	Page 2
1	APPEARANCES
2	FOR APPLICANT THE NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT BUREAU:
3	VETEL HEDDWANN EGO
4	KEITH HERRMANN, ESQ. STATE OF NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION ENERGY, MINERALS & NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
5	OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL 1220 South St. Francis Drive
6	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505 (505) 476-3463
7	Keith.Herrmann@state.nm.us
8	
9	
10	INDEX
	PAGE
11	Case Number 15684 Called 3
12	
13	NMOCD Compliance and Enforcement Bureau's Case-in-Chief:
14	Witnesses:
15	Denise Gallegos:
16	Direct Examination by Mr. Herrmann 4
17	Cross-Examination by Examiner Jones 8
18	Proceedings Conclude 8
19	Certificate of Court Reporter 9
20	
21	EXHIBITS OFFERED AND ADMITTED
22	NMOCD Compliance and Enforcement Bureau Exhibit Numbers 1 through 3 7
23	
24	
25	

- 1 (11:23 a.m.)
- 2 EXAMINER JONES: So we'll call Case Number
- 3 15684, application of the New Mexico Oil Conservation
- 4 Compliance and Enforcement Bureau for a compliance order
- 5 against Petro Mex, LLC, for wells operated in San Juan
- 6 County, New Mexico.
- 7 Call for appearances.
- 8 MR. HERRMANN: Mr. Examiner, Keith Herrmann
- 9 representing the Oil Conservation Division Compliance
- 10 and Enforcement Bureau.
- 11 EXAMINER JONES: I see there are no other
- 12 appearances.
- Do you want to make a quick opening?
- 14 MR. HERRMANN: I would, Mr. Examiner.
- 15 This is a pretty straightforward case
- 16 regarding the operator's compliance with our financial
- 17 assurance requirements, which are identified in my
- 18 pre-hearing statement and my application for hearing,
- 19 which, for the record, are NMSA 1978 Section 70-2-14.
- 20 That's the statute that enables us to enact 19.15.8
- 21 NMAC, which addresses our specific amounts for financial
- 22 assurance and other -- other applicable rules.
- 23 EXAMINER JONES: Okay. The application
- 24 says also NMSA 70-2-12. Is that another applicable
- 25 statute in this case, or was it --

- 1 MR. HERRMANN: I believe 70-2-12 are
- 2 general provisions out of the Oil and Gas Act.
- 3 EXAMINER JONES: Allowing compliance
- 4 orders?
- 5 MR. HERRMANN: Yes, and the rules of
- 6 procedure and other enumeration of powers.
- 7 EXAMINER JONES: Okay. Let the record show
- 8 that the witness has been sworn and remains under oath.
- 9 DENISE GALLEGOS,
- 10 after having been previously sworn under oath, was
- 11 questioned and testified as follows:
- 12 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 13 BY MR. HERRMANN:
- Q. Ms. Gallegos, would you please state your name,
- 15 title and place of employment for the record?
- 16 A. Denise Gallegos, compliance officer with the
- 17 Oil Conservation Division.
- 18 Q. Could you briefly describe the duties you
- 19 perform for the OCD?
- 20 A. Among other duties, I'm responsible for the
- 21 management, enforcement and ensuring compliance of the
- 22 Division's financial assurance program.
- 23 Q. And are you familiar with the violations
- 24 detailed in the OCD application for hearing?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Could you identify the operator that's subject
- of this compliance case and their OGRID number?
- 3 A. Petro Mex, LLC, OGRID Number 236452.
- 4 O. And in what county or counties are these wells
- 5 located?
- 6 A. All the wells are in San Juan County.
- 7 O. And does the Division post all inactive wells
- 8 or otherwise known as temporarily abandoned status for
- 9 an operator on its Web site?
- 10 A. Yes. It's updated daily on the OCD's Web site
- 11 on the inactive well list, listing each well by
- 12 operator.
- 13 Q. Does the OCD require additional financial
- 14 assurance for wells that have been inactive for over two
- 15 years?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 O. What's the amount of financial assurance
- 18 required for a well that has been inactive for over two
- 19 years in San Juan County?
- 20 A. \$5,000, plus a dollar per foot of measured
- 21 depth.
- Q. And how many of this operator's wells need
- 23 additional financial assurance?
- 24 A. Six.
- 25 Q. Could you, using Exhibit 1 for reference, list

- 1 the amounts of financial assurance needed and the wells,
- 2 pursuant to 19.15.8.9 NMAC?
- 3 A. Bob Blanche #1, API 30-045-24743, in the amount
- 4 of \$9,670; Dorothy #1, API 30-045- 24262, in the amount
- of \$9,670; Kirtland #6, API 30-045-24448, in the amount
- 6 of \$9,700; Kirtland 14 #1, API 30-045-25922, in the
- 7 amount of \$9,627; Kirtland 18 #1, API 30-045-26203, in
- 8 the amount of \$9,710; TRS-EVI #1, API 30-045-24633, in
- 9 the amount of \$9,700.
- 10 Q. And was the operator notified of these
- 11 violations, and, if so, when?
- 12 A. Yes. The notice of financial violation letter
- 13 was sent out certified mail on June 30th, 2016, and a
- 14 signed green card was received by OCD on July 13th,
- 15 2016. As of today, I haven't received a response from
- 16 the operator or the requested financial assurance.
- 17 Q. And is Exhibit 2 a copy of that notice?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And could you please state the relief that the
- 20 Division is requesting?
- 21 A. The Division is requesting the operator to
- 22 provide the OCD with acceptable financial assurance
- 23 within 30 days of issuance of an order, a finding that
- 24 the operator is in violation of 70-2-14 of the New
- 25 Mexico State statutes and 19.15.8 NMAC, and if the

- 1 operator does not post the requested financial assurance
- 2 within 30 days of the issuance of an order, that the
- 3 operator is in violation of a Division order.
- 4 O. Is the OCD only seeking to enforce violations
- 5 of financial assurance at this time?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And were Exhibits 1 and 2 prepared by you or
- 8 under your direction?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 MR. HERRMANN: Mr. Examiner, at this time I
- 11 would move to admit OCD Exhibits 1 and 2, as well as OCD
- 12 Exhibit 3, which is an Affidavit of Service provided by
- 13 myself showing that notice was mailed to the addresses
- of record and the address the Secretary of State has on
- 15 record for the operator, and a copy of that notice is
- 16 provided as Exhibit 3A.
- 17 And there is also Exhibit 3B that is an
- 18 Affidavit of Publication in the "Farmington Daily
- 19 Times."
- 20 EXAMINER JONES: Exhibits 1, 2 and 3 are
- 21 admitted.
- 22 (NMOCD Compliance and Enforcement Bureau
- 23 Exhibit Numbers 1 through 3 are offered and
- 24 admitted into evidence.)

25

	rage of
1	CROSS-EXAMINATION
2	BY EXAMINER JONES:
3	Q. Is it true that this entity has any bonds at
4	all posted, or you're asking for a brand-new bonds?
5	This is not an incremental bond request, is it?
6	A. No. They do have a \$50,000 blanket bond on
7	file, but it doesn't apply to these wells because
8	they've been inactive and not producing for more than
9	two years. So it requires a single-well bond.
10	Q. Which they have not posted any single-well
11	bonds on these?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Thank you very much.
14	EXAMINER BROOKS: Thank you. I have no
15	questions.
16	MR. HERRMANN: Thank you.
17	EXAMINER JONES: Case Number 15684 is taken
18	under advisement, and this hearing is concluded.
19	(Case Number 15684 concludes, 11:31 a.m.)
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	