Page 1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO 1 ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT 2 OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION 3 IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING: 4 CASE NO. 15688 5 APPLICATION OF CIMAREX ENERGY COMPANY FOR COMPULSORY POOLING, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO. 6 7 8 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 9 EXAMINER HEARING May 11, 2017 10 Santa Fe, New Mexico 11 12 13 BEFORE: MICHAEL McMILLAN, CHIEF EXAMINER DAVID K. BROOKS, LEGAL EXAMINER 14 15 16 17 This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, Michael McMillan, Chief Examiner, and David K. Brooks, Legal Examiner, on 18 Thursday, May 11, 2017, at the New Mexico Energy, 19 Minerals and Natural Resources Department, Wendell Chino Building, 1220 South St. Francis Drive, Porter Hall, 20 Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico. 21 2.2 REPORTED BY: Mary C. Hankins, CCR, RPR New Mexico CCR #20 23 Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters 500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 105 24 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102 (505) 843-9241 25

```
Page 2
```

```
1
                             APPEARANCES
 2
     FOR APPLICANT CIMAREX ENERGY COMPANY:
 3
          JENNIFER L. BRADFUTE, ESQ.
          MODRALL, SPERLING, ROEHL, HARRIS & SISK, P.A.
          500 4th Street, Northwest, Suite 1000
 4
          Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102
          (505) 848-1800
 5
          jlb@modrall.com
 6
 7
     FOR MEWBOURNE OIL COMPANY:
          JAMES G. BRUCE, ESQ.
 8
          Post Office Box 1056
          Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504
 9
          (505) 982-2043
10
          jamesbruc@aol.com
11
     FOR COG OPERATING, LLC/CONCHO RESOURCES:
12
          WILLIAM F. CARR, ESQ.
          HOLLAND & HART
13
          110 North Guadalupe, Suite 1
          Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
          (505) 988-4421
14
          wfcarr@hollandhart.com
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Page 3 INDEX PAGE Case Number 15688 Called Opening Statement by Ms. Bradfute Cimarex Energy Company's Case-in-Chief: Witnesses: Todd Meador: Direct Examination by Ms. Bradfute Cross-Examination by Examiner McMillan Cross-Examination by Examiner Brooks Recross Examination by Examiner Brooks (Witness recalled) Redirect Examination by Ms. Bradfute Joel F. Baker: Direct Examination by Ms. Bradfute Cross-Examination by Examiner McMillan Proceedings Conclude Certificate of Court Reporter EXHIBITS OFFERED AND ADMITTED Cimarex Energy Company Exhibit Numbers 1 through 6 Cimarex Energy Company Exhibit Numbers 7 through 10

Page 4 1 (8:28 a.m.) 2 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Okay. At this time I would like to call Case Number 15688, application of 3 4 Cimarex Energy Company for compulsory pooling, Eddy 5 County, New Mexico. 6 Call for appearances. 7 MS. BRADFUTE: Mr. Examiner, my name is Jennifer Bradfute, and I'm representing Cimarex Energy 8 9 Company. 10 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Any other appearances? MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce of 11 12 Santa Fe representing Mewbourne Oil Company. I have no 13 witnesses. 14 MR. CARR: Mr. Examiner, William F. Carr, senior counsel for Concho Resources. I represent COG 15 Operating. I do not have any witnesses. 16 17 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Okay. And if the witnesses in this case would please stand up and be 18 sworn in at this time. 19 20 Thank you. (Mr. Meador and Mr. Baker sworn.) 21 22 OPENING STATEMENT 23 MS. BRADFUTE: Mr. Examiner, I wanted to 24 start off this hearing by pointing out to the Division that this case does involve a title dispute in one of 25

the tracts that we are seeking to be pooled. 1 In the 2 application, what Cimarex is asking is it has said that it will carry the disputed interest until the ownership 3 4 is decided by the courts. So they will be considered carried -- costs, and then they'll give an opportunity 5 to whoever is determined to be the rightful owner the 6 7 option to participate in the well. EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay. What is the case 8 9 number? MS. BRADFUTE: The case number for this 10 11 matter? EXAMINER BROOKS: 12 Yes. 13 EXAMINER McMILLAN: 15688. 14 EXAMINER BROOKS: 15688. It's not shown on the exhibit book. Otherwise, if the exhibit books get 15 lost from the file --16 17 MS. BRADFUTE: Thank you. And, Mr. Examiner, Mr. Brooks, a previous 18 case has been heard by the Division where there was a 19 title dispute, and that Case Number was 13286. 20 EXAMINER BROOKS: Was that the same title 21 dispute that's involved in this? 22 23 MS. BRADFUTE: No. It's a different title 24 dispute. And the Division found that the parties could pay the costs up front who had the disputed title 25

Page 5

interest and that the cost would be held in an escrow 1 2 account. And then whoever was determined to be the rightful owner, their fees that they paid would be 3 4 attributed to their election to participate in the well, 5 and whoever wasn't determined by the court system to be the rightful owner, they would receive a reimbursement 6 7 from the escrow funds. 8 EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay. That's assuming 9 both parties -- both sides of the title dispute want to participate; is that right? 10 11 MS. BRADFUTE: That's right. 12 And so here what Cimarex has proposed in its application is just to carry all the costs until 13 ownership is determined. 14 15 EXAMINER BROOKS: Then if the title dispute is resolved, the winner can elect to use the escrowed 16 funds to --17 MS. BRADFUTE: Here there would be no 18 escrow because Cimarex is just going to carry the costs, 19 and then once the title dispute is determined, the 20 21 party --EXAMINER BROOKS: The winner can elect to 22 23 pay costs and will be a participant in the well? 24 MS. BRADFUTE: Yes. 25 EXAMINER BROOKS: And they will have -- in

Page 6

Page 7 order to do that, they will have to reimburse Cimarex 1 2 for the cost that it paid to carry that interest? Is that the way it's going to work? 3 4 MS. BRADFUTE: Yes. And they'll essentially get a look after the well has been drilled. 5 6 EXAMINER BROOKS: But is this -- if they don't want to participate, Cimarex pays that cost and 7 gets the nonconsent to elect to pay? Then Cimarex won't 8 get the consent relief? Is that the way it's going to 9 10 work? MS. BRADFUTE: Yes. 11 12 And we would like to proceed and call our first witness. 13 14 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Yes. 15 TODD MEADOR, after having been previously sworn under oath, was 16 17 questioned and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION 18 BY MS. BRADFUTE: 19 20 Could you please state your name for the Q. 21 record? Sure. Todd Meador. 22 Α. 23 And, Mr. Meador, who do you work for and in Q. 24 what capacity? 25 Cimarex Energy as a landman. Α.

Page 8 And what are your responsibilities as a landman 1 Ο. at Cimarex? 2 Primarily preparing -- or ensuring that all the 3 Α. contracts -- all the contractual interests for each well 4 that we drill are in place prior to the well being 5 drilled. 6 7 Ο. And, Mr. Meador, have you previously testified before the Division? 8 9 T have. Α. And were your credentials as a landman accepted 10 0. and made part of the record? 11 12 Α. They were. Does your area of responsibility at Cimarex 13 0. include the area of Eddy County in southeastern New 14 Mexico? 15 It does. 16 Α. 17 Ο. And are you familiar with the application that's been filed by Cimarex in this case? 18 Α. I am. 19 And are you familiar with the status of the 20 Q. lands which are the subject matter of this application? 21 22 Α. I am. 23 MS. BRADFUTE: Mr. Examiner, I'd like to 24 tender Mr. Meador as an expert witness in land matters. 25 MR. CARR: No objection.

| | Page 9 |
|----|--|
| 1 | MR. BRUCE: No objection. |
| 2 | EXAMINER McMILLAN: So qualified. |
| 3 | Q. (BY MS. BRADFUTE) Mr. Meador, would you turn to |
| 4 | Exhibit 1 in the notebook in front of you and explain |
| 5 | what this exhibit is to the Hearing Examiner? |
| 6 | A. This is Cimarex's application for pooling of |
| 7 | all the uncommitted interests to the spacing unit that |
| 8 | we are proposing for the Klein 10H well Klein 33 Fed |
| 9 | Com 10H well. |
| 10 | Q. And where is this well located? |
| 11 | A. Section 28 and Section 33 of Township 26 South, |
| 12 | 27 East, Eddy County, New Mexico. |
| 13 | Q. And is Section 33 in a regular-size section? |
| 14 | A. It is. It's right just north of the state |
| 15 | line with Texas, just north of Culberson County, and |
| 16 | it's one of the smaller sections. I think it's about |
| 17 | 240 acres. |
| 18 | Q. Could you please turn to what's been marked as |
| 19 | Exhibit Number 2 and explain what this is to the Hearing |
| 20 | Examiners? |
| 21 | A. Okay. And this is this is what established |
| 22 | the nonstandard proration unit for the well in which |
| 23 | we're drilling, the Klein 33 10H. |
| 24 | Q. And is this the Administrative Order issued by |
| 25 | the Division for a nonstandard proration unit for the |
| | |

Page 10 Klein 33 Fed Com 10H well? 1 2 Α. That's right. And I think the reason that we 3 needed that is just because we had -- one of those sections was right there along the state line. So --4 5 Could you please turn to what's been marked as Ο. Exhibit Number 3 and explain what that exhibit is to the 6 7 Hearing Examiners? Α. This is the C-102 plat, and it shows the 8 Okay. project area for the Klein 10H. 9 10 And how many dedicated acres will be in the Ο. project area? 11 It will be 223.47. 12 Α. Has the Division identified a pool code for 13 Ο. 14 this well? 15 They have. Α. And what is that? 16 0. 17 Α. WC-015G-04S262625, the Bone Spring pool, Pool Code 98018. 18 Governed by the Division statewide rules? 19 Ο. It is. 20 Α. Will the completed interval for the well comply 21 Q. with the Division setback requirements? 22 It will. 23 Α. 24 And will you please turn to what's been marked Q. as Exhibit Number 4 and explain what this exhibit is to 25

1 the Hearing Examiners?

| 2 | A. Okay. Exhibit 4 is a plat showing the |
|----|--|
| 3 | different tracts that are involved in the spacing unit |
| 4 | or the project area that we are proposing for the Klein |
| 5 | 10H. It consists of three different tracts. Tract 1 |
| 6 | and Tract 3 are both federal federal acreage and two |
| 7 | separate leases, one covering Tract 1, one covering |
| 8 | Tract 3. And then in Tract 2 is an 80-acre tract in the |
| 9 | section that is fee ownership. |
| 10 | Q. And where is this disputed mineral interest |
| 11 | located within the |
| 12 | A. In Tract 2, the fee ownership. |
| 13 | Q. And have you identified the type of interest |
| 14 | that Cimarex seeks to pool in this matter? |
| 15 | A. We have. |
| 16 | Q. And what type of interest do you seek to pool? |
| 17 | A. The uncommitted interest. |
| 18 | Q. And are the parties who you are seeking to pool |
| 19 | listed in Exhibit 4? |
| 20 | A. They are, yes. |
| 21 | Q. Could you please identify those parties to the |
| 22 | Hearing Examiners? |
| 23 | A. Okay. They are in the Tract 2, any party |
| 24 | that is highlighted are the interests that we're seeking |
| 25 | to pool. |
| | |

Q. And are the disputed interest owners listed in
 2 Exhibit 4 as well?

They are. If you'll notice, in Tract 2, the 3 Α. interests that are listed above the term "Disputed 4 Interests," those are all interests that are in Tract 2 5 6 and subject to good title at the moment. Then you'll 7 see the words "Disputed Interest" about halfway down in Tract 2, and any party listed underneath the words 8 9 "Disputed Interest" is subject to the 40 acres that are in dispute. The interest that's in dispute in Tract 2 10 is an undivided 50 percent interest or 40 of the 80 net 11 acres that are involved in Tract 2. 12

13 Q. What efforts had Cimarex made to contact the 14 parties to this dispute?

15 Α. The disputed interest is currently being Okav. litigated in the Eddy County courts, and so we've 16 obtained copies of all the different pleadings with 17 regard to the disputed interest. We've reached out to 18 all of the attorneys involved in the case and contacted 19 some of the parties individually as well. We've let 20 21 them know what we're trying to do here with regard to this well. We sent them well proposals, AFEs as well. 22 And we've just made a real effort to try to understand 23 24 the different theories in the cases that are involved 25 with regard to the disputed interests and what the

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS 500 FOURTH STREET NW - SUITE 105, ALBUQUERQUE NM 87102

Page 12

Page 13 possible outcomes might be and worked with the attorneys 1 in the case to let them understand what we're doing here 2 3 and reach a good outcome for everybody. Ο. So they've all been notified about this 4 5 application and the pooling matter that's being heard today? 6 7 Α. Yes. Yes. And could you please summarize for the 8 Ο. Examiners the other efforts that Cimarex has made to 9 obtain voluntary pooling of the interests that are not 10 in dispute? 11 12 Α. For the interests that are not in dispute, we sent a JOA, a well proposal and an AFE, given all the 13 parties an opportunity to participate in the well, and 14 we've also made an offer to lease if they wanted to 15 lease. 16 17 0. In your opinion, has Cimarex made a good-faith effort to obtain voluntary joinder in the well? 18 19 Α. Yes. Could you please turn to what's been marked as 20 Q. Exhibit Number 5, which contains both a subpart A and 21 subpart B and identify what these documents are to the 22 23 Hearing Examiners? 24 Okay. The first document there is a copy of Α. our well-proposal letter for the well, and then behind 25

Page 14 that -- behind the letter is proof of all the 1 documentation showing the letters that have gone out, 2 the tracking through the postal system and the returned 3 4 green cards. 5 And if you turn to subset B, could you please 0. identify what this document is for the Examiners? 6 7 Α. That's the AFE for the well. That particular AFE was signed by Premier Oil & Gas, the party that's 8 participating in the well. 9 And AFEs were sent to all of the interested 10 Ο. parties in this project area of the development of the 11 well, correct? 12 13 Α. They were. Could you please tell the Hearing Examiners 14 Ο. what your estimated costs for drilling and completing 15 the well are? 16 6.8 million. 17 Α. Does the AFE include estimated costs for 18 0. drilling the well, dry-hole costs and completion costs? 19 Α. It does. 20 And could you please identify what those costs 21 0. 22 are? 23 The dry-hole cost is 1.6 million, and Α. Okay. 24 the completion costs were 5.1 million. 25 Who should be appointed as operator of the Q.

1 well?

2

A. Cimarex.

And are the costs that were included in the AFE 3 Ο. 4 in line with costs for other horizontal wells drilled to this length and depth within this area of New Mexico? 5 6 Α. They are. 7 Ο. Do you have a recommendation for the amounts which Cimarex should be paid for supervision and 8 administration expenses? 9 We are recommending \$7,000 a month for 10 Α. Yeah. drilling -- for the cost of drilling the well and \$700 a 11 12 month for producing. And are these amounts equivalent to those 13 0. normally charged by Cimarex and other operators in this 14 area for horizontal wells drilled to this length and 15 this depth? 16 17 Α. They are. 18 Do you request that these rates be adjusted Q. periodically as provided by the COPAS accounting 19 procedures? 20 21 Yes, please. Α. And does Cimarex request the maximum cost plus 22 Ο. 200 percent risk charge if any pooled working interest 23 24 owner fails to pay its fair share of costs for drilling and completing and equipping the well? 25

1 A. Yes.

4

2 Q. Were the parties you are seeking to pool 3 notified of this hearing?

A. They were.

Q. And if you would please turn Exhibit Number
6 6 --

MS. BRADFUTE: 7 I will represent to the Hearing Examiners this is an Affidavit of Notice that I 8 9 have executed. There were two separate mailings sent out for this application. We did have an amended 10 Exhibit A, which are the parties who were entitled to 11 12 notice for this application, and that was sent 20 days before this hearing to everyone, with a prior notice 13 also sent of the application to all of the parties. 14 15 In addition, we have had some mailings

returned and some green cards that we did not receive 16 17 back. Ten business days prior to the hearing, we did publish notice, and the very last page of this exhibit 18 includes the Affidavit of Publication. Since there is a 19 dispute in this matter as to title, we went ahead and 20 listed all of the parties who could claim an interest by 21 name and the published notice, which ran on April 26th. 22 23 EXAMINER BROOKS: So everyone you sent a 24 certified letter to was also included in the publication 25 by name and the publication notice?

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS 500 FOURTH STREET NW - SUITE 105, ALBUQUERQUE NM 87102

Page 16

Page 17 MS. BRADFUTE: That is correct. 1 2 EXAMINER BROOKS: Thank you. 3 (BY MS. BRADFUTE) Mr. Meador, were Exhibits 1 Ο. through 6 prepared by you or under your supervision or 4 compiled from company business records? 5 Α. They were. 6 7 And in your opinion, is granting this 0. application in the interest of conservation and the 8 prevention of waste? 9 Yes. Absolutely. 10 Α. 11 Ο. Thank you. I have no further questions. 12 MS. BRADFUTE: Oh, Mr. Examiner, I'd like to move to 13 tender Exhibits 1 through 6 into the record. 14 15 MR. BRUCE: No objection. No objection. 16 MR. CARR: 17 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Exhibits 1 through 6 may now be accepted as part of the record. 18 (Cimarex Energy Company Exhibit Numbers 1 19 through 6 are offered and admitted into 20 evidence.) 21 22 CROSS-EXAMINATION 23 BY EXAMINER McMILLAN: 24 What's the status of the well? 0. 25 It's going to be drilled in August. Α.

| | | Page 18 |
|----|----------|--|
| 1 | Q. | And so it's proposed. |
| 2 | | There are obviously unlocatable interests. |
| 3 | | Any depth severances within the Bone |
| 4 | Spring? | |
| 5 | Α. | With regard to the leasehold that we have or |
| 6 | Q. | Within the project area within the pool |
| 7 | designat | ed pool? |
| 8 | Α. | We're seeking to pool the entire Bone Spring. |
| 9 | | CROSS-EXAMINATION |
| 10 | BY EXAMI | NER BROOKS: |
| 11 | Q. | There are no depth severances within the Bone |
| 12 | Spring F | ormation, right? |
| 13 | Α. | That's right. |
| 14 | Q. | All the interests are uniform throughout |
| 15 | Α. | Oh, right. They are all uniform throughout the |
| 16 | entire B | one Spring Formation. |
| 17 | | EXAMINER McMILLAN: I don't have any |
| 18 | further | questions. |
| 19 | | MS. BRADFUTE: Okay. Thank you. |
| 20 | | EXAMINER McMILLAN: Thank you. |
| 21 | | MS. BRADFUTE: I'd like to call my second |
| 22 | witness. | |
| 23 | | EXAMINER McMILLAN: Please proceed. |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| | | |

| | Page 19 |
|----|--|
| 1 | JOEL F. BAKER, |
| 2 | after having been previously sworn under oath, was |
| 3 | questioned and testified as follows: |
| 4 | DIRECT EXAMINATION |
| 5 | BY MS. BRADFUTE: |
| 6 | Q. Please state your name on record. |
| 7 | A. Joel Baker. |
| 8 | Q. And, Mr. Baker, who do you work for and in what |
| 9 | capacity? |
| 10 | A. I work for Cimarex Energy Company. I'm a |
| 11 | geologist. |
| 12 | Q. And what are your responsibilities as a |
| 13 | geologist at Cimarex? |
| 14 | A. I primarily correlate and interpret information |
| 15 | from our well logs, mud logs and cores, and I assimilate |
| 16 | that information into maps and assess the risks and |
| 17 | potential of our target reservoir intervals. I work |
| 18 | closely with the reservoir engineer to determine landing |
| 19 | zones and appropriate well spacing, and I work closely |
| 20 | with the drilling engineers to actually design the |
| 21 | laterals. |
| 22 | Q. And have you previously testified before the |
| 23 | Division? |
| 24 | A. Yes. |
| 25 | Q. And were your credentials accepted and made |
| | |

Г

Page 20 part of the record? 1 2 Α. Yes. Are you familiar with the application that's 3 Ο. 4 been filed by Cimarex in this case? 5 Α. Yes. And are you familiar with the status of the 6 Ο. 7 lands which are the subject matter of that application? Α. Yes. 8 Are you familiar with the drilling plan for the 9 0. well? 10 Α. 11 Yes. 12 Ο. And have you conducted a geologic study of the area embracing the proposed spacing unit? 13 14 Α. Yes, I have. 15 MS. BRADFUTE: Mr. Examiner, I'd like to tender Mr. Baker as an expert witness in petroleum 16 17 geology matters. 18 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Any objections? 19 MR. BRUCE: No objection. 20 MR. CARR: No objection. 21 EXAMINER McMILLAN: So qualified. 22 Q. (BY MS. BRADFUTE) Mr. Baker, could you please identify what the targeted interval is for this well? 23 24 2nd Bone Spring Sandstone. Α. 25 And could you please turn to what's marked as Q.

Exhibit Number 7 in the notebook in front of you and
 identity for the Hearing Examiners what this exhibit is?
 A. This exhibit is a structure map on the top of
 the 2nd Bone Spring Sandstone interval.

Before I talk about the structure, I want 5 6 to explain kind of the background on the map. First of 7 all, the only wells posted on this map are Bone Spring -- 2nd Bone Spring wells. So the Wolfcamp, 8 Avalon wells, they've been taken off. The dark green 9 wells on this map are wells that are drilled and 10 producing, and the yellow on the map shows where Cimarex 11 -- the area where Cimarex has a leasehold. 12 The red wells on the maps are wells that Cimarex has planned. 13 We have plans to drill, but they are not drilled yet. 14 So you can kind of get a feel for how we're going to 15 infill this area. And the red wells with the black 16 dashes on them are wells that we actually have a rig on 17 now and are actively drilling. 18

19 So I've highlighted the Klein section in a 20 green box to kind of orient you. That's where we're 21 drilling our Klein wells, and I've specifically labeled 22 the location the Klein 10H well that we're talking about 23 today.

24 So you'll notice we're drilling these wells 25 on a five-well spacing in these sections. If you go

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS 500 FOURTH STREET NW - SUITE 105, ALBUQUERQUE NM 87102

Page 21

south of the state line into Texas, you'll see some of our sections are drilled with four-well spacing and some with five-well spacing. We've determined from that study that the five-well spacing is optimal in this area for the 2nd Bone Spring, so that's why we're drilling these wells in Eddy County at five-well spacing.

7 So now the structure map -- getting back to 8 the original intent of the exhibit -- shows that these 9 are 100-foot contours, subsea contours, and it just 10 shows a monoclinal dip basically from east to west. No 11 complications in the structure, no impediment to 12 drilling this well.

And the other thing I want to point out, if you look at the location of the Klein 10H, there are producing wells on either side of that location, so we feel this well needs to be drilled to effectively drain all of the area in there.

Q. And did you prepare a cross section of logs to determine the relative thickness and porosity of the Bone Spring Formation in the area?

A. I did. And that cross section is labeled on
this map with the blue circles. It shows the wells.
We've got logs. So they are in the immediate area of
where we're drilling this well.

25

Q. Okay. Could you please turn to Exhibit Number

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS 500 FOURTH STREET NW - SUITE 105, ALBUQUERQUE NM 87102

Page 22

| 1 8 |
|-----|
|-----|

2

25

A. Yes.

Q. -- and identify what this exhibit is to theHearing Examiners?

5 A. This is a stratigraphic cross section 6 illustrating the 2nd Bone Spring sands of the logs. 7 With these two wells, each one of them is a neutron 8 density-porosity log and is stratigraphically hung on 9 the 3rd Carbonate, which is the base of the 2nd Bone 10 Spring Sandstone.

I've also noted on the cross section a 11 12 correlation line at the top of the 2nd Bone Spring Sandstone, and I've noted the gross thickness to the 13 right-hand side of each log of our zone of interest. 14 The area highlighted in yellow is where the porosity of 15 the sandstone meets our cutoff, and so we would call 16 17 that reservoir. We use -- at Cimarex, we use a cutoff of 10 percent of density porosity from our logs, which 18 are on a limestone matrix. 19

20 So if we sum up all of the reservoir sands 21 that are highlighted in yellow, we get what we call a 22 net sandstone for that particular location. And so I've 23 marked on the bottom of each log the amount of net 24 sandstone in the Bone Spring interval.

Q. And do you consider the wells included in your

cross section to be representative of the Bone Spring 1 2 Formation for the area near the proposed spacing unit? 3

Α. Yes.

And can you please turn to what's been marked 4 0. 5 as Exhibit Number 9 and explain what this exhibit is to the Hearing Examiners? 6

7 Α. This exhibit is an isopach map showing the map of the net sandstones that I described on the cross 8 section. So everywhere I've got a star on this map is 9 where we have a log where I can calculate the amount of 10 net sandstone. And what this map shows -- it's on a 11 25-foot contour interval, but it shows that we have a 12 fairly constant thickness of reservoir sand across the 13 area where we're drilling. And we expect, at the Klein 14 10 location, to have anywhere between 130 feet of net 15 sand and 100 feet of net sand along the lateral. 16 That is consistent with the other wells in the area. 17

And what conclusions have you drawn from your 18 0. geologic study? 19

The conclusion would be that we expect to find 20 Α. reservoir sand along the full length of this lateral. 21 It's similar to the other wells in the area that have 22 been drilled and are producing, and there are no 23 24 impediments in anything that would cause a risk in 25 drilling this lateral.

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS 500 FOURTH STREET NW - SUITE 105, ALBUQUERQUE NM 87102

Page 24

| | Page 25 |
|----|--|
| 1 | Q. And will each quarter-quarter section in each |
| 2 | section of the irregular Section 33 be productive in the |
| 3 | Bone Spring Formation and contribute approximately |
| 4 | equally to the well? |
| 5 | A. Yes. |
| 6 | Q. And is horizontal drilling the most efficient |
| 7 | method to develop the area? |
| 8 | A. It is. |
| 9 | Q. Will horizontal drilling prevent the drilling |
| 10 | of unnecessary wells and result in the greatest |
| 11 | recovery? |
| 12 | A. Yes. |
| 13 | Q. And would you please turn to what's been marked |
| 14 | as Exhibit Number 10 and identify what this exhibit is |
| 15 | for the Hearing Examiners? |
| 16 | A. This is a wellbore plan for the lateral or |
| 17 | the well that we plan to drill. It shows the landing |
| 18 | and the lateral plan. |
| 19 | Q. And in your opinion, would the granting of |
| 20 | Cimarex's application be in the best interest of |
| 21 | conservation, the prevention of waste and the protection |
| 22 | of correlative rights? |
| 23 | A. Yes. |
| 24 | Q. Were Exhibits 7 through 10 prepared by you or |
| 25 | compiled under your direction and supervision? |
| | |

Page 26 1 Α. Yes. MS. BRADFUTE: I would like to admit 2 3 Exhibits 7 through 10 into the record. 4 MR. BRUCE: No objection. 5 MR. CARR: No objection. 6 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Exhibits 7 through 10 7 may now be accepted as part of the record. 8 (Cimarex Energy Company Exhibit Numbers 7 9 through 10 are offered and admitted into evidence.) 10 11 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY EXAMINER McMILLAN: 12 Okay. Let's go back and look at Exhibit Number 13 0. 14 9. 15 Α. Okay. What I'm trying to do is -- let's 16 Q. 17 essentially -- for the time being, let's look at your proposed well #10. 18 Yes, sir. 19 Α. And if I go essentially south of that in 20 Q. Section 5, in Texas, how far are the setbacks in Texas? 21 How far can you get from the --22 23 Oh, well, that's the -- it's the Derby field, Α. and the rules in the Derby field are 200 feet from the 24 section lines. 25

Page 27 So you're going 330, right? 1 0. 2 Α. Yes. They're a little bit more 3 MS. BRADFUTE: 4 330, Mike, if you look back at the C-102. 5 (BY EXAMINER McMILLAN) Yeah. But you're Ο. saying -- just to give an idea, would it be fair to say 6 7 that since they're going 200 and you're going 330, could they possibly be draining your reserves? Is that a fair 8 9 question to ask? The wells in Texas? 10 Α. 0. Yeah. 11 I don't think so because our frac direction is 12 Α. predominantly east to west, so I don't think the fracs 13 would propagate into New Mexico. 14 Okay. Thank you. 15 0. 16 Now, going -- now, we're going to 8, 17 looking at your cross section. Do you -- do you expect multiple wells within the 2nd Bone Spring, or do you 18 think one well will drain the whole thing, or do you 19 even have any idea? 20 21 I mean, nobody knows exactly what's happening Α. downhole, but our presumption is -- we'll land the 22 lateral in the bottom third of the sand, the gross 23 24 interval, and the presumption is that we do frac all this together and produce it together. 25

Page 28 So you think the fracs will propagate through 1 Ο. 2 the whole thing? 3 Α. Yes. That's our presumption. I mean --It's hard to tell right now? 4 Ο. I could see a time in the future where we may 5 Α. try an upper landing and see if we can stack, but right 6 now we don't have plans to do that. 7 8 Ο. Okay. 9 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Go ahead. 10 EXAMINER BROOKS: I don't have any questions for this witness. When we're concluded with 11 this witness, I have -- would like to ask a couple more 12 questions of the land witness, but I have no questions 13 14 for this witness. 15 MS. BRADFUTE: Thank you. EXAMINER McMILLAN: I have no further 16 17 questions. 18 If the landman would please --19 TODD MEADOR, after having been previously sworn under oath, was 20 recalled, questioned and testified as follows: 21 22 RECROSS EXAMINATION 23 BY EXAMINER BROOKS: 24 All the highlighted interests are in this Tract Ο. 25 2?

| | | Page 29 |
|----|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1 | A. Yes. | |
| 2 | Q. So Cimarex a | nd Chevron own all of Tracts 1 and |
| 3 | 3 | |
| 4 | A. Yeah, that's | right. |
| 5 | Q I mean as | lessees in an oil and gas lease? |
| 6 | A. Yes. | |
| 7 | Q. Okay. And C | hevron is committed to this well, |
| 8 | right? | |
| 9 | A. That's right | |
| 10 | Q. These people | listed what is the lease status |
| 11 | of Tract 2? | |
| 12 | A. Okay. We sp | lit it up between the good interest |
| 13 | and the disputed inte | rest. |
| 14 | Q. Yes. You li | st these people with the tract |
| 15 | interest for each, bu | t there is no distinction as to |
| 16 | whether they're lease | owners or unleased interest |
| 17 | owners. Is it all le | ased, and, if so, to whom? |
| 18 | A. Yeah. Let's | just go one by one. |
| 19 | Q. Okay. | |
| 20 | A. Starting wit | h do you want to only cover the |
| 21 | yellow, or do you wan | t to cover all of them? |
| 22 | Q. Well, Cimare | x owns a 3.1778 [sic] |
| 23 | interest. Does Cimar | ex own any does the Cimarex |
| 24 | lease have any hav | e any disputed interest |
| 25 | A. No, sir. | |
| 1 | | |

Ο. -- behind it? 1 2 Α. No, sir. 3 Okay. Then go ahead. 0. James E. Logan Corporation, that is a mineral 4 Α. 5 interest that is currently uncommitted. I do expect that they're going to lease to Cimarex. 6 7 Okay. So that's unleased? Ο. Α. That's unleased right now. Yes, sir. 8 Okay. Go ahead. 9 Ο. 10 That Mewbourne Oil Company/J.S.M. Oil & Gas, Α. Inc., J.S.M. Oil & Gas, Inc. is the mineral owner there. 11 12 Currently, Mewbourne owns a lease covering that interest. It is under continuous development, and we 13 expect that that lease will expire by the time the well 14 is drilled. So that mineral interest is currently 15 leased to Mewbourne, but we expect it will be unleased 16 at the time of the drilling of that well. 17 18 Q. Okay. Nearburg Exploration Company, that is a mineral 19 Α. They've signed the JOA, so they're going to 20 interest. 21 participate with mineral interest. Mobil Producing Texas and New Mexico, that 22 23 is a mineral interest, and it's unleased. We've really 24 heard very little out of them with regard to this well other than making sure we got the forced pooling notice 25

1 to the right address.

Petrorep Resources and Corexcal, those are 2 two companies out of Canada. I don't think they're in 3 existence any longer, and we expect them to be 4 uncommitted all the way through the process. 5 So that's an unleased interest? 6 0. 7 Α. Yes, sir. Yeah. And then once we get below that, we get 8 into the disputed interests. 9 10 Okay. Are the disputed interests all unleased? 0. 11 Α. No, they're not. It's --Okay. That's what I was kind of curious about. 12 Ο. We have lessees that own a leased interest under the --13 14 in titles that --Α. Yeah. 15 Is it the title to the lease that's disputed or 16 0. 17 the title to the underlying fee? The underlying fee. And the underlying fee is 18 Α. 40 acres that comes from an old family that used to own 19 it, and the subject of the dispute is multiple wills 20 that are effectively battling over that disputed 21 interest. And the different family members, some of 22 23 them have leased to Mewbourne, some of them have leased 24 to Premier, or that interest has been assigned to presently here and Concho as well. Those are the three 25

Page 32 parties that have leases involved or protection leases 1 2 involved on some of that disputed interest. And then some of the potentially disputed interest is unleased. 3 4 Ο. Okay. You have Premier on here. Now, what 5 about -- what about Concho? I didn't see Concho here. You said they had a lease? 6 7 Yeah, you're right. Yeah. I apologize. Α. Concho's been left off that list right there. It is on 8 9 Exhibit A. 10 But they're committed? Ο. They are not committed yet, but I do anticipate 11 Α. 12 that they're going to sign the JOA. So Concho is on here, in addition to Mewbourne 13 0. and Premier, as a lessee, part of the unleased 14 interest -- or part of the disputed interest? 15 Part of the disputed interest. That's right. 16 Α. 17 MS. BRADFUTE: And I would like to point out to the Hearing Examiners, in Exhibit A, two of our 18 applications, which is included in Exhibit 1 in the 19 notebook, it lists the parties to be pooled, and Concho 20 is listed as the first party. 21 22 MR. CARR: May it please the Examiners, 23 Concho has signed an AFE for the well. I think at this 24 point, they have finalized negotiation on the operating 25 agreement or are just waiting to get that signed. And

Page 33 so that's where we are in this process. We're very 1 2 close to the end. 3 EXAMINER BROOKS: But your interest is 4 disputed because of the mineral fee --It is. 5 MR. CARR: 6 EXAMINER BROOKS: The mineral fee that you 7 have leased is disputed? 8 MR. CARR: Right. That's correct. 9 EXAMINER BROOKS: All of it? MR. CARR: As far as I know. 10 THE WITNESS: Yeah, all of it. 11 12 Ο. (BY EXAMINER BROOKS) Okay. Is there any working interest owner who owns both undisputed and 13 14 disputed interests? 15 That's a good question. Mewbourne is --Α. Mewbourne. 16 0. 17 Α. Yeah, Mewbourne. I thought I saw Mewbourne twice on this 18 Q. Yeah. list. 19 Yeah. And -- although when the well gets 20 Α. drilled, we anticipate that that lease under continuous 21 development will be expired, and by the time the well is 22 drilled, that will not be the case. They will only own 23 24 subject to the disputed interest. 25 Okay. So then all the interests below Premier Q.

Page 34

1 are all mineral fee interests that are -- that are 2 disputing?

3 A. Yeah.

4 Q. Are they -- now, some of these are leased and5 some are not, right?

6

A. That's exactly right.

So when we're dealing with the order, we're 7 0. going to have to deal with how the lease interests are 8 to be treated and how the unleased interests are to be 9 treated, but we don't have to deal with how the -- it 10 doesn't make any difference if the -- yeah, it does, 11 because if they're leased to one person -- if one side 12 is leased to one person, the other side is leased to --13 the other is leased to another person? 14

Α. And there are some competing leaseholds 15 Yeah. effectively out there. But I think the -- I think at 16 17 the end of the day, the mineral interests will be resolved through the court process, and then the 18 amount -- or the leases that are valid will -- will be 19 resolved as a result of the resolution of the mineral 20 21 interests.

And the bottom line is no matter -- no matter how it gets resolved, anybody with a leasehold interest or a mineral interest, we will carry the cost of the well up until -- and then we'll recoup the cost

Page 35 out of payout, and we'll give every party, whether 1 2 they're a leaseholder or a mineral interest, an opportunity to sign the JOA and participate once the 3 4 interest is resolved. 5 Ο. Okay. Now, are there some people that -- are some of these leasehold -- are some of the lease 6 7 owners -- owners of the leasehold interest that are subject to this dispute, are any of them parties to the 8 JOA already so they're committed to the well? 9 Premier. 10 Α. Okay. And then if they've signed the JOA, 11 0. 12 they're committed. They had to elect under the JOA whether to participate or not. 13 Α. Yeah, because it was the initial well. So by 14 virtue of the fact that they signed a JOA, they're 15 committed to participate in the initial well. 16 17 0. So they're on board regardless of what happens with the compulsory pooling proceeding? 18 That's right. 19 Α. Yeah. And they will advance their share, and whether 20 Q. 21 they get it back or not is dependent on the outcome of the suit? 22 23 We are going to include language in the Α. No. 24 JOA in Article 16 that says with regard to the disputed interest, we're going to carry the whole thing. 25

Page 36 You're going to carry all the disputed 1 Ο. 2 interests, and you're going to give everybody, even those who are already committed --3 4 Α. Yeah. They're going to get --5 -- an opportunity to elect under the terms of Ο. the pooling order after -- after the title dispute is 6 7 resolved? Α. I'd say it would be under the terms 8 Yeah. of -- I would actually say if they signed the JOA, it 9 would be under the terms of the provision that we're 10 putting into Article 16, which says exactly what we've 11 12 just told you, which is we've got this disputed interest, we're going to carry the cost. When the issue 13 gets resolved, whether you've signed the JOA or not at 14 this point, you will have a chance to sign the JOA if 15 you haven't, and if you have signed the JOA, you will 16 17 get the chance to participate with your interest if you 18 want to. And if you don't, you will be an uncommitted 19 Ο. pooled party -- uncommitted -- nonconsenting pooled 20 21 party? 22 Α. That's right. That's right. 23 Q. Okay. 24 MS. BRADFUTE: And, Mr. Examiner, if I could follow up with just one question to Mr. Meador. 25

| | Page 3 |
|----|--|
| 1 | REDIRECT EXAMINATION |
| 2 | BY MS. BRADFUTE: |
| 3 | Q. Mr. Meador, does Cimarex have any timing |
| 4 | restrictions in regards to the federal leases involved |
| 5 | within this spacing unit? |
| 6 | A. We've got a permit that is set to expire March |
| 7 | of 2018. So that permit has already been granted the |
| 8 | two-year extension, and so we need to get a well |
| 9 | drilled. |
| 10 | EXAMINER BROOKS: Well, March of 2018 is |
| 11 | still a fairly long time away. |
| 12 | THE WITNESS: Yeah, but time moves fast |
| 13 | (laughter). |
| 14 | EXAMINER BROOKS: I recognize that because |
| 15 | I'm going to be 70, and I would prefer that it move much |
| 16 | more slowly. |
| 17 | Q. (BY MS. BRADFUTE) Mr. Meador, you would expect |
| 18 | the title dispute to be ongoing past that time frame? |
| 19 | A. I would think so. |
| 20 | EXAMINER BROOKS: Yeah. But you can drill |
| 21 | without regard to the title dispute because there's |
| 22 | you're going to put up all the money to the disputed |
| 23 | interest. |
| 24 | THE WITNESS: Right. I really think our |
| 25 | management stepped up on this deal and said, We're going |
| | |

PAUL BACA PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTERS 500 FOURTH STREET NW - SUITE 105, ALBUQUERQUE NM 87102

7

Page 38 to carry this interest no matter what. We're not going 1 2 to charge any interest, nothing. We're going to get this well drilled. And that's good for everybody. 3 EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay. Mr. Bruce, did you 4 5 want to say something? 6 MR. BRUCE: Yeah, Mr. Brooks. Just to 7 clarify that Premier and Mewbourne and those Welch heirs' interest, Premier owned a lease or leases on 8 certain tracts in this general area, and Mewbourne 9 bought a portion of their leasehold, and then the 10 subsequent title exam showed that there was some 11 questionable probates or they hadn't been taken --12 leases had not been taken from the correct heirs, and 13 that's what resulted in the lawsuits. Actually, there 14 are two lawsuits. 15 16 EXAMINER BROOKS: Okay. Very good. That's all I have. 17 MS. BRADFUTE: We ask that this matter be 18 taken under advisement. 19 20 EXAMINER McMILLAN: Okay. Case Number 15688 shall be taken under advisement. 21 (Case Number 15688 concludes, 9:08 a.m.) 22 23 24 25

| | Page 3 |
|----------|---|
| 1 | STATE OF NEW MEXICO |
| 2 | COUNTY OF BERNALILLO |
| 3 | |
| 4 | CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER |
| 5 | I, MARY C. HANKINS, Certified Court |
| 6 | Reporter, New Mexico Certified Court Reporter No. 20, |
| 7 | and Registered Professional Reporter, do hereby certify |
| 8 | that I reported the foregoing proceedings in |
| 9 | stenographic shorthand and that the foregoing pages are |
| 10 | a true and correct transcript of those proceedings that |
| 11 | were reduced to printed form by me to the best of my |
| 12 | ability. |
| 13 | I FURTHER CERTIFY that the Reporter's |
| 14 | Record of the proceedings truly and accurately reflects |
| 15 | the exhibits, if any, offered by the respective parties. |
| 16 | I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither |
| 17 | employed by nor related to any of the parties or |
| 18 | attorneys in this case and that I have no interest in |
| 19 | the final disposition of this case. |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | MARY C. HANKINS, CCR, RPR Certified Court Reporter |
| 23 | New Mexico CCR No. 20 Date of CCR Expiration: 12/31/2017 |
| 24 | Paul Baca Professional Court Reporters |
| 24 25 | |
| 40 | |