CASE 6526: OCD ON ITS OWN MOTION TO CONSIDER A PROCEDURE FOR ADOPTION OF FINDINGS PURSUANT TO NATURAL GAS POLICY ACT

# CASE NO.

6526

APPlication, Transcripts, Small Exhibits,

ETC.

#### STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE NO. 6526 Order No. R-6013

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY
THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION ON ITS OWN
MOTION TO CONSIDER A PROCEDURE FOR THE ADOPTION
OF FINDINGS, WHEN APPLICABLE AND PURSUANT TO THE
FEDERAL NATURAL GAS POLICY ACT, THAT ANOTHER WELL
IS NECESSARY TO EFFECTIVELY AND EFFICIENTLY DRAIN
THAT PORTION OF ITS PRORATION UNIT WHICH CANNOT BE
SO DRAINED BY ANY EXISTING WELL, AND THAT EXISTING
WELL SPACING REQUIREMENTS ARE WAIVED.

#### ORDER OF THE DIVISION

#### BY THE DIVISION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 a.m. on April 25, 1979, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner Richard L. Stamets.

NOW, on this 7th day of June, 1979, the Division Director, having considered the testimony, the record, and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,

# FINDS:

- (1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Division has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.
- (2) That the 95th Congress of the United States passed the Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978, P.L. 95-621, 92 Stat. 3350.
- (3) That said Act was enacted on November 9, 1978, and went into effect on December 1, 1978.
- (4) That pursuant to said Act, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, hereinafter referred to as "FERC", has promulgated interim regulations establishing gas pricing categories and filing requirements.

Case No. 6526 Order No. R-6013

- (5) That said regulations also established the Oil Conservation Division as the jurisdictional agency with respect to wells located on state and fee lands within the State of New Mexico.
- (6) That an infill well drilled on an existing proration unit would not qualify under the higher "New Onshore Production Well" category (Section 103) of said interim FERC regulations but would command a lower category determination unless such well should qualify under certain exception provisions set out therein.
- (7) That said exceptions are contained in Sections 271.304 and 271.305 of the FERC regulations and require that the jurisdictional agency must find, prior to the commencement of drilling of an infill well, that the well is necessary to effectively and efficiently drain a portion of the reservoir covered by the proration unit which cannot be effectively and efficiently drained by any existing well within the proration unit.
- (8) That in order to make such findings without the necessity of a public hearing in each case, and yet to be in accordance with the FERC regulations and in accordance with State law, the Oil Conservation Division should adopt special rules for Natural Gas Policy Act infill findings to be followed in the application for and promulgation of such findings administratively.
- (9) That said special rules should require the filing of geological and reservoir information sufficient to support a finding as to the necessity for the drilling of the infill well.
- (10) That said special rules should be in the form and content prescribed on Exhibit A, attached hereto and made a part hereof.

# IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

- (1) That the "Special Rules and Regulations, Natural Gas Policy Act Infill Findings, Administrative Procedure" attached hereto as Exhibit A are hereby adopted effective immediately.
- (2) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Division may deem necessary.

-3-Case No. 6526 Order No. R-6013

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year herein-above designated.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

JOE D. RAMEY Director

SEAL

fd/

# SPECIAL RULES AND REGULATIONS NATURAL GAS POLICY ACT INFILL FINDINGS ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE

#### A. DEFINITIONS

- RULE 1. For purposes of this administrative procedure only, the following definitions are adopted:
  - a. <u>Infill well:</u> An additional well to be drilled for production on an established proration unit.

#### B. APPLICABILITY

RULE 2. These Special Rules and Regulations shall only apply to wells for which a drilling permit had not been approved prior to January 1, 1979, and which have not yet been spudded.

#### C. JUSTIFICATION FOR FINDINGS

- RULE 3. The Division Director or a Division Examiner may find that an infill well is necessary:
  - a. upon a showing by the operator that an additional well is needed to effectively and efficiently drain a portion of the reservoir covered by the proration unit which cannot be so drained by any existing well within that unit, and
  - b. upon receipt of waivers from all offset operators, or if no offset operator has entered an objection to the infill finding within 20 days after receipt of the application by the Director.
- RULE 4. The Director may set any application for hearing at his discretion or at the request of an applicant.

#### D. FILING REQUIREMENTS

- RULE 5. Each applicant shall submit a copy of Form C-101 showing date of approval, if any, and Form C-102 showing the proration unit dedicated.
- RULE 6. Applicant shall give the name of the pool in which completion will be attempted and the standard spacing unit size therefor.
- RULE 7. If applicable, the applicant shall give the number of the Division Order approving the non-standard proration unit.

#### EXHIBIT A

-2-Case No. 6526 Order No. R-6013 Exhibit A

- RULE 8. The applicant shall state whether the well has been spudded, and the spud date, if any.
- RULE 9. The applicant shall submit a description of all wells drilled on the proration unit which are or have been completed in the same pool or reservoir as the proposed infill well showing:
  - a. lease name and well location;
  - b. spud date;
  - c. completion date;
  - d. a description of any mechanical problems experienced along with a summary of remedial action(s) taken and the results obtained;
  - e. the current rate of production; and
  - f. date of plug and abandonment, if any.
- RULE 10. The applicant shall submit geological and reservoir information sufficient to support a finding as to the necessity for an infill well including:
  - a. formation structure map
  - b. the volume of increased ultimate recovery expected to be obtained and a narrative describing how the increase was determined
  - c. any other supporting data which the applicant deems to be relevant which may include:
    - (1) porosity and permeability factors
    - (2) production/pressure decline curves
    - (3) effects of secondary recovery or pressure maintenance operations
- RULE 11. Applications for infill findings shall be filed in duplicate with the Santa Fe office of the Division. All operators of proration or spacing units offsetting the unit for which an infill finding is sought shall be notified of the application by certified or registered mail, and the application shall state that such notification has been given.

EXHIBIT A

Send Copy de:

Christy Catanzaro
Salit. Hamen Copp.
Prov 1003
Dalles 75221

Case 6526 Dlorene-Please send me a lopy of the order in This case.

Consolidated del + Gas, Inc. 1860 Sencola St., Suite 1300 Penver, Co. 80202

TO Ramey Cox 65	526
DATE 3-18 TIME 9:00	0
WHILE YOU WERE OUT	$\epsilon = \frac{t}{\epsilon}$ .
MR. Harold Mack	9
or Odrssa Ty Phi	llips
PHONE 337 86// AREA CODE	915
TELEPHONED	<del></del>
CALLACATI	- 1
WANTS TO SEE YOU RETURNED YOUR CA	
Case	
MESSAGE 6526	•
4001 Pen brook St	
Km 401	
Oderso, Tex.	
79762	
8	<b>)</b>
SSAGE TAKEN BY	

OIL AND GAS DIVISION

April 23, 1979

New Mexico Energy & Minerals Department Oil Conservation Division P. O. Box 2088 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

Attn: Mr. Joe D. Ramey, Secretary-Director

CASE NO. 6526 OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION DOCKET

Dear Mr. Ramey:

Case No. 6526 concerns a hearing on the Division's motion to consider a procedure for the adoption of findings, when applicable, and pursuant to the Federal Natural Gas Policy Act, that another well is necessary to effectively and efficiently drain that portion of its proration unit which cannot be drained by any existing well and that the existing well spacing requirements are waived.

Please send a copy of any final order issued in this case to my attention at the following address:

Kerr-McGee Corporation
P. O. Box 25861
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73125

Thank you for your assistance.

Very truly yours,

KERR-MCGEE CORPORATION

Wariel Christian

Conservation & Unitization Asst.

DC/kb

CASE

6526

STATE OF NEW MEXICO
ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
State Land Office Building
Santa Fe, New Mexico
25 April 1979

#### EXAMINER HEARING

# IN THE MATTER OF:

BEFORE:

The hearing called by the Oil Conservation Division on its own motion to consider a procedure for the adoption of findings, when applicable and pursuant to the Federal Natural Gas Policy Act.

·· .

Richard L. Stamets

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

# APPEARANCES

For the Oil Conservation Division:

Ernest L. Padilla, Esq.

Legal Counsel for the Division State Land Office Bldg. Santa Fe, New Mexico 87503

For Hanagan Petrodeum Corp.:

W. Thomas Kellahin, Esq. KELLAHIN & KELLAHIN

500 Don Gaspar

Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

SALLY WALION ECETIFIED SHORTHAND REPO 110 Para Banca (605) 471 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

# APPEARANCES

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

20 21

23

For Phillips Petroleum Co es

For El Paso Natural Gas Company:

For Amoco Production Co.:

For Sun Gas Company:

W. Thomas Kellahin, Esq. KELLAHIN & KELLAHIN 500 Don Gaspar Santa Fe, New Mexico 37501

John Nance, Esq. El Paso Natural Gas Co. El Paso, Texas

Guy Buell, Esq. Amoco Production Company Houston, Texas

Michael Kovich, Esq. Sun Gas Company

#### INDEX

# LYNN TESCHENDORF

íÿ

Direct Examination by Mr. Padilla 4

Cross Examination by Mr. Nutter 10

Cross Examination by Mr. Kellahin 12

Cross Examination by Mr. Nance 14

Cross Examination by Mr. Stamets 15

Recross Examination by Mr. Nutter 16

# EXHIBITS

Division Exhibit One, Special Rules and Regulations

SALLY WALTON BO
CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPO
1020PILEA Blanca (805) 473Santa Fo. New Model 473-

SALLY WALTON BOYD
CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER
1020Plaza Blanca (605) 471-2465
Santa Fe, Now, Mexico 87501

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. STAMETS: We'll call next case 6526, in the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Division on its own motion to consider a procedure for the adoption of findings, when applicable, and pursuant to Federal Natural Gas Policy Act, that another well is necessary to effectively and efficiently drain that portion of a proration unit which cannot be so drained by any existing well.

Call for appearances in this case.

MR. PADILLA: Ernest L. Padilla for the Oil Conservation Division.

MR. STAMETS: Any other appearances in this case?

MR. KELLAHIN: I'm Tom Kellahin of Santa Fe,
New Mexico, appearing on behalf of Hanagan Petroleum Corporation, and Phillips Petroleum Company.

MR. STAMETS: I'm John Nance with El Paso Natural Gas Company, El Paso, Texas.

MR. STAMETS: Any other appearances?

MR. BUELL: For Amoco Production Company, my name is Guy Buell.

MR. KOVICH: For Sun Gas Company, Michael Kovich.

MR. STAMETS: Any other appearances?

Will the rest of you attorneys who appeared

have any witnesses?

2

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. BUELL: Amoco has none, Mr. Examiner.

MR. STAMETS: Okay, thank you.

MR. NANCE: El Paso will have none.

MR. STAMETS: Do you have one witness, Mr.

Padilla?

MR. PADILLA: I have called Lynn Teschendorf.

MR. STAMETS: I'd like to have her stand and

be sworn.

(Witness sworn.)

# LYNN TESCHENDORF

being called as a witness and having been duly sworn upon her oath, testified as follows, to-wit:

#### DIRECT EXAMINATION

# BY MR. PADILLA:

Ms. Teschendorf, would you for the record state your name and by whom you are employed and in what capacity you are employed?

Lynn Teschendorf, General Counsel for the Oil Conservation Division in Santa Fe.

Have you testified previously before the Division? And are your credentials a matter of record before the Division?

Yes, I have, and yes, they are.

MR. PADILLA: Mr. stamets, in view of her credentials being a matter of record before the Division, I ask that she be qualified as to testify before the Division.

MR. STAMETS: Notwithstanding whatever she may have said before, she is considered qualified.

MR. PADILLA: Very well, thank you, sir.

- A. Yes, I am.
- Q What is the purpose of the case?
- A. The purpose of this case is to adopt an administrative procedure whereby the Division can approve findings that infill wells are necessary to drain their proration units.

Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978; it was enacted on November 9th and became effective on December 1st of 1978, and pursuant to that Act the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission has promulgated interim regulations, which among other things, establish the definition of new onshore production wells and also grant exceptions to that definition to wells which are drilled on existing proration units.

These exceptions are contained in Sections 271.304 and 271.305 of the interim regulations, and I'd

SALLY WALTON BOYD CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER 3020Plate Blance (605) 471-2462 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 like to point out part of the Section 271.305 (b), which applies to wells spudded after December 31st, '78, and for which a drilling permit had not been issued prior to January 1, 1979.

Part of this paragraph states that in order for natural gas from a well to which this paragraph applies to qualify for the maximum lawful price under this sub-part, which is qualification as a new onshore production well, the jurisdictional agency must find prior to the commencement of drilling that the well is necessary to effectively and efficiently drain a portion of the reservoir covered by the proration unit which cannot be effectively and efficiently drained by any existing well within the proration unit.

Such finding must be explicit and must involve either a redefinition of the boundaries of the previously existing proration unit or an alteration of or exception to otherwise applicable well spacing rules.

The FERC regulations also establish the Oil Conservation Division as the jurisdictional agency for wells on State and fee lands in New Mexico.

Up to this time the Division has been holding public hearing in order to make these findings but at this point we feel we have heard enough of these cases so that we know what kind of information we need in order to make the findings, and I am therefor proposing an administrative

SALLY WALTON BO CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORT 3020 Plaza Blanca (505) 471-5 Santa Fe, New Mexico 575

procedure to make those findings at this time.

Q Have you prepared an exhibit for this hearing?

A. Yes, I have. It's marked Exhibit One and it's entitled Special Rules and Regulations, Natural Gas Policy Act Infill Findings, Administrative Procedure.

I've put some by the door for people who are interested in getting them.

Q Ms. Teschendorf, would you explain Exhibit
Number One?

A. Exhibit Number One is a proposal for this administrative procedure. There are eleven rules in all.

I'm just going to point out a few things.

In Rule One I have defined infill well as an additional producible well completed on an established proration unit.

rather than producing is that oftentimes the first well on a proration unit is in a temporarily abandoned state or is producing very low amounts, and the operator is probably going to plug it at some time in the near future or is not going to be producing it, but a second well drilled on that unit would be an infill well under the FERC regulations, and that's why I used the word "producible".

Rule Two is applicability. Because of the FERC rules they'll have to apply to wells for which a

SALLY WALTON BOY CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORT 3020 Plaza Blanca (606) 471-3 Santa Fe, New Moxico 8786

'79, and it also will apply only to wells which have not yet been spudded. So the operator will have to come in and get a finding under this procedure before he spuds the well.

This is also necessitated by the current FERC regulations and I'm not sure if the final regs will require this or not, but right now they do.

Rule Three (b) states that an Examiner or the Director may find that an infill well is necessary, and part of the requirement is that we receive waivers from offset operators or it can be approved if no offset operator has entered an objection to the infill finding within thirty days after receipt of the application by the Director.

We have had a number of comments from industry people stating that by granting an infill finding
the Oil Commission is essentially granting a waiver of
spacing requirements in a pool, and they feel that they
would like to know about this before we grant the finding
so that they might have an opportunity to object if they
want to.

Rule Eleven at the very end states that when an operator files an application for an infill finding he would have to state in his application that notice has been given to the offset operators.

The only other rule I wanted to comment on

is Rule Ten.

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

Over the hearing process we have come down to a number of factors which we feel are crucial to making an infill finding, and I've tried to include these on the information that we would require with an infill finding application.

One of these is a formation structure map. Another one is the amount of increase in ultimate recovery expected to be obtained, and a narrative describing how the increase was determined.

(c) is description of any mechanical problems in any existing well along with a summary of remedial action taken and the results thereof.

And (d) is the current rate of production for any existing well on the proration unit.

The applicant can also submit any other data that he thinks might support his application, and we've given him the option of supplying porosity and permeability factors, production/pressure decline curves, and the effects of secondary recovery or pressure maintenance operations.

He doesn't have to include these but they are suggestions. Sometimes they've been helpful.

I think the rest of the rules are self-explanatory and since they're being introduced as an exhibit, I don't think I'll go over them.

16 17

18 19

20 21

22

23

22

24

25

,	Q	Do you have anything further on Exhibit One?	
2	λ.	No, I don't.	
3		MR. PADILLA: I offer Exhibit Number One into	
4	evidence at this time.		
5		MR. STAMETS: Exhibit Number One will be ad-	
6	mitted.		
7	.5	MR. PADILLA: And I have no further questions	
8	of the witness		
9		MR. STAMETS: Are there questions of the	
10	witness? Mr. Nutter?		
11	* *		
12		CROSS EXAMINATION	
13	BY MR. NUTTER:		
14	Q.	Ms. Teschendorf, your Rule One (a) defines	
15	an infill well	as an additional producible well completed	
16	on an established proration unit.		
17	A.	Uh-huh.	
18	Q	But isn't it necessary that these findings	
19	be obtained be	fore a well is spudded?	
20	<b>A.</b>	Right, yes, it is.	
21	Q	How can it be a producible well, then?	

#### ROSS EXAMINATION

Well, the additional producible well would refer to the first one on the unit. If you have a better suggestion for defining what this well is going to be --

> Well, I thought that the infill well was the Q

SALLY WALTON BOYF ERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTE 20 Plaza Blanca (605) 471-24 Santa Fe, New Moxico 87501 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

new well, not the old one.

A That's right, it is. It will be an additional one on the unit.

I might add that I have lifted this definition from your definition in the State NGPA definitions.

- Q That was Dick's definition.
- A. Oh, oh, pardon me, I apologize.
- Q. It's an additional well on a producible unit, is that what we're after?
- A. No, I don't think so. What I wanted to say was that you've already got a well on there that's producible.
- Q. But that's not the infill well. That's the original well.
- A. No, that's right, but this would be an additional one, an additional producible well.
- Q Well, this is something we can hassle over during our coffeebreak, as we usually do.
- A Well, you can change it, if you want. I'm not going to be picky about it.

MR. STAMETS: Does that conclude your cross examination?

MR. NUTTER: Yeah.

MR. STAMETS: Mr. Kellahin?

#### CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR, KELLAHIN:

Ms. Teschendorf, let me ask you some questions to clarify your proposed Rule Number Eleven.

I don't have a copy of that rule. If the Applicant makes his filing for an infill well under the proposed new rules, will he still be required to come to a hearing before the Division with regards to an unorthodox well location for the second well?

Yes, he will.

The proposal as to notice of offsetting operators, would it not also be appropriate to include notice to the gas purchaser?

That was something that we discussed. purchaser is going to get notice of the application when they file it, the application for determination, when they file it with this office. We didn't feel that it was necessary that we require an applicant to notify the purchaser that he's getting this finding. He certainly has to notify the purchaser that he's making the application for determination.

We didn't feel that it was important at this stage that the purchaser be notified.

How do you reconcile Rule Number Eleven with General Rule Number 5 that was entered earlier under Order

15 16

14

7

8

9

10

11

12

, 13

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

Number R-5878 on December 14th, '78?

- I'm not sure I see the conflict.
- Well, you indicated in your verbal testimony that you thought a period of something like thirty days, I believe, in which the affected offsetting operator would have an opportunity to file an objection.

Rule Number Five speaks in terms of fifteen days.

Well, these are two different procedures. The procedure I've been talking about today is simply for findings that an infill well is necessary. You would have thirty days to object to that.

Once you get the findings, you go ahead and drill your well. When it's completed then you file an application for determination and that is the procedure that you're referring to that was adopted in R-5878.

I assume that you envision by Rule Number Eleven that the kind of procedure that's used by the Division with regards to topographical unorthodox locations that are found in Rule 104-F?

- Right.
- That's what you're talking about?
- That's right.

MR. KELLAHIN: I have no further questions.

MR. STAMETS: Any other questions of the

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

witness? Yes, Mr. Nance.

Z

#### CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. NANCE:

it's in Eddy County, the Shell State No. 1 Well, for which a hearing has been continued. It has already been spudded and I wanted to seek a point of clarification to make sure that a hearing would still be required with respect to that well and that Rule Two, then, requires that only wells not yet spudded are subject to these rules.

A That's correct.

MR. STAMETS: I might indicate, that in the last week we've gotten some clarification from FERC on that and it sounded as though they are considering changing their rules so that your well might be covered, but at this time there's no way we can approve it.

MR. NANCE: As the rules exist now, then?
MR. STAMETS: Yes.

MR. NANCE: These wells are designed to apply it that way, then.

MR. STAMETS: Right.

Are there other questions of the witness?

# CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. STAMETS:

Q Ms. Teschendorf, getting back to the requirements of whichever rule it is,

A Three (b)?

Q Yes, Three (b), that the offset operators be notified, this seems inconsistent with our other rules and regulations. I don't recall that we do require notice of offset operators of infill wells anywhere else.

A. I don't think there is notice to offset operators anywhere else. I think this was added as a response to concern by members of the industry that they be notified.

I think they are probably interested in finding out who's going to try to get a higher price for another well, in practically speaking.

Q What -- what specifically would we protect by such notice?

A Well, I think that the example that I've used is if someone has a gas well on 320-acre proration unit, and he's going to drill an infill well on that 320-acre proration unit, an offset operator might be concerned that his correlative rights would be violated, since the Commission established a 320-acre unit as the area that could be drained by one well.

If they're drilling a second well there might

ALLY WALION BOY ENTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORT 20 Plaza Blanca (505) 471-2 Santa Pe, New Mexico 375( 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

23

22

SALLY WALTON BOYE
ERIFFE SHORTHAND REPORTE
20Plaza Blanca (606) 471-246
Santa Pc. New Morico A7701

10

11.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

be concern that correlative rights could be affected.

Q Do we have any mechanism in our regular rules and regulations to prohibit the second well from being drilled on a proration unit as long as it's being drilled at a standard location?

A. I have always felt that our rules prohibit the drilling of more than one well on a proration unit; however, as you well know, the rest of the Division staff don't agree with me.

Q. Is it possible that, Ms. Teschendorf, we should review our general rules and regulations on the matter of infill drilling?

A. I don't know if that's necessary at this time.

This particular administrative procedure is simply to accommodate the Federal rules on the pricing.

Q For the purposes of the Federal rules, is there really any reason to notify the offset operators?

A No, they certainly don't require it. I do, however, feel they probably are interested parties.

MR. STAMETS: Any other questions of the witness? Mr. Nutter?

# FECROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. NUTTER:

Ms. Teschendorf, in effect couldn't an oper-

ALLY WALION BOY ENTIFED SHORTHAND REPORT 20 Plaza Blanca (606) 471-24 Santa Fe, New Mexico 8750) 6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ator ask for infill drilling on all of his units in a pool and without notification in essence change the spacing that has been designated for that pool?

- A In practical terms that's what he'd be doing.
- And the other operators in the pool wouldn't even know about it, if he didn't notify them.
  - A Uh-huh. Yes.

MR. STAMETS: Any other questions of the witness? She may be excused.

Is there anything further in this case?
Mr. Kellahin?

MR. KELLAHIN: On behalf of Hanagan Petroleum Corporation and Phillips Petroleum Company, those two companies support Ms. Teschendorf's recommendations as outlined in Exhibit Number One and would strenuously object to the deletion of any requirement as to waiver.

We believe that it's necessary and an integral part of the Oil Conservation Division's rules and regulations that offset operators be notified for an infill well and we concur in her statements and comments with regards to her exhibit.

MR. STAMETS: Any other comments? Mr. Buell?

MR. BUELL: May it please the Examiner, Amoco

Production Company is proud to support Ms. Teschendorf's

last official act for the Oil Conservation Division. As

ij

everything else she's done, it has tremendous merit.

MR. STAMETS: Any other comments? The case will be taken under advisement. (Hearing concluded.)

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

# REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, SALLY WALTON BOYD, a Court Reporter, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing and attached Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that said transcript is a full, true, and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability, knowledge, and skill, from my notes taken at the time of the hearing.

Sally W. Boyd, C.S.R.

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No. neard by me on\_\_\_\_ Examiner Oil Conservation Division

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23

STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION State Land Office Building Santa Fe, New Mexico 25 April 1979

#### EXAMINER HEARING

#### IN THE MATTER OF:

The hearing called by the Oil Conservation Division on its own motion to consider a procedure for the adoption of findings, when applicable and pursuant to the Federal Natural Gas Policy ) Act.

CASE 6526

BEFORE: Richard L. Stamets

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

# APPEARANCES

For the Oil Conservation Division:

Ernest L. Padilla, Esq. Legal Counsel for the Division

State Land Office Bldg. Santa Fe, New Mexico 87503

For Hanagan Petroleum Corp.:

W. Thomas Kellahin, Esq. KELLAHIN & KELLAHIN

500 Don Gaspar

Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

19 20 21

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

23

22

# ·

#### APPEARANCES

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

.

For Phillips Petroleum Co.:

W. Thomas Kellahin, Esq. KELLAHIN & KELLAHIN 500 Don Gaspar Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

For El Paso Natural Gas Company:

John Nance, Esq. El Paso Natural Gas Co. El Paso, Texas

For Amoco Production Co.:

Guy Buell, Esq. Amoco Production Company Houston, Texas

For Sun Gas Company:

Michael Kovich, Esq. Sun Gas Company

SALLY WALTON BOY
CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPOR
3010Plaza Blanca (605) 471-3
Santa No. World \$771-3

12 13

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

#### INDEX

# LYNN TESCHENDORF

Direct Examination by Mr. Padilla 4

Cross Examination by Mr. Nutter 10

Cross Examination by Mr. Kellahin 12

Cross Examination by Mr. Nance 14

Cross Examination by Mr. Stamets 15

Recross Examination by Mr. Nutter 16

# EXHIBITS

Division Exhibit One, Special Rules and Regulations

SALLY WALTON 30
SERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPO
020Plaza Blanca (605) 471.
Santa Fe, New Mosico 87

SALLY WALTON BOY
CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORT
3020Plas Blonca (505) 471-2.
Santa Fe, New Mexico 8752

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. STAMETS: We'll call next case 6526, in the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Division on its own motion to consider a procedure for the adoption of findings, when applicable, and pursuant to Federal Natural Gas Policy Act, that another well is necessary to effectively and efficiently drain that portion of a proration unit which cannot be so drained by any existing well.

Call for appearances in this case.

MR. PADILLA: Ernest L. Padilla for the Oil Conservation Division.

MR. STAMETS: Any other appearances in this case?

MR. KELLAHIN: I'm Tom Kellahin of Santa Fe,
New Mexico, appearing on behalf of Hanagan Petroleum Corporation, and Phillips Petroleum Company.

MR. STAMETS: I'm John Nance with El Paso Natural Gas Company, El Paso, Texas.

MR. STAMETS: Any other appearances?

MR. BUELL: For Amoco Production Company, my name is Guy Buell.

MR. KOVICH: For Sun Gas Company, Michael Kovich.

MR. STAMETS: Any other appearances?

Will the rest of you attorneys who appeared

have any witnesses?

ALLY WALTON BOYD
THEE SHORTHAND REPORTER
OFFER BANCE (605) 471-2452
AND FO. NOW MOREO 87501

MR. BUELL: Amoco has none, Mr. Examiner.

MR. STAMETS: Okay, thank you.

MR. NANCE: El Paso will have none.

MR. STAMETS: Do you have one witness, Mr.

Padilla?

MR. PADILLA: I have called Lynn Teschendorf.

MR. STAMETS: I'd like to have her stand and

be sworn.

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

(Witness sworn.)

#### LYNN TESCHENDORF

being called as a witness and having been duly sworn upon her oath, testified as follows, to-wit:

#### DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. PADILLA:

Q Ms. Teschendorf, would you for the record state your name and by whom you are employed and in what capacity you are employed?

A Lynn Teschendorf, General Counsel for the Oil Conservation Division in Santa Fe.

Q. Have you testified previously before the Division? And are your credentials a matter of record before the Division?

A. Yes, I have, and yes, they are.

SALLY WALTON BOY: CERTIFED SHORTHAND REPORTI 102 OF Laza Blanca (505) 471-24 Santa Fe, New Mexico 8750; 6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. PADILLA: Mr. Stamets, in view of her credentials being a matter of record before the Division,

I ask that she be qualified as to testify before the Division.

MR. STAMETS: Notwithstanding whatever she may have said before, she is considered qualified.

MR. PADILLA: Very well, thank you, sir.

Q (Mr. Padilla continuing.) Ms. Teschendorf, are you familiar with the subject matter of this hearing?

- A. Yes, I am.
- Q. What is the purpose of the case?

A. The purpose of this case is to adopt an administrative procedure whereby the Division can approve findings that infill wells are necessary to drain their proration units.

Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978; it was enacted on November 9th and became effective on December 1st of 1978, and pursuant to that Act the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission has promulgated interim regulations, which among other things, establish the definition of new onshore production wells and also grant exceptions to that definition to wells which are drilled on existing proration units.

These exceptions are contained in Sections 271.304 and 271.305 of the interim regulations, and I'd

SALLY WALTON BOYC CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTE 1020 Plaza Blanca (505) 471-246 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

like to point out part of the Section 271.305 (b), which applies to wells spudded after December 31st, '78, and for which a drilling permit had not been issued prior to January 1, 1979.

Part of this paragraph states that in order for natural gas from a well to which this paragraph applies to qualify for the maximum lawful price under this sub-part, which is qualification as a new onshore production well, the jurisdictional agency must find prior to the commencement of drilling that the well is necessary to effectively and efficiently drain a portion of the reservoir covered by the proration unit which cannot be effectively and efficiently drained by any existing well within the proration unit.

Such finding must be explicit and must involve either a redefinition of the boundaries of the previously existing proration unit or an alteration of or exception to otherwise applicable well spacing rules.

The FERC regulations also establish the Oil Conservation Division as the jurisdictional agency for wells on State and fee lands in New Mexico.

Up to this time the Division has been holding public hearing in order to make these findings but at this point we feel we have heard enough of these cases so that we know what kind of information we need in order to make the findings, and I am therefor proposing an administrative

procedure to make those findings at this time.

Have you prepared an exhibit for this hearing?

Yes, I have. It's marked Exhibit One and it's entitled Special Rules and Regulations, Natural Gas Policy Act Infill Findings, Administrative Procedure.

I've put some by the door for people who are interested in getting them.

Ms. Teschendorf, would you explain Exhibit Number One?

Exhibit Number One is a proposal for this administrative procedure. There are eleven rules in all. I'm just going to point out a few things.

In Rule One I have defined infill well as an additional producible well completed on an established proration unit.

The reason I have used the word "producible" rather than producing is that oftentimes the first well on a proration unit is in a temporarily abandoned state or is producing very low amounts, and the operator is probably going to plug it at some time in the near future or is not going to be producing it, but a second well drilled on that unit would be an infill well under the FERC regulations, and that's why I used the word "producible".

Rule Two is applicability. Because of the FERC rules they'll have to apply to wells for which a

14 15

16

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

17 18

19

21

22

23

SALLY WALTON BOY
CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORT
1012PLARA BLANCE (605) 411-2
Sante Fe, New Mexico 8755

drilling permit had not been approved prior to January 1,
'79, and it also will apply only to wells which have not
yet been spudded. So the operator will have to come in and
get a finding under this procedure before he spuds the well.

This is also necessitated by the current FERC regulations and I'm not sure if the final regs will require this or not, but right now they do.

Rule Three (b) states that an Examiner or the Director may find that an infill well is necessary, and part of the requirement is that we receive waivers from offset operators or it can be approved if no offset operator has entered an objection to the infill finding within thirty days after receipt of the application by the Director.

We have had a number of comments from industry people stating that by granting an infill finding
the Oil Commission is essentially granting a waiver of
spacing requirements in a pool, and they feel that they
would like to know about this before we grant the finding
so that they might have an opportunity to object if they
want to.

Rule Eleven at the very end states that when an operator files an application for an infill finding he would have to state in his application that notice has been given to the offset operators.

The only other rule I wanted to comment on

is Rule Ten.

10

11

13

14

15 16

> 17 18

19

20

22

23

24

25

Over the hearing process we have come down to a number of factors which we feel are crucial to making an infill finding, and I've tried to include these on the information that we would require with an infill finding application.

One of these is a formation structure map. Another one is the amount of increase in ultimate recovery expected to be obtained, and a narrative describing how the increase was determined.

(c) is description of any mechanical problems in any existing well along with a summary of remedial action taken and the results thereof.

And (d) is the current rate of production for any existing well on the proration unit.

The applicant can also submit any other data that he thinks might support his application, and we've given him the option of supplying porosity and permeability factors, production/pressure decline curves, and the effects of secondary recovery or pressure maintenance operations.

He doesn't have to include these but they are suggestions. Sometimes they've been helpful.

I think the rest of the rules are self-explanatory and since they're being introduced as an exhibit, I don't think I'll go over them.

1			
1			
1			
1			
i			
1			
1			
1			
18			
18			
20			
2			
22			
23			

25

Q.	Do you	ı have	anything	further	on	Exhibit	One?
----	--------	--------	----------	---------	----	---------	------

No, I don't.

MR. PADILLA: I offer Exhibit Number One into evidence at this time.

MR. STAMETS: Exhibit Number One will be admitted.

MR. PADILLA: And I have no further questions of the witness.

MR. STAMETS: Are there questions of the witness? Mr. Nutter?

#### CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. NUTTER:

Q Ms. Teschendorf, your Rule One (a) defines an infill well as an additional producible well completed on an established proration unit.

A. Uh-huh.

Q. But isn't it necessary that these findings be obtained before a well is spudded?

A. Right, yes, it is.

Q. How can it be a producible well, then?

A. Well, the additional producible well would refer to the first one on the unit. If you have a better suggestion for defining what this well is going to be --

Q. Well, I thought that the infill well was the

SALLY WALTON CERTFIED SHORTHAND R 3620Plaza Blanca (605) Santa Fo, New Mexic

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

new well, not the old one.

A. That's right, it is. It will be an additional one on the unit.

I might add that I have lifted this definition from your definition in the State NGFA definitions.

Q. That was Dick's definition.

A Oh, oh, pardon me, I apologize.

Q It's an additional well on a producible unit, is that what we're after?

A. No, I don't think so. What I wanted to say was that you've already got a well on there that's producible.

Q. But that's not the infill well. That's the original well.

A. No, that's right, but this would be an additional one, an additional producible well.

Q. Well, this is something we can hassle over during our coffeebreak, as we usually do.

A. Well, you can change it, if you want. I'm not going to be picky about it.

MR. STAMETS: Does that conclude your cross examination?

MR. NUTTER: Yeah.

MR. STAMETS: Mr. Kellahin?

#### CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. KELLAHIN:

Q. Ms. Teschendorf, let me ask you some questions to clarify your proposed Rule Number Eleven.

I don't have a copy of that rule. If the Applicant makes his filing for an infill well under the proposed new rules, will be required to come to a hearing before the Division with regards to an unorthodox well location for the second well?

A. Yes, he will.

Q. The proposal as to notice of offsetting operators, would it not also be appropriate to include notice to the gas purchaser?

A. That was something that we discussed. The purchaser is going to get notice of the application when they file it, the application for determination, when they file it with this office. We didn't feel that it was necessary that we require an applicant to notify the purchaser that he's getting this finding. He certainly has to notify the purchaser that he's making the application for determination.

We didn't feel that it was important at this stage that the purchaser be notified.

Q How do you reconcile Rule Number Eleven with General Rule Number 5 that was entered earlier under Order

ALLY WALION BOY! ERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTE 20Plaza Blanca (605) 471-24 Santa Fe, New Mexico 8750)

Santa Fe. 1

16 17

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Number R-5878 on December 14th, '78?

I'm not sure I see the conflict.

Well, you indicated in your verbal testimony that you thought a period of something like thirty days, I believe, in which the affected offsetting operator would have an opportunity to file an objection.

Rule Number Five speaks in terms of fifteen days.

Well, these are two different procedures. The procedure I've been talking about today is simply for findings that an infill well is necessary. You would have thirty days to object to that.

Once you get the findings, you go ahead and drill your well. When it's completed then you file an application for determination and that is the procedure that you're referring to that was adopted in R-5878.

I assume that you envision by Rule Number Eleven that the kind of procedure that's used by the Division with regards to topographical unorthodox locations that are found in Rule 104-F?

Right.

That's what you're talking about?

That's right.

MR. KELLAHIN: I have no further questions.

MR. STAMETS: Any other questions of the

witness? Yes, Mr. Nance.

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. NANCE:

Ms. Teschendorf, El Paso has a well, I believe it's in Eddy County, the Shell State No. 1 Well, for which a hearing has been continued. It has already been spudded and I wanted to seek a point of clarification to make sure that a hearing would still be required with respect to that well and that Rule Two, then, requires that only wells not yet spudded are subject to these rules.

That's correct.

MR. STAMETS: I might indicate, that in the last week we've gotten some clarification from FERC on that and it sounded as though they are considering changing their rules so that your well might be covered, but at this time there's no way we can approve it.

> MR. NANCE: As the rules exist now, then? MR. STAMETS: Yes.

MR. NANCE: These wells are designed to apply it that way, then.

MR. STAMETS: Right.

Are there other questions of the witness?

17

16

10

11

12

13

14

15

ទេ

18

20

21 22

23

25

#### CROSS EXAMINATION

#### BY MR. STAMETS:

Q. Ms. Teschendorf, getting back to the requirements of whichever rule it is,

#### A. Three (b)?

Q Yes, Three (b), that the offset operators be notified, this seems inconsistent with our other rules and regulations. I don't recall that we do require notice of offset operators of infill wells anywhere else.

A. I don't think there is notice to offset operators anywhere else. I think this was added as a response to concern by members of the industry that they be notified.

I think they are probably interested in finding out who's going to try to get a higher price for another well, in practically speaking.

Q What -- what specifically would we protect by such notice?

A. Well, I think that the example that I've used is if someone has a gas well on 320-acre proration unit, and he's going to drill an infill well on that 320-acre proration unit, an offset operator might be concerned that his correlative rights would be violated, since the Commission established a 320-acre unit as the area that could be drained by one well.

If they're drilling a second well there might

## ALLY WALTON BO PRIFIED SHORTHAND REPOR SOPLIZE BLAICE (5.95) 471-5 Santa Fe, New Mexico 875

25.

10

11

13

es facilities

SALLY WALTON BOY!

SENTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTE

020Plaza Blance (805) 471-24

Santa Fe, New Mexico 37501

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

be concern that correlative rights could be affected.

Q Do we have any mechanism in our regular rules and regulations to prohibit the second well from being drilled on a proration unit as long as it's being drilled at a standard location?

A. I have always felt that our rules prohibit the drilling of more than one well on a proration unit; however, as you well know, the rest of the Division staff don't agree with me.

Q Is it possible that, Ms. Teschendorf, we should review our general rules and regulations on the matter of infill drilling?

A. I don't know if that's necessary at this time.

This particular administrative procedure is simply to accommodate the Federal rules on the pricing.

Q For the purposes of the Federal rules, is there really any reason to notify the offset operators?

A. No, they certainly don't require it. I do, however, feel they probably are interested parties.

MR. STAMETS: Any other questions of the witness? Mr. Nutter?

#### RECROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. NUTTER:

Ms. Teschendorf, in effect couldn't an oper-

6

8 9

10

11 12

13 14

15

18

19

20

17

21

22

23 24 ator ask for infill drilling on all of his units in a pool and without notification in essence change the spacing that has been designated for that pool?

- In practical terms that's what he'd be doing.
- Q. And the other operators in the pool wouldn't even know about it, if he didn't notify them.
  - A. Uh-huh. Yes.

MR. STAMETS: Any other questions of the witness? She may be excused.

Is there anything further in this case?
Mr. Kellahin?

MR. KELLAHIN: On behalf of Hanagan Petroleum Corporation and Phillips Petroleum Company, those two companies support Ms. Teschendorf's recommendations as outlined in Exhibit Number One and would strenuously object to the deletion of any requirement as to waiver.

We believe that it's necessary and an integral part of the Oil Conservation Division's rules and regulations that offset operators be notified for an infill well and we concur in her statements and comments with regards to her exhibit.

MR. STAMETS: Any other comments? Mr. Buell?

MR. BUELL: May it please the Examiner, Amoco

Production Company is proud to support Ms. Teschendorf's

last official act for the Oil Conservation Division. As

SALLY WALTON B( ERTHED SHORTHAND REM 020 Plaza Blanca (606) 473 Santa Fe, New Mexico 5

.

everything else she's done, it has tremendous merit.

MR. STAMETS: Any other comments?

The case will be taken under advisement.

(Hearing concluded.)

#### REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, SALLY WALTON BOYD, a Court Reporter, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing and attached Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that said transcript is a full, true, and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability, knowledge, and skill, from my notes taken at the time of the hearing.

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No. 652 7, Examiner

Oll Conservation Division

KELLAHIN and KELLAHIN

Attorneys at Law 500 Don Gaspar Avenue

Post Office Box 1769

Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

Telephone 982-4285 Area Code 505

April 27, 1979

DIL COMBERMATION DIVISION SANTA FE

Mr. Richard Stamets Oil Conservation Division P. O. Box 2088 Santa Fe, New Mexico 37501

Re: NMOCD Case No. 6526

Dear Mr. Stamets:

On behalf of Phillips Petroleum Company, I would appreciate you making the enclosed statement part of the record in the above referenced case?

Very truly yours,

Thomas Kellahin

WTK:eps Enclosure

Jason Kellahin

Karen Aubrey

W. Thomas Kellahin

cc: Mr. Joe Peacock

LEGAL

April 24, 1979

Re: Case No. 6527 - Hearing Called by Oil Conservation Division

Programmes programmes

Mr. W. Thomas Kellahin Kellahin & Kellahin P. O. Box 1769 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

Dear Mr. Kellahin:

Please enter the following statement in the subject case on behalf of Phillips Petroleum Company:

"Phillips Petroleum Company supports the proposals of the Oil Conservation Division to be considered in Case No. 6526 whereby procedures are to be considered for adoption of findings, when applicable and pursuant to the Natural Gas Policy Act - 1978, that another well is necessary to effectively and efficiently drain that portion of its proration unit which cannot be so drained by any existing well; that the then existing well spacing requirements be waived, and such procedures provide a system whereby such findings could be issued administratively without the necessity for public hearing.

"Further, Phillips Petroleum Company wishes to suggest that the procedural system, when adopted, include the requirement that the applicant provide notice of the application to all operators offsetting the pertinent proration unit, and to the gas purchaser. In conjunction with this suggestion, it then appears that a waiver/objection notice time period prior to administrative action should also be included."

Yours truly,

Yoe V. Peacock

JVP:nc

	BEFORE EXAMINER STAMETS
	OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
	EXHIBIT NO.
SPECIAL RULES AND REGU	CASE NO. 6526 LATIONS
NATURAL GAS POLICY ACT INF	ILL Submittingly OCD.
ADMINISTRATIVE PROCE	DURE Hearing Date 4-25-79

#### A. DEFINITIONS

- RULE 1. For purposes of this administrative procedure only, the following definitions are adopted:
  - a. <u>Infill well:</u> An additional producible well completed on an established proration unit.

#### B. APPLICABILITY

RULE 2. These Special Rules and Regulations shall only apply to wells for which a drilling permit had not been approved prior to January 1, 1979, and which have not yet been spudded.

#### C. JUSTIFICATION FOR FINDINGS

- RULE 3. An examiner or the Director may find that an infill well is necessary:
  - a. upon a showing by the operator that an additional well is needed to effectively and efficiently drain a portion of the reservoir covered by the proration unit which cannot be so drained by any existing well within that unit, and
  - b. upon receipt of waivers from all offset operators, or if no offset operator has entered an objection to the infill finding within 30 days after receipt of the application by the Director.

- RULE 4. The Director may set any application for hearing at his discretion or at the request of an applicant.
- D. FILING REQUIREMENTS
- RULE 5. Each applicant shall submit a C-101 showing date of approval, if any, and a C-102 showing the proration unit dedicated.
- RULE 6. Applicant shall give the name of the pool in which completion will be attempted and the standard spacing unit size therefor.
- RULE 7. If the proration unit is nonstandard, the applicant shall furnish the number of the Division order approving it.
- RULE 8. The applicant shall state whether the well has been spudded, and the spud date, if any.
- RULE 9. The applicant shall submit a description of all wells drilled on the proration unit including:
  - a. lease name and well location
  - b. spud date
  - c. producing formation(s)
  - d. date of plug and abandonment, if any
- RULE 10. The applicant shall submit geological and reservoir information sufficient to support a finding as to the necessity for an infill well including:
  - a. formation structure map
  - b. the amount of increase in ultimate recovery expected to be obtained and a narrative describing how the increase was determined.

- existing well along with a summary of remedial action taken and the results thereof.
- d. current rate of production for any existing well
- e. any other supporting data which the applicant deems to be relevant which may include:
  - (1) porosity and permeability factors
  - (2) production/pressure decline curves
  - (3) effects of secondary recovery or pressure maintenance operations
- RULE 11. Applications for infill findings shall be filed in duplicate with the Santa Fe office of the Division.

  All operators of proration or spacing units offsetting the unit for which an infill finding is sought shall be notified of the application by certified or registered mail, and the application shall state that such notification has been given.

BEFORE EXAMINER STAMETS
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
EXMBIT NO. /

SPECIAL RULES AND REGULATIONS CASE NO.

Hearing Date

NATURAL GAS POLICY ACT INFILL FINDINGS ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE

#### DEFINITIONS

- RULE 1. For purposes of this administrative procedure only, the following definitions are adopted:
  - a. Infill well: An additional producible well completed on an established proration unit.

#### APPLICABILITY

These Special Rules and Regulations shall only apply to wells for which a drilling permit had not been approved prior to January 1, 1979, and which have not yet been spudded.

#### JUSTIFICATION FOR FINDINGS

- RULE 3. An examiner or the Director may find that an infill well is necessary:
  - upon a showing by the operator that an additional a. well is needed to effectively and efficiently drain a portion of the reservoir covered by the proration unit which cannot be so drained by any existing well within that unit, and
  - b. upon receipt of waivers from all offset operators, or if no offset operator has entered an objection to the infill finding within 30 days after receipt of the application by the Director.

- RULE 4. The Director may set any application for hearing at his discretion or at the request of an applicant.
- D. FILING REQUIREMENTS
- RULE 5. Each applicant shall submit a C-101 showing date of approval, if any, and a C-102 showing the proration unit dedicated.
- RULE 6. Applicant shall give the name of the pool in which completion will be attempted and the standard spacing unit size therefor.
- RULE 7. If the proration unit is nonstandard, the applicant shall furnish the number of the Division order approving it.
- RULE 8. The applicant shall state whether the well has been spudded, and the spud date, if any.
- RULE 9. The applicant shall submit a description of all wells drilled on the proration unit including:
  - a. lease name and well location
  - b. spud date
  - c. producing formation(s)
  - d. date of plug and abandonment, if any
- RULE 10. The applicant shall submit geological and reservoir information sufficient to support a finding as to the necessity for an infill well including:
  - a. formation structure map
  - b. the amount of increase in ultimate recovery expected to be obtained and a narrative describing how the increase was determined.

- e. description of any mechanical problems in any existing well along with a summary of remedial action taken and the results thereof.
- d. current rate of production for any existing well
- e. any other supporting data which the applicant deems to be relevant which may include:
  - (1) porosity and permeability factors
  - (2) production/pressure decline curves
  - (3) effects of secondary recovery or pressure maintenance operations
- RULE 11. Applications for infill findings shall be filed in duplicate with the Santa Fe office of the Division.

  All operators of proration or spacing units offsetting the unit for which an infill finding is sought shall be notified of the application by certified or registered mail, and the application shall state that such notification has been given.

Dockets Nos. 18-79 and 20-79 are tentatively set for hearing on May 9 and 23, 1979. Applications for hearing must be filed at least 22 days In advance of hearing date.

#### DOCKET: EXAMINER HEARING - WEDNESDAY - APRIL 25, 1979

9 A.M. - OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION CONFERENCE ROOM, STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING, SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

- The following cases will be heard before Richard L. Stanets, Examiner, or Daniel S. Kutter, Alternate Examiner:
- CASE 6525: In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Division on its own motion to amend the

   Special Rules for the Tubb Gas Pool in Lea County, New Mexico, to provide for the classification of wells as oil wells and gas wells on the basis of gas-oil ratios rather than on the basis of liquid gravity as at present.
- CASE 6526: In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Division on its own motion to consider a procedure for the adoption of findings, when applicable and pursuant to the Federal Natural Gas Policy Act, that another well is necessary to effectively and efficiently drain that portion of its proration unit which cannot be so drained by any existing well, and that existing well spacing requirements are waived. The proposed procedure would provide a system whereby such findings could be issued administratively without the necessity for public hearing.
  - Application of Tenneco Oil Company for two non-standard oil proration units, Lea County, New Mexico.

    Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of two 80-acre non-standard oil proration units, the first comprising the N/2 NW/4, the other the N/2 NE/4, of Section 12, Township 9 South, Range 34 East, Vada-Pennsylvanian Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, said units to be dedicated to applicant's Ward Insall Wells Nos. 1 and 2, respectively, located in Units D and A of said Section 12.
- Application of Bass Enterprises Production Co. for an unorthodox gas well location, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for an unorthodox Morrow test well location to be drilled 660 feet from the North and West lines of Section 10, Township 21 South, Range 32 East, Lea County, New Mexico, the W/2 of said Section 10 to be dedicated to the well.
- CASE 6529: Application of Amoco Production Company for compulsory pooling, Eddy County, New Mexico.

  Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests in the Pennsylvanian formation underlying the S/2 of Section 22, Township 23 South, Range 28 East, Eddy County, New Mexico, to be dedicated to its Brantley Gas Com. Well No. 1 located in Unit K of said Section 22. Also to be considered will be the cost of drilling and completing said well and the allocation of the cost thereof as well as actual operating costs and charges for supervision. Also to be considered will be the designation of applicant as oper tor of the well and a charge for risk involved in drilling said well.
- CASE 6530: Application of Amoco Production Company for unorthodox gas well locations, temporary injection of produced gas, and to vent gas, Union and Harding Counties, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the unorthodox locations in the Tubb formation of its State FI Well No. 3, located 1315 feet from the South line and 1980 feet from the East line of Section 36, Township 20 North, Range 34 East, Union County, and its Heimann Well No. 5, located 660 feet from the South line and 1315 feet from the West line of Section 3, Township 19 North, Range 33 East, Harding County. Applicant further seeks authority to conduct pressure interference tests, including authority to vent gas produced from the State FI Well No. 1 for a period not to exceed 45 days and to inject produced gas into its Heimann Well No. 4 located in Unit K of Section 34, Township 20 North, Range 33 East, for a period not to exceed six months.
- CASE 5531: Application of Getty U11 Company for an unorthodox gas well location and simultaneous dedication, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to simultaneously dedicate its Baker B Well No. 6 at an unorthodox location 510 feet from the South and West lines of Section 10, Township 22 South, Range 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico, and its Baker B Well No. 15 located in Unit L of said Section 10, the current unit well, to the existing provation unit.
- CASE 6532: Application of Northwest Production Corporation for downhole commingling, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the downhole commingling of Tapacito-Pictured Cliffs and Blanco Mesaverde production in the wellbore of its Jicarilla 117E Well No. 5 located in Unit M of Section 28, Township 26 North, Range 3 West, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico.
- CASE 6072: (Continued from March 28, 1979, Examiner Hearing)

In the matter of Case 6072 being reopened pursuant to the provisions of Order No. R-5643 which order created the Travis-Upper Pennsylvanian Pool, Eddy County, New Mexico, with provisions for 80-acre spacing. All interested parties may appear and show cause why the Travis-Upper Pennsylvanian Pool should not be developed on 40-acre spacing units.

In the OCC office state of the hours of called by the occording to the state of providing persuant to the North of the North and to meet he share the providing as I specially draw that providing and specially draw that providing the special that cannot be so drained and that existing and the existing and t

e Literatura (Literatura) Literatura (Literatura)

ROUGH

dr/

#### STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE NO. 6526

Order No. R-6013

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION ON ITS OWN MOTION TO CONSIDER A PROCEDURE FOR THE ADOPTION OF FINDINGS, WHEN APPLICABLE AND PURSUANT TO THE FEDERAL NATURAL GAS POLICY ACT, THAT ANOTHER WELL IS NECESSARY TO EFFECTIVELY AND EFFICIENTLY DRAIN THAT PORTION OF ITS PRORATION UNIT WHICH CANNOT BE SO DRAINED BY ANY EXISTING WELL, AND THAT EXISTING WELL SPACING REQUIREMENTS ARE WAIVED.

### ORDER OF THE DIVISION

#### BY THE DIVISION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 a.m. on April 25 19 79 , at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner Richard L. Stamets NOW, on this \_\_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_\_, 19 79 , the Division Director, having considered the testimony, the record, and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,

#### FINDS:

- (1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Division has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.
- (2) That the 95th Congress of the United States passed the Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978, P.L. 95-621, 92 Stat. 3350.

- (3) That said Act was enacted on November 9, 1978, and went into effect on December 1, 1978.
- (4) That pursuant to said Act, the Federal Energy hereinafter referred to as "FERC"

  Regulatory Commission, has promulgated interim regulations establishing the definition of a "new, onshore production wall" regulation on the thereto for wells drilled on existing proration units.
- (5) That said regulations also established the Oil Conservation Division as the jurisdictional agency with respect to wells located on state and fee lands within the State of New Mexico.

(6) That an infill well drilled on an existing providing unit would not quality under the his "New Orrshore Production Well" category (Section 103) of said interim FER regulations but would command a lower category determination unless such well should quality under certain exception provisions set out therein.

- and 271.305 of the FERC regulations and require that the jurisdictional agency must find, prior to the commencement of drilling of an infill well, that the well is necessary to effectively and efficiently drain a portion of the reservoir covered by the proration unit which cannot be effectively and efficiently drained by any existing well within the proration unit. without the necessity of a public hearing in each case, and yet to be
- (8) That in order to make such findings in accordance with the FERC regulations and in accordance with State law, the Oil Conservation Division should adopt special rules for

Natural Gas Policy Act infill findings to be followed in the application of Such findings administratively.

(F) That said Special Rules should require geological and reservoir information sufficient to support a finding as to the necessity for an infill tell be filed, and that offset operators should be notified of the filing of an application for an infill finding.

That said special rules should be in the form and content prescribed on Exhibit A, attached hereto and made a part hereof.

for and pro

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

above designated.

and Regulations,

(1) That the "Special Rules for Natural Gas Policy AInfill Administrative Procedure" Findings, attached hereto as Exhibit A are hereby adopted by the OII Spinservation Division.

That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Division may deem necessary. DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year herein-

# SPECIAL RULES AND RECULATIONS NATURAL GAS POLICY ACT INFILL FINDINGS ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE

#### A. DEFINITIONS

- RULE 1. For purposes of this administrative procedure only, the following definitions are adopted:
- a. <u>Infill well</u>: An additional producible well completed on an established proration unit.

#### B. APPLICABILITY

RULE 2. These Special Rules and Regulations shall only apply to wells for which a drilling permit had not been approved prior to January 1, 1979, and which have not yet been spudded.

#### C. JUSTIFICATION FOR FINDINGS

RULE 3. An examiner or the Director may find that an infill well is necessary:

- a. upon a showing by the operator that an additional well is needed to effectively and efficiently drain a portion of the reservoir covered by the proration unit which cannot be so drained by any existing well within that unit, and
- b. upon receipt of waivers from all offset operators, or if no offset operator has entered an objection to the infill finding within 30 days after receipt of the application by the Director.

Ex. A

- The Director may set any application for hearing at his discretion or at the request of an applicant.

D. FILING REQUIREMENTS

cofyn Form

RULE 5. Each applicant shall submit a C-101 showing date of approval, if any, and C-102 showing the proration unit-dedicated.

RULE 6. Applicant shall give the name of the pool in which completion will be attempted and the standard spacing unit size therefor.

Rule 7. The applicant shall give the number of the Division Order approving the nonstandard proretion unit.

- RULE 8. The applicant shall state whether the well has been spudded, and the spud date, if any.
- The applicant shall submit a description of all wells RULE 9. drilled on the proration unit including: or have been completed in the same pool or reservoir as the proposed intill well showing: a. lease name and well location
  - b. spud date;
  - c. producing formation (s)
  - d. a description of any mechanical problems remediel action (5) to ken and the results
  - e. The current rate of production;
    - date of plug and abandonment, if any
- RULE 10. The applicant shall submit geological and reservoir information sufficient to support a finding as to the necessity for an infill well including:
  - formation structure map
  - the amount of increase on ultimate recovery expected to be obtained and a narrative describing how the increase was determined.

Shown under Rule 9 above existing well/along with a summary of remedial action taken and the results thereof.

- d. current rate of production for any existing well shows
- any other supporting data which the applicant deems to be relevant which may include:
  - (1) porosity and permeability factors
  - (2) production/pressure decline curves
  - (3) effects of secondary recovery or pressure maintenance operations
- RULE 11. Applications for infill findings shall be filed in duplicate with the Santa Fe office of the Division.

  All operators of proration or spacing units offsetting the unit for which an infill finding is sought shall be notified of the application by certified or registered mail, and the application shall state that such notification has been given: