CASE 3842: Appli. of CONTINENTAL for a pressure maintenance project Lea County, New Mexico.

. (

1 Jus

÷

1. 1996 in 1997 1996 in 1997 1997 in 1997

 $_{j}$ t $_{j}$

ase Number \int Application Transcripts. Small Exhibits

GOVERHOR DAVID F. CARGO CHAIRMAN

State of New Mexico **Gil** Conservation Commission

LAND COMMISSIONER GUYTON S. HAYS MENDER



STATE GEOLOGIST A. L. PORTER, JR. SECRETARY - DIRECTOR

3848

SANTA FE

Soptember 9. 1956

)@X	9.	19513		3826	3838	3	
				3339	381	2	
				3840			
	i.			3842		ŧ.	
		Re:	Case No.	3843			
			Order No.	R-3482	348	33,	3484,
			Applicant	¹ 3485,	3487		•
	• . •			3489,	3490,		
		1					، ر

Continental & Eugene Nearburg

3818

Dear Sir:

Mr. Jason Kellahin

post office Box 1769

Santa Fe, New Mexico

Kellahin & Fox Attorneys at Law

Enclosed herewith are two copies of the above-referenced Commission order recently entered in the subject case.

Very truly yours,

h Parter

A. L. PORTER, Jr. Secretary-Director

ALP/ir

Carbon copy of drder also sent to:

Hobbs OCC x

Artesia OCC R-3483 & R-3489

Aztec OCC_ Other

Examiner Hearing - August 21, 1968 -2-

Docket No. 25-68

(Case 2839 continued)

authority to institute a waterflood project by the injection of water into the Delaware formation in the perforated interval from 5073 feet to 5145 feet in its Fields Well No. 2 located in Unit M of Section 25, Township 23 South, Range 32 East, Cruz-Delaware Pool, Lea County, New Mexico. In the alternative, applicant seeks to have said well authorized for salt water disposal

CASE 3840: Application of Continental Oil Company for a waterflood project, Lea County, New Mexico Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to institute a waterflood project by the injection of water into the Seven Rivers formation in the perforated interval from 3450 feet to 3600 feet in its Lynn "A-27" Well No. 1 located in Unit D of Section 27, Township 23 South, Range 36 East, Jalmat Pool, Lea County, New Mexico. In the alternative, applicant seeks to have said well authorized for salt water disposal.

CASE 3841:

Application of Continental Oil Company for a waterflood project, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to institute a waterflood project by the injection of water into the Delaware formation in the perforated intervals from 4497 feet to 4507 feet in its Wilder Well No. 24 and from 4529 feet to 4535 feet in its Wilder Well No. 27 located in Units L and F, respectively, Section 26, Township 26 South, Range 32 East, El Mar-Delaware Pool, Lea County, New Mexico. In the alternative, applicant seeks to have said wells authorized for salt water disposal.

Application of Continental Oil Company for a pressure maintenance project, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to institute a pressure maintenance project by the injection of water into the Seven Rivers formation in the perforated interval from 3208 feet to 3255 feet in its Eaves "A" Well No. 10 located in Unit P of Section 19, Township 26 South, Range 37 East, Scarborough Yates-Seven Rivers Pcol, Lea County, New Mexico, and promulgation of special rules for said project. In the alternative, applicant seeks to have said well authorized for salt water disposal.

CASE 3843:

CASE 3842:

Application of Continental Oil Company for a pressure maintenance project, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to institute a pressure maintenance project by the injection of water into the Seven Rivers formation in the perforated interval from 3012 feet to 3110 feet in its Sholes "B-25" Well No. 5 located in Unit G of Section 25, Township 25 South, Range 36 East, Jalmat Fool, Lea County, New Mexico, and promulgation of special rules for said project. In the alternative, applicant seeks to have said well authorized for salt water disposal.

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

> CASE No. 3842 Order No. R-3487

APPLICATION OF CONTINENTAL OIL COMPANY FOR A PRESSURE MAINTENANCE PROJECT, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 a.m. on August 21, 1968, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner Daniel S. Nutter.

NOW, on this <u>9th</u> day of September, 1968, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the testimony, the record, and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That the applicant, Continental Oil Company, is the owner and operator of the Eaves "A" Well No. 10, located in Unit P of Section 19, Township 26 South, Range 37 East, NMPM, Scarborough Yates-Seven Rivers Pool, Lea County, New Mexico.

(3) That the applicant seeks authority to institute a pressure maintenance project in the Scarborough Yates-Seven Rivers Pool by the injection of water into the Seven Rivers formation in the perforated interval from approximately 3208 feet to 3255 feet in its Eaves "A" Well No. 10. In the alternative, the applicant seeks to have said well authorized for salt water disposal.

(4) That the subject well should be classified as a salt water disposal well.

(5) That the injection should be accomplished through 3 1/2-inch plastic-lined tubing installed in a packer set at

-2-CASE No. 3842 Order No. R-3487

approximately 3195 feet; that the casing-tubing annulus should be filled with an inert fluid; and that a pressure gauge should be attached to the annulus or the annulus left open at the surface in order to determine leakage in the tubing, casing, or packer.

(6) That approval of the subject application for salt water disposal will prevent the drilling of unnecessary wells and otherwise prevent waste and protect correlative rights.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That the applicant, Continental Oil Company, is hereby authorized to utilize its Baves "A" Well No. 10, located in Unit P of Section 19, Township 26 South, Range 37 East, NMPM, Scarborough Yates-Seven Rivers Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, to dispose of produced salt water into the Seven Rivers formation, injection to be accomplished through 3 1/2-inch tubing installed in a packer set at approximately 3195 feet, with injection into the perforated interval from approximately 3208 feet to 3255 feet;

<u>PROVIDED HOWEVER</u>, that the tubing shall be plastic-lined; that the casing-tubing annulus shall be filled with an inert fluid; and that a pressure gauge shall be attached to the annulus or the annulus left open at the surface in order to determine leakage in the tubing, casing, or packer.

(2) That the applicant shall submit monthly reports of its disposal operations in accordance with Rules 704 and 1120 of the Commission Rules and Regulations.

(3) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Commission may deem necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO RVATION COMMISSION COUS OIL DAVID. CARGO rman HA CRTER, Jr., Member & Secretary

BEFORE THE COPY, CONVENTIONS NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION Santa Fe, New Mexico August 21, 1968 DAILY dearnley-meier reporting service, inc. MEXICO IN THE MATTER OF: A.BUQUÉRQUE, NEW TEST Application of Continental Oil Case No. 3842 EXPERT . Company for a pressure maintenance) project, Lea County, New Mexico. HEARINGS, STATE MENTS. 243-4491 BEFORE: D. S. NUTTER, NOH Examiner • P. O. BOX 1092 -DEPOSITIONS, SPECIALIZING IN 1120 SIMMS BLDG. TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

MR. MUTTER: Call Case 3842. MR. MATCH: Application of Continental Oil Company for a pressure maintenance project, Lea County, New Mexico. MR. KELLAHIN: May the record show the same appearance and the the witness, Mr. Roger Porter, has been sworn and qualified.

> (Whereupon, Applicant's Exhibits 1 through 5 marked for identification.)

2

J. ROGER PORTER, called as a witness, having been previously duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION

*

* *

BY MR. KELLAHIN:

Q Would you state your name, please?

A J. Roger Porter.

Q You are the same Mr. Porter who has testified in the preceeding cases?

A Yes, sir.

Q Mr. Porter, are you familiar with the application of Continental Oil Company in Case 3842?

A Yes, sir.

Q Briefly, what is proposed by Continental Oil Company

in this case?

A Case No. 3842 is the application of Continental Oil Company for authority to inject water into its Eaves "A" No. 10 well in the Scarborough Pool.

3

Q Referring to what has been marked as Exhibit No. 1, would you identify that exhibit, please?

A Exhibit No. 1 is a copy of the plat showing the proposed injection well circled in red at a location 660 feet from the south line and 990 feet from the east line of Section 19, Township 26 South, Range 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico. The Eaves "A" lease is shown outlined in red and is described as northwest quarter northwest quarter, south half of northwest quarter in the south half of Section 19, and the north half of north half of Section 30, Township 26 South, Range 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico. The plat shows the location and ownership of all leases and wells within a radius of two miles from the injection wells.

Q Referring to what has been marked as Exhibit No. 2, would you identify that exhibit?

A Exhibit No. 2 is a copy of the form C-108 for Eaves "A" No. 10. As shown on the form, we propose to inject produced water into the Seven Rivers Formation in the interval 3208 to 3255 feet, through 3 1/2 inch O. D. Plastic-lined tubing below a packer to be set at approximately 3195 feet. Q Referring to what has been marked as Exhibit No. 3, would you identify that exhibit?

A Exhibit No. 3 is a schematic diagram of the well showing the eight and five-eighths-inch casing set at 379 feet, five and one-half-inch casing set at 3255 feet, three and one-half-inch O. D. plastic-lined tubing set at 3195 feet, with a packer at 3195 feet and the injection interval 3208 to 3255 feet.

Q Will you fill the casing tubing annulus with an inert fluid?

A Yes.

Will it be possible to put a pressure gauge on the annulus, or leave it open?

A Yes, sir.

• Referring to what has been marked as Exhibit 4, would you identify that exhibit?

A Exhibit 4 is a copy of the radioactivity log on the Eaves "A" No. 10. The top of the Seven Rivers formation is indicated at approximately 3183 feet. The perforated interval into which water is proposed to be injected is shown at 3208 to 3255 feet.

Q Now, referring to what has been marked as Exhibit 5, would you describe what it shows?

A Exhibit No. 5 is an analysis of water produced on

this lease.

Q Is this water potable?

A It is not considered potable for humans, but suitable for livestock.

Q You are going to inject this water into the San Andres formation, is that correct?

A No, it is into the Seven Rivers.

Q Into the Seven Rivers, pardon me.

A Yes.

Q There is production in the area from the Seven Rivers formation?

A Yes, that is correct.

Q Is the Scarborough Pool considered to be a

waterflood prospect?

A There is a strong water drive in the Seven Rivers and Basal Yates of the Scarborough Pool. These intervals are not considered to be waterflood prospects since there is a natural water drive, or waterflood, already in existence. The Upper Yates interval is still enjoying primary production and we have not yet gathered sufficient data to determine whether or not this will be floodable.

Q What will be the effect of injecting water into the Seven Rivers?

.5

A The injection of produced water back into the Seven Rivers formation will have the effect of maintaining pressure in that formation.

Q Do you expect this to have any effect on the recovery of oil in this area?

A In view of the very strong water drive in the Seven Rivers formation, we do not expect any effect on oil recovery as a result of the injection. We do not expect recovery to be either increased or decreased.

Will the granting of this application result in waste, in your opinion?

A No, the result of this application will be that produced water which is presently produced into pits on the lease will be injected underground. There will be no effect on the oil and gas production in the area.

Q Will the granting of the application impair the correlative rights of any of the offset operators?

A In my opinion, there will be no impairment of correlative rights.

Q What is the source of the water that will be injected into this well?

A The injection water will initially be produced water from Eaves "A", Eaves "B-1" and Eaves "B-9", nineteen leases and in accidtion we have been contacted by nearby

6____

operators who desire to dispose of water into our Eaves "A" No. 10. This possibility is currently being considered by our company.

Initially, what volumes of water will you inject into the well?

A The initial injection rate is anticipated to be approximately 2,000 barrels of water a day and it may increase up to 6,000 barrels of water a day.

Q Do you think this well will have the capacity to take that volume of water?

A In our opinion, it will.

Q Were Exhibits 1 through 5 prepared by you or under your supervision?

Yes, they were.

MR. KELLAHIN: At this time, I offer in evidence

Exhibits 1 through 5 inclusive.

MR. NUTTER: Exhibits 1 through 5 will be admitted in evidence.

(Whereupon, Applicant's Exhibits 1 through 5, inclusive, admitted in evidence.)

 $\overline{7}$

MR. KELLAHIN: That completes our direct examination. MR. NUTTER: Does anyone have any questions of this

witness?

A

CROSS EXAMINATION



BY MR. NUTTER:

These perforations 3107 to 3182 which will be 3 squeezed, are those in the Lower Yates formation?

A Yes, sir, they will be squeezed. Let me check this log; yes, sir, they are in the Lower Yates.

Q So all disposal or injection will be into the Seven Rivers formation?

Right, the top of the Seven Rivers is approximately A 3183 and we are locking at an injection interval of 3208 to 3255 feet.

So the lowermost perforations in the upper set 0 go right down to the top of the Seven Rivers practically? Λ

Yes, sir.

What is the status of this well at the present

time?

Q

А

It is currently temporarily shut in.

MR. NUTTER: Any further questions of this witness? He may be excused.

(Witness excused.)

MR. KELLAHIN: That's all I have.

MR. NUTTER: Does anyone have anything they wish to offer in Case 3842? If not, we will take the case under advisement. I was trying to classify these as either salt water disposals or waterfloods.

Mr. Porter, in Case 3840, which is your line project, you are going into the Seven Rivers there?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

MR. NUTTER: Is there a strong water drive in the Seven Rivers in this area?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

MR. NUTTER: So this, you won't expect any response to the injection in this particular --

THE WITNESS: No. --

MR. NUTTER: So we will call that a S W D?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

MR. NUTTER: The one in the Scarborough is a S W D? THE WITNESS: Either S W D or pressure maintenance,

either way, it actually could be.

MR. NUTTER: That's where you got your strong water

drive in the Seven Rivers, isn't it?

THE WITNESS: Right.

MR. NUTTER: And over in the Triste Draw area, it could be a waterflood or disposal?

THE WITNESS: Disposal.

MR. NUTTER: If it's a waterflood, you don't have a

project area to go with it, no producing well.

MR. KELLAHIN: There might be a response on that

io

one, though.

MR. NUTTER: By someone else?

MR. KELLAHIN: Someone else, right.

MR. NUTTER: Then there was another one that was

a clearcut salt water disposal case, I think.

MR. KELLAHIN: The one we just completed.

THE WITNESS: The El Mar.

MR. NUTTER: The El Mar is definitely a waterflood.

MR. KELLAHIN: Right.

MR. NUTTER: If there is nothing further in Case

3842, we will take the case under advisement.

	<u>I N D E X</u>					
	UITNESS		PAGE			
	J. ROGER PORTER		<u> </u>			
с	Direct Examination 1	by Mr. Kellahin	2			
	Cross Examination by	y Mr. Nutter	8			
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
ین میکند. ۲۹ میلی میکند ۱۹۹۰ میکند به محمد میکند. دیده	and the second sec		- 1995 - State State State 1996 - State State State State State State 1996 - State St			
n an Santan Santan Santan			en al construction de la construcción de la			
	EXHIBITS	MARKED	OFFERED AND ADMITTED			
	Applicant's 1 through 5	2	7			
21 - 22 2						

STATE OF NEW MEXICO) SS COUNTY OF BERNALILLO)

I, ADA DEARNLEY, Court Reporter in and for the County of Bernalillo, State of New Mexico, do hereby certify that the foregoing and attached Transcript of Proceedings before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission was reported by me, and that the same is a true and correct record to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

WITNESS my hand and seal this 5th day of September, 1968.

Jaca Dearnley ADA DEARNLEY

i do haroby partify that the foregoing is a consister record of the proceedings of the Excepter bearing of Case Bo 3842 heard by re on 842 1968.

Begios 011 Conservation Coasission

CI EXHIBIT NO. 2.		RE EXAMINER NU	JTTER
CASE NO. 3892			
the second se	CASE	NO. 3892	

Form C-108 Revised 1-1-65 .

NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

APPLICATION TO DISPOSE OF SALT WATER BY INJECTION INTO A POROUS FORMATION

Continent	al Oil Com	pany	BOX 4	60, Hobbs, New	Nexico
LEASE RAME		WELL NO.	FIELO		COUNTY
Eaves "A"	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10	Scar	borough	Lea
LOCATION		· · · ·	660	Couth	000
UNIT LETTER	1 W	ELL IS LOCATED	000 7617 71	NOM THE South	LINE AND 990 PEET PRO
East LINE, SECTION	<u>19</u> то	WNSHIP 265	RANGE 378		• • •
NAME OF STRING	SIZE	CASING SETTING DEPTH	AND TUBING DAT	ويتصفحه ومستحفظ ومصبحا والمتكري	MENT TOP DETERMINED B
BURFACE CASING	8 5/8	379'	300	surface	circ.
NTERMEDIATE			1		
ONG STRING	5 1/2	3255'	935	surface	circ.
UBING	J_1/2			EPTH OF TUBING PACKER	
	3 1/2"	3195'			equivalent @ 3195'
AME OF PROPOSED INJECTION FORMA Seven Rive		······	TOP OF FORM	83	Approx. 3610
SEVEL RIVE		PERFORATION		OD 1020550 INTERVAL (5) OF 11	
tubing			rations	3208-3255	a da an
S TRISTA NEW WELL CRILLED FOR ISPOSAL?		NO, FOR WHAT PURPO		ALLY DRILLED?	HAS WELL EVER BEEN PERFORATED ZONE OTHER THAN THE PROPOSED IN TION ZONE? VOC
NO		UCING OIL WE		H	Yes
3244-55 (CIBP @ 3200'	w/1 sk. cm	t); 3174'-82	, 3156'-64',		
RESH WATER ZONE IN THIS AREA		DEPTH OF BOTTOM O DIL OR GAS ZONE IN NORE	F NEXT HIGHEA THIS AREA	DEPTH OF OIL OR GAS	TOP OF NEXT LOWER S ZONE IN THIS AREA NOTE
NTICIPATED DAILY I MINIMUM	I MAXIMUM		SEO TYPE SYSTEM	IS INJECTION TO BE BY G PRESSURE?	1 -
NEWER YES ON NO WHETHER THE FOL RALIZED TO SUCH A DEGREE AS TO B	Manada ang kanalan ing Kanadara Pangarang Kanadara		OSED	gravity	0- ARE WATER ANALYSES ATTACHED?
TOCK, IRRIGATION, OR OTHER GENERA	LUSE-	N	0	I SAL ZORE	No
Tom & Evelyn Lineb		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		11	· .
IST NAMES AND ADDRESSES OF ALL					·
El Paso Nati	ural Gas Co	., Jal, New	Mexico	м. 	•
tial from Oil	Company 3	11 Midland N	at 1 Pante P	ldg., Midland,	Torac
morrson Oll	ounparty, J	LI MIUIANU M	at I. Dalla C	itages mutands	- TAVOS :
		•	•		·
· · · ·			•		
<u></u>		· · · ·	······	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		·			
AVE COPIES OF THIS APPLICATION & ENT TO SACH OF THE FOLLOWING?		¥≦R	. FOR THIS WEL	ICA WITHIN ONE-HALF MILE L	
RE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS ATTACHED	NO PLAT OF ARE	A		LOG	NO CIAGRAMMATIC SKETCH OF WELL
NES APPLICATION (SEE RULE 701-8)	Yes		. No		No
Lhereby ce	rtify that the in	formation above is	true and complete	e to the best of my kno	wledge and belief.
B.C. amo	· · · ·	Assist	ant Division	Manager	7-19-68
(Signature)			(Title)	<u></u>	(Date)
not occompany this a from the date of rece	plication, the pt by the Com	New Mexico Oil	Conservation Con Fe office. If at	mmission will hold th the end of the 15-day	If mile of the proposed injection he application for a period of 13 waiting period no protest has be

if the applicant so requests, SEE RULE 701.

Lipports of the original states

.....

DE EXAMINER NUTTER NEELVATION COMMENTION EXHIBIT NO. 3642 CASE NO. Eaves A No, 10 990' FEL & 660' FSL, Sec. 19, T-26S, R-37E Elev. 2943' "0" pt. 10' above LF produce of surgers ince ٨ 8 5/8" OD, 24#/ft., J-55
csg. set at 379'. Cmt
w/300 sx to surface. Cmt. 1 ζı **\$** 4 circulated. ١Ì Ŋ P\$ Å ٤ Þ 379' 379' I A ħ 5 1/2" OD, 14#/ft., J-55 csg. set at 3255'. Cmt w/860 sx w/8% gel and 75 sx neat to surface. Cmt circ. 1 6 ٥ Â 3 1/2" OD, 9.3#/ft., J-55 tbg. set at 3195'. Tubing is to be plastic lined. 1 ł X Perf. interval: 3107, 3118, 3129' w/2SPF, and 3156-64', 3174-82' w/4 SPF. To be squeezed w/100 sx cement. Tension Packer set at 3195'. Disposal Interval (proposed 3208-3255' w/4 SPF. () 3255' new TD 3410 3



of SEVEN RIVERS

3200

INTERVAL To BE PERF. 3208-3255'

BESORE EXAMINER NUTTER

BEFORE EXA

4

TELEPHONE: HOBBS 393-6215



UNITED CHEMICAL CORPORATION

OF NEW MEXICO 601 NOPTH LEECH P. O. BOX 1499 HOBBS, NEW MEXICO 88240

__Continental_Oil_Company_____

Field Jalmat Pool IIMFU

Lease Eaves #12

Sampling Date

Type of Sample

÷ Čs

5/23/68

1.1.4		WATER ANALYSIS
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

ION	me/l *	mg/1 *		
Calcium (Ca++)	11,98	240		
Magnesium (Mg++)			11.43	139
Sodium (Na+)	(cal .)		89.93	2067
lron			an an an Arta a Arta an Arta an	
		Ŧ	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		-		
Bicarbonate (HCO ₃)		al marca di	30.79	1878
Carbonate $(CO_{\overline{3}} -)$			NOT FOUND	
Hydroxide (OH-)	NOT FOUND			
Sulphate (SO ₄ -)	0.77	37		
Chloride (Cl-)			81.78	2900
· · · ·				
		4 - 1 - 1		
7.5 ^{pH} c@68 ^{°F}				
Dissolved Solids on Evap. at 103° - 105° C				
Hardness as Ca CO ₃			23.41	1171
Carbonate Ha. Jness, as CaCO ₃ (temporary)			30.79	1540
Non-Carbonate Hardness as CaCO ₃ (perm	anent)			
Alkalinity as CaCO ₃			30.79	1540
Specific Gravity c 68' F			1.000	

* mg/l = milligrams per Liter

* me/l = milliequivalents per Liter

BEFORE EXAMINER NUTTER CIER CONVERSION CO. CALL NO

mannan Males Water Work mannan 111111111111111111