CASE 5879: MORRIS R. ANTWEIL FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

WAR

æ.

و به مالتور

i izter

See.

49 A.

6.....





Morris R. Antweil

OIL OPERATOR P. O. Hox 2010 Honds, New Mexico 88240

April 25, 1977

New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission P. O. Box 2083 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 ATTENTION: Mr. Joe D. Ramey REFERENCE: Case No. 5879 Order No. R-5397

Gentlemen:

We anticipate commencing drilling operations on the Antweil No. 1 Mesa Viva in the N/2 of Section 12-T22S-R26E, Eddy County, about 5 May 1977.

Enclosed is a copy of our AFE, an itemized schedule of the estimated well costs as required by provision (3) of the captioned Order No. R-5397.

Respectfully,

MORRIS R. ANTWEIL

muill.

R. M. Williams

RMW:crm Enclosure



| | | Sunta Po | • | |
|---|-----------------|--|--|--|
| ITEM | TANGIBLE | INTANGIBLE | TOTAL | |
| COST TO DRILL 11,600-FOOT TEST | | | | |
| Roads, Location & Damages Footage Drilling-11,600' @ \$11.65/ft. Daywork Operations-4 days @ \$2900/day Mud & Water Surface Casing-300' 13-3/8" | \$ | \$ 15,000 135,140 11,600 25,000 | \$ 15,000 135,140 11,600 25,000 | |
| @ \$15.50/ft. Cement & Service-13-3/8" Casing Intermediate Casing-2700' 9-5/8" | 4,650 | 2,200 | 4,650 2,200 | |
| © \$9.50/ft. Cement & Service9-5/8" Casing Se Drill Stem Test Service-2 DST's Logging Service | 25,650 | 7,500.m 2,000.m 17,100 | 25,650 7,500 2,000 17,100 | |
| Rental Tools & Equipment Wellhead & Connections Supervision & Expense Fransportation & Misc. Labor | 3,000 | 4,000 2,500 | 4,000 3,000 2,500 | |
| Contingencies | | 4,000 25,660 | 4,000 25,660 | |
| COST TO CASING POINT | \$ 33,300 | \$ 251,700 | \$ 285,000 | |
| COMPLETION COSTS | | | | |
| Production Casing-11,600' 5-1/2" @ \$6.75/ft. | \$ 78,300 | \$ | et 70 000 | |
| Cement & Service-5-1/2" Casing Daywork-1 day @ \$2800/day | \$ 78,300 | 6,000 2,800 | \$ 78,300 6,000 2,800 | |
| lell Service Unit-10 days Perforating Service Tubing-11,400' 2-3/8" N-80 | | 7,000 5,000 | 7,00 0 5,000 | |
| @ \$2.35/ft. Bental Tools & Equipment cid Treatment | 26,790 | 4,000 7,000 | 26,790 4,000 7,000 | |
| ellhead & Connections eparator-Treater Unit upervision & Expenses | 8,000 20,000 | 3,500 | 8,000 20,000 3,500 | |
| ransportation & Misc. Labor ontingencies | | 5,000 16,610 | 5,000 5,000 16,610 | |
| COMPLETION COST. | \$133,090 | \$ 56,910 | \$ 190,000 | |
| TOTAL AFE COST | \$166,390 | \$ 308,610 | \$ 475,000 | |

AFE COST ESTIMATE NO. 1 MESA VIVA N/2 SEC. 12-22-26

Morris R. Antweil Oil Operator P.O. Hoz 2010 Hobbs, New Mexico 88240

March 15, 1977



file Core 5879

New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission Box 2088 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 ATTENTION: Mr. D. S. Nutter REFERENCE: <u>Case No. 5879</u>

Gentlemen:

Enclosed are two land maps of the NW/4 and NE/4 of Section 12-T22S-R26E, Eddy County, marked to indicate the leased acreage and the unleased minerals requested to be pooled in the captioned hearing on 9 March 1977. The Examiner requested that we furnish a copy of the land map to supplement Applicant's Exhibit 3 presented during the hearing.

Recalculation of the acreage attributable to Lee B. Voigt in the NW/4 indicates his unleased minerals to be 33.58 acres, rather than the 29.79 acres quoted in Exhibit 3. Final determination of acreage and ownership will be made if and when a division order title opinion is prepared.

Please contact me if anything further is required.

Yours very truly,

MORRIS R. ANTWEIL

MWill

R. M. Williams

RMW:crm Enclosures

| | 1 BEFORE T NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERV Sania Fe, Nev March 9, 3 EXAMINER HE | VATION COMMISSION VATION COMMISSION VATION 1977 |
|------------------------|---|--|
| | 5 IN THE MATTER OF: 6 Application of Morris R. Ant 7 compulsory pooling, Eddy Cou New Mexico. 8 | |
| rish reporting service | | F HEARING |
| sid mor | 당 15 Sta 30 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 | ate Land Office Building hta Fe, New Mexico hald G. Stevens, Esq. corney at Law 1 Old Santa Fe Trail hta Fe, New Mexico |
| | 19 20 21 22 | |
| | 23 24 25 | |

1 INDEX 2 Page R. M. WILLIAMS 3 Direct Examination by Mr. Stevens 4 3 Cross Examination by Mr. Nutter 5 11 LEE VOIGT 6 Direct Examination by Mr. Nutter 7 15 Cross Examination by Mr. Stevens 8 18 9

EXHIBIT INDEX

| 11 | | Offered | Admitted | |
|----|---|---------|----------|--|
| 12 | Applicant's Exhibit One, Forms | 4 | 10 | |
| 13 | Applicant's Exhibit Two, Acreage Holdings | 4 | 10 | |
| 14 | Applicant's Exhibit Three, Tabulation | 5 | 10 | |
| 15 | Applicant's Exhibit Four, Letter | 5 | 10 | |
| 16 | Applicant's Exhibit Five, Form Letter | 5 | 10 | |
| 17 | Applicant's Exhibit Six, AFE | б | 10 | |
| 18 | Applicant's Exhibit Seven, Map | 7 | 10 | |
| 19 | Applicant's Exhibit Eight, Tabulation | 8 | 10 | |
| 20 | Voigt Exhibit One, Surveyor's Acreage | 18 | - | |

Genen 825 Calle Mejia, N P

cc 87501

reporting

sid morrish

1

10

.

23 24

25

21

| | | Page3 |
|---|-----|--|
| | | MR. NUTTER: We will call Case Number 5879. |
| | 1 | MS. TESCHENDORF: Case 5879, application of Morris |
| | 2 | Antweil for compulsory pooling, Eddy County, New Mexico. |
| | 3 R | MR. STEVENS: Mr. Examiner, I'm Don Stevens, an |
| | 4 | MR. STEVENS. MIT as a state of the applicant in this attorney from Santa Fe representing the applicant in this |
| | 5 4 | attorney from Santa re reproduct be sworn. |
| | 6 | case and we have one witness to be sworn. |
| | 7 | (THEREUPON, the witness was duly sworn.) |
| • | 8 | |
| - | 9 | R. M. WILLIAMS |
| ervice Kexico 87501 | 10 | called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was examined |
| service vice v Mexico 8 | 11 | and testified as follows: |
| New Scr | | |
| port Report Santa F S) 982 | 12 | DIRECT EXAMINATION |
| Court Sourt | 13 | |
| Inorris General C Mejia, No. 1 Moi | 14 | BY MR. STEVENS: Q. Would you state your name, your address, your |
| sid mo cen Calle Mejia | 15 | Q. Would you state your must be applicant in this |
| 825 C | 16 | occupation and your relationship to the applicant in this |
| | 17 | case, please? |
| | 18 | A My name is R. M. Williams, Petroleum Engineer, |
| | 19 | employed by Morris R. Antweil in Hobbs, New Mexico. |
| | 20 | Have you previously testified before the conditioner |
| | | and had your qualifications accepted by this commission |
| | 2' | vec T have. |
| | 2 | MR. STEVENS: Are the expert witness' qualifications |
| | 2 | |
| | 2 | acceptable, Mr. Examiner? MR. NUTTER: Yes, they are. |
| | 2 | MR. NUTTER: 1857 CHOY |
| | | |

1,11

.

1 Q. (Mr. Stevens continuing.) Briefly would you state
2 what the applicant seeks here, Mr. Williams?

A Yes, sir, the applicant has requested a compulsory
pooling of the north half of Section 12, Township 22 South,
Range 26 East, Eddy County, New Mexico, three hundred and twenty
acres to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at a standard
location thereon.

8 0. Referring then to what has been marked as Exhibit
9 Number One, would you explain it, please?

A. Yes, Exhibit One is a copy of the C-101, Intention to
Drill and the C-102, the plat for the proposed well in the
north half of Section 12, 22 South, 26 East.

13 Q This application is subject to this hearing, is it 14 not?

15 A. Yes, it is where they request if all the owners'
16 tracts have been consolidated and we indicated it was subject
17 to the forced pooling hearing.

18 Q Referring to what has been marked as Exhibit Number19 Two, would you explain it, please?

A. Exhibit Two is a breakdown of the acreage holding
in the three hundred and twenty acres, being the north half
of Section 12, 22 South, 26 East, Antweil, et al, hold two
hundred and seventy-one, point, two, three acres or eightyfour, point, seven, six percent of the proposed unit. Cities
Service Oil Company has a half an acre of point, one, six

tid morrish reporting service General Court Reporting Service alle Mejie, No. 122, Santa Re, New Maxico 8 percent and there are unleased minerals in the amount of forty-eight, point, two, seven acres or fifteen, point, oh, eight, percent.

Q Referring to what has been marked as Exhibit Number
5 Three would you explain it further, please?

Exhibit Three is an eleven-page tabulation of the A. 6 unleased minerals that comprise the forty-eight, point, two, 7 seven acres. Particular attention is directed to Item One on 8 the first page of that exhibit, the first tract described 9 there is twenty-nine, point, seven, nine acres and it's the 10 largest single tract that is involved in the unleased minerals. 11 A review of the others would indicate that they had to be 12 quite small town lot properties. 13

14 Q Referring to what has been marked as Exhibit Number
15 Four, would you go through it, please?

16 A Exhibit Number Four is a letter that was sent by
17 certified mail to Mr. Lee Voigt, the owner of the twenty-nine
18 acre tract that is unleased, informing him of the forced
19 pooling hearing. He had been previously contacted by our
20 landman in an attempt to lease the minerals and he was informed
21 at that time that our only recourse would be to proceed with
22 a forced pooling hearing.

Q Exhibt Number Five is next, would you explain it, please?

25

Α.

23

24

Exhibit Five is a form letter that was sent to the

sic morrish reporting se General Court Reporting Servic Culle Mejia, No. 122, Santa Fe, Nov M 1

2

percent and there are unleased minerals in the amount of forty-eight, point, two, seven acres or fifteen, point, oh, eight, percent.

4 Q Referring to what has been marked as Exhibit Number
5 Three would you explain it further, please?

A. Exhibit Three is an eleven-page tabulation of the 6 unleased minerals that comprise the forty-eight, point, two, 7 seven acres. Particular attention is directed to Item One on 8 the first page of that exhibit, the first tract described 9 there is twenty-nine, point, seven, nine acres and it's the 10 largest single tract that is involved in the unleased minerals. 11 A review of the others would indicate that they had to be 12 quite small town lot properties. 13

14 Q. Referring to what has been marked as Exhibit Number
15 Four, would you go through it, please?

A Exhibit Number Four is a letter that was sent by
certified mail to Mr. Lee Voigt, the owner of the twenty-nine
acre tract that is unleased, informing him of the forced
pooling hearing. He had been previously contacted by our
landman in an attempt to lease the minerals and he was informed
at that time that our only recourse would be to proceed with
a forced pooling hearing.

Q Exhibt Number Five is next, would you explain it, please?

A Exhibit Five is a form letter that was sent to the

sid morrish reporting se General Court Reporting Servic Julie Mejia, No. 122, Santa Fe, New M Doore (503) 902-9212

23

24

25

remainder of the one hundred and sixteen unleased mineral owners informing them of the forced pooling hearing and offering to lease their acreage. We have heard from some five of those to date that have indicated that they are interested in leasing.

Page

Q To the best of your belief and knowledge then all unleased mineral owners have been contacted and have been offered the option of leasing or joining in the well, is that a fair statement?

A. If you will look in our eleven-page Exhibit Three there, there were some mineral owners where we have a name only and no address. We could not ascertain an address for these people from the records in Carlsbad, tax records or the county clerk's records, and we have no way of contacting them. Also there were a couple of the letters that were mailed that were returned indicating that they could not be delivered at the address that had been used.

18 Q Referring to what has been marked as Exhibit Number
19 Six, would you explain it, please?

A. Exhibit Six is our AFE cost estimate for the proposed well, cost to drill an eleven thousand, six hundred foct Morrow test and the cost to complete that well in a total amount of four hundred and seventy-five thousand dollars.
 Q. And in your opinion is that in line with ordinary and usual cost estimates in this area?

sid morrish reporting servic *General Court Reporting Service* Calle Mej'a, No. 122, Santa Fe, New Mexico 1 Phone (505) 982-9212

ង្ហ

1

2

3

4

Б

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

Yes, we have drilled several wells in the immediate A. area and our experience on those wells was used in preparing th? 5 estimate?

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

25

morrish

pis

ũ

Referring to what has been marked as Exhibit Number Q 5 Seven, would you explain it, please?

A. Exhibit Seven is a map, land map, of the area surrounding the proposed gas spacing proration unit. It shows the proposed proration unit in the north half of Section 12 of 22, 26, the location of the proposed well and then also 10 other Morrow completions in the area and dry Morrow tests in 11 the area.

12 I would like to point out that the trend of 13 successful Morrow completions that extends, say, from the 14 south edge of our map there at the Carlsbad Airport and trends northeastward to the east edge of the City of Carlsbad, this 15 16 ridge or structural high has proved to be almost and always 17 productive from the Morrow interval.

As you move to the west or to the north and west of 18 that trend you will see the occurrence of many of the designated 19 dry Morrow tests, indicating the substantially higher risk 20 that is incurred as you leave the main Morrow trend. 21

We feel that our proposed location lies to the 22 23 west of that trend and we would share the risk encountered by 24 the wells to the west of the main Morrow trend.

> Q. Have you made any rough calculations as to that risk

to the west and northwest of the main South Carlsbad producing trend?

Page

Α. Yes, as you get off the trend there there have been some seventeen wells that have been drilled that are shown on the map and eight of those were dry in the Morrow, eight of them made what I would call a marginal Morrow producer and there is one excellent well that was drilled off the trend, that's the Cities Service Ives Well in Section 30 of 21, 27.

The other Morrow completions in this area are in 9 the million to two million calculated open flow potential and 10 11 may or may not prove to be commercial.

Just for the record could you explain the red, blue 12 Q. and orange markings on each well symbol on your map? 13

Yes, sir, the proposed well in the north half of A. 14 Section 12 is marked in red. The Morrow completions are 15 marked in blue and the dry Morrow tests are marked in orange. 16 Referring to what has been marked as Exhibit Number Q.

Eight, would you explain it, please? 18

Yes, this is a tabulation of some forced pooling A. 19 orders that had been issued in the immediate area of our 20 application. It designates the order number, the three 21 hundred and twenty acre unit included in that order, and the 22 risk factor that was designated by that order. 23

Q What's your summary opinion of these various risk 24 25 factors here?

morrish sid Calle

ន្ល

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

Our well drilled in Section 11 immediately east of Ā, 2 our proposed well was covered by Commission Order R-5222, was awarded a two hundred percent risk factor approximately a year ago.

Page

Q. Were many of these wells with these risk factors, were they in the South Carlsbad Field and in the area of the 6 7 Field at the time that they were drilled, that is on the structural part of the Field? 8

9 A. Yes, some. The ones that are included in this 10 tabulation did lay along the Morrow trend where the better 11 production has been encountered.

12 Q. Is it your opinion then that since you are off this 13 structural trend that you should get a larger risk factor for this well?

A. Yes, I would consider our proposed location to be a higher risk well than some of the ones that have been include in the tabulation that were along the producing trend.

Do you have a recommendation for the Commission as Q. 18 to what risk factor should be granted on this well? 19

Α. We request a risk factor of two hundred percent. Do you have a recommendation to the Commission for Q. overhead and administrative costs for the drilling and production of this well if it does in fact produce?

Yes, our current operating agreements are providing A. for a fiftcen hundred dollar per month overhead for a drilling

morrish reporting service sid Calle

325

1.0

1-1

1

3

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

well and two hundred and twenty-five dollars per month over-1 head for a producing well. 2 Is this a normal figure in the area? 3 Q. Α. From what I heard here today this sounds low. Does the applicant herein desire to be named operator 5 Q. of this well if the Commission does force pool? 6 Yes, Morris R. Antweil requests to be designated as 7 A. the operator. 8 Were Exhibits One through Eight prepared by you or 9 Q. under your direction? 10 A. Yes, sir. 11 MR. STEVENS: We would like to move the introduction 12 of these exhibits, Mr. Examiner. 13 MR. NUTTER: Antweil Exhibits One through Eight will 14 be admitted into evidence. 15 (THEREUPON, Antweil Exhibits One through 16 Eight were admitted into evidence.) 17 MR. STEVENS: We have no further questions on 18 direct, sir. 19 MR. NUTTER: Off the record. 20 (THEREUPON, a discussion was held 21 off the record.) 22 MR. NUTTER: Okay, we probably ought to get this in 23 the record. You may want to go a little further with it and 24 if you don't get it in the record here today you may be precluded 25

sid morrish reporting service General Court Reporting Service XIS Calle Mejia, No. 122, Santa Fe, New Mexico 875(Phone (505) 982-9212

1:1

13.4

in the second

some place along the line from presenting your side of it.
 MR. STEVENS: Well, let's get him on the record first
 Do you have any further testimony concerning this at this
 point, subject to later, in the event that we should want to.
 MR. WILLIAMS: No, that is the only thing we have
 at this time.

Page

CRCSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. NUTTER:

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

24

25

morrish

sid Calle I

3

Q. Mr. Williams, on your Exhibit Number Seven, I notice up here in Section 30 in Township 21, 27, you have indicated by the orange symbol a well in the northwest quarter of Section 30, I believe in an earlier case today there was some discussion of that well. That's probably that Cities Service Tracey "E" and at that time the witness in that case said that well was just now setting pipe, they didn't have any test on it yet. Have you got some additional information that indicates that it is a dry well in the Morrow?

A. We considered it dry from the testing and logging
that they did.

Q. They have run pipe on the well, though?

A. Yes, they have.

Q But there has been no potential filed on it yet?

A NO.

MR. VOIGT: What section was that in, Mr. Chairman?

12 Page. MR. NUTTER: It's in Section 30 of Township 21 North, Range 27 North. It's up north of LaHuerta there. 2 3 (Mr. Nutter continuing.) Now, what part of the 0. proration unit has your working interest committed so to, Mr. Williams? Б A. I'm not sure I understood your question. 6 What do we have outstanding here, what are we Q, 7 pooling? 8 A. It would be any unleased minerals, Cities Service is 9 the only working interest owner that holds a lease and they've 10 indicated that they will join or sell that acreage to us and 11 we're talking about pooling the unleased minerals that exist. 12 So with respect to Exhibit Number Two, you list Q 13 Antweil with two hundred and seventy-one, point, two, three 14 acres and Cities Service with half an acre? 15 A. Yes, sir. 16 So those interests are all pooled? Q. 17 Those interests are all agreeable to enter into A. 18 an operating agreement. 19 And of the forty-eight, point, two, seven unleased Q. 20 minerals, none of these have pooled with you? 21 Since we mailed the letter there have been five A. 22 leaseholders that have contacted us and we are preparing a 23 lease for those interests. They were all quite small, in a 24 tenth of an acre range. 25

reporting

morrish

sid

So by the time you finally get your unit fully Q. established this unleased minerals will be decreased somewhat?

It will be reduced some. A.

Now, of this one hundred and sixteen town lot tracts Q. that you describe in your Exhibit Number Three, these would all 5 be working interest owners as well as royalty owners unless 6 some of them leased to you, is that it? 7

That is correct. A.

And as combination owners it will be a seven-eighths 8 0. working interest and a one-eighth royalty interest? 10

I believe that's what the rules provide. A.

12 Now, in Exhibit Number Five you state that you are ۵ offering these mineral interest owners a lease bonus of a hundred 13 and fifty dollars an acre and a three-sixteenths royalty. In 14 Exhibit Number Four you discuss -- or this is a letter to 15 Mr. Voigt. Was he offered the same lease bonus of one hundred 16 and fifty dollars per acre and a three-sixteenths royalty that 17 the other small interest owners in the area were offered? 18 A. Yes, sir. 19

And your estimate of costs would be two hundred and 0. 20 eighty-five thousand dollars to the casing point and four 21 hundred and seventy-five dollars for a completed well? 22 Yes, sir.

You are seeking a two hundred percent risk factor a and fifteen hundred dollars a month supervision while drilling

morrish sid

ន្ល

11

2

3

8

11

23

24

25

A.

| | | Page14 |
|---|----|---|
| | 1 | and two hundred and twenty-five dollars a month while |
| | 2 | producing? |
| | 3 | A. That's correct. |
| | 4 | MR. NUTTER: Are there any further questions of |
| | 5 | Mr. Williams? |
| | 6 | Did you have any quesitons, sir, of Mr. Williams? |
| | 7 | MR. VOIGT: I just wondered if he included the |
| • | 8 | well that was south of Section 12, in Section 13. They just |
| 1921 | 9 | finished this well. I wondered if he had it on his map. |
| extico es | 10 | MR. NUTTER: What would the location of the well be, |
| New Me | 11 | Mr. Voigt? |
| General Court Reporting Service 825 Calle Mejia, No. 122, Suata Fe, New Mexico 87501 Phone (505) 982-9212 | 12 | MR. VOIGT: The north half of Section 13. |
| | 13 | MR. WILLIAMS: North half of Section 13, C & K has |
| ii. No. | 14 | just completed or is in the process of completing a well, but |
| alle Me | 15 | it is indicated by drill stem test to be productive from the |
| 825 0 | 16 | Morrow. |
| | 17 | MR. NUTTER: And that is the blue well you have |
| | 18 | shown on your Exhibit Number Seven as a producing Morrow |
| 19 completion? 20 MR. WILLIAMS: Right. | | completion? |
| | | MR. WILLIAMS: Right. |
| | 21 | MR. NUTTER: Yes, he has shown that, Mr. Voigt. |
| 22 If there are no further questions of | | If there are no further questions of Mr. Williams |
| | 23 | he may be excused. |
| | 24 | (THEREUPON, the witness was excused.) |
| | 25 | MR. NUTTER: We will call for other appearances in |
| | | |

sid morrish reporting service

~

5-422 1-422

| | ll ti | |
|---|----------|--|
| | | Page15 |
| | 1 | this case. Now, Mr. Voigt, would you state your name and |
| | 2 | residence for the record, please? |
| | 3 | MR. VOIGT: Lee Voigt, 609 South Sixth, Carlsbad, |
| | 4 | New Mexico. |
| | 5 | MR. NUTTER: Mr. Voigt, as I undertand it, you are |
| | 6 | a land owner in the area which is the subject of this hearing |
| | 7 | in Case Number 5879, is that correct? |
| | 8 | MR. VOIGT: Yes, I am. |
| 201 | 9 | MR. NUTTER: I think we will fut you under oath, |
| BGT VICE vice r Mexizo 87501 | 10 | Mr. Voigt. |
| Service Service Vew Mai | 11 | (THEREUPON, the witness was duly sworn.) |
| 0110 Porter 982-92 | 12 | |
| INOLTIAH TEP General Court Rea Mejia, No. 122, San Phone (505) | 13 | LEE VOIGT |
| | 14 | called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was examined |
| sid In Galle Meji | 15 | and testified as follows: |
| 822 C | 16 | |
| | 17 | DIRECT EXAMINATION |
| | 18 | BY MR. NUTTER: |
| | 19 | Q Mr. Voigt, I'm handing you what has been identified |
| | 20 | as the Applicant's Exhibit Number Three in this case, which is |
| | 21 | an eleven-page summary of the ownership of numerous tracts in the north half of Section 12, Township 22 South, Range 26 East |
| | 22 | the north half of Section 12, Township II is a own, does the Now, is that a description of the lands that you own, does the |
| | 23 | Now, is that a description of the funde that you feel that acreage shown thereon agree with the acreage that you feel that |
| | 24 | |
| | 25 | you own in that area? |
| | | n de la companya de la |

.1

Well, the description is right but I'm sure the A. acres are wrong because they are not including the half a mile of a one hundred foot right-of-way on the Carlsbad Irrigation District and also thirty feet on Lee Street which is on the north side of Section 12.

16

Page

Q. And that land belongs to you, doesn't it, as far as 6 minerals are concerned? 7

Yes, sir. A.

1

2

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

20

21

22

23

24

25

So you feel that while the description there is Q. correct that the total acreage in your land is different from what they have shown on their Exhibit, is that it?

A. The mineral right acres are different, yes.

And how many acres do you feel like you own, as far Q. 13 as mineral acres are concerned? 14

The county surveyor said that I have thirty-five, A. 15 point, sixty-six acres of mineral rights in the north half of 16 Section 12. 17

Now, if your calculation is correct, your surveyor Q. 18 is correct, and you've got six additional acres that aren't 19 included in the Antweil description there, what would the six acres come off of with respect to adjoining land, would it come off of some other lease or does this mean that there are six more acres in the section?

It means that there are six more acres in the section. A. The two hundred foot right-of-way that the CID has, it is split

morrish sid

ន្ល

17 Page by the owners on each side of it. One hundred feet go to the 1 2 east side of the canal and a hundred feet go to the west 3 side of the canal, to the other owner. This is my understanding through the surveyor there. 5 Well, does this canal come down through the north Q. half of Section 12 or does it go along the western edge of 6 the section? 7 It runs on the western edge of it and a half a mile À. 8 9 a hundred feet wide. Right down the section line? 10 Q. Yes, sir. It splits the section line. 11 A. 12 Do you have a plat of the property with you? Q. 13 I didn't bring a plat. The only thing that I have A. is the surveyor's acreage that he says I have in both halves 14 of 12. I have seven, point, two acres in the south half of 15 12. 껊 16 But your surveyor says you've got thirty-five acres 17 Q. in the north half which is subject to this hearing? 18 Yes, sir. A. 19 Could you leave a copy of that with us as an exhibit 20 Q in this hearing, Mr. Voigt? 21 22 A. Yes, I can. MR. NUTTER: Let's identify that then, Mr. Reporter, 23 as Voigt Exhibit Number One in this case. 24 I can also get you a plat of the land if Mr. Antweil 25 Ã.

morrish ret

됳

18 A doesn't want to do that. It will be no problem. MR. NUTTER: I think, Mr. Antweil, since we do have 2 this question of this land along this canal we should have a 3 detailed plat showing the lots and the property alignment here. 4 MR. STEVENS: Mr. Examiner, Mr. Antweil will be 5 e happy to furnish you with such a plat as carefully to delineate as possible for yours and Mr. Voigt's use. 7 MR. NUTTER: I've seen city maps of Carlsbad that 8 show all the lots and show all the canals and where the propert 9 lines are. 10 (THEREUPON, Voigt Exhibit One was marked 11 for identification by the Reporter.) 12 MR. NUTTER: Are there any questions of this 13 Mr. Stevens? witness? 14 15 Calle 525 CROSS EXAMINATION 16 BY MR. STEVENS: 17 Mr. Voigt, was your failure to lease with Mr. Antweil Q 18 caused by the discrepancy in the amount of acreage involved? 19 A. No. 20 In other words, if Mr. Antweil offered to pay you Q 21 one hundred and fifty dollars an acre plus the three-sixteenth 22 royalty on thirty-five acres, subject to its finally being 23 resolved as to what is correct, you would refuse that? 24 I would refuse it. A. 25

morrish reporti

sid

Page_____19

MR. STEVENS: Mr. Examiner, we have no objection to his not leasing, that is certainly his right, but we feel that the discrepancy in the description is a legal matter which certainly will be resolved and we will be happy to give to the Commission any information it might want in regards to this

As an aside, I know this has come up before on other lands in the area about these canals and right-of-ways and I don't think there is a resolution at this time.

9 MR. NUTTER: Well, I don't think the Commission 10 can do anything about the ownership of lands along the canal 11 but I think it is incumbent upon us to take his testimony here 12 and let him put his statement into the record and he can take 13 it from there then. Like you say, it is going to have to 14 be resolved.

MR. STEVENS: Yes.

MR. NUTTER: Are there any further questions of Mr. Voigt? He may be excused.

(THEREUPON, the witness was excused.)

MR. VOIGT: Mr. Chairman, I would like to give a
20 little testimony on the risk factor, if I may?

MR. NUTTER: Yes, sir, go ahead.

22 MR. VOIGT: I have been offered a much lesser risk 23 factor than Mr. Antweil is asking for. C & H Drilling Company 24 on the southside of 12 have offered to make an agreement with 25 me before we come up here to a hundred and twenty percent which

sid morrish reporting service General Court Reporting Service 825 Calle Meja, No. 122, Santh Fo, New Mexico B Phene (505) 932-9712 2

3

5

6

7

8

15

16

17

18

| | | Page20 |
|--|----|---|
| | 1 | is two hundred and twenty percent risk factor and also they |
| | 2 | made this same agreement in the north half of Section 13 with |
| | 3 | Mr. William H. Taylor. |
| | 4 | MR. NUTTER: The one hundred and twenty percent? |
| | 6 | MR. VOIGT: Yes, sir. |
| | 6 | MR. NUTTER: And that was in the north half of 18? |
| | 7 | MR. VOIGT: 13. |
| | 8 | MR. NUTTER: The north half of 13. So C & H has |
| Incis | 9 | drilled in the north half of 13 and they are putting a unit |
| | 10 | together for the south half of 12 then, are they? |
| 212 | 11 | MR. VOIGT: Yes. I understand that hearing is |
| s) 982-5 | 12 | supposed to come up the twenty-third for forced pooling. |
| 0.42 Calle MgB, No. 1.42, Santa Fe, New Mexico 8/301 | 13 | MR. NUTTER: Do you have anything further? |
| | 14 | MR. VOIGT: No. |
| | 15 | MR. NUTTER: If there is nothing further Mr. Voigt |
| 3 | 16 | may be excused. |
| | 17 | (THEREUPON, the witness was excused.) |
| | 18 | MR. NUTTER: Do you have anything further, Mr. Stevens? |
| | 19 | MR. WILLIAMS: No, just that we will get a map |
| | 20 | together and there may be a difference of opinion on the |
| | 21 | acreage and that will just have to be determined in a final |
| | 22 | title opinion and this was the work that our landman did from |
| | 23 | the records in Carlsbad. |
| | 24 | MR. NUTTER: Thank you, Mr. Williams. |
| | 25 | Does anyone else have anything they wish to offer in |
| | | |

.....

مېزې کې مېزې کې

sid morrish reporting service Ceneral Court Reporting Service Calle Mejia, No. 122, Sante Fe, New Mexico 87

| | | Page21 |
|--|----|---|
| | 1 | Case Number 58797 |
| | 2 | MR. VOIGT: I have one more thing, Mr. Chairman. |
| | 3 | MR. NUTTER: Yes, Mr. Voigt. |
| | 4 | MR. VOIGT: I'm sorry. I also have a lot in the north |
| | Б | half of Section 12 that I don't have the description with me |
| | 6 | and I'm really not positive as to whether I have the mineral |
| | 7 | rights or not at this time. |
| | 8 | MR. NUTTER: Have they listed it on that Exhibit |
| 8 7501 | 9 | Number Three? |
| rvice exico 8 | 10 | MR. VOIGT: No. I am not sure of the mineral rights |
| Ng 80 servic New M 212 | 11 | under it. I just bought the place about two years ago. I |
| portů eportik anta Fe,) 982-9 | 12 | hadn't checked on it. |
| in re Cour R Cour R 122, S ine (505 | 13 | MR. NUTTER: They may have it listed then with someone |
| morris <i>Genent</i> C dejia, No. 1 Phon | 14 | else then as owning the mineral rights? |
| sid n Calle Me | 15 | MR. VOIGT: It's very possible but I'm sure if the |
| 825 (| 16 | mineral rights was with it I got them. |
| | 17 | MR. NUTTER: Well, I'm sure you did. Can you give us |
| | 18 | a description of where that is, even if it's a street number. |
| | 19 | MR. VOIGT: It's 1210 Normandy. |
| | 20 | MR. NUTTER: In the City of Carlsbad? |
| | 21 | MR. VOIGT: Yes. |
| | 22 | MR. NUTTER: Okay, thank you. 1210 Normandy, have |
| | 23 | you got that? If there is nothing further in Case Number 5879 |
| | 24 | we will take the case under advisement and recess the hearing |
| | 25 | until one-thirty. |
| | | |

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE I, SIDNEY F. MORRISH, a Certified Shorthand Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing and attached Transcript of Hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission was reported by me, and the same is a true and correct record of the said proceedings to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability. Morrish, morrish I do hereby certify that the foregoing is 825 Calle Mejin a confidure record of the proceeding bid the Breathor Examiner Conservation Commission Nex100 011



DIRECTOR

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

STATE OF NEW MEXICO P. O. BOX 2088 - SANTA FE 87501 LAND COMMISSIONER



JOE D. RAMEY

LAND COMMISSIONER PHIL R. LUCERO March 16, 1977

STATE GEOLOGIST EMERY C. ARNOLD

Mr. Donald G. Stevens Attornsy at Law Post Office Box 1797 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

| Re: | CASE NO. | 5879 |
|-----|-----------|--------|
| | ORDER NO. | R-5397 |

Applicant:

Morris R. Antweil

Dear Sir:

Enclosed herewith are two copies of the above-referenced Commission order recently entered in the subject case.

Yours very truly, TOE D. RAMEY Director

JDR/fd

Copy of order also sent to:

| Hobbs OCC | X |
|-------------|---|
| Artesia OCC | X |
| Aztec OCC | |

Other Lee Voigt

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

> CASE NO. 5879 Order No. R-5397

APPLICATION OF MORRIS R. ANTWEIL FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

BY THE COMMISSION:

100

This cause came on for hearing at 9 a.m. on March 9, 1977, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner Daniel S. Nutter.

NOW, on this <u>15th</u> day of March, 1977, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the testimony, the record, and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That the applicant, Morris R. Antweil, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests in the Pennsylvanian formation underlying the N/2 of Section 12, Township 22 South, Range 26 East, NMPM, South Carlsbad Field, Eddy County, New Mexico.

(3) That the applicant has the right to drill and proposes to drill a well at a standard location for said unit.

(4) That there are interest owners in the proposed proration unit who have not agreed to pool their interests.

(5) That to evoid the drilling of unnecessary wells, to protect correlative rights, and to afford to the owner of each interest in said unit the opportunity to recover or receive without unnecessary expense his just and fair share of the gas in said pool, the subject application should be approved by pooling all mineral interests, whatever they may be, within said unit. -2-Case No. 5879 Order No. R-5397

(6) That the applicant should be designated the operator of the subject well and unit.

(7) That any non-consenting working interest owner should be afforded the opportunity to pay his share of estimated well costs to the operator in lieu of paying his share of reasonable well costs out of production.

(8) That any non-consenting working interest owner that does not pay his share of estimated well costs should have withheld from production his share of the reasonable well costs plus an additional 150 percent thereof as a reasonable charge for the risk involved in the drilling of the well.

(9) That any non-consenting interest owner should be afforded the opportunity to object to the actual well costs but that actual well costs should be adopted as the reasonable well costs in the absence of such objection.

(10) That following determination of reasonable well costs, any non-consenting working interest owner that has paid his share of estimated costs should pay to the operator any amount that reasonable well costs exceed estimated well costs and should receive from the operator any amount that paid estimated well costs exceed reasonable well costs.

(11) That \$1500.00 per month while drilling and \$225.00 per month while producing should be fixed as a reasonable charge for supervision (combined fixed rates); that the operator should be authorized to withhold from production the proportionate share of such supervision charge attributable to each non-consenting working interest, and in addition thereto, the operator should be authorized to withhold from production the proportionate share of actual expenditures required for operating the subject well, not in excess of what are reasonable, attributable to each non-consenting working interest.

(12) That all proceeds from production from the subject well which are not disbursed for any reason should be placed in escrow to be paid to the true owner thereof upon demand and proof of ownership.

(13) That upon the failure of the operator of said pooled unit to commence drilling of the well to which said unit is dedicated on or before May 15, 1977, the order pooling said unit should become null and void and of no effect whatsoever. -3-Case No. 5879 Order No. R-5397

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That all mineral interests, whatever they may be, in the Pennsylvanian formation underlying the N/2 of Section 12, Township 22 South, Range 26 East, NMPM, South Carlsbad Field, Eddy County, New Mexico, are hereby pooled to form a standard 320-acre gas spacing and proration unit to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at a standard location for said unit.

PROVIDED HOWEVER, that the operator of said unit shall commence the drilling of said well on or before the 15th day of May, 1977, and shall thereafter continue the drilling of said well with due diligence to a depth sufficient to test the Pennsylvanian formation;

PROVIDED FURTHER, that in the event said operator does not commence the drilling of said well on or before the 15th day of May, 1977, Order (1) of this order shall be null and void and of no effect whatsoever; unless said operator obtains a time extension from the Commission for good cause shown.

PROVIDED FURTHER, that should said well not be drilled to completion, or abandonment, within 120 days after commencement thereof, said operator shall appear before the Commission and show cause why Order (1) of this order should not be rescinded.

(2) That Morris R. Antweil is hereby designated the operator of the subject well and unit.

(3) That after the effective date of this order and within 30 days prior to commencing said well, the operator shall furnish the Commission and each known working interest owner in the subject unit an itemized schedule of estimated well costs.

(4) That within 30 days from the date the schedule of estimated well costs is furnished to him, any non-consenting working interest owner shall have the right to pay his share of estimated well costs to the operator in lieu of paying his share of reasonable well costs out of production, and that any such owner who pays his share of estimated well costs as provided above shall remain liable for operating costs but shall not be liable for risk charges.

(5) That the operator shall furnish the Commission and each known working interest owner an itemized schedule of actual well costs within 90 days following completion of the well; that if

-4-Case No. 5879 Order No. R-5397

no objection to the actual well costs is received by the Commission and the Commission has not objected within 45 days following receipt of said schedule, the actual well costs shall be the reasonable well costs; provided however, that if there is an objection to actual well costs within said 45-day period the Commission will determine reasonable well costs after public notice and hearing.

(6) That within 60 days following determination of reasonable well costs, any non-consenting working interest owner that has paid his share of estimated costs in advance as provided above shall pay to the operator his pro rata share of the amount that reasonable well costs exceed estimated well costs and shall receive from the operator his pro rata share of the amount that estimated well costs exceed reasonable well costs.

(7) That the operator is hereby authorized to withhold the following costs and charges from production:

- (3) The pro rata share of reasonable well costs attributable to each non-consenting working interest owner who has not paid his share of estimated well costs within 30 days from the date the schedule of estimated well costs is furnished to him.
- (B) As a charge for the risk involved in the drilling of the well, 150 percent of the pro rata share of reasonable well costs attributable to each non-consenting working interest owner who has not paid his share of estimated well costs within 30 days from the date the schedule of estimated well costs is furnished to him.

(8) That the operator shall distribute said costs and charges withheld from production to the parties who advanced the well costs.

(9) That \$1500.00 per month while drilling and \$225.00 per month while producing is hereby fixed as a reasonable charge for supervision (combined fixed rates); that the operator is hereby authorized to withhold from production the proportionate share of such supervision charge attributable to each nonconsenting working interest, and in addition thereto, the operator is hereby authorized to withhold from production the proportionate share of actual expenditures required for operating such well, not in excess of what are reasonable, attributable to each non-consenting working interest. -5-Case No. 5879 Order No. R-5397

(10) That any unsevered mineral interest shall be considered a seven-eighths (7/8) working interest and a one-eighth (1/8) royalty interest for the purpose of allocating costs and charges under the terms of this order.

(11) That any well costs or charges which are to be paid out of production shall be withheld only from the working interests share of production, and no costs or charges shall be withheld from production attributable to royalty interests.

(12) That all proceeds from production from the subject well which are not disbursed for any reason shall be placed in escrow in Eddy County, New Mexico, to be paid to the true owner thereof upon demand and proof of ownership; that the operator shall notify the Commission of the name and address of said escrow agent within 90 days from the date of this order.

(13) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Commission may deem necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

JOE D.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION



EMERY CLARNOLD, Member

emb

fr & Secretary

PHIL R, LUCERO, Chairman

SEAL

dr/

Docket No. 8-77

Dockets Hos. 9-77 and 10-77 ave tentatively set for hearing on March 23 and April 6, 1977. Applications for hearing must be filed at least 22 days in advance of hearing date.

DOCKET: EXAMINER HEARING - WEDNESDAY - MARCH 9, 1977

9 A.M. - OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION CONFERENCE ROCM STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING, SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

The following cases will be heard before Daniel S. Nutter, Examiner, or Richard L. Stamets, Alternate Examiner:

ALLOWABLE: (1) Consideration of the allowable production of gas for April, 1977, from seventeen prorated pools in Lea, Eddy, Chaves, and Roosevelt Counties, New Mexico.

(2) Consideration of the allowable production of gas for April, 1977, from four prorated pools in San Juan, Rio Arriba, and Sandoval Counties, New Moxico.

CASE 5863: (Continued & Readvertised)

2.X.(407 Application of Amerada Hess Corporation for an unorthodox oil well location, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the unorthodox location of its Jicarilla Apache "B" Well No. 16, completed as an oil well in the Dakota formation at a point 1850 feet from the South line and 1500 feet from the West line of Section 29, Township 24 North, Range 5 West, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico, said well having been projected as a Basin-Dakota gas well at a standard gas well location for said pool.

CASE 5857: (Continued & Readvertised)

Application of Union Oil Company of California for directional drilling, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to re-enter its Pipeline Deep Unit Federal Well No. 3, the surface location of which is 1980 feet from the North and East lines of Section 7, Township 19 South, Range 34 East, Lea County, New Mexico, and to directionally drill said well in a southerly or easterly direction and complete it in the Morrow formation at a point no closer than 330 feet to the outer boundary of the proration unit, the E/2 of said Section 7.

<u>CASE 5873:</u> In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Commission on its own motion to consider the amendment of Rule 1115 of the Commission Rules and Regulations to provide for the filing of information required on Form C-115, Operator's Monthly Report, in the manner and sequence prescribed by the Commission.

CASE 5874: Application of Texaco Inc. for salt water disposal, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to dispose of produced salt water into the Lower San Andres formation through the perforated interval from 5926 to 5946 feet of its New Mexico "R" State Well No. 5, located in Unit B of Section 2, Township 18 South, Range 34 East, Vacuum Grayburg-San Andres Pool, Lea County, New Mexico.

CASE 5866: (Continued from February 16, 1977, Examiner Hearing)

Application of Union Texas Petroleum for an exception to casing and cementing requirements of Order No. R-111-A, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an exception to the casing and cementing requirements of Order No. R-111-A to eliminate the salt protection string in a well it proposes to drill in Unit D of Section 33, Township 20 South, Range 34 East, Lynch Yates-Seven Rivers Pool, Lea County, New Mexico.

CASE 5048: (Reopened) (Continued from January 19, 1977, Examiner Hearing)

In the matter of Case 5048 being reopened pursuant to the provisions of Order No. R-4637-A, which order extended the temporary special pool rules for the South Dagger Draw-Upper Pennsylvanian Associated Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico. All interested parties may appear and show cause why said temporary special pool rules should not be rescinded.

CASE 5117: (Reopered) (Continued from February 2, 1977, Examiner Hearing)

In the matter of Case 5117 being reopened pursuant to the provisions of Order No. R-4691-A, which order extended the temporary special pool rules for the North Dagger Draw-Upper Pennsylvanian Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico. All interested parties may appear and show cause why said North Dagger Draw-Upper Pennsylvanian Pool should not be developed on less than 160-acre proration units and why the special depth bracket allowable should be retained.

Examiner Hearing - Wednesday - March 9, 1977

Docket No. 8-77

CASE 5875: Application of Atlantic Richfield Company for an unorthodox location and simultaneous dedication, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to simultaneously dedicate a previously approved 320-acre non-standard Jalmat gas provation unit comprising the W/2 of Section 29, Township 24 South, Range 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico, to its William H. Harrison "A" WN Well No. 2 located in Unit D and William H. Harrison "D" Wells Nos. 1 and 6 in Units L and N, respectively, of said Section 29. Applicant further seeks approval of an unorthodox location for its William H. Harrison "D" WN Well No. 6 at a location 660 feet from the South line and 1930 feet from the West line of said Section.

Application of Jerome P. McHugh for downhole commingling, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico. CASE 5876: Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to commingle Gavilan-Pictured Cliffs and Blanco-Mesaverde production in the wellbore of his June Well No. 1 located in Unit B of Section 28, Township 28 North, Range 3 West, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico.

CASE 5820: (Continued from February 16, 1977, Examiner Hearing)

Application of Texas Oil & Gas Corporation for compulsory pooling, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests in the Wolfcamp and Pennsylvanian formations underlying the W/2 of Section 4, Township 22 South, Range 26 East, Eddy County, New Mexico, to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at a standard location thereon. Also to be considered will be the cost of drilling and completing said well and the allocation of the cost thereof, as well as actual operating costs and charges for supervision. Also to be considered will be the designation of applicant as operator of the well and a charge for risk involved in drilling said well.

1

CASE 5867: (Continued from February 16, 1977, Examiner Hearing)

Application of Texas Oil & Gas Corporation for compulsory pooling, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order pooling all mincral interests in the Wolfcamp and Pennsylvanian formations underlying the S/2 of Section 19, Township 21 South, Well No. 1 to be located in Unit N of said Section 19. Also to be dedicated to its Forrest Well No. 1 to be located in Unit N of said Section 19. Also to be considered will be the cost of completing said well and the allocation of the cost thereof, as well as actual operating costs and charges for supervision. Also to be considered will be the designation of applicant as operator of the well and a charge for risk involved in completion of said well.

Application of Great Lakes Chemical Corporation for two non-standard gas proration units, San CASE 5877: Juan County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of two 160-acre non-standard Blanco Mesaverde gas proration units comprising the SE/4 of Section 3, and the NM/4 of Section 35, respectively, Township 27 North, Range 8 West, San Juan County, New Mexico.

Application of Michael T. Gottlieb for two non-standard gas proration units, San Juan County, CASE 5878: New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of two 160-acre non-standard Blanco Mesaverde gas proration units comprising the NE/4 of Section 3, and the SW/4 of Section 35, respectively, Township 27 North, Range 8 West, San Juan County, New Mexico.

CASE 5879: Application of Morris R. Antweil for compulsory pooling, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests in the Pennsylvanian formation underlying the N/2 of Section 12, Township 22 South, Range 26 East, South Carlsbad Field, Eddy County, New Mexico, to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at a standard location thereon. Also to be considered will be the cost of drilling and completing said well and the allocation of the cost thereof, as well as actual operating costs and charges for supervision. Also to be considered will be the designation of applicant as operator of the well and a charge for risk involved in drilling said well.

Application of Morris R. Antweil for compulsory pooling, Eddy County, New Mexico. Applicant, in CASE 5880: the above-styled cause, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests in the Pennsylvanian forma-tion underlying the S/2 of Section 20, Township 18 South, Range 25 Fest, Eddy County, New Mexico, to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at a standard location thereon. Also to be considered will be the cost of drilling and completing said well and the allocation of the cost thereof, as well as actual operating costs and charges for supervision. Also to be considered will be the designation of applicant as operator of the well and a charge for risk involved in drilling said well.
Examiner Hoaring - Wednesday - March 9, 1977

Docket No. 8-77

CASE 5881:

181: Application of Western Oil Producers, Inc. for an unorthodox gas well location, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the unorthodox location of its Amoco State Well No. 1, completed in the Atoka formation at a point 660 feet from the South and West lines of Section 28, Tewnship 16 South, Range 33 East, Lea County, New Mexico, the W/2 of said Section 28 to be dedicated to the well.

CASE 5859: (Continued & Rendvertised)

Application of Caulkins Oil Company for a dual completion and downhole commingling, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to commingle Blanco Mesaverde and Basin-Dakota production in the wellbore of its Breech D Well No. 307, located in Unit M of Section 13, Township 26 North, Bange 7 West, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico, and to dually complete the commingled formations and the Chacra formation in said well.

| مسجعة هربا بجمعا | | | | | | • |
|--|--|---|---|---------------|--------------------|---|
| · | | | | | | • |
| NO. OF COPILS RECEIVED | | | | - | | |
| DISTRIBUTION | NE NE | W MEXICO OIL CONSE | RVATION COMMISSION | | Form C-101 | |
| SANTA FE | | | | | Revised 1.1. | |
| FILE | | | | | | • Type of Leose |
| U.S.G.S. | | | • -• • • • • | 1 | | ra 🕅 |
| LAND OFFICE | | | | | .5, Stole OI | 6 Gos Lease No. |
| OPERATOR | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Te. Type of Work | IN FOR FERMIT IN | O DRÍLL, DEEPEN, | UN FLUG BACK | | 2. Linit Agr | eement Name |
| | , | | | | | |
| b. Type of Well DRILL | 3 | DEEPEN | PLUG 8 | | 8. Farm or 1 | ease Nome |
| | OTHER | | SINGLE MUL | | - | Viva |
| 2. Name of Operator | | | 704L L | 1000 L_1 | 9. Well No: | |
| Morri | s R. Antweil | - | | | 1 | |
| 3. Address of Operator | | | | | | id Popl, or Wildcat |
| Box 2 | 010, Hobbs, | N.M. 88240 | | | Unde | signated |
| | | | EET FROM THE NORT | h LINE | IIIIII. | TITTITI, TITI |
| 2140 | | | | h | IIIIII. | HHHH, HHH |
| AND 2140 FLET FROM | East | INE OF SEC. 12 | 22-5 2 | U-D NMPM | iiiiii | mhittitt |
| | | | | | 12. County Eddy | |
| <i>{}}}}}}<i>}}}<i>}}}</i></i></i> | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | HHHH | TUTUT | HHHHHArro |
| | | | | | | |
| AHHHHHHHA | ********** | *********** | 9. Proposed Depth | SA. Formation | mm | 20, Rolary or C.T. |
| AIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII | | | | Morrow | ÷* | Rotary |
| 21. Elevetions (Show whether DF, | RT, cic.) 21A. Kind | 6 Status Plug. Bond 2 | 1B. Drilling Contractor | | 22. Approx | . Date Work will start |
| 3122' GR | Bla | nket | Moranco | | 15 | April 77 |
| 23. | | | | | · · · | |
| | | PROPOSED CASING AND | CEMENT PROGRAM | | | |
| SIZE OF HOLE | SIZE OF CASING | WEIGHT PER FOOT | | SACKS OF | | EST. TOP |
| 17-1/2" | 13-3/8" | 48# | 300' | | SX. | Circ. |
| 12-1/4" | 9-5/8" | 36# | 2700' | | sx. | Circ. |
| 8-3/4" | 5-1/2" | 17# | 11600' | 600 | sx. | 950 0' |
| • | | | | | | |
| | rogram: | | | • | | |
| | | series double | | | | |
| 1- | 10" x 1500 = | series Hydril | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | well is not a | committe | ed to | а |
| gas sa | ales contract | t. | سبب عيد حدد و | | | |
| | | | DE | | N & 1 1 12 | anne anti-ser a se sur sa anne anne an airreann. A tha sin a bhairtean ann an an |
| | | • | DE | | AAMI | TER NUTTER |
| | | | OIL | CONSER | WATION | COMMERCIA |
| | | | | A . | XEDAT | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | CA | SE NO. | 571 | 7 |
| | | | ************************************** | | | |
| N ABOVE SFACE DESCRIBE PRO IVE ZONE, GIVE BLOWOUT PREVENTE | DPOSED PROGRAM; IF I R Program, IF Any. | PROPOSAL IS TO DEEPEN OR | PLUS EACK, GIVE DATA ON | FRESENT PRODI | UCTIVE ZONE | AND FROPOSED NEW PRODU |
| hereby certify that the information | above is true and comp | siete to the best of my know | wledge and belief. | | | |
| Pmli | 00 | Agen | t. "· | | אר | arch, 1977 |
| igned <u>LIIIWU</u> | wann | Tule Ayen | - | Do | xe | |
| (This space for Si | ate Use) | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| FPROVED BY | | TITLE | | | TE | |
| ONDITIONS OF APPROVAL, IF | Y NAT | | • | | | |
| | | | CASE NO. 5879 | - EXHI | BIT 1 | |
| | | | | | | |
| and the second | • | | | | | |
| 1 | n an | eren s e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e | | | | and the second second |
| n an | n an | n na star Na s | | | | |
| | | ¥944.} | | | | |
| and a second | an a | 204.) V | | | | |

NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION WELL LOCATION AND ACREAGE DEDICATION PLAT

Porm C+102 Supervedes C+128 Filertive 1+1+65

•

| erotor | - | | from the outer loundarie | | Wall No. |
|--|---|--|---|--------------------------|---|
| MORRIS R. A | | | Nesa 1 | | 1 |
| _ | ction | Township 00 Couth | Range | County | |
| G Location | 12 vol Well; | 22 South | 1 26 East | t Eddy | |
| 1705 Ie | et from the | north line and | | leot from the Ca | st line |
| und Lyvel Elev. | Producing For Mori | | Pool Undesig | mated | Dedicuted Acreage: |
| 3122.4 | | ted to the subject w | | | 320 Ac |
| interest and ru 3. If more than o dated by comm Yes X | oyalty). S ne lease of di nunitization, u No If an | See Reverse Si ifferent ownership is nitization, force-pool swer is "yes," type o | ide . dedicated to the we ing. etc? of consolidation | II, have the interest | hip thereof (both as to worki is of all owners been conso olidated (Pac revorce side |
| No allowable v | vill be assigne | d to the well until al | l interests have bee | n consolidated (by | olidated. (L'se reverse side communitization, unitizatio been approved by the Commi CERTIFICATION |
| | | | | toine best Näme | nt |
| | ł | | 1 | [] | ris R. Antweil |
| | | | CTO | Date Su Date Su Fe | ris R. Antweil arch, 1977 mby certify that the well location n on this plat was platted from fie- al actual surveys made by me to my supervision, and that the sam we and correct to the best of m ledge and belief. |

*

CASE NO. 5879 - EXHIBIT 2

Proposed Gas Spacing and Proration Unit:

320 acres, being N/2 Section 12-T22S-R26E Eddy County, New Mexico

Acreage Holdings

| Antweil, et al271.23 acres84.76%Cities Service Oil Company0.50 acres0.16%Unleased Minerals48.27 acres15.08% |
|---|
|---|

320.00 acres 100.00% ·

The unleased minerals are divided among 116 town-lot tracts, the largest of which is 29.79 acres.

| BEFOR | E OMAMO EO NATTER |
|---------|---------------------|
| OIL COM | SERVATION CLARISTON |
| | EXHBUT NO. 2 |

N's Section 12, T22S-R26E; Eddy County, New Mexico

ITEM MINERAL NET No. DESCRIPTION RECORD OWNER INTEREST ACRES All that portion of the $W_2^1NW_3^1$ of Section 12, Township 22 South, Range 26 East, N.M.P.M. lying East of the Carlsbad 1. Irrigation District main canal right-of-way, LESS a tract described as commencing at a point on the North line of said Section 12, 800 feet East of the Northwest corner of said Section 12; thence South at right angles to said North line of Section 12, 30 feet to the South line of the County Road for a point of beginning of this tract; thence East along the South line of said County Road 75 feet; thence South at right angles to the South line of said County Road, 120 feet; thence West parallel to the North line of Section 12, 75 feet; thence North 120 feet to the point of beginning of this tract. Lee B. Voigt and Wilma 29.79 E. Voigt, his wife, A11 609 S. 6th Carlsbad, NM 88220 2. Beginning at a point on the East line of the NW4 of Section 12, Township 22 South, Range 26 East, N.M.P.M. 30 feet South of the Northeast corner of said NW1; Thence South along the East line of said NW4, 158 feet; Thence West parallel to the North line of said NW_4^1 , 105 feet; Thence North parallel to the East line of said NW4, 158 feet; Thence East a distance of 105 feet, more or less, to the point of beginning. W. H. Pixler and Wanda 0.38 Pixler, his wife, A11 c/o Mrs. George F. Pixler 1105 N. Canal Carlsbad, NM 88220 (See below) Beginning at a point that is 185 feet East of the Northwest 3. corner of the NE¹ of Section 12, Township 22 South, Range 26 East, N.M.P.M.; Thence South a distance of 34 feet; Thence East to the West line of Cypress Street; Thence North along the West line of Cypress Street to the Northeast corner of Block 25 of Gibson Addition, which is a print adpoint on the South line of Lea Street; Thence West to a point that is 185 feet East of the Northwest corner of said Block 25 of Gibson Addition; Thence South to the point of beginning. Colonia Investment Corporation Box 12127 0.09 A11 El Paso, TX 79912 (See Item 2 abové) W. H. Pixler DRE EKAN 4211 Monty Drive Midland, Texas 79701 Phone: 915 694-4815 5877 CASE NO. 5879 EXHIBIT 3

11 Pages

ITEM MINERAL NET No. DESCRIPTION RECORD OWNER INTEREST ACRES 4. Normandy Plaza Addition Block 1: Lot 2 Walter G. Foster et ux A11 0.09 4. Dorothy T. Foster 1501 W. Ural Carlsbad, NM 88220 5. Lots 3,4 MiBitdwiff: Fowler 1sbad, NM A11 0,10 6. Lots 8, 9 Bell Realty Co. A11 0.13 (a New Mexico corporation) c/o Ray Bell Box 490 Roswell, NM 88201 Block 2: 7. Lots 1, 2 Clarence Smith, Jr. et ux A11 0.13 Geraldine Smith 1101 Irvin Carlsbad, NM 88220 8 Lots 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 Linda C. Skoda P O Box 352 A11 0.36 Carlsbad, NM 88220 0.05 Lot 10 Robert E. Good et ux A11 9. Virginia L. Good 1615 W. Tansil Carlsbad, NM 88220 Reeves E. Knowlton et ux A11 0.13 10. Lots 11,12 Eva M. Knowlton 1001 N. 6th Carlsbad, NM 88220 Block 3: 0.08 James F. Tabor et ux A11 11. Lot 1 Wilma Tabor 408 N. Mesa Carlsbad, NM 88220 0.06 Colonia Investment Corp. A11 12. Lot 2 subject to contract of sale in favor of: James F. Tabor et ux Wilma Tabor 408 N. Mesa Carlsbad, NM 88220 Colonia Investment Corp. (see Item 3) A11 0.11 13. Lots 3, 4 0.08 Donald Wayne Wallace and A11 14. Lot 5 William Wayne Wallace 1303 N. Canal Carlsbad, NM 88220

N¹₂ Section 12, T22S-R26E: Eddy County, New Mexico

| | N ¹ ₂ Section | 12, T22S-R26E: Eddy County, | New Mexico | |
|-------------|---------------------------------------|---|---------------------|--------------|
| ITEM No. | DESCRIPTIO | N RECORD OWNER | MINERAL INTEREST | NET ACRES |
| | Normandy P | laza Addition | | |
| | Block 4: | | | |
| 15. | Lot 1 & E½ Lot 2 | Colonia Investment Corp. subject to contract of sale in favor of: Carlos C. Lara et ux Rufina Armando C. Lara et ux Arcen | L , | 0.11 |
| 16. | W ¹ 2 Lot 2, Lots 3,4,5 | | A11 | 0.22 |
| | | | | |
| | Normandy Ac | ldition | | |
| | Block 1: | | | |
| 17. | Lot 1 | Robert Sanchez et ux Albinia S. Sanchez 912 Irvin Carlsbad, NM 88220 | A11 | 0.19 |
| 18. | Lot 19 | Fernando Soto et ux Manuela S. Soto 1515½ W. Lea Carlsbad, NM 88220 | A11 | 0.30 |
| 19. | Lot 21 | Will D. Meiers subject to contract of sale in favor of: Tomas Saiz et ux Felix 914 W. Irvin Carlsbad, NM 88220 | A11 | 0.27 |
| | Arcadía Add | ition | • | |
| | Block 1: | | | • |
| 20. | Lot 1 | Columbus Lewis et ux Clementina Lewis 1302 Normandy Carlsbad, NM 88220 | 1/2 | 0.16 |
| 21. | Lot 3. | Tommy L. Herchman 1304 Normandy Carlsbad, NM 88220 | 1/2 | 0.11 |
| 22. | Lot 5 | Charles Wesley Lund et ux Mary Lou Lund | 1/2 | 0.11 |
| | . • | | | |

N¹₂ Section 12, T22S-R26E; Eddy County. New Mexico

| | , N ¹ ₂ Section 1 | 2, T22S-R26E: Eddy County, | New Mexico | |
|-------------|---|---|---------------------|--------------|
| ITEM No. | DESCRIPTION | RECORD OWNER | MINERAL INTEREST | NET ACRES |
| | Arcadia Addi | tion | | |
| | Block 1: | | | |
| 23 | Lot 7 | R. Q. Ross et ux Claudette B. 1308 Normandy Carlsbad, NM 88220 | 1/2 | 0.11 |
| 24 | Lot 9 | Lyndel A. Newsom | 1/2 | 0.11 |
| | | | | |
| 25 | Lot 11 | Alfonso G. Castillo et ux Elida B. Castillo 1312 Normandy Carlsbad, NM 88220 | 1/2 | 0.16 |
| | Block 2: | | · · · | |
| 26 | Lot 1 | Jerry L. Collier et ux Lola F. Collier 1402 Normandy Carlsbad, NM 88220 | 1/2 | 0.17 |
| 27 | Lot 3 | Lawrence J. Calley et ux Mary Virginia Calley 1404 Normandy Carlsbad, NM 88220 | 1/2 | 0.11 |
| 28 | Lot 5 | John A. Babich (single) 1406 Normandy Carlsbad, NM 88220 | 1/2 | 0.11 |
| 29 | Lot 7 | Pablo L. Dorado et ux Priscilla H. Dorado 1408 Normandy | 1/2 | 0.11 |
| | • | Carlsbad, NM 88220 | | |
| 30 | Lot 9 | Wayne C. Van Winkle et ux Earlene Van Winkle 1410 Normandy Carlsbad, NM 88220 | 1/2 | 0.11 |
| 31 | Lot 11 | John A. Hewett | 1/2 | 0.16 |
| · | | 1412 Normandy Carlsbad, NM 88220 | | ••• |
| | Block 3 | | | |
| 32 | Lot 2 | Dee Joplin et ux Evelyn R. 705 S. Cypress Carlsbad, NM 88220 | 1/2 | 0.24 |
| 33 | Lot 4 | E. D. Perry et ux Helen B. 707 S. Cypress Carlsbad, NM 88220 | 1/2 | 0.25 |

· .

| | N_{2}^{1} Section 1 | 2, T22S-R26E; Eddy County, 1 | New Mexico | |
|-------------|-----------------------|---|---------------------|--------------|
| ITEM No. | DESCRIPTION | RECORD OWNER | MINERAL INTEREST | NET ACRES |
| | Arcadia Addi | tion | | |
| | Block 4: | | | |
| 34 | Lot 1 | Antonia A. Guerrero (widow) 1401 Normandy Carlsbad, NM 88220 |) 1/2 | 0.18 |
| 35 | Lot 3 | Jack Fryet ux Stella M. 1403 Normandy Carlsbad, NM 88220 | 1/2 | 0.11 |
| 36 | Lot 5 | Pilar Navarrette,Jr. et ux Petra Navarrette 1405 Normandy Carlsbad, NM 88220 | 1/2 | 0.11 |
| 37 | Lot 7 | Alton Howse | 1/2 | 0.11 |
| | | 1407 Normandy Carlsbad, NM 88220 | | |
| 38 | Lot 9 | Howard H. Webster | 1/2 | 0.11 |
| | | 1409 Normandy Carlsbad, NM 88220 | | |
| 39 | Lot 11 | Jack Trice et ux Doris N. 1411 Normandy Carlsbad, NM 88220 | 1/2 | 0.16 |
| 40 | Lot 2 | Israel E. Hernandez et ux Juana D. Hernandez 1402 Irvin Carlsbad, NM 88220 | 1/2 | 0.18 |
| 41 | Lot 4 | Kenneth L. Ramsey 1404 Irvin Carlsbad, NM 88220 | 1/2 | 0.11 |
| 42 | Lot 6 | Luther D. Neece, Jr. et ux Linda Mae | 1/2 | 0.11 |
| 43 | Lot 8 | Willie V. Meadows et ux Beverly I. Meadows 1502 Lincoln Dr. Carlsbad, NM 88220 | 1/2 | 0.11 |
| 44 | Lot 10 | Augustin Estrada et ux Evangelina Estrada 1410 Irvin Carlsbad, NM 88220 | 1/2 | 0.11 |
| 45 | Lot 15 | Earl W. Jordan 8403 Mottler El Paso, TX 79925 | 1/2 | 0.18 |

| | | N_{2}^{1} Section 1 | 2, T22S-R26E: Eddy County, No | ew Mexico | |
|---|-------------|-----------------------|--|--------------------|-------|
| | ITEM No. | DESCRIPTION | | IINERAL NTEREST | ACRES |
| | | <u>Arcadia Addi</u> | tion | | |
| | - | Block 5: | · · · · | | |
| | 45 | Lot 1 | Billy F. Sadler & Lovenia F. 1301 Normandy Carlsbad, NM 88220 | 1/2 | 0.16 |
| | 46 | Lot 3 | Charles K. Winn & Catrerine 1303 Normandy Carlsbad, NM 88220 | 1/2 | 0.11 |
| | 47 | Lot 5 | Margaret C. Hagstrom (widow) 1305 Normandy Carlsbad, NM 88220 | 1/2 | 0.11 |
| | 48 、 | Lot 7 | Jerry Wayne Aaron et ux Linda R. Aaron | 1/2 | 0.11 |
| | 49 | Lot 9 | Eddie D. Razo et ux Margie H 1309 Normandy Carlsbad, NM 88220 | . 1/2 | 0.11 |
| • | 50 | Lot 11 | John W. Allen et ux Peggy Laverne Allen 1311 Normandy Carlsbad, NM 88220 | 1/2 | 0.16 |
| | 51 | Lot 2 | Louis D. Fierro et ux Amelia M. Fierro 1302 Irvin Carlsbad, NM 88220 | 1/2 | 0.16 |
| | 52 | Lot 4 | Thomas Colter, Jr. et ux Mary Ruth Colter 1304 Irvin Carlsbad, NM 88220 | 1/2 | 0.11 |
| | 53 | Lot 6 | Oliver O. Scott et ux Una M. Scott 1306 Irvin Carlsbad, NM 88220 | 1/2 | 0.11 |
| | 54 | Lot 8 | John F. Vaughan & Ruth H. 1308 Irvin Carlsbad, NM 88220 | 1/2 | 0.11 |
| | 55 | Lot 10 | Thomas B. Cresswell et ux Nellie Jo. Creswell 1310 Irvin Carlsbad, NM 88220 | 1/2 | 0.11 |
| | 56 | Lot 12 | Barbara Ann Baker (single) 1312 Irvin Carlsbad, NM 88220 | 1/2 | 0,16 |

N¹/₂ Section 12, T22S-R26E: Eddy County, New Mexico

N¹/₂ Section 12, T22S-R26E: Eddy County, New Mexico

| | N% Section | At SECO-Room Beerg Control St | |
|-------------|--------------|---|--------------|
| ITEM No. | DESCRIPTION | RECORD OWNERS MINERAL INTEREST | NET ACRES |
| | Arcadia Addi | ition | |
| | Block 6: | | |
| 57 | Lot 1 | Administrator of Veteran's 1/2 Affairs | 0.16 |
| 58 | Lot 3 | Harry C. Robertson et ux 1/2 Norma F. Robertson 1303 Irvin Carlsbad, NM 88220 | 0.11 |
| 59 | Lot 5 | Henry H. Clay et ux 1/2 Willa Dean Clay 1305 Irvin Carlsbad, NM 88220 | 0.11 |
| 60 | Lot 7 | Javier S. Chavez et ux 1/2 Carlotta B. Chavez | 0.11 |
| 61 | Lot 9 | Morris Jones et ux Dorothy H. 1/2 1309 Irvin Carlsbad, NM 88220 | 0.11 |
| 62 | Lot 11 | Serapio B. Munoz et ux Thelma 1/2 | 0.16 |
| .63 | Lot 2 | Billie R. Seal et ux Lillian C. 1/2 1302 Alvarado Carlsbad, NM 88220 | 0.16 |
| 64 | Lot 4 | Robert Meinzer et ux 1/2 Helen Marie Neinzer | 0.11 |
| 65 | Lot 6 | Texico Conference Association 1/2 of Seventh Day Adventists, a corporation 707 N. Chestnut Carlsbad, NM 88220 | 0.11 |
| 66 | Lot 8 | Earnest W. Holder et ux 1/2 Jean Holder 1308 Alvarado Carlsbad, NM 88220 / | 0.11 |
| 6 7 | Lot 10 | Lucio R. Perez et ux Flora T. 1/2 1310 Alvarado Carlsbad, NM 88220 | 0.11 |
| • .• | Block 7: | | |
| 68 | Lot 1 | Pat E. Briggs et ux Evelyn L. 1/2 1401 Irvin Carlsbad, NM 88220 | 0,16 |
| 69 | Lot 3 | Mt. Sinai United Methodist Church | 1/2 0.11 |

.

| | N_2 Section 1 | 12, T22S-R26E: Eddy County, N | lew Mexico | |
|-------------|---------------------|---|---------------------|--------------|
| ITEM No. | DESCRIPTION | RECORD OWNERS | MINERAL INTEREST | NET ACRES |
| | <u>Arcadia Addi</u> | tion | | |
| | Block 7: | 、 | | |
| 70 | Lot 5 | Milburn E. Reese et ux Elodia V. Reese 1405 Irvin | 1/2 | 0.11 |
| | • | Carlsbad, NM 88220 | | |
| 71 | Lot 7 | Andres R. Balderrama et ux Luz R. Balderrama 1407 Irvin Carlsbad, NM 88220 | 1/2 | 0.11 |
| 72 | Lot 9 | George Hunt et ux Rose | 1/2 | 0.11 |
| 73 | Lot 11 | Donald Ray Smith et ux Elizabeth Ann Smith 1411 Irvin Carlsbad, NM 88220 | 1/2 | 0.11 |
| 74 | Lot 13 | Lyle A. Stevens et ux Pearl M. Stevens 1413 Irvin | 1/2 | 0.11 |
| | | Carlsbad, NM 88220 | | |
| .75 | Lot 15 | Olean Ray Williams et ux Jerlean Williams 1415 Irvin | 1/2 | 0.16 |
| | · • • • | Carlsbad, NM 88220 | _ | |
| 76 | Lot 4 | Melvin R. Connell et ux Wilma S. Connell 1404 Alvarado Carlsbad, NM 88220 | 1/2 | 0.11 |
| 77 | Lot 6 | Charles Flinko et ux Mary 1406 Alvarado Carlsbad, NM 88220 | 1/2 | 0.11 |
| 78 | Lot 8 | Chester Miller et ux Lula Mae Miller 1408 Alvarado Carlsbad, NM 88220 | 1/2 | 0.11 |
| 79 | Lot 10 | Daniel S. Chavez et ux Mary Chavez 1410 Alvarado Carlsbad, NM 88220 | 1/2 | 0.11 |
| 80 | Lot 14 | Administrator of Veteran's Affairs | 1/2 | 0.11 |
| 81 | Lot 16 | Jerry D. Offutt et ux Judy 1 1416 Alvarado Carlsbad, NM 88220 | 1. 1/2 | 0,16 |

| ITEM | DESCRIPTION | 2, T22S-R26E: Eddy County, I RECORD OWNERS | MINERAL INTEREST | NET ACRES |
|------|-------------------|--|---------------------|--------------|
| No. | Arcadia Addi | | | |
| | - | | | |
| 82 | Block 8: Lot 2 | Juan G. Vasquoz et ux Eva 801 S. Cypress Carlsbad, NM 88220 | 1/2 | 0.16 |
| 83 | Lot 4 | Alfred J. Haas et ux Frances Haas 704 Flma Dr. | 1/2 | 0.11 |
| 84 | Lot'6 | Carlsbad, NM 88220 Jerry B. Hensley et ux Nancy L. Hensley 805 S. Cypress Carlsbad, NM 88220 | 1/2 | 0.11 |
| 85 | Lot 8 | Porfirio R. Leyva et ux Virginia Leyva 807 S. Cypress Carlsbad, NM 88220 | 1/2 | 0.16 |
| | Block 9: | | 1/2 | 0.16 |
| 86 | Lot 2 | John L. Roy et ux Max R. Roy 205 W. Riverside Dr Carlsbad, NM 88220 | 1/2 | |
| 87 | Lot 4 | Donal Roy Boyd et ux Janice Lee Boyd 903 S, Cypress Carlsbad, NM 882201 | 1/2 | 0.11 |
| 88 | Lot 6 | Haskell League et ux Edi 905 S. Cypress Carlsbad, NM 88220 | th B. 1/2 | 0.11 |
| 89 | Lot 8 | Administrator of Vetera Affairs | n's 1/2 | 0.11 |
| 90 | Lot 10 | Jack R. Fults et ux Lel 909 S. Cypress Carlsbad, NM 88220 | a L. 1/2 | 0.11 |
| 91 | Lot 12 | George D. Waller et ux Judith Ann Waller 911 S. Cypress | 1/2 | 0.11 |
| | • | Carlsbad, NM 88220 | an's 1/2 | 0.16 |
| 92 | Lot 14 | Administrator of Vetera Affairs | | |
| | Block 10 | : | ippy 1/2 | 0.16 |
| 93 | Lot 1 | David B. Nance et ux K 1401 Alvarado Carlsbad, NM 88220 | трру тур | |
| 94 | Lot 3 | Gerald D. Polk et ux E 1403 Alvarado Carlsbad, NM 88220 | | 0.11 |
| 9 | 5 Lot 5 | Charles L. Martin et u Gertrude R. Martin 1108 N. Guadalupe Carlsbad, NM 88220 | 1/2 1/2 |).11 |

ł

-

and the second second

a di tan

ITEM MINERAL NET INTEREST DESCRIPTION RECORD OWNERS No. ACRES Arcadia Addition Block 8: 82 Lot 2 Juan G. Vasquez et ux Eva 1/2 0.16 801 S. Cypress Carlsbad, NM 88220 83 Lot 4 Alfred J. Haas et ux 1/20.11 Frances Haas 704 Elma Dr. Carlsbad, NM 88220 Lot'6 1/2 84 Jerry B. Hensley et ux 0.11 Nancy L. Hensley 805 S. Cypress Carlsbad, NM 88220 85 1/2 Lot 8 Porfirio R. Leyva et ux 0.16 Virginia Leyva 807 S. Cypress Carlsbad, NM 88220 Block 9: 86 1/2Lot 2 John L. Roy et ux 0.16 Max R. Roy 205 W. Riverside Dr Carlsbad, NM 88220 1/287 0.11 Lot 4 Donal Roy Boyd et ux Janice Lee Boyd 903 S. Cypress Carlsbad, NM 882201 Haskell League et ux Edith B. 1/2 88 Lot ó 0.11 905 S. Cypress Carlsbad, NM 88220 89 Lot 8 Administrator of Veteran's 1/2 0.11 Affairs Lot 10 Jack R. Fults et ux Lela L. 1/2 0.11 90 909 S. Cypress Carlsbad, NM 88220 George D. Waller et ux 1/2 0.11 91 Lot 12 Judith Ann Waller 911 S. Cypress Carlsbad, NM 88220 92 Lot 14 Administrator of Veteran's 1/2 0.16 Affairs Block 10: David B. Nance et ux Kippy 1/2 0.16 93 Lot 1 1401 Alvarado Carlsbad, NM 88220 1/2 0.11 94 Lot 3 Gerald D. Polk et ux Betty 1403 Alvarado Carlsbad, NM 88220 95 Lot 5 Charles L. Martin et ux 1/20.11 Gertrude R. Martin 1108 N. Guadalupe

Carlsbad, NM 88220

N¹/₂ Section 12, T22S-R26E: Eddy County, New Mexico

N's Section 12, T225-R26E: Eddy County, New Mexico

| | - | | | |
|-------------|--------------------|---|---------------------|--------------|
| ITEM No. | DESCRIPTION | RECORD OWNER | MINERAL INTEREST | NET Acres |
| | <u>Arcadia Add</u> | <u>ltion</u> | | |
| | Block 10: | | | |
| 96 | Lot 7 | Bobby Lee Landreth et ux Catherine F. Landreth 1407 Alvarado Carlsbad, NM 88220 | 1/2 | 0.11 |
| 97 | Lot 9 | Ethel W. Baker (widow) 1409 Alvarado Carlsbad, NM 88220 | 1/2 | 0.11 |
| 98 | Lot 11 | Daniel D. Burgin et ux Dorothy Jean Burgin 1411 Alvarado Carlsbad, NM 88220 | 1/2 | 0.11 |
| 99 | Lot 13 | J. T. Lawless et ux Edna Ma 1413 Alvarado Carlsbad, NM 88220 | le 1/2 | 0.11 |
| 100 | Lot 15 | Estanislado Lara Subia et u Thelma S. Subia 1415 Alvarado Carlsbad, NM 88220 | x 1/2 | 0.16 |
| 101 | Lot 2 | Administrator of Veteran's Affairs | 1/2 | 0.16 |
| 102 | Lot 4 | Administrator of Veteran's Affairs | 1/2 - | 0.11 |
| 103 | Lot 6 | Administrator of Veteran's Affairs | 1/2 | 0.11 |
| 104 | Lot 8 | Nelson G. Putnam et ux Barbara A. Putman 2414 Avenue B Carlsbad, NM 88220 | 1/2 | 0.11 |
| 105 | Lot 12 | Dan Patrick Nichols et ux Deborah Lee Nichols c/o Pecos Valley Gas Co. 3202 S. Canal Carlsbad, NM 88220 | 1/2 | 0.11 |
| 106 | Lot 14 | Mike C. Aguilar et ux Esthe 1414 Ortega Carlsbad, NM 88220 | r G. 1/2 | 0.11 |
| 107 | Lot 16 | Steve A. Kostiha (single) | 1/2 | 0.16 |
| | Block 12: | | | |
| 108 | Lot 1 | Richard Swafford et ux Stella M. Swafford 1401 Ortega Carlsbad, NM 88220 | 1/2 | 0.16 |
| 109 | Lot 3 | Michael A. Haley et ux Patricia M. Haley Carlsbad, NM 88220 | 1/2 | 0.11 |
| 110 | Lot 5 | Cullen Davidson et ux Betty 1405 Ortega Carlsbad, NM 88220 | 1/2 | 0.11 |
| | - | | | |

| | No Section | 12, 1225-R26E: Eddy County, | New Mexico | |
|-------------|--------------|--|---------------------|--------------|
| ITEM No. | DESCRIPTION | RECORD OWNERS | MINERAL INTEREST | NET ACRES |
| | Arcadia Add | ition | | |
| | Block 12 | • | | |
| 111 | Lot 7 | Paul Rodriguez et ux Aususena V. Rodriguez 1407 Ortega Carlsbad, NM 88220 | 1/2 | 0.11 |
| 112 | Lot 9 | Administrator of Veteran's Affairs | 1/2 | 0.11 |
| 113 | Lot 11 | Robert E. Billstrom et ux Marcella Billstrom 503 S. Mesquite Carlsbad, NM 88220 | 1/2 | 0.11 |
| 113 | Lot 13 | Administrator of Veteran's Affairs | 1/2 | 0.11 |
| 114 | Lot 15 | Houldes O. Wells (single) 603 N. 2nd Carlsbad, NM 88220 | 1/2 | 0.16 |
| | Block 11 (no | t divided into lots) | | • |
| 115 | A11 | Arcadia City Park c/o City of Carlsbad City Hall Carlsbad, NM 88220 | 1/2 | 2 - 15 |
| | Block 13 (no | t divided into lots) | | |
| 116 | All,less the | West 185 feet of the North R. U. Boyd P O Box 809 | 34 feet 1/2 | 1705 |
| | | Carlsbad, NM 88220 | | |

N'z Section 12, T22S-R26E: Eddy County, New Mexico

Total 48.27

March 2, 1977

Mr. Lee B. Voigt 609 South 6th St. Carlsbad, New Mexico 88220 REFERENCE: N/2 Section 12-T22S-R26E Eddy County, New Mexico

Dear Mr. Voigt

Morris R. Antweil is proposing to drill an 11,500-foot Morrow test and dedicate the N/2 of Section 12-T22S-R26E, Eddy County, New Mexico to such well. Mr. Don Blackmar has contacted you in an effort to leave your minerals under this acreage, but you have rejected the offer to lease.

Enclosed is a copy of the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission hearing docket for 9 March 1977. Your attention is directed to Case No. 5879, which will consider the compulsory pooling of the N/2 of Section 12 for the drilling of the proposed well.

> Yours very truly, MORRIS R. ANTWEIL

R. M. Williams

RMW/crm Enclosure

| BEFORE EXAMINER NUTTER |
|-----------------------------|
| OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION |
| Appl EXHIBIT NO. 4 |
| CASE NO. 5875 |

CASE NO. 5879 EXHIBIT 4

Morris M. Antwell Oil Operator P. O. Box 2010

March 3, 1977

HOBBS, NEW MEXICO 88240

MINERAL INTEREST OWNER

REFERENCE: N/2 Section 12-T22S-R26E Eddy County, New Mexico

Enclosed is a copy of the New Mexico Oil-Conservation Commission hearing docket for 9 March 1977. Your attention is directed to Case No. 5879, which will consider the compulsory pooling of the N/2 of Section 12 for the drilling of the Antweil No. 1 Mesa Viva as a 11,600-foot Morrow test.

Our records indicate that you hold a small mineral interest in the N/2 of Section 12 which is unleased. We can offer to lease your acreage for a one-year term, pay you a lease bonus of \$150.00 per net acre, and grant a 3/16 royalty. This offer is the same basis that we have been leasing other lands in the area.

If you are interested in leasing your acreage, please contact us and we will prepare an oil and gas lease to cover your acreage and get in touch with you.

| BEFORE EXAMINER NUTTER |
|-----------------------------|
| OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION |
| Appl. EXHIBIT NO. 5 |
| CASE NO. 3879 |

RMW/crm Enclosure Yours very truly,

MORRIS R. ANTWEIL

Pm Will

R. M. Williams

CASE NO, 5879

EXHIBIT 5

AFE COST ESTIMATE NO. 1 MESA VIVA N/2 SEC. 12-22-26

| ITEM | TANGIBLE | INTANGIBLE | TOTAL |
|--|---|------------|---------------------------------------|
| COST TO DRILL 11,600-FOOT TEST | | | |
| Roads, Location & Damages | \$ | \$ 15,000 | \$ 15,000 |
| Footage Drilling-il,600' @ \$11.65/ft. | | 135,140 | 135,140 |
| Daywork Operations-4 days @ \$2900/day | | 11,600 | 11,600 |
| Mud & Water | | 25,000 | 25,000 |
| Surface Casing-300' 13-3/8" | | · | • |
| @ \$15.50/ft. | 4,650 | | 4,650 |
| Cement & Service-13-3/8" Casing | , i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i | 2,200 | 2,200 |
| Intermediate Casing-2700' 9-5/8" | | • | , |
| @ \$9.50/ft. | 25,650 | | 25,650 |
| Cement & Service9-5/8" Casing | | 7,500 | 7,500 |
| Drill Stem Test Service-2 DST's | · | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| ogging Service | • | 17,100 | 17,100 |
| Rental Tools & Equipment | | 4,000 | 4,000 |
| lellhead & Connections | 3,000 | • | 3,000 |
| Supervision & Expense | • | 2,500 | 2,500 |
| ransportation & Misc. Labor | | 4,000 | 4,000 |
| Contingencies | · • | 25,660 | 25,660 |
| | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| COST TO CASING POINT | \$ 33,300 | \$ 251,700 | \$ 285,000 |

COMPLETION COSTS

and the second

| Production Casing-11,600' 5-1/2" @ \$6.75/ft. | \$ 78,300 | \$ | | \$ | 78,300 |
|--|-----------|----|---------------|---------|---------|
| Cement & Service-5-1/2" Casing | | • | 6,000 | • | 6,000 |
| Daywork-1 day @ \$2800/day | | | 2,800 | | 2,800 |
| Well Service Unit-10 days | | | 7,000 | | 7,000 |
| Perforating Service | | | 5,000 | | 5,000 |
| Tubing-11,400' 2-3/8" N-80 | | | - | | - |
| 0 \$2.35/ft. | 26,790 | | | | 26,790 |
| Rental Tools & Equipment | | | 4,000 | | 4,000 |
| Acid Treatment | | | 7,000 | | 7,000 |
| Wellhead & Connections | 8,000 | | | | 8,000 |
| Separator-Treater Unit | 20,000 | | | | 20,000 |
| Supervision & Expenses | - | | 3,50 0 | | 3,500 |
| Transportation & Misc. Labor | | | 5,000 | | 5,000 |
| Contingencies | | | 16,610 | <u></u> | 16,610 |
| COMPLETION COST | \$133,090 | \$ | 56,910 | \$ | 190,000 |

| | \$166,390 | \$ 308,610 | \$ 475,000 |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------|------------|
| CASE NO. 5879 - EXHIBIT 6 | BEFORE EXAMINER NUTTER | | |
| | OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION | | |
| | <u>Appl. Durber NO. 6</u> 5979 | | |
| | 5879 | | |



| | R-4721 | E/2 | Sec. | 29-21-27 | 50% |
|---|--------|-----|------|----------|------|
| | R-5306 | W/2 | Sec. | 30-21-27 | 100% |
| | R-5305 | N/2 | Sec. | 31-21-27 | 200% |
| | R-4885 | E/2 | Sec. | 32-21-27 | 50% |
| | R-4719 | N/2 | Sec. | 33-21-27 | 140% |
| , | R-5222 | W/2 | Sec. | 11-22-26 | 200% |
| | R-5332 | N/2 | Sec. | 13-22-26 | 120% |
| | R-4991 | S/2 | Sec. | 14-22-26 | 150% |
| | R-5111 | W/2 | Sec. | 5-22-27 | 200% |
| | R-5209 | E/2 | Sec. | 7-22-27 | 200% |
| | R-4772 | S/2 | Sec. | 17-22-27 | 150% |
| | R-4668 | N/2 | Sec. | 17-22-27 | 125% |
| | R-4866 | E/2 | Sec. | 18-22-27 | 200% |
| | R-5115 | W/2 | Sec. | 18-22-27 | 200% |
| | R-4998 | W/2 | Sec. | 20-22-27 | 200% |
| | | | | | |

Force Pooling - Risk Factors

Case 5879 - Exhibit & request not of a superior cho for any sol is and is a for a superior is a superior is

BEFORE EXAMINER NUTTER OIL CONSERVATION CUMMISSION <u>Appl.</u> EXHIBIT NO. <u>8</u> CASE NO. <u>5879</u>

Morris R. Antweil Oil Operator P. O. Hox 2010 Hobbs, New Mexico 68240

N COMM 110

Case 5879

February 11, 1977

New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission Box 2088 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 ATTN: Mr. Joe D. Ramey

RE: Request for Force Pooling Hearing N/2 Section 12-T22S-R26E Eddy County, New Mexico

Gentlemen:

Morris R. Antweil respectfully requests a hearing to consider the force pooling of the 320 acres, being the N/2 of Section 12-T22S-R26E, N.M.P.M., Eddy County, New Mexico, as to all hydrocarbon production from the Pennsylvanian formation.

The proposed acreage contains a considerable amount of small tracts and town lots making the title search complex. Our present records, which are subject to correction upon completion of a title opinion, indicate the acreage to be held as follows:

| | Acres | Percent |
|--|----------------------|------------------------|
| Antweil et al Cities Service Oil Company Unleased Minerals | 279.5 0.5 40.0 | 87,34 0.16 12.50 |
| | 320.0 | 100.00 |

Morris R. Antweil proposes to drill an 11,500-foot Morrow test at a standard location in the SW/4 NE/4 of Section 12. Cities Service Oil Company has indicated that they will join, farmout or sell their acreage. The unleased mineral owners have been contacted and will continue to be contacted to obtain leases; however, in view of their reluctance to lease to-date and the possibility of title problems on the small tracts, the applicant requests force pooling to permit the development of his significant acreage holding in the proposed 320-acre unit. Mr. Joe D. Ramey February 11, 1977

-23 1.4 197 WON COMM.

Morris R. Antweil respectfully requests a hearing be set to consider the compulsory pooling of the N/2 of Section 12-T22S-R26E in accordance with Section 65-3-14, New Mexico Statutes, as amended, as to all hydrocarbon production from the Pennsylvanian formation, the designation of the applicant as operator of the pooled unit, provision for applicant to recover his costs out of production including a charge for risk involved in drilling the proposed well, and provision for administrative overhead expenses.

Respectfully,

MORRIS R. ANTWEIL

Rm Williams

R. M. Williams

cc: Cities Service Oil Company Box 1919 Midland, Texas 79701 ATTN: Tom Heller

RMS/ks

BEFORE THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION OF NEW MEXICO FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

| CASE | NO. | 5879 |
|------|-----|------|
| | | |

Order No. R- 5397

APPLICATION OF MORRIS R. ANTWEIL FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

BY THE COMMISSION:

DRAFT

This cause came on for hearing at 9 a.m. on March 9 , 1977 at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner <u>Daniel S. Nutter</u>.

NOW, on this <u>day of March</u>, 19<u>77</u>, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the testimony, the record, and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS:

(1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.

(2) That the applicant, <u>Morris R. Antweil</u>, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests <u>in the Pennsylvanian</u> formation <u>underlying the <u>N/2</u> of Section <u>12</u>, Township <u>22 South</u>, Range <u>26 East</u>, NMPM, <u>South Carlsbad Field</u>, <u>Eddy</u> County, New</u>

Mexico.

-2-Case No. Order No. R-

(3) That the applicant has the right to drill and proposes to drill a well <u>at a standard location</u> for Said with

(4) That there are interest owners in the proposed proration unit who have not agreed to pool their interests.

(5) That to avoid the drilling of unnecessary wells, to protect correlative rights, and to afford to the owner of each interest in said unit the opportunity to recover or receive without unnecessary expense his just and fair share of the gas in said pool, the subject application should be approved by pooling all mineral interests, whatever they may be, within said unit.

(6) That the applicant should be designated the operator of the subject well and unit.

(7) That any non-consenting working interest owner should be afforded the opportunity to pay his share of estimated well costs to the operator in lieu of paying his share of reasonable well costs out of production.

(8) That any non-consenting working interest owner that does not pay his share of estimated well costs should have withheld from production his share of the reasonable well costs plus an additional <u>150 percent</u> thereof as a reasonable charge for the risk involved in the drilling of the well.

(9) That any non-consenting interest owner should be afforded the opportunity to object to the actual well costs but that actual well costs should be adopted as the reasonable well costs in the absence of such objection.

(10) That following determination of reasonable well costs, any non-consenting working interest owner that has paid his share of estimated costs should pay to the operator any amount that reasonable well costs exceed estimated well costs and should^t receive from the operator any amount that paid estimated well costs exceed reasonable well costs. Case No. Order No. R-

(11) That **1500.00** per month should be fixed as a reasonable charge for supervision (combined fixed rates); that the operator should be authorized to withhold from production the proportionate share of such supervision charge attributable to each non-consenting working interest, and in addition thereto, the operator should be authorized to withhold from production the proportionate share of actual expenditures required for operating the subject well, not in excess of what are reasonable, attributable to each non-consenting working interest.

While drilling and \$ 275.00 per month while produce

(12) That all proceeds from production from the subject well which are not disbursed for any reason should be placed in escrow to be paid to the true owner thereof upon demand and proof of ownership.

(13) That upon the failure of the operator of said pooled unit to commence drilling of the well to which said unit is dedicated on or before <u><u>May 15</u>, 1977</u>, the order pooling said unit should become null and void and of no effect whatsoever.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That all mineral interests, whatever they may be, in the <u>Pennsylvanian</u> formation underlying the <u>N/2</u> of Section <u>12</u>, Township <u>22 South</u>, Range <u>26 East</u>, NMPM, <u>South Carlsbad Field</u>, <u>Eddy</u> County, New Mexico are hereby pooled to form a standard <u>**32.0**</u> acre gas spacing and proration unit to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at a standard location therean for said unit

PROVIDED HOWEVER, that the operator of said unit shall commence the drilling of said well on or before the <u>isin</u> day of <u>vian</u>, 1977, and shall thereafter continue the drilling of said well with due diligence to a depth sufficient to test the Pennsylvanian formation;

PROVIDED FURTHER, that in the event said operator does not commence the drilling of said well on or before the <u>15</u>th day of <u>19</u>⁷⁷, Order (1) of this order shall be null and void and of no effect whatsoever; unless said operator obtains a time extension from the Commission for good cause shown. Case No. Order No. R-

PROVIDED FURTHER, that should said well not be drilled to completion, or abandonment, within 120 days after commencement thereof, said operator shall appear before the Commission and show cause why Order (1) of this order should not be rescinded.

(2) That <u>Morris R. Antweil</u> is hereby designated the operator of the subject well and unit.

(3) That after the effective date of this order and within 30 days prior to commencing said well, the operator shall furnish the Commission and each known working interest owner in the subject unit an itemized schedule of estimated well costs.

(4) That within 30 days from the date the schedule of estimated well costs is furnished to him, any non-consenting working interest owner shall have the right to pay his share of estimated well costs to the operator in lieu of paying his share of reasonable well costs out of production, and that any such owner who pays his share of estimated well costs as provided above shall remain liable for operating costs but shall not be liable for risk charges.

(5) That the operator shall furnish the Commission and each known working interest owner an itemized schedule of actual well costs within 90 days following completion of the well; that if no objection to the actual well costs is received by the Commission and the Commission has not objected within 45 days following receipt of said schedule, the actual well costs shall be the reasonable well costs; provided however, that if there is an objection to actual well costs within said 45-day period the Commission will determine reasonable well costs after public notice and hearing.

(6) That within 60 days following determination of reasonable well costs, any non-consenting working interest owner that has paid his share of estimated costs in advance as provided -5-Case No. Order No. R-

above shall pay to the operator his pro rata share of the amount that reasonable well costs exceed estimated well costs and shall receive from the operator his pro rata share of the amount that estimated well costs exceed reasonable well costs.

(7) That the operator is hereby authorized to withhold the following costs and charges from production:

- (A) The pro rata share of reasonable well costs attributable to each non-consenting working interest owner who has not paid his share of estimated well costs within 30 days from the date the schedule of estimated well costs is furnished to him.
- (B) As a charge for the risk involved in the drilling of the well, so of the pro rata share of reasonable well costs attributable to each non-consenting working interest owner who has not paid his share of estimated well costs within 30 days from the date the schedule of estimated well costs is furnished to him.

(8) That the operator shall distribute said costs and charges withheld from production to the parties who advanced the well costs. (while Arithing and \$275,00 permonth while profinence

(9) That <u>ison</u> per month is hereby fixed as a reasonable charge for supervision (combined fixed rates); that the operator is hereby authorized to withhold from production the proportionate share of such supervision charge attributable to each nonconsenting working interest, and in addition thereto, the operator is hereby authorized to withhold from production the proportionate share of actual expenditures required for operating such well, not in excess of what are reasonable, attributable to each nonconsenting working interest. -6-Case No. Order No. R-

(10) That any unsevered mineral interest shall be considered a seven-eighths (7/8) working interest and a one-eighth (1/8) royalty interest for the purpose of allocating costs and charges under the terms of this order.

(11) That any well costs or charges which are to be paid out of production shall be withheld only from the working interests share of production, and no costs or charges shall be withheld from production attributable to royalty interests.

(12) That all proceeds from production from the subject well which are not disbursed for any reason shall be placed in escrow in <u>Eddy</u> County, New Mexico, to be paid to the true owner thereof upon demand and proof of ownership; that the operator shall notify the Commission of the name and address of said escrow agent within 90 days from the date of this order.

(13) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Commission may deem necessary. DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.