| | | Page 2 |
|----------|--|--------------|
| 1 | APPEARANCES | rage z |
| | | |
| 2 | FOR APPLICANT MATADOR PRODUCTION COMPANY: | |
| 3 | JORDAN L. KESSLER, ESQ. HOLLAND & HART | |
| 4 | 110 North Guadalupe, Suite 1 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 | |
| 5 | (505) 988-4421 jlkessler@hollandhart.com | |
| 6 | J = = | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | INDEX | |
| 10 | | PAGE |
| 11 | Case Number 15433 Called | 3 |
| 12 | Matador Production Company's Case-in-Chief: | |
| 13 | Witnesses: | |
| 14 | Jeff Lierly: | |
| 15 16 | Direct Examination by Ms. Kessler Cross-Examination by Examiner Jones Cross-Examination by Examiner Brooks | 3 8 10 |
| | | |
| 17 | Proceedings Conclude | 10 |
| 18 | Certificate of Court Reporter | 11 |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | EXHIBITS OFFERED AND ADMITTED | |
| 21 | Matador Production Company Exhibit Numbers 1 through 5 | 8 |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| | | |

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS 500 FOURTH STREET NW - SUITE 105, ALBUQUERQUE, NM 87102

Jeff Lierly, landman, Matador Resources

24

25

capacity.

Α.

- 1 Company.
- 2 Q. And have you previously testified before the
- 3 Division?
- 4 A. Yes, I have.
- 5 Q. And were your credentials as a petroleum
- 6 landman accepted and made a matter of public record?
- 7 A. Yes, they were.
- 8 O. Are you familiar with the application filed in
- 9 this case?
- 10 A. Yes, I am.
- 11 Q. And are you familiar with the status of the
- 12 lands in the subject area?
- 13 A. Yes, I am.
- MS. KESSLER: Mr. Examiner, I'd tender
- 15 Mr. Lierly as an expert witness in petroleum land
- 16 matters.
- 17 EXAMINER JONES: He is so qualified.
- 18 Q. (BY MS. KESSLER) Mr. Lierly, did you present
- 19 the Division with evidence in the original pooling case?
- 20 A. Yes, I did.
- 21 O. And did that result in Order Number R-14140?
- 22 A. Yes, it did.
- Q. Is it included as Exhibit 1?
- 24 A. Yes, it is.
- 25 Q. And did this order create a 160-acre

- 1 nonstandard spacing and proration unit in the Bone
- 2 Spring Formation?
- 3 A. Yes, it did.
- 4 O. Does the order also identify the pool?
- 5 A. Yes. It's the Corbin-Bone Spring South Pool.
- 6 O. Is that Pool Code 13160?
- 7 A. Yes, it is.
- 8 Q. What is the acreage on the nonstandard spacing
- 9 unit?
- 10 A. It's the west half-east half of Section 32, 18
- 11 South, Range 33 East, in Lea County, New Mexico.
- 12 O. And did the quarter also pool uncommitted
- 13 interest owners in the spacing unit?
- 14 A. Yes. It pooled uncommitted working interest
- 15 owners.
- 16 O. Did the order also dedicate the nonstandard
- 17 spacing unit to the Eland 32 18 33 RN State Number 123H
- 18 well?
- 19 A. Yes, it did.
- 20 Q. And is the API number also in this order?
- 21 A. Yes. It's 30-025-42977.
- 22 Q. If you could turn to Exhibit 2 and identify
- 23 this exhibit and explain what you seek under this
- 24 application.
- 25 A. This is a revised C-102 for Eland 123 well, and

- 1 it shows that we changed the surface-hole location and
- 2 bottom-hole location from the northwest-northeast to the
- 3 southeast-southeast due to surface limitations. In
- 4 developing the east half-east half section, we were able
- 5 to flip the surface hole and bottom hole, and actually
- 6 we'll be able to drill both wells from the west
- 7 half-east half and east half-east half.
- 8 O. Do you also seek to conform the name of the
- 9 well that is currently on this C-102 to the name that is
- 10 in the order?
- 11 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 12 O. How has that changed?
- 13 A. We need to add the "com" to it.
- Q. So it will be a State Com well?
- 15 A. That's correct.
- 16 O. What is the new surface location?
- 17 A. It is 465 feet from the south line and 1,196
- 18 feet from the east line.
- 19 Q. That's identified on this revised C-102,
- 20 correct?
- 21 A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. And the bottom hole is identified as well?
- 23 A. Yes. It's 240 feet from the north line and
- 24 1,870 feet from the east line, but we'll still be
- 25 standard at all of our take points.

- 1 Q. So the first and last perforations points have
- 2 not changed?
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 O. The well orientation has changed, correct?
- 5 A. Correct. We've flipped from north-to-south
- 6 orientation -- from north to south to south to north.
- 7 Q. That was due to surface constraints you
- 8 mentioned?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 O. If you could turn to Exhibit 3, did you send a
- 11 letter to the interest owners containing an updated AFE
- 12 to reflect the changes in costs due to the revised
- 13 surface location?
- 14 A. Yes, we did. When we received the filling
- 15 [sic] order, we sent a copy and that was enclosed for
- 16 them to read, the updated AFE.
- 17 Q. And that has the revised costs, correct?
- 18 A. Correct. The costs were substantially lower
- 19 than originally outlined in our initial well proposal.
- 20 Q. Did you provide notice of this hearing to the
- 21 parties that were pooled under the existing pooling
- 22 order?
- 23 A. Yes, we did.
- Q. And did you also publish notice?
- 25 A. Yes, we did.

- 1 O. Are those two exhibits contained as Exhibits 4
- 2 and 5?
- 3 A. Yes, they are.
- 4 Q. Were Exhibits 1 through 3 prepared by you or
- 5 compiled under your direction and supervision?
- 6 A. Yes, they were.
- 7 MS. KESSLER: Mr. Examiners, I'd move into
- 8 evidence Exhibits 1 through 5, including my affidavit.
- 9 EXAMINER JONES: Exhibits 1 through 5 are
- 10 admitted.
- 11 (Matador Production Co. Exhibit Numbers 1
- through 5 are offered and admitted into
- 13 evidence.)
- 14 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 15 BY EXAMINER JONES:
- 16 Q. So nothing else would be changed in the -- in
- 17 the updated order except for the word "com" and the
- 18 location?
- 19 A. The surface-hole and bottom-hole locations,
- 20 correct.
- 21 Q. And COPAS is the same? Everything else is the
- 22 same?
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And did anything else change as far as anybody
- 25 elected to participate in the well?

- 1 A. We were able to reach an agreement with one of
- 2 the parties that was originally subject to the pooling
- order, Nearburg Exploration. So they no longer are
- 4 subject to our pooling order. And I think we're very
- 5 close with a couple other parties that are originally
- 6 subject to our pooling order.
- 7 Q. Does this list the pooled parties? I'm sorry.
- 8 I must have missed that.
- 9 MS. KESSLER: There is not an exhibit,
- 10 Mr. Examiner, that lists the pooled parties, although
- 11 they were also --
- 12 THE WITNESS: Exhibit 4, there is a list
- 13 that shows.
- MS. KESSLER: So all of these parties on
- 15 Exhibit 4, after the letters, were subject to the
- 16 pooling agreement.
- 17 EXAMINER JONES: Okay. All four of these
- 18 parties but no longer Nearburg?
- MS. KESSLER: Right.
- THE WITNESS: Correct.
- 21 Q. (BY EXAMINER JONES) And these parties were all
- 22 located; is that correct?
- 23 A. Yes. We've been in communication with all the
- 24 parties that are subject to the pooling order.
- 25 Q. But you did publish in the newspaper anyway?

- 1 A. Yes, we did.
- 2 O. And it's 160 acres of state minerals?
- 3 A. Two state leases, one that makes up the north
- 4 half, one that makes up the south half.
- 5 Q. The leases are still in good standing?
- 6 A. Yes, sir. They're currently HPB [sic].
- 7 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 8 BY EXAMINER BROOKS:
- 9 Q. This Exhibit 4, these are the parties you're
- 10 adding?
- 11 A. No. We're not adding anyone.
- 12 Q. You're not adding anyone?
- 13 A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. So what is the reason for re-opening the
- 15 case and doing a new compulsory pooling order?
- 16 A. It was just to amend the surface-hole and
- 17 bottom-hole locations and to revise the names to comply
- 18 with how the APD has been issued.
- 19 Q. Okay. Thank you.
- 20 EXAMINER JONES: That's all. Thank you
- 21 very much.
- We're taking Case 15433, re-opened, under
- 23 advisement.
- 24 (Case Number 15433 concludes, 10:29 a.m.)

25

| | Page 11 |
|-----|--|
| 1 | STATE OF NEW MEXICO |
| 2 | COUNTY OF BERNALILLO |
| 3 | |
| 4 | CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER |
| 5 | I, MARY C. HANKINS, Certified Court |
| 6 | Reporter, New Mexico Certified Court Reporter No. 20, |
| 7 | and Registered Professional Reporter, do hereby certify |
| 8 | that I reported the foregoing proceedings in |
| 9 | stenographic shorthand and that the foregoing pages are |
| 10 | a true and correct transcript of those proceedings that |
| 11 | were reduced to printed form by me to the best of my |
| 12 | ability. |
| 13 | I FURTHER CERTIFY that the Reporter's |
| 14 | Record of the proceedings truly and accurately reflects |
| 15 | the exhibits, if any, offered by the respective parties. |
| 16 | I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither |
| 17 | employed by nor related to any of the parties or |
| 18 | attorneys in this case and that I have no interest in |
| 19 | the final disposition of this case. |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | MARY C. HANKINS, CCR, RPR Certified Court Reporter |
| 23 | New Mexico CCR No. 20 Date of CCR Expiration: 12/31/2016 |
| 24 | Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters |
| 25 | |
| ر ک | |