STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

CASE NOS. 13,226 (Dismissed); 13,345, 13,142, 13,142, de novo and amended, and 13,048 (Continued)

CONTINUED AND DISMISSED CASES

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE: MARK E. FESMIRE, CHAIRMAN

> JAMI BAILEY, COMMISSIONER FRANK T. CHAVEZ, COMMISSIONER

I STATE ORIGINAL

October 14th, 2004

Santa Fe, New Mexico

These matters came on for hearing before the Oil Conservation Commission, MARK E. FESMIRE, Chairman, on Thursday, October 14th, 2004, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South Saint Francis Drive, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter No. 7 for the State of New Mexico.

INDEX

October 14th, 2004 Commission Hearing CASE NOS. 12,459 and 12,601 (Continued)

	PAGE
ADOPTION OF MINUTES OF THE COMMISSION MEETING HELD ON SEPTEMBER 9th, 2004	4
DISMISSAL OF CASE 13,226	4
CONTINUANCE OF CASE 13,345	5
CONTINUANCE OF CASE 13,142	10
CONTINUANCE OF CASE 13,142, de novo and amended	11
CONTINUANCE OF CASE 13,048	11
REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	13

..

APPEARANCES

FOR THE COMMISSION:

DAVID K. BROOKS, JR.
Assistant General Counsel
Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department
1220 South St. Francis Drive
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

* * *

WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at 1 2 9:00 a.m.: CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: At this time we're going to 3 call the October 14th, 2004, meeting of the New Mexico Oil 4 Conservation Commission to order. Let the record reflect 5 that it's nine o'clock, October 14th, 2004, that we're 6 located in Porter Hall, in the OCD office, at 1220 South 7 St. Francis, in Santa Fe, New Mexico. 8 My name is Mark Fesmire. 9 To my right and present is Jami Bailey. 10 Bailey is the designee to the Commission by the 11 12 Commissioner of State Lands, Mr. Patrick Lyons. Attending by teleconference is Commissioner Frank 13 Chavez. Mr. Chavez is the OCD supervisor in Aztec and 14 appointee of the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural 15 Resources Department Secretary, Joanna Prukop. 16 Commission Counsel, Mr. David Brooks, is present. 17 18 Commission Secretary, Ms. Florene Davidson, is 19 present. The court reporter today is Mr. Steve Brenner. 20 Let the record reflect that two Commissioners are 21 22 physically present and the third Commissioner is present by 23 teleconference. 24 At this time we'll take up the business matters The first will be the adoption of the 25 of the Commission.

minutes of the last meeting held on September 9th, 2004. 1 Let me ask the Commissioners if they've had a 2 chance to review the minutes? 3 COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Yes, I have, and I move 4 5 that we adopt them. CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Is there a second? 6 7 COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: I have reviewed them, and I second the motion. 8 9 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: All those in favor? 10 COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Aye. 11 COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Aye. 12 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: All those opposed? 13 The minutes of the September 9th, 2004, meeting are hereby adopted by the Commission. Let the record 14 reflect that I've signed those minutes. 15 16 17 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: There's one other order that 18 we need to address. It's Cause Number 13,226, the de novo 19 Application of OXY USA WTP Limited Partnership for 20 rescission of the approval of an application for permit to 21 drill and for compulsory pooling in Eddy County, New 22 Mexico. 23 Mr. Brooks, would you have anything to say about 24 that? 25

MR. BROOKS: No, the Applicant has requested this 1 be dismissed without qualification, and accordingly we've 2 prepared a generic form of order of dismissal. 3 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Okay. Commissioner Chavez, 4 would you have any objection to that dismissal? 5 COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: No, I don't. 6 7 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Commissioner Bailey? COMMISSIONER BAILEY: 8 No, I don't. 9 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Is there a motion? COMMISSIONER BAILEY: I move we dismiss it. 10 11 COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: I second. 12 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: With that, we'll sign the order dismissing that cause. 13 Let the record reflect that the order was signed 14 15 by two Commissioners, the third Commissioner appearing by teleconference, agreeing in the decision but has not 16 physically signed the order. 17 18 19 20 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: The next cause before the 21 Commission is Cause Number 13,345, the Application of the 22 New Mexico Oil Conservation Division through the Supervisor 23 of District 1 for an emergency order requiring Maralo, LLC, to properly plug one well in Lea County, New Mexico, and 24

authorizing the Division to plug said well and ordering a

25

forfeiture of applicable plugging bond.

It's my understanding that this case is to be dismissed; is that correct, Mr. Brooks?

MR. BROOKS: That is correct. Now, in this case, of course, I was acting as counsel for the Division, and we did request that this case be dismissed, the Division requested the case be dismissed. The Division requested the case be dismissed without prejudice. I don't know -- When I was on vacation, Mr. Kellahin, the attorney for the respondent, filed a -- or didn't file anything, but he left a call for me and said he wanted to discuss this. I don't know what he wanted to discuss, I don't know whether it was the "without prejudice" language or not. But whatever he wanted to discuss, I've been unable to contact him since his return. Therefore, I recommend the Commission carry this on its docket to the next meeting for action. And I am confident it will be dismissed, but perhaps we need to work out the exact language in the order.

CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Commissioner Chavez, would you have any objection to continuing this to the next docket?

COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: No, not at all.

CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Commissioner Bailey?

COMMISSIONER BAILEY: No, I have no objection, but I also have a question whether or not any spill report has been filed with the OCD in accordance with OCD Rules

for unplanned release of natural gas.

MR. BROOKS: I doubt that it has been. I have no knowledge of that subject specifically, but I have no reason to believe it has been.

COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Is that something that should be under the jurisdiction of OCD? I'm referring to a September 10th letter from Maralo to Mr. Fesmire saying that there was a flow of gas to the surface from this well.

MR. BROOKS: Yeah, this has been a question that has arisen before, and perhaps it's something that the Division would want to look into.

But should we take action to require a spill report to be filed or require reporting by the operator when we have full knowledge of all the facts and what action is appropriate for the Division to take in that circumstance, actually since we were out there on the location, we probably have more knowledge of what actually went on than the operator does, although they were on location part of the time also, I believe.

But I believe that's a matter which perhaps the Director might want to look into. I'm not sure that the Commission is in a position to take notice up to this time.

CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Commissioner Bailey, this well has been plugged. This is the one that was flowing gas to the surface and has been for about -- between 30 and 40

years.

During the Labor Day holiday, there were some kids out there that apparently ignited the gas and were hanging around, for lack of a better term. There were some lights come over the hill. They decided to leave the location in a hurry. When they did, they caused an automobile accident. The next day the property owner got out and dug around and found this well. In so doing, he opened up what dirt had been covering it.

We, in an emergency, used an emergency order to re-enter and plug that well, but it turned out to be a water well -- at least that's every indication that we can find now -- a water well that was leaking gas to the surface.

At this time we have another case against Maralo with similar circumstances, except they weren't a water well, and it appears that that well did belong to Maralo. Maralo argues that they are not responsible for this well in that they didn't drill it, and it does appear to be a water well when we plugged it. So we decided not to pursue this case against them for that reason.

MR. BROOKS: Yes, this would appear to be -- from what we were told, would appear to be a water well, and we do not have any record as to when or by whom it was drilled, so we were not in a position to prove that it was

a service well. If it was a service well, we would take the position that they -- the Division would take the position that they would be responsible, but we did not have any evidence that it was drilled as a service well. COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Then there's no violation of OCD Rules on spill reporting? MR. BROOKS: Well, there may be a violation of Rules on spill reporting. That was not brought up in the case and therefore is not before the Commission at this time. But I think arguably there is. Now, we did have an issue, like I said, about a year ago, where we cited somebody for a violation of spillreporting rules, where we had full knowledge of the facts, as we apparently do in this case, and there was some controversy about that. But you know, I would not represent that there was not a violation of the spill reporting rule, because that's a different rule and it has different standards from the plugging rule. COMMISSIONER BAILEY: I just bring it up as an issue that may be important to take notice of. MR. BROOKS: Okay, very good. CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Mr. Brooks, would you

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

investigate that issue and report to us at the next hearing?

MR. BROOKS: I will do it.

CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Thank you. 1 COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Thank you. 2 So Cause Number 13,345 will be CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: 3 continued to the next hearing docket -- to the next 4 Commission meeting. 5 6 7 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: The next item before the 8 Commission is Case Number 13,142, the de novo continued 9 from the September 9th, 2004, Commission Hearing. 10 Application of the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division for 11 an order requiring Maralo, LLC, to remediate hydrocarbon 12 contamination at an abandoned well and battery site. 13 14 Mr. Brooks, I understand that this continuation 15 has been agreed to by the Commission's attorney? 16 MR. BROOKS: It is my understanding that that is 17 the case. Now, this -- because I represent the Division in this matter, I'm not the Commission attorney on that case. 18 19 Carol Leach is acting as Commission attorney. But my 20 understanding is that you are correct. 21 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Okay, so this cause will be 22 continued to the next Commission meeting? 23 MR. BROOKS: That's correct. 24

25

CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: The next case before the 1 Commission is Case Number 13,142, de novo and amended, 2 Application of the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division for 3 an order requiring -- I'm sorry, is that the same case? 4 5 Yes. MR. BROOKS: Yeah. 6 7 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Why is it in the docket twice? MR. BROOKS: Well, I believe because it was 8 9 docketed both on a de novo application and on an amended application by the Division. 10 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Okay. So since this is a 11 related case, the parties are asking that we continue this 12 13 to the next Commission meeting? 14 MR. BROOKS: Correct. CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Okay. Case Number 13,142 will 15 16 be continued to the next Commission meeting. 17 18 CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: The next item on the docket is 19 20 Case Number 13,048, continued from the August 12th, 2004, It's an Application of Devon Energy Production 21 22 Company, L.P., for compulsory pooling in Lea County, New 23 Mexico. 24 Mr. Brooks, what's the status of that case? 25 MR. BROOKS: My understanding is that the parties

1	have requested that it be continued indefinitely to avoid
2	the necessity of readvertising, if, as and when it is
3	revived we had concluded by "we" I mean the Commission
4	attorney and the Commission Secretary have concluded
5	that it should be continued to the December Commission
6	meeting, at which time we may further address it.
7	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Commissioner Chavez, would you
8	have any objection to that?
9	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: No, I don't.
10	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Commissioner Bailey?
11	COMMISSIONER BAILEY: No, I don't.
12	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: We will continue Cause Number
13	13,048 indefinitely.
14	COMMISSIONER CHAVEZ: Excuse me, Commissioner
15	Fesmire, I thought that Mr. Brooks said to December.
16	MR. BROOKS: That was my recommendation that it
17	be continued to the December meeting.
18	CHAIRMAN FESMIRE: Okay, then let's correct that.
19	We will continue Case Number 13,048 until the December
20	meeting of the Oil Conservation Commission.
21	(Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at
22	9:15 a.m.)
23	* * *
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
) ss.
COUNTY OF SANTA FE)

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Commission was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL October 14th, 2004.

STEVEN T. BRENNER CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 16th, 2006