10 x 11]]	1,272								268 Aug	26 AH 8 42		
				>	1										

nt =

Slope of Wellhead Deliverability Curve (Pc² - Pt² vs Q) Actual flow \in end of Flow Period at Wellhead Press., Pt. Q .

Pe = Maximum Shut-in Pressure, Psia Observed in a 72 Hour Period Flowing Wellhead Pressure (tubing if flowing thru tubing and vice versa), Psia Pt =

PJ = Deliverability Pressure; Psia

D₁ = Wellhead Deliverability @ Deliverability Pressure (Pd), MCF/Day

Witnessed By: (Name) Company: Tested By: J. B. Murray Calculated By: Ed Mabe

 α_{0}

1

El Páser, Storeg Gyá Congraty MINIMUM CONTRACT TEST

	5-10 (10-077						•		4		Stort Da	e of Test		
									EGS	; .	i Ma	rch 15,	1968	
Comp								Lease				977 A 72 A 52 A 5	, 1 .9 9.000 .00000	
		1 01] Con							Lyn	m B-1	No. 4			
M 26 23 36 Lea								Jaluat						
	Type Well Casing								ay yo	1480	Bat. Pre	ssure	Com	TESSOI
	ngle	4	Producing '	Thru		· · ["	X		300	07		.2		None
		nimura Contra-	t Test nio	Previa	us Back Pi	essur	e Test	Gravity			Meter Sto		Acre	Factor
9-	1-67			·	.80	06			.66	io	60	-815		1.00
61111-1	en andere de segueren. Rename di tetra grave													
		<u> </u>	FLOW DA		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				FLOW	ING PRI	ESSURES	, PSIA		DURATION
Prove		Choke Orifice	Pre:	ntic Ssure	Diff.		Temp.		Tubing	۱.	. · · ·	Cosing	F	OF LOW HOURS
Size		Size		sig.	······		<u> </u>	Tubir	o Va	lve	}i			
Į		• .	1	71		. I		C	osed	l	85	.2		24
en ser					v.		AE CALC							
r						•	ow Temp.		i		Super Com		D	te of Flow
1	Coefficient (24 + Hour)	•	h _w P _m		ressure Psia.	• •	Factor F	F	avity ictor Failes		Facto	or " 📘	Q	MCFPD@
<u> </u>								•	9		Fpv		F	5.025 Psic.
		<u> </u>		ovalavo	LUME_I	iTEG	RATED B	MAIN	OFFI	CE	·			176
										· ·	S	HUT-IN DA	ATA PS	Δ
	P 2	²]	۔ ۲	0		6			ן ר	<u> </u>				
D _t '=	$Q \begin{bmatrix} P_c^2 - \\ P_c^2 - \end{bmatrix}$	e. ²		Pr	Temp.	ĸ	Tr	<u> </u>	+		ATION Hour	TUBI Valve C		CASING 325.2
	l' é	·•]	L					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	J∤		Hour	LALVE U	10300	423.2
							<u> </u>				Hour			490.2 -
LINE	ІТЕМ	SOURCE	1 11	3.2		20	63.2 ·		613.2			863.2		
1	Pc2	·····	21:0.	2								<u></u>		
2	PJ2		1)					<u>-</u>	÷				
.		<u> </u>	12.8						<u> (* 1</u> 1-	•				<u>`</u>
3	Pt2	a a cara a cara da	7.3					in the second second						
<u>,</u>	$P_c^2 - P_d^2$	1 - 2	227.9	5			-			_		<u> </u>		
5	$P_c^2 - P_t^2$	1-3	233.0)										
e	В	<u>4</u> ∻5	.976					1			}- <u> </u>			
7	Log 6		9.98		10	<u> </u>		-				······		
8	nt		.806				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			-	ļ			
9		7 x 8	H	1640-	10			1						
10	8 ^{nt}	Antilog 9	.980					1	·					
11	Q.MCF/D		176		~~~		<u> </u>	1						
12	Dt	10 x 11	173					- <u> </u>	•					
لــــــا	L	L	H							;	1			_

Slope of Wellhead Deliverability Curve (Pc² - Pt² vs Q) Actual flow e end of Flow Period at Wellhead Press., Pt. Bt =

- Q =
- Pc = Maximum Shut-in Pressure, Psia
- Observed in a 72 Hour Period Pi = Flowing Wellhead Pressure (tubing if flowing thru tubing and vice versa), Psia
- Pd = Deliverability Pressure, Psia
- D_t = Wellhead Deliverability @ Deliverability Pressure (Pd), MCF/Day

•		
Witnessed By: (Nome)		60 Aug 26 All 8
Company:		
Tested By:	J. B. Murray	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Calculated By:	- Ed Mabe	



Car 3837

CONTINENTAL OIL COMPANY

P. O. Box 460

HOBBS, NEW MEXICO 88240

PRODUCTION DEPARTMENT HOBBS DIVISION L. P. TOMPSON Division Manager G. C. JAMIESON Assistant Division Manager

August 3, 1968

New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission P. O. Box 2088 Santa Fe, New Mexico

Attention of Mr. A. L. Porter, Jr., Secretary-Director

1. 22

Re: Applications for Hearing -August 21, 1968 Examiner Hearing

Gentlemen:

Forwarded herewith in triplicate are applications for a standard gas proration unit in the Jalmat Gas Pool to be assigned jointly to Lynn B-1 Wells Nos. 1, 3, and 4, and for approval of an unorthodox location and non-standard gas proration unit for Levers Federal No. 1 in the Springs Upper Pennsylvanian Pool, Eddy, County, New Mexico.

Please set these matters for hearing on the August 21, 1968, examiner hearing docket.

Yours very truly,

G

E

VTL-JS

cc: RLA JJB JWK Attach

il Aug 5

1001 NORTH TURNER

TELEPHONE 393-4141

0.0

AH 8 22

.

Docket No. 25-68

DOCKET: EXAMINER HEARING - WEDNESDAY - AUGUST 21, 1968

9 A.M. - OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION CONFERENCE ROOM, STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING, SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

The following cases will be heard before Daniel S. Nutter, Examiner, or Elvis A. Utz, Alternate Examiner:

CASE 3825: Application of Pan American Petroleum Corporation for a unit agreement, Chaves County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of the East Buffalo Valley Unit Area comprising 15,350 acres, more or less, of Federal, State and Fee lands in Township 14 South, Ranges 28 and 29 East, Chaves County, New Mexico.

CASE 3837:

CASE 3826: Application of Eugene E. Nearburg for salt water disposal, Chaves County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to dispose of produced salt water into the Devonian formation in the open-hole interval from approximately 7965 feet to 8015 feet in his Magnolia Burt Federal Well No. 1 located in Unit P, Section 5, Township 8 South, Range 30 East, Cato Field, Chaves, County, New Mexico.

> Application of Continental Oil Company for the consolidation of three ion-standard gas proration units, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the establishment of a 640-acre standard gas proration unit comprising all of Section 26, Township 23 South, Range 36 East, Jalmat Gas Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, to be simultaneously dedicated to its Lynn B-1 Wells Nos. 1, 3, and 4 located in Units J, C, and M, respectively, of said Section 26, and authority to produce the allowable assigned to the unit from the subject wells in any proportion. Said Well No. 1 is presently dedicated to a 320-acre unit comprising the E/2 of said Section 26, said Well No. 3 is presently dedicated to a 160-acre unit comprising the NW/4 of said Section 26, and said Well No. 4 is presently dedicated to a 160-acre unit comprising the SW/4 of said Section 26.

In the allornative, applicant seeks the consolidation of the non-standard units comprising the E/2 and the SW/4 of said Section 26 into one 480-acre non-standard unit to be dedicated to said Well No. 1

CASE 3838:

Application of Continental Oil Company for an amendment to Order No. R-872 and an unorthodox gas well location, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the amendment of Order No. R-872 to permit the dedication of the non-standard proration unit authorized therein to its Lockhart "B" Well No. 4 located in Unit H of Section 14 and its Lockhart "B" Well No. 7 located at an uncrthodox location 330 feet from the North line and 330 feet from the West line of Section 13, both in Township 21 South, Range 36 East, Eumont Gas Pool, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant further seeks authority to produce the allowable assigned to said unit from either of the aforesaid wells in any proportion.

CASE 3839:

Application of Continental Oil Company for a waterflood project, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION P. O. BOX 2088 SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87501

Carl 3837

July 26, 1968

Continental Oil Company P. O. Box 460 Hobbs, New Mexico

DOCKET MAILED 8-8-68

Attention: Mr. L. P. Thompson

Re: Application for 480-acre NSP Unit - Lynn B-1 No. 1 -Jalmat Pool

Gentlemen:

Due to the location of your Lynn B-1 Well No. 1, 2310 feet from the South and East lines of Section 26, Rule 5(B) of Order No. R-1670, as amended, prohibits the establishment by administrative order of a 480acre non-standard gas proration unit to be dedicated to said well.

Your application, therefore, has been set for the August 21, 1968, Examiner Hearing.

Very truly yours,

GEORGE M. HATCH Attorney

GMH/esr

cc: Oil Conservation Commission P. O. Box 1980 Hobbs, New Mexico

CONTINENTAL OIL COMPANY

- Que 21, 196×

P. O. Box 460 Hobbs, New Mexico July 24, 1968

3827

3 JUL 25 PH 1 07

New Mexico Oll Conservation Commission P. O. Box 2088 Santa Fe, New Mexico

Attention of Mr. A. L. Porter, Jr., Secretary-Director

> Re: Application for 480-Acre NSP Unit - Lynn B-1 No. 1 -Jalmat Pool

Gentlemen:

VTL-JS

RLA JJB JWK

Jul 1 WAA

Forwarded herewith in triplicate is our appli-cation for administrative approval of a 480-acre NSP unit for Lynn B-1 No. 1. This well previously has had a 320-acre unit approved by LSP 715. We are requesting that the unit presently assigned to Well No. 4 by NSP 717 and the underproduction which has accumulated to this well be assigned to Well No. 1 for gas proration purposes. Upon approval of this proposed unit NSP 715 and NSP 717 Upon approval of this proposed unit, NSP 715 and NSP 717 should be cancelled.

By carbon copy of this letter, copies of this application are being furnished this date by registered mail to the offset operators. Your further handling and approval of this application will be sincerely appreciated.

Yours very truly,

PROGRESIS SI

Johanpean 58,886 endependenced and 19814 Cancelled

SINCE 1875

PIONEERING IN PETROLEUM

Offset Operators per attached

Cuce 3837

By Registered Mail:

Offset Operators as follows:

Albert Gackle P. O. Box 2038, Hobbs, New Mexico 88240

Texas Pacific Oil Company P. O. Box 1069, Hobbs, New Mexico 88240

Petroleum Corporation of Texas P. O. Box 752 Breckenridge, Texas

Mobil Oil Corporation P. O. Box 1800 Hobbs, New Mexico

Amerada Petroleum Corporation P. O. Box 668 Hobbs, New Mexico 88240

Sinclair Oil and Gas Company P. O. Box 1920 Hobbs, New Mexico 88240

Gulf Oil Corporation Drawer 1938 Roswell, New Mexico 88201

CONTENTAL OIL COMPANY

Re:

P. O. Box 460 Hobbs, New Mexico 25 PH 1 C

Can 3837

New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission P. O. Bóx 2088 Santa Fe, New Mexico

Attention of Mr. A. L. Porter, Jr., Secretary-Director

Continental Oil Company's Application for a 480-acre Non-Standard Gas Proration Unit for its Lynn B-1 No. 1 in Section 26, T23S, R36E, NMPM, Jalmat Gas Pool, Lea County, New Mexico

Gentlemen:

Continental Oil Company respectfully requests administrative approval under the provisions of Rule 5(c)3 of the Special Rules and Regulations for the Jalmat Gas Pool of Order No. R-1670 for the formation of a 480-acre non-standard gas proration unit consisting of E/2 and SW/4 Section 26, Township 23 South, Range 36 East, for its Lynn B-1 No. 1 well located 2310 feet FSL and 2310 feet FEL of Section 26, Township 23 South, Range 36 East, Lea County, New Mexico, in the Jalmat Gas Pool.

In support of this request, we should like to point out that the proposed unit conforms with the requirements of said Rule 5(c)3 in all respects as follows:

> Said proposed non-standard gas proration unit consists of less acreage than a standard gas proration unit.

2. Said proposed non-standard gas proration unit consists of contiguous quarter-quarter sections.

3. Said proposed non-standard gas proration unit lies wholly within a single governmental section.

- The entire proposed non-standard gas proration unit may be reasonably presumed to be productive of gas.
- 5. The length or width of said unit does not exceed 5,280 feet.
- 6. Copies of this application have been furnished this date by registered mail to all operators in the same section and all offset operators as required by the provisions of said Rule 5(c)3.

A plat is attached hereto showing to the best of our knowledge and belief the proposed unit, the location of the No. 1 well and other wells on the lease, offset wells and ownership thereof.

The granting of this application is in the interest of preventing waste and the protection of correlative rights.

In view of the facts set out herein, it is respectfully requested that the Commission enter an order approving the non-standard gas proration unit for Continental Oil Company's Lynn B-1 No. 1 well as described above.

Respectfully,

CONTINENTAL OIL COMPANY

amolan.

L. P. THOMPSON Manager, Hobbs Division

LPT-JS

RLA JJB JWK

By Registered Mail: Offset Operators



(a.e. 383)

SCALE

0 000' 200



MR. NUTTER: The hearing will come to order. The next case is Case 3837.

MR. HATCH: Application of Continental Oil Company for the consolidation of three non-standard gas proration units, Lea County, New Mexico.

MR. KELLAHIN: Jason Kellahin, Kellahin & Fox, Santa Fe, New Mexico, appearing for the Applicant. On this case and the subsequent cases, we will use one witness on each case, but we have two witnesses present. I would like to have them both sworn at the same time.

> (Witnesses sworn.) (Exhibits 1 and 2, Applica

(Exhibits 1 and 2, Applicant's, marked for identification.)

2

VICTOR T. LYON, called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. KELLAHIN:

Q Would you state your name, please?

A Victor T. Lyon, L-y-o-n.

Q By whom are you employed and in what position,

Mr. Lyon?

A I am employed by Continental Oil Company as conservation coordinator in the Hobbs Division office.

ίt.

Q Have you testified before the Oil Conservation Commission and made your qualifications a matter of record?

3

A Yes, I have.

1.1

MR. KELLAHIN: Are the witness's qualifications acceptable?

MR. NUTTER: Yes, even are a conservation coordinator. Q (By Mr. Kellahin) Are you familiar with the application of Continental Oil Company in Case 3837?

A Yes, sir.

Q Briefly, what does Continental propose in this application?

A Case 3837 is the application of Continental Oil Company for approval of a standard gas proration unit in the Jalmat Gas Pool for its Lynn B-1 lease consisting of Section 26, Township 23 South, Range 36 East, to be assigned jointly to Wells Nos. 1, 3 and 4, all located in that section. Or, in the alternative, for the approval of a 480-acre non-standard gas proration unit consisting of the east half in the southwest quarter of Section 26 to be allocated to Well No. 1.

Q Now, referring to what has been marked as hibit No. 1, would you identify that exhibit?

A Exhibit No. 1 is a location plat which shows the Lynn B-1 lease outlined in red and the three wells to which we propose to allocate this standard mit, circled in red. It also shows the area surrounding this lease, the location of wells, the ownership thereof. By the green lines we have indicated the existing approved non-standard proration units assigned to the three wells. I might mention that Well No. 1 is located 2310 feet from the south line, 2310 feet from the east line of the Section; Well No. 3 is located 990 feet from the north line and 1650 feet from the west line; Well No. 4 is located 990 feet from the south line and 990 feet from

Q All of the non-standard protation units have been approved by the Commission, have they not?

A Yes, sir. Well No. 1 presently has the east half of Section 26 which was approved by Order No. NSP 715; No. 3 has the northwest quarter which was approved by NSP 716; No. 4 has the southwest quarter which was approved by NSP 717.

Q Why do you now propose to allocate a standard unit to the three wells?

A Well, No. 4 has recently encountered some producing difficulties and is having trouble producing its currently assigned allowable based on the 160-acre proration unit.

MR. NUTTER: That's the No. 3?

A No. 4.

MR. NUTTER: Oh, No. 4?

A Yes, sir. Since both Wells No. 1 and 3 have considerable excess producing capacity, we thought it proper for those two wells to share a part of the burden of Well No. 4 so that the lease may produce its allowable which would accrue to the 640 acres in the lease.

5

Q (By Mr. Kellahin) Now, are all three of these wells located on the same basic lease?

A Yes, sir.

9 Would it, in your opinion, save time and expense if the Commission saw fit to allocate these three wells to the 640-acre unit?

A Yes. I might mention that the acreage in this particular section has been reallocated numerous times. When gas proration was begun in 1954, each of four wells had 160 acres consisting of normal quarter sections. One of these quarter sections was assigned to Well No. 2 which is located in Unit A of the section. When the pool rules for the Jalmat Pool were changed to incorporate a deliverability factor, all of the acreage was assigned to Well No. 1 and it produced for the entire lease for a period of time. After this the acreage was divided equally between Wells No. 1 and 3, No. 1 having the south half, No. 3 having the north half. Then we reallocated the acreage in accordance with the presently assigned units, by administrative orders 715,716 and 717.

6

Q Now, the Yell No. 2 is presently shut in, is that correct?

A Yes, it is.

Q Referring to what has been marked as Exhibit No. 2, would you identify that exhibit?

A Exhibit No. 2 is a copy of the same plat showing the same area, but showing the alternative request for a 480acre non-standard gas proration unit to be assigned to Well No. 1. The proposed unit is outlined in red and Well No. 1, again, is circled in red. The remainder of the lease would remain allocated to Well No. 3 and this proration unit is circled in green, I mean is outlined in green and the Well No. 3 is circled in green.

Q Now, if Continental's proposal for joint allocation for the acreage is granted, in your opinion, will additional applications be avoided?

A Yes, in my opinion, we would avoid a number of additional applications, as the Jalmat Gas Pool declines in productivity, there will be additional applications for allocation of acreage, so that the allowable assigned to leases can be produced from the wells that are completed in the pool and this will require some reallocation.

Q Are you asking for authority to produce the allowable to be assigned to the 640-acre unit from the three wells, in any combination?

Yes, sir.

O In any amounts?

In any proportion.

Any proportion?

A Yes, sir.

9 Were Exhibits 1 and 2 prepared by you or under your

supervision?

43

A

A

 $\widehat{}$

A Yes, sir, they were.

MR. KELLAHIN: At this time, I offer in evidence Exhibits 1 and 2.

MR. NUTTER: Applicant's Exhibits 1 and 2 will be admitted in evidence.

> (Whereupon, Applicant's Exhibits 1 and 2 offered and admitted in evidence.)

Q Mr. Lyon, in your opinion, will the approval of the application, either as applied for, for the 640-acre unit, or in the alternative, with a 480-acre unit and 160-acre unit create waste?

7

A No, to the contrary, I think that it will prevent waste in that the wells on this lease will be permitted to produce the gas which underlies the tracts.

Q Will approval of it have any adverse effect on any of the correlative rights of any of the offset operators?
A In my opinion, it will not. The wells are located reasonably toward the interior of the lease, and I do not see that there is any inequity which would be created by allocating the acreage as we propose.

MR. KELLAHIN: That's all I have on direct examination.

8

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. NUTTER:

A

Q Mr. Lyon, what is the latest test that you have on these three wells currently dedicated to the acreage? A I am sorry, I don't have that information with me,

but I will be glad to supply it.

Q This No. 4 you said has been encountering producing difficulties?

Yes, it has been falling behind.

O The No. 1 and No. 3 are pretty good wells?

A Yes, real good wells.

Q I presume the No. 1 is the best of all?

A Yes.

Q You will furnish us with the most recent tests?

Yes.

A

When were these tests taken, do you know? \bigcirc I don't know. They may be several years old because Α 21 Paso, who is the purchaser of gas from the wells, cests wells which are marginal or of questionable productivity, to ascertain what their contract obligations are, but the very good wells are not so tested because there's not any question, and the dividing line, the point that determines this is that a contract calls for them to take full allowable, or the minimum take specified by the contract if the well can produce 1.1 million cubic feet per day against existing line pressure for a 160-acre unit. This would be multiplied, of course, if the unit is larger than 160 acres. I think that both of these wells, both 1 and 3 have capacity in excess of this --

Q In other words, the No. 3 can make 1.1 and the No. 1 can make 2.2?

A Yes, according to the latest information I have seen. Q If you don't have a test that's a year old or less, don't bother to send it. I was just interested in a relatively current test.

A All right, I will supply you with the results of

9

any test within the last year.

MR. NUTTER: Are there any other questions of Mr. Lyon? Do you have a preference as to which of the two alternatives --

A We prefer the first, a standard unit with three wells with the acreage allocated to the wells. Q Would the No. 4 Le kept on production that way? A Yes.

Q If you didn't have the alternative, it would be shut in, I presume?

A Right.

MR. NUTTER: Are there any other questions of Mr. Lyon? He may be excused.

(Witness excused.)

MR. NUTTER: Do you have anything further, Mr. Kellahin?

MR. KELLAHIN: That's all I have.

KR. NUTTER: Anyone have anything to offer in

Case 3837? We will take the case under advisement.

	WITNESS		PAGE
	VICTOR T. LYON		
	Direct Examinatio	on by Mr. Kellahin	2
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Cross Examination	n by Mr. Nutter	8
			an a
	e de la companya de l Notas de la companya d		
		ения сталования с на селото на На селото на	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	EXHIBITS	MARKED	OFFERED AND ADMITTED
	Applicant's 1 and 3	2	7
			: :
		4	
•			
· · ·			
a and a second			

STATE OF NEW MEXICO) COUNTY OF BERNALILLO)

I, ADA DEARNLEY, Court Reporter in and for the County of Bernalillo, State of New Mexico, do hereby certify that the foregoing and attached Transcript of Proceedings before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission was reported by me, and that the same is a true and correct record to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

88

WITNESS my hand and seal this 4th day of September, 1968.

ADA DEARNLEY

12

1 do hereby cartify that the foregoing is a complete claud of the proper leases the Eucleon hearing of data to .3837, heard by as on 8/21, 1968.

196 ... Messigor Herico Oll Conservation Cormission



