# KELLAHIN & KELLAHIN

#### ATTORNEY AT LAW

W. THOMAS KELLAHIN P.O. BOX 2265 New MEXICO BOARD OF LEGAL SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87504 SPECIALIZATION RECOGNIZED SPECIALIST 117 NORTH GUADALUPE IN THE AREA OF NATURAL RESOURCES- SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87501 OIL AND GAS LAW

TELÉPHONE 505-982-4285 FACSIMILE 505-982-2047 TKELLAHIN@AOL.COM

November 13, 2002

#### Via Hand Delivered and Fussimile

Ms. Lori Wrotenbery, Chairman Oil Conservation Commission 1220 South Saint Francis Drive Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

Re: Richardson's objection to San Juan Coal Company post hearing request to supplement the record NMOCD Case: 12734 (De Novo) Application of Richardson Operating Company to establish a Special "Infill Well" Area within the Basin-Fruitland Coal Gas Pool as provided in

Rule of the special rule for this pool.

San Juan County, New Mexico

Dear Ms. Wrotenbery:

On behalf of Richardson Operating Company please find enclosed our objection to San Juan Coal Company's motion to supplement the record which was filed yesterday.

trifiv

Thomas Kellahin

Steve Ross

cc:

Attorney for the Commission David Brooks, Esq. Attorneys for the Division James Bruce, Esq., Attorney for San Juan Coal Company Richardson Operating Company Attn: David Richardson

> Application of Richardson Operating Co. Record on Appeal, 1997.

## STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

#### CASE NO. 12734 (De Novo)

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF RICHARDSON OPERATING COMPANY TO ESTABLISH A SPECIAL INFILL WELL" AREA WITHIN THE BASEN-FRUITLAND COAL GAS POOL AS PROVIDED BY RULE 4 OF THE SPECIAL POOL RULES, SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

## RICHARDSON OPERATING COMPANY'S OBJECTION TO SAM JUAN COAL COMPANY'S MOTION TO SUPPLEMENT THE RECORD

Richardson Operating Company ("Richardson"), by its attorneys, Kellahin and Kellahin, hereby requests that the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission ("Commission") DENY San Ivan Coal Company's ("SJCC") motion to supplement the record and states:

(1) At the hearing before the Commission, SJCC chose not to introduce its desorption data in support Mr. Dan Paul Smith's expert testimony.

(2) SJCC was provided ample time prior to the hearing to prepare its case and voluntarily chose not to present its desorption data.

(3) SJCC was represented by three (3) attorneys at the hearing and none of them sought to preserve the opportunity to file the desorption data after the hearing.

Application of Richardson Operating Co. Record on Appeal, 1998. NMOCD Case 12734 (DeNovo)

Richardson's Response the SFCC's motion to supplement the record -Page 3-

(4) Now, SJCC wants to amend the testimony of Mr. Dan Paul Smith with a post-hearing affidarit and thereby alter his testimony and deny Richardson its right to cross-cramine Mr. Smith about his new opinions and conclusions.

(5) SJCC argues that it only wants to do what Richardson was allowed to do.

(6) At the Hearing, Richardson requested and obtained, without any objection from SJCC, the Commission's approval to provide a written response to Dr. Lee's questions.

(7) Unlike Richardson. SJCC failed to obtain at the time of the hearing Commission approve for a post hearing filing to supplement to record.

(8) Unlike, Richardson, SJCC failed to obtain the concurrence of opposing counsel and the time of the hearing.

(9) SICC has waived its opportunity to submit the desorption date to the Commission.

(10) SJCC's motion denies Richardson it right to cross-examine Mr. Smith about this desorption data and its reliability.

> Application of Richardson Operating Co. Record on Appeal, 1999.

PAGE 04

NMOCD Case 12734 (DeNovo)

Richardson's Response the SECC's motion to supplement the record -Page 4-

(11) It is not the responsibility of the Commission to cure the defects in SICC case or to allow a party the opportunity after the hearing to correct its intentional mistakes, or to attempt to rehabilitate its witness.

(12) Pursuant to Section 70-2-13 NMSA (1972), the Commission is required to conduct a De Novo hearing-this is not to be a hearing by affidavit.

WHEREFORE, Richardson's requests that the Commission deny SJCC's motion Respectfully submitted,

W. Thomas Kellahin P. Q. Box 2265 Santa Fe, New Mcxico 87504

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the foregoing pleading was transmitted by facsimile this 13th day of November, 2002 to James Bruce, attorney for San Juan Coal Company.

Thomas Kellahin

Application of Richardson Operating Co. Record on Appeal, 2000.