#### STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

CASE NOS. 13,153 and 13,142

AWAITING FINAL COMMISSION ACTION

NO EVIDENCE OR TESTIMONY TAKEN

EDINGS ORIGINAを

# REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

# COMMISSION HEARING

BEFORE: MARK E. FESMIRE, CHAIRMAN

JAMI BAILEY, COMMISSIONER

FRANK T. CHAVEZ: COMMISSIONER

Santa Fe, New Mexico

December 9th, 2004

This matter came on for hearing before the Oil Conservation Commission, MARK E. FESMIRE, Chairman, on Thursday, December 9th, 2004, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South Saint Francis Drive, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter No. 7 for the State of New Mexico.

\* \* \*

### INDEX

December 9th, 2004
Commission Hearing
CASE NOS. 13,153 and 13,142 (Awaiting final Commission Action - No evidence or testimony taken)

	PAGE
ADOPTION OF MINUTES OF THE COMMISSION MEETING HELD ON NOVEMBER 10th, 2004	4
CASE NO. 13,153 PRESENTATION OF DRAFT ORDER BY MR. BROOKS	6
CASE NO. 13,142 PRESENTATION OF DRAFT ORDER BY MS. LEACH	10
REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	14

\* \* \*

### APPEARANCES

# FOR THE COMMISSION:

DAVID K. BROOKS, JR.
Assistant General Counsel
Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department
1220 South St. Francis Drive
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

CAROL LEACH
General Counsel
Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department
1220 South Saint Francis Drive
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

\* \* \*

1	WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at
2	9:00 a.m.:
3	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: I'd like to call the December
4	9th, 2004, meeting of the Oil Conservation Commission to
5	order.
6	I'd like the record reflect the time, it's 9:00
7	a.m The date is December 9th, 2004. The meeting is
8	taking place in Porter Hall in the Oil Conservation
9	Division office in Santa Fe, New Mexico.
10	My name is Mark Fesmire.
11	To my right is Commissioner Jami Bailey.
12	Commissioner Bailey is the designee of Commissioner Patrick
13	Lyons of the State Land Commission.
14	To my left is Mr. Frank Chavez. He's the former
15	OCD supervisor in Aztec and the appointee of the Energy and
16	Minerals Secretary, Joanna Prukop, to the Commission.
17	To his left is Mr. David Brooks. Mr. Brooks is
18	the Commission counsel.
19	To Commissioner Bailey's right is Florene
20	Davidson. Ms. Davidson is the Commission secretary.
21	Mr. Steve Brenner is the court reporter today.
22	Let the record reflect that all Commissioners or
23	their designees are present.
24	* * *
, ,	

CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: The first matter of business today is the adoption of the minutes of the November 8th meeting -- I'm sorry, November 10th meeting of the Commission. I'm going to ask Commissioner Bailey and Commissioner Chavez if they've had a chance to review the minutes?

COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Yes, I have and I move that we adopt them.

CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Commissioner Chavez?

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes, I have, and I had just one question for clarity that I hadn't caught earlier, was, at the beginning we mentioned that David Brooks is the Commission counsel in the first paragraph, and in the last paragraph we mentioned Ms. Leach was directed to draft the proposed order.

Just put it up to the rest of the Commission to see if there's no transition in there, if that's significant or not, if it's not a significant issue to point out that Ms. Leach was Commission counsel for the Maralo case.

MR. BROOKS: I don't remember if there was anything said on the record about the reasons for the transition at the time. Probably should have been noted that I was disqualified due to conflict of interest to act as counsel on the Maralo matter, and Ms. Leach acted as

counsel on that matter. 1 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: The third paragraph on the 2 second page of the minutes states that Carol Leach served 3 as counsel for the Commission in this case. 4 COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Oh, I'm sorry, I didn't 5 catch that. 6 7 MR. BROOKS: Okay, that should be adequate. 8 COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: I'm sorry, I missed that 9 reference. Then I move the minutes be accepted. CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: I've read the minutes, and we 10 11 have a motion and a second to adopt the minutes. At this 12 time let's take a vote on the matter. All those in favor of adopting the minutes? 13 14 COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Aye. 15 COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Aye. 16 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Opposed? 17 The minutes will be adopted and signed. 18 19 20 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: The first cause before the 21 Commission today is Case Number 13,153, the rehearing and 22 Application of Pride Energy Company for cancellation of a 23 drilling permit and reinstatement of a drilling permit and an emergency order halting operations and compulsory 24

pooling in Lea County, New Mexico.

25

I'm going to ask Counselor Brooks to brief the Commission on the status of this Order and the disposition of the case to date.

MR. BROOKS: Okay, the Commission will recall that this matter was heard in August, and I prepared an order which was reviewed and adopted by the Commission in September.

The Applicant, Yates Petroleum Corporation, filed a motion for rehearing.

At the October meeting the Commission decided to grant that motion for rehearing, because the Commission wished further elucidation of one particular matter.

And then there was another hearing at the November meeting, and the Chairman requested counsel for the parties to draft a proposed order, which they did, and it was submitted to me. I reworked that order and submitted it to the Commissioners and it is now before us.

I don't think I need to review the facts of the underlying case in any detail, because I believe the Commissioners are familiar with it. But so far as the motion for rehearing, the issue in the rehearing was the right of Yates, which had commenced the re-entry of this well on the compulsory pooled unit which the Commission decided to appoint Pride Energy as operator of.

Yates had commenced this well, the re-entry of

this well, prior to the filing of the case, and the question involved the right of Yates to reimbursement for the costs that it had incurred for its operations of the well.

The Order, as originally entered, had provided that Yates would be entitled to recovery of only such costs as were incurred up to the date that the Application was filed by Pride.

Yates pointed out that it had incurred additional costs after that and that there had been no order at any time directing them not to continue their operations, but rather that, to avoid the necessity of a hearing on that matter, specifically what interim relief, that they had desisted from operations at a point which was some weeks subsequent to the filing of the Application, and Yates had requested that they be reimbursed for all of their expenses that they had incurred.

The Commission felt that not to do would be to give Yates -- would be to give Pride a free ride for some expenses that had been incurred, of which Pride would get the benefit, and accordingly the Commission granted the motion for rehearing.

At the rehearing Yates presented detailed evidence concerning their expenses. Pride presented no evidence but requested further time to review the evidence

presented by Yates, and that procedure was acceptable to Yates.

Then counsel for the parties, pursuant to the Chairman's direction, prepared and submitted a proposed order. The proposed order submitted to me was somewhat unclear in that, in reading the proposed order, it appeared to me that the parties contemplated that Yates would be — that we would go back to square one, and Yates would again be given an opportunity whether or not to participate in this well, be given a second election under the rehearing order, and further that Yates would be given another opportunity to submit a schedule of expenses.

However, the proposed order did not follow through, in my view, sufficiently to explain how all these various elections and submissions were going to work. And because I believe that the Commission wanted to enter a final order which would dispose of these matters, I have attempted to draft an order which would provide the manner in which these elections are going to work, as nearly as I could, the way the proposed order indicated that the parties had agreed that it should work, and that is the order that has been submitted.

The order is a *nunc pro tunc* order in the sense that it -- in the last paragraph, before the retention of jurisdiction paragraph, it directs that the previous order,

1	which was Number 1
2	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE:2,108 Alpha.
3	MR. BROOKS: 12,108-A
4	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Uh-huh.
5	MR. BROOKS: be rescinded in its entirety, and
6	this order, which carries forward all the material
7	provisions, be substituted therefor.
8	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Okay. Commissioner Bailey,
9	have you had a chance to review this order as drafted?
10	COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Yes, I have, and I expect
11	to sign it.
12	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Commissioner Chavez, have you
13	had a chance to review it?
14	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Yes, I have, and I will
15	sign it.
16	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Okay. The record should
17	reflect that I too have reviewed the order, and I agree
18	that it sets out the thinking of the Commission in this
19	matter. At this time we'll sign the order.
20	(Off the record)
21	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: At this time the Chair would
22	entertain a motion to adopt the order.
23	COMMISSIONER BAILEY: I move we adopt the order.
24	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: I second the motion.
25	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: The motion has been moved and

seconded. All in favor? 1 COMMISSIONER BAILEY: 2 Aye. COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: 3 Aye. CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Opposed? Let the record 4 reflect that the motion was unanimously adopted. 5 And let the record reflect that the Order has 6 7 been signed. 8 9 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: The next matter on the docket 10 is Cause Number 13,142. It's de novo and amended, 11 Application of the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division for 12 an order requiring Maralo, LLC, to remediate hydrocarbon 13 contamination at an abandoned well and battery site in Lea 14 15 County, New Mexico. At this time the record should reflect that 16 17 Counsel Brooks was recused from this matter and that the 18 Commission was represented by Counsel Leach, who is the 19 General Counsel for the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and 20 Natural Resources Department. Counsel Leach, would you be so kind as to brief 21 22 the Commission on this Order? 23 MS. LEACH: Mr. Chairman, I'd be happy to do 24 that. You heard this at your last meeting on November

10th, it was a case brought on behalf of the Bureau Chief

25

of the Environmental Bureau of the Oil Conservation

Division, alleging that there had been noncompliance with
several rules.

In your executive session you decided you really wanted to look at Rule 313. The Maralo, LLC, Corporation, had basically not agreed to clean up this area because they felt like the rule was being applied retroactively and cleanup standards were being applied retroactively, and besides that they'd assigned the lease. So they didn't do it, and they weren't the right party, and nothing wrong had ever happened. So that was kind of the defense of the case.

What the Commission expressed to me in their executive session is that they felt like there had not been compliance with Rule 313 because there had been emulsions and possibly sediments and other -- you know, tank bottoms and those kind of things. It may have been, while we're not real sure what happened on that site, because what happened was not witnessed by any of the Oil Conservation witnesses, it was clear that oily substances had been spilled or deposited somehow on the site, and therefore they were responsible for the cleaning up.

The Order basically has a requirement and a time frame in which they need to delineate the extent of the contamination, propose a plan to the Environmental Bureau

for cleaning up and then execute that plan.

As far as like -- the we're-not-the-right-party defense, basically what we looked at is, while they may have assigned their interest and that that assignment was not made known to either the Division or to the State Land Office in any kind of public manner, so we're consistent with our usual operating procedure of going after the current operator of record to be responsible for the cleanup, so...

And as for the retroactivity defense, we felt like the contamination was continuing, that migration was still possible, so it wasn't like it was an old case and not a -- you know, not a continuing problem. So we really feel like there's a retroactivity problem, and so we drafted the order and circulated it to the Commission so that hopefully it reflects the wishes you expressed in executive session.

CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Thank you, Counsel.

Commissioner Bailey, have you had a chance to look over the proposed order?

COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Yes, I have, and I move that we adopt it.

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: I have, and I second the motion.

CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Let the record reflect that I

too have reviewed the proposed order. All those in favor of adopting the order as drafted, signify by saying aye. COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Aye. COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Aye. CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: All those opposed? Let the record reflect that the motion carried unanimously and the order will be signed. And again let the record reflect that in Cause Number 13,142 the Order Number R-12,152-Alpha has been signed. (Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at 9:16 a.m.) 

## CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO )
) ss.
COUNTY OF SANTA FE )

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Commission was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL December 9th, 2004.

STEVEN T. BRENNER

CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 16th, 2006