
HOBBS OCD	
District I 625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240 District II 11 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210 District III 000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410 District IV 220 South	New Mexico and Natural Resources rvation Division h St. Francis Dr. e, NM 87505
	n and Corrective Action
	OPERATOR Initial Report Final Re
	Contact Marc Neatherlin
	Telephone No. (432) 557-9430
	Facility Type Oil Production Facility
Surface Owner Woolworth Trust Mineral Owner	API No. 30-025-23868
	N OF RELEASE //South Line Feet from the East/West Line County
Unit Letter Section Township Range Feet from the North H 6 25S 37E Feet from the North	South Line Feet from the East/West Line County Lea
Latitude 32° 09' 43.2" N	Longitude 103° 11' 36.3" W
	OF RELEASE
Type of Release Produced Water	Volume of Release 100 BBL Volume Recovered 60 BBL
Source of Release Leak at 6" Water Injection Line	Date and Hour of OccurrenceDate and Hour of Discovery02/12/2013 11:00 AM02/12/2013 12:00 PM
Was Immediate Notice Given?	If YES, To Whom? New Mexico Environment Department
By Whom? Aaron Edrington Was a Watercourse Reached?	Date and Hour 02/12/2013 12:00 PM If YES, Volume Impacting the Watercourse. N/A
A leak developed at the 6" line and released 100 BBL of produced wa	ter onto the ground west of New Mexico Highway 18 near Langlie Jal Unit as made in anticipation of excavation of the area.
A leak developed at the 6" line and released 100 BBL of produced wa #49. The associated facilities were shut in and the utility locate call w Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.* The leak occurred near the Langlie Jal Unit #49. There were 60 BBL	as made in anticipation of excavation of the area.
#49. The associated facilities were shut in and the utility locate call w Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.* The leak occurred near the Langlie Jal Unit #49. There were 60 BBL I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release near the cavity of the certain release of a C-141 report by the the information.	as made in anticipation of excavation of the area. of produced water recovered from the release. he best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to NMOCD rules and otifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger e NMOCD marked as "Final Report" does not relieve the operator of liability e contamination that pose a threat to ground water, surface water, human health
A leak developed at the 6" line and released 100 BBL of produced wa #49. The associated facilities were shut in and the utility locate call w Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.* The leak occurred near the Langlie Jal Unit #49. There were 60 BBL I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release in public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report of federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations.	as made in anticipation of excavation of the area. of produced water recovered from the release. he best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to NMOCD rules and otifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger e NMOCD marked as "Final Report" does not relieve the operator of liability e contamination that pose a threat to ground water, surface water, human health
A leak developed at the 6" line and released 100 BBL of produced wa #49. The associated facilities were shut in and the utility locate call w Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.* The leak occurred near the Langlie Jal Unit #49. There were 60 BBL I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release in public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report of federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations.	Approved
A leak developed at the 6" line and released 100 BBL of produced wa #49. The associated facilities were shut in and the utility locate call w Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.* The leak occurred near the Langlie Jal Unit #49. There were 60 BBL I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release in public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report of federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations. Signature: Printed Name: Aaron Edrington Title: Environmental Consultant	Approved

APPENDIX B GENERAL SITE DIAGRAM



APPENDIX C SITE RANKING INFORMATION

GUIDELINES

FOR

REMEDIATION

OF

LEAKS, SPILLS AND RELEASES

(AUGUST 13, 1993)

New Mexico Oil Conservation Division 1220 S. ST. FRANCIS DR. Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

TABLE OF CONTENTS

NOTICE OF LEAK, SPILL OR RELEASE

INTRODUCTION

I.

RESPONSIBLE PARTY AND LOCAL CONTACT Α. в. FACILITY C. TIME OF INCIDENT DISCHARGE EVENT D. Ε. TYPE OF DISCHARGE F. QUANTITY SITE CHARACTERISTICS G. IMMEDIATE CORRECTIVE ACTIONS н. II. INITIAL RESPONSE ACTIONS Α. SOURCE ELIMINATION AND SITE SECURITY в. CONTAINMENT SITE STABILIZATION C. SITE ASSESSMENT III. Α. GENERAL SITE CHARACTERISTICS Depth To Ground Water 1. 2. Wellhead Protection Area 3. Distance To Nearest Surface Water Body SOIL/WASTE CHARACTERISTICS в. 1. Highly Contaminated/Saturated Soils 2. Unsaturated Contaminated Soils C. GROUND WATER QUALITY IV. SOIL AND WATER REMEDIATION ACTION LEVELS Α. SOILS

1. Highly Contaminated/Saturated Soils

2. Unsaturated Contaminated Soils

a. Ranking Criteria

b. <u>Recommended Remediation Level</u>

B. GROUND WATER

v.

VI.

SOIL AND WATER SAMPLING PROCEDURES

- A. HIGHLY CONTAMINATED OR SATURATED SOILS
 - 1. Physical Observations
- B. UNSATURATED CONTAMINATED SOILS
 - 1. Soil Sampling Procedures for Headspace Analysis
 - 2. Soil Sampling Procedures For Laboratory Analysis
 - a. Sampling Procedures
 - b. Analytical methods
- C. GROUND WATER SAMPLING
 - 1. Monitor Well Installation/Location
 - 2. Monitor Well Construction
 - 3. Monitor Well Development
 - 4. Sampling Procedures
 - 5. Ground Water laboratory Analysis
 - a. Analytical Methods

REMEDIATION

- A. SOIL REMEDIATION
 - 1. Contaminated Soils
 - 2. Soil Management Options
 - a. Disposal
 - b. Soil Treatment and Remediation Techniques
 - i. Landfarming
 - ii. Insitu Soil Treatment
 - iii. Alternate Methods

- B. GROUND WATER REMEDIATION
 - 1. Remediation Requirements
 - a. Free Phase Contamination
 - b. Dissolved Phase Contamination
 - c. Alternate Methods

VII. <u>TERMINATION OF REMEDIAL ACTION</u>

- A. SOIL
- B. GROUND WATER
- VIII. FINAL CLOSURE
- IX. FINAL REPORT

INTRODUCTION

The following document is to be used as a **guide** on all federal, state and fee lands when remediating contaminants resulting from leaks, spills and releases of oilfield wastes or products. The New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (OCD) requires that corrective actions be taken for leaks, spills or releases of any material which has a reasonable probability to injure or be detrimental to public health, fresh waters, animal or plant life, or property or unreasonably interfere with the public welfare or use of the property. These guidelines are intended to provide direction for remediation of soils and fresh waters contaminated as a result of leaks, spills or releases of oilfield wastes and products in a manner that assures protection of fresh waters, public health and the environment.

Fresh waters (to be protected) includes the water in lakes, playas, surface waters of all streams regardless of the quality of the water within any given reach, and all underground waters containing 10,000 milligrams per liter (mg/l) or less of total dissolved solids (TDS) except for which, after notice and hearing, it is found that there is no present or reasonably foreseeable beneficial use which would be impaired by contamination of such waters. The water in lakes and playas shall be protected from contamination even though it may contain more than 10,000 mg/l of TDS unless it can be shown that hydrologically connected fresh ground water will not be adversely affected.

Procedures may deviate from the following guidelines if it can be shown that the proposed procedure will either remediate, remove, isolate or control contaminants in such a manner that fresh waters, public health and the environment will not be impacted. Specific constituents and/or requirements for soil and ground water analysis and/or remediation may vary depending on site specific conditions. Deviations from approved plans will require OCD notification and approval.

1

**** Note:

Notification to OCD of leaks, spills and releases does not relieve an operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state or local law and/or regulation regarding the incident. Other agencies (ie. BLM, Indian Tribes, etc) may also have guidelines or requirements for remediation of leaks spills and releases.

I. NOTIFICATION OF LEAK, SPILL OR RELEASE

Leaks, spills and releases of any wastes or products from oilfield operations are required to be reported to the OCD pursuant to OCD Rule 116 (Appendix A) or New Mexico Water Quality Control Commission (WQCC) Regulation 1-203 (Appendix B). Appendix C contains the phone numbers and addresses for reporting incidents to the OCD district and Santa Fe offices. Notification will include all information required under the respective rule or regulation. Below is a description of some of the information required:

A. RESPONSIBLE PARTY AND LOCAL CONTACT

The name, address and telephone number of the person/persons in charge of the facility/operation as well as the owner and/or operator of the facility/operation and a local contact.

B. FACILITY

The name and address of the facility or operation where the incident took place and the legal location listed by quarterquarter, section, township and range, and by distance and direction from the nearest town or prominent landmark so that the exact site location can be readily located on the ground.

C. TIME OF INCIDENT

The date, time and duration of the incident.

D. DISCHARGE EVENT

A description of the source and cause of the incident.

E. TYPE OF DISCHARGE

A description of the nature or type of discharge. If the material leaked, spilled or released is anything other than crude oil, condensate or produced water include its chemical composition and physical characteristics.

F. QUANTITY

The known or estimated volume of the discharge.

G. SITE CHARACTERISTICS

The relevant general conditions prevailing at the site including precipitation, wind conditions, temperature, soil type, distance to nearest residence and population centers and proximity of fresh water wells or watercourse (ie. any river, lake, stream, playa, arroyo, draw, wash, gully or natural or man-made channel through which water flows or has flowed).

H. IMMEDIATE CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

Any initial response actions taken to mitigate immediate threats to fresh waters, public health and the environment.

II. INITIAL RESPONSE ACTIONS

Upon learning of a leak, spill or release of any material which has a reasonable probability to injure or be detrimental to public health, fresh waters, animal or plant life, or property or unreasonably interfere with the public welfare or use of the property, the responsible party (RP) should take the following immediate actions unless the actions could create a safety hazard which would result in a threat to personal or public injury:

A. SOURCE ELIMINATION AND SITE SECURITY

The RP should take the appropriate measures to stop the source of the leak, spill or release and limit access to the site as necessary to reduce the possibility of public exposure.

B. CONTAINMENT

Once the site is secure, the RP should take steps to contain the materials leaked, spilled or released by construction of berms or dikes, the use of absorbent pads or other containment actions to limit the area impacted by the event and prevent potential fresh water contaminants from migrating to watercourses or areas which could pose a threat to public health and safety.

C. SITE STABILIZATION

After containment, the RP should recover any products or wastes which can be physically removed from the surface within the containment area. The disposition of all wastes or products removed from the site must be approved by the OCD.

III. SITE ASSESSMENT

Prior to final closure (Section VIII), soils into which nonrecoverable products or wastes have infiltrated and which have a reasonable probability to injure or be detrimental to public health, fresh waters, animal or plant life, or property or unreasonably interfere with the public welfare or use of the property should be assessed for their potential environmental impacts and remediated according to the procedures contained in the following sections. Assessment results form the basis of any required remediation. Sites will be assessed for severity of contamination and potential environmental and public health threats using a risk based ranking system.

The following characteristics should be determined in order to evaluate a sites potential risks, the need for remedial action and, if necessary, the level of cleanup required at the site:

A. GENERAL SITE CHARACTERISTICS

1. Depth To Ground Water

The operator should determine the depth to ground water at each site. The depth to ground water is defined as the vertical distance from the lowermost contaminants to the seasonal high water elevation of the ground water. If the exact depth to ground water is unknown, the ground water depth can be estimated using either local water well information, published regional ground water information, data on file with the New Mexico State Engineer Office or the vertical distance from adjacent ground water or surface water.

2. Wellhead Protection Area

The operator should determine the horizontal distance from all water sources including private and domestic water sources. Water sources are defined as wells, springs or other sources of fresh water extraction. Private and domestic water sources are those water sources used by less than five households for domestic or stock purposes.

3. Distance To Nearest Surface Water Body

The operator should determine the horizontal distance to all downgradient surface water bodies. Surface water bodies are defined as perennial rivers, streams, creeks, irrigation canals and ditches, lakes, ponds and playas.

B. SOIL/WASTE CHARACTERISTICS

Soils/wastes within and beneath the area of the leak, spill or release should be evaluated to determine the type and extent of contamination at the site. In order to assess the level of contamination, observations should be made of the soils at the surface and samples of the impacted soils should be taken in the leak, spill or release area. Observations should note whether previous leaks, spills or releases have occurred at the site. Additional samples may be required to completely define the lateral and vertical extent of contamination. Soil samples should be obtained according to the sampling procedures in Sections V.A. and V.B. This may be accomplished using a backhoe, drill rig, hand auger, shovel or other means.

Initial assessment of soil contaminant levels is not required if an operator proposes to determine the final soil contaminant concentrations after a soil removal or remediation pursuant to section VI.A.

Varying degrees of contamination described below may co-exist at an individual site. The following sections describe the degrees of contamination that should be documented during the assessment of the level of soil contamination:

1. Highly Contaminated/Saturated Soils

Highly contaminated/saturated soils are defined as those soils which contain a free liquid phase or exhibit gross staining.

2. Unsaturated Contaminated Soils

Unsaturated contaminated soils are defined as soils which are not highly contaminated/saturated, as described above, but contain benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and xylenes (BTEX) and total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) or other potential fresh water contaminants unique to the leak, spill or release. Action levels and sampling and analytical methods for determining contaminant concentrations are described in detail in Sections IV. and V.

(NOTE: Soils contaminated as a result of spills, leaks or releases of non-exempt wastes must be evaluated for all RCRA Subtitle C hazardous waste characteristics. The above definitions apply only to oilfield contaminated soils which are exempt from federal RCRA Subtitle C hazardous waste provisions and nonexempt oilfield contaminated soils which are characteristically nonhazardous according to RCRA Subtitle C regulations. Any nonexempt contaminated soils which are determined to be characteristically hazardous cannot be remediated using this guidance document and will be referred to the New Mexico Environment Department Hazardous Waste Program.)

C. GROUND WATER QUALITY

If ground water is encountered during the soil/waste characterization of the impacted soils, a sample should be obtained to assess the incidents potential impact on ground water quality. Ground water samples should be obtained using the sampling procedures in Section V.C. Monitor wells may be required to assess potential impacts on ground water and the extent of ground water contamination, if there is a reasonable probability of ground water contamination based upon the extent and magnitude of soil contamination defined during remedial activities.

IV. SOIL AND WATER REMEDIATION ACTION LEVELS

A. SOILS

The sections below describe the OCD's recommended remediation action levels for soils contaminated with petroleum hydrocarbons. Soils contaminated with substances other than petroleum hydrocarbons may be required to be remediated based upon the nature of the contaminant and it's potential to impact fresh waters, public health and the environment.

1. Highly Contaminated/Saturated Soils

All highly contaminated/saturated soils should be remediated insitu or excavated to the maximum extent practicable. These soils should be remediated using techniques described in Section VI.A to the contaminant specific level listed in Section IV.A.2.b.

2. Unsaturated Contaminated Soils

The general site characteristics obtained during the site assessment (Section III.A.) will be used to determine the appropriate soil remediation action levels using a risk based approach. Soils which are contaminated by petroleum constituents will be scored according to the ranking criteria below to determine their relative threat to public health, fresh waters and the environment.

a. Ranking Criteria

Depth	To	Ground	Water

Ranking Score

<50 feet	20
50 - 99	10
>100	0

Wellhead Protection Area

<1000 feet from a water source,or; <200 feet from private domestic water source Yes 20 No 0

Distance To Surface Water Body

<200 horizontal feet	20
200 - 1000 horizontal feet	10
>1000 horizontal feet	0

b. Recommended Remediation Action Level

The total ranking score determines the degree of remediation that may be required at any given site. The total ranking score is the sum of all four individual ranking criteria listed in Section IV.A.2.a. The table below lists the remediation action level that may be required for the appropriate total ranking score.

(NOTE: The OCD retains the right to require remediation to more stringent levels than those proposed below if warranted by site specific conditions (ie. native soil type, location relative to population centers and future use of the site or other appropriate site specific conditions.)

		<u>Total Ranking</u>	Score
	>19	<u>10 - 19</u>	0 - 9
Benzene(ppm)*	10	10	10
<u>BTEX (ppm) *</u>	50	50	50

TPH(ppm)**10010005000*A field soil vapor headspace measurement (Section
V.B.1) of 100 ppm may be substituted for a
laboratory analysis of the Benzene and BTEX
concentration limits.

** The contaminant concentration for TPH is the concentration above background levels.

B. GROUND WATER

Contaminated ground water is defined as ground water of a present or foreseeable beneficial use which contains free phase products, dissolved phase volatile organic constituents or other dissolved constituents in excess of the natural background water quality. Ground water contaminated in excess of the WQCC ground water standards or natural background water quality will require remediation.

V. SOIL AND WATER SAMPLING PROCEDURES

Below are the sampling procedures for soil and ground water contaminant investigations of leaks, spills or releases of RCRA Subtitle C exempt oil field petroleum hydrocarbon wastes. Leaks, spills or releases of non-exempt RCRA wastes must be tested to demonstrate that the wastes are not characteristically hazardous according to RCRA regulations. Sampling for additional constituents may be required based upon the nature of the contaminant which was leaked, spilled or released.

A. HIGHLY CONTAMINATED OR SATURATED SOILS

The following method is used to determine if soils are highly contaminated or saturated:

1. Physical Observations

Study a representative sample of the soil for observable free petroleum hydrocarbons or immiscible phases and gross staining. The immiscible phase may range from a free hydrocarbon to a sheen on any associated aqueous phase. A soil exhibiting any of these characteristics is considered highly contaminated or saturated.

B. UNSATURATED CONTAMINATED SOILS

The following methods may be used for determining the magnitude of contamination in unsaturated soils:

1. Soil Sampling Procedures for Headspace Analysis

A headspace analysis may be used to determine the total volatile organic vapor concentrations in soils (ie. in lieu of a laboratory analysis for benzene and BTEX but not in lieu of a TPH analysis). Headspace analysis procedures should be conducted according to OCD approved industry standards or other OCD-approved procedures. Accepted OCD procedures are as follows:

a) Fill a 0.5 liter or larger jar half full of sample and seal the top tightly with aluminum foil or fill a one quart zip-lock bag one-half full of sample and seal the top of the bag leaving the remainder of the bag filled with air.

- b) Ensure that the sample temperature is between 15 to 25 degrees Celsius (59-77 degrees Fahrenheit).
- c) Allow aromatic hydrocarbon vapors to develop within the headspace of the sample jar or bag for 5 to 10 minutes. During this period, the sample jar should be shaken vigorously for 1 minute or the contents of the bag should be gently massaged to break up soil clods.
- d) If using a jar, pierce the aluminum foil seal with the probe of either a PID or FID organic vapor meter (OVM), and then record the highest (peak) measurement. If using a bag, carefully open one end of the bag and insert the probe of the OVM into the bag and re-seal the bag around the probe as much as possible to prevent vapors from escaping. Record the peak measurement. The OVM must be calibrated to assume a benzene response factor.

2. Soil Sampling Procedures For Laboratory Analysis

a. Sampling Procedures

Soil sampling for laboratory analysis should be conducted according to OCD approved industry standards or other OCD-approved procedures. Accepted OCD soil sampling procedures and laboratory analytical methods are as follows:

- i) Collect samples in clean, air-tight glass jars supplied by the laboratory which will conduct the analysis or from a reliable laboratory equipment supplier.
- ii) Label the samples with a unique code for each sample.
- iii) Cool and store samples with cold packs or on ice.
- iv) Promptly ship sample to the lab for analysis following chain of custody procedures.
- v) All samples must be analyzed within the holding times for the laboratory analytical method specified by EPA.

b. Analytical Methods

All soil samples must be analyzed using EPA methods, or by other OCD approved methods and must

be analyzed within the holding time specified by the method. Below are laboratory analytical methods commonly accepted by OCD for analysis of soil samples analyzed for petroleum related constituents. Additional analyses may be required if the substance leaked, spilled or released has been anything other than petroleum based fluids or wastes.

i) Benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and xylene

EPA Method 602/8020

ii) Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons

EPA Method 418.1, or; EPA Method Modified 8015

C. GROUND WATER SAMPLING

If an investigation of ground water quality is deemed necessary, it should be conducted according to OCD approved industry standards or other OCD-approved procedures. The following methods are standard OCD accepted methods which should be used to sample and analyze ground water at RCRA Subtitle C exempt sites (Note: The installation of monitor wells may not be required if the OCD approves of an alternate ground water investigation or sampling technique):

1. Monitor Well Installation/Location

One monitor well should be installed adjacent to and hydrologically down-gradient from the area of the leak, spill or release to determine if protectable fresh water has been impacted by the disposal activities. Additional monitor wells, located up-gradient and down-gradient of the leak, spill or release, may be required to delineate the full extent of ground water contamination if ground water underlying the leak, spill or release has been found to be contaminated.

2. Monitor Well Construction

a) Monitor well construction materials should be:

- i) selected according to industry standards;
- ii) chemically resistant to the contaminants to be monitored; and
- iii) installed without the use of glues/adhesives.
- b) Monitor wells should be constructed according to OCD approved industry standards to prevent migration of contaminants along the well casing. Monitor wells should be constructed with a minimum of fifteen

(15) feet of well screen. At least five (5) feet of the well screen should be above the water table to accommodate seasonal fluctuations in the static water table.

3. Monitor Well Development

When ground water is collected for analysis from monitoring wells, the wells should be developed prior to sampling. The objective of monitor well development is to repair damage done to the formation by the drilling operation so that the natural hydraulic properties of the formation are restored and to remove any fluids introduced into the formation that could compromise the integrity of the sample. Monitoring well development is accomplished by purging fluid from the well until the pH and specific conductivity have stabilized and turbidity has been reduced to the greatest extent possible.

4. Sampling Procedures

Ground water should be sampled according to OCD accepted standards or other OCD approved methods. Samples should be collected in clean containers supplied by the laboratory which will conduct the analysis or from a reliable laboratory equipment supplier. Samples for different analyses require specific types of containers. The laboratory can provide information on the types of containers and preservatives required for sample collection. The following procedures are accepted by OCD as standard sampling procedures:

- a) Monitor wells should be purged of a minimum of three well volumes of ground water using a clean bailer prior to sampling to ensure that the sample represents the quality of the ground water in the formation and not stagnant water in the well bore.
- b) Collect samples in appropriate sample containers containing the appropriate preservative for the analysis required. No bubbles or headspace should remain in the sample container.
- c) Label the sample containers with a unique code for each sample.
- d) Cool and store samples with cold packs or on ice.
- e) Promptly ship sample to the lab for analysis following chain of custody procedures.
- f) All samples must be analyzed within the holding times for the laboratory analytical method specified by EPA.
- 5. Ground Water Laboratory Analysis

Samples should be analyzed for potential ground water contaminants contained in the waste stream, as defined by the WQCC Regulations. All ground water samples must be analyzed using EPA methods, or by other OCD approved methods and must be analyzed within the holding time specified by the method. Below are OCD accepted laboratory analytical methods for analysis of ground water samples analyzed for petroleum related constituents. Additional analyses may be required if the substance leaked, spilled or release has been anything other than a petroleum based fluid or waste.

a. Analytical Methods

i.) Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene and Xylene

EPA Method 602/8020

ii.) Major Cations and Anions

Various EPA or standard methods

iii.) Heavy Metals

EPA Method 6010, or;

Various EPA 7000 series methods

iv.) Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons

EPA Method 8100

VI. <u>REMEDIATION</u>

The following discussion summarizes recommended techniques for remediation of contaminated soil and ground water as defined in Section IV.A. and IV.B. OCD approval for remediation of an individual leak, spill or release site is not required if the company is operating under an OCD approved spill containment plan. All procedures which deviate from the companies spill containment plan must be approved by OCD.

A. SOIL REMEDIATION

When RCRA Subtitle C exempt or RCRA nonhazardous petroleum contaminated soil requires remediation, it should be remediated and managed according to the criteria described below or by other OCD approved procedures which will remove, treat, or isolate contaminants in order to protect fresh waters, public health and the environment.

In lieu of remediation, OCD may accept an assessment of risk which demonstrates that the remaining contaminants will not pose a threat to present or foreseeable beneficial use of fresh waters, public health and the environment.

1. Contaminated Soils

Highly contaminated/saturated soils and unsaturated contaminated soils exceeding the standards described in Section IV.A. should be either:

- Excavated from the ground until a representative sample from the walls and bottom of the excavation is below the contaminant specific remediation level listed in Section IV.A.2.b or an alternate approved remediation level, or;
- b) Excavated to the maximum depth and horizontal extent practicable. Upon reaching this limit a sample should be taken from the walls and bottom of the excavation to determine the remaining levels of soil contaminants, or;
- c) Treated in place, as described in Section VI.A.2.b.ii. - Treatment of Soil in Place, until a representative sample is below the contaminant specific remediation level listed in Section IV.A.2.b, or an alternate approved remediation level, or;
- d) Managed according to an approved alternate method.

2. Soil Management Options

All soil management options must be approved by OCD. The following is a list of options for either on-site

treatment or off-site treatment and/or disposal of contaminated soils:

a. <u>Disposal</u>

Excavated soils may be disposed of at an off-site OCD approved or permitted facility.

- b. Soil Treatment and Remediation Techniques
 - i. Landfarming

Onetime applications of contaminated soils may be landfarmed on location by spreading the soil in an approximately six inch lift within a bermed area. Only soils which do not contain free liquids can be landfarmed. The soils should be disced regularly to enhance biodegradation of the contaminants. If necessary, upon approval by OCD, moisture and nutrients may be added to the soil to enhance aerobic biodegradation.

In some high risk areas an impermeable liner may be required to prevent leaching of contaminants into the underlying soil.

Landfarming sites that will receive soils from more than one location are considered centralized sites and must be approved separately by the OCD prior to operation.

ii. Insitu Soil Treatment

Insitu treatment may be accomplished using vapor venting, bioremediation or other approved treatment systems.

iii. Alternate Methods

The OCD encourages alternate methods of soil remediation including, but not limited to, active soil aeration, composting, bioremediation, solidification, and thermal treatment.

B. GROUND WATER REMEDIATION

1. Remediation Requirements

Ground water remediation activities will be reviewed and approved by OCD on a case by case basis prior to commencement of remedial activities. When contaminated ground water exceeds WQCC ground water standards, it should be remediated according to the criteria described below.

a. Free Phase Contamination

Free phase floating product should be removed from ground water through the use of skimming devices, total-fluid type pumps, or other OCD-approved methods.

b. Dissolved Phase Contamination

Ground water contaminated with dissolved phase constituents in excess of WQCC ground water standards can be remediated by either removing and treating the ground water, or treating the ground water in place. If treated waters are to be disposed of onto or below the ground surface, a discharge plan must be submitted and approved by OCD.

c. Alternate Methods

The OCD encourages other methods of ground water remediation including, but not limited to, air sparging and bioremediation. Use of alternate methods must be approved by OCD prior to implementation.

VII. TERMINATION OF REMEDIAL ACTION

Remedial action may be terminated when the criteria described below have been met:

A. SOIL

Contaminated soils requiring remediation should be remediated so that residual contaminant concentrations are below the recommended soil remediation action level for a particular site as specified in Section IV.A.2.b.

If soil action levels cannot practicably be attained, an evaluation of risk may be performed and provided to OCD for approval showing that the remaining contaminants will not pose a threat to present or foreseeable beneficial use of fresh water, public health and the environment.

B. GROUND WATER

A ground water remedial action may be terminated if all recoverable free phase product has been removed, and the concentration of the remaining dissolved phase contaminants in the ground water does not exceed New Mexico WQCC water quality standards or background levels. Termination of remedial action will be approved by OCD upon a demonstration of completion of remediation as described in above.

VIII.FINAL CLOSURE

Upon termination of any required remedial actions (Section VII.) the area of a leak, spill or release may be closed by backfilling any excavated areas, contouring to provide drainage away from the site, revegetating the area or other OCD approved methods.

IX. FINAL REPORT

Upon completion of remedial activities a final report summarizing all actions taken to mitigate environmental damage related to the leak, spill or release will be provided to OCD for approval.

APPENDIX A

APPENDIX B



APPENDIX D PHOTOGRAPHIC DOCUMENTATION




PHOTO NO. 4 - LEAKING FLOW LINE

PHOTO NO. 3 – HYDROCARBON CONTAMINATION AREA

APPENDIX E MATERIAL SAFETY DATA SHEETS

NUGREEN SPECIALTY - SB-1

Effective Date: 1/03/04 Name and Address:

Revised: 01/03/04

Phone: (248)-330-9029 Emergency Phone: CHEMTREC (800)-424-9300

NUGREEN SPECIALTY, INC. 990 HIGHWAY 287 N, STE. 106 MANSFIELD, TX. 76063

> Chemical Name: NOT APPLICABLE Synonyms: NONE D.O.T. Hazard Class: PRODUCT IS NOT DOT REGULATED D.O.T. Shipping Name: PRODUCT IS NOT DOT REGULATED Formula: SEE SECTION II Chemical Family: N.A. ID No.: NONE NFPA Profile: Health 1; Flammability 0; Reactivity 0

SECTION 2 :=====INGREDIENTS A. Hazardous Ingredients			==
	%	TLV	
NONE KNOWN	N.A.	N.A.	
B. Other Ingredients			
	%*	TLV	
INGREDIENTS NOT PRECISELY IDENTIFIED ARE			
NON-HAZARDOUS & PROPRIETARY.			
INGREDIENTS OF <1% HAVE BEEN ADDED TO A			
NON-HAZARDOUS LIQUID ORGANIC SUBSTRATE			
ACTIVE COMPONENTS: PROPRIETARY	>15%	NONE ESTAB.	
ENZYME MIXTURE	<5%	NONE ESTAB.	
WATER, CAS#7732-18-5	<85%	NONE ESTAB.	

Boiling Point:	~212°F	Specific Gravity:	~1.0	
Percent Volatile (volume):		pH (undiluted):		
Vapor Pressure (mm Hg):		Solubility in Water:	99%	
Vapor Density (air=1):		Evaporation Rate (water=1):		
Freezing Point		Viscosity:	Similar to Water	

Appearance and Odor: DARK BROWN/BLACK LIQUID, MILD EARTHY ODOR.

NUGREEN SPECIATLY - SB-1

Flash Point (method used): NOT APPLICABLE Flammable Limits in Air (lower): N.A.

(upper): N.A.

Extinguishing Media: SOLUTION IS NOT FLAMMABLE. IF INVOLVED IN A FIRE, USE WATER.

Special Fire Fighting Procedures: NONE. PRODUCT WILL NOT BURN.

Unusual Fire or Explosion Hazards:

NONE. PRODUCT WILL NOT BURN.

A. TLV and source:	N.A.
B. Effects of a Single Overexposur	re by
1. Ingestion:	MAY CAUSE SICKNESS IF INGESTED IN LARGE
	QUANTITIES.
2. Inhalation:	LOW ORDER OF TOXICITY. MAY CAUSE MILD
	DISCOMFORT.
3. Skin Contact:	SLIGHT REDNESS ON HANDS AND FORARMS IF
	INDIVIDUAL HAS HISTORY OF DERMAL ALLERGIC
	REACTIONS.
4. Eye Contact:	MAY CAUSE MILD TRANSIENT IRRITATION.
C. Cancer Statement: THIS P	RODUCT (OR ANY COMPONENT AT A CONCENTRATION O

C. Cancer Statement: THIS PRODUCT (OR ANY COMPONENT AT A CONCENTRATION OF 0.1% OR GREATER) IS NOT LISTED BY THE NTP, LARC, OSHA OR EPA AS A CARCINOGEN. IT ALSO CONTAINS NO KNOWN TERATOGENS, REPRODUCTIVE TOXINS OR SENSITIZERS.

D. Emergency and First Aid Procedure for

1. Ingestion:	GIVE TWO GLASSES OF WATER. DO NOT INDUCE	
	VOMITING GET PROMPT MEDICAL ATTENTION.	
2. Inhalation:	IMMEDIATELY REMOVE VICTIM FROM EXPOSURE.	
	ADMINISTER ARTIFICIAL RESPIRATION IF BREATHING HAS	
	STOPPED. KEEP AT REST. CALL FOR PROMPT MEDICAL	
	ATTENTION.	
3. Skin Contact:	WASH WITH LARGE AMOUNTS OF WATER; USE SOAP IF	
	AVAILABLE. IF IRRITATION PERSISTS, SEEK MEDICAL	
	ATTENTION.	
4. Eye Contact:	IMMEDIATELY FLUSH WITH LARGE AMOUNTS OF WATER	
	UNTIL IRRITATION SUBSIDES. IF IRRITATION PERSISTS,	
	GET MEDICAL ATTENTION.	

NUGREEN SPECIALTY - SB-1

	AGITATION OR SPRAY, USE A MIST RESPIRATOR
	APPROVED BY NIOSH. DO NOT USE SINGLE-USE TYPE.
Ventilation:	VENTILATION SHOULD BE PROVIDED TO CONTROL
	WORKER EXPOSURES AND PREVENT HEALTH RISK;
Protective Gloves:	CHEMICAL RESISTANT GLOVES.
Eye Protection:	GOGGLES OR SAFETY GLASSES WITH SIDE SHIELDS.
Other Protective Equipment:	EYEWASH STATION IN AREA OF USE.

Product Stability: Conditions to Avoid:	STABLE STRONG ACIDS OR ALKALI COMPOUNDS MAY INACTIVATE BIO CULTURES.
Incompatibility:	STRONG ACIDS OR ALKALI COMPOUNDS
Hazard Combustion or	
Decomposition Products:	N.A.
Hazardous Polymerization:	WILL NOT OCCUR.

A. Water Spill: PREVENT ADDITIONAL DISCHARGE OF MATERIAL, IF POSSIBLE TO DO SO WITHOUT HAZARD. SINCE N-P-K IS A FERTILIZER, IT MAY PROMOTE ENTROPHICATION IN WATERWAYS. CONSULT AN EXPERT ON DISPOSAL OF RECOVERED MATERIAL, AND ENSURE CONFORMITY TO ALL FEDERAL, STATE, AND LOCAL DISPOSAL REGULATIONS.

B. Land Spill: PREVENT ADDITIONAL DISCHARGE OF MATERIAL, IF POSSIBLE TO DO SO WITHOUT HAZARD. FOR SMALL SPILLS, IMPLEMENT CLEANUP PROCEDURES; FOR LARGE SPILLS, IMPLEMENT CLEANUP PROCEDURES AND, IF IN PUBLIC AREA, ADVISE AUTHORITIES. PREVENT LIQUID FROM ENTERING SEWERS, WATERCOURSES, OR LOW AREAS. CONTAIN SPILLED LIOUID WITH SAND OR EARTH. RECOVER BY PUMPING OR WITH A SUITABLE ABSORBENT. CONSULT AN EXPERT ON DISPOSAL OF RECOVERED MATERIAL AND ENSURE CONFORMITY TO ALL FEDERAL, STATE, AND LOCAL DISPOSAL REGULATIONS.

NUGREEN SPECIALTY - SB-1

A. Handling and

Storage: KEEP CONTAINER CLOSED. BOTH OPEN AND HANDLE CONTAINERS WITH CARE. STORE IN A COOL, WELL VENTILATED PLACE AWAY FROM INCOMPATIBLE MATERIALS.

> STORAGE TEMPERATURE: 0°F MIN TO 180°F MAX. LOADING TEMPERATURE: 0°F MIN TO 180°F MAX. STORAGE PRESSURE: ATMOSPHERIC

B. Other Precautions: NONE

PLEASE CALL THE NON-EMERGENCY TELEPHONE NUMBER ON PAGE ONE IF THIS INFORMATION IS REQUIRED.

PLEASE CALL THE NON-EMERGENCY TELEPHONE NUMBER ON PAGE ONE IF THIS INFORMATION IS REQUIRED.

The data contained in this Material Safety Data Sheet has been prepared based upon an evaluation of the ingredients contained in the product, their concentration in the product and potential interactions. The information is offered in good faith and is believed to be accurate. It is furnished to the customer who is urged to study it carefully to become aware of hazards, if any, in the storage, handling, use and disposal of the product; and to insure their employees are properly informed and advised of all safety precautions required. The information is furnished for compliance with the "Occupational Safety and Health Act" of 1970, the "Hazards Communication Act" of 1983 as well as various other Federal, State and Local regulations. Use or dissemination of all or part of this information for any other purpose is illegal.

APPENDIX F ANALYTICAL LABORATORY RESULTS

Analytical Report 457782

for

Environmental Compliance Associates

Project Manager: Aaron Edrington Jal,New Mexico #49

0213-07

22-FEB-13

Collected By: Client





12600 West I-20 East Odessa, Texas 79765

Xenco-Houston (EPA Lab code: TX00122): Texas (T104704215-10-6-TX), Arizona (AZ0765), Arkansas (08-039-0), Connecticut (PH-0102), Florida (E871002) Illinois (002082), Indiana (C-TX-02), Iowa (392), Kansas (E-10380), Kentucky (45), Louisiana (03054) New Hampshire (297408), New Jersey (TX007), New York (11763), Oklahoma (9218), Pennsylvania (68-03610) Rhode Island (LAO00312), USDA (S-44102), DoD (L11-54)

Xenco-Atlanta (EPA Lab Code: GA00046): Florida (E87429), North Carolina (483), South Carolina (98015), Kentucky (85), DoD (L10-135) Louisiana (04176), USDA (P330-07-00105)

> Xenco-Tampa Mobile (EPA Lab code: FL01212): Florida (E84900) Xenco-Lakeland: Florida (E84098) Xenco-Odessa (EPA Lab code: TX00158): Texas (T104704400-TX) Xenco-Dallas (EPA Lab code: TX01468): Texas (T104704295-TX) Xenco Phoenix (EPA Lab Code: AZ00901): Arizona (AZ0757) Xenco-Phoenix Mobile (EPA Lab code: AZ00901): Arizona (AZM757) Xenco Tucson (EPA Lab code: AZ00989): Arizona (AZ0758)



22-FEB-13

Project Manager: **Aaron Edrington Environmental Compliance Associates** P.O.Box 770005 Houston, TX 77215

Reference: XENCO Report No(s): 457782 Jal,New Mexico #49 Project Address: Jal.NM

Aaron Edrington:

We are reporting to you the results of the analyses performed on the samples received under the project name referenced above and identified with the XENCO Report Number(s) 457782. All results being reported under this Report Number apply to the samples analyzed and properly identified with a Laboratory ID number. Subcontracted analyses are identified in this report with either the NELAC certification number of the subcontract lab in the analyst ID field, or the complete subcontracted report attached to this report.

Unless otherwise noted in a Case Narrative, all data reported in this Analytical Report are in compliance with NELAC standards. The uncertainty of measurement associated with the results of analysis reported is available upon request. Should insufficient sample be provided to the laboratory to meet the method and NELAC Matrix Duplicate and Matrix Spike requirements, then the data will be analyzed, evaluated and reported using all other available quality control measures.

The validity and integrity of this report will remain intact as long as it is accompanied by this letter and reproduced in full, unless written approval is granted by XENCO Laboratories. This report will be filed for at least 5 years in our archives after which time it will be destroyed without further notice, unless otherwise arranged with you. The samples received, and described as recorded in Report No. 457782 will be filed for 60 days, and after that time they will be properly disposed without further notice, unless otherwise arranged with you. We reserve the right to return to you any unused samples, extracts or solutions related to them if we consider so necessary (e.g., samples identified as hazardous waste, sample sizes exceeding analytical standard practices, controlled substances under regulated protocols, etc).

We thank you for selecting XENCO Laboratories to serve your analytical needs. If you have any questions concerning this report, please feel free to contact us at any time.

Respectfully, Nul Ct

Nicholas Straccione Project Manager

Recipient of the Prestigious Small Business Administration Award of Excellence in 1994. Certified and approved by numerous States and Agencies. A Small Business and Minority Status Company that delivers SERVICE and QUALITY

Houston - Dallas - Odessa - San Antonio - Tampa - Lakeland - Atlanta - Phoenix - Oklahoma - Latin America



Sample Cross Reference 457782



Environmental Compliance Associates, Houston, TX

Jal,New Mexico #49

Sample Id	Matrix	Date Collected	Sample Depth	Lab Sample Id
#1	S	02-18-13 08:50	- 6 In	457782-001
BG	S	02-18-13 09:05	- 1 ft	457782-002
#2	S	02-18-13 09:30	- 1 ft	457782-003
#3	S	02-18-13 09:55	- 10 In	457782-004
#4	S	02-18-13 10:00	- 1 ft	457782-005
#5	S	02-18-13 09:35	- 2 ft	457782-006
#6	S	02-18-13 09:45	- 8 ft	457782-007
#7	S	02-18-13 10:20	- 1 ft	457782-008
#8	S	02-18-13 10:50	- 1 ft	457782-009



CASE NARRATIVE

Client Name: Environmental Compliance Associates Project Name: Jal,New Mexico #49



 Project ID:
 0213-07

 Work Order Number(s):
 457782

2

Report Date: 22-FEB-13 Date Received: 02/18/2013

Sample receipt non conformances and comments:

Sample receipt non conformances and comments per sample:

None

Analytical non conformances and comments: Batch: LBA-907439 Inorganic Anions by EPA 300/300.1 E300

Batch 907439, Chloride recovered below QC limits in the Matrix Spike and Matrix Spike Duplicate. Samples affected are: 457782-002, -007, -001, -004, -005, -003, -009, -006, -008. The Laboratory Control Sample for Chloride is within laboratory Control Limits

XENCO Laboratories Contact: Aaron Edrington

Project Id: 0213-07

Certificate of Analysis Summary 457782 Environmental Compliance Associates, Houston, TX Project Name: Jal, New Mexico #49



: Mon Feb-18-13 02:30 pm	22-FEB-13	
Date Received in Lab:	Report Date:	

					Project Manager:]	Nicholas Straccione	
	Lab Id:	457782-001	457782-002	457782-003	457782-004	457782-005	457782-006
Aunturic Donnoted	Field Id:	#1	BG	#2	#3	#4	#5
naisanhay sistimuty	Depth:	6 In	1 ft	1 ft	10 In	1 ft	2 ft
	Matrix:	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL
	Sampled:	Feb-18-13 08:50	Feb-18-13 09:05	Feb-18-13 09:30	Feb-18-13 09:55	Feb-18-13 10:00	Feb-18-13 09:35
BTEX by EPA 8021B	Extracted:	Feb-20-13 07:35	Feb-20-13 07:35	Feb-20-13 07:35	Feb-20-13 07:35	Feb-20-13 07:35	Feb-20-13 07:35
	Analyzed:	Feb-20-13 12:30	Feb-20-13 10:51	Feb-20-13 14:40	Feb-20-13 14:24	Feb-20-13 13:03	Feb-20-13 14:57
	Units/RL:	mg/kg RL	mg/kg RL	mg/kg RL	mg/kg RL	mg/kg RL	mg/kg RL
Benzene		ND 0.00107	ND 0.00102	ND 0.00110	ND 0.00112	ND 0.00114	ND 0.00109
Toluene		ND 0.00215	ND 0.00204	ND 0.00219	0.00908 0.00224	ND 0.00228	ND 0.00218
Ethylbenzene		0.00139 0.00107	ND 0.00102	0.00306 0.00110	0.00670 0.00112	ND 0.00114	ND 0.00109
m_p-Xylenes		0.00748 0.00215	ND 0.00204	0.00646 0.00219	0.0109 0.00224	ND 0.00228	ND 0.00218
o-Xylene		0.00318 0.00107	ND 0.00102	0.00234 0.00110	0.00485 0.00112	ND 0.00114	ND 0.00109
Total Xylenes		0.0107 0.00107	ND 0.00102	0.00880 0.00110	0.0158 0.00112	ND 0.00114	ND 0.00109
Total BTEX		0.0121 0.00107	ND 0.00102	0.0119 0.00110	0.0315 0.00112	ND 0.00114	ND 0.00109
Inorganic Anions by EPA 300/300.1	Extracted:	Feb-20-13 15:28	Feb-20-13 16:19	Feb-20-13 16:36	Feb-20-13 16:53	Feb-20-13 19:10	Feb-20-13 19:27
SUB: E871002	Analyzed:	Feb-20-13 15:28	Feb-20-13 16:19	Feb-20-13 16:36	Feb-20-13 16:53	Feb-20-13 19:10	Feb-20-13 19:27
	Units/RL:	mg/kg RL	mg/kg RL	mg/kg RL	mg/kg RL	mg/kg RL	mg/kg RL
Chloride		5120 10.0	ND 1.00	7110 10.0	9960 10.0	12200 20.0	2300 10.0
Percent Moisture	Extracted:						
	Analyzed:	Feb-19-13 16:45	Feb-19-13 16:45	Feb-19-13 16:45	Feb-19-13 16:45	Feb-19-13 16:45	Feb-19-13 16:45
	Units/RL:	% RL	% RL	% RL	% RL	% RL	% RL
Percent Moisture		7.10 1.00	2.82 1.00	9.58 1.00	11.3 1.00	12.6 1.00	9.09 1.00
TPH By SW8015B Mod	Extracted:	Feb-21-13 09:40	Feb-21-13 09:40	Feb-21-13 09:40	Feb-21-13 09:40	Feb-21-13 09:40	Feb-21-13 09:40
	Analyzed:	Feb-21-13 15:47	Feb-21-13 16:15	Feb-21-13 16:41	Feb-21-13 17:09	Feb-21-13 17:35	Feb-21-13 18:01
	Units/RL:	mg/kg RL	mg/kg RL	mg/kg RL	mg/kg RL	mg/kg RL	mg/kg RL
C6-C10 Gasoline Range Hydrocarbons		ND 16.1	ND 15.4	ND 16.5	ND 16.8	ND 17.1	ND 16.5
C10-C28 Diesel Range Hydrocarbons		31.8 16.1	ND 15.4	46.0 16.5	23.7 16.8	ND 17.1	20.2 16.5
Total TPH		21.0 16.1	NIN 15.4	16.0 16.5	071 200	171 171	

This analytical report, and the entire data package it represents, has been made for your exclusive and confidential use. The interpretations and results expressed throughout this analytical report represent the best jughment of XENCO Laboratories. XENCO Laboratories assumes no responsibility and makes no warranty to the end use of the data hereby presented. Our liability is limited to the amount invoiced for this work order unless otherwise agreed to in writing.

Houston - Dallas - San Antonio - Atlanta - Tampa - Boca Raton - Latin America - Odessa - Corpus Christi

Nul Ct

Nicholas Straccione

Project Manager

Final 1.000



Contact: Aaron Edrington

Project Id: 0213-07

Certificate of Analysis Summary 457782 Environmental Compliance Associates, Houston, TX Project Name: Jal,New Mexico #49



 Date Received in Lab:
 Mon Feb-18-13 02:30 pm

 Report Date:
 22-FEB-13

 Project Manager:
 Nicholas Straccione

					-		0	
	Lab Id:	457782-007		457782-008		457782-009		
	Field Id:	9#		L#		#8		
Analysis Kequesieu	Depth:	8 ft	-	1 ft		1 ft		
	Matrix:	SOIL	_	SOIL		SOIL		
	Sampled:	Feb-18-13 09:45	:45	Feb-18-13 10:20	20	Feb-18-13 10:50	4	
BTEX by EPA 8021B	Extracted:	Feb-20-13 07:35	:35	Feb-20-13 07:35	35	Feb-20-13 07:35		
	Analyzed:	Feb-20-13 16:02	:02	Feb-20-13 15:30	30	Feb-20-13 15:46		
	Units/RL:	mg/kg	RL	mg/kg	RL	mg/kg RL		
Benzene		0 QN	0.00113	ND 0.0	0.00107	ND 0.00102		
Toluene		0 QN	0.00227	ND 0.0	0.00215	ND 0.00204		
Ethylbenzene		0.00185 0	0.00113	ND 0.0	0.00107	ND 0.00102		
m_p-Xylenes		0.00376 0	0.00227	ND 0.0	0.00215	ND 0.00204		
o-Xylene		0 QN	0.00113	ND 0.0	0.00107	ND 0.00102		
Total Xylenes		0.00376 0	0.00113	ND 0.0	0.00107	ND 0.00102		
Total BTEX		0.00561 0	0.00113	ND 0.0	0.00107	ND 0.00102		
Inorganic Anions by EPA 300/300.1	Extracted:	Feb-20-13 19:44	:44	Feb-20-13 20:01	01	Feb-20-13 20:18		
SUB: E871002	Analyzed:	Feb-20-13 19:44	:44	Feb-20-13 20:01	01	Feb-20-13 20:18		
	Units/RL:	mg/kg	RL	mg/kg	RL	mg/kg RL		
Chloride		0669	10.0	5430	10.0	7.03 1.00		
Percent Moisture	Extracted:		-					
	Analyzed:	Feb-19-13 16:45	:45	Feb-19-13 16:45	15	Feb-19-13 16:45		
	Units/RL:	%	RL	%	RL	% RL		
Percent Moisture		11.9	1.00	6.97	1.00	1.97 1.00		
TPH By SW8015B Mod	Extracted:	Feb-21-13 09:40	:40	Feb-21-13 09:40	40	Feb-21-13 09:40		
	Analyzed:	Feb-21-13 18:27	:27	Feb-21-13 18:54	54	Feb-21-13 19:48		
	Units/RL:	mg/kg	RL	mg/kg	RL	mg/kg RL		
C6-C10 Gasoline Range Hydrocarbons		ND	17.0	ND	16.1	ND 15.3		
C10-C28 Diesel Range Hydrocarbons		56.2	17.0	ND	16.1	19.1 15.3		
Total TPH		56.2	17.0	QN	16.1	19.1 15.3		

This analytical report, and the entire data package it represents, has been made for your exclusive and confidential use. The interpretations and results expressed throughout this analytical report represent the best judgment of XENCO Laboratories. XENCO Laboratories assumes no responsibility and makes no warranty to the end use of the data hereby presented. Our liability is limited to the amount invoiced for this work order unless otherwise agreed to in writing.

Houston - Dallas - San Antonio - Atlanta - Tampa - Boca Raton - Latin America - Odessa - Corpus Christi

Nicholas Straccione Project Manager

Mul a

Page 6 of 20



Flagging Criteria

- X In our quality control review of the data a QC deficiency was observed and flagged as noted. MS/MSD recoveries were found to be outside of the laboratory control limits due to possible matrix /chemical interference, or a concentration of target analyte high enough to affect the recovery of the spike concentration. This condition could also affect the relative percent difference in the MS/MSD.
- **B** A target analyte or common laboratory contaminant was identified in the method blank. Its presence indicates possible field or laboratory contamination.
- **D** The sample(s) were diluted due to targets detected over the highest point of the calibration curve, or due to matrix interference. Dilution factors are included in the final results. The result is from a diluted sample.
- E The data exceeds the upper calibration limit; therefore, the concentration is reported as estimated.
- F RPD exceeded lab control limits.
- J The target analyte was positively identified below the quantitation limit and above the detection limit.
- U Analyte was not detected.
- L The LCS data for this analytical batch was reported below the laboratory control limits for this analyte. The department supervisor and QA Director reviewed data. The samples were either reanalyzed or flagged as estimated concentrations.
- **H** The LCS data for this analytical batch was reported above the laboratory control limits. Supporting QC Data were reviewed by the Department Supervisor and QA Director. Data were determined to be valid for reporting.
- K Sample analyzed outside of recommended hold time.
- JN A combination of the "N" and the "J" qualifier. The analysis indicates that the analyte is "tentatively identified" and the associated numerical value may not be consistent with the amount actually present in the environmental sample.
- * Surrogate recovered outside laboratory control limit.

BRL Below Reporting Limit.

RL Reporting Limit

MDL Method Detection Limit SDL Sample Detection Limit

PQL Practical Quantitation Limit MQL Method Quantitation Limit

DL Method Detection Limit

NC Non-Calculable

- + NELAC certification not offered for this compound.
- * (Next to analyte name or method description) = Outside XENCO's scope of NELAC accreditation

Recipient of the Prestigious Small Business Administration Award of Excellence in 1994.

Certified and approved by numerous States and Agencies.

LOD Limit of Detection

LOQ Limit of Quantitation

A Small Business and Minority Status Company that delivers SERVICE and QUALITY

Houston - Dallas - San Antonio - Atlanta - Midland/Odessa	- Tampa/Lakeland - Phoenix	- Latin America
	Phone	Fax
Greenbriar Dr, Stafford, TX 77477	(281) 240-4200	(281) 240-4280
Harry Hines Blvd, Dallas, TX 75220	(214) 902 0300	(214) 351-9139
Blackberry Drive, San Antonio TX 78238	(210) 509-3334	(210) 509-3335

4143 Greenbriar Dr, Stafford, TX 77477 9701 Harry Hines Blvd, Dallas, TX 75220 5332 Blackberry Drive, San Antonio TX 78238 2505 North Falkenburg Rd, Tampa, FL 33619 12600 West I-20 East, Odessa, TX 79765 6017 Financial Drive, Norcross, GA 30071 3725 E. Atlanta Ave, Phoenix, AZ 85040

Phone	Fax
(281) 240-4200	(281) 240-4280
(214) 902 0300	(214) 351-9139
(210) 509-3334	(210) 509-3335
(813) 620-2000	(813) 620-2033
(432) 563-1800	(432) 563-1713
(770) 449-8800	(770) 449-5477
(602) 437-0330	

Final 1.000



Project Name: Jal, New Mexico #49

ork Orders : 457782 Lab Batch #: 907379	2, Sample: 457782-002 / SMP	Batc	Project II h: 1 Matrix:			
Units: mg/kg	Date Analyzed: 02/20/13 10:51	SURROGATE RECOVERY STUDY				
BTE	X by EPA 8021B Analytes	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flag
1,4-Difluorobenzene		0.0313	0.0300	104	80-120	
4-Bromofluorobenzene		0.0299	0.0300	100	80-120	
Lab Batch #: 907379	Sample: 457782-001 / SMP	Bate	h: 1 Matrix:	Soil		
Units: mg/kg	Date Analyzed: 02/20/13 12:30	SU	RROGATE RE	COVERY	STUDY	
BTE	X by EPA 8021B Analytes	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flag
1,4-Difluorobenzene		0.0253	0.0300	84	80-120	
4-Bromofluorobenzene		0.0294	0.0300	98	80-120	
Lab Batch #: 907379	Sample: 457782-005 / SMP	Batc	h: 1 Matrix:	Soil		
Units: mg/kg	Date Analyzed: 02/20/13 13:03	SU	RROGATE RE	COVERY	STUDY	
BTE	X by EPA 8021B Analytes	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flag
1,4-Difluorobenzene		0.0265	0.0300	88	80-120	
4-Bromofluorobenzene		0.0258	0.0300	86	80-120	8.11
Lab Batch #: 907379	Sample: 457782-004 / SMP	Batc	h: 1 Matrix:	Soil		421
Units: mg/kg	Date Analyzed: 02/20/13 14:24	SU	RROGATE RI	COVERY	STUDY	1.8
BTE	X by EPA 8021B Analytes	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flag
1.4-Difluorobenzene	1 mary cos	0.0299	0.0300	100	80-120	
4-Bromofluorobenzene		0.0325	0.0300	108	80-120	-
Lab Batch #: 907379	Sample: 457782-003 / SMP	Batc	h: 1 Matrix	Soil		
Units: mg/kg	Date Analyzed: 02/20/13 14:40	SU	RROGATE RI	ECOVERY	STUDY	
BTE	X by EPA 8021B Analytes	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flag
1,4-Difluorobenzene		0.0319	0.0300	106	80-120	1.2
4-Bromofluorobenzene		0.0303	0.0300	101	80-120	

* Surrogate outside of Laboratory QC limits

** Surrogates outside limits; data and surrogates confirmed by reanalysis

*** Poor recoveries due to dilution

Surrogate Recovery [D] = 100 * A / B

XENCO
Laboratories

Project Name: Jal,New Mexico #49

ork Orders : 457782 Lab Batch #: 907379	2, Sample: 457782-006 / SMP	Bate		D: 0213-07		
Units: mg/kg	Date Analyzed: 02/20/13 14:57	SU	RROGATE R	ECOVERY	STUDY	
BTE	X by EPA 8021B	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flag
1 4 Different service	Analytes	0.02(0	0.0200	87	80-120	
1,4-Difluorobenzene 4-Bromofluorobenzene		0.0260	0.0300	87	80-120	
	0 1 457792 009 / SMD				00 120	
Lab Batch #: 907379	Sample: 457782-008 / SMP	Batc	h: 1 Matrix RROGATE R		STUDY	
Units: mg/kg	Date Analyzed: 02/20/13 15:30	50	KROGATE K	LCOVERI	STUDI	
BTE	X by EPA 8021B Analytes	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flag
1,4-Difluorobenzene		0.0305	0.0300	102	80-120	1
4-Bromofluorobenzene		0.0325	0.0300	108	80-120	, U., 51
Lab Batch #: 907379	Sample: 457782-009 / SMP	Batc	h: 1 Matrix	:Soil		
Units: mg/kg	Date Analyzed: 02/20/13 15:46	SU	RROGATE R	ECOVERY	STUDY	
BTE	X by EPA 8021B Analytes	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flag
1,4-Difluorobenzene		0.0249	0.0300	83	80-120	
4-Bromofluorobenzene		0.0242	0.0300	81	80-120	
Lab Batch #: 907379	Sample: 457782-007 / SMP	Batc	h: 1 Matrix	s:Soil		
Units: mg/kg	Date Analyzed: 02/20/13 16:02	SU	RROGATE R	ECOVERY	STUDY	2
BTE	X by EPA 8021B Analytes	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flag
1,4-Difluorobenzene		0.0267	0.0300	89	80-120	
4-Bromofluorobenzene		0.0266	0.0300	89	80-120	
Lab Batch #: 907546	Sample: 457782-001 / SMP	Batc	h: 1 Matri	s:Soil	1	
Units: mg/kg	Date Analyzed: 02/21/13 15:47		RROGATE R		STUDY	
TPH	By SW8015B Mod Analytes	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flag
1-Chlorooctane		89.0	99.5	89	70-135	
- Chief County	_	47.9	49.8	96	70-135	

* Surrogate outside of Laboratory QC limits

** Surrogates outside limits; data and surrogates confirmed by reanalysis

*** Poor recoveries due to dilution

Surrogate Recovery [D] = 100 * A / B

XENCO
Laboratories

Project Name: Jal,New Mexico #49

ork Orders : 457782 Lab Batch #: 907546	Sample: 457782-002 / SMP	Batc	h: 1 Matrix			
Units: mg/kg	Date Analyzed: 02/21/13 16:15	SURROGATE RECOVERY STUDY				
ТРН І	By SW8015B Mod Analytes	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flag
1-Chlorooctane	Analytes	87.5	100	88	70-135	
o-Terphenyl		45.6	50.0	91	70-135	
	a 157700.000 / CD /D	the second s			70-155	
Lab Batch #: 907546	Sample: 457782-003 / SMP	Batc				
Units: mg/kg	Date Analyzed: 02/21/13 16:41	SU	RROGATE R	ECOVERY	STUDY	
TPH I	By SW8015B Mod Analytes	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flag
1-Chlorooctane		93.8	99.5	94	70-135	
o-Terphenyl		50.2	49.8	101	70-135	
Lab Batch #: 907546	Sample: 457782-004 / SMP	Batc	h: 1 Matrix	u Soil		_
			RROGATE R		STUDY	-
Units: mg/kg	Date Analyzed: 02/21/13 17:09		1	LCOVERT		-
TPH I	By SW8015B Mod Analytes	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flag
1-Chlorooctane		88.6	99.5	89	70-135	1
o-Terphenyl		47.2	49.8	95	70-135	
Lab Batch #: 907546	Sample: 457782-005 / SMP	Bate	ch: 1 Matrix	:Soil		4.4
Units: mg/kg	Date Analyzed: 02/21/13 17:35	SU	RROGATE R	ECOVERY	STUDY	
ТРН І	By SW8015B Mod	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flag
111 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Analytes					a
1-Chlorooctane		89.4	99.7	90	70-135	-
o-Terphenyl		47.8	49.9	96	70-135	1000
Lab Batch #: 907546	Sample: 457782-006 / SMP	Bato				
Units: mg/kg	Date Analyzed: 02/21/13 18:01	SU	RROGATE R	ECOVERY	STUDY	1.8
ТРН І	By SW8015B Mod	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R	Control Limits %R	Flag
	Analytes			[D]	1.1.1.1	
1-Chlorooctane	·	92.2	100	92	70-135	5.8
o-Terphenyl		49.9	50.0	100	70-135	

* Surrogate outside of Laboratory QC limits

** Surrogates outside limits; data and surrogates confirmed by reanalysis

*** Poor recoveries due to dilution

Surrogate Recovery [D] = 100 * A / B

XENCO	
Laboratories	

Project Name: Jal,New Mexico #49

Vork Orders : 457782, Lab Batch #: 907546	Sample: 457782-007 / SMP	Batch:		D: 0213-07		
Units: mg/kg	Date Analyzed: 02/21/13 18:27	SUR	ROGATE R	ECOVERY	STUDY	
	SW8015B Mod Analytes	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flags
1-Chlorooctane		91.6	100	92	70-135	
o-Terphenyl	21	48.9	50.0	98	70-135	
Lab Batch #: 907546	Sample: 457782-008 / SMP	Batch:	1 Matrix	:Soil	1.12	
Units: mg/kg	Date Analyzed: 02/21/13 18:54	SUR	ROGATE R	ECOVERY	STUDY	
	SW8015B Mod	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flags
1-Chlorooctane		89.8	99.7	90	70-135	
o-Terphenyl		47.7	49.9	96	70-135	
Lab Batch #: 907546	Sample: 457782-009 / SMP	Batch:	1 Matrix	:Soil		
Units: mg/kg	Date Analyzed: 02/21/13 19:48	SUR	ROGATE R	ECOVERY	STUDY	
	SW8015B Mod Analytes	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flag
1-Chlorooctane	initially cos	87.9	99.9	88	70-135	
o-Terphenyl		45.0	50.0	90	70-135	
Lab Batch #: 907379	Sample: 634069-1-BLK / BLK	Batch:	1 Matrix	:Solid		
Units: mg/kg	Date Analyzed: 02/20/13 09:16		ROGATE R	ECOVERY	STUDY	
	by EPA 8021B Analytes	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flag
1,4-Difluorobenzene		0.0258	0.0300	86	80-120	
4-Bromofluorobenzene		0.0350	0.0300	117	80-120	
Lab Batch #: 907546	Sample: 634158-1-BLK / BLK	Batch:	1 Matrix	:Solid	1.4	stat
Units: mg/kg	Date Analyzed: 02/21/13 14:25	SUR	ROGATE R	ECOVERY	STUDY	36,22
	r SW8015B Mod Analytes	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flag
1-Chlorooctane	-	94.2	99.7	94	70-135	
o-Terphenyl		50.5	49.9	101	70-135	

* Surrogate outside of Laboratory QC limits

** Surrogates outside limits; data and surrogates confirmed by reanalysis

*** Poor recoveries due to dilution

Surrogate Recovery [D] = 100 * A / B



Project Name: Jal,New Mexico #49

ork Orders : 457782 Lab Batch #: 907379	2, Sample: 634069-1-BKS / B	KS Batc		D: 0213-07 Solid		
Units: mg/kg	Date Analyzed: 02/20/13 08:44		RROGATE RI		STUDY	
	X by EPA 8021B	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R	Control Limits %R	Flag
	Analytes			[D]		2
1,4-Difluorobenzene		0.0328	0.0300	109	80-120	
4-Bromofluorobenzene		0.0347	0.0300	116	80-120	
Lab Batch #: 907546	Sample: 634158-1-BKS / B	KS Bate	h: 1 Matrix	Solid	12	
Units: mg/kg	Date Analyzed: 02/21/13 13:30	SU	RROGATE RI	ECOVERY	STUDY	
ТРН І	By SW8015B Mod Analytes	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flag
1-Chlorooctane		92.6	99.7	93	70-135	
o-Terphenyl		53.2	49.9	107	70-135	
Lab Batch #: 907379	Sample: 634069-1-BSD / B	SD Bate	h: 1 Matrix	Solid		
Units: mg/kg	Date Analyzed: 02/20/13 08:59		RROGATE RI		STUDY	÷
BTE	X by EPA 8021B Analytes	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flag
1,4-Difluorobenzene		0.0319	0.0300	106	80-120	
4-Bromofluorobenzene		0.0306	0.0300	102	80-120	1.1
Lab Batch #: 907546	Sample: 634158-1-BSD / B	SD Bate	h: 1 Matrix	Solid	1.5.16	
Units: mg/kg	Date Analyzed: 02/21/13 13:58		RROGATE RI	ECOVERY	STUDY	
ТРН І	By SW8015B Mod Analytes	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flag
1-Chlorooctane		90.7	100	91	70-135	133
o-Terphenyl		53.5	50.0	107	70-135	
Lab Batch #: 907379	Sample: 457782-002 S / M	S Bate	h: ¹ Matrix	Soil		- 9
Units: mg/kg	Date Analyzed: 02/20/13 13:52	SU	RROGATE R	ECOVERY	STUDY	1
BTE	X by EPA 8021B Analytes	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flag
1,4-Difluorobenzene		0.0342	0.0300	114	80-120	1
						1. 1. 2.

* Surrogate outside of Laboratory QC limits

** Surrogates outside limits; data and surrogates confirmed by reanalysis

*** Poor recoveries due to dilution

Surrogate Recovery [D] = 100 * A / B



Project Name: Jal,New Mexico #49

ork Orders: 457782				D: 0213-07		
Lab Batch #: 907546	Sample: 457782-001 S / MS					
Units: mg/kg	Date Analyzed: 02/21/13 20:42	SU	RROGATE R	ECOVERY S	STUDY	
ТРН В	y SW8015B Mod Analytes	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flag
1-Chlorooctane		91.7	99.8	92	70-135	
o-Terphenyl		54.1	49.9	108	70-135	
Lab Batch #: 907379	Sample: 457782-002 SD / M	ISD Bate	h: 1 Matrix	:Soil		
Units: mg/kg	Date Analyzed: 02/20/13 14:08	SU	RROGATE R	ECOVERY S	STUDY	
BTEX	K by EPA 8021B	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flag
1.1.2.2	Analytes					
1,4-Difluorobenzene		0.0318	0.0300	106	80-120	
4-Bromofluorobenzene		0.0322	0.0300	107	80-120	_
Lab Batch #: 907546	Sample: 457782-001 SD / M	ISD Batc	h: 1 Matrix	:Soil		
Units: mg/kg	Date Analyzed: 02/21/13 21:09	SU	RROGATE R	ECOVERY S	STUDY	1.15
ТРН В	y SW8015B Mod Analytes	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flag
1-Chlorooctane	-	86.5	99.9	87	70-135	
o-Terphenyl		52.1	50.0	104	70-135	

* Surrogate outside of Laboratory QC limits
** Surrogates outside limits; data and surrogates confirmed by reanalysis
*** Poor recoveries due to dilution
Surrogate Recovery [D] = 100 * A / B
All results are based on MDL and validated for QC purposes.





Project Name: Jal,New Mexico #49

Work Order #: 457782		P	roject ID:			0213-07
Lab Batch #: 907439	Sample: 634103-	1-BKS	Matrix	Solid		
Date Analyzed: 02/20/2013 Da	ate Prepared: 02/20/20)13	Analyst	RKO		
Reporting Units: mg/kg	Batch #: 1	BLANK /	BLANK SPI	KE REC	OVERY S	STUDY
Inorganic Anions by EPA 300/300.1	Blank Result	Spike Added	Blank Spike	Blank Spike	Control Limits	Flags
Analytes	[A]	[B]	Result [C]	%R [D]	%R	
Chloride	<1.00	100	109	109	80-120	

Blank Spike Recovery [D] = 100*[C]/[B] All results are based on MDL and validated for QC purposes. BRL - Below Reporting Limit

Laboratories **OUNA**

BS / BSD Recoveries



Project Name: Jal, New Mexico #49

Work Order #: 457782 Lab Batch ID: 907379 Analyst: KEB

Date Prepared: 02/20/2013 Batch #: 1

Sample: 634069-1-BKS

Date Analyzed: 02/20/2013 Matrix: Solid

Project ID: 0213-07

Units: mg/kg			BLAN	K /BLANK S	PIKE / E	STANK S	BLANK /BLANK SPIKE / BLANK SPIKE DUPLICATE RECOVERY STUDY	ICATE I	RECOVE	RY STUD	Y	
BTEX by EPA 8021B	A 8021B	Blank Sample Result [A]	Spike Added	Blank Spike Result	Blank Spike %R	Spike Added	Blank Spike Duplicate	Blk. Spk Dup. %R	RPD %	Control Limits %R	Control Limits %RPD	Flag
Analytes			[B]	[C]	[0]	[E]	Result [F]	[6]				
Benzene		<0.00100	0.100	0.0923	92	0.100	0.0845	85	6	70-130	35	
Toluene		<0.00200	0.100	0.0892	89	0.100	0.0802	80	11	70-130	35	
Ethylbenzene		<0.00100	0.100	0.0903	06	0.100	0.0802	80	12	71-129	35	
m_p-Xylenes		<0.00200	0.200	0.175	88	0.200	0.155	78	12	70-135	35	
o-Xylene		<0.00100	0.100	0.0883	88	0.100	0.0763	76	15	71-133	35	
Analyst: KEB		Da	te Prepar	Date Prepared: 02/21/2013	3	19	1-1	Date Ar	alyzed: 0	Date Analyzed: 02/21/2013		
Lab Batch ID: 907546	Sample: 634158-1-BKS	3KS	Batch #:	n#: 1					Matrix: Solid	olid		

Units: mg/kg		BLAN	BLANK /BLANK SPIKE / BLANK SPIKE DUPLICATE RECOVERY STUDY	PIKE / B	S YNK S	PIKE DUPL	ICATE 1	RECOVE	RY STUD	Y	\square
TPH By SW8015B Mod	Blank Sample Result [A]	Spike Added	Blank Spike Result	Blank Spike %R	Spike Added	Blank Spike Duplicate	Blk. Spk Dup. %R	RPD %	Control Limits %R	Control Limits %RPD	Flag
Analytes		[B]	[C]	[q]	[E]	Result [F]	[G]				
C6-C10 Gasoline Range Hydrocarbons	<15.0	266	992	66	1000	963	96	3	70-135	35	
C10-C28 Diesel Range Hydrocarbons	<15.0	266	1020	102	1000	966	100	2	70-135	35	

Sample: 634158-1-BKS

Lab Batch ID: 907546

Relative Percent Difference RPD = 200*((C-F)/(C+F) Blank Spike Recovery [D] = 100*(C)/[B] Blank Spike Duplicate Recovery [G] = 100*(F)/[E] All results are based on MDL and Validated for QC Purposes

Page 15 of 20

Final 1.000

XENCO Laboratories

Form 3 - MS / MSD Recoveries

Project Name: Jal, New Mexico #49

Work Order #: 457782

Lab Batch ID: 907379 Date Analyzed: 02/2013 Reporting Units: mg/kg

QC-Sample ID: 457782-002 S Batch #: Date Prepared: 02/20/2013 Analyst:

0/2013 Analyst: KEB MATRIX SPIKE / MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE RECOVERY STUDY

-

Project ID: 0213-07 Matrix: Soil

BTEX by EPA 8021B Analytes	Parent Sample Result [A]	Spike Added [B]	Spiked Sample Result [C]	Spiked Sample %R [D]	Spike Added [E]	Duplicate Spiked Sample Result [F]	Spiked Dup. %R [G]	RPD %	Control Limits %R	Control Limits %RPD	Flag
Benzene	<0.00103	0.103	0.0849	82	0.102	0.0975	96	14	70-130	35	
Toluene	<0.00206	0.103	0.0876	85	0.102	0.0961	94	6	70-130	35	
Ethylbenzene	<0.00103	0.103	0.0785	76	0.102	0.0951	93	19	71-129	35	
m_p-Xylenes	<0.00206	0.206	0.147	71	0.205	0.179	87	20	70-135	35	
o-Xylene	<0.00103	0.103	0.0758	74	0.102	0.0903	89	17	71-133	35	
Lab Batch ID: 907439 Q	QC-Sample ID: 457782-001 S	457782-	001 S	Bat	Batch #:	1 Matrix: Soil	Soil				

Date Analyzed: 02/20/2013	Date Prepared: 02/20/2013	02/20/20	013	An	Analyst: RKO	RKO					
Reporting Units: mg/kg		M	ATRIX SPIKI	E / MAT	RIX SPI	MATRIX SPIKE / MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE RECOVERY STUDY	FE REC	OVERY S	STUDY		
Inorganic Anions by EPA 300/300.1 Analytes	Parent Sample Result [A]	Spike Added [B]	Spiked Sample Spiked Result Sample [C] %R	Spiked Sample %R [D]	Spike Added [E]	Duplicate Spiked Sample Result [F]	Spiked Dup. %R [G]	RPD %	Control Limits %R	Control Limits %RPD	Flag
Chloride	5120	1080	6860	161	1080	6870	162	0	80-120	20	Х
Lab Batch ID: 907439 Date Analyzed: 02/20/2013	QC- Sample ID: 457861-001 S Date Prepared: 02/20/2013	457861-02/20/20	001 S 013	Ba	Batch #: Analyst:	1 Matrix: Soil RKO	:: Soil				
Reporting Units: mg/kg		M	ATRIX SPIKI	E / MAT	RIX SPI	MATRIX SPIKE / MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE RECOVERY STUDY	FE REC	OVERY S	STUDY		Π
Inorganic Anions by EPA 300/300.1	Parent Sample Result	Spike Added	Spiked Sample Result Sample [C] %R	Spiked Sample %R	Spike Added	Duplicate Spiked Sample Result [F]	Spiked Dup. %R	RPD %	Control Limits %R	Control Limits %RPD	Flag

20 80-120 0 [6] 13 -1010 106 E 13 1010 2 106 [B] [Y] 966 Analytes Chloride

×

Matrix Spike Percent Recovery [D] = 100*(C-A)/B Relative Percent Difference RPD = 200*(C-F)/(C+F)

Matrix Spike Duplicate Percent Recovery [G] = 100*(F-A)/E

ND = Not Detected, J = Present Below Reporting Limit, B = Present in Blank, NR = Not Requested, I = Interference, NA = Not ApplicableN = See Narrative, EQL = Estimated Quantitation Limit

Final 1.000

Page 16 of 20

OUNED Laboratories

Form 3 - MS / MSD Recoveries

Project Name: Jal,New Mexico #49

Project ID: 0213-07

QC- Sample ID: 457782-001 S Date Prepared: 02/21/2013

Lab Batch ID: 907546 Work Order #: 457782

Matrix: Soil KEB -Analyst: Batch #:

Date Analyzed: 02/21/2013	Date Prepared: 02/21/2013	02/21/20	013	Ans	Analyst: 1	KEB					
Reporting Units: mg/kg		M	MATRIX SPIKE / MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE RECOVERY STUDY	E / MATI	RIX SPII	KE DUPLICA	TE RECO	DVERY S	TUDY		\square
TDU D. CWOMED Mod	Parent	1	Spiked Sample Spiked	Spiked		Duplicate	Spiked		Control	Control	
NOW ACTUONS OF ITT	Sample	Spike	Result	Sample	Spike	Spiked Sample	Dup.	RPD	Limits	Limits	Flag
	Result	Added	[C]	%R	Added	Result [F]	%R	%	%R	%RPD	
Analytes	[Y]	[B]		[D]	[E]		[G]				
C6-C10 Gasoline Range Hydrocarbons	<16.1	1070	1100	103	1080	1000	93	10	70-135	35	
C10-C28 Diesel Range Hydrocarbons	31.8	1070	1150	105	1080	1050	94	6	70-135	35	

Matrix Spike Percent Recovery [D] = 100*(C-A)/B Relative Percent Difference RPD = 200*(C-F)/(C+F)

Matrix Spike Duplicate Percent Recovery [G] = 100*(F-A)/E

ND = Not Detected, J = Present Below Reporting Limit, B = Present in Blank, NR = Not Requested, I = Interference, NA = Not ApplicableN = See Narrative, EQL = Estimated Quantitation Limit

Final 1.000

Page 17 of 20



Sample Duplicate Recovery



Project Name: Jal,New Mexico #49

Work Order #: 457782

Lab Batch #: 907330				Project I	D: 0213-07	
Date Analyzed: 02/19/2013 16:45	Date Prepar	ed: 02/19/2013	Ana	lyst: WRU		
QC- Sample ID: 457782-001 D	Batch	n #: 1	Mat	rix: Soil		
Reporting Units: %		SAMPLE	SAMPLE	DUPLIC	ATE REC	OVERY
Percent Moisture		Parent Sample Result [A]	Sample Duplicate Result	RPD	Control Limits %RPD	Flag
Analyte		11	[B]			
Percent Moisture		7.10	7.11	0	20	1.8

Spike Relative Difference RPD 200 * | (B-A)/(B+A) | All Results are based on MDL and validated for QC purposes. BRL - Below Reporting Limit

	STUT Hally Hilles DIVU, Dalias, IN 1.0250	VI (mana (ma	. 4.													1											-	
Company-City			713	Pho	18	713-978-670	00			Lab	Lab Only:		7	is	5	80	a			i je kon Na se								
Project Name-Location	Mexico 4 44	v done at XE	NCO		0	Proj	ject ID	50		TAT: It is ty	TAT: ASAP It is typically {	AP 5h ly 5-7	Vork	king E	48h Days fo	n 3d for lev	ella	7d 1	10d 2 0+ Woi	21d St orking c	lays f	rd TA	55 12h 24h 48h 3d bd 7d 10d 21d Standard TAT is project specific. 5-7 Working Days for level II and 10+ Working days for level III and IV data.	oject s	specif data.	<u>ن</u>		
Proj. State: TX, AL, FL, GA, LA	MS.	Proj. Manager (PM)	ger (I	(Md	T						-		1-	CX I										р			Remarks	rks
Results to A CON 100	DPM and Ho A B, C C G	2 -m 25	Aaraa asil . C Report E	Law	Com Fax	Fax nust h	k No: have a P.O	D.0.		AOV 2HOV	CALL Other		Appdx-2 CAL	ticides 1 Appd	and the second									12 POL P4	fighest Hit	1		
Quote/Pricing:		P.O. No:					Call fc	Call for P.O.			2-xp	Ηдγ	-										J	PG			-# <u>-</u>	
UST	DRY-CLEAN Land-F	Land-Fill Waste-Disp NPDES	Disp	NPD		DW	TRRP				ddw	AM			soo/	1	143 	N				de Carl		PE	//6w			
QAPP Per-Contract CLP	AGCEE	NAVY DOE DOD USACE OTHER:	D C	JSAC	E OT	HER:					L-XD	Hd						5-24	11	· · .	an a	-		487				
Special DLs (GW DW Q	OAPP MDLs RLs	See Lab PM	L N	Included		Call PI	(Md				oddA	a AM		derbio	AOC	e e Ce	5	Dow		- 54 -					liw sa			
				1	I	N			7	-	AAC	05					5	2			-			-				
Sampler Name	o Earles	Signature	11	1N	1		V	A	1		1 7	B		BCE	Meta	ł.	10	4			, 1			21	- 1. P	• •	1	
Sample ID	Sampling Date	, Time	ti In" m Afth	Matrix	Composite /	# Containers	Container Size	Container Type	Preservatives	VCOs: Full-List	PAHs SIM	DRO BOD-XT	SVOCs: Full-Lis	OC Pesticides Metals: RCRA-8		EDB / DBCb	sams	DIMOL						Ha AASATAT	vods HA9 :nbbA 2) selqms2 bloH) sample Clean-ul		
14	2/1-8/13	\$:50am	101	5		-	ha	5	3	X	24 						X	X						X				
86		a: USan	1		1	-	-	1	1					1	19 J. 4 17													
#3		9: 30am	1		2	-					1				-						-				-	-		
#3		9:55am	10"	1	6	-						-		-			1.0					14. ¹⁴ 17.7			4			
「井		10.000	1	31	N		-	-	1	-							-									1		
£ ₽		9:35am	31		A			-	1 . 1 .		1.10			a. A	1.4			-							124			
46		a i 45am	8	2 - 11	1	-			-										1.									
6#		10:20-	1.		1	-	-	-			2.	_		1995	1. I		1.41			12		<u>in</u>		-	1. 1. 1. 1.			
名井		10.500-	1		2	-											-11 	-										1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.
									-	-				1			-	-				1.00	-	-		1		
Relinquished by (Initia	(Initials and Sign)	Date & Time	ime		Relir	Relinquish	hed to (Initials	(Initia	ls and	d Sign)	(Date	õ	Time	Tota	I Cont	Total Containers per COC	per C	ö			Coo	Cooler Temp:	mp:	S	°.	
1) Travis Carlos	Phillips	23560	2/15/13	132	Ø	9	3	3	5	Hundre	4	खात	2	â	S	Oth	Privise	agree	v no b	riting.	Repo	tts are	Otherwise agreed on writing. Reports are the Intellectual Property of XENCO	ellectu	ual Pr	perty	of XEN	8
3)				6)					-							here	by req	Jueste	I. Rust	De ne	ges an	d Colle	urini paro. Samples will be nero 30 days arter mai report is e-mailed unless hereby requested. Rush Charges and Collection Fees are pre-approved if needed.	Tees a	are pre	appro	/ed if n	sedec
Preservatives: Various (V), HCI pH<2 (H), H2SO4 pH<2 (S), HNO3 pH<2 (N),	, HCI pH<2 (H), H2	2SO4 pH<2 (1 15	NUC	He		Ashc A	cide	HORN	(0)	Asbc Acid&NaOH (A) ZhAc&NaOH (Z) (Cool	NaO	H Z	(Coo	<40	10	None	(NA)	<4C) (C) None (NA) See Lahel		0 11	Other (O)	2	1		1.1		

Final 1.000

Page 19 of 20



XENCO Laboratories



Comments

Prelogin/Nonconformance Report- Sample Log-In

 Client: Environmental Compliance Associates
 Acceptable Temperature Range: 0 - 6 degC

 Date/ Time Received: 02/18/2013 02:30:00 PM
 Air and Metal samples Acceptable Range: Ambient

 Work Order #: 457782
 Temperature Measuring device used :

Sample Receipt Checklist	
#1 *Temperature of cooler(s)?	.5
#2 *Shipping container in good condition?	Yes
#3 *Samples received on ice?	Yes
#4 *Custody Seals intact on shipping container/ cooler?	Yes
#5 Custody Seals intact on sample bottles?	Yes
#6 *Custody Seals Signed and dated?	Yes
#7 *Chain of Custody present?	Yes
#8 Sample instructions complete on Chain of Custody?	Yes
#9 Any missing/extra samples?	No
#10 Chain of Custody signed when relinquished/ received?	Yes
#11 Chain of Custody agrees with sample label(s)?	Yes
#12 Container label(s) legible and intact?	Yes
#13 Sample matrix/ properties agree with Chain of Custody?	Yes
#14 Samples in proper container/ bottle?	Yes
#15 Samples properly preserved?	Yes
#16 Sample container(s) intact?	Yes
#17 Sufficient sample amount for indicated test(s)?	Yes
#18 All samples received within hold time?	Yes
#19 Subcontract of sample(s)?	Yes
#20 VOC samples have zero headspace (less than 1/4 inch bubble)?	Yes
#21 <2 for all samples preserved with HNO3,HCL, H2SO4?	Yes
#22 >10 for all samples preserved with NaAsO2+NaOH, ZnAc+NaOH?	Yes

* Must be completed for after-hours delivery of samples prior to placing in the refrigerator

Analyst:

PH Device/Lot#:

Checklist completed by:

Date:

Checklist reviewed by:

Date: