# Bratcher, Mike, EMNRD

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: Bratcher, Mike, EMNRD Wednesday, July 15, 2009 8:11 AM 'Durrett, Charles' Sosa, Jesse A RE: ConocoPhillips Illinois Camp Well #1 Battery 2RP314 Findings Report

Dear Mr. Durrett and Mr. Sosa,

The remediation work plan proposal submitted for clean up at the ConocoPhillips Illinois Camp #1 Battery is approved as submitted with the following conditions:

- Notify NMOCD District 2 office 48 hours prior to commencement of remedial activities.
- Notify NMOCD District 2 office 48 hours prior to obtaining samples where analyses of samples obtained are to be submitted to NMOCD.
- Target date for completion of project will be August 15, 2009.

Please be advised that NMOCD approval of this proposal does not relieve ConocoPhillips, or, any future operator, of liability should these operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that may pose a threat to ground water, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, NMOCD approval does not relieve ConocoPhillips of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, local laws and/or regulations.

If I can be of assistance or if you have any questions or concerns, please contact me.

Sincerely,

Mike Bratcher

District 2

-----

NMOCD

575-748-1283 Ext.108

From: Durrett, Charles [mailto:Charles.Durrett@tetratech.com]
Sent: Tuesday, July 07, 2009 3:15 PM
To: Bratcher, Mike, EMNRD
Cc: Sosa, Jesse A
Subject: ConocoPhillips Illinois Camp Well #1 Battery 2RP314 Findings Report

Illinois Camp Well #1 Findings Report Eddy County, New Mexico Unit D, Sec. 5, T18S, R28E 2RP 314 On behalf of ConocoPhillips, Tetra Tech submits the attached findings report for a subsurface investigation at ConocoPhillips' Illinois Camp Well #1 Battery. This work was done in support of ConocoPhillips efforts to delineate and remediate a recent 90 barrel mixed crude oil/produced water release into an unlined 35 x 55 foot bermed catchment basin (C141 attached). The Site is located approximately 11.5 miles east southeast of Artesia, New Mexico in Eddy County, New Mexico (32.778055° N, 104.202728° W). The State is the land administrator.

If you agree with the recommendations within the report, Tetra Tech, on-behalf of ConocoPhillips, requests NMOCD's approval for the recommended remediation action. If you have any questions concerning this request please, call Mr. Jesse Sosa (ConocoPhillips, 575-391-3126) or me.

Respectfully,

Charlie

Charles Durrett | Project Manager II 1910 N. Big Spring Midland, TX 79705 Main 432 686 8081 | Fax 432 682 3946 charles durrett@tetratech.com

Tetra Tech | Complex World, CLEAR SOLUTIONS™ www.tetratech.com

PLEASE NOTE This message, including any attachments, may include privileged, confidential and/or inside information. Any distribution or use of this communication by anyone other than the intended recipient is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender by replying to this message and then delete it from your system.

This inbound email has been scanned by the MessageLabs Email Security System.

1910 N Big Spring Midland, Texas 79705 (432) 686-8081



TETRA TECH, INC.

July 7, 2009

Mike Bratcher New Mexico Oil Conservation Division 1301 W. Grand Avenue Artesia, New Mexico 88210

RE: Illinois Camp Well #1 Findings Report Eddy County, New Mexico Unit D, Sec. 5, T18S, R28E 2RP 314

Dear Mr. Bratcher:

On behalf of ConocoPhillips, Tetra Tech submits this findings report for a subsurface investigation at ConocoPhillips' Illinois Camp Well #1 Battery (Site; Figure 1). This work was done in support of ConocoPhillips efforts to delineate and remediate a recent 90 barrel mixed crude oil/produced water release into an unlined 35 x 55 foot bermed catchment basin (C141 attached; Figure 2). The Site is located approximately 11.5 miles east southeast of Artesia, New Mexico in Eddy County, New Mexico (32.778055° N, 104.202728° W). The State is the land administrator.

The Site is located immediately north of the western portion of the Delaware Basin. The area is underlain by Guadalupian age formations, which contains a thick sequence of sandstones, shales, siltstone, and evaporites<sup>1</sup>. In the immediate vicinity of the Site, topography is nearly level to moderately undulating. The Kimbrough-Stegall Series loamy soil at the Site is mixed alluvium and/or eolian sand.<sup>2</sup>

### Exposure Pathway Analyses

Depth to water in the vicinity of the Site is estimated at 80 feet below ground surface (fbgs). This interpretation is based six recently completed water wells (L 12199-12221 POD1) in an adjacent quarter section of the Site and were identified in the New Mexico Office of State Engineer's database.<sup>3</sup>. The nearest surface water body is a playa, located approximately 1,200 feet northeast of the Site.

Following the ranking criteria presented in "*Guidelines for Remediation of Leaks, Spills, and Releases*" promulgated on August 13, 1993 by the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (NMOCD), this Site has the following score:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Hiss. W.L.1980. Movement of Ground Water in Permian Guadalupian Aquifer Systems, Southeastern New Mexico and Western Texas. In New Mexico Geological Society 31<sup>st</sup> Field Conference publication entitled "Trans-Pecos Region Southeastern New Mexico and West Texas." Pp 289 – 294.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> U.S Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Services. Webb Soil Survey Database.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> New Mexico Office of State Engineer. W A.T.E R.S. Database.

Mr. Mike Bratcher July 7, 2009 Page 2

		Ranking
<u>Criteria</u>		Score
Depth to groundwater	<99 feet	10
Distance from water source	>1,000 feet	0
Distance from domestic water source	>200 feet	0
Distance from surface water body	>1,000 feet	<u>0</u>
Total Ranking Score		10

The remediation action level for a ranking score of 10-19 is 10 parts per million (ppm) for benzene, 50 ppm for total benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes (BTEX), and 1,000 ppm for total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH).

In the event of oil/gas releases to the environment, the NMOCD uses the New Mexico Water Quality Control Commission's (NMWQCC) maximum contaminate level of 250 ppm for chloride (20.6.2.3103 NMAC, Subsection A) for delineation.

### Scope of Work

The lateral extent of the mixed crude oil/produced water affected area was defined by the bermed catchment basin. To delineate the vertical extent of the affected area, Tetra Tech used a mobile air rotary drilling unit to bore two exploratory holes into the affected area. A split spoon was used to collect soil at three foot intervals. Soil samples collected from the borings were field tested using chloride field titration techniques<sup>4</sup> to find the vertical clean boundary (< 250 (ppm) of the release area. A photo-ionization detector (PID) was used to screen for volatile organic hydrocarbons (VOC). VOC field analysis was used to determine the clean boundary of < 50 ppm VOC. Each boring was logged according to the Unified Soil Classification System so observations concerning soil types, lithologic changes, and the environmental condition of the encountered soils were noted.

Two soil samples from each soil boring (highest chloride and TPH <sub>DRO</sub> measurement and basal sample) were submitted to a laboratory for confirmation analyses. The samples were placed into glass sample jars, sealed with Teflon-lined lids, and placed on ice for transportation to an analytical laboratory where they were analyzed for chloride (USEPA Method 300.0A), Diesel and gasoline range TPH (TPH<sub>DRO</sub> and TPH<sub>GRO</sub>, Method 8015) and benzene, and BTEX (Method 8021). These analyses were used to confirm clean boundaries have been identified.

Soil cuttings were left inside the catchment basin for handling during site remediation. Each boring was backfilled from bottom to top with bentonite.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Bower, C.A. and G.D. Sherman. 1965. Chloride. In: Methods of Soil Analysis. Am Soc of Agronomy, Madison, WI, Sec 62 – 3.5, pp 947 – 948.



Mr. Mike Bratcher July 7, 2009 Page 3 Illinois Camp Well #1 Battery Findings Report

### Findings

The soils encountered during excavation activities at the Site consisted of mostly tan sandy, caliche soils at 0 - 12 fbgs.

A summary of field screening data and laboratory soil analytical data are presented in Table 1 and Figure 3, and on the boring logs (Appendix A). A complete analytical report is presented in Appendix B.

Field screening data for chloride and volatile organics were used to define the horizontal and vertical extent of affected soil (Table 1, Figure 3 and Appendix A). Field chloride concentrations in the soils were reported at detectable concentrations in all samples collected. Field chlorides ranged from 993 to 73 ppm. VOC field screening measured concentrations ranging from 1,544 to 0 ppm.

	Table 1
	🗧 🚬 ConocoPhillips
,	Illinois Camp Well #1 Battery
	Eddy County, New Mexico
	Soil <sup>®</sup> Boring Results
	29-May-09

		5	,	and the	Jamping	Locations		· Nie and Sm. Sur!	Action
Co	onstituents	Units	<u>), ., )</u> (S	oil Boring	1,	S S	oil Boring	<u>2 </u>	Level
1.00			3.ft	24 ft	39 ft 🕅	`,≨,∕≾́3 ft` .	_6 ft ~	24 ft	(ppm)
een	VOC	(ppm)	0 1	1,500	<b>1</b>	<u>1,438</u>		50	
Scr. Fi	Chloride	(ppm)	993	248	74	993	496	248	
	GRO	(mg/Kg)		91	ND		2,000	Xínd	1 000
Ses	DRO	(mg/Kg)		,270	8.2		2,100	ND	1,000
ylar	Benzene	(mg/Kg)		ND	ND	1.184 1.184	<u>, 1, 3 , </u>	ND	. 10
ِ ¥	Ethylbenzene	(mg/Kg)		0.98	ND	Sec. 40	<b>37</b> ≩Ò,∴,	⊴ೆND	137
to'.	Toluêne	(mg/Kg)	. , s	0.20	ND ·	CALK DO	76.0	use ŇĎ v.ť.	and the second
ora	Xylenes Total	(mg/Kg)		7.30	ND		ື (18.0	ND (	
r ab	Total BTEX	(mg/Kg)	and the second	8.48		1 - and Station	<u>,</u> , ≩132.3 ( <sub>_)</sub>	‴_⊛ND‴	50
s - Children	Chloride	، (mg/Kg)	××755		24.2	1020		310	San Mar
PH = Ţ	otal petroleum hy	drocarbo	ns.	A. C. 1998	ppm = Par	ts∘per∝millio	n 😳 👘	de la compañía	and and the
OC ≔∖V	olatile organic co	mpounds		×	mg/Kg = N	lilligrams pe	er kilogram	i diana dian	222
	O O H D H Laboratory Analyses Screen D	Constituents	Constituents     Units       VOC     (ppm)       Chloride     (ppm)       Chloride     (ppm)       Chloride     (mg/Kg)       Benzene     (mg/Kg)       Ethylbenzene     (mg/Kg)       Toluene     (mg/Kg)       Xylenes Total     (mg/Kg)       Total BTEX     (mg/Kg)       Chloride     (mg/Kg)	Constituents     Units     S       3.ft     3.ft       Set     VOC     (ppm)     0       Chloride     (ppm)     993       Set     TPH     GRO     (mg/Kg)       DRO     (mg/Kg)       Benzene     (mg/Kg)       Ethylbenzene     (mg/Kg)       Toluene     (mg/Kg)       Total BTEX     (mg/Kg)       Chloride     (mg/Kg)       Total BTEX     (mg/Kg)       Chloride     (mg/Kg)       Chloride     (mg/Kg)       DC     Set on pounds	Constituents       Units       Soil Boring         3.ft       24 ft         WOC       (ppm)       0       1,500         Chloride       (ppm)       993       248         Chloride       (mg/Kg)       91       91         DRO       (mg/Kg)       270       91         Ethylbenzene       (mg/Kg)       0.98       0.98         Toluene       (mg/Kg)       0.20       270         Xylenes Total       (mg/Kg)       7.30       7.30         Total BTEX       (mg/Kg)       8.48       0.20         Chloride       (mg/Kg)       7.55       00         Chloride       (mg/Kg)       7.55       00         Chloride       compounds       00       00         Chloride       compounds       00       00         Chloride       compounds       00       00         Chloride       compounds       00       00	Constituents         Units         Soil Boring 1           3.ft         24 ft         39 ft           WOC         (ppm)         0         1,500         1           Chloride         (ppm)         993         248         74           Chloride         (mg/Kg)         91         ND         ND           DRO         (mg/Kg)         0.98         ND         ND           Toluene         (mg/Kg)         0.20         ND         ND           Xylenes Total         (mg/Kg)         7.30         ND         ND           Chloride         (mg/Kg)         755         24.2         PH = Total petroleum hydrocarbons         ppm = Par           DC = Volatile organic compounds         mg/Kg = M         Nd	Constituents         Units         Soil Boring 1         S           3 ft         24 ft         39 ft         3 ft           VOC         (ppm)         0         1,500         1         1,438           Chloride         (ppm)         993         248         74         993           Chloride         (ppm)         993         248         74         993           TPH         GRO         (mg/Kg)         91         ND         ND           Benzene         (mg/Kg)         270         8:2         0.98         ND           Toluene         (mg/Kg)         0.98         ND         0.20         ND           Toluene         (mg/Kg)         7.30         ND         0.20         ND           Total BTEX         (mg/Kg)         755         24.2         1020           PH = Total petroleum hydrocarbons         ppm = Parts per million         mg/Kg = Milligrams peter million           OC = Volatile organic compounds         mg/Kg = Milligrams peter million         mg/Kg = Milligrams peter million	Constituents         Units         Soil Boring 1         Soil Boring 1           3.ft         24 ft         39 ft         3 ft         6 ft           WOC         (ppm)         0         1,500         1         1,438         1,544           Chloride         (ppm)         993         248         74         993         496           TPH         GRO         (mg/Kg)         91         ND         2,000           Benzene         (mg/Kg)         270         8.2         2,100           Benzene         (mg/Kg)         0.98         ND         1.3           Ethylbenzene         (mg/Kg)         0.20         ND         76.0           Xylenes Total         (mg/Kg)         7.30         ND         18.0           Total BTEX         (mg/Kg)         755         24.2         1020           PH = Total petroleum hydrocarbons         ppm = Parts per million         mg/Kg = Milligrams per kilogram           DC = Volatile organic compounds         ppm = Parts per million         mg/Kg = Milligrams per kilogram	Constituents         Units         Soil Boring 1         Soil Boring 2           3.ft         24 ft         39 ft         3 ft         6 ft         24 ft           Debug         O         1,500         1         1,438         1,544         50           Chloride         (ppm)         0         1,500         1         1,438         1,544         50           Chloride         (ppm)         993         248         74         993         496         248           TPH         GRO         (mg/Kg)         91         ND         2,000         ND           Benzene         (mg/Kg)         270         82         2,100         ND           Ethylbenzene         (mg/Kg)         0.98         ND         37.0         ND           Toluene         (mg/Kg)         7.30         ND         18.0         ND           Xylenes Total         (mg/Kg)         755         24.2         1020         310           DH         Chloride         (mg/Kg)         755         24.2         1020         310           DH         Total petroleum hydrocarbons         ppm = Parts per million         mg/Kg = Milligrams per kilogram           DC = Volatile organic compounds </td

Standard DRO = Diesel range hydrocarbons / Revealed and the second se

ND = Not detected at or above laboratory level of detection

Laboratory analyses for chloride concentrations are presented in Table 1. Chloride concentrations ranged from 1,020 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg) in soil boring SB-2 (3 feet) to 24.2 mg/kg at soil boring SB-1 (39 feet). TPH and BTEX laboratory analyses are present in Table 1. TPH and BTEX concentrations were detected in both soil borings. TPH<sub>GRO</sub>, TPH<sub>DRO</sub>

\* Leven . .

March Constraints and

way and a sugar



Mr. Mike Bratcher July 7, 2009 Page 4

and BTEX concentrations were detected above NMOCD remedial action levels at 6 fbgs and attenuated with depth. Benzene concentrations were only reported in the 6 fbgs sample.

#### Conclusions

According to laboratory analyses of soils collected during this investigation, TPH and BTEX, were reported in the soil borings and were above NMOCD's remedial action level in the 6 fbgs depth. Exposure pathway analysis indicated a ranking score of "10." Therefore, the site-specific remediation levels are 1,000 mg/kg for TPH, 50 mg/kg for BTEX and 10 mg/kg for benzene. Based on field screening results and supported by laboratory analyses presented in Table 1, the impacts to soil are above the NMOCD action level for TPH and BTEX and below action level for benzene.

#### Recommendations

Tetra Tech recommends the following actions be taken at Illinois Camp Well #1 Battery:

- Both storage tanks will be removed.
- Soil in the bermed catch basin will be excavated to a depth of approximately 8-10 feet and hauled to a State approved disposal location.
- Aliquot soil samples will be collected in a "W" pattern, composited into one sample for each sidewall and floor in the excavation, and field analyzed using PID determine that remediation levels have been achieved (< 1,000 ppm). Companion composite samples will also be submitted to a laboratory for TPH<sub>GRO</sub>, TPH<sub>DRO</sub>, BTEX and chloride confirmation analyses to confirm that these constituents have been removed to concentrations below remediation guidelines.
- Tetra Tech will supervise and direct all subcontractor activities, and following the construction activities, prepare a report describing and documenting what was done for closure activities at the Site, including a site map. This report on activities and results will be submitted for NMOCD's review and ultimate closure of this voluntary remediation.

If you agree with these recommendations, Tetra Tech, on-behalf of ConocoPhillips, requests NMOCD's approval on the recommended remediation action. If you have any questions concerning this request please call Mr. Jesse Sosa (ConocoPhillips, 575-391-3126) or me.

Sincerely,

Tetra Tech, Inc. Digitally signed by Charles Durrett DN cn=Charles Durrett DN cn=Charles Durrett DN cn=Charles Durrett CH ou=Midland, Date 2009/07/15573-0500

Charles Durrett Project Manager

Cc: Mr. Jesse Sosa, ConocoPhillips





Source: Terraserver

FIGURE 1	LINOIS CAMP WELL #1
A SECOND AND A STREET AND A SECOND AND AS	
ConocoPhillips	TETRATECH, INC.
Eddy County, Texas	PROJECT NO 1146400193 DRAWING BY, CWD
Unit D, Sec 5, 1185, R28E	COP PROJECT FILE
a contra a c	







- 13 ( + 5 <sup>-</sup> - 28 <sup>-</sup> 29 -- 29 - 29 - 29

District I       Sta         1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240       Energy Min         District II       Energy Min         1301 W Grand Avenue, Artesia, NM 88210       Oil Co         District III       Oil Co         1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410       1220 S         District IV       1220 S         1220 S St Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505       Sat         Release Notifice	te of New Mexico erals and Natural Resources onservation Division South St. Francis Dr. Ita Fe, NM 87505 ation and Corrective Action	Form C-141 Revised October 10, 2003 Submit 2 Copies to appropriate District Office in accordance with Rule 116 on back side of form
Name of Company ConocoPhillins Company	OPERATOR Contact John W Cates	Initial Report Final Report
Address 3300 North A St. Bldg 6, Midland, TX 79705-54	06 Telephone No. 505:391.3158	
Facility Name, Illinois Camp Well No 1	Facility Type <b>Oil and Gas</b>	
Surface Owner State Of New Mexico Mineral Ov	wher State Of New Mexico	Lease No 30015244485
	FION OF DELEASE	3001524485
Unit Letter Section Township Range Feet from the 188	North/South Line Feet from the Sast/West	Vest Line County Eddy
Latitude 32 46	684 Longitude 104 12 161	
NATI	JRE OF RELEASE	
Type of Release Crude Oil & Produced Water	Volume of Release 90bbl (51oil, 39water)	Volume Recovered ( <b>0</b> oil, <b>0</b> water)
Source of Release	Date and Hour of Occurrence	Date and Hour of Discovery
Was Immediate Notice Given?	If YES, To Whom? Geoffrey Leking NMOCD	
Bỳ Whôm? John Gates	Date and Hour 2/26/09 1430	
Was a Watercourse Reached?	If YES, Volume Impacting the Watercourse	
If a Watercourse was Impacted, Describe Fully.*		
Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.*		
. 3X4 inch swedge on east side of north tank failed due to i isolated drained and removed from service	nternal/external corrosion. There were	no fluids recovered. The tank was
	an a	
15: X 36 area inside caliche dike with no cattle present T	here were no fluids recovered. Snill si	te will be delineated and remediated
in accordance with NMOCD guidelines		
I hereby certify that the information given above is true and comple regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain rel public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report	te to the best of my knowledge and understan ease notifications and perform corrective acti- by the NMOCD marked as "Final Report" de	d that pursuant to NMOCD rules and ons for releases which may endanger bes not relieve the operator of liability
should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and ren or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 re	nediate contamination that pose a threat to gro	ound water, surface water, human health
federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations.	A STATE AND A STATE	
Signature: When W. A.L.	<u>OIL CONSERV</u>	ATION DIVISION
Printed Name: John W. Gates	Approved by District Supervisor:	
Title: HSER Lead	Approval Date:	Expiration Date:
E-mail Address: John.W.Gates@conocophillips.com	Conditions of Approval:	
Date: v.02/26/2009	and the second sec	
Attach Additional Sheets If Necessary		
		en e

22

•

# Boring Logs

.

**APPENDIX A** 



### **BORING LOG**

Client Conoco Phillips Location Illinois Camp Well #1 Battery Boring/Well No. SB -1 Surface Elevation Dates Drilled 5/29/2009 Logged By Kindley Weather Sunny

Project No6400193	
Driller _Lane Scarborough	
Drilling CoScarborough	
Boring Dia5 in	
Fluids usedAir	
Depth to Water Not Encountered	

DEPTH (ft)	PID (ppm)	CI (ppm)	SAMPLE DESCRIPTION
3	0	993	Tan sandy limestone with no odor or staining.
6	0	744	Tan sandy limestone with no odor or staining.
9	12	744	Tan sandy limestone with slight hydrocarbon odor
12	21	596	Tan sandy limestone with slight hydrocarbon odor
15	21	496	Tan sand with some limestone intermixed
18	21	199	Tan sand with some limestone intermixed
21	458	248	Tan sand with some limestone intermixed (strong hydrocarbon odor)
24	1500	248 .	Tan well sorted sand with strong hydrocarbon odor
27	645	149	Tan/red fine grain sand with hydrocarbon odor
30	904 <sup>.</sup>	50	Red fine grain sand with hydrocarbon odor
33	6.6	99	Tan fine grain sand
36	3.6	99	Red/brown fine grain sand
39	1	74	Red hard sandy clay

Total Depth is 39 feet

ft= feet below ground surface PID = Photo-ionization detector CI = Chloride ppm = Parts per million



#### **BORING LOG**

.

Client\_\_\_Conoco Phillips\_\_\_\_ Location\_\_Illinors Camp Well #1 Battery\_\_\_ Boring/Well No.\_\_SB -1\_\_\_ Surface Elevation\_\_\_\_ Dates Drilled\_\_\_5/29/2009\_\_\_\_ Logged By\_\_Kindley\_\_\_\_ Weather\_\_\_\_Sunny\_\_\_\_

Project No. 6400193	
Driller _Lane Scarborough	
Drilling CoScarborough	
Boring Dia5 in	
Fluids usedAir	
Depth to Water Not Encountered	

DEPTH (ft)	PID (ppm)	CI (ppm)	SAMPLE DESCRIPTION
3	· 0	993	Tan sandy limestone with no odor or staining.
6	0	744	Tan sandy limestone with no odor or staining.
9	12	744	Tan sandy limestone with slight hydrocarbon odor
12	21	596	Tan sandy limestone with slight hydrocarbon odor
15	21	496	Tan sand with some limestone intermixed
18	21	199	Tan sand with some limestone intermixed
21	458	248	Tan sand with some limestone intermixed (strong hydrocarbon odor)
24	1500	248	Tan well sorted sand with strong hydrocarbon odor
27	645	149 ′	Tan/red fine grain sand with hydrocarbon odor
30	. 904	50	Red fine grain sand with hydrocarbon odor
33	66	99	Tan fine grain sand
36	36	99	Red/brown fine grain sand
39	1	74	Red hard sandy clay

Total Depth is 39 feet

ft= feet below ground surface PID = Photo-ionization detector CI = Chloride ppm = Parts per million

# APPENDIX B

Laboratory Analyses

.

.



8880 INTERCHANGE DRIVE HOUSTON, TX 77054 (713) 660-0901

# Case Narrative for: Conoco Phillips

Certificate of Analysis Number: 09060049 Report To: Illinois Camp Well#1 Battery Project Name: Artisia, NM Site: Tetra Tech **Charlie Durrett** Site Address: 1910 N. Big Spring St PO Number: Midland State: **New Mexico** тχ 79705-State Cert. No.: ph: (432) 682-4559 fax: **Date Reported:** 6/9/2009

Per the Conoco Phillips TSM Revision 0, a copy of the internal chain of custody is to be included in final data package. However, due to LIMS limitations, this cannot be provided at this time.

Matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) samples are chosen and tested at random from an analytical batch of "like" matrix to check for possible matrix effect. The MS and MSD will provide site specific matrix data only for those samples which are spiked by the laboratory Since the MS and MSD are chosen at random from an analytical batch, the sample chosen for spike purposes may or may not have been a sample submitted in this sample delivery group. The validity of the analytical procedures for which data is reported in this analytical report is determined by the Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) and the Method Blank (MB). The Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) and the MS/MSD to ensure method criteria are achieved throughout the entire analytical process.

Your sample ID "SB-1 24"" (SPL ID 09060049-02) was randomly selected for use in SPL's quality control program for the Purgeable Aromatics analysis by SW846 Method 8021B (Batch ID R274588). The Matrix Spike (MS) and Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) recoveries were outside of the advisable quality control limits due to possible matrix interference for the following analytes

Ethylbenzene Toluene o-Xylene

A Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) was analyzed as a quality control check for the analytical batch and all recoveries were within acceptable limits.

Some of the percent recoveries and RPD's on the QC report for the MS/MSD may be different than the calculated recoveries and RPD's using the sample result and the MS/MSD results that appear on the report because, the actual raw result is used to perform the calculations for percent recovery and RPD.

Any other exceptions associated with this report will be footnoted in the analytical result page(s) or the quality control summary page(s)

Please do not hesitate to contact us if you have any questions or comments pertaining to this data report. Please reference the above Certificate of Analysis Number.

This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory. The reported results are only representative of the samples submitted for testing

SPL, Inc is pleased to be of service to you. We anticipate working with you in fulfilling all your current and future analytical needs

E-Qu Cardinas

09060049 Page 1 6/23/2009

Erica Cardenas Project Manager

Test results meet all requirements of NELAC, unless specified in the narrative.

Date



8880 INTERCHANGE DRIVE HOUSTON, TX 77054 (713) 660-0901

# **Conoco Phillips**

# Certificate of Analysis Number:

# 09060049

<u>Report To:</u>	Tetra Tech Charlie Durrett 1910 N. Big Spring St Midland		Project Name: Site: Site Address:	Illinois Camp Well#1 Battery Artísia, NM
<u>Fax To:</u>	TX 79705- ph: (432) 682-4559	fax: (432) 686-8085	<u>PO Number:</u> <u>State:</u> <u>State Cert. No.:</u> Date Reported:	New Mexico 6/9/2009

Client Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Matrix	Date Collected	Date Received	COC ID	HOLD
SB-1 3'	09060049-01	Soil	5/29/2009	6/2/2009 9 30 00 AM	270417	
SB-1 24'	09060049-02	Soil	5/29/2009	6/2/2009 9·30 00 AM	270417	
SB-1 39'	09060049-03	Soil	5/29/2009	6/2/2009 9 30 00 AM	270417	
SB-2 3'	09060049-04	Soil	5/29/2009	6/2/2009 9 30 00 AM	270417	
SB-2 24'	09060049-05	Soil	5/29/2009	6/2/2009 9·30·00 AM	270417	
SB-2 6'	09060049-06	Soil	5/29/2009	6/2/2009 9 30 00 AM	270417	

F. O. Cardinas

Enca Cardenas Project Manager

6/23/2009

Date

Kesavalu M. Bagawandoss Ph.D., J.D Laboratory Director

> Ted Yen Quality Assurance Officer

> > 09060049 Page 2 6/23/2009 3 02 16 PM



HOUSTON LABORATORY 8880 INTERCHANGE DRIVE

HOUSTON, TX 77054

(713) 660-0901

Client Sample ID:SB-1 3'		Collected	ected: 05/29/2009 0.00		SPL Samp	ole ID: 090	: 09060049-01	
		Site: A	Artisia, NM					
Analyses/Method	Result QU	AL Rep.Lim	it D	il. Factor	Date Analy:	zed Analyst	Seq. #	
ION CHROMATOGRAPHY			MCL	E300	.0 MOD	Units: mg/l	cg-dry	
Chloride	755	5	7	10	06/03/09 19	958 BDG	5050351	
PERCENT MOISTURE			MCL		D2216	Units: wt%		
Percent Moisture	12 3		0	1	06/02/09 17	7 29 CFS	5047540	

Qualifiers:

ND/U - Not Detected at the Reporting Limit B/V - Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank \* - Surrogate Recovery Outside Advisable QC Limits

J - Estimated Value between MDL and PQL

E - Estimated Value exceeds calibration curve

TNTC - Too numerous to count

>MCL - Result Over Maximum Contamination Limit(MCL) D - Surrogate Recovery Unreportable due to Dilution MI - Matrix Interference

> 09060049 Page 3 6/23/2009 3 02 27 PM



8880 INTERCHANGE DRIVE

HOUSTON, TX 77054

(713) 660-0901

Client Sample ID:SB-1	24'			Col	lecte	<b>əd:</b> 05	/29/2009	9 0:00	SPL San	nple l	<b>D</b> : 0906	0049-02
				Sit	e:	Artis	ia, NM					
Analyses/Method		Result	QUAL	R	ep.Li	mit	D	il. Facto	r Date Ana	lyzed	Analyst	Seq. #
DIESEL RANGE ORGA	ANICS						MCL	S	W8015B	Ur	nits: mg/kg	g-dry
Diesel Range Organics (C	C10-C28)	270				27		5	06/04/09	11 49	NW	5050742
Surr n-Pentacosane		98 7		%	20-	154		5	06/04/09	11 49	NW	5050742
Prep Method	Prep Date		Prep Initials	Prep	Fac	tor						
SW3550B	06/03/2009 12 01		QMT	1 00								
GASOLINE RANGE OF	RGANICS						MCL	S	W8015B	Ur	nits: mg/kg	g-dry
Gasoline Range Organics	3	91				54		500	06/03/09	19.29	WLV '	5051619
Surr 1,4-Difluorobenze	ene	96.5		%	63-	142	,	500	06/03/09	19 29	WLV	5051619
Surr 4-Bromofluorober	nzene	114		%	50-	159		500	06/03/09	19 29	WLV	5051619
Prep Method	Prep Date		Prep Initials	Prep	Fac	tor						
SW5030B	06/03/2009 10:46	5	XML	1 00								
PERCENT MOISTURE							MCL		D2216	Ur	nits: wt%	
Percent Moisture		77				0		1	06/02/09	17 29	CFS	5047538
PURGEABLE AROMA	TICS		,				MCL	S	W8021B	Ur	nits: ug/kg	-dry
Benzene		ND				1.1		1	06/04/09	15 <sup>.</sup> 42	WLV	5051934
Toluene		200				27		25	06/04/09	16 4 1	WLV	5051935
Ethylbenzene		980				27		25	06/04/09	16 <sup>.</sup> 41	WLV	5051935
m,p-Xylene		5400				27		25	06/04/09	16 4 1	WLV	5051935
o-Xylene		1900				27		25	06/04/09	16 <sup>.</sup> 41	WLV	5051935
Xylenes,Total		7300				27		25	06/04/09	16 <sup>.</sup> 41	WLV	5051935
Surr. 1,4-Difluorobenze	ene	94.4		%	70-	130		25	06/04/09	16 <sup>.</sup> 41	WLV	5051935
Surr 1,4-Difluorobenze	ene	102		%	70-	130		1	06/04/09	15 <sup>.</sup> 42	WLV	5051934
Surr: 4-Bromofluorober	176he	131		%	63-	145		25	06/04/09	16 4 1	WIV	5051935

% 63-145

Prep Method	Prep Date	Prep Initials	Prep Factor
SW5030B	06/04/2009 10 10	XML	1 00
SW5030B	06/03/2009 10 46	XML	1 00

395 MI

Qualifiers:

Surr 4-Bromofluorobenzene

ND/U - Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

B/V - Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

\* - Surrogate Recovery Outside Advisable QC Limits

J - Estimated Value between MDL and PQL

E - Estimated Value exceeds calibration curve

TNTC - Too numerous to count

>MCL - Result Over Maximum Contamination Limit(MCL)

06/04/09 15 42 WLV

1

D - Surrogate Recovery Unreportable due to Dilution

MI - Matrix Interference

09060049 Page 4 6/23/2009 3 02 27 PM

5051934

8880 INTERCHANGE DRIVE

HOUSTON, TX 77054

(713) 660-0901

Client Sample ID:SB-1	39'		Col	lected: 0	5/29/2009	0:00	SPL Sar	nple l	<b>D</b> : 0906	0049-03
			Sit	e: Arti	isia, NM					
Analyses/Method	Resu	it QUAL	R	ep.Limit	D	il. Factor	Date Ana	lyzed	Analyst	Seq. #
DIESEL RANGE ORGA	NICS				MCL	SV	V8015B	Ur	nits: mg/kg	g-dry
Diesel Range Organics (C	:10-C28) 82	2		54		1	06/04/09	11 09	NW	5050740
Surr n-Pentacosane	88 9	)	%	20-154		1	06/04/09	11:09	NW	5050740
Prep Method	Prep Date	Prep Initials	s Prep	Factor						
SW3550B	06/03/2009 12 01	QMT	1 00							
GASOLINE RANGE OF	RGANICS				MCL	SV	V8015B	Ur	nits: mg/kg	g-dry
Gasoline Range Organics	NE	)		0 11		1	06/03/09	20 25	WLV	5051621
Surr 1,4-Difluorobenze	ne 97 (	)	%	63-142		1	06/03/09	20 25	WLV	5051621
Surr. 4-Bromofluoroben	izene 103	3	%	50-159		1	06/03/09	20 <sup>.</sup> 25	WLV	5051621
Prep Method	Prep Date	Prep Initials	s Prep	Factor						
SW5030B	06/03/2009 10 50	XML	1 00							
ION CHROMATOGRAF	РНҮ				MCL	E300	.0 MOD	Ur	nits: mg/kg	g-dry
Chloride	24 2	2		5 38		1	06/03/09	17.45	BDG	5050346
PERCENT MOISTURE					MCL		D2216	Ur	nits: wt%	
Percent Moisture	7 03	2		0		1	06/02/09	17.29	CFS	5047537
PURGEABLE AROMA	TICS				MCL	SI	N8021B	Ur	nits: ug/kg	-dry
Benzene	N	)		1.1		1	06/03/09	20 25	WLV	5051844
Toluene	N	)		11		1	06/03/09	20:25	WLV	5051844
Ethylbenzene	N	)		1.1		1	06/03/09	20:25	WLV	5051844
m,p-Xylene	N	)		11	,	1	06/03/09	20 25	WLV	5051844
o-Xylene	N	)		11		1	06/03/09	20 <sup>.</sup> 25	WLV	5051844
Xylenes,Total	N	)		1.1		1	06/03/09	20.25	WLV	5051844
Surr 1,4-Difluorobenze	ene 95	3	%	70-130		1	06/03/09	20 <sup>.</sup> 25	WLV	5051844
Surr: 4-Bromofluorober	nzene 99	3	%	63-145		1	06/03/09	20 <sup>.</sup> 25	WLV	5051844

Prep Method	Prep Date	Prep Initials	Prep Factor
SW5030B	06/03/2009 10 50	XML	1 00

Qualifiers:

ND/U - Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

B/V - Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

\* - Surrogate Recovery Outside Advisable QC Limits

J - Estimated Value between MDL and PQL

E - Estimated Value exceeds calibration curve

TNTC - Too numerous to count

>MCL - Result Over Maximum Contamination Limit(MCL)

D - Surrogate Recovery Unreportable due to Dilution

MI - Matrix Interference

09060049 Page 5 6/23/2009 3 02 28 PM



٤,

HOUSTON LABORATORY 8880 INTERCHANGE DRIVE

.

HOUSTON, TX 77054

(713) 660-0901

Client Sample ID:SB-2 3'			Collected: 0	5/29/2009	0 00	SPL Sam	ple ID	: 0906	0049-04
			Site: Arti	sia, NM					
Analyses/Method	Result	QUAL	Rep.Limit	Di	I. Factor	Date Analy	/zed	Analyst	Seq. #
ION CHROMATOGRAPHY				MCL	E300	.0 MOD	Unit	ts: mg/kg	J-dry
Chloride	1020		62		10	06/03/09 2	20 37 E	3DG	5050352
PERCENT MOISTURE				MCL		D2216	Unit	ts: wt%	
Percent Moisture	19 4		0		1	06/02/09 1	7 29 (	CFS	5047536

Qualifiers:

ND/U - Not Detected at the Reporting Limit B/V - Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

- \* Surrogate Recovery Outside Advisable QC Limits
- J Estimated Value between MDL and PQL

E - Estimated Value exceeds calibration curve

TNTC - Too numerous to count

>MCL - Result Over Maximum Contamination Limit(MCL)

D - Surrogate Recovery Unreportable due to Dilution

<sup>r</sup> MI - Matrix Interference

.

09060049 Page 6 6/23/2009 3 02 28 PM

.



8880 INTERCHANGE DRIVE

HOUSTON, TX 77054

(713) 660-0901

Client Sample ID:SB-2 24'			Collecte	ed: 0	05/29/2009 0:00 SPL Sample ID: 0906004				60049-05
			Site:	Arti	sia, NM				
Analyses/Method	Result	QUAL	Rep.Li	mit	l	Dil. Factor	Date Analyze	d Analyst	Seq. #
DIESEL RANGE ORGANICS					MCL	SV	V8015B	Units: mg/k	g-dry
Diesel Range Organics (C10-C28)	ND			55		1	06/04/09 11.2	29 NW	5050741
Surr n-Pentacosane	118		% 20-	154		1	06/04/09 11 2	29 NW	5050741
Prep Method Prep Date	12:01	Prep Initial	s Prep Fac	tor					
GASOLINE RANGE ORGANICS			1.00		MCI	SV	V8015B	linits: ma/k	a-dry
Gasoline Range Organics	ND			) 11	MOL	1	06/03/09 20*	53 WLV	5051622
Surr 1.4-Difluorobenzene	98 1		% 63-	142		1	06/03/09 20.	53 WLV	5051622
Surr 4-Bromofluorobenzene	104		% 50-	159		1	06/03/09 20.	53 WLV	5051622
Prop Mothod Prop Date		Prop Initial		tor					
SW5030B 06/03/2009	9 10 52	XML	1 00						
ION CHROMATOGRAPHY					MCL	E300	.0 MOD	Units: mg/k	g-dry
Chloride	310		5	55 5		10	06/03/09 20:	56 BDG	5050353
PERCENT MOISTURE					MCL		D2216	Units: wt%	
Percent Moisture	99			0		1	06/02/09 17:2	29 CFS	5047535
PURGEABLE AROMATICS					MCL	SV	V8021B	Units: ug/k	g-dry
Benzene	ND			11		1	06/03/09 20:	53 WLV	5051845
Toluene	ND			11		1	06/03/09 20:	53 WLV	5051845
Ethylbenzene	ND			11		1	06/03/09 20 9	53 WLV	5051845
m,p-Xylene	ND			11		1	06/03/09 20.	53 WLV	5051845
o-Xylene	ND			11		1	06/03/09 20	53 WLV	5051845
Xylenes,Total	ND			11		1	06/03/09 20:	53 WLV	5051845
Surr. 1,4-Difluorobenzene	96.5		% 70-	130		1	06/03/09 20:	53 WLV	5051845
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	102		% 63-	145		1	06/03/09 20	53 WLV	5051845

Prep Method	Prep Date	Prep Initials	Prep Factor
SW5030B	06/03/2009 10:52	XML	1.00

Qualifiers:

- ND/U Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- B/V Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- \* Surrogate Recovery Outside Advisable QC Limits
- J Estimated Value between MDL and PQL
- E Estimated Value exceeds calibration curve

TNTC - Too numerous to count

>MCL - Result Over Maximum Contamination Limit(MCL) D - Surrogate Recovery Unreportable due to Dilution

MI - Matrix Interference

09060049 Page 7 6/23/2009 3 02 28 PM



8880 INTERCHANGE DRIVE

HOUSTON, TX 77054

(713) 660-0901

Client Sample ID:SB-	26'			Col	lected:	05/29/2	009 0:00	SPL Sam	iple I	<b>D</b> : 090	60049-06
				Sit	e: A	rtisia, NI	М				
Analyses/Method	R	esult	QUAL	R	ep.Limit		Dil. Fac	tor Date Anal	yzed	Analyst	Seq. #
DIESEL RANGE ORG	ANICS					MC	:L.	SW8015B	Ur	its: mg/k	g-dry
Diesel Range Organics (	(C10-C28)	2100			150		20	06/04/09	12 09	NW	5050743
Surr n-Pentacosane		D	*	%	20-154		20	06/04/09	12 09	NW	5050743
Prep Method	Prep Date		Prep Initials	Prep	Factor	]					
SW3550B	06/03/2009 12 01	*	QMT	1 00							
GASOLINE RANGE C	RGANICS					MC	L.	SW8015B	Ur	its: mg/k	g-dry
Gasoline Range Organic	s :	2000			73	1	500	06/03/09	19 <sup>.</sup> 57	WLV	5051620
Surr 1,4-Difluorobenz	ene	108		%	63-142		500	06/03/09	19 57	WLV	5051620
Surr 4-Bromofluorobe	enzene 25	57 MI	*	%	50-159	I	500	06/03/09	19 57	WLV	5051620
Prep Method	Prep Date		Prep Initials	Prep	Factor	]					
SW5030B	06/03/2009 10.48		XML	1 00		j					
PERCENT MOISTURE						MC	L.	D2216	Ur	nits: wt%	
Percent Moisture		31 1			0	l	1	06/02/09	17:29	CFS	5047534
PURGEABLE AROMA	ATICS					МС	L	SW8021B	Ur	its: ug/k	g-dry
Benzene		1300			360	l	250	06/04/09	14 04	WLV	5051933
Toluene	7	6000			360	ŀ	250	06/04/09	14:04	WLV	5051933
Ethylbenzene	3	7000			360	•	250	06/04/09	14.04	WLV	5051933
m,p-Xylene	15	0000			360		250	06/04/09	14 04	WLV .	5051933
o-Xylene	3	9000			360		250	06/04/09	14 04	WLV	5051933
Xylenes,Total	18	9000			363		250	06/04/09	14.04	WLV	5051933
Surr 1,4-Difluorobenz	zene	102		%	70-130		250	06/04/09	14.04	WLV	5051933
Surr 4-Bromofluorobe	enzene 10	5 MI	*	%	63-145		250	06/04/09	14 04	WIV	5051933

Prep Method	Prep Date	Prep Initials	Prep Factor
SW5030B	06/03/2009 10:48	XML	1 00

Qualifiers:

ND/U - Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

- B/V Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- \* Surrogate Recovery Outside Advisable QC Limits

J - Estimated Value between MDL and PQL

E - Estimated Value exceeds calibration curve

TNTC - Too numerous to count

>MCL - Result Over Maximum Contamination Limit(MCL) D - Surrogate Recovery Unreportable due to Dilution MI - Matrix Interference

> 09060049 Page 8 6/23/2009 3 02 28 PM

# **Quality Control Documentation**

09060049 Page 9 6/23/2009 3 02 29 PM

۰.



HOUSTON LABORATORY 8880 INTERCHANGE DRIVE HOUSTON, TX 77054 (713) 660-0901

#### **Conoco Phillips** Illinois Camp Well#1 Battery

Analysis: Method:	Diesel Range Organi SW8015B	CS				WorkOrder: Lab Batch ID:	09060049 90755		
Method Blank					Samples in Analytical Batch:				
RunID HP_V_0	90603A-5050726	Units:	mg/kg		Lab Sample ID	Client Sar	npie ID		
Analysis Date <sup>.</sup>	06/03/2009 16 49	Analyst.	NW		09060049-02A	SB-1 24'			
Preparation Date	06/03/2009 12 01	Prep By	QMT N	lethod SW3550B	09060049-03A	SB-1 39'			
					09060049-05A	\$B-2 24'			
	Analyte		Result	Rep Limit	09060049-06A	SB-2 6'			
Dies	el Range Organics (C10-C2	8)	ND	50					
S	urr n-Pentacosane		98 7	20-154					

Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)

RuniD	HP
Analysis Date	06/
Preparation Date.	06

\_V\_090603A-5050727 /03/2009 17 09 /03/2009 12:01

Units mg/kg NW Analyst: Prep By QMT Method SW3550B

Analyte	Spike Added	Result	Percent Recovery	Lower Limit	Upper Limit
Diesel Range Organics (C10-C28)	33 3	32 3	97 0	57	150
Surr n-Pentacosane	1.66	1.72	104	20	154

#### Matrix Spike (MS) / Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD)

Sample Spiked	09060101-06		
RunID	HP_V_090603A-5050733	Units	mg/kg-dry
Analysis Date.	06/03/2009 21 54	Analyst <sup>.</sup>	NW
Preparation Date	06/03/2009 12 <sup>.</sup> 01	Prep By	QMT Method SW3550B

Analyte	Sample Result	MS Spike Added	MS Result	MS % Recovery	MSD Spike Added	MSD Result	MSD % Recovery	RPD	RPD Limit	Low Limit	High Limit
Diesel Range Organics (C10-C28)	5330	34 7	3990	N/C	34.7	2040	N/C	N/C	50	21	175
Surr n-Pentacosane	· ND	1.73	D	D	1.73	D	D	D	30	20	154

Qualifiers:

ND/U - Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

- B/V Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- J Estimated value between MDL and PQL
- MI Matrix Interference

D - Recovery Unreportable due to Dilution \* - Recovery Outside Advisable QC Limits

- E Estimated Value exceeds calibration curve

N/C - Not Calculated - Sample concentration is greater than 4 times the amount of spike added. Control limits do not apply.

TNTC - Too numerous to count

QC results presented on the QC Summary Report have been rounded. RPD and percent recovery values calculated by the SPL LIMS system are derived from QC data prior to the application of rounding rules.

09060049 Page 10

6/23/2009 3 02 30 PM



8880 INTERCHANGE DRIVE

HOUSTON, TX 77054

(713) 660-0901

### **Conoco Phillips**

#### Illinois Camp Well#1 Battery

Analysis: Method:	Purgeable Aromatics SW8021B				WorkOrder: Lab Batch ID:	09060049 R274429
	Meth	od Blank		Samples in Analyti	cal Batch:	
RunID HP_O_0	90603A-5049287	Units	ug/kg	Lab Sample ID	Client Sar	nple ID
Analysis Date.	06/03/2009 11 54	Analyst	WLV	09060049-03A	SB-1 39'	
Preparation Date	06/03/2009 11.54	Prep By <sup>.</sup>	Method SW5030B	09060049-05A	SB-2 24'	

Analyte	Result	Rep Limit
Benzene	ND	10
Ethylbenzene	ND	10
Toluene	ND	10
m,p-Xylene	ND	10
o-Xylene	ND	10
Xylenes,Total	ND	10
Surr 1,4-Difluorobenzene	95 9	70-130
Surr 4-Bromofluorobenzene	96 7	63-145

ene	ND	10
benzene	ND	10
ene	ND	10
Xylene	ND	1 0
ene	ND	1 0
nes,Total	ND	1 0
rr 1,4-Difluorobenzene	95 9	70-130
rr 4-Bromofluorobenzene	96 7	63-145

#### Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)

RunID <sup>.</sup>
Analysis Date:
Preparation Date

06/03/2009 11 26 06/03/2009 11 26

HP\_O\_090603A-5049286

Units: ug/kg Analyst: WLV Prep By Method SW5030B

Analyte	Spike Added	Result	Percent Recovery	Lower Limit	Upper Limit
Benzene	20 0	22 1	111	70	130
Ethylbenzene	20.0	22.0	110	75	122
Toluene	20 0	23 2	116	75	123
m,p-Xylene	40.0	43.4	108	74	122
o-Xylene	20.0	21.2	106	70	130
Xylenes,Total	60 0	64.6	108	70	130
Surr 1,4-Difluorobenzene	100	92.5	92.5	70	130
Surr 4-Bromofluorobenzene	100	94.8	94 8	63	145

#### Matrix Spike (MS) / Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD)

Sample Spiked:	09060101-01		
RunID.	HP_O_090603A-5049291	Units.	ug/kg-dry
Analysis Date:	06/03/2009 14:03	Analyst:	WLV
Preparation Date	06/03/2009 11 18	Prep By	XML Method SW5030B

Qualifiers: ND/U - Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

B/V - Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

MI - Matrix Interference

D - Recovery Unreportable due to Dilution

\* - Recovery Outside Advisable QC Limits

J - Estimated value between MDL and PQL E - Estimated Value exceeds calibration curve

N/C - Not Calculated - Sample concentration is greater than 4 times the amount of spike added. Control limits do not apply.

TNTC - Too numerous to count

QC results presented on the QC Summary Report have been rounded. RPD and percent recovery values calculated by the SPL LIMS system are derived from QC data prior to the application of rounding rules

09060049 Page 11 6/23/2009 3 02 30 PM



HOUSTON LABORATORY 8880 INTERCHANGE DRIVE HOUSTON, TX 77054

(713) 660-0901

#### **Conoco Phillips**

#### Illinois Camp Well#1 Battery

Analysis: Purgeable Aroma Method: SW8021B		tics						WorkOrder Lab Batch I	: 090 ID: R23	)60049 74429		
	Analyte	Sample Result	MS Spike Added	MS Result	MS % Recovery	MSD Spike Added	MSD Result	MSD % Recovery	RPD	RPD Limit	Low Limit	Hıgh Lımit
Benzene		ND	20 3	18 5	90 4	20 3	19 6	95.9	5 84	31	41	133
Ethylbenzene		ND	20 3	14 6	718	20 3	15 7	77 3	7 38	39	31	129
Toluene		ND	20 3	17 4	85 8	20 3	18 7	92 3	7 32	25	34	130
m,p-Xylene		ND	40 6	28 4	69 9	40 6	30 3	74 6	6 45	26	35	123
o-Xylene		ND	20 3	14 0	69 0	20 3	14 8	, 72 8	5 37	35	33	, 124
Xylenes,Total		ND	60 9	42 4	69.6	60 9	45 1	74 0	6 10	35	33	124
Surr 1,4-Di	luorobenzene	ND	101	98 4	97.0	101	97.8	96 3	0 687	30	70	130
Surr 4-Bror	nofluorobenzene	ND	101	102	101	101	101	99 8	0 826	30	63	145

Qualifiers: ND/U - Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

- B/V Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- J Estimated value between MDL and PQL

MI - Matrix Interference

D - Recovery Unreportable due to Dilution

\* - Recovery Outside Advisable QC Limits

- E Estimated Value exceeds calibration curve
- N/C Not Calculated Sample concentration is greater than 4 times the amount of spike added Control limits do not apply

TNTC - Too numerous to count

QC results presented on the QC Summary Report have been rounded. RPD and percent recovery values calculated by the SPL LIMS system are derived from QC data prior to the application of rounding rules

09060049 Page 12 6/23/2009 3 02 30 PM



8880 INTERCHANGE DRIVE HOUSTON, TX 77054 (713) 660-0901

### **Conoco Phillips**

#### Illinois Camp Well#1 Battery

Analysis: Method:	Gasoline Range Orga SW8015B	anics	ı			WorkOrder: Lab Batch ID:	09060049 R274576
	Meth	od Blank			Samples in Analyti	ical Batch:	
RunID HP_C	0_090603C-5051611	Units <sup>,</sup>	mg/kg		Lab Sample ID	Client Sa	mple ID
Analysis Date <sup>.</sup>	06/03/2009 11 54	Analyst <sup>.</sup>	WLV		09060049-02A	SB-1 24'	
Preparation Dat	te: 06/03/2009 11.54	Prep By	N	Nethod SW5030B	09060049-03A	SB-1 39'	
					09060049-05A	SB-2 24'	
	Analyte		Result	Rep Limit	09060049-06A	SB-2 6'	
G	asoline Range Organics		ND	0 10			
	Surr 1,4-Difluorobenzene		96 7	63-142			
	Surr 4-Bromofluorobenzene		98.8	50-159			

	Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)									
RunID.	HP_O_090603C-5051615	Units	mg/kg							
Analysis Date.	06/03/2009 17:36	Analyst	WLV							
Preparation Date	06/03/2009 17:36	Prep By.	Method SW5030B							

Analyte	Spike Added	Result	Percent Recovery	Lower Limit	Upper Limit
Gasoline Range Organics	1 00	1 04	104	70	130
Surr 1,4-Difluorobenzene	0 100	0.1	100	63	142
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	0 100	0 102	102	50	159

#### Matrix Spike (MS) / Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD)

Sample Spiked RunID Analysis Date: Preparation Date:

ed 09060101-01 HP\_O\_090603C-5051617 e: 06/03/2009 18:32 Date: 06/03/2009 11 18

Units: mg/kg-dry Analyst. WLV Prep By XML Method SW5030B

Analyte Sample MS MS MS % MSD MSD MSD % RPD RPD Low High Limit Limit Result Spike Result Recovery Spike Result Recovery Limit Added Added Gasoline Range Organics ND 1 01 0 553 50 8 1.01 0.539 49.4 2 68 50 26 147 Surr 1,4-Difluorobenzene ND 0 101 0 102 100 0.101 0 101 999 0 300 30 63 142 Surr 4-Bromofluorobenzene ND 0.101 0 106 104 0 101 0 105 103 0 966 30 50 159

Qualifiers: ND/U - Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

ND/O - Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{B/\!V}}\xspace$  - Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

J - Estimated value between MDL and PQL

MI - Matrix Interference D - Recovery Unreportable due to Dílution

\* - Recovery Outside Advisable QC Limits

E - Estimated Value exceeds calibration curve

N/C - Not Calculated - Sample concentration is greater than 4 times the amount of spike added. Control limits do not apply

TNTC - Too numerous to count

QC results presented on the QC Summary Report have been rounded RPD and percent recovery values calculated by the SPL LIMS system are derived from QC data prior to the application of rounding rules

09060049 Page 13

6/23/2009 3 02 30 PM



#### HOUSTON LABORATORY 8880 INTERCHANGE DRIVE HOUSTON, TX 77054

(713) 660-0901

# **Conoco Phillips**

#### Illinois Camp Well#1 Battery

Analysis: Method:	Purgeable Aromatics SW8021B	•			WorkOrder: Lab Batch ID:	09060049 R274588	
·	Meth	od Blank		Samples in Analytic	cal Batch:		
RunID HP_O_0	90604A-5051931	Units <sup>.</sup>	ug/kg	Lab Sample ID	Client Sam	ple ID	
Analysis Date <sup>.</sup>	06/04/2009 13 08	Analyst <sup>.</sup>	WLV	09060049-02A	SB-1 24'		
Preparation Date	06/04/2009 13 08	Prep By	Method SW5030B	09060049-06A	SB-2 6'		

Analyte	Result	Rep Limit
Benzene	ND	1.0
Ethylbenzene	ND	10
Toluene	ND	1.0
m,p-Xylene	ND	10
o-Xylene	ND	10
Xylenes,Total	ND	10
Surr 1,4-Difluorobenzene	94 9	70-130
Surr 4-Bromofluorobenzene	96 9	63-145

#### Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)

-	RunID	
	Analysis	Date

06/04/2009 12 12 e: Preparation Date 06/04/2009 12 12

HP\_O\_090604A-5051930 Units ug/kg WLV Analyst<sup>.</sup> Prep B

-		
у	Method	SW5030B

Analyte	Spike Added	Result	Percent Recovery	Lower Limit	Upper Limit
Benzene	20 0	21.0	105	70	130
Ethylbenzene	20.0	20.6	103	75	122
Toluene	20 0	22 1	110	75	123
m,p-Xylene	40 0	40 5	101	74	122
o-Xylene	20 0	20 1	101	70	130
Xylenes,Total	· 60 0	60 6	101	70	130
Surr: 1,4-Difluorobenzene	100	95 4	95 4	70	130
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	100	98 7	98 7	63	145

#### Matrix Spike (MS) / Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD)

Sample Spiked	09060
RunID:	HP_O
Analysis Date <sup>.</sup>	06/05
Preparation Date	06/04

0049-02 090604A-5053596 Units. /2009 0.24 Analyst<sup>.</sup> /2009 11 01

ug/kg-dry WLV Prep By XML Method SW5030B

ND/U - Not Detected at the Reporting Limit Qualifiers:

- , B/V Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- MI Matrix Interference

D - Recovery Unreportable due to Dilution

- \* Recovery Outside Advisable QC Limits
- J Estimated value between MDL and PQL E - Estimated Value exceeds calibration curve
- N/C Not Calculated Sample concentration is greater than 4 times the amount of spike added. Control limits do not apply
- TNTC Too numerous to count

QC results presented on the QC Summary Report have been rounded. RPD and percent recovery values calculated by the SPL LIMS system are derived from QC data prior to the application of rounding rules

09060049 Page 14 6/23/2009 3 02 31 PM



HOUSTON LABORATORY 8880 INTERCHANGE DRIVE

HOUSTON, TX 77054 (713) 660-0901

#### **Conoco Phillips**

#### Illinois Camp Well#1 Battery

Analysis:	Purgeable Aromatics	5						WorkOrder	: 090	60049		
Method:	SW8021B							Lab Batch I	D: R2	74588		
	Analyte	Sample Result	MS Spike Added	MS Result	MS % Recovery	MSD Spike Added	MSD Result	MSD % Recovery	RPD	RPD Limit	Low Limit	High Limit
Benzene		ND	542	612	113	542	645	119	5 16	31	41	133
Ethylbenzene		981	542	1890	167 *	542	2110	209 *	11 3	39	31	129
Toluene		203	542	1020	151 *	542	1100	166 *	7 26	25	34	130
m,p-Xylene		5370	1080	6190	N/C	1080	6710	N/C	N/C	26	35	123
o-Xylene		1940	542	2430	90 5	542	7140	960 *	98 5 *	35	33	124
Xylenes,Total		7300	1630	8620	N/C	1630	13800	N/C	N/C	35	33	124
Surr 1,4-Dif	luorobenzene	ND	2710	2530	93.2	2710	2560	94.5	1 32	30	70	130
Surr 4-Brom	nofluorobenzene	ND	2710	3830	141	2710	MI 191	191 *	30 0	30	63	145

Qualifiers: ND/U - Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

- B/V Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- g Limit MI Matrix Interference
  - D Recovery Unreportable due to Dilution
  - \* Recovery Outside Advisable QC Limits
- J Estimated value between MDL and PQL E - Estimated Value exceeds calibration curve
- N/C Not Calculated Sample concentration is greater than 4 times the amount of spike added Control limits do not apply.
- TNTC Too numerous to count

QC results presented on the QC Summary Report have been rounded. RPD and percent recovery values calculated by the SPL LIMS system are derived from QC data prior to the application of rounding rules

09060049 Page 15 6/23/2009 3 02 31 PM



#### **Quality Control Report**

### HOUSTON LABORATORY 8880 INTERCHANGE DRIVE

HOUSTON, TX 77054 (713) 660-0901

## **Conoco Phillips**

Illinois Camp Well#1 Battery

Analysis: Method:	PERCENT MOISTURE		WorkOrder: Lab Batch ID:	09060049 R274319	
		Samples in Analytical	Batch:		
		Lab Sample ID	Client Sam	ple ID	
		09060049-01A	SB-1 3'		
		09060049-02A	SB-1 24'		
		09060049-03A	SB-1 39'		
		09060049-04A	SB-2 3'		
		09060049-05A	SB-2 24'		
		09060049-06A	SB-2 6'		

	Sample Duplicate		
Original Sample: BunID:	09060049-01 WET 090602G-5047540	l Inits:	wt%
Analysis Date <sup>-</sup>	06/02/2009 17 29	Analyst <sup>-</sup>	CFS
Analysis Date	0010212009 17 29	Analyst	613

Analyte	Sample Result	DUP Result	RPD	RPD Limit
Percent Moisture	12 3	12.33	0	20

Qualifiers:	ND/U - Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
Quanneron	Here Hore Boloolog at the hoporting Einit

- B/V Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- J Estimated value between MDL and PQL
- MI Matrix Interference
- D Recovery Unreportable due to Dilution
- \* Recovery Outside Advisable QC Limits
- E Estimated Value exceeds calibration curve
- N/C Not Calculated Sample concentration is greater than 4 times the amount of spike added Control limits do not apply.
- TNTC Too numerous to count

QC results presented on the QC Summary Report have been rounded. RPD and percent recovery values calculated by the SPL LIMS system are derived from QC data prior to the application of rounding rules.

09060049 Page 16 6/23/2009 3 02 31 PM



#### HOUSTON LABORATORY 8880 INTERCHANGE DRIVE HOUSTON, TX 77054 (713) 660-0901

#### Conoco Phillips Illinois Camp Well#1 Battery

Analysis: Method:	lon Chromatography E300.0 MOD	/			WorkOrder: Lab Batch ID:	09060049 R274501
	Meti	nod Blank		Samples in Analytic	al Batch:	
RunID. IC1_090	0603C-5050354	Units <sup>.</sup>	mg/kg	Lab Sample ID	Client Sampl	e ID
Analysis Date	06/03/2009 22 12	Analyst	BDG	09060049-01A	SB-1 3'	
				09060049-03A	SB-1 39'	
				09060049-04A	SB-2 3'	
	Analyte		Result Rep Limit	. 09060049-05A	SB-2 24'	
Chl	oride		ND 50			

	Laboratory	<u>/ Control S</u>	<u>Sample (L</u>	<u>CS)</u>		
RunID Analysıs Date <sup>.</sup>	IC1_090603C-5050 06/03/2009 22 31	355 Ur Ar	nits n nalyst: B	ng/kg IDG		
An	alyte	Spike Added	Result	Percent Recovery	Lower Limit	Upper Limit
Chlorido		100 0	92.11	92.11	80	120

#### Matrix Spike (MS) / Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD)

Sample Spiked: RunID: Analysis Date:

09060049-01 IC1\_090603C-5050347 06/03/2009 18 42

Units mg/kg-dry Anałyst BDG

Analyte	Sample Result	MS Spike Added	MS Result	MS % Recovery	MSD Spike Added	MSD Result	MSD % Recovery	RPD	RPD Limit	Low Limit	High Limit
Chloride	755.0	1140	2101	118 0	1140	2139	121.4	1 783	20	75	125

Qualifiers: ND/U - Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

- B/V Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- MI Matrix Interference

D - Recovery Unreportable due to Dilution

\* - Recovery Outside Advisable QC Limits

J - Estimated value between MDL and PQL E - Estimated Value exceeds calibration curve

N/C - Not Calculated - Sample concentration is greater than 4 times the amount of spike added. Control limits do not apply

TNTC - Too numerous to count

QC results presented on the QC Summary Report have been rounded RPD and percent recovery values calculated by the SPL LIMS system are derived from QC data prior to the application of rounding rules

09060049 Page 17

6/23/2009 3 02 31 PM

Sample Receipt Checklist And Chain of Custody

> 09060049 Page 18 6/23/2009 3 02 31 PM

.



.

HOUSTON LABORATORY

8880 INTERCHANGE DRIVE HOUSTON, TX 77054 (713) 660-0901

,

# Sample Receipt Checklist

Workorder. 09060049		Received By	RE
Date and Time Received: 6/2/2009 9:30:00 AM		Carrier name	SPL
Temperature: 2.5°C		Chilled by	Water Ice
1. Shipping container/cooler in good condition?	Yes 🔽	No	Not Present
2. Custody seals intact on shippping container/cooler?	Yes	No 🗌	Not Present
3. Custody seals intact on sample bottles?	Yes	No 🗌	Not Present
4. Chain of custody present?	Yes 🗹	No	
5. Chain of custody signed when relinquished and received?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌	
6. Chain of custody agrees with sample labels?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌	
7. Samples in proper container/bottle?	Yes 🔽	No 🗌	
8. Sample containers intact?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌	
9. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test?	Yes 🗹	No	
10. All samples received within holding time?	Yes 🔽	Νο	
11. Container/Temp Blank temperature in compliance?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌	
12. Water - VOA vials have zero headspace?	Yes	No 🗌 VO	A Vials Not Present 🗹
13. Water - Preservation checked upon receipt (except VOA*)?	Yes	No	Not Applicable
*VOA Preservation Checked After Sample Analysis			
SPL Representative:	Contact Date	& Time:	
Client Name Contacted:			
Non Conformance Issues:		<u> </u>	
Client Instructions:		······································	

$\mathbf{SPL, Inc. } \mathcal{P}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{O}\mathcal{O}$									SPL Workorder No.					270	270417					
Analysis Request & Chain of Custody Record									Congrest Phillip Busine sille 1. 1. Hobspage 1 of 1											
lient Name: ConscaPhilling / Tetra Tech matrix bottle size pres.									R	eques	sted A	naly	sis							
Address: 1910 N. B.S.	Spinym	: Stong T	x 797	105	- <u>1</u>	ass ler	'ial er													
Phone/Fax: 432-686-	80810				Ö	r gl	othe	~	rs	8	$\mathbf{C}$									
Client Contact: C. Dur ett Email: chiles dur at At that the to			ther	nbe I X		NOC	aine	A 3	- m	on on										
Project Name/No.: Subside Inicity tim - 6400139			X=0	A=aı =via	-40: 502	H= )	onta	0 Å	$\sim$	S S						l				
Site Name: LII inois Camp	walt 1	Batterg			e l	~ ~ ~	4 = 5	4	of C	35	Z									
Site Location: Artisics	'ater Judg	astic ass	iter z 1(	SQ2	ber (		u o i	Щ Ш												
Invoice To: Bas new Unit. Ho	bbs	Ph:	I I		V=V L=s	=pl; i=gl	=1 1	=HC	lmu	260	Ĩ.		ļ							
SAMPLE ID	DATE	TIME	comp	grab	20	<u>д ()</u>	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	<u> </u>	Z	F			w			<u></u>				
3B-1 3'	5/24/09		<b> </b>	X	S	6	4	X	31		<u>×</u>									
5B-1 24'	5/25/09			X.	5	G	4	X	} .	X		X								
33-1 35'	5/29/09			Х	5	G	И	×	ì	$\star$	×	$\times$			•					
53-2 3'	5/24/04			×	5	G	н	X	1	*	X	16								
63-2 24'	5/20/09			×	5	G	н	Х	1	Х	X	X								
58-2 6	05129109			X	S	G	4	$\times$	1	X		X		an apply	9	1	<b>1</b>			
																1				
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·												0	a trait			5		
											. <u></u> .						•			
Client/Consultant Remarks:			I		Laborat	і огу гета	rks:		l	]		<u> </u>			Intact	? [	IY	J <sub>N</sub>		
Ice? Temp: $2  \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} V \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} $										JN										
Requested TAT       Special Reporting Requirements Results:       Fax       Email       Special Detection Limits (specify):       PM review conitial):																				
Contract 72hr	Standard QC Level 3 QC Level 4 QC TX TRRP LA RECAP																			
24hr 🗋 Standard 🗋	1. Relinquished by Sampler:						date <u> </u> <u> </u>	> 5	time 1000	ne 2. Received by:										
48hr	3. Helinquished by:						date		time 4. Received by:											
other XI ASAP	5. Relinquished by:						date	1.0	time	6. Raceived by Dathoratory:										
							4	$10^{\circ}$			1 M	<u>u</u>	<u> </u>							
<b>Base Service States and Service Service 19</b> 8880 Interchange	e Drive				500 Am	bassado	or Caffe	ry Parl	kway 5			Trave		459 H	lughes 49686	5 <b>Drive</b> (231)	947-57	77		

•