print differentiation in an international second CORPORATION FOR TWO NON-STANDARD GAS PRORATION UNITS, SAN JUAN CO. \mathcal{V}^{j}

Case Number <u>5871</u> Application Trascripts Small Fixhibits ETC

Page BEFORE THE NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION 2 Santa Fe, New Mexico March 9, 1977 3 EXAMINER HEARING 5 IN THE MATTER OF: 6 Application of Great Lakes Chemical CASE 7 Corporation for two non-standard gas 5877 proration units, San Juan County, New Mexico. 8 9 Application of Michael T. Gottlieb for CASE two non-standard gas proration units, 5878 10 San Juan County, New Mexico. 11 12 BEFORE: Daniel S. Nutter, Examiner 13 TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING 14 15 APPEARANCES 16 For the New Mexico Oil Lynn Teschendorf, Esq. Conservation Commission: Legal Counsel for the Commission State Land Office Building 17 Santa Fe, New Mexico 18 For the Applicant: William J. Cooley, Esq. (Great Lakes Chemical Corp.) BURR & COOLEY 19 Attorneys at Law 152 Petroleum Center Bldg. 20 Farmington, New Mexico 21 For the Applicant: Damon Weems, Esq. 22 (Michael T. Gottlieb) Attorney at Law Farmington, New Mexico 23 24 25

sid morrish reporting service General Court Reporting Service Calle Mejia, No. 122, Santa Fe, New Mexico 8750 Phone (505) 982-9212

825



MR. NUTTER: We will call Case Number 5877. MS. TESCHENDORF: Case 5877, application of Great Lakes Chemical Corportion for two non-standard gas proration units, San Juan County, New Mexico.

6 MR. NUTTER: We will also call at this time Case
6 Number 5878.

MS. TESCHENDORF: Case 5878, application of Michael
T. Gottlieb for two non-standard gas proration units, San Juan
County, New Mexico.

MR. COOLEY: Off the record, please. (THEREUPON, a discussion was held off the record.)

MR. COOLEY: Mr. Examiner, I believe that this is
the first time that the Commission has ever been presented
with this problem. Great Lakes Chemical Corporation, whom I
represent, and I would also like to introduce Mr. Damon Weems,
attorney for Mr. Gottlieb.

This arises out of a contract consummated between 18 Mr. Gottlieb and his predecessor interest, R & G Drilling 19 Company and Great Lakes' predecessor. It had a provision in 20 it that if it should ever occur that infield drilling should 21 be permitted in the Blanco Mesaverde Gas Pool and this was a 22 farmout from Great Lakes to R & G, that should R & G or its 23 successor in it fail to drill the infield wells within a 24 25 period of eighteen months, then R & G or its successor in

sid morrish reporting service General Court Reporting Service Calle Mejia, No. 122, Sants Fe, New Merico 8 2

3

4

10

11

12

interest would forfeit or reassign the Great Lakes', the
undrilled locations. This has occurred in the cases that we
have presented to you today.

MR. NUTTER: Now, did that agreement cover only the lands covered by the Great Lakes Chemical application or did 6 it also cover the lands covered by the Gottlieb application? 6 MR. COOLEY: The entire three hundred and twenty acres 7 Mr. Examiner, was farmed out in both 3 and 35. At that time, 8 as you are well aware, only one well was permitted but the 9 contract had this rather unusual provision that if infield 10 drilling was ever permitted and the farmee being R & G who is 11 12 now Gottlieb, our successor in interest, that if they failed 13 within an eighteen month period to drill these wells, that they would reassign the undrilled one hundred and sixty acre infield 14 locations. This is now an accomplished fact. The assignments 15 from Mr. Gottlieb, who was the successor in interest to R & G 16 Drilling have been recorded and now the tracts are separately 17 owned. 18

MR. NUTTER: I see.

87501

ih reporting a Court Reporting Ser 122, Santa Fe, New ac (505) 982-9212

ž

19

service

morrish

Bid

20 MR. COOLEY: What we seek here today, to the best 21 of our ability and imagination, is the most practical 22 solution, to establish four non-standard gas provation units 23 in the Blanco Mesaverde.

Now, there is one existing well in Section 3 which is owned by Mr. Gottlieb and which is described in this

application and in Section 35 there was a well drilled but 2 due to mechanical failure it ceased to produce and it was ordered to be plugged and abandoned by the United States 3 Geological Survey.

I'm sure that Mr. Weems should and can better tell Б you what Mr. Gottlieb's plans are with respect to that one 6 hundred and sixty acres. 7

MR. NUTTER: Okay, so now we are back to Case 5877 and 78 and you wish to consolidate them for purpose of hearing, is that it. 10

MR. COOLEY: All four or just two, sir?

MR. NUTTER: Well, it's the two cases, we will consolidate the two cases for purpose of hearing and they cover the four proration units.

MR. COOLEY: There are four applications.

MR. NUTTER: Well, we have already consolidated two applications into a case and we have two cases, each of which covers two non-standard units.

MR. COOLEY: Yes, sir. We only have one witness, Mr. Eipper, who we will now call to the stand.

(THEREUPON, the witness was duly sworn.)

MR. COOLEY: Shall we proceed first, Mr. Examiner, 22 with Section 3? 23

MR. NUTTER: Section 3 will be fine.

reporti morrish sid

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

1 E. WILLIAM EIPPER 2 called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 3 DIRECT EXAMINATION 5 BY MR. COOLEY: 6 Mr. Eipper, would you state your full name for the Q. 7 record, please? 8 E. William Eipper. A. 9 Q. And by whom are you employed? 10 11 A. Great Lakes Chemical Corporation. MR. NUTTER: How do you spell that name, please? 12 A. E-i-p-p-e-r. 13 MR. NUTTER: Thank you. 14 (Mr. Cooley continuing.) Mr. Eipper, this proceeding 15 Q. can get straight to the point as I have already made an 16 opening statement. 17 What is the situation with respect to the development 18 of the Blanco Mesaverde formation in Section 3 of Township 27 19 North, Range 8 West, San Juan County, New Mexico? 20 In the eastern half of that section there is an A. 21 existing well, the Graham No. 44. 22 Graham, G-r-a-h-a-m? Q. 23 Right, that's the Graham Lease. The well is commonly A. 24 known as the R & G 44. 25

morrish reporting sid

 \mathbf{Y}_{P}

Calle ង្គ

And by whom is that well owned to the best of your Q. knowledge and recollection?

Page

3 A. My understanding is that the working interest is owned primarily by Mr. Michael T. Gottlieb.

That is G-o-t-t-l-i-e-b? Q.

1

2

4

5

6

That is my understanding. A.

7 û In 1959 did the Great Lakes Chemical Corporation's predecessor enter into any type of an agreement with R & G 8 Drilling Company? 9

Α. Yes, they did and it's dated the seventh day of 10 January, 1959. 11

And did that agreement provide that if infield 12 0. drilling should ever be permitted by the New Mexico Oil 13 Conservation Commission that there was a time limit within 14 which R & G Drilling Company or its successors in interest had 15 within which to drill the infield well? 16

A. Yes, it did.

And what was that provision? Q.

May I read directly from the agreement? A.

Please do so, sir. 0

A. (Reading) Operator shall develop all interest and 21 acreage acquired hereunder pursuant to the spacing rules and 22 regulations of the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission. In 23 the event of a change in said spacing regulations operator 24 shall drill all additional locations resulting therefrom within 25

morrish

sid

228

17

18

19

1 eighteen months from the date of said change. Failing therein, 2 the operator shall release such undrilled locations to Great 3 Lakes. Is it your understanding that Michael T. Gottlieb Q. is the successor in interest to R & G Drilling Company with 5 respect to the agreement that you just testified to? 6 A. Yes, he is. 7 8 0. Did he in fact comply with that eighteen month limitation or requirement in the agreement? 9 87501 10 A. No, he did not. R & G nor Mr. Gottlieb is the primary holder of the working interest. 11 12 Q. Your answer was, no one has drilled that? 13 That's right. A. What has occurred as a result of this failure? 14 Q. Great Lakes --15 A. Calle ន្ល This declamation --16 Q. I beg your pardon? A. 17 I said, this failure to drill or this declamation Q. 18 to drill. 19 Well, Great Lakes Chemical Corporation duly notified A. 20 the R & G Drilling Company, Mr. Gottlieb and any other prior 21 holders of working interest of which we had record, that this 22 failure had occurred and that we wanted to have the working 23 24 interest reassigned to us and this has now taken place. So now the ownership of the east half of Section 3 25 Q.

morrish reporting ser General Court Reporting Service Meita, No. 122, Service Per New Mer

sid morri

9 1 is common or not common? 2 I would like to rephrase your question. Each half A. of the east half of Section 3. 3 0. That was my question. It is separately owned. 5 A. MR. NUTTER: Each quarter in the east half? 6 Right. They reassigned the one guarter section because A. 7 they do have a well in the other quarter section. 8 (Mr. Cooley continuing.) All right, now, which Q. 9 quarter section was reassigned? 10 It's the southeast quarter of Section 3. A. 11 And the northeast quarter of Section 3, as you 0. 12 understand it, is owned by Mr. Gottlieb, et al? 13 morrish Right. A. 14 And the working interest in the southeast quarter Q, 15 sid 825 Calle of Section 3 is owned by the applicant, Great Lakes Chemical 16 Corporation? 17 Right. A. 18 What is your proposal with respect to the handling of Q, 19 this matter, inasmuch as the Blanco Mesaverde gas field is 20 spaced on three hundred and twenty acres? 21 Our proposal is in our application that the Commission A. 22 grant separate production proration units, non-standard units. 23 What is your suggestion with respect to the allowables 24 0. that should be assigned to each of these proposed non-standard 25

gas proration units?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

17

18

A. In view of the completely separate ownership we propose separate allowables if they would be non-standard separate proration units.

10

If I understand you correctly sir, each of the Q. proposed non-standard proration units would have one-half or fifty percent acreage assignment instead of a full one hundred percent?

Each of us would have a hundred and sixty acres, A. correct, more or less.

Q. Well, with respect to prorationing, am I correct 11 in assuming that you are proposing that each of these one 12 hundred and sixty acre non-standard proration units be assigned 13 a one-half acreage allocation and by one-half I mean one-half 14 of three hundred and twenty acres and that their deliverability 15 of each independent well be calculated into the allowable 10 that would be assigned to each of the wells?

Yes, sir. Α.

MR. COOLEY: Mr. Examiner, that concludes the 19 applicant's, Great Lakes Chemical Corporation, testimony with 20 respect to the east half of Section 3, Township 27 North, 21 Range 8 West, San Juan County, New Mexico insofar as the 22 Blanco Mesaverde Gas Pool is concerned. 23

MR. NUTTER: Okay, then, would you proceed with 24 Section 35? 25

report Bid

ž

MR. COOLEY: Mr. Examiner, Mr. Weems is here present, representing the applicant, Michael T. Gottlieb. He might wish to question or cross examine with respect to Section 3.

Page.

MR. NUTTER: Well, I thought we would just save any cross examination until the conclusion of the direct on both sections.

MR. COOLEY: All right, sir.

Q (Mr. Cooley continuing.) Mr. Eipper, was Section 35, the west half of Section 35, Township 27 North, Range 8 West, San Juan County, New Mexico, also farmed out by Great Lakes Chemical Corporation to R & G Drilling Company?

A. Right, it was.

Q And is it your understanding that Michael T. Gottlieb is now the successor of interest to the oil and gas lease operating rights?

A. Yes, sir.

0. In that west half of said Section 35?

A. Yes, sir.

19 Q Was the same provision with reassignment of an
20 undrilled one hundred and sixty acre tract if infield drilling
21 should ever be permitted by the Oil Conservation Commission
22 of New Mexico contained in the agreement, the farmout agreement
23 in regard to this acreage?

A. Yes, the same provision applies.

25

Q.

24

Has, in fact, any portion of the west half of

sid morrish reporting service General Court Reporting Service Calle Mejia, No. 122, Santa Fe, New Mexico 8

528

1

1

2

3

Б

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

		Page12
	1	Section 35, Township 27 North, Range 8 West, San Juan County,
	2	New Mexico been reassigned by Mr. Gottlieb to Great Lakes
	3	Chemical Corporation?
	4	A. Yes, it has.
	5	Q And what portion was that?
	6	A. This is the northwest quarter in which no Mesaverde
	7	well has been drilled.
BETVİCE Vice Mexico 87501	8	Q I would like to regress for a moment. To your
	9	knowledge is it the intention of Great Lakes Chemical Corpora-
	10	tion to drill a well in the southeast quarter of Section 3 and
DG 86 Servic 212	11	in the northwest quarter of Section 35?
k h reportis Cour Reportin 122, Sana Fe, me (505) 982-9	12	A. Yes, sir.
	13	Q. Of Township 27 North, Range 8 West, San Juan County,
morris General C Mejia, No. 1 Phor	14	New Mexico?
sid mo Gen Calle Mejia,	15	A. Yes, sir.
825 (16	MR. NUTTER: Now, what was that question?
	17	MR. COOLEY: I asked if it was the intention of
	18	Great Lakes Chemical Corporation to proceed proper to drill
	19	wells in the southeast quarter of 3 and the northwest quarter
	20	of 35 of Township 27 North, Range 8 West, San Juan County
	21	New Mexico, is that correct, sir?
	22	A. Yes, it is.
	23	Q (Mr. Cooley continuing.) Was there ever a well
	24	drilled in the southwest quarter of Section 35, Township 27
	25	North, Range 8 West, San Juan County, New Mexico?

 $\|$

		Page13
8 7501 .	1	A. Yos, there was.
	2	Ω And what was that well?
	3	A. That well was known as the Hammond 47 or more
	4	commonly as the R & G No. 47. It was a dual Dakota-Mesaverde
	5	well and that well has been plugged and abandoned.
	6	Q. For what reason was it plugged and abandoned?
	, 7	A. Under orders of the U.S. Geological Survey due to
	8	the fact that the well was incapable of further production.
	9	Q And to your knowledge has it in fact been plugged
Bervice Nice W Mexico 8	10	and abandoned?
DG 80 s Servic New M 212	11	A. Yes, it has, both formations.
reporting rr Reporting Sci 2, Sunta Fe, Ner 2, Sonta Fe, Ner (505) 982-9212	12	Q. Have you been advised by Mr. Gottlieb that he
	13	proposes to drill a substitute well in the northwest quarter of
morrish General Coi Kejia, No. 12 Phone	14	Section 35?
sid mo Gen Calle Mejia,	15	A. In the southwest quarter of Section 35?
825 (16	Q. I beg your pardon, the southwest, yes.
	17	A. Mr. Gottlieb has so advised us.
	18	Q So there again there would be two wells in the west
	19	half of Section 25, is that correct?
	20	A Right.
	21	Q And do you again propose that non-standard proration
	22	units be established in the southwest quarter?
	23	A. Yes, sir.
	24	Q. And in the northwest quarter of said Section 35?
	25	A. Yes, sir.

141

s, j

And do you again propose that if in the event that Q. 2 these wells are productive that they be separately prorated? A. Yes, sir. 3

Pagg

In your opinion is this the only equitable manner in Q. which production from the two half sections that we have Б discussed in 3 and 35 of 27 North, 8 West could be divided? 6 Yes, sir. A. 7

MR. COOLEY: Mr. Examiner, I believe we have no 8 further questions. 9

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. NUTTER: 12

1

10

11

22

Mr. Eipper, I understand that the old Hammond 47 13 A. was located in the southwest quarter of Section 35? 14 Yes, sir. A. 15 Now, we were talking awhile ago about the old Q. 16

Graham No. 4 Well in Section 3? 17

44. A. 18

Or 44. Q. 19

44 which is also more commonly known as the R & G. A. 20

It's 44 and not 4? Q. 21

> A. Yes, 44.

Now, it's located in the northeast guarter of Q. 23 Section 3? 24

Right. A. 25

87501 morrish reporting sid Calle]

			Page15
reporting service r <i>i Reporting Service</i> L. Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 (505) 982-9212	1	Ω.	And it also has been plugged and abandoned?
	2	A.	No, sir, it is a producing well.
	3	Q	Presently producing?
	4	А.	I believe that it is a marginal well but it is
	5	producing	and I have the latest statement here.
	6	Q	And it's owned by Gottlieb?
	7	А.	Right.
	8		MR. COOLEY: To the best of this witness' under-
	9	standing.	
	10	А.	Yeah.
	11	Q.	(Mr. Nutter continuing.) So, what we are talking
porti Reportin Inta Fe	12	about now	would be Great Lakes would be drilling two wells
sid morrish re General Courr R 825 Calle Mejia, No. 122, S Phone (505	13	on their (two one hundred and sixties?
	14	A.	Right.
	15	Q.	Gottlieb would drill a replacement well for the old
	16	No. 44?	
	17	A .	Right.
	18	Q.	And presumably for the time being at least he would
	19	keep this	No. 44 in that other hundred and sixty?
	20	A.	Yes, sir.
	21	Q.	So we would end up with four wells on the four
	22	units?	
	23	A.	Right.
	24		MR. NUTTER: Okay, are there any further questions
	25	of Mr. Eig	oper? He may be excused.
	ü		

11

--

(THEREUPON, the witness was excused.)

MR. NUTTER: Do you have anything further, Mr. Cooley MR. COOLEY: Mr. Weems may wish to -- I have nothing further, sir.

16

Page

MR. WEEMS: Mr. Examiner, I'm Damon Weems from Farmington, New Mexico. I represent R & G Drilling Company, Incorporated and also Michael T. Gottlieb.

As a matter of form it would perhaps be necessary for me to ask Mr. Eipper a few questions. All we care to establish is the common ownership of the three twenties and as a matter of fact they have been split into one sixties so that we have a very strange situation.

If as a matter of form you would like for me to 13 proceed, I will proceed to ask him some questions. 14

MR. NUTTER: If you care to that's fine. I think he 15 has established it by direct testimony that the east half of 16 Section 3 is divided into two one hundred and sixty acre tracts and that the ownership of the northeast quarter of Section 3 is with Gottlieb and that it's common and that the ownership of the southeast quarter of Section 3 was reassigned back to Great Lakes and that that one hundred and sixy is common ownership.

> MR. EIPPER: Is what?

MR. NUTTER: The ownership throughout that quarter section is common.

Mexico 8750 sid morrish reporting service General Court Re Calle Mejia, No. 122, Sur Phone (505)

ä

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. EIPPER: In the quarter section, yes. MR. NUTTER: And also in Section 35, the northwest quarter of that section was reassigned back to Great Lakes and that the ownership within that one hundred and sixty is common and that the southwest quarter of Section 35 is owned by Gottlieb and that the ownership of that one hundred and sixty is common?

> MR. EIPPER: Yes. May I add something, Mr. Examiner? MR. NUTTER: Yes, please do.

17

Page.

MR. EIPPER: Great Lakes is also the lessee from the United States Government and the basic leaseholder on all of these properties and so we have also reassigned the designation of operator in effect to ourselves for these quarter sections where we have recovered the title to the working interest.

MR. COOLEY: I think this is a legal matter. Great Lakes has at all times material to this case been the lessee of record and what we are speaking of are oil and gas leasehold operating rights to only certain formations and we speak here and address ourselves only to the Mesaverde.

MR. NUTTER: We are discussing the Mesaverde formation with respect to all of this testimony?

MR. COOLEY: Yes, sir.

MR. NUTTER: Does that cover what you were going to cover, Mr. Weems? 25

service sid morrish reporting General C. 5 Calle Mejia, No. 1 Phor 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Page MR. WEEMS: Yes, in Case Number 5878 we are asking essentially for the same thing as 5877 so I believe any testimony would be redundant. Thank you, Mr. Examiner. 2 MR. NUTTER: Just as a matter of curiosity, Mr. Weems 3 R & G I know was owned partially by Bill Russell, was Gottlieb 4 the G in the R & G so it was Russell and Gottlieb? 5 6 MR. WEEMS: Yes. 7 MR. NUTTER: I see. MR. WEEMS: That is no longer the case, however. 8 9 MR. NUTTER: Then Gottlieb is the owner? cico 8750) 10 MR. WEEMS: Yes, Mr. Gottlieb. MR. NUTTER: Okay, fine. Are there any further 11 12 questions of Mr. Eipper? He may be excused. 13 (THEREUPON, the witness was excused.) MR. NUTTER: Do you have anything further, Mr. Cooley General (Calle Mejia, No. Pho 14 15 MR. COOLEY: I do not, sir. MR. NUTTER: Does anyone have anything to offer in 16 ä Case Number 5877 or 5878? If not, we will take the cases 17 18 under advisement. 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

sid morrish reportin

ervice

19**0**

.

; 24

Page_____19

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, SIDNEY F. MORRISH, a Certified Shorthand Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing and attached Transcript of Hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission was reported by me, and the same is a true and correct record of the said proceedings to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

Sidney Morrish, C.S.R

foregoing 60 1 Examiner 9. on Commission the nee

sid morrish reporting service General Court Reporting Service 825 Calle Mejia, No. 122, Sants Fe, New Mexico 87501 Phone (505) 982-9212

: معن ĵ

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



DIRECTOR *

JOE D. RAMEY

1

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

STATE OF NEW MEXICO P. O. BOX 2088 - SANTA FE 87501 LAND COMMISSIONER PHIL R LUCERO March 16, 1977



STATE GEOLOGIST EMERY C. ARNOLD

Re: Mr. William J. Cooley Burr & Cooley Attorneys at Law Suite 300, 300nW. Arrington Farmington, New Mexico 87401

CASE NO. R=3395 ORDER NO.

Applicant:

Great Lakes Chemical Corporation

5877

Dear Sir:

Enclosed herewith are two copies of the above-referenced Commission order recently entered in the subject case.

Yours very truly JOE D. RAMEY Director

JDR/fd

Copy of order also sent to:

Hobbs OCC	X
Artesia OCC_	X
Aztec OCC	X

Other

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

> CASE NO. 5877 Order No. R-5395

APPLICATION OF GREAT LAKES CHEMICAL CORPORATION FOR TWO NON-STANDARD GAS PRORATION UNITS, SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 a.m. on March 9, 1977, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner Daniel S. Nutter.

NOW, on this 15th day of March, 1977, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the testimony, the record, and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That the applicant, Great Lakes Chemical Corporation, seeks approval of two 160-acre non-standard Blanco Mesaverde gas proration units comprising the SE/4 of Section 3 and the NW/4 of Section 35, respectively, Township 27 North, Range 8 West, NMPM, each to be dedicated to a well to be drilled thereon at a standard infill location for said pool.

(3) That each of the non-standard proration units may reasonably be presumed productive of gas from the Blanco Mesaverde Pool and that each of the aforesaid non-standard gas proration units should be efficiently and economically drained and developed by the wells to be drilled thereon.

(4) That approval of the subject application will afford the applicant the opportunity to produce its just and equitable share of the gas in the Blanco Mesaverde Pool, will prevent the economic loss caused by the drilling of unnecessary wells, avoid the augmentation of risk arising from the drilling of -2-Case No. 5877 Order No. R-5395

an excessive number of wells, and will otherwise prevent waste and protect correlative rights.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That two 160-acre non-standard gas proration units in the Blanco Mesaverde Pool comprising the SE/4 of Section 3 and the NW/4 of Section 35, respectively, Township 27 North, Range 8 West, NMPM, San Juan County, New Mexico, are hereby established, each to be dedicated to a well to be drilled thereon at a standard infill location for said pool.

(2) That the acreage factor assigned to each of the aforesaid 160-acre non-standard units for proration purposes shall be 0.5.

(3) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Commission may deem necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

> STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION



PHIL R. LUCERO, Chairman

Carry Clur EMERY & ARNOLD Member EMERY

men DOE D. RAMEY, Member & Secretary

SEAL

dr/

E/2 Dec 3 Araham 744 R\$6 44 much ley MT battliet * 3E/4 7 3 was reassigned back NE/4 still owned by Battlieb & RAS requests acreage factor of 5 for Teach will with eadh 160 to get its own accounter. W/2 Sec 35 * NWJ4 was reassigned back to let Lkr (hit-DK hel) all weel in satte & 35 (MI-DK buel) RED 447 Augument 47 RED 447 Las been P&A under order Datthet will brill a replacementing in 50/4 of 35 allowards w) ac pelor of .51

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN RE: THE APPLICATION OF -GREAT LAKES CHEMICAL CORPORATION

For a non-standard gas proration unit in the Blanco Mesaverde gas pool, San Juan County, New Mexico

Case 5877

<u>A P P L I C A T I O N</u>

COMES NOW the Applicant, Great Lakes Chemical Corporation, by and through its attorneys, Burr & Cooley, Suite 300, 300 W. Arrington, Farmington, New Mexico, and respectfully makes application to the Commission for a 160-acre non-standard gas proration unit in the Blanco Mesaverde gas pool consisting of:

Township 27 North, Range 8 West, N.M.P.M.

Section 35: NW/4

San Juan County, New Mexico.

In support of the foregoing, Applicant would show the Commission that the ownership of the working interest in the oil and gas lease operating rights in the above-described lands is completely different and apart from that in the SW/4 of said Section 35.

The Applicant would further show the Commission that immediately upon approval of this Application, Applicant proposes to make application to drill a well to test the Mesaverde formation underlying the NW/4 of said Section 35.

The owner of the working interest in the oil and gas lease operating rights in the Blanco Mesaverde formations covering the SW/4 of said Section 35 has only recently plugged and abandoned the #47 Hammond Well, and Applicant is reliably informed that said owner proposes to drill a substitute well in said quarter section.

Applicant submits that the most practical way to prorate.

the allowable production from the wells that are proposed to be drilled by the Applicant in the NW/4 of said Section 35 and the well that is proposed to be drilled by the owner of the oil and gas lease operating rights in the SW/4 of said Section 35 is to establish non-standard proration units for each of said wells.

Applicant requests that this Application be set down for hearing at the next Examiner Hearing scheduled by the Commission.

> Respectfully submitted, BURR & COOLEY

By William Ø Coole

Attorneys for Great Lakes Chemical Corporation

Papero Santa Fe Formington Can application of Great Rater Chemical Corporation for two non- Mandard gas protation mints, San Juan County, Ten Angied applicant, in the assured fed same, seeks approval of two 160-acre un standard Blanco mesaverde gas protation units Comprising the SE/4 of Section 3, and the NW/4 of Section 35, respectively, Township 27 North, Fange Herest, San Juan County, Two herejied.

Docket No. 8-77

Workets Nos. 9-77 and 10-77 and tentatively set for hearing on March 23 and April 6, 1977. Apriloutions for hearing must be filed at least 22 days in advance of hearing date.

DOCKET: EXAMINER HEARING - WEDNESDAY - MARCH 9, 1977

9 A.M. - OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION CONFERENCE ROCM STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING, SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

The following cases will be heard before Daniel S. Nutter, Examiner, or Richard L. Stamets, Alternate Examiner:

ALLOWABLE: (1) Consideration of the allowable production of gas for April, 1977, from seventeen prorated pools in Lea, Eddy, Chaves, and Roosevelt Counties, New Mexico.

(2) Consideration of the allowable production of gas for April, 1977, from four prorated pools in San Juan, Rio Arriba, and Sandoval Counties, New Mexico.

CASE 5863: (Continued & Readvertised)

Application of Amerada Hess Corporation for an unorthodox oil well location, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the unorthodox location of its Jicarilla Apache "B" Well No. 16, completed as an oil well in the Dakota formation at a point 1850 feet from the South line and 1500 feet from the West line of Section 29, Township 24 North, Range 5 West, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico, said well having been projected as a Basin-Dakota gas well at a standard gas well location for said pool.

CASE 5857: (Continued & Readvertised)

Application of Union Oil Company of California for directional drilling, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to re-enter its Pipeline Deep Unit Federal Well No. 3, the surface location of which is 1980 feet from the North and East lines of Section 7, Township 19 South, Range 34 East, Lea County, New Mexico, and to directionally drill said well in a southerly or easterly direction and complete it in the Morrow formation at a point no closer than 330 feet to the outer boundary of the proration unit, the E/2 of said Section 7.

CASE 5873: In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Commission on its own motion to consider the amendment of Rule 1115 of the Commission Rules and Pegulations to provide for the filing of information required on Form C-115, Operator's Monthly Report, in the manner and sequence prescribed by the Commission.

CASE 5874: Application of Texaco Inc. for salt water disposal, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to dispose of produced salt water into the Lower San Andres formation through the perforated interval from 5926 to 5946 feet of its New Mexico "R" State Well No. 5, located in Unit B of Section 2, Township 18 South, Range 34 East, Vacuum Grayburg-San Andres Pool, Lea County, New Mexico.

CASE 5866: (Continued from February 16, 1977, Examiner Hearing)

Application of Union Texas Petroleum for an exception to casing and cementing requirements of Order No. R-111-A, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an exception to the casing and cementing requirements of Order No. R-111-A to eliminate the salt protection string in a well it proposes to drill in Unit D of Section 33, Township 20 South, Range 34 East, Lynch Yates-Seven Rivers Pool, Lea County, New Mexico.

CASE 5048: (Reopened) (Continued from January 19, 1977, Examiner Hearing)

In the matter of Case 5048 being reopened pursuant to the provisions of Order No. R-4637-A, which order extended the temporary special pool rules for the South Dagger Draw-Upper Pennsylvanian Associated Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico. All interested parties may appear and show cause why said temporary special pool rules should not be rescinded.

CASE 5117: (Reopened) (Continued from February 2, 1977, Examiner Hearing)

In the matter of Case 5117 being reopened pursuant to the provisions of Crder No. R-4691-A, which order extended the temporary special pool rules for the North Dagger Draw-Upper Pennsylvanian Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico. All interested parties may appear and show cause why said North Dagger Draw-Upper Pennsylvanian Pool should not be developed on less than 160-acre proration units and why the special depth bracket allowable should be retained. Examiner Hearing - Wednesday - March 9, 1977 -2-

Docket No. 8-77

1. 1. 1. Sec. 19

CASE 5875: Application of Atlantic Richfield Company for an unorthodox location and simultaneous dedication, Lea County, New Mexice. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to simultaneously dedicate a previously approved 320-acre non-standard Jalant gas provation unit comprising the W/2 of Section 29, Township 24 South, Range 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico, to its William H. Marrison "A" WN Well No. 2 located in Unit D and William H. Marrison "D" Wells Nos. 1 and 6 in Units L and N, respectively, of said Section 29. Applicant further seeks approval of an unorthodox location for its William H. Harrison "D" WN Well No. 6 at a location 660 feet from the South line and 1930 feet from the West line of said Section.

Application of Jerome P. McHugh for downhole commingling, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico. CASE 5876: Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to commingle Gavilan-Pictured Cliffs and Blanco-Mesaverde production in the wellbore of his June Well No. 1 located in Unit B of Section 28, Township 28 North, Range 3 West, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico.

CASE 5820:

(Continued from February 16, 1977, Examiner Hearing)

Application of Texas Oil & Gas Corporation for compulsory proling, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests in the Wolfcamp and Pennsylvanian formations underlying the W/2 of Section 4, Township 22 South, Range 26 East, Eddy County, New Mexico, to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at a standard location thereon. Also to be considered will be the cost of drilling and completing said well and the allocation of the cost thereof, as well as actual operating costs and charges for supervision. Also to be considered will be the designation of applicant as operator of the well and a charge for risk involved in drilling said well.

CASE 5867: (Continued from February 16, 1977, Examiner Hearing)

> Application of Texas Oil & Gas Corporation for compulsory pooling, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests in the Wolfcamp and Pennsylvanian formations underlying the S/2 of Section 19, Township 21 South, Range 27 East, Burton Flat Field, Eddy County, New Mexico, to be dedicated to its Forrest Well No. 1 to be located in Unit N of said Section 19. Also to be considered will be the cost of completing said well and the allocation of the cost thereof, as well as actual operating costs and charges for supervision. Also to be considered will be the designation of applicant as operator of the well and a charge for risk involved in completion of said well.

CASE 5877:

Application of Great Lakes Chemical Corporation for two non-standard gas proration units, San Juan County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of two 160-acre non-standard Blanco Mesaverde gas proration units comprising the SE/4 of Section 3, and the NW/4 of Section 35, respectively, Township 27 North, Range 8 West, San Juan County, New Mexico.

Application of Michael T. Gottlieb for two non-standard gas proration units, San Juan County, CASE 5878: New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of two 167-acre non-standard Blanco Mesaverde gas proration units comprising the NE/4 of Section 3, and the SW/4 of Section 35, respectively, Township 27 North, Range 8 West, Sen Juan County, New Mexico.

Application of Morris R. Antweil for compulsory pooling, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in CASE 5879; the above-styled cause, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests in the Pennsylvanian formation underlying the N/2 of Section 12, Township 22 South, Range 26 East, South Carlsbad Field, Eddy County, New Mexico, to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at a standard location thereon. A Also to be considered will be the cost of drilling and completing said well and the allocation of the cost thereof, as well as actual operating costs and charges for supervision. Also to be considered will be the designation of applicant as operator of the well and a charge for risk involved in drilling said well.

Application of Morris R. Artweil for compulsory pooling, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in CASE 5880: the above-styled cause, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests in the Pennsylvanian formation underlying the S/2 of Section 20, Township 18 South, Range 25 East, Eddy County, New Mexico, to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at a standard location thereon. Also to be considered will be the cost of drilling and completing said well and the allocation of the cost thereof, as well as actual operating costs and charges for supervision. Also to be considered will be the designation of applicant as operator of the well and a charge for risk involved in drilling said well.

Examiner Hearing - Wednesday - March 9, 1977

Docket No. 8-77

CASE 5881: Application of Western Oil Producers, Inc. for an unorthodox gas well location, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the unorthodox location of its Amoco State Well No. 1, completed in the Atoka formation at a point 660 feet from the South and West lines of Section 28, Township 16 South, Range 33 Fast, Lea County, New Mexico, the W/2 of said Section 28 to be dedicated to the well.

CASE 5859: (Continued & Readvertised)

Application of Caulkins Oil Company for a dual completion and downhole commingling, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to commingle Blanco Mesaverde and Pasin-Dakota production in the wellbore of its Breech D Well No. 307, located in Unit M of Section 13, Township 26 North, Range 7 West, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico, and to dually complete the commingled formations and the Cheera formation in said well. BURR & COOLEY ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW BUITE 300, 300 W. ARRINGTON FARMINGTON, NEW MEXICO 87401

JORL IL BURR, JR. WILLIAM J. COOLBY

February 11, 1977

TELEPHONE 365-1791 Area code 565

- march a second to be a state

 $\left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \right\} = \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \right\}$

Case 5877

New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission P.O. Box 2088 Santa Fe, NM 87501

Gentlemen:

Enclosed herewith are two applications on behalf of our client Great Lakes Chemical Corporation for two non-standard gas proration units in the Blanco Mesaverde Gas Pool in San Juan County, New Mexico.

As noted in the Applications, we would appreciate the same being set down for hearing at the Commission's earliest convenience.

Very truly yours,

BURR & COOLEY

By

William J. Cooley

WJC:kb Enclosures

cc: Oil Conservation Commission 1000 Ric Brazos Road Aztec, NM 87410

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN RE: THE APPLICATION OF -GREAT LAKES CHEMICAL CORPORATION

For a non-standard gas proration unit in the Blanco Mesaverde gas pool, San Juan County, New Mexico

Case 5877

<u>A P P L I C A T I O N</u>

COMES NOW the Applicant, Great Lakes Chemical Corporation, by and through its attorneys, Burr & Cooley, Suite 300, 300 W. Arrington, Farmington, New Mexico, and respectfully makes application to the Commission for a 160-acre non-standard gas proration unit in the Blanco Mesaverde gas pool consisting of:

Township 27 North, Range 8 West, N.M.P.M.

Section 3: SE/4

San Juan County, New Mexico.

In support of the foregoing, Applicant would show the Commission that the ownership of the working interest in the oil and gas lease operating rights in the above-described lands is completely different and apart from that in the NE/4 of said Section 3.

The Applicant would further show the Commission that immediately upon approval of this Application, Applicant proposes to make application to drill a well to test the Mesaverde formation underlying the SE/4 of said Section 3.

Applicant submits that the most practical way to prorate the allowable production from the existing well in the NE/4 of said Section 3 and Applicant's proposed well in the SE/4 of said Section 3 is to establish non-standard proration units for each of said wells.

Applicant requests that this Application be set down for

hearing at the next Examiner Hearing scheduled by the Commission.

-2-

Respectfully submitted,

BURR & COOLEY

By

Attorneys for Great Lakes Chemical Corporation

DRAFT BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO dr/ IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING: 5877 CASE NO. Order No. R- 5395 APPLICATION OF GREAT LAKES CHEMICAL CORPORATION FOR TWO NON-STANDARD GAS PRORATION UNITS, SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO. ORDER OF THE COMMISSION BY THE COMMISSION: This cause came on for hearing at 9 a.m. on March 9 1977, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner Daniel S. Nutter day of March 19 77, the Commission, NOW, on this a quorum being present, having considered the testimony, the record, and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises, FINDS: That due public notice having been given as required by (1) law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof. (2) That the applicant, Great Lakes Chemical Corporation, seeks approval of two 160-acre non-standard Blanco Mesaverde gas proration units comprising the SE/4 of Section 3 and the NW/4 of Section 35, respectively, Township 27 North, Range 8 West, NMPM, each to be drilled well to be dedicated to 🔙 at a pra 3810 Sect cach of That the angle non-standard proration unitsmay (3) reasonably be presumed productive of gas from the Pool and that the 0 anco mesaver 4544 4 4 4 drauld be efficiently and entire non-standard gas proration units can wells to be economically drained and developed by the af Uid Heresu.

-2-Case No. 5877 Order No. R-

(4) That approval of the subject application will afford the applicant the opportunity to produce its just and equitable share of the gas in the <u>*Rauso manuelle*</u>
Gas Pool, will prevent the economic loss caused by the drilling of unnecessary wells, avoid the augmentation of risk arising from the drilling of an excessive number of wells, and will otherwise prevent waste and protect correlative rights.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That two 160-acre non-standard Blance Messworde gas proration units in the **Remes messworde** Section 35, respectively, Township 27 North, Range 8 West, NMPM, San Juan County, New Mexico, are hereby established, and dedicated to <u>well to be drieled thereon at a Standard</u> beated in Units <u>location</u> for said part. of sai

(3) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Commission may deem necessary. DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

(a) That the acreage factor assigned to look of the aforesaid 160-scre non-standard mints for provation purposes shall be 0.5.